

Stable Coorbit Embeddings of Orbifold Quotients

Dustin G. Mixon^{*†} Yousef Qaddura^{*}

Abstract

Given a real inner product space V and a group G of linear isometries, we construct a family of G -invariant real-valued functions on V that we call coorbit filter banks, which unify previous notions of max filter banks and finite coorbit filter banks. When $V = \mathbb{R}^d$ and G is compact, we establish that a suitable coorbit filter bank is injective and locally lower Lipschitz in the quotient metric at orbits of maximal dimension. Furthermore, when the orbit space \mathbb{S}^{d-1}/G is a Riemannian orbifold, we show that a suitable coorbit filter bank is bi-Lipschitz in the quotient metric.

1 Introduction

Many machine learning algorithms are tailored for Euclidean data, typically represented as vectors in a real inner product space V . However, this representation often has an ambiguity that stems from a subgroup G of the orthogonal group $O(V)$. For example, a point cloud of n points in \mathbb{R}^d may be represented by a matrix in $V := \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$, in which case an ambiguity arises from permutating the columns.

Neglecting such ambiguities can magnify the sample complexity of the machine learning process. To address this, one strategy involves augmenting the training set with the entire G -orbit of each datapoint [30, 12, 23, 11]. However, when G is large, this approach makes the machine learning process much more computationally expensive than necessary.

Alternatively, one may address the ambiguity by representing objects as elements $[x] := G \cdot x$ in the orbit space V/G equipped with the quotient metric

$$d([x], [y]) := \inf_{\substack{p \in [x] \\ q \in [y]}} \|p - q\|.$$

(Indeed, this metric is nondegenerate provided the G -orbits are topologically closed). In order to access Euclidean-based machine learning algorithms, we are inclined to embed the orbit space into Euclidean space while minimizing distortion to the quotient metric. In other words, we aim for embeddings which admit bi-Lipschitz bounds.

Recently, [10] introduced a family of embeddings called *max filter banks* that enjoy bi-Lipschitz bounds when G is finite. Later work improved on those bounds [29, 28]. A theoretical question posed in [10] is whether every injective max filter bank is bi-Lipschitz.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH

[†]Translational Data Analytics Institute, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH

When G is finite, this question was settled by [5], which introduced a more general family of embeddings called *coorbit filter banks*. There, it is shown that every injective coorbit filter bank admits bi-Lipschitz bounds. The question remains open for infinite G with only three exceptions, each in the context of max filter banks:

- Complex phase retrieval [8, 3], in which $V = \mathbb{C}^d$ and $G = \{z \cdot \text{id} : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$.
- Quaternionic phase retrieval, in which $V = \mathbb{H}^d$ and $G = \{z \cdot \text{id} : z \in \mathbb{H}, |z| = 1\}$. This follows from the argument in [3]; see case (d) in Theorem 71.
- Polar actions [29], in which V/G is isometrically isomorphic to V'/G' for some finite $G' \leq O(V')$; see Proposition 97 and Section 10.

In this paper, we give a construction of **coorbit filter banks** for all compact groups $G \leq O(d)$. These maps unify the family of *max filter banks* (introduced in [10] for all compact groups) with the family of *coorbit filter banks* (introduced in [5, 6] only for finite groups).

It remains open whether every injective coorbit filter bank is bi-Lipschitz. While we do not provide a complete answer to this question, we study whether these maps are bi-Lipschitz given enough generic templates. We prove that this behavior holds for compact groups G whose spherical orbit space \mathbb{S}^{d-1}/G is a Riemannian orbifold. It remains open whether this behavior holds for every compact group. Nonetheless, for general compact groups, we are able to show the existence of positive local lower Lipschitz bounds at an open and dense subset of points for sufficiently many generic templates. In fact, we generalize the notions of injectivity, local lower Lipschitzness and bi-Lipschitzness into the notions of weak, local and strong subspace avoidance, respectively. The aim of this paper is to identify conditions under which coorbit filter banks enjoy these properties.

In Section 2, we construct coorbit filter banks and prove that they are invariant, symmetric and semialgebraic. There, we also introduce notions of avoidance and state the problem of interest in technical terms. In Section 3, we recall the notions of principality and cohomogeneity, and we analyze the geometry of coorbit maps through a natural Voronoi cell decomposition of space. This sets up the technical language of the paper. In Section 4, we estimate the upper Lipschitz bound for coorbit filter banks. In Section 5, we prove that $2c$ generic templates suffice for a coorbit filter bank to be injective (more generally, weakly avoidant), where $c \leq d$ is the cohomogeneity of $G \leq O(d)$. In Section 6, we show that $2c - 1$ generic templates suffice for a coorbit filter bank to be locally lower Lipschitz (more generally, locally avoidant) at principal points, that is, the open and dense subset of points in \mathbb{R}^d whose stabilizers are minimal. In Section 7, we reduce the problem of strong avoidance to the groups for which the origin is the only point that is fixed by all of G . In Section 8, we classify groups with finite-index stabilizers (e.g., finite groups and free groups), and show that for those groups, $2c$ generic templates suffice for coorbit filter banks to be bi-Lipschitz (more generally, strongly avoidant). In Section 9, we reduce the assertion that a coorbit filter bank of G is strongly avoidant to the assertion that a max filter bank of G_0 (the identity component of G) is strongly avoidant. In other words, we reduce the problem to connected groups. In Section 10, we reduce max filtering to the case where principal stabilizers are trivial. In Section 11, we show that with enough templates, max filter banks are locally lower Lipschitz at orbits of maximal dimension, namely regular orbits. In Section 12, we

show that with enough templates, max filter banks embed (spherical) orbifold quotients into Euclidean space in a bi-Lipschitz manner. We conclude in Section 13 with a discussion.

2 Construction and Basic Properties of Coorbit Maps

2.1 Construction and Invariance Properties

Definition 1. Consider any real inner product space V and $G \leq O(V)$. Let $\pi_0(G)$ denote the group of connected components of G .

- (a) The **component coorbit map** over V given by $\bar{\mathcal{C}}: V \times V \times \pi_0(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, y, K) := \sup_{p \in K \cdot x} \langle p, y \rangle.$$

- (b) The **sorting map** given by $\downarrow: \text{Hom}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|\pi_0(G)|}$ is defined on $f \in \text{Hom}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{R})$ by sorting the entries of the sequence $(f(K))_{K \in \pi_0(G)}$ in descending order i.e. largest goes first.

- (c) For $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, the **coorbit map** over V given by $\bar{\Psi}_i: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\bar{\Psi}_i(x, y) := i^{\text{th}} \text{ entry of } \downarrow \{K \in \pi_0(G) \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, y, K) \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Remark 2. By taking all sort indices to be $p_i \equiv 1$, a coorbit filter bank becomes a max filter bank (Definition 28).

The following lemma shows how the component coorbit map interacts with the group action and that coorbit maps are invariant to said action hence why they descend to orbit spaces.

Lemma 3. Suppose $G \leq O(d)$. Then,

- (a) For any $g, h \in G$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $K \in \pi_0(G)$, we have

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}(gx, hy, K) = \bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, y, h^{-1}Kg).$$

- (b) Let $S_{\pi_0(G)} := \text{Aut}(\pi_0(G))$ denote the group of bijections from $\pi_0(G)$ to itself, and consider the canonical left-action of $S_{\pi_0(G)}$ on $\text{Hom}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{R})$ given by $s \cdot f = f \circ s^{-1}$ for $s \in S_{\pi_0(G)}$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{R})$. Then,

$$\downarrow (s \cdot f) = \downarrow f$$

- (c) For $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, it holds that

$$\bar{\Psi}_i(x, y) = \bar{\Psi}_i(gx, hy)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $g, h \in G$.

Proof. Fix $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $g, h \in G$. For (a), observe that

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}(gx, hy, K) = \sup_{p \in K \cdot gx} \langle p, hy \rangle = \sup_{p \in K \cdot gx} \langle h^{-1}p, y \rangle = \sup_{p \in h^{-1}Kg \cdot x} \langle p, y \rangle = \bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, y, h^{-1}Kg),$$

as desired. Next, (b) follows from invariance of sorting to permutation. For (c), we use (a) to obtain

$$\bar{\Psi}_i(gx, hy) = \downarrow \{K \in \pi_0(G) \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{C}}(gx, hy, K) \in \mathbb{R}\} = \downarrow \{K \in \pi_0(G) \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, y, h^{-1}Kg) \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

and the result follows from using (b) and observing that $K \mapsto h^{-1}Kg$ is in $S_{\pi_0(G)}$. \square

We arrive to the desired construction:

Definition 4. Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ and let $V = \mathbb{R}^d$. Denote the identity component of G by G_0 and denote $[x]_0 := G_0 \cdot x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

- (a) The **component coorbit map** given by $\mathcal{C}: V/G_0 \times V/G_0 \times \pi_0(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the unique map that satisfies $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, y, K) = \mathcal{C}([x]_0, [y]_0, K)$.
- (a) For $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, the **coorbit map** given by $\Psi_i: V/G \times V/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the unique map that satisfies $\bar{\Psi}_i(x, z) = \Psi_i([x], [z])$ for all $x, z \in V$.
- (b) Given **templates** $z_1, \dots, z_n \in V$ and **sort indices** $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, the corresponding **coorbit filter bank** $\Phi: V/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n.$$

2.2 Symmetry and Scalar Homogeneity Properties

The following lemma shows how the component coorbit map interacts with switching inputs in \mathbb{R}^d and that the coorbit map is switch-invariant. Moreover, scalar homogeneity of the maps is shown.

Lemma 5. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$. Then,*

- (a) *For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r \geq 0$ and $K \in \pi_0(G)$, it holds that*

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, y, K) = \bar{\mathcal{C}}(y, x, K^{-1}),$$

and

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}(rx, y, K) = \bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, ry, K) = r \cdot \bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, y, K).$$

- (b) *For $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, it holds that*

$$(i) \quad \bar{\Psi}_i(x, y) = \bar{\Psi}_i(y, x),$$

$$(ii) \quad \Psi_i([x], [y]) = \Psi_i([y], [x]),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(c) For $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, $\Psi_i([rx], [y]) = \Psi_i([x], [ry]) = r \cdot \Psi_i([x], [y])$.

Proof. For (a), the first assertion follows from the following computation

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}(x, y, K) = \sup_{k \in K} \langle kx, y \rangle = \sup_{k \in K^{-1}} \langle x, ky \rangle = \sup_{p \in K^{-1}y} \langle p, x \rangle = \bar{\mathcal{C}}(y, x, K^{-1}),$$

and the second assertion follows by a similar argument. The proof of (b) is similar to the proof of Lemma 3(c) wherein here, we note that $K \mapsto K^{-1}$ is in $S_{\pi_0(G)}$. Lastly, (c) is immediate since sorting commutes with nonnegative scaling. \square

Remark 6. Occasionally, we denote $[\cdot]$ and Ψ_i by $[\cdot]_G$ and Ψ_i^G respectively to emphasize the group in consideration.

2.3 Preliminary on Semialgebraic Sets and Groups

A basic semialgebraic set is any set of the form $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : p(x) \geq 0\}$, where $p: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial function. A **semialgebraic set** is any set obtained from some combination of finite unions, finite intersections, and complements of basic semialgebraic sets. We say a subgroup of $\text{GL}(d)$ is a **semialgebraic group** if it is semialgebraic as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. We say a function $\mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^t$ is a **semialgebraic function** if its graph is semialgebraic as a subset of \mathbb{R}^{s+t} .

Definition 7. A first-order formula of the language of ordered fields with parameters in \mathbb{R} is a formula written with a finite number of conjunctions, disjunctions, negations, and universal or existential quantifiers on variables, starting from atomic formulas which are formulas of the kind $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ or $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$, where f and g are polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{R} . The free variables of a formula are those variables of the polynomials appearing in the formula, which are not quantified.

Proposition 8 (Proposition 2.2.4 in [7]). *Let $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a first-order formula of the language of ordered fields, with parameters in \mathbb{R} and with free variables x_1, \dots, x_n . Then $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \phi(x)\}$ is a semialgebraic set.*

By Proposition 2.9.10 in [7], every semialgebraic set is a finite union of manifolds. As such, the **dimension of a semialgebraic set** is defined by the maximum dimension of said manifolds.

The statements in the next proposition are proven in Appendix A of [4].

Proposition 9. *The following statements regarding semialgebraic sets and functions hold:*

- (a) *The family of semialgebraic sets is closed under projection, complement, finite union and finite intersection.*
- (b) *The family of semialgebraic functions is closed under addition, multiplication, division (when defined), composition and concatenation.*
- (c) *(Conservation of Dimension) If $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{n+d} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ is a coordinate projection and A is a semialgebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+d} , then*

$$\dim(\pi(A)) \leq \dim(A) \leq \dim(\pi(A)) + \max_{x \in \pi(A)} \dim(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap A). \quad (1)$$

We remark that conservation of dimension is essential to many arguments in this paper. The next proposition highlights that semialgebraicity of a group is equivalent to its compactness.

Proposition 10 (Proposition 7 in [29]). *Suppose $G \leq \mathrm{O}(d)$. If the orbits of G are closed, then they are also the orbits of the topological closure \overline{G} of G in $\mathrm{O}(d)$. Furthermore, the following are equivalent:*

- (a) G is topologically closed.
- (b) G is algebraic.
- (c) G is semialgebraic.

2.4 Semialgebraicity of the Coorbit Map

The coorbit map enjoys the property of being semialgebraic as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 11. *Suppose $G \leq \mathrm{O}(d)$ is a semialgebraic subgroup. Then,*

- (a) *Every component $K \in \pi_0(G)$ is compact and semialgebraic as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.*
- (b) *For any fixed $K \in \pi_0(G)$, the K -component coorbit map $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot, \cdot, K): \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is semialgebraic.*
- (c) *For any fixed $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, the coorbit map $\overline{\Psi}_i(\cdot, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is semialgebraic.*

Proof. By Proposition 10, G is closed hence a compact Lie group with $|\pi_0(G)| < \infty$. Denote the identity component of G by G_0 . It is topologically compact and hence semialgebraic again by Proposition 10. Since $K = kG_0$ for any $k \in K$ and since multiplication in G is semialgebraic and homeomorphic, we also obtain that K is semialgebraic and compact. This proves (a). For (b), fix any $K \in \pi_0(G)$. Then, the graph of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot, \cdot, K)$ is given by

$$\{(x, z, r) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 \times \mathbb{R} : (\forall k \in K, r \geq \langle kx, z \rangle) \wedge (\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \exists k \in K, \varepsilon > 0 \implies r - \varepsilon < \langle kx, z \rangle)\}.$$

It follows from Proposition 8 that the graph is semialgebraic. For (c), fix $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, the graph of $\overline{\Psi}_i(\cdot, \cdot)$ is given by

$$\bigcup_{K \in \pi_0(G)} \{(x, z, r) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 \times \mathbb{R} : r = \overline{\mathcal{C}}(x, z, K) \wedge (\exists I \subseteq \pi_0(G), |I| = i \wedge (\forall P \in I, \overline{\mathcal{C}}(x, z, P) \geq r) \wedge (\forall P \in \pi_0(G) \setminus I, \overline{\mathcal{C}}(x, z, P) \leq r))\}$$

where we note that the second line can be expressed in first-order logic as a disjunction over all finitely many partitions of $\pi_0(G)$ into two sets at least one of which has size i . \square

By similar arguments, one may show that the quotient distance function is semialgebraic hence obtaining

Proposition 12. *Suppose $G \leq \mathrm{O}(d)$ is compact. The quotient metric $d([\cdot], [\cdot]): \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a semialgebraic function.*

2.5 Avoidance Notions

Fix compact $G \leq O(d)$, $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, and consider the corresponding coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$. We say Φ is bi-Lipschitz if there exists $\alpha \in (0, \infty]$ and $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ such that the following inequality holds for all $[x] \neq [y] \in \mathbb{R}^d/G$

$$\alpha \leq \frac{\|\Phi([x]) - \Phi([y])\|}{d([x], [y])} \leq \beta.$$

This is equivalent to the statement that the closure of the image of the map $([x], [y]) \mapsto \frac{\Phi([x]) - \Phi([y])}{d([x], [y])}$ over $[x] \neq [y]$ is bounded (Φ is upper Lipschitz) and avoids the zero vector (Φ is lower Lipschitz). When we consider the image itself but not its closure, then avoidance of the zero vector is equivalent to injectivity of Φ . In Lemma 66, it becomes highly relevant to consider avoidance of not just the zero vector but also any fixed subspace of the codomain. This motivates the following definitions:

Definition 13. Fix compact $G \leq O(d)$, $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, and consider the corresponding coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$. Suppose $K \in \text{Gr}(n, k)$, that is, K is a k -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

- (a) Let $\Delta := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d : [x] = [y]\}$. The **difference quotient** $Q: (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to Φ is defined by

$$Q(x, y) := \left\{ \frac{\Psi_{p_i}([x], [z_i]) - \Psi_{p_i}([y], [z_i])}{d([x], [y])} \right\}_{i=1}^n$$

- (b) We say Φ **weakly avoids** K if $\text{im}(Q) \cap K = \emptyset$.
- (c) We say Φ **locally avoids** K at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if for all $x_n, y_n \rightarrow x$ with $[x_n] \neq [y_n]$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_n, y_n) \notin K,$$

whenever the limit exists.

- (d) We say Φ **strongly avoids** K if $\overline{\text{im}(Q)} \cap K = \emptyset$.
- (e) We say Φ is ε -**locally lower Lipschitz** at x if

$$\inf_{\substack{x_n, y_n \rightarrow x \\ [x_n] \neq [y_n]}} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Q(x_n, y_n)\| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Then, the theoretical problem of interest initially posed as Problem 19 in [10] is reposed as follows:

Problem 14.

- (a) Is every injective coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ bi-Lipschitz?

- (b) More generally, is every coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ that weakly avoids $K \in \text{Gr}(n, k)$ also strongly avoids K ?

We observe that the notions of avoidance are semialgebraic as summarized in the following result whose proof is postponed to the end of the section:

Lemma 15. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact and fix sort indices $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For templates $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote the corresponding coorbit filter bank by $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and the corresponding difference quotient by Q . Consider the sets*

$$B := \{(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, V) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : \Phi \text{ fails to weakly avoid } \text{im}(V)\}$$

and

$$D := \{(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, V) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : \Phi \text{ fails to strongly avoid } \text{im}(V)\}$$

and for any semialgebraic subset S of \mathbb{R}^d , consider the set

$$C_S := \{(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, V) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : \Phi \text{ fails to locally avoid } \text{im}(V) \text{ at every } x \in S\}.$$

Then, B , C_S and D are semialgebraic subsets of their respective ambient spaces.

Ideally and with sort indices arbitrary but fixed, we aim for a bound of the following form

$$\dim(D) \leq nd + nk - 1 - (n - k - 2c)$$

where $c \leq d$ is some constant depending on G (more precisely, the cohomogeneity of G defined in Section 3.1). In other words, $n - k - 2c - 1$ gives a lower bound for the codimension of D in its ambient space. The bound has two crucial implications. First, the bound implies that it suffices to take any $n \geq k + 2c$ so that n generic templates form a coorbit filter bank that strongly avoid the image of a generic $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. Second, the bound on the codimension is linear in n and k . This turns out to be crucial for an inductive step in the proof of Theorem 69. By meditating on these two observations, we arrive to the following definitions:

Definition 16. Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with identity component G_0 . For $z_1, \dots, z_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and sort indices $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, denote the corresponding coorbit filter bank by Φ . For $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, consider the semialgebraic set

$$N_V^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m} := \{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^m : \Phi \text{ fails to strongly avoid } \text{im}(V)\}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$v_k^m(G) := \min_{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}} \min_{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}^m} md - \dim(N_W^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m}),$$

and

$$n_k(G) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \min_{m \geq n} v_k^m(G) > 0\}.$$

We define the **linear deficiency threshold** by

$$n'(G) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : v_k^m(G) > m - k - n \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 0\},$$

where we take $\min\{\emptyset\} := \infty$.

Remark 17. *It holds that $k + 1 \leq n_k(G) \leq k + n'(G)$.*

With the definitions above, we pose the following theoretical problem of interest:

Problem 18. For a compact $G \leq O(d)$ with cohomogeneity c , does it hold that $n'(G) \leq 2c$?

Recall that the cohomogeneity of G is the minimal codimension taken over tangent spaces of every orbit (see Section 3.1 for a more precise definition). The rest of this section aims to prove Lemma 15. First, we unpack basic properties/characterizations of avoidance notions:

Lemma 19. *Fix compact $G \leq O(d)$, $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, and consider the corresponding coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and its corresponding difference quotient Q . Then, the following statements hold*

(a) *For any $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $[y_1] \neq [y_2]$ and for any nonzero $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$Q(y_1, y_2) = Q\left(\frac{y_1}{\|x\|}, \frac{y_2}{\|x\|}\right). \quad (2)$$

(b) *For any nonzero $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\Phi \text{ locally avoids } K \text{ at } x \iff \Phi \text{ locally avoids } K \text{ at } \frac{x}{\|x\|}. \quad (3)$$

(c) *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a dense G -invariant subset such that $c \cdot \Omega = \Omega$ for all $c > 0$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \text{ strongly avoids } K &\iff \overline{\text{im}(Q|_{(\Omega \times \Omega) \cap \Delta})} \cap K = \emptyset \\ &\iff (\Phi \text{ weakly avoids } K) \wedge (\forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \forall x_n, y_n \rightarrow x, \\ &\quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [x_n] \neq [y_n] \wedge x_n, y_n \in \Omega) \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_n, y_n) \notin K), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where we take $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_n, y_n) \notin K$ to be true if the limit does not exist.

Proof. First, (a) follows from scalar homogeneity of distance and coorbit maps (Lemma 5(c)). Next, (b) follows from (a). For (c), the first line follows from continuity of Q and denseness of Ω . The forward implication is immediate by definition of strong avoidance. For the reverse implication, suppose $\overline{\text{im}(Q|_{(\Omega \times \Omega) \cap \Delta})} \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Then, there exists sequences $x_n, y_n \in \Omega$ such that $[x_n] \neq [y_n]$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_n, y_n) \in K$. Since $\max\{\|x_n\|, \|y_n\|\} \neq 0$, we may take a subsequence and assume $\max\{\|x_n\|, \|y_n\|\} = \|x_n\| > 0$ for all n . By (2), We get

$$Q(x_n, y_n) = Q\left(\frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}, \frac{y_n}{\|x_n\|}\right).$$

Since $\|x_n\| \cdot \Omega = \Omega$, we get that $u_n := \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} \in \Omega$ and $v_n := \frac{y_n}{\|x_n\|} \in \Omega$. By taking further subsequences, we may assume $u_n \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $v_n \rightarrow y$ with $\|y\| \leq 1$. If $[x] \neq [y]$, then by continuity of Q over Δ , we get $Q([x], [y]) \in K$ so that weak avoidance fails. On the other hand, if $[x] = [y]$, we may translate u_n and v_n so that $x = y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $u_n, v_n \in \Omega$ by G -invariance of Ω . In such case, the right hand side of the wedge in (4) fails. \square

Proof of Lemma 15. Consider a semialgebraic lift of B

$$L := \left\{ \left(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, V, p, x, y \right) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 : \right. \\ \left. [x] \neq [y], \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \right. \\ \left. \Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x]) - \Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [y]) = d([x], [y]) \cdot (Vp)_i \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

By Lemma 11 and Propositions 8 and 12, L is semialgebraic. Since B is the projection of L onto its $(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, V)$ coordinate, we obtain that B is semialgebraic by Proposition 9(a).

Next, consider a semialgebraic lift of C_S

$$W_S := \left\{ \left(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, V, x \right) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \times S : \exists p \in \mathbb{R}^k, \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \exists x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \right. \\ \left. [x_0] \neq [y_0] \wedge |Q(x_0, y_0) - Vp| < \varepsilon \wedge [x_0], [y_0] \in B_{[x]}(\varepsilon) \right\}$$

Again, W_S is semialgebraic and since C_S is a projection of W_S , we obtain that C_S is semialgebraic. Lastly, by (4) applied to $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $K = \text{im}(V)$, we obtain that D is a union of B and $C_{\mathbb{R}^d}$. \square

3 Geometric Analysis of Coorbit Maps

3.1 Preliminary on Stabilizers and Principal Points

In this section, we first recall an orbit-stabilizer type theorem and results on the poset structure of stabilizers. Following that, we recall that an orbit space is in fact a geodesic space, and we introduce two layers of principality.

Definition 20. Let $G \leq O(d)$ be compact with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. For nonzero $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the **stabilizer group of x** is defined by

$$G_x := \{g \in G : g \cdot x = x\}$$

The **tangent space** at x is defined by

$$T_x := \mathfrak{g} \cdot x$$

and the **normal space** at x is denoted by $N_x := T_x^\perp$.

We have the following (presumably folklore) result that T_x and N_x are G_x -invariant. We were unable to find a reference, so we provide a proof:

Proposition 21. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact and fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, $T_x \oplus N_x$ is a G_x -invariant orthogonal decomposition.*

Proof. Since $G_x \leq O(d)$, the orthogonal complement of a G_x -invariant subspace is G_x -invariant. As such, we only need to show T_x is G_x -invariant. Let $h \in G_x$ and $t \in T_x$. Then, by definition of T_x and continuity of the action of G on \mathbb{R}^d , there exists a sequence $g_n \rightarrow \text{Id}$ in G such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{g_n - \text{Id}}{r} \cdot x \right) = \left(\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_n - \text{Id}}{r} \right) \cdot x = t \in T_x$$

Now, let $g'_n := hg_n h^{-1}$ so that $hg_n = g'_n h$ and $g'_n \rightarrow \text{Id}$. Then, by continuity of the action of G and since $h \in G_x$, we obtain

$$ht = h \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{g_n - \text{Id}}{r} \cdot x \right) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{g'_n - \text{Id}}{r} \cdot (hx) \right) \in T_{hx} = T_x$$

as desired. \square

In general, for a compact group $G \leq \text{O}(d)$, we denote its **identity component** by G_0 and denote $[x]_0 := G_0 \cdot x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In many instances, we abuse notation and identify $[Kx]_0$ with $[kx]_0$ for any $K \in \pi_0(G)$ and any $k \in K$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote the set of connected components of $[x]$ by

$$\pi_0([x]) := \{P : P \text{ is a connected component of } [x]\}$$

The following proposition is an orbit-stabilizer type theorem. The first item is straightforward. For the second item, see for example the proof of Corollary 3.2.3 in [22].

Proposition 22. *Suppose $G \leq \text{O}(d)$ is compact. The following hold:*

- (a) *There exists a unique group structure on $\pi_0(G)$ that makes the canonical projection $\pi_0^G : G \rightarrow \pi_0(G)$ into a surjective homomorphism. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the action of G on $[x]$ induces an action of $\pi_0(G)$ on $\pi_0([x])$ with stabilizer $\pi_0^G(G_x)$.*
- (b) *For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $G \cdot x$ is a compact C^∞ -submanifold of \mathbb{R}^d whose tangent space at x is given by T_x . Moreover, the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ G/G_x & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G \cdot x \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0(G)/\pi_0^G(G_x) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_0([x]) \end{array}$$

In fact, conjugacy classes of stabilizers form a partially order set. For $H \leq G$, denote by (H) the conjugacy class of H in G . Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $g \in G$, we have $G_{gx} = gG_x g^{-1}$. Hence, (G_x) depends only on $[x]$. Let G_{\leq} be the set of conjugacy classes of stabilizer subgroups of G , that is $(H) \in G_{\leq}$ if and only if $H = G_x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. There is a partial order on G_{\leq} given by $(H_1) \leq (H_2)$ if and only if $H_1 \leq gH_2 g^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. We call G_{\leq} the **poset of conjugacy classes of stabilizers** in G .

Proposition 23 (Theorem 1.32 in [26]). *For compact $G \leq \text{O}(d)$, G_{\leq} is finite and has a unique minimum (G_P) .*

We call (G_P) the **principal isotropy class**. For $H \in (G_P)$, we call H a **principal isotropy group**. We define the **principal component size** by $C_P := |\pi_0^G(H)|$ for any $H \in (G_P)$; this does not depend on the choice of H . This allows for defining principal points as those which have the “most” trivial stabilizers:

Definition 24. For compact $G \leq O(d)$, the set of **principal points** is defined by

$$P(G) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : G_x \in (G_P)\}$$

The set of π_0 -**principal points** is defined by

$$P_{\pi_0}(G) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\pi_0^G(G_x)| = C_P\}$$

Since all principal orbits share the same maximal (submanifold) dimension among all orbits, we define the **cohomogeneity** of G as the codimension of $[x]$ for any $x \in P(G)$. Now, we recall that \mathbb{R}^d/G is a geodesic space:

Definition 25. Given a metric space (M, d) and $L > 0$, we say a curve $\gamma: [0, L] \rightarrow M$ is a **minimal geodesic** from $\gamma(0)$ to $\gamma(L)$ if $d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) = |s - t|$ for all $s, t \in [0, L]$, and given $x, y \in M$ we let $C(x, y)$ denote the set of all minimal geodesics from x to y . We say M is a **geodesic space** if $C(x, y) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x, y \in M$.

Proposition 26 (Lemma 23 in [29]). *Take $G \leq O(d)$ with closed orbits. For each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a bijection*

$$\frac{\arg \min_{q \in [y]} \|q - x\|}{G_x} \longrightarrow C([x], [y]).$$

induced by projecting straight lines from x to $\arg \min_{q \in [y]} \|q - x\|$ into the orbit space. In particular, \mathbb{R}^d/G is a geodesic space.

Furthermore, we have the following proposition regarding principal points:

Proposition 27. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact and denote the principal stratum in \mathbb{R}^d/G by $[P(G)] := \{[x] \in \mathbb{R}^d/G : x \in P(G)\}$. Then, each of the following holds:*

- (a) $P(G) \subseteq P_{\pi_0}(G)$ are G -invariant open dense semialgebraic subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .
- (b) $[P(G)]$ is a geodesic space and an open dense connected manifold in \mathbb{R}^d/G . It admits a unique Riemannian structure whose geodesic metric is the quotient metric and such that the map $[\cdot]_{P(G)}: P(G) \rightarrow [P(G)]$ is a Riemannian submersion.

Proof. For (a), G -invariance and the inclusion are straightforward. Openness and denseness of $P(G)$ follows from Theorem 3.82 in [2]. Then, denseness of $P_{\pi_0}(G)$ follows from $P(G) \subseteq P_{\pi_0}(G)$, and openness of $P_{\pi_0}(G)$ follows from $(G_z) \leq (G_x)$ for z in a neighborhood of x (see Theorem 1.30 in [26] or Proposition 109). Lastly, semialgebraicity follows by expressing the sets in first-order logic which is straightforward.

For (b), openness, denseness and connectedness of $[P(G)]$ follow from Theorem 3.82 in [2]. It being a geodesic space follows from Lemma 3.5 in [1]. The rest of the statement regarding the unique Riemannian structure follows from Exercise 3.81 in [2]. \square

3.2 Preliminary on Max Filtering

Coorbit filter banks generalize max filter banks [10, 29] and we shall see later in Section 9 that with enough templates, the analysis of max filtering is very informative to the analysis of coorbit filter banks. In this section, we recall properties of max filtering which we shall find useful throughout the paper.

Definition 28. Suppose $G \leq O(d)$.

(a) The **max filtering map** $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle: \mathbb{R}^d/G \times \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\langle\langle [x], [y] \rangle\rangle := \sup_{p \in [x]} \langle p, y \rangle.$$

(b) Given **templates** $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the corresponding **max filter bank** $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\Phi([x]) := \{\langle\langle [z_i], [x] \rangle\rangle\}_{i=1}^n.$$

Occasionally, we denote $\langle\langle [\cdot], [\cdot] \rangle\rangle$ by $\langle\langle [\cdot], [\cdot] \rangle\rangle_G$ to emphasize the group in consideration.

By direct inspection, the following equation holds and we will make use of it frequently:

$$\mathcal{C}([x]_0, [y]_0, K) = \langle\langle [Kx]_0, [y]_0 \rangle\rangle_{G_0} \quad (5)$$

Recall that every convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a **subdifferential** $\partial f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ defined by

$$\partial f(x) := \{u \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(x+h) \geq f(x) + \langle h, u \rangle\}.$$

Note that f is differentiable at x if and only if $\partial f(x)$ is singleton, in which case it agrees with the gradient. The following lemma summarizes important properties of max filtering:

Proposition 29 (Lemma 2 and Theorem 27 in [10]). *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact and let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, each of the following holds:*

(a) $d([x], [y])^2 = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle\langle [x], [y] \rangle\rangle + \|y\|^2.$

(b) $\langle\langle \cdot, [y] \rangle\rangle: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\|y\|$ -Lipschitz.

(c) $\langle\langle [\cdot], [y] \rangle\rangle: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex.

(d) $\partial \langle\langle [\cdot], [y] \rangle\rangle(x) = \text{conv}\{q \in \mathbb{R}^d : q \in [y] \text{ and } \langle x, q \rangle = \langle\langle [x], [y] \rangle\rangle\}$ where conv denotes the convex hull operator.

The following proposition forms an essential stepping stone towards proving that the coorbit map is Lipschitz continuous (Theorem 31). Its proof follows immediately from Proposition 29(b) and (5).

Proposition 30. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ and $K \in \pi_0(G)$. Then, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathcal{C}([z]_0, \cdot, K): \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\|z\|$ -Lipschitz.*

3.3 Realizing Group Components and Continuity of Coorbit Maps

The goal of this section is to show that the coorbit map is continuous:

Theorem 31. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact and fix $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (a) $\Psi_i([z], \cdot): \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\|z\|$ -Lipschitz for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- (b) $\Psi_i(\cdot, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^d/G \times \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz at every $([z], [x]) \in \mathbb{R}^d/G \times \mathbb{R}^d/G$ with local Lipschitz constant $2\sqrt{\|z\|^2 + \|x\|^2}$. In particular, Ψ_i is continuous.

In order to do so, we shall analyze the coorbit map from the lens of *realizing group components* introduced below. We mimic the approach and notation of Section 2.2.1 in [5] which only treated the case of finite groups.

Definition 32. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$. For a sort index $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$ and $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote the set of **realizing group components** of z with respect to x by

$$L^i(z, x) := \{K \in \pi_0(G) : \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K) = \Psi_i([z], [x])\}$$

We also define the auxiliary sets

$$L^i_{>}(z, x) := \{K \in \pi_0(G) : \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K) > \Psi_i([z], [x])\}$$

$$L^i_{<}(z, x) := \{K \in \pi_0(G) : \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K) < \Psi_i([z], [x])\}$$

For $z, x \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d , define the **separation scale** by

$$\Delta^i(z, x) := \frac{1}{\|z\|} \begin{cases} \min_{K \notin L^i(z, x)} |\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K) - \Psi_i([z], [x])|, & \text{if } L^i(z, x) \neq \pi_0(G) \\ \|x\|, & \text{if } L^i(z, x) = \pi_0(G) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

For $z, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, define $\Delta^i(0, x) = \Delta^i(z, 0) = \infty$.

The usefulness of the separation scale will be highlighted in the statement and proof Lemma 33. We sometimes denote $L^i(z, x)$ by $L^i_G(z, x)$ to emphasize the group in consideration. Observe that $\Delta^i(z, x) > 0$ for all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, by direct inspection or by an argument similar to Lemma 2.4 in [5], we have that

$$|L^i_{>}(z, x)| \leq i - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |L^i_{<}(z, x)| \leq |\pi_0(G)| - i. \quad (7)$$

Now, we show that small perturbations of x can only shrink $L^i(z, x)$. We mimic the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [5] with a few changes that adapt to our context.

Lemma 33. *Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and a sort index $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\|y\| < \frac{1}{2}\Delta^i(z, x)$, it holds that $L^i(z, x + y) \subseteq L^i(z, x)$.*

Proof. The result is trivial whenever $L^i(z, x) = \pi_0(G)$, so assume $L^i(z, x) \neq \pi_0(G)$ so that in particular $x, z \neq 0$, and $\Delta^i(z, x)$ is given by the first case of (6).

We claim that if $K \in L^i_{<}(z, x)$ and $H \in L^i_{>}(z, x) \cup L^i(z, x)$, then $\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x + y]_0, H) > \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x + y]_0, K)$. By symmetry in the proof, the claim remains true when all inequalities are flipped. To the end of proving the claim, assume $H \in L^i_{>}(z, x) \cup L^i(z, x)$ and $K \in L^i_{<}(z, x)$. By Proposition 30, we have

$$|\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x + y]_0, H) - \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, H)| \leq \|z\| \cdot d([x + y], [x]) \leq \|z\| \cdot \|y\|,$$

and similarly for K . Hence, by assumption and by $\|y\| < \frac{1}{2}\Delta^i(z, x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x + y]_0, H) - \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x + y]_0, K) &\geq \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, H) - \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K) - 2\|y\| \cdot \|z\| \\ &\geq \Psi_i([z], [x]) - \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K) - 2\|y\| \cdot \|z\| \\ &= |\Psi_i([z], [x]) - \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K)| - 2\|y\| \cdot \|z\| > 0 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

Now, suppose there exists $K \in L^i(z, x + y)$ such that $K \notin L^i(z, x)$. Assume $K \in L^i_{<}(z, x)$ without loss generality. By the claim and since $K \in L^i(z, x + y)$, we get that $\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x + y]_0, H) > \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x + y]_0, K) = \Psi_i([z], [x + y])$, that is, $H \in L^i_{>}(z, x + y)$. We obtain the contradiction

$$|L^i_{>}(z, x) \cup L^i(z, x)| \leq |L^i_{>}(z, x + y)|$$

since immediately from the definition of Ψ_i , we have $|L^i_{>}(z, x) \cup L^i(z, x)| \geq i$ while $|L^i_{>}(z, x + y)| < i$. \square

We are now able to show continuity of the coorbit map.

Proof of Theorem 31. For (a), let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, $\Delta^i(z, x) > 0$ and for $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\|y\| < \frac{1}{2}\Delta^i(z, x)$, Lemma 33 allows us to pick $K \in L^i(z, x) \cap L^i(z, x + y)$ for some $K \in \pi_0(G)$. Hence, by Proposition 30

$$|\Psi_i([z], [x + y]) - \Psi_i([z], [x])| = |\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x + y]_0, K) - \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K)| \leq \|z\|d([x + y], [x]).$$

As such, $\Psi_i([z], \cdot): \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally $\|z\|$ -Lipschitz. Since by Proposition 26 \mathbb{R}^d/G is a geodesic space, then by patching the local Lipschitz constant $\|z\|$ along minimal geodesics, we obtain that $\Psi_i([z], \cdot): \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is globally $\|z\|$ -Lipschitz as desired.

For (b), let $([z], [x]) \in \mathbb{R}^d/G \times \mathbb{R}^d/G$ and for $[y] \in \mathbb{R}^d/G$, denote $U_y := \{[y'] \in \mathbb{R}^d/G : \|y'\| < 2\|y\|\}$. Then, $U_z \times U_x$ is an open neighborhood of $([z], [x])$ in $\mathbb{R}^d/G \times \mathbb{R}^d/G$. For $([z_1], [x_1]), ([z_2], [x_2]) \in U_z \times U_x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_i([z_1], [x_1]) - \Psi_i([z_2], [x_2])| &\leq |\Psi_i([z_1], [x_1]) - \Psi_i([z_1], [x_2])| + |\Psi_i([z_1], [x_2]) - \Psi_i([z_2], [x_2])| \\ &\leq \|z_1\|d([x_1], [x_2]) + \|x_2\|d([z_1], [z_2]) \\ &< 2(\|z\|d([x_1], [x_2]) + \|x\|d([z_1], [z_2])) \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{\|z\|^2 + \|x\|^2}\sqrt{d([x_1], [x_2])^2 + d([z_1], [z_2])^2} \\ &= 2\sqrt{\|z\|^2 + \|x\|^2} \cdot d_{\mathbb{R}^d/G \times \mathbb{R}^d/G}(([z_1], [x_1]), ([z_2], [x_2])), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

The following lemma shows the influence of stabilizers on the set of realizing group components. It will find its use in Section 8.

Lemma 34. *Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and a sort index $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. The following statements hold for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$:*

$$(a) \pi_0^G(G_x) \cdot L_G^i(z, x) = L_G^i(z, x).$$

(b) *Suppose $\|y\| < \frac{1}{2}\Delta^i(z, x)$, $L^i(z, x) = \pi_0^G(G_x)$ and G_x is a union of connected components in G . Then, $\Psi_i^G([z], [x + y]) = \Psi_{i'}^{G_x}([z]_{G_x}, [x + y]_{G_x})$ where*

$$i' = i + 1 \pmod{|\pi_0^G(G_x)| + 1}.$$

Proof. For (a), if $H \in \pi_0^G(G_x)$, then by Lemma 3(a)

$$\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, HK) = \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [H^{-1}x]_0, K) = \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K)$$

and the result follows by taking $K \in L^i(z, x)$.

For (b), we have $\pi_0^G(G_x) = \pi_0(G_x)$ and we let k be the largest nonnegative integer such that $k|\pi_0(G_x)| < i$, that is $k = \frac{i-i'}{|\pi_0^G(G_x)|}$. The assumption $L^i(z, x) = \pi_0(G_x)$ combined with (a) yield that

$$L^{k|\pi_0(G_x)|+1}(z, x) = L^{k|\pi_0(G_x)|+2}(z, x) = \dots = L^{k|\pi_0(G_x)|+|\pi_0(G_x)|}(z, x) = \pi_0(G_x),$$

and so

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq |\pi_0(G_x)|} L^{k|\pi_0(G_x)|+j}(z, x) = \pi_0(G_x).$$

By Lemma 33 and since $\|y\| < \frac{1}{2}\Delta^i(z, x)$, we obtain

$$M := \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq |\pi_0(G_x)|} L^{k|\pi_0(G_x)|+j}(z, x + y) \subseteq \pi_0(G_x).$$

and so

$$\pi_0(G) \setminus M \subseteq L_{>}^{k|\pi_0(G_x)|}(z, x + y) \cup L_{<}^{k|\pi_0(G_x)|+|\pi_0(G_x)|}(z, x + y).$$

By (7), we obtain

$$|\pi_0(G)| - |M| \leq k|\pi_0(G_x)| + |\pi_0(G)| - k|\pi_0(G_x)| - |\pi_0(G_x)|$$

so that $|M| \geq |\pi_0(G_x)|$ and hence $M = \pi_0(G_x)$. As such, when the sorting map is restricted to $\text{Hom}(\pi_0(G_x), \mathbb{R})$, its output is equal to $\{\Psi_{k|\pi_0(G_x)|+j}([z], [x + y])\}_{j=1}^{|\pi_0(G_x)|}$ which by definition is also equal to $\{\Psi_j^{G_x}([z]_{G_x}, [x + y]_{G_x})\}_{j=1}^{|\pi_0(G_x)|}$. Since $i = k|\pi_0(G_x)| + i'$ and $1 \leq i' \leq |\pi_0(G_x)|$, the result follows. \square

3.4 Voronoi Decompositions

In this section, we set up the technical language of the paper. We use orbits to decompose space into two families of Voronoi cells, one based purely on the components of the orbit (Definition 36) while the other drills down to the normal bundle provided by the manifold structure of the orbit (Definition 42). These decompositions allow us to view coorbit filter banks as “piecewise-linear” where the pieces are given by fibers of the normal bundle (Definition 46 and Lemma 47). Moreover, we use the decompositions to state equivalent characterizations of principality (Lemmas 39 and 45). We begin with an essential preliminary sourced from the theory of nonlinear orthogonal projection on manifolds. For $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we let $(x, y]$ denote the line segment from x to y which includes y and excludes x . Take $(x, x] := \{x\}$.

Proposition 35 (Theorem 3.13a, Theorem 4.1, Remark 3.1 and Corollary 3.9 in [15]). *Suppose M is a smooth submanifold of \mathbb{R}^d . Fix $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and suppose that $x \in \arg \max_{p \in M} \langle p, z \rangle$. Then, each of the following holds:*

- (a) $z \in N_x$ where N_x is the orthogonal complement of the tangent space of M at x .
- (b) There exists an open neighborhood U of $(z, x]$ such that $\{x\} = \arg \max_{p \in M} \langle p, n \rangle$ for $n \in U \cap N_x$ and $|\arg \max_{p \in M} \langle p, t \rangle| = 1$ for $t \in U$. Moreover, the map v_x sending $t \in U$ to the unique element in $\arg \max_{p \in M} \langle p, t \rangle$ is smooth over U .
- (c) If there exists an open neighborhood U_z around z such that $|\arg \max_{p \in M} \langle p, t \rangle| = 1$ for $t \in U_z$, then $z \in U$.

For the purposes of this section, we mainly apply Proposition 35 to orbits under the action of the identity component G_0 of a compact group $G \leq O(d)$.

Definition 36. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Denote by G_0 be the identity component of G . For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define $V_{[x]_0}^i$, the **open component Voronoi cell** of x , through the following characterization

$$z \in V_{[x]_0}^i \iff \{[x]_0\} = \{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([x]) : \Psi_i([z], [x]) = \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [p]_0, G_0)\}.$$

In general, for $A \subseteq \pi_0([x])$, we define V_A^i through its characterization

$$z \in V_A^i \iff A = \{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([x]) : \Psi_i([z], [x]) = \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [p]_0, G_0)\}.$$

Remark 37. By (5), $\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [p]_0, G_0)$ may be replaced by $\langle\langle [z]_0, [p]_0 \rangle\rangle_{G_0}$ in the definitions above.

Lemma 38. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ with identity component G_0 and fix $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, the following statements hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

- (a) $V_{[hx]_0}^i = V_{[x]_0}^i$ for all $h \in G_0$.
- (b) $V_{[gx]_0}^i = g \cdot V_{[x]_0}^i$ for all $g \in G$.
- (c) For $[q_1]_0, [q_2]_0 \in \pi_0([x])$, if $V_{[q_1]_0}^i \cap V_{[q_2]_0}^i \neq \emptyset$, then $[q_1]_0 = [q_2]_0$ and $V_{[q_1]_0}^i = V_{[q_2]_0}^i$.

(d) $V_{[x]_0}^i$ is open.

(e) The set $\{(z, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 : z \in V_{[x]_0}^i\}$ is semialgebraic. Moreover, for $A \subseteq \pi_0([x])$, the set V_A^i is semialgebraic.

(f) For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $[q]_0 \in \pi_0([x])$ such that $z \in \overline{V_{[q]_0}^i}$.

(g) If $z \in \overline{V_{[x]_0}^i}$, then $\Psi_i([z], [x]) = \langle\langle [z]_0, [x]_0 \rangle\rangle$. The converse holds when $i = 1$.

(h) $z \in V_{[x]_0}^i$ if and only if $L^i(z, x) = \pi_0^G(G_x)$.

Proof. For (a), the proof follows immediately from the definition. For (b), by normality of G_0 and Lemma 3(a), the following holds for $g \in G$ and $z, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [p]_0, G_0) = \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [p]_0, g^{-1}G_0g) = \mathcal{C}([gz]_0, [gp]_0, G_0).$$

Hence, for $[p]_0 \in \pi_0([x])$ and $gz \in V_{[gx]_0}^i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{[gx]_0\} &= \{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([gx]) : \Psi_i([gz], [gx]) = \mathcal{C}([gz]_0, [p]_0, G_0)\} \\ &= \{[gp]_0 \in \pi_0([gx]) : \Psi_i([gz], [gx]) = \mathcal{C}([gz]_0, [gp]_0, G_0)\} \\ &= g \cdot \{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([x]) : \Psi_i([z], [x]) = \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [p]_0, G_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by g^{-1} on the left, we obtain $z \in V_{[x]_0}^i$ as desired.

For (c), fix $[q_1]_0, [q_2]_0 \in \pi_0([x])$ and suppose $z \in V_{[q_1]_0}^i \cap V_{[q_2]_0}^i$. Then,

$$\{[q_1]_0\} = \{[q_2]_0\} = \{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([x]) : \Psi_i([z], [x]) = \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [p]_0, G_0)\},$$

and the result immediately follows.

For (d), if $z \in V_{[x]_0}^i$, then by continuity of Ψ_i and \mathcal{C} (Theorem 31 and Proposition 30), there exists a nonempty open neighborhood U of z such that $|\Psi_i([u], [x]) - \mathcal{C}([u]_0, [p]_0, G_0)| > 0$ for $u \in U$ and $[p]_0 \neq [x]_0$ in $\pi_0([x])$. Then, $U \subseteq V_{[x]_0}^i$ as desired.

For (e), the first half follows from expressing the set of interest in first-order logic as

$$\begin{aligned} \{(z, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 : \overline{\Psi}_i(z, x) = \overline{\mathcal{C}}(z, x, G_0) \wedge \\ \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^d, ((\exists g \in G, gp = x) \wedge (\forall h \in G_0, hp \neq x)) \implies \overline{\Psi}_i(z, p) \neq \overline{\mathcal{C}}(z, p, G_0)\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the result follows from Proposition 8 and Lemma 11. Next, for $A \subseteq \pi_0([x])$, let $x_1, \dots, x_{|A|} \in [x]$ be distinguished elements from each component in A , that is $A = \sqcup_{i=1}^{|A|} [x_j]_0$. The result follows from the following semialgebraic first-order logic expression of V_A^i :

$$\begin{aligned} \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \forall j \in \{1, \dots, |A|\}, \overline{\Psi}_i(z, x_j) = \overline{\mathcal{C}}(z, x_j, G_0) \wedge \\ \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^d, ((\exists g \in G, gp = x) \wedge (\forall h \in G_0, hp \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_{|A|}\})) \implies \overline{\Psi}_i(z, p) \neq \overline{\mathcal{C}}(z, p, G_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For (f), suppose by contradiction that there exists an open neighborhood U such that

$$\left| \{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([x]) : \Psi_i([z], [x]) = \langle\langle [z]_0, [p]_0 \rangle\rangle_{G_0}\} \right| > 1$$

for all $z \in U$. Then, there exists $A \subseteq \pi_0([x])$ such that $|A| > 1$ and $\dim(V_A^i) = d$. Take $[q_1]_0 \neq [q_2]_0 \in A$. Then, there exists a nonempty open set $W \subseteq V_A^i$ such that $\langle\langle [z]_0, [q_1]_0 \rangle\rangle_{G_0} = \langle\langle [z]_0, [q_2]_0 \rangle\rangle_{G_0}$ for all $z \in W$. This contradicts the strong separation of the max filter over G_0 (Theorem 8 in [29] or Lemma 57 applied to $j = 1$).

For (g), the first assertion follows from continuity of Ψ_i proven in Theorem 31. For the second assertion, if $\Psi_1([z], [x]) = \langle\langle [z]_0, [x]_0 \rangle\rangle_{G_0}$, then $\langle\langle [x], [z] \rangle\rangle = \langle g_0 x, z \rangle$ for some $g_0 \in G_0$. By Proposition 35(b), it follows that for any $q \in (z, g_0 x]$, we have $\{g_0 x\} = \arg \max_{p \in [x]} \langle p, q \rangle$. In particular, $q \in V_{[x]_0}^1$ and the result follows by taking $q \rightarrow z$.

For (h), we have $K \in L^i(z, x)$ if and only if $\Psi_i([z], [x]) = \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K) = \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [K^{-1}x]_0, G_0)$ so $z \in V_{[x]_0}^i$ if and only if $K^{-1}[x]_0 = [x]_0$ for every $K \in L^i(z, x)$, that is $L^i(z, x) \subseteq \pi_0^G(G_x)$. By Lemma 34(a), $L^i(z, x) \subseteq \pi_0^G(G_x)$ holds if and only if $L^i(z, x) = \pi_0^G(G_x)$. The result follows. \square

The next lemma shows how the aforementioned component Voronoi cell structure allows for a characterization of π_0 -principality of points in \mathbb{R}^d .

Lemma 39. *Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ with identity component G_0 , and fix a sort index $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $x \in P_{\pi_0}(G)$
- (b) $z \in V_{[x]_0}^i$ implies $x \in V_{[z]_0}^i$.

Proof. Suppose $z \in V_{[x]_0}^i$. Then,

$$[z]_0 \in B := \{[q]_0 \in \pi_0([z]) : \Psi_i([z], [x]) = \mathcal{C}([q]_0, [x]_0, G_0)\}.$$

We claim that $K \cdot [z]_0 \in B$ implies $K \in \pi_0^G(G_x)$. In particular, $\pi_0^G(G_z) \leq \pi_0^G(G_x)$. By Lemma 5, we have that

$$\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, G_0) = \mathcal{C}([x]_0, [z]_0, G_0^{-1}) = \mathcal{C}([x]_0, [z]_0, G_0).$$

By Lemma 3(a) and since G_0 is the identity of $\pi_0(G)$, it follows that for $K \in \pi_0(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(K \cdot [z]_0, [x]_0, G_0) &= \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, G_0 K) \\ &= \mathcal{C}([z]_0, [x]_0, K G_0) \\ &= \mathcal{C}([z]_0, K^{-1}[x]_0, G_0) \\ &= \mathcal{C}(K^{-1}[x]_0, [z]_0, G_0). \end{aligned}$$

As such, if $K \cdot [z]_0 \in B$, then by the above computation and since $z \in V_{[x]_0}^i$, we get $K^{-1}[x]_0 = [x]_0$ and so $K \in \pi_0^G(G_x)$. This proves the claim.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). By minimality of $|\pi_0^G(G_x)|$, we obtain $\pi_0^G(G_z) = \pi_0^G(G_x)$. It follows that $K \cdot [z]_0 \in B$ implies $K \in \pi_0^G(G_z)$ so that $B = \{[z]_0\}$ as desired.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). By Proposition 27, $P_{\pi_0}(G)$ is dense and by Lemma 38, $V_{[x]_0}^i$ is open. We may then select $y \in V_{[x]_0}^i \cap P_{\pi_0}(G)$. By assumption, $x \in V_{[y]_0}^i$ so that by the claim above, we have $\pi_0^G(G_x) \leq \pi_0^G(G_y)$. The result follows by minimality of $|\pi_0^G(G_y)|$. \square

Definition 40. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Denote by G_0 the identity component of G . For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the **open component Voronoi diagram** of x by

$$Q_{[x]_0}^i := \bigsqcup_{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([x])} V_{[p]_0}^i$$

Note that

$$z \in Q_{[x]_0}^i \iff \left| \{ [p]_0 \in \pi_0([x]) : \Psi_i([z], [x]) = \langle [z]_0, [p]_0 \rangle_{G_0} \} \right| = 1.$$

The following corollary is immediate by Lemmas 34(a), 38 and 39.

Corollary 41. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. The following statements hold:

- (a) $Q_{[gx]_0}^i = g \cdot Q_{[x]_0}^i = Q_{[x]_0}^i$ for all $g \in G$.
- (b) The set $\{(z, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 : z \in Q_{[x]_0}^i\}$ is semialgebraic.
- (c) $Q_{[x]_0}^i$ is an open dense semialgebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^d .
- (d) For $z \in P_{\pi_0}(G)$, we have $x \in Q_{[z]_0}^i$ implies $z \in Q_{[x]_0}^i$.
- (e) $z \in Q_{[x]_0}^i$ if and only if $|L^i(z, x)| = |\pi_0^G(G_x)|$.

Definition 42. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define U_x^i , the **unique Voronoi cell** of x , through the following characterization

$$z \in U_x^i \iff z \in V_{[x]_0}^i \wedge \{x\} = \arg \max_{p \in [x]_0} \langle p, z \rangle$$

Furthermore, we define the **open Voronoi cell** of x by

$$V_x^i := \text{relint}(U_x^i)$$

and we define the **open Voronoi diagram** of x by

$$Q_x^i := \bigsqcup_{p \in [x]} V_p^i$$

The following lemma unpacks properties of U_x^i and V_x^i and the third statement justifies the use of disjoint union in the definition of Q_x^i .

Lemma 43. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ with identity component G_0 and fix $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, the following hold

- (a) $z \in U_x^i$ if and only if $z \in V_{[x]_0}^i$ and $G_x = \{g \in G : \langle [z]_0, [x]_0 \rangle_{G_0} = \langle gz, x \rangle\}$.
- (b) $U_{gx}^i = g \cdot U_x^i$ and $V_{gx}^i = g \cdot V_x^i$ for all $g \in G$.
- (c) For $q_1, q_2 \in [x]$, if $U_{q_1}^i \cap U_{q_2}^i \neq \emptyset$, then $q_1 = q_2$ and $U_{q_1}^i = U_{q_2}^i$.

(d) $U_x^i \subseteq N_x$ and V_x^i is open in N_x .

(e) The following characterization holds

$$z \in V_x^i \iff z \in V_{[x]_0}^i \cap U_x^i \wedge |\arg \max_{p \in [x]_0} \langle p, t \rangle| = 1 \text{ for } t \text{ in a neighborhood of } z$$

(f) The sets $\{(z, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 : z \in U_x^i\}$ and $\{(z, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 : z \in V_x^i\}$ are semialgebraic.

Proof. The proofs of (a), (b) and (c) are straightforward.

Next, for (d), the assertion $U_x^i \subseteq N_x$ follows from Proposition 35(a). For the openness assertion, we show that the span of $U_x^i \subseteq N_x$ is N_x . We first note that $V_{[x]_0}^i$ is open by Lemma 38 and that for $z \in U_x$, Proposition 35(b) entails that there exists a neighborhood U of $(z, x) \cap V_{[x]_0}^i$ such that $\emptyset \neq U \cap N_x \subseteq U_x^i$. The result follows since $\text{span}(U \cap N_x) = N_x$.

For the forward implication in (e), suppose $z \in V_x^i$. Then by definition, $z \in V_{[x]_0}^i \cap U_x^i$ and by openness of V_x^i in N_x , there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that with $q := z + \varepsilon(z - x) \in V_x^i$, we have $\{x\} = \arg \max_{p \in [x]_0} \langle p, q \rangle$. Since $z \in (q, x]$, the desired implication follows from Proposition 35(b). On the other hand, the reverse implication in (e), follows from Proposition 35(c).

Lastly, (f) follows from a straightforward argument with first-order logic. \square

The following lemma unpacks properties of the Voronoi open diagram Q_x^i .

Lemma 44. *Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ with identity component G_0 and fix $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, each of the following holds:*

(a) $Q_{gx}^i = Q_x^i = g \cdot Q_x^i = G \cdot V_x^i$ for all $g \in G$.

(b) The set $\{(z, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 : z \in Q_x^i\}$ is semialgebraic.

(c) The following characterization holds

$$z \in Q_x^i \iff \exists [q]_0 \in \pi_0([x]), z \in V_{[q]_0}^i \wedge |\arg \max_{p \in [q]_0} \langle p, t \rangle| = 1 \text{ for } t \text{ in a neighborhood of } z$$

(d) Q_x^i is an open dense semialgebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. The proofs of (a) and (b) are straightforward.

The proof of (c) follows immediately using Lemma 43(e).

Lastly, for (d), openness of Q_x^i follows immediately from the openness of the right-hand characterization in (c). As for denseness, let $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, by Lemma 38, $z \in \overline{V_{[q]_0}^i}$ for some $[q]_0 \in \pi_0([x])$. Next, for $t \in V_{[q]_0}^i$ and $q' \in \arg \max_{p \in [q]_0} \langle p, t \rangle$ and by Proposition 35(b), there exists an open neighborhood U of (t, q') such that $|\arg \max_{p \in [q]_0} \langle p, u \rangle| = 1$ for $u \in U$. This entails denseness of the right-hand characterization in (c) and hence Q_x^i . \square

Lastly, we end with a characterization of principal points which will its use in Section 6. The proof is deferred to the appendix since it requires a technical result regarding symmetry in cut points of (non-complete) Riemannian manifolds (Appendix A.1).

Lemma 45. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. The following are equivalent:

- (a) $x \in P(G)$.
- (b) $z \in V_x^i$ implies $x \in V_z^i$.
- (c) $z \in Q_x^i$ implies $x \in Q_z^i$.

Proof. See Appendix A.3. □

3.5 The Coorbit Realizer and its Local Properties

Definition 46. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. The **Voronoi coorbit realizer** given by $v^i: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by

$$(z, x) \mapsto v_z^i(x) := \begin{cases} gz, & x \in V_{gz}^i \text{ for some } g \in G \\ 0, & x \notin Q_z^i \end{cases}$$

Note that v_z^i is well-defined since Q_z^i is a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{p \in [z]} V_p^i$. The coorbit realizer map factors the coorbit map through an inner product as the first statement of the following lemma shows.

Lemma 47. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, each of the following statements holds:

- (a) For $x \in Q_z^i$, $v_z^i(x)$ is the unique element in $[z]$ such that $\Psi_i([\cdot], [z]) = \langle x, v_z^i(x) \rangle$.
- (b) $v_z^i(x) \in N_x$ and $x \in V_{v_z^i(x)}^i \subseteq N_{v_z^i(x)}$.
- (c) $v_z^i(gx) = g \cdot v_z^i(x) = v_{gz}^i(x)$ for every $g \in G$.
- (d) v^i is semialgebraic over $(z, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$.
- (e) $v_z^i(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is smooth over $x \in Q_z^i$.
- (f) $\nabla \Psi_i([\cdot], [z])|_x = v_z^i(x)$ for $x \in Q_z^i$.
- (g) For $x, z \in P(G)$, $\langle x, v_z^i(x) \rangle = \langle z, v_x^i(z) \rangle$.

Proof. The proofs of (a), (b), (c) and (d) are straightforward. By Proposition 35(b), it holds that $v_z^i(\cdot)$ is smooth over $Q_z^i \cap V_{[p]_0}^i$ for every $p \in \pi_0([z])$. Then, (e) follows since $Q_z^i = \bigsqcup_{p \in \pi_0([z])} Q_z^i \cap V_{[p]_0}^i$. The formula of the gradient in (f) follows from Proposition 29(d). Lastly, (g) follows by Lemma 45 and (a). □

4 Upper Lipschitz Continuity

In this section, we estimate the upper Lipschitz bound of a coorbit filter bank by the maximum singular value one may obtain by selecting an element from each template's orbit.

Theorem 48. *Given $G \leq O(d)$ with closed orbits, $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, the coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{\substack{[x], [y] \in \mathbb{R}^d/G \\ [x] \neq [y]}} \frac{\|\Phi([x]) - \Phi([y])\|}{d([x], [y])} \leq \max_{g_1, \dots, g_n \in G} \|\{g_i z_i\}_{i=1}^n\|_{2 \rightarrow 2}.$$

In fact, the result for a max filter bank has been previously established and we will make use of it to show the same bound for coorbit filter banks:

Proposition 49 (Theorem 9 in [29]). *The statement of Theorem 48 holds when $p_i \equiv 1$.*

Before proceeding, we prove a technical lemma. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we let $[x, y]$ denote the line segment from x to y .

Lemma 50. *Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ with identity component G_0 and fix $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Fix $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_1 < \dots < c_n \in [x, y]$ such that*

(a) $c_1 = x$ and $c_n = y$.

(b) For every $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, there exists $K_j \in \pi_0(G)$ such that $[c_j, c_{j+1}] \subseteq \overline{V_{[K_j z]_0}^i}$.

For that, we need the following proposition regarding the dimension of the closure of a semialgebraic set:

Proposition 51 (Proposition 2.8.2 in [7]). *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semialgebraic set. Then, \overline{A} is semialgebraic and*

$$\dim(A) = \dim(\overline{A}).$$

Proof of Lemma 50. First, observe that

$$[x, y] = [x, y] \cap \overline{Q_{[z]_0}^i} = \bigcup_{K \in \pi_0(G)} ([x, y] \cap \overline{V_{[Kz]_0}^i}).$$

By Lemma 38 and Proposition 51 and for every $K \in \pi_0(G)$, it holds that $[x, y] \cap \overline{V_{[Kz]_0}^i}$ is closed, semialgebraic and at most one-dimensional. By semialgebraicity, $[x, y] \cap \overline{V_{[Kz]_0}^i}$ is a finite union of manifolds each with dimension at most one, hence a finite union of a collection of isolated points B_K and a collection of closed intervals I_K . As such, $[x, y] \setminus \bigcup_{K \in \pi_0(G)} (\cup I_K) = \emptyset$ since on one hand it is a subset of the finite set $\bigcup_{K \in \pi_0(G)} B_K$ but on the other hand, it is open in $[x, y]$. We conclude that

$$\bigcup_{K \in \pi_0(G)} ([x, y] \cap \overline{V_{[Kz]_0}^i}) = \bigcup_{K \in \pi_0(G)} (\cup I_K),$$

and the result follows by partitioning. □

We also need the following useful result

Lemma 52. *Let $G \leq O(d)$ be compact. Suppose $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $d([x], [y]) = \|x - y\|$. Then, for any $[c, w] \subseteq [x, y]$, it holds that $d([c], [w]) = \|c - w\|$.*

Proof. By applying Proposition 35(b) to $w \in [x, y]$, we obtain $\{x\} \in \arg \min_{p \in [x]} d(p, w)$, and by applying it to $c \in [w, x]$, we get $\{w\} \in \arg \min_{q \in [w]} d(w, c)$. The result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 48. By Proposition 10, we may assume G is closed without loss of generality. Fix $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $[x] \neq [y]$ and $\|x - y\| = d([x], [y])$. Then, for each $l \in [n]$, take $c_1^l, \dots, c_{n_l}^l$ and $\{K_j^l\}_{j=1}^{n_l}$ as in Lemma 50 applied with respect to z_l . By refining the partition over l , we get that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_1 < \dots < c_m \in [x, y]$ such that

- (a) $c_1 = x$ and $c_m = y$.
- (b) For every $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, there exists $\{K_j^l\}_{l=1}^n \in \pi_0(G)^n$ such that $[c_j, c_{j+1}] \subseteq \bigcap_{l \in [n]} \overline{V_{[K_j^l z_l]_0}^i}$.

Then, for each $l \in [n]$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{p_l}([x], [z_l]) - \Psi_{p_l}([y], [z_l]) &= \sum_{j=1}^m (\Psi_{p_l}([c_j], [z_l]) - \Psi_{p_l}([c_{j+1}], [z_l])) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m (\langle\langle [c_j], [K_j^l z_l] \rangle\rangle_{G_0} - \langle\langle [c_{j+1}], [K_j^l z_l] \rangle\rangle_{G_0}) \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 38. For $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, define the max filter bank $\Phi_j^{G_0}: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi_j^{G_0}([y]) = \{\langle\langle [y], [K_j^l z_l] \rangle\rangle_{G_0}\}_{l=1}^n$. Then, by Lemma 52 and the above,

$$\frac{\Phi([x]) - \Phi([y])}{d([x], [y])} = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\|c_{j+1} - c_j\|}{\|x - y\|} \cdot \frac{\Phi_j^{G_0}([c_j]_0) - \Phi_j^{G_0}([c_{j+1}]_0)}{d_{G_0}([c_j]_0, [c_{j+1}]_0)}$$

The result now follows by taking norms, applying the triangle inequality and using Proposition 49. \square

5 Injectivity and Weak Avoidance

In this section, we show that $2c+k$ generic tempaltes suffice for coorbit filter banks to weakly avoid a fixed or generic k -dimensional subspace.

Theorem 53. *Suppose the orbits of G are closed with cohomogeneity c . Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For a fixed or generic $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and generic $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$ weakly avoids $\text{im}(V)$ provided $n \geq 2c + k$.*

In particular, when $k = 0$, the coorbit filter bank is injective provided $n \geq 2c$.

When $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ is fixed, Theorem 53 is an immediate consequence of the following lemma which gives a bound on the dimension of the set of coorbit filter banks that fail to weakly avoid $\text{im}(V)$. The remark that follows addresses the case when $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ is generic in Theorem 53.

Lemma 54. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with cohomogeneity c . Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote by $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the coorbit filter bank defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$. For $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, consider the G^n -invariant failure set*

$$B_V := \{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \Phi \text{ fails to weakly avoid } \text{im}(V)\}.$$

Then, B_V is semialgebraic and $\dim(B_V) \leq nd - 1 - (n - 2c - k)$

Remark 55. *By further taking*

$$B := \{(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, V) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : \Phi \text{ fails to weakly avoid } \text{im}(V)\}$$

as in Lemma 15, we argue that $\dim(B) \leq nd + nk + (n - 2c - k)$. Let Π_2 be the projection of $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ onto the second component. Then, by conservation of dimension (Proposition 9(c)), we have

$$\dim(B) \leq \Pi_2(B) + \max_{V \in \Pi_2(B)} \dim(\Pi_2^{-1}(V) \cap B).$$

Moreover, for every $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, we have $\dim(\Pi_2^{-1}(V) \cap B) = \dim(B_V)$. Hence, the claim follows by Lemma 54 and the bound $\dim(\Pi_2(B)) \leq nk$.

The rest of this section is dedicated to proving Lemma 54. To pass from the dimension d of \mathbb{R}^d to the cohomogeneity of the group, we leverage the following proposition:

Proposition 56 (Lemma 1 in [13]). *Consider $G \leq O(d)$ with closed orbits. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it holds that $G \cdot N_x = \mathbb{R}^d$, that is N_x intersects every orbit.*

We also need to the following lemma which shows that the coorbit map is “strongly separating” (cf. [10, 29]):

Lemma 57. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact. Fix $1 \leq j \leq |\pi_0(G)|$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $[x] \neq [y]$. Then,*

$$\dim \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \Psi_j([z], [x]) - \Psi_j([z], [y]) = r\} \leq d - 1.$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a nonempty open set U such that $h(z) := \Psi_j([z], [x]) - \Psi_j([z], [y]) = r$ for all $z \in U$. By Lemma 44, $Q_x^j \cap Q_y^j$ is open and dense, so we may shrink U so that $U \subseteq Q_x^j \cap Q_y^j$. Then, by Lemma 47, it follows that $\nabla h(z) = v_x^j(z) - v_y^j(z) = 0$ for $z \in U$. Since $v_x^j(z) \in [x]$ and $v_y^j(z) \in [y]$, we obtain the contradiction $[x] = [y]$. \square

Proof of Lemma 54. Semialgebraicity follows from B_V being a projection of the V section of the semialgebraic set B defined in Lemma 15. Let $N := N_w$ for some $w \in P(G)$. Then $G \cdot N = \mathbb{R}^d$ by Proposition 56. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and consider the semialgebraic lift of B_V

$$L_V := \left\{ \left(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, p, x, y \right) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2 : \right. \\ \left. \begin{aligned} & [x] \neq [y], \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 = 1, x \in N, y \in N, \\ & \Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x]) - \Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [y]) = d([x], [y]) \cdot (Vp)_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Observe that B_V is a projection of L_V on the $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ coordinate. Denote by Π_{pxy} the projection of $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^2$ on the components (p, x, y) . By conservation of dimension (Proposition 9(c)), we get

$$\dim(B_V) \leq \dim(L_V) \leq \Pi_{pxy}(L_V) + \max_{(p,x,y) \in \Pi_{pxy}(L_V)} \dim(\Pi_{pxy}^{-1}(p, x, y) \cap L_V).$$

Observe that $\dim(\Pi_{pxy}(L_V)) \leq (2c - 1) + k$ since $x, y \in N$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}^k$ contribute $2c + k$ degrees of freedom while the condition $\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 = 1$ takes away one. It now suffices to show that

$$\dim(\Pi_{pxy}^{-1}(p, x, y) \cap L_V) \leq n(d - 1)$$

for every $(p, x, y) \in \Pi_{pxy}(L_V)$. To this end, fix $(p, x, y) \in \Pi_{pxy}(L_V)$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim(\Pi_{pxy}^{-1}(p, x, y) \cap L_V) \\ &= \dim \left\{ \{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x]) - \Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [y]) = d([x], [y]) \cdot (Vp)_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\} \\ &\leq n \cdot \max_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq |\pi_0(G)| \\ r \in \mathbb{R}}} \dim \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \Psi_j([z], [x]) - \Psi_j([z], [y]) = r \right\} \\ &\leq n \cdot (d - 1) \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 57. This finishes the proof. \square

6 Local Avoidance at Principal Points

The purpose of this section is to show that $2c + k - 1$ generic templates suffice for a coorbit filter bank to locally avoid a fixed or generic k -dimensional subspace at principal points.

Theorem 58. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with cohomogeneity c . Fix sort indices $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, for a fixed or generic $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and generic $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$ locally avoids $\text{im}(V)$ at every $x \in P(G)$ provided $n \geq 2c - 1 + k$.*

In particular, when $k = 0$ and with n generic templates, the coorbit filter bank is locally lower Lipschitz at every $x \in P(G)$ provided $n \geq 2c - 1$.

We also settle Problem 18 for the case of groups acting freely on the sphere:

Theorem 59. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with cohomogeneity c . If the action of G is free on the sphere, then $n'(G) \leq 2c$.*

In fact, Theorem 58 with fixed V and Theorem 59 follow immediately from the following lemma. The case of generic V in Theorem 58 follows by a similar argument as in Remark 55.

Lemma 60. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with cohomogeneity c . Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote by $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the coorbit filter bank defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$. For $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, consider the failure set*

$$C_V := \{ \{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \Phi \text{ fails to locally avoid } \text{im}(V) \text{ at every } x \in P(G) \}.$$

Then, C_V is semialgebraic and $\dim(C_V) \leq nd - 1 - (n - 2c - k + 1)$.

The rest of this section is dedicated to proving Lemma 60. We need the following lemma which is essential in reducing the proof to the linear algebra of singular value decompositions.

Lemma 61. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact, $x \in P(G)$ and $x_n, y_n \rightarrow x$ with $[x_n] \neq [y_n]$. Then, there exists a nonzero $u \in N_x$ such that*

$$\|u\| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_n - y_n\|}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \in [1, \infty)$$

and such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$ and for each $z \in Q_x^i$, the following convergence holds after taking subsequences

$$\frac{\Psi_i([x_n], [z]) - \Psi_i([y_n], [z])}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \rightarrow \langle v_z^i(x), u \rangle.$$

Proof. The proof is technical and is postponed to Appendix B.2. □

For $n \geq c$ and a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times c}$, we denote by $\sigma_c(X)$ the minimum singular value of X . When $n < c$, we take $\sigma_c(X) := 0$. Then, Lemma 61 immediately yields the following interesting corollary.

Corollary 62. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with cohomogeneity c . Fix sort indices $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote by $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the coorbit filter bank defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$. Then, for $x \in P(G)$, Φ is $\sigma_c(\{\langle v_{z_i}^{p_i}(x), \cdot \rangle\}_{i=1}^n |_{N_x})$ -locally lower Lipschitz at x .*

Proof. Let $x_n, y_n \rightarrow x$ be such that $[x_n] \neq [y_n]$. By Lemma 61, there exists nonzero $u \in N_x$ such that $\|u\| \geq 1$ and

$$Q(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow \|u\| \cdot \left\{ \left\langle v_{z_i}^{p_i}(x), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right\rangle \right\}_{i=1}^n$$

The result now follows by taking norms and using $\|u\| \geq 1$. □

Proof of Lemma 60. Semialgebraicity follows from C_V being a projection of the V section of the semialgebraic set $C_{P(G)}$ defined in Lemma 15. Let $N := N_w$ for some $w \in P(G)$. Then,

$G \cdot N = \mathbb{R}^d$ by Proposition 56. Denote by Q the difference quotient corresponding to Φ and put $P_{\mathbb{S}}(G) := \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \cap P(G)$. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and consider a semialgebraic lift of C_V

$$\begin{aligned} W_V &:= \{(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times (P_{\mathbb{S}}(G) \cap N) : \Phi \text{ does not locally avoid } \text{im}(V) \text{ at } x\} \\ &= \{(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times (P_{\mathbb{S}}(G) \cap N) : \exists p \in \mathbb{R}^k, \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \exists x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ &\quad [x_0] \neq [y_0] \wedge |Q(x_0, y_0) - Vp| < \varepsilon \wedge [x_0], [y_0] \in B_{[x]}(\varepsilon)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that C_V is a projection of W_V on its $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ coordinate.

For $(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times (P_{\mathbb{S}}(G) \cap N)$ with associated Voronoi coorbit realizers denoted by $v_i := v_{z_i}^{p_i}$, define $I_{x, \{z_i\}} := \text{diag}(\{1_{v_i(x) \neq 0}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. By Lemma 47, it is semialgebraic in $(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, x)$. Now, let $\mathbb{I} := \{I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : I = \text{diag}\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \{0, 1\}_{i=1}^n\}$. We have a partition $W_V = \bigcup_{I \in \mathbb{I}} W_V^I$ where

$$W_V^I := \{(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \times (P_{\mathbb{S}}(G) \cap N) : I_{x, \{z_i\}} = I \text{ and } \Phi \text{ does not locally avoid } \text{im}(V) \text{ at } x\}.$$

To the end of bounding $\dim(C_V) \leq \dim(W_V) = \max_{I \in \mathbb{I}} \dim(W_V^I)$, we fix a dimension maximizing $I \in \mathbb{I}$ and proceed with bounding $\dim(W_V^I)$. Without loss of generality, we take $\{j : I_{jj} = 1\} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ for some $m \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Denote by Π_2 the projection of $(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, x) \mapsto x$. By conservation of dimension (Proposition 9(c)),

$$\dim(C_V) \leq \dim(W_V^I) \leq \dim(\Pi_2(W_V^I)) + \max_{x \in \Pi_2(W_V^I)} \dim(\Pi_2^{-1}(x) \cap W_V^I).$$

Observe that $\dim(\Pi_2(W_V^I)) \leq \dim(P_{\mathbb{S}}(G) \cap N) = c - 1$. It now suffices to fix $x \in \Pi_2(W_V^I)$ and show that $\dim(\Pi_2^{-1}(x) \cap W_V^I) \leq nd - 1 - (n - k - c)$.

Let Φ_I denote the coorbit filter bank corresponding to templates $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and sort indices $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m$. For $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \Pi_2^{-1}(x) \cap W_V^I$ and by definition of $I \in \mathbb{I}$, we have that $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \prod_{i=1}^m Q_x^{p_i}$ and $\{z_i\}_{i=m+1}^n \in \prod_{i=m+1}^n (Q_x^{p_i})^c$. Next, let $V_m \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ denote the truncation of V that only keeps its first m rows. By Lemma 61, we have

$$\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in E := \left\{ \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \prod_{i=1}^m Q_x^{p_i} : \text{im}(\{\langle v_i(x), \cdot \rangle|_{N_x \setminus \{0\}}\}_{i=1}^m) \cap \text{im}(V_m) \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Note that E is semialgebraic. We obtain

$$\Pi_2^{-1}(x) \cap W_V^I \subseteq E \times \prod_{i=m+1}^n (Q_x^{p_i})^c.$$

Since $\dim(\prod_{i=m+1}^n (Q_x^{p_i})^c) \leq (n - m)(d - 1)$, we get

$$\dim(\Pi_2^{-1}(x) \cap W_V^I) \leq \dim(E) + (n - m)(d - 1).$$

It now suffices to show that $\dim(E) \leq md - 1 - (m - k - c)$. We lift E to

$$\begin{aligned} E_L &:= \left\{ (\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{v_i\}_{i=1}^m) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^m \times \prod_{i=1}^m V_x^{p_i} : \text{im}(\{\langle v_i, \cdot \rangle|_{N_x \setminus \{0\}}\}_{i=1}^m) \cap \text{im}(V_m) \neq \emptyset \right. \\ &\quad \left. \wedge \exists \{g_i\}_{i=1}^m \in G^m, z_i = g_i v_i \ \forall i \in [m] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let π_1 and π_2 denote projections on the $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ coordinates respectively. Then, $E = \pi_1(E_L)$ and for any $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \pi_2(E_L)$, we have $\dim(\pi_2^{-1}(\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m)) \leq m(d-c)$ since each z_i has at most $d-c$ degrees of freedom in the fiber. By conservation of dimension (Proposition 9(c)), it suffices to show that $\dim(\pi_2(E_L)) \leq mc - 1 - (m-k-c)$. Since $V_x^{p_i} \subseteq N_x$, we have

$$\pi_2(E_L) \subseteq F := \left\{ \{v_i\}_{i=1}^m \in N_x^m : \text{im}(\{\langle v_i, \cdot \rangle\}_{i=1}^m |_{N_x \setminus \{0\}}) \cap \text{im}(V_m) \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

where we note that F is semialgebraic. By identifying N_x with \mathbb{R}^c , we lift to the space of singular value decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} F_L := & \left\{ (\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m, U, \Sigma, W) \in (\mathbb{R}^c)^m \times \mathbb{R}^{c \times (c-1)} \times D_{\geq 0}^{(c-1) \times (c-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times (c-1)} : \right. \\ & U^T U = \text{Id}_{c-1} \wedge W^T W = \text{Id}_{c-1} \wedge \{\langle v_i, \cdot \rangle\}_{i=1}^m |_{\mathbb{R}^c} = W \Sigma U^T \} \\ \cup & \left\{ (\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m, U, \Sigma, W) \in (\mathbb{R}^c)^m \times \mathbb{R}^{c \times c} \times D_{\geq 0}^{c \times c} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times c} : U^T U = \text{Id}_c \wedge W^T W = \text{Id}_c \right. \\ & \left. \wedge (\exists v \in \text{im}(V_m), \exists O \in O(c), v \text{ is a column of } WO) \wedge \{\langle v_i, \cdot \rangle\}_{i=1}^m |_{\mathbb{R}^c} = W \Sigma U^T \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

where $D_{\geq 0}^{c \times c}$ is the space of diagonal matrices with nonnegative entries. We note that F_L is semialgebraic and F is the projection of F_L onto the first component $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$. Let π_σ denote the projection onto the other three components (U, Σ, W) . Then, the fibers of π_σ are singleton. Hence, by conservation of dimension (Proposition 9(c)), it suffices to show that $\dim(\pi_\sigma(F_L)) \leq mc - 1 - (m-k-c)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\sigma(F_L) := & \left\{ (U, \Sigma, W) \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times (c-1)} \times D_{\geq 0}^{(c-1) \times (c-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times (c-1)} : U^T U = \text{Id}_{c-1} \wedge W^T W = \text{Id}_{c-1} \right\} \\ \cup & \left\{ (U, \Sigma, W) \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times c} \times D_{\geq 0}^{c \times c} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times c} : U^T U = \text{Id}_c \wedge W^T W = \text{Id}_c \right. \\ & \left. \wedge \exists v \in \text{im}(V_m), \exists O \in O(c), v \text{ is a column of } WO \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We count dimensions. For the first set and by orthonormality constraints, it holds that Σ has c degrees of freedom, U has $c(c-1) - c - c(c-1)/2$ degrees of freedom and W has $m(c-1) - (c-1) - (c-1)(c-2)/2$ degrees of freedom. The total degrees of freedom are $mc - 1 - (m-c)$. For the second set, if some $v \in \text{im}(V_m)$ is a column of WO , then Σ has c degrees of freedom and U has $c^2 - c - c(c-1)/2$ degrees of freedom. With $O \in O(c)$ fixed, WO has $m(c-1) + k - c - c(c-1)/2$ degrees of freedom. These degrees of freedom also account for a right action of $O(c-1)$ which keeps v as a column of WO . Then, W may only get an additional $\dim(O(c)/O(c-1)) = c-1$ degrees of freedom. By summing up, the dimension is bounded by $mc - 1 - (m-k-c)$ and the result follows. \square

In fact, from the proof above, we have

Corollary 63. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with cohomogeneity c . Fix sort indices $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\sigma_c(\{\langle v_{z_i}^{p_i}(x), \cdot \rangle\}_{i=1}^n |_{N_x}) > 0$$

for every $x \in P(G)$ provided $n \geq 2c - 1$.

7 Strong Avoidance Reduction to Full Groups

Definition 64. For a compact subgroup $G \leq O(d)$, we denote

$$F_G := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : G_x = G\}.$$

We say that the action of G is **full** if $F_G = \{0\}$.

Note that F_G^\perp is the largest invariant subspace over which the restriction of G is full. In this section, we show how strong avoidance for a group G reduces to its action on F_G^\perp :

Theorem 65. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact. Let $F := F_G$ and denote by $G_{F^\perp} \leq O(F^\perp)$ the restriction of G to F^\perp . Then, $n_k(G) \leq n_{k+\dim(F)}(G_{F^\perp})$ and $n'(G) \leq n'(G_{F^\perp}) + \dim(F)$.*

Once we show the following lemma, the proof of Theorem 65 follows:

Lemma 66. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact and $F := F_G$, and denote by $G_{F^\perp} \leq O(F^\perp)$ the restriction of G to F^\perp . Then, for $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq k + \dim(F)$, it holds that*

$$v_k^m(G) \geq \min\{m - k - \dim(F) + 1, v_{k+\dim(F)}^m(G_{F^\perp})\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 65. Denote $f := \dim(F)$. First, we tackle the assertion $n'(G) \leq n'(G_{F^\perp}) + f$. By definition of $n'(G_{F^\perp})$,

$$v_{k+f}^m(G_{F^\perp}) \geq m - k - f - n'(G_{F^\perp}) + 1.$$

By Lemma 66 and with $m \geq k + f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_k^m(G) &\geq \min\{m - k - f + 1, v_{k+f}^m(G_{F^\perp})\} \\ &\geq m - k - f + 1 + \min\{0, v_{k+f}^m(G_{F^\perp}) - m + k + f - 1\} \\ &\geq m - k - f + 1 + \min\{0, -n'(G_{F^\perp})\} \\ &\geq m - k - f + 1 - n'(G_{F^\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

The inequality still holds when $m < k + f$ since $v_k^m(G) \geq 0$ and $n'(G_{F^\perp}) \geq 1$. Then, the assertion $n'(G) \leq n'(G_{F^\perp}) + f$ follows by definition of $n'(G)$.

Next, we tackle the assertion $n_k(G) \leq n_{k+f}(G_{F^\perp})$. By Remark 17, recall that $n_{k+f}(G_{F^\perp}) > k + f$. Then, for $m \geq n_{k+f}(G_{F^\perp}) > k + f$, Lemma 66 entails that $v_k^m(G) \geq \min\{m - k - f + 1, v_{k+f}^m(G_{F^\perp})\} > 0$. By definition of $n_k(G)$, it follows that $n_k(G) \leq n_{k+f}(G_{F^\perp})$ as desired. \square

The rest of this section is dedicated to proving Lemma 66. First, we need to unpack how the coorbit map and the quotient distance interact with the decomposition $F_G \oplus F_G^\perp$.

Lemma 67. *Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and a sort index $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Let $F := F_G$. Denote by P_F and P_F^\perp the orthogonal projections of \mathbb{R}^d onto F and F^\perp respectively. Then, for $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it holds that*

$$\Psi_i([z], [y]) = \Psi_i([P_F^\perp z], [P_F^\perp y]) + \langle P_F z, P_F y \rangle$$

and

$$d([z], [y])^2 = d([P_F^\perp z], [P_F^\perp y])^2 + \|P_F z - P_F y\|^2.$$

Proof. Note that by the G -invariant orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{R}^d = F \oplus_G F^\perp$, we have $\text{Id} = P_F + P_F^\perp$, $P_F^\perp g = g P_F^\perp$ and $P_F g = g P_F = P_F$ for any $g \in G$. The first equality is by orthogonal decomposition and the second equality follows from the following computation

$$P_F^\perp g = P_F^\perp g (P_F + P_F^\perp) = P_F^\perp g P_F + P_F^\perp g P_F^\perp = g P_F^\perp.$$

The last step follows from the G -invariance of F and F^\perp . The third equality $P_F g = g P_F = P_F$ follows by a similar computation and the observation that g fixes F .

Then, for any $K \in \pi_0(G)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}([z]_0, [y]_0, K) = \sup_{k \in K} \langle kz, y \rangle = \langle P_F z, P_F y \rangle + \sup_{k \in K} \langle k P_F^\perp z, P_F^\perp y \rangle = \langle P_F z, P_F y \rangle + \mathcal{C}([P_F^\perp z]_0, [P_F^\perp y]_0, K)$$

so that the first assertion follows by sorting. For the second assertion and by the Pythagorean Theorem, it holds that

$$\|gz - y\|^2 = \|P_F^\perp(gz - y)\|^2 + \|P_F(gz - y)\|^2 = \|g P_F^\perp z - P_F^\perp y\|^2 + \|P_F z - P_F y\|^2.$$

The assertion then follows by taking the minimum over $g \in G$ on both sides. \square

Proof of Lemma 66. Fix arbitrary templates $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^m$ and arbitrary sort indices $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m$. Denote the corresponding coorbit filter map by Φ and the corresponding difference quotient by Q . Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$. Suppose that Φ fails to strongly avoid $\text{im}(V)$. Then, there exists sequences $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ such that $[x_n] \neq [y_n]$, $d([x_n], [y_n]) = \|x_n - y_n\|$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_n, y_n) \in \text{im}(V)$. By Lemma 67, the following holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x_n]) - \Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [y_n])}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \\ &= \frac{d([P_F^\perp x_n], [P_F^\perp y_n])}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \cdot \frac{\Psi_{p_i}([P_F^\perp z_i], [P_F^\perp x_n]) - \Psi_{p_i}([P_F^\perp z_i], [P_F^\perp y_n])}{d([P_F^\perp x_n], [P_F^\perp y_n])} \\ & \quad + \frac{\|P_F x_n - P_F y_n\|}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \cdot \left\langle P_F z_i, \frac{P_F x_n - P_F y_n}{\|P_F x_n - P_F y_n\|} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we define $\frac{0}{0} = \frac{0}{\|0\|} = 0$. Set $w_{1,n} := \frac{d([P_F^\perp x_n], [P_F^\perp y_n])}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \geq 0$ and $w_{2,n} := \frac{\|P_F x_n - P_F y_n\|}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \geq 0$ and $u_n := \frac{P_F x_n - P_F y_n}{\|P_F x_n - P_F y_n\|} \in F$. Denote by Φ_{F^\perp} the coorbit filter bank with group $G_{F^\perp} \leq O(F^\perp)$, templates $\{P_F^\perp z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and sort indices $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m$, and denote by Q_{F^\perp} the corresponding difference quotient. Then, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, it holds that

$$Q(x_n, y_n) = w_{1,n} \cdot Q_{F^\perp}(P_F^\perp x_n, P_F^\perp y_n) + w_{2,n} \cdot \langle P_F z_i, u_n \rangle \quad (8)$$

where we take $Q_{F^\perp}(P_F^\perp x_n, P_F^\perp y_n) := 0$ if $w_{1,n} = 0$. By Lemma 67, we have $w_{1,n}^2 + w_{2,n}^2 = 1$. Then, take a subsequence such that $w_{j,n} \rightarrow w_j$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $u_n \rightarrow u \in F$. There are three cases of interest

- (i) If $w_1 = 0$, then $w_2 = 1$, $u \in F \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_n, y_n) = \langle P_F z_i, u \rangle \in \text{im}(V)$. As such, $\text{im}(\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle\}_{F \setminus \{0\}}_{i=1}^m) \cap \text{im}(V) \neq \emptyset$.

- (ii) If $w_2 = 0$, then $w_1 = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{F^\perp}(P_F^\perp x_n, P_F^\perp y_n) \in \text{im}(V) \subseteq \text{im}(V) + \text{im}(\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_F\}_{i=1}^m)$, so Q_{F^\perp} fails to strongly avoid $\text{im}(V) + \text{im}(\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_F\}_{i=1}^m)$.
- (iii) If $w_1, w_2 > 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{F^\perp}(P_F^\perp x_n, P_F^\perp y_n) = \frac{1}{w_1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_n, y_n) + \langle P_F z_i, -\frac{w_2}{w_1} u \rangle \in \text{im}(V) + \text{im}(\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_F\}_{i=1}^m)$. Again, Q_{F^\perp} fails to strongly avoid $\text{im}(V) + \text{im}(\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_F\}_{i=1}^m)$.

We obtain that $N_V^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m} \subseteq A_1 \cup A_2$ where

$$\begin{aligned} N_V^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m} &:= \{ \{ \langle P_F z_i, P_F^\perp z_i \rangle \}_{i=1}^m \in (F \times F^\perp)^m \mid \Phi \text{ fails to strongly avoid } \text{im}(V) \}, \\ A_1 &:= \{ \{ \langle P_F z_i, P_F^\perp z_i \rangle \}_{i=1}^m \in (F \times F^\perp)^m \mid \text{im}(\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_{F \setminus \{0\}}\}_{i=1}^m) \cap \text{im}(V) \neq \emptyset \}, \\ A_2 &:= \{ \{ \langle P_F z_i, P_F^\perp z_i \rangle \}_{i=1}^m \in (F \times F^\perp)^m \mid Q_{F^\perp} \text{ fails to strongly avoid } \text{im}(V) + \text{im}(\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_F\}_{i=1}^m) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that A_1 and A_2 are semialgebraic. Denote $f := \dim(F)$. We bound dimensions of A_1 and A_2 using conservation of dimension (Proposition 9(c)). For A_1 , $\{P_F^\perp z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ has $m(d-f)$ degrees of freedom. Next, either $\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_{F \setminus \{0\}}\}_{i=1}^m$ does not have rank f or there are at most k degrees of freedom for the witness of intersection $v \in \text{im}(V) \cap \text{im}(\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_{F \setminus \{0\}}\}_{i=1}^m)$. Then, by a singular value decomposition argument as in the proof of Lemma 60, the degrees of freedom of $\{P_F z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are bounded by $mf - 1 - (m - f - k)$. Hence, $\dim(A_1) \leq md - 1 - (m - f - k)$.

For A_2 , $\{P_F z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ has mf degrees of freedom and for each fixed $\{P_F z_i\}_{i=1}^m$, we have $\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_{F \setminus \{0\}}\}_{i=1}^m$ has rank at most f , so there exists $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (k+f)}$ such that $\text{im}(W) = \text{im}(V) + \text{im}(\{\langle P_F z_i, \cdot \rangle|_{F \setminus \{0\}}\}_{i=1}^m)$. Then, by definition of $v_{k+f}^m(G_{F^\perp})$, we get

$$\dim\{ \{ P_F^\perp z_i \}_{i=1}^m \in (F^\perp)^m : \Phi_{F^\perp} \text{ fails to strongly avoid } \text{im}(W) \} \leq m(d-f) - v_{k+f}^m(G_{F^\perp}).$$

By conservation of dimension, we obtain $\dim(A_2) \leq md - v_{k+f}^m(G_{F^\perp})$. Since $N_V^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m} \subseteq A_1 \cup A_2$, it follows that $md - \dim(N_V^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m}) \geq md - \max\{\dim(A_1), \dim(A_2)\} \geq \min\{m - k - f + 1, v_{k+f}^m(G_{F^\perp})\}$. Since V and $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m$ were arbitrary, the result follows. \square

8 Strong Avoidance for Groups with Finite-Index Stabilizers

Definition 68. Fix a compact subgroup $G \leq O(d)$. We say $H \leq G$ has finite index if G/H is a finite set. We say G has a **finite-index stabilizer** at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if G_x has finite index. We say G has **finite-index stabilizers** if G_x has finite index for every $x \in P(G)^c$.

In this section, we investigate the local avoidance behavior at points with finite-index stabilizers (Lemma 73). More importantly, we solve Problem 18 for the case where G has finite-index stabilizers:

Theorem 69. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with finite-index stabilizers and cohomogeneity c . Then, $n'(G) \leq 2c$.*

With Theorem 69 and as in Remark 55, we obtain

Corollary 70. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with finite-index stabilizers and cohomogeneity c . Fix sort indices $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, for a fixed or generic $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and generic $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$ strongly avoids $\text{im}(V)$ provided $n \geq 2c + k$.*

In particular, when $k = 0$, the coorbit filter bank is bi-Lipschitz provided $n \geq 2c$. For the sake of completion, we give a classification for such class of groups:

Theorem 71. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact. Then, G has finite-index stabilizers if and only if G is a finite group or G acts either freely or transitively on the sphere in F_G^\perp . In fact, one of the following cases occurs:*

- (a) G is a finite group.
- (b) G act transitively over the sphere in F_G^\perp .
- (c) $G = S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}^d \cong_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}^l \oplus \mathbb{R}^f$ such that for $g = e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ and $(c, v) \in \mathbb{C}^l \oplus \mathbb{R}^f$, the action is given by $e^{i\theta}(c, v) = (e^{i\theta}c, v)$.
- (d) $G = S^3 \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{R}^d \cong_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}^l \oplus \mathbb{R}^f$ such that for $g = q \in S^3$ and $(c, v) \in \mathbb{H}^l \oplus \mathbb{R}^f$, the action is given by $q(c, v) = (qc, v)$.
- (e) $G = S^1 \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}^d \cong_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbb{C}^2)^l \oplus \mathbb{R}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^f$ where $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S^1)$ is defined by $\varphi(0)$ being the identity and $\varphi(1)$ being conjugation, such that for $g = (e^{i\theta}, j) \in G$ and $(\{(z_{2p-1}, z_{2p})\}_{p=1}^l, v_1, v_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^l \oplus \mathbb{R}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^f$, the action is given by

$$(e^{i\theta}, j) \cdot (\{(z_{2p-1}, z_{2p})\}_{p=1}^l, v_1, v_2) = \begin{cases} (\{(e^{i\theta} z_{2p-1}, e^{i\theta} z_{2p})\}_{p=1}^l, v_1, v_2) & j = 0, \\ (\{(-e^{i\theta} \overline{z_{2p-1}}, e^{i\theta} \overline{z_{2p}})\}_{p=1}^l, -v_1, v_2) & j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is technical and is postponed to Appendix C. □

While the class of groups with finite-index stabilizers is limited, we hope that the induction method we follow in this section informs future developments towards strong avoidance and/or bilipschitz properties for coorbit filter banks.

The rest of this section is dedicated to proving Theorem 69. For $H \leq G$, denote $\text{Fix}(H) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : H = G_x\}$. Then, by Section 7.4 in [27], $\text{Fix}(H)$ is open in the linear space $\overline{\text{Fix}(H)} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : H \subseteq G_x\}$. We need the following corollary of classification

Corollary 72. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with finite-index stabilizers. Then, every nonprincipal stabilizers contains the entire principal conjugacy class (G_P) of G .*

Proof. By Theorem 71, G has finite-index stabilizers if and only if either (1) G is a finite group or (2) G acts freely on the sphere in F_G^\perp or (3) G acts transitively on the sphere in F_G^\perp . In the first case, there are finitely many proper 1-eigenspaces for the finitely many nonidentity elements of G so the principal isotropy group is trivial. In the second case and due to freeness, G also has trivial principal isotropy group. In the third case and due to transitivity, the only nonprincipal stabilizer is G itself. The result follows. □

We also need the following lemma:

Lemma 73. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with cohomogeneity c and identity component G_0 . Suppose $x \in P(G)^c$ and G_x has finite index. Set $F := \text{Fix}(G_x)$. For $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote by $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the coorbit filter bank defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$. Then, for $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, consider the failure set*

$$D_V := \{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \Phi \text{ fails to locally avoid } \text{im}(V) \text{ at every } x \in \text{Fix}(G_x)\}.$$

Then, D_V is semialgebraic and

$$\dim(D_V) \leq nd - 1 - (n - k - 2 \dim(F) + n'(G_x|_{F^\perp})).$$

Proof. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. Semialgebraicity follows from D_V being a projection of the V section of the semialgebraic set D defined in Lemma 15. Set $F := \text{Fix}(G_x)$ and $f := \dim(\overline{F})$. By a lifting technique as in the proofs of Lemmas 54 and 60, we fix a witness of failure $x \in F \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. We note that the space of such witnesses has dimension at most $f - 1$. Then, by conservation of dimension, it suffices to show that $\dim(D_V^x) \leq nd - (n - k - f + n'(G_x|_{F^\perp}))$ where

$$D_V^x := \{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \Phi \text{ fails to locally avoid } \text{im}(V) \text{ at } x\}.$$

By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 60, we may assume that there exists $m \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that after modifying D_V^x , we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{z_i\}_{i=m+1}^n \in \prod_{i=m+1}^n (Q_x^{p_i})^c, \\ \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \prod_{i=1}^m Q_x^{p_i} : \Phi_m \text{ fails to locally avoid } \text{im}(V_m) \text{ at } x\} \end{array} \right.$$

for $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in D_V^x$. Here, V_m denotes a truncation of V to its first m rows and Φ_m denotes the coorbit filter bank corresponding to templates $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and sort indices $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m$. Since G_x has finite index, we have that $[x]$ is finite and so with $l := \text{size}([x])$, there exists h_1, \dots, h_l such that $[x] = \{h_j x\}_{j=1}^l$ and $Q_x^{p_i} = \sqcup_{1 \leq j \leq l} (h_j \cdot V_x^{p_i})$. For each $z_i \in Q_x^{p_i}$, there exists $h_i \in \{h_j\}_{j=1}^l$ such that $h_i z_i \in V_x^{p_i}$. By taking a finite union over the possibilities of h_i and since there are at most $(n - m)(d - 1)$ degrees of freedom contributed by $\{z_i\}_{i=m+1}^n$, it suffices to show that $\dim(D_{V_m}^x) \leq md - (m - k - f + n'(G_x|_{F^\perp}))$ where

$$D_{V_m}^x := \{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \prod_{i=1}^m V_x^{p_i} : \Phi_m \text{ fails to locally avoid } \text{im}(V_m) \text{ at } x\}.$$

Fix arbitrary $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in D_{V_m}^x$ and let Q_m denote the difference quotient corresponding to Φ_m . By definition of $D_{V_m}^x$, there exists sequences $x_j, y_j \rightarrow x$ such that $[x_j] \neq [y_j]$, $d([x_j], [y_j]) = \|x_j - y_j\|$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_m(x_j, y_j) \in \text{im}(V_m)$. By Lemma 34(b) and for large enough j , we have $\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x_j]) = \Psi_{p'_i}^{G_x}([z_i]_{G_x}, [x_j]_{G_x})$ and $\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [y_j]) = \Psi_{p'_i}^{G_x}([z_i]_{G_x}, [y_j]_{G_x})$ where $p'_i = p_i + 1 \pmod{|\pi_0(G_x)| + 1}$. Then, since $d([x_j], [y_j]) = \|x_j - y_j\| = d_{G_x}([x_j]_{G_x}, [y_j]_{G_x})$, the following holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$\frac{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x_j]) - \Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [y_j])}{d([x_j], [y_j])} = \frac{\Psi_{p'_i}^{G_x}([z_i]_{G_x}, [x_j]_{G_x}) - \Psi_{p'_i}^{G_x}([z_i]_{G_x}, [y_j]_{G_x})}{d_{G_x}([x_j]_{G_x}, [y_j]_{G_x})}.$$

We obtain that $D_{V_m}^x$ is a subset of $\{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^m : \Phi_m^{G_x} \text{ fails to strongly avoid } \text{im}(V_m)\}$. By Theorem 65 and the definitions of $v_k^m(G)$ and $n'(G_x)$, it follows that

$$\dim(D_{V_m}^x) \leq md - v_k^m(G) < md - (m - k - n'(G_x)) \leq md - (m - k - f - n'(G_x|_{F^\perp})),$$

as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 69. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Fix $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and consider the coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$. We argue by induction on d . First, the case $d = 1$ is trivial since all nonzero points are principal so the result follows from Lemmas 54 and 60. Assume that for dimensions $l \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$, every compact $G \leq O(l)$ with finite-index stabilizers satisfies $n'(G) \leq 2c$. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, let $x \in P(G)^c$ and denote $F := \overline{\text{Fix}(G_x)}$.

Let $c_{F^\perp}^{G_x}$ be the cohomogeneity of $G_x|_{F^\perp} \leq O(F^\perp)$. We claim that $G_x|_{F^\perp}$ has finite-index stabilizers and $c_{F^\perp}^{G_x} = c - f$. By Corollary 72, G_x contains all of (G_P) . The stabilizer in G_x of $y \in F^\perp$, given by $G_y \cap G_x$, is either in (G_P) or has finite index. As such, G_x has finite-index stabilizers and the dimension of a G_x -principal orbit in F^\perp is given by $(d - f) - c_{F^\perp}^{G_x} = \dim(G_0) - \dim(G_P) = d - c$ where $p \in P(G)$. We get that $c_{F^\perp}^{G_x} = c - f$ and the claim follows.

Hence, by induction $n'(G_x|_{F_x^\perp}) \leq 2c - 2f$. By Lemma 73, we get $\dim(D_V^{G_x}) \leq nd - 1 - (n - k - 2c)$ where

$$D_V^{G_x} := \{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \Phi \text{ fails to locally avoid } \text{im}(V) \text{ at every } x \in \text{Fix}(G_x)\}.$$

Since G has finite-index stabilizers, the set $S := \{H \leq G : \exists x \in P(G)^c, H = G_x\}$ is finite. Hence, by taking a finite union of $D_V^{G_x}$ over S and by combining that with the bounds in Lemmas 54 and 60, we obtain $n'(G) \leq 2c$ as desired. \square

9 The Component Voronoi Characteristic and Connected Reduction

In this section, we mimic and generalize the Voronoi characteristic developments in Section 3 of [29]. The main goal is to find quantities $\chi_{\pi_0}^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}(G) \leq \chi_{\pi_0}^T(G) \leq |\pi_0(G)|$ (Definition 83) with which we are able to reduce the problem of strong avoidance to the identity component of the group. When fixed sort indices are considered, we obtain the following reduction:

Theorem 74. *Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and sort indices $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, \pi_0(G)\}$. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. Then, for any generic templates $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the corresponding coorbit filter bank Φ strongly avoids $\text{im}(V)$ provided $n > \chi_{\pi_0}^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}(G) \cdot (n_k(G_0) - 1)$.*

With all sort indices considered, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 75. *Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$. Then, $v_k^n(G) \geq v_k^{\lceil n/\chi_{\pi_0}^T(G) \rceil}(G_0)$ and $n_k(G) \leq \chi_{\pi_0}^T(G)(n_k(G_0) - 1) + 1$.*

The rest of this section aims to set up all the tools necessary to the end of proving Theorems 74 and 75.

Definition 76. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$ and a sort index $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$S^i([x]_0, [y]_0) := \{[q]_0 \in \pi_0([y]) : V_{[q]_0}^i \cap V_{[x]_0}^i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

In words, the components of $[x]$ and $[y]$ decompose \mathbb{R}^d into component Voronoi cells in different ways, and $S^i([x]_0, [y]_0)$ captures which closures of component Voronoi cells corresponding to $[y]_0$ are needed to cover $\overline{V_{[x]_0}^i}$. This is captured in part (b) of the following lemma:

Lemma 77. Fix compact $G \leq O(d)$, sort index $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $T \subseteq \pi_0([y])$. Consider the statements:

(a) $T \supseteq S^i([x]_0, [y]_0)$.

(b) $\bigcup_{[p]_0 \in T} \overline{V_{[p]_0}^i} \supseteq \overline{V_{[x]_0}^i}$.

(c) For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $[v]_0 \in \pi_0([z])$ such that $\Psi_i([z], [x]) = \mathcal{C}([v]_0, [x]_0, G_0)$ and

$$T \cap \{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([y]) : \Psi_i([z], [y]) = \mathcal{C}([v]_0, [p]_0, G_0)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c), and furthermore, (c) \Rightarrow (b) holds if $i = 1$ and $x \in P_{\pi_0}(G)$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Select $q \in \pi_0([y]) \setminus T$. Since $S^i([x]_0, [y]_0) \subseteq T$, it follows that $V_{[q]_0}^i \cap V_{[x]_0}^i = \emptyset$. Thus, $(V_{[x]_0}^i)^c \supseteq V_{[q]_0}^i$, and since $(V_{[x]_0}^i)^c$ is closed (Lemma 38(d)), we get $(V_{[x]_0}^i)^c \supseteq \overline{V_{[q]_0}^i}$, meaning $\overline{V_{[q]_0}^i} \cap V_{[x]_0}^i = \emptyset$. As such,

$$V_{[x]_0}^i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \left(\bigcup_{[q]_0 \in \pi_0([y]) \setminus T} \overline{V_{[q]_0}^i} \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{[p]_0 \in T} \overline{V_{[p]_0}^i}.$$

The result now follows from the fact that the right-hand side is closed.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Select $[q]_0 \in \pi_0([y]) \setminus T$. Then our assumption on T implies

$$V_{[x]_0}^i \subseteq \overline{V_{[x]_0}^i} \subseteq \bigcup_{[p]_0 \in T} \overline{V_{[p]_0}^i} \subseteq \bigcup_{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([y]) \setminus \{[q]_0\}} \overline{V_{[p]_0}^i} \subseteq (V_{[q]_0}^i)^c.$$

As such, $V_{[q]_0}^i \cap V_{[x]_0}^i = \emptyset$, and so $[q]_0 \notin S^i([x]_0, [y]_0)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). By Lemma 38, take $[v]_0 \in \pi_0([z])$ such that $\Psi_i([z], [x]) = \mathcal{C}([v]_0, [x]_0, G_0)$ and $v \in V_{[x]_0}^i$. By assumption, there exists $[w]_0 \in T$ such that $v \in V_{[w]_0}^i$ so that by Lemma 38, $[w]_0 \in \{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([y]) : \Psi_i([z], [y]) = \mathcal{C}([v]_0, [p]_0, G_0)\}$. Then, $[w]_0$ witnesses the desired nonemptiness.

(c) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose $x \in P_{\pi_0}(G)$ and $[z]_0 \in V_{[x]_0}^1$. Then, Lemma 39 gives that $[x]_0 \in V_{[z]_0}^1$. By definition, it follows that $\{[z]_0\} = \arg \max_{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([z])} \langle\langle [x]_0, [p]_0 \rangle\rangle_{G_0}$. By assumption, there exists $[v]_0 \in \{[z]_0\}$ such that $T \cap \arg \max_{[q]_0 \in \pi_0([y])} \langle\langle [q]_0, [v]_0 \rangle\rangle_{G_0} \neq \emptyset$. That is, by Lemma 38, there exists $[p]_0 \in T$ such that $[z]_0 \in \overline{V_{[p]_0}^1}$. This shows that $V_{[x]_0}^1 \subseteq \bigcup_{p \in T} \overline{V_{[p]_0}^1}$, and so we are done by taking closures. \square

Definition 78. Define the auxiliary set $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_0}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, G) := P_{\pi_0}(G) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_{[z_i]_0}^{p_i}$.

In the following corollary, (a) follows from Corollary 41 while part (b) follows from Lemma 77.

Corollary 79. Fix compact $G \leq O(d)$, $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, $x \in \mathcal{O}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, G)$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(a) For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the set $\{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([z_i]_0) : \Psi_{p_i}([z], [x]) = \mathcal{C}([p]_0, [x]_0, G_0)\}$ consists of a single element $v_i([x]_0)$.

(b) There is a nonempty set $\mathcal{F}([x]_0, [y]_0)$ of choice functions $f: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \pi_0([y])$ such that

$$f(i) \in S^{p_i}([x]_0, [y]_0) \cap \{[p]_0 \in \pi_0([y]) : \Psi_{p_i}([z], [y]) = \mathcal{C}([v_i(x)]_0, [p]_0, G_0)\} \neq \emptyset$$

In words, $[z_i]_0$ is realized as $v_i([x]_0)$ with respect to $[x]_0$ while $[y]_0$ is realized as $f(i) \in S^{p_i}([x]_0, [y]_0)$ with respect to $v_i([x]_0)$. The importance of what we have introduced thus far will shine once we show how it interacts with the following quantitative interpretation of strong avoidance:

Definition 80. Given compact $G \leq O(d)$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, the **optimal strong avoidance bound** for the corresponding coorbit filter bank Φ is denoted by

$$\sigma_{\min}^G(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V) := \inf_{\substack{[x], [y] \in \mathbb{R}^d/G \\ [x] \neq [y]}} d\left(\frac{\Phi([x]) - \Phi([y])}{d_G([x], [y])}, \text{im}(V)\right).$$

When $p_i \equiv 1$, we shorten the notation to $\sigma_{\min}^G(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, V)$. When $n = 0$, we take $\sigma_{\min}^G(\emptyset, V) := 0$. Moreover, for $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and $I \subseteq [n]$, we denote by $V_I \in \mathbb{R}^{|I| \times k}$ the truncation of V which keeps the rows corresponding to indices in I .

Remark 81. Φ strongly avoids $\text{im}(V)$ if and only if $\sigma_{\min}^G(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V) > 0$.

The following theorem shows how we can leverage all that we have introduced thus far to reduce a coorbit filter bank with a nonconnected groups into a max filter bank with the identity component of said group, by passing through the buckets supplied by $S^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}([x]_0, [y]_0)$ defined below:

Theorem 82. Given compact $G \leq O(d)$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, put

$$S^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}([x]_0, [y]_0) := \bigcup_{i=1}^n S^{p_i}([x]_0, [y]_0)$$

and put

$$\alpha(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G) := \inf_{x, y \in \mathcal{O}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, G)} \max_{f \in \mathcal{F}(x, y)} \left(\sum_{w \in S^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}([x]_0, [y]_0)} \sigma_{\min}^{G_0}(\{v_i(x)\}_{i \in f^{-1}(w)}, V_{f^{-1}(w)})^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where $v_i(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ are defined as in Corollary 79. The coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi([x]) := \{\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x])\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies

$$\sigma_{\min}^G(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V) \geq \alpha(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$\left\| \frac{\Phi([x]) - \Phi([y])}{d([x], [y])} - v \right\| \geq \alpha(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G)$$

for all $v \in \text{im}(V)$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{O}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, G)$ with $[x] \neq [y]$; this follows from the continuity of the left-hand side and the density of $\mathcal{O}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, G)$ in \mathbb{R}^d . As such, we fix $v \in \text{im}(V)$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{O}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, G)$ with $[x] \neq [y]$, and we select $f \in \mathcal{F}(x, y)$ that maximizes

$$\sum_{[w]_0 \in S^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}([x]_0, [y]_0)} \sigma_{\min}^{G_0}(\{v_i(x)\}_{i \in f^{-1}(w)}, V_{f^{-1}(w)})^2.$$

By Corollary 79, we have $\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [x]) = \langle v_i([x]_0), [x]_0 \rangle_{G_0}$ and $\Psi_{p_i}([z_i], [y]) = \langle v_i([x]_0), f(i) \rangle_{G_0}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi([x]) - \Phi([y]) - d([x], [y])v\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\langle v_i([x]_0), [x]_0 \rangle_{G_0} - \langle v_i([x]_0), f(i) \rangle_{G_0} - d([x], [y])v_i)^2 \\ &= \sum_{[w]_0 \in S^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}([x]_0, [y]_0)} \sum_{i \in f^{-1}(w)} (\langle v_i([x]_0), [x]_0 \rangle_{G_0} - \langle v_i([x]_0), [w]_0 \rangle_{G_0} - d([x], [y])v_i)^2 \\ &= \sum_{[w]_0 \in S^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}([x]_0, [y]_0)} \sum_{i \in f^{-1}(w)} \left(\frac{\langle v_i([x]_0), [x]_0 \rangle_{G_0} - \langle v_i([x]_0), [w]_0 \rangle_{G_0}}{d_{G_0}([x]_0, [w]_0)} - \frac{d([x], [y])}{d_{G_0}([x]_0, [w]_0)} v_i \right)^2 \cdot d_{G_0}([x]_0, [w]_0)^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{[w]_0 \in S^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}([x]_0, [y]_0)} \sigma_{\min}^{G_0}(\{v_i(x)\}_{i \in f^{-1}(w)}, V_{f^{-1}(w)})^2 \cdot d_{G_0}([x]_0, [w]_0)^2 \\ &\geq \alpha(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G)^2 \cdot d([x], [y])^2, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Next, we pass through the worst-case scenario

Definition 83. Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact and let $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. The **component Voronoi characteristic** of G corresponding to $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is given by

$$\chi_{\pi_0}^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}(G) := \max_{x, y \in P_{\pi_0}(G)} |S^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}([x]_0, [y]_0)|,$$

where $P_{\pi_0}(G)$ and $S^i([x]_0, [y]_0)$ are defined in Definitions 24 and 76. The **total Voronoi characteristic** is defined by

$$\chi_{\pi_0}^T(G) := \chi_{\pi_0}^{\{i\}_{i=1}^{|\pi_0(G)|}}(G).$$

In addition, given $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$, we define

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G) := \min_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I| \geq n/\chi_{\pi_0}^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}(G)}} \min_{\{K_i\}_{i \in I} \in (\pi_0(G))^I} \sigma_{\min}^{G_0}(\{K_i z_i\}_{i \in I}, V_I).$$

Remark 84. $\chi_{\pi_0}^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}(G) \leq \chi_{\pi_0}^T(G) \leq |\pi_0(G)|$ and by the pigeonhole principle, $\tilde{\alpha}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G) \leq \alpha(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G)$.

Proof of Theorems 74 and 75. Fix sort indices $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. For $z_1, \dots, z_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let Φ denote the corresponding coorbit filter bank, and let $\Phi_0: \mathbb{R}^d/G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ denote the max filter bank defined by $\Phi_0([x]_0) := \{\langle [z_i]_0, [x]_0 \rangle_{G_0}\}_{i=1}^m$. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. Consider the semialgebraic sets

$$M_V := \{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^m : \Phi_0 \text{ fails to strongly avoid } \text{im}(V)\}$$

and

$$N_V := \{\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \Phi \text{ fails to strongly avoid } \text{im}(V)\}.$$

It suffices to show that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$nd - \dim(N_V) \geq \min_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I| \geq n/\chi_{\pi_0}^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}(G)}} |I|d - \dim(M_{V_I}) \geq \min_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I| \geq n/\chi_{\pi_0}^T(G)}} |I|d - \dim(M_{V_I}).$$

Fix arbitrary $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n$. Let $I \subseteq [n]$ and $\{K_i\}_{i \in I} \in (\pi_0(G))^I$ be such that $|I| \geq n/\chi_{\pi_0}^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}(G)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G) = \sigma_{\min}^{G_0}(\{K_i z_i\}_{i \in I}, V_I)$. Then, by Theorem 82 and Remark 84, we get

$$\sigma_{\min}^G(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V) \geq \alpha(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G) \geq \tilde{\alpha}(\{(z_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^n, V, G) = \sigma_{\min}^{G_0}(\{K_i z_i\}_{i \in I}, V_I).$$

As such, if $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in N_V$, then $\{K_i z_i\}_{i \in I} \in M_{V_I}$ and so $\{z_i\}_{i \in I} \in (K_i^{-1})_{i \in I} \cdot M_{V_I}$. It follows that

$$N_V \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I| \geq n/\chi_{\pi_0}^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n}(G)}} \bigcup_{\{K_i\}_{i \in I} \in (\pi_0(G))^I} \left\{ \{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \{z_i\}_{i \in I} \in \{K_i^{-1}\}_{i \in I} \cdot M_{V_I} \right\}.$$

The union is finite and so we bound $\dim(\{K_i^{-1}\}_{i \in I} \cdot M_{V_I})$ for fixed I and $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$. By G_0 -invariance of M_{V_I} and for any $k_i \in G$ such that $G_0 k_i = K_i$, we have $\dim(\{K_i^{-1}\}_{i \in I} \cdot M_{V_I}) = \dim((k_i^{-1})_{i \in I} \cdot M_{V_I}) = \dim(M_{V_I})$ where the last step follows since the left action of $(k_i^{-1})_{i \in I}$ is an isometry of $(\mathbb{R}^d)^I$. Then, $\dim(N_V) \leq (n - |I|)d + \dim(M_{V_I})$ and so

$$\dim(N_V) \leq \max_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I| \geq n/\chi_{\pi_0}^T(G)}} (n - |I|)d + \dim(M_{V_I}).$$

The result now follows by rearrangement. \square

Remark 85. *The action of G on \mathbb{R}^d induces an action of $\pi_0(G)$ on \mathbb{R}^d/G given by metric space isometries. We label the orbit of $[x]_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d/G$ under $\pi_0(G)$ by $\pi_0([x])$. The Voronoi decomposition $V_{[x]_0}^i$ in \mathbb{R}^d descends into a Voronoi decomposition of \mathbb{R}^d/G_0 compatible with the action of $\pi_0(G)$ where the coorbit map is thought of through sorting quotient distances in ascending top-to-bottom order i.e. smallest goes first.*

10 Minimal Reduction for Generic Max Filtering Avoidance

In this section, we show that the problem of strong avoidance for max filtering with a group G is equivalent to the same problem when G is replaced by its minimal reduction:

Proposition 86 (Section 1.2 in [19]). *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact. Then, there exists $H \leq O(p)$ such that H has trivial principal isotropy and \mathbb{R}^d/G is isometric to \mathbb{R}^p/H .*

Definition 87. When H has minimal dimension in Proposition 86, we call H a **minimal reduction** of G .

The main results are stated in the following lemma and corollary. The following lemma shows that max filtering is an orbit space isometry invariant

Lemma 88. *For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, suppose $G_j \leq O(d_j)$ are compact. Suppose there exists an isometry $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{d_1}/G_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_2}/G_2$. Let $n \geq 1$, $k \geq 0$ and fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. For $[z_1], \dots, [z_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{d_j}/G_j$ and G -invariant semialgebraic sets Y_j , consider the following statements:*

- $P_j([Y_j], \{[z_i]\}_{i=1}^n)$: The max filter bank $\Phi_{G_j}: \mathbb{R}^{d_j}/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi_{G_j}([x]) := \{\langle [x], [z_i] \rangle_{G_j}\}_{i=1}^n$ locally avoids $\text{im}(V)$ at every $[y] \in [Y_j]$.
- $W_j(\{[z_i]\}_{i=1}^n)$: The max filter bank $\Phi_{G_j}: \mathbb{R}^{d_j}/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\Phi_{G_j}([x]) := \{\langle [x], [z_i] \rangle_{G_j}\}_{i=1}^n$ weakly avoids $\text{im}(V)$.

Then,

- (a) $P_1([Y_1], \{[z_i]\}_{i=1}^n) \iff P_2(\psi([Y_1]), \{\psi([z_i])\}_{i=1}^n)$.
- (b) $W_1(\{[z_i]\}_{i=1}^n) \iff W_2(\{\psi([z_i])\}_{i=1}^n)$.

The following corollary shows that genericity of strong avoidance of max filtering is invariant to orbit space isometry:

Corollary 89. *In addition to the notation and assumptions in Lemma 88, suppose that $\psi([Y_1]) = [Y_2]$. Then, $P_1([Y_1], \{[z_i]\}_{i=1}^n)$ (resp. $W_1(\{[z_i]\}_{i=1}^n)$) holds for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ if and only if $P_2([Y_2], \{[z_i]\}_{i=1}^n)$ (resp. $W_2(\{[z_i]\}_{i=1}^n)$) holds for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$.*

Before providing the proofs, we need

Lemma 90. *For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, fix $G_j \leq O(d_j)$ and suppose that there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{d_1}/G_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_2}/G_2$. Let $S_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_j}$ be semialgebraic and G_j -invariant. If $[S_2] = \text{im}(\varphi|_{[S_1]})$, then $\dim(S_1) < d_1$ if and only if $\dim(S_2) < d_2$.*

Proof. By semialgebraicity and invariance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(S_j) &= d_j \\ \iff S_j &\text{ contains an open set} \\ \iff [S_j] &\text{ contains an open set.} \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from continuity of φ and φ^{-1} . □

Proof of Lemma 88. For $w \in \mathbb{R}^{d_j}$, denote the orbit of w by $[w]_j$. By Section 5.1 in [19], we may assume $\psi([0]_1) = [0]_2$. By Proposition 29(a), we have for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle\langle [x]_1, [y]_1 \rangle\rangle &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - d^2([x]_1, [y]_1) \\ &= d^2([x]_1, [0]_1) + d^2([y]_1, [0]_1) - d^2([x]_1, [y]_1) \\ &= d^2(\psi([x]_1), [0]_2) + d^2(\psi([y]_1), [0]_2) - d^2(\psi([x]_1), \psi([y]_1)) \\ &= 2\langle\langle \psi([x]_1), \psi([y]_1) \rangle\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As such, max filtering is ψ -invariant and the result follows. \square

Proof of Corollary 89. By Lemma 15, the sets $C_{Y_j} := \{ \{ [z_i] \}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d_j})^n : P_j([Y_j], \{ [z_i] \}_{i=1}^n) \}$ are semialgebraic. The result now follows by applying Lemma 90 to the component-wise actions of G_j^n over $(\mathbb{R}^{d_j})^n$ with

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &:= \{ \{ [z_i] \}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d_1}/G_1)^n : P_j([Y_1], \{ [z_i] \}_{i=1}^n) \}, \\ S_2 &:= \{ \{ [z_i] \}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^{d_2}/G_2)^n : P_j([Y_2], \{ [z_i] \}_{i=1}^n) \}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\varphi: (\mathbb{R}^{d_1})^n/G_1^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{d_2})^n/G_2^n$ is the n -fold product of ψ . A similar argument follows for $W_j(\{ [z_i] \}_{i=1}^n)$. \square

11 Max Filtering Local Avoidance at Regular Orbits

Definition 91. Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with cohomogeneity c . The set of **regular points** is defined by

$$R(G) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \dim([x]) = d - c \}.$$

Equivalently, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for a principal isotropy group $G_p \leq G_x$, we have $x \in R(G)$ if and only if $\dim(G_x/G_p) = 0$.

In this section, we leverage minimal reduction (Definition 87) to show that with enough templates, max filtering locally avoids a fixed subspace at every regular point. In the case of coorbit filter banks, we refer to Section 9 for a reduction to max filter banks.

Theorem 92. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact and let $H \leq O(p)$ be a minimal reduction of G . Let $\chi_H := \max_{x \in R(H)} |H_x|$. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. Then, for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the corresponding max filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $\Phi([x]) = \{ \langle\langle [x], [z_i] \rangle\rangle \}_{i=1}^n$ locally avoids $\text{im}(V)$ at every $x \in R(G)$ provided $n > \chi_H(2c + k - 2)$.*

The proof of Theorem 92 is almost immediate once we establish the following key lemma:

Lemma 93. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with trivial principal isotropy group. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and put $\chi := \max_{x \in R(G)} |G_x|$. For $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote the corresponding max filter bank by $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, the set*

$$R_V := \{ \{ [z_i] \}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \Phi \text{ fails to locally avoid } \text{im}(V) \text{ at every } x \in R(G) \}$$

is semialgebraic and it holds that

$$\dim(R_V) \leq nd - 1 - \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{\chi} \right\rfloor - k - 2c + 1 \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 92. An isometry $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p/H$ maps $[R(G)]$ to $[R(H)]$ isometrically. This is because by Proposition 1.8 and the discussion that follows it in [20], $[R(G)]$ and $[R(H)]$ are characterized by having tangent cones of maximal dimension and tangent cones are isometry invariants. The result now follows by Corollary 89 and Lemma 93. \square

The rest of the section is dedicated to proving Lemma 93. We benefit from a characterization of regular points through the notion of local open Voronoi cells.

Definition 94. Fix a compact $G \leq O(d)$ with identity component G_0 . For $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the **local open Voronoi cell** V_z^{loc} through the following characterization: we say $x \in V_z^{loc}$ if there exists an open neighborhood U of z and an open neighborhood V of x such that

$$\forall q \in V, \quad \left| \arg \sup_{p \in [z]_0 \cap U} \langle p, q \rangle \right| = 1.$$

Lemma 95. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with trivial principal isotropy group. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $x \in R(G)$.
- (b) $z \in V_x^1$ implies $x \in V_z^{loc}$.

Proof. See Appendix A.4. \square

In the following proof of Lemma 93, we will refer to a technical result in the appendix, namely Lemma 112.

Proof of Lemma 93. We proceed in a similar manner to the proof of Lemma 60. Let $N := N_w$ for some $w \in P(G)$. Then, $G \cdot N = \mathbb{R}^d$ by Proposition 56. A witness of failure $x \in R(G) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \cap N$ has at most $c - 1$ degrees of freedom. Having $n - m$ templates in $(Q_x^i)^c$ allows for at most $(n - m)(d - 1)$ degrees of freedom so we may assume m templates are in Q_x^i and show that those templates have at most $md - 1 - \left(m - n + \left\lceil \frac{n}{\chi} \right\rceil - k - c \right)$ degrees of freedom after truncating V to V_m . In such case, each template has a version of it in V_x^1 and with such versions fixed, there are $m \dim(G) = m(d - c)$ degrees of freedom for the orbits of those versions. As such, we may assume each template is in V_x^1 and show that those have at most $mc - 1 - \left(m - n + \left\lceil \frac{n}{\chi} \right\rceil - k - c \right)$ degrees of freedom. Since $m - n \leq \left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{n}{\chi} \right\rceil$, it suffices to bound the degrees of freedom by $mc - 1 - \left(\left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil - k - c \right)$. Stated precisely, our aim to show that $\dim(D_{V_m}^x) \leq mc - 1 - \left(\left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil - k - c \right)$ where

$$D_{V_m}^x := \{ \{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (V_x^1)^m : \Phi \text{ fails to locally avoid } \text{im}(V_m) \text{ at } x \}.$$

The bound is trivial when $\left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil \leq c + k - 1$ that is $m \leq \chi(c + k - 1)$. As such, we assume $m \geq \left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil \geq c + k$. Let

$$E := \bigcap_{\{h_i\}_{i=1}^m \in (G_x)^m} \bigcap_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |I| = \left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil}} \{ \{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (N_x)^m : \{ \langle h_i z_i, \cdot \rangle \}_{i \in I} \text{ is injective} \\ \text{and } \text{im}(\{ \langle h_i z_i, \cdot \rangle \}_{i \in I}) \cap \text{im}(V_I) = \{0\} \}.$$

We show that $D_{V_m}^x \subseteq (N_x)^m \setminus E$, and we bound $\dim((N_x)^m \setminus E)$. To show $D_{V_m}^x \subseteq (N_x)^m \setminus E$ we make use of the technical Lemma 112 stated and proved in the appendix. There, we denote

$$S^1(z, x) := \{w \in [z] : \Psi_1([z], [x]) = \langle w, x \rangle\}$$

which we will refer to in the next paragraph.

Suppose there exists $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in D_{V_m}^x \cap E$. Let Q denote the corresponding difference quotient. Then, there exists sequences $x_j, y_j \rightarrow x$ such that $x_j, y_j \in N_x$, $[x_j] \neq [y_j]$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_j, y_j) \in \text{im}(V)$. It suffices to show that there exists $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $|I| \geq \left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil$ and $u \in N_x$ with $\|u\| \geq 1$ such that after taking subsequences, the following convergence holds

$$\frac{\Psi_1([x_j], [z_i]) - \Psi_1([y_j], [z_i])}{d([x_j], [y_j])} \rightarrow \langle w_i, u \rangle \quad (9)$$

for $i \in I$ where $w_i \in [z_i]_{G_x}$. This way, we obtain the contradiction $\text{im}(\{\langle w_i, \cdot \rangle\}_{i \in I}) \cap \text{im}(V_I) \neq \{0\}$. Take subsequences so that the limits $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S^1(z_i, x_j) \subseteq G_x z_i$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S^1(z_i, y_j) \subseteq G_x z_i$ exist for every i . By pigeonhole principle, there exists $h \in G_x$ and $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $|I| \geq \left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil \geq c + k$ and $L([z_i]) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S^1(z_i, x_j) \cap \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S^1(z_i, h y_j) \neq \emptyset$ for $i \in I$. As such and by G_x -invariance of N_x (Proposition 21), we adjust the sequence $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ into $(h y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and we show that Lemma 112 applies to the sequences $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. For $i \in I$, let $w_i \in L([z_i]) = L([w_i])$. By injectivity of $\{\langle w_i, \cdot \rangle\}_{i \in I}$, we get that $\{w_i\}_{i \in I}$ is spanning for V_x^1 and hence (H2) of Lemma 112 holds. Moreover, (H1) of Lemma 112 holds by Lemma 95. Hence, (9) holds by Lemma 112. We obtain that $D_{V_m}^x \subseteq (N_x)^m \setminus E$ as desired.

To bound $\dim((N_x)^m \setminus E)$, we view it as a finite union so that we may fix arbitrary $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^m \in (G_x)^m$ and $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|I| = \left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil$, and we count the degrees of freedom of $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (V_x^1)^n$ such that $\{\langle h_i z_i, \cdot \rangle\}_{i \in I}$ is injective and $\text{im}(\{\langle h_i z_i, \cdot \rangle\}_{i \in I}) \cap \text{im}(V_I) = \{0\}$. In fact, since N_x is G_x -invariant (Proposition 21), we may as well take $h_i = \text{Id}$ and proceed. The templates $\{z_i\}_{i \notin I}$ roam free with $(m - |I|)c$ degrees of freedom, and by a similar argument as in Lemma 60 for $\pi_2(E_L)$, the degrees of freedom for $\{z_i\}_{i \in I}$ are bounded by $|I|c - 1 - (|I| - k - c)$. Hence, the combined bounds yield the desired bound $\dim((N_x)^m \setminus E) \leq mc - 1 - \left(\left\lceil \frac{m}{\chi} \right\rceil - k - c \right)$. □

12 Bi-Lipschitz Embeddings of Orbifold Quotients

In this section, we go through the classification of groups whose actions have an orbifold quotient (when restricted to the sphere) to the end of showing that for those groups and with enough generic templates, max filter banks admit strong avoidance and/or bi-Lipschitz properties.

12.1 Preliminary on Polarity and Infinitesimal Polarity

Definition 96. Consider $G \leq O(d)$ with closed orbits. We say the action of G is **polar** if there exists a subspace $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, called a **section**, such that Σ orthogonally intersects every

orbit of G , i.e., $G \cdot \Sigma = \mathbb{R}^d$ and for every $x \in \Sigma$, the tangent space of the orbit $[x]$ at x resides in the orthogonal complement Σ^\perp . We say the action of G is **infinitesimally polar** at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if the action of G_x on the invariant subspace N_x is polar.

The following result shows that orbit spaces of polar actions are orbit spaces of finite actions. Part (a) is immediate, part (b) is given by Propositions 1.2.1 and 1.3.2 in [17] along with Proposition 6 and the remark that follows it in [13], while part (c) is given by Section 2.3 in [19].

Proposition 97. *Consider a compact $G \leq O(d)$ with identity component G_0 . The following statements hold:*

- (a) *For $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, the action of G is polar with section Σ if and only if the action of G_0 is polar with section Σ .*
- (b) *If the action of G is polar with section Σ , then the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ induces an isometry of Σ/H and \mathbb{R}^d/G , where H is the largest subgroup of G under which Σ is invariant. Furthermore, H is finite. If $G = G_0$, then H is a finite reflection group.*
- (c) *If \mathbb{R}^d/G is isometric to \mathbb{R}^k/H for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and some finite $H \leq O(k)$, then the action of G is polar.*

Next, infinitesimally polarity at a point is equivalent to the orbit space being an orbifold near that point.

Proposition 98 (Theorem 1.1 in [25]). *Consider a compact $G \leq O(d)$ with identity component G_0 . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *The action of G is infinitesimally polar at x .*
- (b) *The action of G_0 is infinitesimally polar at x .*
- (c) *The sectional curvature of $[P(G)]$ is uniformly bounded near $[x]$.*
- (d) *The quotient \mathbb{R}^d/G is an orbifold near $[x]$.*

The following result is a classification of groups whose quotients are Riemannian orbifolds when restricted to the sphere.

Proposition 99 (Theorem 1.4 in [18]). *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with identity component G_0 . Then, \mathbb{S}^{d-1}/G is a Riemannian orbifold if and only if at least one of the following cases occur:*

- (a) *The action of G_0 , or equivalently G , is polar.*
- (b) *$G_0 = U(1)$ and its action is a sum of non-trivial irreducible \mathbb{C} -representations.*
- (c) *$G_0 = SU(2)$ and its action is a sum of non-trivial irreducible and even-dimensional \mathbb{C} -representations.*
- (d) *G_0 has cohomogeneity 3.*
- (e) *G_0 has the same orbits as one of the representations listed in Table 1. Here $S_{k+}^n(r)$ is the $\frac{1}{2^k}$ th hemisphere of the round n -sphere of radius r and hence curvature $1/r^2$.*

G	ρ_0	Conditions	Orbit Space
$Spin(9)$	$\mathbb{R}^{16} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{16}$	—	$S_{++}^3(1/2)$
$SU(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geq 3$	$S_+^3(1/2)$
$U(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$	$n \geq 2$	$S_+^3(1/2)$
$Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{H}^n \oplus \mathbb{H}^n$	$n \geq 2$	$S_+^5(1/2)$
$Sp(n) \times U(1)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$	$n \geq 2$	$S_{++}^4(1/2)$
$Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$	$\mathbb{R}^{4n} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{4n}$	$n \geq 2$	$S_{++}^3(1/2)$
$T^2 \times Sp(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2n}$	$n \geq 2$	$S_{+++}^3(1/2)$

Table 1: Quotients with constant curvature 4

12.2 Groups with a Polar Action

Groups with polar actions constitute part (a) in Proposition 99. When the action is polar, we have the following result:

Theorem 100. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with polar action of cohomogeneity c . Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. Then, for any generic templates $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the corresponding max filter bank Φ strongly avoids $\text{im}(V)$ provided $n \geq 2c+k$ if G is not connected and provided $n \geq c+k$ if G is connected.*

In the case of coorbit filter banks, we refer to Section 9 for a reduction. The proof of the theorem follows immediately from the following lemma

Lemma 101. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with polar action of cohomogeneity c . For $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote by Φ the corresponding max filter bank. Then, for $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, consider the semialgebraic set*

$$D_V := \{ \{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n : \Phi \text{ fails to strongly avoid } \text{im}(V) \}.$$

Then, $\dim(D_V) \leq nd - 1 - (n - k - 2c)$ if G is disconnected and $\dim(D_V) \leq nd - 1 - (n - k - c)$ if G is connected.

Proof. Let Σ be a section. By Proposition 97, the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ induces an isometry of Σ/H and \mathbb{R}^d/G , where H is the largest subgroup of G under which Σ is invariant. Furthermore, H is finite, and if G is connected, then H is a finite reflection group.

Suppose $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in D_V$. Then, there exists sequences $x_j, y_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_j, y_j) \in \text{im}(V)$. We may translate $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ so as to belong to the section Σ . Then, by Proposition 29(a) and the first paragraph, $\langle [x_j]_G, [z_i]_G \rangle_G = \langle [x_j]_H, [z_i]_H \rangle_H$ and similarly for y_j . Moreover, $d([x_j]_G, [y_j]_G) = d([x_j]_H, [y_j]_H)$. Hence, by accounting for $n(d - c)$ degrees of freedom in the orbits of $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n$, we reduce the problem to $G = H$ and $\mathbb{R}^d = \Sigma$ where now we need to show $\dim(D_V) \leq nc - 1 - (n - k - 2c)$ when H is finite, which follows from Theorem 69, and $\dim(D_V) \leq nc - 1 - (n - k - c)$ when H is a finite reflection group, which follows from reducing the problem to a Weyl chamber of the action and arguing with singular value decomposition as in the proof of Lemma 60. \square

Remark 102. *The above proof does not extend to coorbit filter banks as they may not be invariant under isometry of the orbit space when $|\pi_0(G)| > 1$.*

12.3 Groups with an Almost Free Action

Definition 103. For a compact $G \leq O(d)$, we say G has an almost free action if G_x is finite for every $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

Note that G is almost free if and only if G_0 is almost free. By Section 3.2 in [18], the only almost free actions of connected groups are given by (b) and (c) in Proposition 99. In such cases, the principal isotropy group is trivial by the discussion preceding Lemma 2.1 in [18]. Then, Lemma 93 applies, and when combined with Lemma 54 and Theorem 74, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 104. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact and its identity component G_0 has an almost free action with cohomogeneity c . Let $\chi := \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |G_x \cap G_0|$. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the corresponding coorbit filter bank $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ strongly avoids $\text{im}(V)$ provided $n > |\pi_0(G)| \cdot \chi \cdot (2c + k - 1)$.*

12.4 Groups with Cohomogeneity 3

We now consider the case when a group is connected and has cohomogeneity 3, that is part (d) in Proposition 99. We may assume the action is nonpolar since that yields case (a). Moreover, we may assume $\dim(G) > 1$ since otherwise, $G = S^1$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^4 \cong_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}^2$ in which case $F_G = \{0\}$ implies that the action is almost free and $F_G \neq \{0\}$ implies that the action is polar.

Theorem 105. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact with cohomogeneity 3, $\dim(G) > 1$ and nonpolar action. Fix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. Fix $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, for generic templates $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the corresponding coorbit filter bank Φ strongly avoids $\text{im}(V)$ provided $n > |\pi_0(G)|(12 + 2k - 1)$.*

Proof. The case of nonconnected groups follows from the case of connected groups by leveraging Theorem 74 and the bound $\chi_{\pi_0}^{\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n} \leq |\pi_0(G)|$. As such, we assume G is connected. In particular, coorbit filter banks are max filter banks in this case. When viewing \mathbb{R}^4 as \mathbb{C}^2 , denote

$$H_0^{1,1} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \text{ and } H_0^{1,2} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2i\theta} \end{bmatrix} : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

By Section 4 of [31], it holds that $X_{1,1} := \mathbb{S}^3/H_0^{1,1}$ is isometric to $S^2(1/2)$, the round 2-sphere of radius 1/2, and $X_{1,2} := \mathbb{S}^3/H_0^{1,2}$ is isometric to a non-round 2-sphere with metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\sin^2 \varphi}{2 \sin^2(\varphi/2) + \cos^2(\varphi/2)} d\theta^2 + d\varphi^2 \right], \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi.$$

Then, by Section 5 in [31], \mathbb{R}^d/G is isometric to \mathbb{R}^4/H where one of the following cases occurs:

- (i) $H_0 = H_0^{1,1}$ and $\pi_0(H)$ is generated by reflections in $\text{Isom}(S^2(1/2)) \cong O(3)$.
- (ii) $H_0 = H_0^{1,2}$ and $|\pi_0(H)| = 2$ with the nontrivial element being a reflection across the great circle with $\theta = \pi$ in $\text{Isom}(X_{1,2}) \cong O(2)$.

Here, $\pi_0(H)$ is viewed as a subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{S}^3/H_0)$ (see Remark 85). In both cases above, $|S^1([x]_0, [y]_0)| = 1$ for all $[x]_0, [y]_0 \in \mathbb{S}^3/H_0$. As such, $\chi_{\pi_0}^{\{1\}_{i=1}^n}(H) = 1$ and the result follows by Theorem 74 and Theorem 104 (wherein $\chi \leq 2$). \square

12.5 Groups with Hemispherical Quotients

The following result treats the case of hemispherical quotients. Note that case (e) in Proposition 99 is only concerned with the identity component having a hemispherical quotient. In general, when the group is not connected yet its identity component has a hemispherical quotient and when coorbit filter banks are considered, one may turn the bound below into $n > |\pi_0(G)|(2c - 1)$ using Theorem 74. The result is limited to bi-Lipschitz bounds, but we believe the proof can be extended to strong avoidance of subspaces.

Theorem 106. *Suppose $G \leq \text{O}(d)$ is compact and \mathbb{S}^{d-1}/G is isometric to $S_{p+}^{c-1}(1/2)$ where c is the cohomogeneity of G where $p \geq 1$. Then, for any generic templates $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the corresponding max filter bank Φ is bi-Lipschitz provided $n \geq 2c$.*

Proof. The orbit space \mathbb{R}^d/G is given by a cone $(S_{p+}^{c-1}(1/2) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) / (S_{p+}^{c-1}(1/2) \times \{0\})$ such that every $[x] \in \mathbb{R}^d/G$ can be expressed as $(u_x, \|x\|)$ where $u_x := [x/\|x\|]$ and where we take the convention $\frac{0}{\|0\|} := 0$.

Moreover, $d_{\mathbb{R}^d/G}((u_x, 1), (u_y, 1))$ is given by the minimal chord-length $\min_{g \in G} \|g \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{y}{\|y\|}\|$ while $d_{S_{p+}^{c-1}(1/2)}(u_x, u_y)$ is given by the minimal geodesic arc-length $\min_{g \in G} d_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(g \frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|})$ where $d_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}$ is the arc-length metric on the sphere in \mathbb{R}^d . From elementary geometry, the two are related by

$$d_{\mathbb{R}^d/G}((u_x, 1), (u_y, 1)) = 2 \sin \left(\frac{d_{S_{p+}^{c-1}(1/2)}(u_x, u_y)}{2} \right). \quad (10)$$

By the radial Pythagorean Theorem (Lemma 12 in [9]), the square quotient metric is given by

$$d_{\mathbb{R}^d/G}^2((u_x, \|x\|), (u_y, \|y\|)) = (\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 + \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot d_{\mathbb{R}^d/G}^2((u_x, 1), (u_y, 1)). \quad (11)$$

Now, consider the continuous homeomorphism $\varphi: S_{p+}^{c-1}(1/2) \rightarrow S_{p+}^{c-1}(1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^c$ given by rescaling. We take a continuous extension of φ to \mathbb{R}^d/G by defining $\varphi([x]) := \|x\|^2 \cdot \varphi(u_x)$. This is again a homeomorphism. Then, by the half-angle trigonometric identities and since the rescaling doubles spherical geodesic distance, we use (10) and compute

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{R}^d/G}^2((u_x, 1), (u_y, 1)) &= 4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{d_{S_{p+}^{c-1}(1/2)}(u_x, u_y)}{2} \right) \\ &= 4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{d_{S_{p+}^{c-1}(1)}(\varphi(u_x), \varphi(u_y))}{4} \right) \\ &= 2 - 2 \cos \left(\frac{d_{S_{p+}^{c-1}(1)}(\varphi(u_x), \varphi(u_y))}{2} \right) \\ &= 2 - 2 \sqrt{\frac{1 + \langle \varphi(u_x), \varphi(u_y) \rangle}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we only choose the positive square root of the half-angle formula for cosine since $d_{S_{p+}^{c-1}(1)}(\varphi(u_x), \varphi(u_y)) \leq \pi$ for every $\varphi(u_x), \varphi(u_y) \in S_{p+}^{c-1}(1)$. Hence, combining the above with Proposition 29(a), we obtain

$$\langle\langle [x], [y] \rangle\rangle = \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1 + \langle \varphi(u_x), \varphi(u_y) \rangle}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\|\varphi([x])\| \|\varphi([y])\| + \langle \varphi([x]), \varphi([y]) \rangle}{2}}. \quad (12)$$

Observe that by rearranging (12) and using Proposition 29(a) along with $\|\varphi([x])\| = \|x\|^2$ and $\|\varphi([y])\| = \|y\|^2$, we get the following equality which we shall refer to later in the proof:

$$\frac{\|\varphi([x]) - \varphi([y])\|^2}{d([x], [y])^2} = d^2([x], [y]) + 4\langle\langle [x], [y] \rangle\rangle. \quad (13)$$

Now, consider any principal template $z_i \in P(G)$. Then, $-\varphi([z_i]) \notin \text{im}(\varphi)$ because $p \geq 2$ and the principal stratum in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}/G is precisely the (manifold) interior of the hemisphere $S_{p+}^{c-1}(1/2)$. As such, the following quantity is finite and continuous provided $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$ and $z_i \in P(G)$

$$C(z_i, x, y) := \left[\sqrt{\|\varphi([x])\| \|\varphi([z_i])\| + \langle \varphi([x]), \varphi([z_i]) \rangle} + \sqrt{\|\varphi([y])\| \|\varphi([z_i])\| + \langle \varphi([y]), \varphi([z_i]) \rangle} \right]^{-1}.$$

For $x \neq 0$, it holds that $C(z_i, x, x) < \infty$ is given by

$$C(z_i, x, x) = \left[2\|z_i\| \sqrt{1 + \langle \varphi(u_x), \varphi(u_{z_i}) \rangle} \right]^{-1}. \quad (14)$$

Then, for arbitrary $x, y, \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $z_i \in P(G)$, we use (12) and we rationalize to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle\langle [x], [z_i] \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle [y], [z_i] \rangle\rangle}{d([x], [y])} &= \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C(z_i, x, y) \cdot \left[\|z_i\|^2 \frac{(\|x\| + \|y\|)(\|x\| - \|y\|)}{d([x], [y])} + \frac{\|\varphi([x]) - \varphi([y])\|}{d([x], [y])} \left\langle \varphi([z_i]), \frac{\varphi([x]) - \varphi([y])}{\|\varphi([x]) - \varphi([y])\|} \right\rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now, let $n \geq 2c$ and consider a max filter bank Φ corresponding to templates $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in P(G)^n$. By Theorem 53, we have that Φ is injective for generic $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in P(G)^n$. As such, it suffices to show Φ is locally lower Lipschitz at every $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ for generic $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in P(G)^n$.

To this end, suppose by contradiction that there exists a nonempty G^n -invariant open set $U \subseteq P(G)^n$ such that for $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in U$, Φ fails to be locally lower Lipschitz at every $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. In particular, $\varphi^n([U])$ is nonempty and open in \mathbb{R}^c where the homeomorphism $\varphi^n: (\mathbb{R}^d/G)^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^c)^n$ is given by the n -fold product of φ . Next, considering the open continuous mapping $h: \mathbb{R}^c \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{c-1}$ given by $h(z) = z/\|z\|$ and its n -fold product $h^n: (\mathbb{R}^c \setminus \{0\})^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^{c-1})^n$ which is also open and continuous, we obtain that $h^n \circ \varphi^n([U])$ is nonempty and open in $(\mathbb{S}^{c-1})^n$. We shall refer to this fact at the end of the proof.

Now, consider templates $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in U$. Then, there exists $[x] \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}/G$ such that Φ fails to be locally lower Lipschitz at x , meaning there exists sequences $x_j, y_j \rightarrow x$ with $[x_j] \neq [y_j]$ and $d([x_j], [y_j]) = \|x_j - y_j\|$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_j, y_j) = 0$ where Q denotes the difference quotient corresponding to Φ .

By (13), (14), (15) and the reverse triangle inequality $|\|x_j\| - \|y_j\|| \leq \|x_j - y_j\|$, we obtain that there exists nonzero $u \in \mathbb{R}^c$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|u\| = 1$, $|b| \leq 1$ and after taking subsequences

$$\frac{\langle\langle [x_j], [z_i] \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle [y_j], [z_i] \rangle\rangle}{d([x_j], [y_j])} \rightarrow \frac{\|z_i\|}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{1 + \langle\varphi(u_{z_i}), \varphi(u_{z_i})\rangle}} [\langle\varphi(u_{z_i}), u\rangle + b].$$

Namely,

$$u := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\varphi([x_j]) - \varphi([y_j])\|}{\|x_j - y_j\|} \cdot \frac{\varphi([x_j]) - \varphi([y_j])}{\|\varphi([x_j]) - \varphi([y_j])\|},$$

and

$$b := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\|x_j\| + \|y_j\|)(\|x_j\| - \|y_j\|)}{\|x_j - y_j\|}.$$

Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_j, y_j) = 0$, we obtain that $\langle\varphi(u_{z_i}), u\rangle = -b$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. It follows that the linear map $T_\Phi := \{\langle\varphi(u_{z_i}), \cdot\rangle\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times c}$ is either non-injective or it satisfies $\text{im}(T_\Phi) \cap \text{span}(\mathbf{1}) \neq \{0\}$ where $\mathbf{1}$ is the vector of all ones. Such conditions may not hold for $\varphi(u_{z_i})$ in a nonempty open subset of $(\mathbb{S}^{c-1})^n$, provided $n \geq c + 1$. This contradicts the fact that so far, $\varphi(u_{z_i}) \in V := h^n \circ \varphi^n([U])$ has been arbitrary and V is nonempty and open. The result follows. \square

13 Discussion

While we have found that enough generic templates suffice for coorbit filter banks to be bi-Lipschitz (more generally, strongly avoidant) in the case of actions whose spheres have orbifold quotients, the following fundamental question which is weaker than Problems 14 and 18 remains open for general compact groups:

Problem 107. Does there exist a compact group with which every coorbit filter bank fails to admit bi-Lipschitz bounds no matter the size or form the templates take?

We conjecture that the answer is false and one way we believe this can be tackled is by an inductive step on stabilizers acting on the tangent and normal spaces of a point (for example, in Section 8, we used an induction step in the simpler case of finite-index stabilizers). This approach could require a much larger number of templates than would ever be necessary but it would at least provide a negative answer to the question posed. The first example of smallest dimension we can think of where the question is unresolved is the real irreducible representation of $\text{SO}(3)$ acting on \mathbb{R}^7 . Investigating this example could aid in resolving the problem for all compact groups.

For the stronger Problem 18, Lemmas 54 and 60 can be viewed as a step forward towards a solution. It is left to extend those to similar results of local avoidance at nonprincipal points. This remains an open problem even for regular points where we had to pass through the size of potentially arbitrarily large finite stabilizers.

Nonetheless, we have shown that with sufficiently many generic templates, coorbit filter banks are locally lower Lipschitz at principal and regular points. A natural next step is to

aim for tackling orbifold points by potentially making use of infinitesimal polarity in Proposition 98. Adapting the proof of Theorem 2.2.1 in [17] to the context of compact subgroups of $O(d)$ could be informative for this task although we leave it for future work.

On the technical improvement side, we conjecture that Lemma 93 holds with G having nontrivial principal isotropy groups. For that, the arguments in Appendix A.4 would need to accommodate for that change. If the conjecture holds, then one may drop the necessity for minimal reduction in Theorem 92 and the assumption of trivial principal isotropy group in Lemma 93. Either way, with our proof methods, we may still need to pass to a characteristic $\chi_G := \max_{x \in R(G)} |G_x/G_{p,x}|$ where $G_{p,x}$ is a principal stabilizer in G_x .

References

- [1] D. Alekseevsky, A. Kriegl, M. Losik, P. W. Michor, The Riemannian geometry of orbit spaces. The metric, geodesics, and integrable systems, *Publ. Math.* 62 (2003) 247–276.
- [2] M. M. Alexandrino, R. G. Bettiol, Lie groups and geometric aspects of isometric actions, Springer, 2015.
- [3] W. Alharbi, S. Alshabhi, D. Freeman, D. Ghoreishi, Locality and stability for phase retrieval, arXiv:2210.03886
- [4] T. Amir, S. J. Gortler, I. Avni, R. Ravina, N. Dym, Neural injective functions for multisets, measures and graphs via a finite witness theorem, arXiv:2306.06529.
- [5] R. Balan, E. Tsoukanis, G-invariant representations using coorbits: Bi-lipschitz properties, arXiv:2308.11784
- [6] R. Balan, E. Tsoukanis, G-invariant representations using coorbits: Injectivity properties, arXiv:2310.16365
- [7] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.-F. Roy, Real algebraic geometry, Springer, 2013.
- [8] J. Cahill, P. Casazza, I. Daubechies, Phase retrieval in infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Ser. B* 3 (2016) 63–76.
- [9] J. Cahill, J. W. Iverson, D. G. Mixon, Bilipschitz group invariants, arXiv:2305.17241
- [10] J. Cahill, J. W. Iverson, D. G. Mixon, D. Packer, Group-invariant max filtering, arXiv:2205.14039
- [11] S. Chen, E. Dobriban, J. Lee, A group-theoretic framework for data augmentation, *NeurIPS 2020*, 21321–21333.
- [12] D. C. Cireşan, U. Meier, L. M. Gambardella, J. Schmidhuber, Deep, big, simple neural nets for handwritten digit recognition, *Neural Comput.* 22 (2010) 3207–3220.
- [13] J. Dadok, Polar coordinates induced by actions of compact Lie groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 288 (1985) 125–137.
- [14] M. P. Do Carmo, Riemannian geometry, Boston: Birkhäuser, 1992
- [15] E. Dudek, K. Holly, Nonlinear orthogonal projection, *Ann. Pol. Math.* 59 (1994) 1–31.
- [16] S. Gallot, D. Hilom, J. Lafontaine, Riemannian geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1990
- [17] C. Gorodski, Topics in polar actions, arXiv:2208.03577.
- [18] C. Gorodski, A. Lytchak, Isometric actions on spheres with an orbifold quotient, *Math. Ann.* 365 (2016) 1041–1067.

- [19] C. Gorodski, A. Lytchak, On orbit spaces of representations of compact Lie groups, *J. für die Reine und Angew. Math.* 2014 (2014) 61–100.
- [20] K. Grove, Geometry of, and via, symmetries, *University Lecture Series-American Mathematical Society*, 27, 31-51 (2002).
- [21] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, 2005.
- [22] L. Kennard, *Lecture notes for Math 260P: Group actions*, 2020.
- [23] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, G. Hinton, ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks, *NeurIPS 2012*, 1097–1105.
- [24] J. M. Lee, *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*, Springer, 2018.
- [25] A. Lytchak, G. Thorbergsson, Curvature explosion in quotients and applications, *J. Differ. Geom.* 85 (2010) 117–140.
- [26] E. Meinrenken, *Group actions on manifolds*, <http://www.math.toronto.edu/~mein/teaching/Lec>
- [27] P. W. Michor, *Isometric actions of Lie groups and invariants*, Lecture notes, 1997.
- [28] D. G. Mixon, D. Packer, Max filtering with reflection groups, *arXiv:2212.05104*
- [29] D. G. Mixon, Y. Qaddura, Injectivity, stability, and positive definiteness of max filtering, *arXiv:2212.11156*
- [30] P. Y. Simard, D. Steinkraus, J. C. Platt, Best practices for convolutional neural networks applied to visual document analysis, *ICDAR 2003*, 1–6.
- [31] E. Straume, On the invariant theory and geometry of compact linear groups of cohomogeneity ≤ 3 , *Differential Geometry and its Applications* (1994).
- [32] L. Van Elfrinkhof Eene eigenschap van de orthogonale substitutie van de vierde orde, *Handelingen van het zesde Nederlandsch Natuuren Geneeskundig Congres* (1897) pp. 237-240.
- [33] B. Wilking, Positively curved manifolds with symmetry, *Annals of Mathematics* (2006) 607-668.

A Differential Geometric Arguments

A.1 Cut point symmetry for non-complete Riemannian manifolds

Lemma 108. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold and let $[x], [z] \in M$. Suppose that the following hypotheses hold:*

- (i) *There exists a minimal geodesic γ^r joining $[x]$ to some $[p] \in M$ such that $[z]$ is in the interior of γ^r and $\gamma^r|_{[x] \rightarrow [z]}$ is the unique minimal geodesic joining $[x]$ to $[z]$.*
- (ii) *There exists a neighborhood U of $[x]$ such that for every $[p] \in U$, there exists a minimal geodesic joining $[z]$ to $[p]$.*
- (iii) *If $[x_i] \rightarrow [x]$ and σ_i are minimal geodesics joining $[z]$ to $[x_i]$, then $\sigma_i \rightarrow \gamma$ where γ is the unique minimal geodesic joining $[z]$ to $[x]$ i.e. the reverse parametrization of γ^r .*

Then, $\gamma|_{[z] \rightarrow [x]}$ remains minimizing shortly beyond $[x]$.

Proof. Let $\gamma : [0, d([z], [x])] \rightarrow M$ be the unique minimal geodesic joining $[z]$ to $[x]$ as in the first hypothesis. Then, there exists $v \in T_{[z]}M$ such that $\gamma(t) = \exp_{[z]}(tv)$ where $\exp : TM \rightarrow M$ is the Riemannian exponential of M . By Proposition 5.19 in [24], the exponential map is smooth and its domain is open. It follows that $\exp_{[z]}(tv)$ is defined in a neighborhood of $d([z], [x])v \in T_{[z]}M$.

We seek to show that $\exp_{[z]}(tv)$ remains minimizing for t shortly beyond $d([z], [x])$. Suppose otherwise for the sake of contradiction. We closely follow the proof of Proposition 13.2.2 in [14] which treats the case of complete manifolds. Let $\{t_0 + \varepsilon_i\}$ be a sequence in which $\varepsilon_i > 0$ and $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$. By the second hypothesis and by taking large enough i , there exists a sequence of minimizing geodesics σ_i joining $[z]$ to $\exp_{[z]}((t_0 + \varepsilon_i)v)$ and let $\sigma'_i(0) \in T_{[z]}M$ be the corresponding sequence of tangent vectors at $[z]$. By the third hypothesis, $\sigma_i \rightarrow \gamma$ and $\sigma'_i(0) \rightarrow \gamma'(0)$.

We show $d \exp_{[z]}$ is singular at $t_0 \gamma'(0)$. Suppose otherwise for the sake of contradiction. Then, there exists a neighborhood W of $t_0 \gamma'(0)$ such that $\exp_{[z]}|_W$ is a diffeomorphism. By definition of σ_j , $\gamma(t_0 + \varepsilon_j) = \sigma_j(t_0 + \varepsilon'_j)$ where $\varepsilon'_j \leq \varepsilon_j$, because σ_j is minimizing. Take ε_j sufficiently small so that $(t_0 + \varepsilon'_j)\sigma'_j(0)$ and $(t_0 + \varepsilon_j)\gamma'(0)$ belong to W . Then,

$$\exp_{[z]}((t_0 + \varepsilon_j)\gamma'(0)) = \exp_{[z]}((t_0 + \varepsilon'_j)\sigma'_j(0)),$$

hence $(t_0 + \varepsilon_j)\gamma'(0) = (t_0 + \varepsilon'_j)\sigma'_j(0)$, that is $\gamma'(0) = \sigma'_j(0)$. This contradicts the assumption that γ is no longer minimizing beyond t_0 . Thus, there exists nonzero $u \in T_{[z]}M$ such that $d_{t_0 \gamma'(0)} \exp_{[z]} u = 0$. By Corollary 3.46 in [16], there exists a Jacobi field Y along γ such that $Y(0) = 0$, $Y'(0) = u$ and $Y(t_0) = 0$. In other words, $[z]$ and $[x]$ are conjugate along γ . Now, let $\gamma^r : [0, d([x], [z])] \rightarrow M$ be the unique minimal geodesic joining $[x]$ to $[z]$. Since γ^r is the reverse parametrization of γ , $[x]$ and $[z]$ are also conjugate along γ^r . However, by the first hypothesis, γ^r remains minimizing shortly beyond $[z]$. This contradicts the fact that minimizing geodesics lack conjugate points in their interior (Theorem 3.73 in [16]). \square

A.2 Note on Stabilizers

The following proposition shows that stabilizers can only “shrink” locally. The result is well-known (see for example Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 4.13 in [27]) but we provide a proof.

Proposition 109. *Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Then, the following statements hold for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$:*

- (a) *If $z \in U_x^i$, then $G_z \leq G_x$.*
- (b) *If $z \in Q_x^i$, then $(G_z) \leq (G_x)$.*
- (c) *If $x \in P(G)$ and $z \in N_x$, then $G_x \leq G_z$.*

Proof. For (a), if $z \in U_x^i$ and $g \in G_z$, then $z \in g^{-1}U_x^i \cap U_x^i \neq \emptyset$ so by Lemma 43, $g^{-1}x = x$ and so $g \in G_x$ as desired.

For (b) and by definition of Q_x^i , there exists g such that $z \in V_{gx}^i \subseteq U_{gx}^i$. Hence by (a), $G_z \leq G_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1}$ meaning $(G_z) \leq (G_x)$ as desired.

For (c) and by (a), we have that for $z \in U_x^i$, $G_z \leq G_x$. By principality of x , we obtain $G_z = G_x$. It follows that G_x fixes U_x^i and since $\text{span}(U_x^i) = N_x$, we obtain that G_x fixes N_x as desired. \square

A.3 Proof of Lemma 45

Before proceeding, we note that by Proposition 109, if $x \in P(G)$ and $z \in V_x^i$, then $G_x = G_z$ and so $z \in P(G)$.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). From the above and since $P(G) \subseteq P(G_0)$, it holds that $x, z \in P(G_0)$. Moreover, for any $t, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and by Proposition 26, the minimal geodesics from $[t]_0$ to $[y]_0$ in \mathbb{R}^d/G_0 are precisely the orbital projections of straightlines from t to $\arg \max_{p \in [y]_0} \langle p, t \rangle$.

Now, by Proposition 27, we have that $M_0 := P(G_0)/G_0$ is a Riemannian manifold and a geodesic space. Moreover, since $z \in V_x^i$ and V_x^i is open in N_x , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $q := z + (z - x)\epsilon \in V_x^i$. By definition of V_x^i and by Proposition 35(b) and for $t \in (q, z]$, we have that $[t, x]$ is the unique straightline connecting t to $\arg \max_{p \in [x]_0} \langle p, t \rangle = \{x\}$. It follows that M_0 satisfies the first hypothesis of Lemma 108. The second hypothesis follows from M_0 being a geodesic space.

For the third hypothesis, suppose $[x_i]_0 \rightarrow [x]_0$ and σ_i are minimal geodesics joining $[z]_0 \rightarrow [x_i]_0$. Then, σ_i are projections of straightlines connecting z to elements of $\arg \max_{p \in [x_i]_0} \langle p, z \rangle$. In the limit, every element of $\arg \max_{p \in [x]_0} \langle p, z \rangle$ converges to x . This is because for $p \neq x \in [x]_0$, we have $\langle z, p \rangle < \langle z, x \rangle$ and so the inequality remains true in a neighborhood of x and p . As such, σ_i converges to the projection of the unique straightline from z to x and the third hypothesis holds.

It follows by Lemma 108 that the straightline from z to x remains shortly minimizing to $[z]_0$. The implication $x \in V_z^i$ now follows from Lemma 39, Proposition 35(b) and Lemma 43(e).

(b) \Rightarrow (a). By Proposition 27, $P(G)$ is dense and by Lemma 44, Q_x^i is dense. As such, select $y \in P(G) \cap Q_x^i$ and after translating assume $y \in P(G) \cap V_x^i$. By assumption, $x \in V_y^i$ and by the note in the beginning of the proof, we obtain that $x \in P(G)$ as desired.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). This is immediate.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). As above, select $y \in P(G) \cap V_x^i$. By assumption, $x \in Q_y^i$ so $x \in V_{gy}^i$ for some $g \in G$. Since $gy \in P(G)$, we obtain $x \in P(G)$ as desired.

A.4 Proof of Lemma 95

(a) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose $x \in R(G)$. Since G has trivial principal isotropy group, we obtain that G_x is finite. Denote $V_x := V_x^1$. Equip G with a bi-invariant metric γ_G .

Claim 1: There exists an open neighborhood U of the identity e in G such that $U = U^{-1}$ and $U^{-1}U \cap G_x = \{e\}$.

Proof: The claim is folklore but we provide a proof. Denote the multiplication map by $m_G: G \times G \rightarrow G$. Take an open neighborhood W of the identity such that $\overline{W} \cap G_x = \{e\}$. Then, for a simple open neighborhood $W_1 \times W_2 \subseteq m_G^{-1}(W)$, take $U = (W_1 \cap W_2) \cap (W_1 \cap W_2)^{-1}$. ■

Claim 2: The multiplication map $F: U \times V_x \rightarrow U \cdot V_x$ is a diffeomorphism.

Proof: Surjectivity and smoothness are immediate. For injectivity, take $u_j \in U$ and $s_j \in V_x$ such that $u_1 s_1 = u_2 s_2$. Then, $s_1 = u_1^{-1} u_2 s_2$ implying $u_1^{-1} u_2 V_x \cap V_x \neq \emptyset$ and so by Lemma 43, $u_1^{-1} u_2 \in G_x \cap U^{-1}U = \{e\}$. Hence, $u_1 = u_2$ and $s_1 = s_2$. Lastly, it is a submersion since at $(u, s) \in U \times V_x$, the image of the differential $dF(u, s)$ is $T_{us} \oplus N_{us}$ so it has full rank. ■

Consider the smooth submersive projection $\pi_2: U \times V_x \rightarrow V_x$. We obtain a smooth submersion $\pi := \pi_2 \circ F^{-1}: U \cdot V_x \rightarrow V_x$ which restricts to the identity on V_x and whose fibers are given by $\pi^{-1}(q) = U \cdot q$ for $q \in V_x$.

Claim 3: Equip V_x with the metric $\gamma_{\pi(s)}(d\pi(X_s), d\pi(Y_s)) := \langle X_s, Y_s \rangle$, for $X_s, Y_s \in N_s$ and $s \in V_x$. Then, γ is well-defined and makes $\pi: (U \cdot V_x, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow (V_x, \gamma)$ into a Riemannian submersion.

Proof: First, we compute the differential of π . Let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie algebra of G . Then, a tangent vector to $u \in U$ may be viewed as $g_p \cdot u$ where $g_p \in \mathfrak{g}$. A local γ_G -geodesic at u in the direction of $g_p \cdot u$ has the form $\exp_G(tg_p) \cdot u$. By the product rule and with $s \in V_x$ and $s_t \in V_x = T_s V_x$, it follows that

$$dF(u, s)|_{(g_p u, s_t)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [(\exp_G(tg_p) \cdot u) \cdot (s + ts_t)] \Big|_{t=0} = g_p \cdot us + u \cdot s_t.$$

Observe that $g_p \cdot us \in T_{us}$ and $u \cdot s_t \in N_{us}$. As such, for $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we decompose $v = (us_t) \oplus (g_p us) \in N_{us} \oplus T_{us}$ where $s_t \in N_s$ and $g_p \in \mathfrak{g}$, and we obtain $dF^{-1}(us)|_v = (g_p u, s_t)$ and hence $d\pi(us)|_v = s_t$. It follows that the kernel bundle, i.e. the vertical bundle, of $d\pi$ is the orbit tangent bundle of $U \cdot V_x$ while the orthogonal complement of that, i.e. the horizontal bundle, is the orbit normal bundle of $U \cdot V_x$. Moreover, the following equivariance relationship holds

$$d\pi(us)|_{us_t} = u \cdot d\pi(s)|_{s_t}$$

Since u is an isometry, we may equip V_x with the well-defined metric $\gamma_{\pi(s)}(d\pi(X_s), d\pi(Y_s)) := \langle X_s, Y_s \rangle$, for $X_s, Y_s \in N_s$ and $s \in V_x$. With this metric, $\pi: (U \cdot V_x, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow (V_x, \gamma)$ becomes a Riemannian submersion. ■

Claim 4: For $p \in V_x$, there exists a neighborhood U_p of x in V_x such that the following holds for $q \in U_p$:

- (i) $G_x U_p \subseteq U_p$.
- (ii) Straightlines from p to (defacto well-defined) distance minimizers in Uq all lie in $U \cdot V_x$.
- (iii) If $g \in G$ is such that $\|p - gq\| \leq d(p, Uq)$, then $gq \in Uhq$ for some $h \in G_x$ and it holds that $[p, gq] \subseteq U \cdot V_x$.

Proof: Take any sequences c_n and q_n such that c_n are distance minimizers from p to $\overline{Uq_n}$ and $q_n \rightarrow x$ and $q_n \in V_x$. Let $w_n \in \overline{U}$ be such that $c_n = \overline{w_n} \cdot q_n$. We may take a subsequence such that $\overline{w_n} \rightarrow w \in \overline{U}$ and hence $c_n \rightarrow c = w \cdot x \in \overline{Ux}$. Then, c is a distance minimizer from p to \overline{Ux} since for every $g \in \overline{U}$, we have $d(p, gq_n) \geq d(p, c_n)$ and by taking the limit, we obtain $d(p, gx) \geq d(p, c)$. Since $p \in V_x$, we have that x is the unique distance minimizer from p to Gx . It follows that $c = x$ and $w \in \overline{U} \cap G_x = \{e\}$. Next, by Proposition 35(c) and Lemma 43(e), $[p, x] \subseteq V_x$ and also $[p, c_n] \rightarrow [p, x]$ uniformly. It follows that for large enough n , $[p, c_n]$ lies in the open set $R \cdot V_x$ containing $[p, x]$. Then, there exists an open neighborhood U_p of x in V_x such that assertion (ii) holds. We shrink U_p so that $G_x U_p \subseteq U_p$.

Now, suppose there is a sequence $g_n \in G$ and $q_n \in U_p$ with $q_n \rightarrow x$ such that $\|p - g_n q_n\| \leq d(p, Uq_n)$. Then, after taking subsequences, we may assume $g_n \rightarrow g \in G$ and by taking limits, we obtain $\|p - gx\| \leq d(p, Ux) = \|p - x\| = d(p, Gx)$. By minimizer uniqueness, we get $gx = x$ and so $g \in G_x$. It follows that g_n is eventually in $U \cdot G_x$. Moreover, $[p, g_n q_n]$ is eventually in the open set $U \cdot V_x$ containing $[p, x]$. As such, we may shrink U_p so that assertion (iii) holds. Lastly, assertion (i) follows by shrinking U_p again. ■

Denote by V_x^γ the space V_x equipped with the metric γ . For $q \in V_x$, we denote the point $\pi(q)$ in V_x^γ by its fiber Uq .

Claim 5: Suppose $p \in V_x$ and $q \in U_p$. Then, minimal geodesics from Up to Uq in V_x^γ exist and are precisely the π -images of straightline distance minimizers from p to Uq in $U \cdot V_x$.

Proof: Let $c_\gamma : [0, L(c)] \rightarrow V_x^\gamma$ be a unit-speed geodesic joining Up to Uq where $L(c)$ denotes the length of c . Suppose that $L(c) \leq d(p, Uq)$. By local lifting of geodesics (Proposition 2.109 in [16]), there exists $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = L(c)$ and for $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, there exists $p_i \in \pi^{-1}(c_\gamma(t_i)) \cap V_x$ and $q_{i+1} \in \pi^{-1}(c_\gamma(t_{i+1}))$ such that $[p_i, q_{i+1}]$ are straightlines connecting p_i to Up_{i+1} entirely lying in $U \cdot V_x$ and horizontally lifting $c_\gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}$ to $U \cdot V_x$ starting from p_i . We take $p_0 := p$ and $p_n := q$. In particular, observe that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|q_{i+1} - p_i\| = L(c)$.

Take $u_i \in U$ such that $q_i = u_i p_i$. Then, by equivariance of lifting, $q_{i+1} - p_i$ has the same direction as $u_{i+1}(q_{i+2} - p_{i+1}) = u_{i+1}q_{i+2} - q_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$. It follows that there exists $\{g_i\}_{i=0}^n \in G^{n+1}$ with $g_0 = e$, such that the straightline segments $[g_i p_i, g_i q_{i+1}]$ are parallel with non-overlapping interiors and their union is $[p, g_n q]$ and has the same length as $L(c)$. It follows that $L(c) = \|p - g_n q\| \leq d(p, Uq)$ and hence by the previous claim there exists $h \in G_x$ such that $g_n q \in Uhq$ and also $[p, g_n q] \subseteq U \cdot V_x$. It follows that the very initial lift of c_γ starting from p does not terminate and $Uhq = Uq$ i.e. $hq = q$ and $L(c) = d(p, Uq)$ and c_γ has a straightline minimizing lift as desired. ■

Claim 6: The hypotheses of Lemma 108 hold for V_x^γ with respect to Ux and Uz for $z \in V_x$.

Proof: With $z \in V_x$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $q = z + (z - x)\varepsilon \in V_x$. Then by Lemma 43(e), the straightline $[q, x]$ satisfies the first hypothesis. The second hypothesis follows by considering a neighborhood U_z as in the claim above. The third hypothesis follows by taking limits of straight line minimizing lifts to the unique straightline minimizing lift. ■

We now finish the proof. First, we note that for all $p_1, p_2 \in U$ and since $U^{-1} = U$, we have

$$d(p_1, Up_2) = d(U^{-1}p_1, p_2) = d(p_2, Up_1). \quad (16)$$

Let $z \in V_x$ so that $\|z - x\| = d(z, Ux)$. and let U_z be as above. Then, Lemma 108 entails that the length of the straightline $[z, x]$ remains equal to $d(z, Up)$ for p shortly beyond x . By definition of U_z , we have that $[z, p] \subseteq U \cdot V_x$ and by (16), we obtain that $\|p - z\| = d(z, Up) = d(p, Uz)$. Then, by Proposition 35(b), there exists a neighborhood V around x such that for $q \in V$, there is a unique straightline minimizer from q to Uz . It follows that $x \in V_z^{loc}$ as desired.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Since Q_z^1 and $P(G)$ are G -invariant and dense, pick $z \in V_x^1 \cap P(G)$. Then, by Lemma 43, we get $Gz \cap V_x^1 = G_x z$. By assumption, we obtain $x \in V_{hz}^{loc}$ for every $h \in G_x$. By definition of V_{hz}^{loc} and by Lemma 43, we obtain that the set $B := \arg \min_{q \in [z]} \|q - x\| \subseteq V_x^1$ is discrete and G_x -transitive. By Proposition 109, we also have $G_q \leq G_x$ for every $q \in B$. By transitivity and the orbit-stabilizer theorem, we deduce that G_x/G_q is discrete and $x \in R(G)$ as desired.

B Local Behavior at Regular Points

B.1 Technical Lemma

Definition 110. Fix a compact group $G \leq O(d)$. For a sort index $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$ and $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote the set of **realizing orbit elements** of z with respect to x by

$$S^i(z, x) := \{w \in [z] : \Psi_i([z], [x]) = \langle w, x \rangle\}.$$

Remark 111. It holds that $S^i(z, gx) = g \cdot S^i(z, x)$ and $S^i(z, x) = S^i(gz, x)$ for all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $g \in G$. In particular, $S^i(z, x)$ is G_x -invariant.

Lemma 112. Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact. Suppose $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$. Let $x_n, y_n \rightarrow x$ with $[x_n] \neq [y_n]$ and $x_n, y_n \in N_x$. Suppose that the following hypothesis holds:

(H1) For every $z \in V_x^i$, it holds that $x \in V_z^{loc}$.

(H2) There exists a set $S \subseteq V_x^i$ spanning N_x , such that for every $q \in S$, the following limit exists

$$L([q]) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^i(q, x_n) \cap \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^i(q, y_n) \neq \emptyset,$$

and $q \in L([q])$.

Then, the following statements hold:

(a) After taking subsequences, the limits $u_0 := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n - y_n}{\|x_n - y_n\|} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $c_0 := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_n - y_n\|}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \in [1, \infty)$ exist.

(b) Let $u := c_0 u_0$. If $z \in Q_x^i$ and if after taking subsequences $L([z]) \neq \emptyset$ is nonempty, then the following convergence holds after taking further subsequences

$$w \in L([z]) \implies \frac{\Psi_i([x_n], [z]) - \Psi_i([y_n], [z])}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \rightarrow \langle w, u \rangle. \quad (17)$$

Remark 113. Note that u only depends on $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. Take arbitrary $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$ and $z \in Q_x^i$, and assume that after taking subsequences $w \in L([z]) \neq \emptyset$. By G -invariance of (17) with respect to z , we may translate z so that $w = z \in L([z])$. Let $z_n^x, z_n^y \rightarrow z$ where $z_n^x \in S^i(z, x_n)$ and $z_n^y \in S^i(z, y_n)$.

By (H1) and since $z \in L([z]) \subseteq S^i(z, x) \subseteq V_x^i$, we have $x \in V_z^{loc}$. Then, by definition of V_z^{loc} , there exists open neighborhoods V of x and U of z such that

$$\forall q \in V, \quad \left| \arg \sup_{p \in [z]_0 \cap U} \langle p, q \rangle \right| = 1,$$

so we may take subsequences so that $x_n, y_n \in V$ and $z_n^x, z_n^y \in U$. Moreover, we define $v_z: V \rightarrow [z]$ in such a way that $v_z(q)$ is the unique element in $\arg \sup_{p \in [z]_0 \cap U} \langle p, q \rangle$. In particular, since $z \in V_x^i$, we have $v_z(x) = z$.

Note that $z_n^x = v_z(x_n)$ and $z_n^y = v_z(y_n)$. Also, $\|x_n - y_n\| \neq 0$ since $[x_n] \neq [y_n]$. We get that

$$\frac{\Psi_i([x_n], [z]) - \Psi_i([y_n], [z])}{d([x_n], [y_n])} = \frac{\|x_n - y_n\|}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \cdot \frac{\langle x_n, v_z(x_n) \rangle - \langle y_n, v_z(y_n) \rangle}{\|x_n - y_n\|}. \quad (18)$$

Next, we have

$$\frac{\langle x_n, v_z(x_n) \rangle - \langle y_n, v_z(y_n) \rangle}{\|x_n - y_n\|} = \left\langle \frac{x_n - y_n}{\|x_n - y_n\|}, v_z(y_n) \right\rangle - \frac{\|v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)\|}{\|x_n - y_n\|} \left\langle x_n, \frac{v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)}{\|v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)\|} \right\rangle,$$

where we take $\frac{0}{\|0\|} := 0$. By Proposition 35(b), the map $v_z(\cdot)$ is smooth over V and hence locally upper Lipschitz there. Then, since $x \in V$, there exists $C > 0$ such that after taking subsequences, we have

$$\frac{\|v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)\|}{\|x_n - y_n\|} < C.$$

Moreover, since $[z]$ is a smooth submanifold of \mathbb{R}^d and $v_z(x_n), v_z(y_n) \rightarrow v_z(x)$, we get $\frac{v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)}{\|v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)\|} \rightarrow t \in T_{v_z(x)}$. Note further that $x \in N_{v_z(x)}$ since $v_z(x)$ is a distance minimizer of x to a neighborhood of $v_z(x)$ in $[z]_0$ (Proposition 35(a)). It follows that

$$\left| \frac{\|v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)\|}{\|x_n - y_n\|} \left\langle x_n, \frac{v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)}{\|v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)\|} \right\rangle \right| \leq C \left| \left\langle x_n, \frac{v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)}{\|v_z(x_n) - v_z(y_n)\|} \right\rangle \right| \rightarrow C |\langle x, t \rangle| = 0.$$

By taking subsequences, we have $\frac{x_n - y_n}{\|x_n - y_n\|} \rightarrow u_0$ for some $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|u_0\| = 1$. It follows that

$$\frac{\langle x_n, v_z(x_n) \rangle - \langle y_n, v_z(y_n) \rangle}{\|x_n - y_n\|} \rightarrow \langle v_z(x), u_0 \rangle = \langle z, u_0 \rangle. \quad (19)$$

Next, we claim that $1 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_n - y_n\|}{d([x_n], [y_n])}$ is upper bounded. To this end, observe that so far, $z \in Q_x^i$ with $L([z]) \neq \emptyset$ has been arbitrary and $u_0 \in N_x$ does not depend on z . In particular, z may as well have been chosen to be in the set S given by (H2). For $z' \in S$, we have $v_{z'}(x) = z'$ so that $\langle v_{z'}(x), u_0 \rangle = \langle z', u_0 \rangle$. Since S is spanning, we can pick z' so that $\langle v_{z'}(x), u_0 \rangle \neq 0$. Now, by Theorem 31, we have

$$\left| \frac{\Psi_i([x_n], [z']) - \Psi_i([y_n], [z'])}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \right| \leq \|z'\| < \infty.$$

On the other hand, by (19) and since $\langle v_{z'}(x), u_0 \rangle \neq 0$ and $z' \in L([z'])$, there exists $d > 0$ such that after taking a subsequence,

$$\left| \frac{\langle x_n, v_{z'}(x_n) \rangle - \langle y_n, v_{z'}(y_n) \rangle}{\|x_n - y_n\|} \right| > d.$$

Hence, by (18), we get $1 \leq \frac{\|x_n - y_n\|}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \leq \frac{D}{d} < \infty$ for all n which proves the claim. We take a further subsequence so that $\frac{\|x_n - y_n\|}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \rightarrow c_0 := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_n - y_n\|}{d([x_n], [y_n])} \in [1, \infty)$. The result now follows by taking $u = c_0 \cdot u_0$ and combining equations (18) and (19). \square

B.2 Proof of Lemma 61

Fix arbitrary $x \in P(G)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, |\pi_0(G)|\}$ and $x_n, y_n \rightarrow x$ with $[x_n] \neq [y_n]$ and $x_n, y_n \in N_x$. By Lemma 112, we only need to show that $v_z^i(x) \in L([z])$ for all $z \in Q_x^i$ and to verify (H1) and (H2).

With $z \in V_x^i$, then by Lemma 45, we have $x \in V_z^i \subseteq Q_z^i$. Then, (H1) follows from the characterization Lemma 44(c); note that in the right-hand side of the characterization, $[q]_0 = [z]_0$ by Lemma 38 and $x \in V_{[z]_0}^i$. Next, $v_z^i(x) = z$ and v_z^i is smooth over Q_z^i . Since $x \in Q_z^i$, we obtain that $S^i(z, x_n) = \{v_z^i(x_n)\}$ and similarly for y_n , and by taking limits in n , we get $L([z]) = \{v_z^i(x)\}$. Since $z \in V_x^i$ was arbitrary and V_x^i is open in N_x (Lemma 43), (H2) follows by taking any spanning subset of V_x^i and noting that $L([z]) = \{z\}$ for $z \in V_x^i$.

C Finite-Index Stabilizer Groups - Proof of Theorem 71

We will need two propositions before proceeding with the proof. The following proposition follows from Proposition 2.29 and the discussion preceding Example 2C.4 in [21]:

Proposition 114. $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the only nontrivial group that can act freely on classical projective spaces $\mathbb{C}P^{l-1}$ and $\mathbb{H}P^{l-1}$. In the complex projective case, it is necessary that $l - 1$ is odd and in the quaternionic projective case, it is necessary that $l = 2$.

The following proposition follows from Lemma 5 in [33] and Lemma 2.1 and the discussion following Remark 3.1 in [18]:

Proposition 115. Suppose $G \leq O(d)$ is compact. Let \mathbb{S} denote the sphere in \mathbb{R}^d . If G is not transitive over \mathbb{S} and if \mathbb{S}/G is a manifold, then the following hold:

- (a) G has trivial principal isotropy group.
- (b) The identity component G_0 of G satisfies $G_0 \cong S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ or $G_0 \cong S^3 \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ with actions given by left scalar multiplication over $\mathbb{R}^d \cong_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^d \cong_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}^n$ respectively.

Proof of Theorem 71.

Step 1. Invariant Subspace of Finite Orbits. Since G has finitely many connected components, there are finitely many finite-index stabilizers. Let W be the union of their 1-eigenspaces. Then, $x \in W$ if and only if x has finite orbit. Observe that W is a linear space:

if $x, y \in W$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $[ax + by] \subseteq a[x] + b[y]$ has finite size meaning $ax + by \in W$. Moreover, W is G -invariant: x has finite orbit implies $[x] \subseteq W$. If $W = \mathbb{R}^d$, then G is a finite group: otherwise, principal stabilizers have finite index and so G_0 belongs to every principal stabilizer hence every stabilizer by normality, meaning $G_0 = \{\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^d}\}$. As such, case (a) follows when $W = \mathbb{R}^d$.

Step 2. Principal Invariant Subspace. To this end, assume $W \neq \mathbb{R}^d$. It follows that \mathbb{R}^d has an orthogonal G -invariant decomposition $W \oplus_G V$ where every nonzero $y \in V$ is principal.

Let $G_V \leq O(V)$ be the restriction of G to V . Denote the restriction by $\rho: G \rightarrow G_V$. The kernel of ρ is trivial: if $g \in G$ fixes V , then g belongs to every principal stabilizer and hence g fixes \mathbb{R}^d i.e. $g = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$. As such, ρ is a group isomorphism.

Moreover, all stabilizers in G_V of nonzero elements in V form the collection $\{\rho(G_p)\}_{G_p \in (G_P)}$ and this forms a single conjugacy class in G_V . It follows that G_V is principal over V and hence \mathbb{S}_V/G_V is a manifold, where \mathbb{S}_V is the sphere in V . Let G_V^0 denote the identity component of G_V .

Step 3. Transitive Case. If the action of G_V is transitive over \mathbb{S}_V , then so is the action of G_V^0 and so every principal isotropy group of G_V (hence G) intersects every connected component of G_V (hence G). It follows that every orbit of G has exactly one connected component. Then, by orbit finiteness, G acts trivially on W and case (b) follows.

Step 4. Non-Transitive Case. If the action of G_V is not transitive, then since \mathbb{S}_V/G_V is a manifold and by Proposition 115, it follows that G_V has trivial principal isotropy group and the connected component G_V^0 of G_V satisfies $G_V^0 \cong S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ or $G_V^0 \cong S^3 \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ with actions given by left scalar multiplication over $\mathbb{R}^d \cong_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^d \cong_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}^n$ respectively. The quotients are classical projective spaces denoted by $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ and $\mathbb{H}P^{n-1}$ respectively.

When G_V (hence G) is connected, cases (c) and (d) follow since in these cases, the only finite-index stabilizer is the whole group G .

Now, suppose $g \in G_V$ is such that $g \notin G_V^0$. Then, by principality, $\bar{f} := g|_{\mathbb{S}_V}$ is free. By normality of G_V^0 and for every $g_0 \in G_V^0$, there exists $g'_0 \in G_V^0$ such that $\bar{f}g_0 = g'_0\bar{f}$. Since $\bar{f} \notin G_V^0$, we obtain that \bar{f} maps a G_V^0 orbit to a distinct G_V^0 orbit. As such, \bar{f} induces a free map f on \mathbb{S}_V/G_V^0 . Let $p \geq 2$ be the minimal integer such that $\bar{f}^p \in G_V^0$. Then, $\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}p = \{f^i\}_{i=1}^p$ acts freely on \mathbb{S}_V/G_V^0 . By Proposition 114, it necessarily holds that $p = 2$, that is, f is a free involution.

Step 5. Complex Case. First, we consider the case $G_V^0 \cong S^1$. Proposition 114 entails that $V \cong_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Since f is a free involution, $V \cong_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C})^n$ where over each copy $\bar{f}(\mathbb{C} \oplus 0) = 0 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and vice versa.

To this end, we analyze the case $n = 1$. There exists $w_1, w_2 \in S^1$ and φ_1, φ_2 where φ_j is either identity or conjugation such that $\bar{f}(z_1, z_2) = (w_2\varphi_2z_2, w_1\varphi_1z_1)$.

Also, if $\bar{f}^2 = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$, then \bar{f} is orthogonal and symmetric. By freeness of \bar{f} , the spectrum is given by $\{-1, -1\}$. As such, we have $\bar{f} = -\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ so f fixes $\mathbb{C}P^1$, which contradicts the freeness of f . As such, \bar{f}^2 is free.

Now, since φ_j is a multiplicative homomorphism, we have

$$\bar{f}^2(z_1, z_2) = (w_2\varphi_2w_1\varphi_2\varphi_1z_1, w_1\varphi_1w_2\varphi_1\varphi_2z_2).$$

We need $\varphi_2\varphi_1 = 1$ since otherwise, we solve $z_1 = w_2\varphi_2w_1\bar{z}_1$ for $z_1 \in S^1$ to get a fixed point

of \bar{f}^2 .

If $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 1$, then $\bar{f}(z_1, z_2) = (w_2 z_2, w_1 z_1)$ induces f with a fixed orbit: pick $g \in S^1$ such that $g = w_2 \bar{g} w_1$ and pick $z_1, z_2 \in S^1$ in such a way that $z_2 = \bar{g} w_1 z_1$; then, $g z_1 = w_2 \bar{g} w_1 z_1 = w_2 z_2$ so $\bar{f}(z_1, z_2) = g \cdot (z_1, z_2)$. This contradicts the freeness of f .

Hence, $\bar{f}(z_1, z_2) = (w_2 \bar{z}_2, w_1 \bar{z}_1)$ so that $\bar{f}^2(z_1, z_2) = (w_2 \bar{w}_1 z_1, w_1 \bar{w}_2 z_2)$. Now, f^2 fixes orbits so \bar{f}^2 belongs to G_V^0 by freeness and hence $w_2 \bar{w}_1 = w_1 \bar{w}_2$ is real but not equal to 1 since $\bar{f}^2 \neq \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$. Hence, $w_2 \bar{w}_1 = -1$ and $w_2 = -w_1$ so by a translation $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto w_2 \cdot (z_1, z_2)$, we obtain $\bar{f}(z_1, z_2) = (-\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$ as desired.

Step 6. Quaternionic Case. Next, we tackle the case $\mathbb{H}P^1$. Again by similar arguments as before, we have $\bar{f}(\mathbb{H} \oplus 0) = 0 \oplus \mathbb{H}$ and vice versa, and we have that \bar{f}^2 is free. Moreover, \bar{f} maps every nonzero S^3 -orbit to a distinct S^3 -orbit while \bar{f}^2 maps every S^3 -orbit into itself.

By Van Elfrinkhof's formula [32], there exists unit quaternions $w_1, w_2, p_1, p_2 \in S^3$ and φ_1, φ_2 where φ_j is either identity or conjugation such that

$$\bar{f}(q_1, q_2) = (p_1 \varphi_1 q_2 p_2, w_1 \varphi_2 q_1 w_2).$$

If both φ_1 and φ_2 are identity, then f has a fixed orbit: pick any $q_1 \in S^3$ and pick $g \in S^3$ such that $g q_1 = p_1 \bar{g} w_1 q_1 w_2 p_2$ (this is equivalent to $(\bar{p}_1 g)^2 = \bar{p}_1 w_1 q_1 w_2 p_2 \bar{q}_1$) and take $q_2 = \bar{g} w_1 q_1 w_2$ so that $g q_2 = w_1 q_1 w_2$. Then, $g q_1 = p_1 \bar{g} w_1 q_1 w_2 p_2 = p_1 q_2 p_2$ so $\bar{f}(q_1, q_2) = g \cdot (q_1, q_2)$. This contradicts the freeness of f .

If say $\varphi_2 = 1$ while φ_1 is conjugation, then $\bar{f}(q_1, q_2) = (p_1 \bar{q}_2 p_2, w_1 q_1 w_2)$ and so $\bar{f}^2(q_1, q_2) = (p_1 \bar{w}_2 \bar{q}_1 \bar{w}_1 p_2, w_1 p_1 \bar{q}_2 p_2 w_2)$. Then, we may find $q_1, q_2 \in S^3$ such that $q_1 = p_1 \bar{w}_2 \bar{q}_1 \bar{w}_1 p_2$ (equivalently $(w_2 \bar{p}_1 q_1)^2 = w_2 \bar{p}_1 \bar{w}_1 p_2$) and $q_2 = w_1 p_1 \bar{q}_2 p_2 w_2$. This contradicts the freeness of \bar{f}^2 .

As such,

$$\bar{f}(q_1, q_2) = (p_1 \bar{q}_2 p_2, w_1 \bar{q}_1 w_2),$$

and

$$\bar{f}^2(q_1, q_2) = (p_1 \bar{w}_2 q_1 \bar{w}_1 p_2, w_1 \bar{p}_2 q_2 \bar{p}_1 w_2).$$

Since f is an involution of $\mathbb{H}P^1$, then for every $q_1, q_2 \in S^3$, there exists $g \in S^3$, such that $\bar{f}^2(q_1, q_2) = g \cdot (q_1, q_2)$. It follows that

$$p_1 \bar{w}_2 q_1 \bar{w}_1 p_2 \bar{q}_1 = w_1 \bar{p}_2 q_2 \bar{p}_1 w_2 \bar{q}_2 \tag{20}$$

for all $q_1, q_2 \in S^3$. In particular, $p_1 \bar{w}_2 q_1 \bar{w}_1 p_2 \bar{q}_1$ is constant in $q_1 \in S^3$. By setting $q_1 \in \{1, w_1\}$, we obtain $p_1 \bar{w}_2 \bar{w}_1 p_2 = p_1 \bar{w}_2 p_2 \bar{w}_1$ meaning $\bar{w}_1 p_2 = p_2 \bar{w}_1$. In commutator terms, $[\bar{w}_1, p_2] = 0$. By conjugation and symmetry, we have

$$[\bar{w}_1, p_2] = [\bar{w}_2, p_1] = [w_1, \bar{p}_2] = [w_2, \bar{p}_1] = 0. \tag{21}$$

By setting $q_1 \in \{w_2, w_2 \bar{p}_1\}$, we obtain $p_1 \bar{w}_1 p_2 \bar{w}_2 = \bar{w}_1 p_2 p_1 \bar{w}_2$ meaning $p_1 \bar{w}_1 p_2 = \bar{w}_1 p_2 p_1 = p_2 \bar{w}_1 p_1$. Similarly, by setting $q_2 \in \{w_2, p_2\}$, we obtain $w_1 \bar{p}_2 w_2 \bar{p}_1 = w_1 \bar{p}_1 w_2 \bar{p}_2$ meaning $p_1 \bar{w}_2 p_2 = p_2 \bar{w}_2 p_1$. By symmetry, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} p_1 \bar{w}_2 p_2 = p_2 \bar{w}_2 p_1 \\ p_1 \bar{w}_1 p_2 = p_2 \bar{w}_1 p_1 \\ w_1 \bar{p}_2 w_2 = w_2 \bar{p}_2 w_1 \\ w_1 \bar{p}_1 w_2 = w_2 \bar{p}_1 w_1 \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

By setting $q_1 = 1$ and $q_2 = p_2\bar{w}_1$, we also get

$$p_1\bar{w}_2\bar{w}_1p_2 = \bar{p}_1w_2w_1\bar{p}_2. \quad (23)$$

We use equations (21), (22) and (23) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p}_1w_2w_1\bar{p}_2 &= p_1\bar{w}_2\bar{w}_1p_2 \\ &= \bar{w}_2p_1\bar{w}_1p_2 \\ &= \bar{w}_2p_2\bar{w}_1p_1 \\ &= \bar{w}_1p_2\bar{w}_2p_1 \\ &= p_2\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2p_1. \end{aligned}$$

As such, $p_2\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2p_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ so $p_2\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2p_1 = \pm 1$. Suppose $p_2\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2p_1 = 1$. Then, $w_2w_1 = p_1p_2$ and so

$$\bar{f}^2(0, 1) = (0, w_1\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_1w_2) = (0, w_1\overline{w_2\bar{w}_1}w_2) = (0, 1).$$

By freeness of \bar{f}^2 and by symmetry, we obtain $p_2\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2p_1 = -1$ and $w_2\bar{p}_1\bar{p}_2w_1 = -1$. Recall by (20) that $g(q_2) := w_1\bar{p}_2q_2\bar{p}_1w_2\bar{q}_2$ is constant for all $q_2 \in S^3$. Since $g(p_2\bar{w}_1) = \bar{p}_1w_2w_1\bar{p}_2 = \overline{-1} = -1$. It follows that $-w_2\bar{q}_2w_1 = p_1\bar{q}_2p_2$ for all $q_2 \in S^3$.

As such,

$$\bar{f}(q_1, q_2) = (-w_2\bar{q}_2w_1, w_1\bar{q}_1w_2).$$

Now, take $q_1 = jw_1$, $g = i$ and $q_2 = \bar{g}w_1\bar{q}_1w_2$. Then, $gq_2 = w_1\bar{q}_1w_2$. Hence, we have the following chain of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(q_1, q_2) = g \cdot (q_1, q_2) &\iff gq_1 = -w_2\bar{q}_2w_1 \\ &\iff gq_1 = -w_2\bar{w}_2q_1\bar{w}_1gw_1 \\ &\iff g(q_1\bar{w}_1) = -(q_1\bar{w}_1)g \\ &\iff ij = -ji. \end{aligned}$$

The last statement holds true and this contradicts the freeness of f . The result follows. \square

D Non-Differentiability of Bilipschitz Invariants

In this section, we extend Theorem 21 in [9] to the case of compact group acting on finite-dimensional real Hilbert spaces. We show that for compact groups, differentiability of an invariant map at a nonprincipal point forces any local lower Lipschitz bound there to be zero. In what follows, suppose that $G \leq O(d)$ is compact.

Proposition 116 (Lemma 20 in [9]). *Suppose $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is G -invariant and differentiable at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, for each $g \in G$, it holds that f is differentiable at gx with $Df(gx) = Df(x) \circ g^{-1}$.*

Theorem 117. *Suppose $x \in P(G)^c$, and consider any G -invariant map $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ that is differentiable at x . Then, the following hold*

(a) *There exists a unit vector $v \in N_x \cap x^\perp$ such that $Df(x)v = 0$.*

(b) *The induced map $f^\downarrow: \mathbb{R}^d/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is not lower Lipschitz.*

(c) *If $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, the restriction of the induced map $f^\downarrow|_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}$ is not lower Lipschitz.*

Proof. For (a), we begin by taking $G_p \leq G_x$ (Proposition 109) to be a principal stabilizer of some $p \in P(G) \cap V_x^1$. Pick $g \in G_x \setminus G_p$. By the same argument as in Theorem 21(a) in [9], it suffices to show $\ker(g - \text{id})^\perp \cap N_x$ is nonzero. By Proposition 21, we have that T_x and N_x are invariant under the action of g , so g splits as a block matrix and its 1-eigenspace $E := \ker(g - \text{id}) = (E \cap T_x) \oplus (E \cap N_x)$ is an orthogonal direct sum. It follows that $E^\perp \cap N_x = \{0\}$ if and only if $N_x \subseteq E$ which is false since $gp \neq p$.

For (b) and (c), the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 21 in [9] hold. The only modification is that due to Proposition 35(b) applied to $z = x$, we have $x \in V_x^1$, and due to (a), it remains true that for small enough $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $x + tv \in V_x^1$ so that $d([x + tv], [x]) = |t|$. \square