

REAL GROUPS, SYMMETRIC VARIETIES AND LANGLANDS DUALITY

TSAO-HSIEN CHEN AND DAVID NADLER

ABSTRACT. Let $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a connected real reductive group and let X be the corresponding complex symmetric variety under the Cartan bijection. We construct a canonical equivalence between the relative Satake category of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on the loop space $X(\mathcal{K})$ and the real Satake category of $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -equivariant \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on the real affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} = G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})/G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$. We show that the equivalence is t -exact with respect to the natural perverse t -structures and is compatible with the fusion products and Hecke actions. We further show that the relative Satake category is equivalent to the category of \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on the moduli stack $\mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundles on the real projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and hence provides a connection between the relative Langlands program and the geometric Langlands program for real groups.

We provide numerous applications of the main theorems to real and relative Langlands duality including the formality and commutativity conjectures for the real and relative Satake categories and an identification of the dual groups for $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and X .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Main results	4
1.2. Applications	5
1.3. Organization	9
1.4. Acknowledgements	9
2. Orbits on Gr and $X(\mathcal{K})$	9
2.1. Loop groups	9
2.2. Parametrization of orbits	11
3. The Matsuki flow	12
3.1. Polynomial Loop spaces of X_c	12
3.2. Geometry of orbits	12
3.3. The energy flow on ΩG_c	13
3.4. The Matsuki flow on Gr	14
4. Real Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannians	17
4.1. Real affine Grassmannians	17
4.2. Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannians	18

4.3.	Real forms of Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannians	19
5.	Multi-point generalizations	20
5.1.	Multi-point version of real loop groups	20
5.2.	Generalization of Gram-Schmidt factorization	21
5.3.	Multi-point version of ΩX_c	22
6.	Uniformizations of real bundles	23
6.1.	Stack of real bundles	23
6.2.	Uniformizations of real bundles	24
6.3.	Multi-point uniformization	28
7.	Quasi-maps and Quillen's homeomorphism	28
7.1.	Definition of quasi-maps	29
7.2.	Real forms of quasi-maps	29
7.3.	Morphisms	29
7.4.	Uniformizations of quasi-maps	29
7.5.	Quillen's homeomorphism	30
7.6.	Trivialization of real quasi-maps	32
7.7.	Flows on quasi-maps	32
8.	Real-symmetric equivalence	33
8.1.	Placid stacks	33
8.2.	Stacks admitting gluing of sheaves	35
8.3.	Real and relative Satake categories	37
8.4.	Perverse t-structures	37
8.5.	Real-symmetric equivalence for affine Grassmannians	39
9.	Affine Matsuki correspondence for sheaves	41
9.1.	The functor Υ	41
9.2.	Bijection between local systems	41
9.3.	Standard and co-standard sheaves	43
9.4.	Fully-faithfulness	45
9.5.	Proof of Theorem 9.1	48
10.	Nearby cycles functors and Radon transforms	48
10.1.	A square of equivalences	48
10.2.	The nearby cycles functor Ψ	49
10.3.	The Radon transform	49
10.4.	The functor $\Psi_{\mathbb{R}}$	50
10.5.	Proof of Theorem 10.1 and Theorem 10.2	50
11.	Compatibility of Hecke actions	50
11.1.	Hecke actions	51

11.2. From complex to real kernels	51
11.3. Compatibility of actions	52
12. Compatibility with fusion product	53
12.1. Fusion product for $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$	53
12.2. Fusion product for $X(\mathcal{K})$	54
13. Applications	56
13.1. t -exactness criterion and semi-simplicity of Hecke actions	56
13.2. Formality and commutativity of dg Ext algebras	57
13.3. Identification of dual groups	59
Appendix A. Semi-analytic stacks	66
A.1. Basic definitions	66
A.2. From stacks to semi-analytic stacks	67
A.3. Constructible complexes	68
References	69

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real form of a connected complex reductive group G . Let $X = K \backslash G$ be the associated symmetric variety under Cartan's bijection, where K is the complexification of a maximal compact subgroup $K_c \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$. A fundamental feature of the representation theory of the real group $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is that many results of an analytic nature have equivalent purely algebraic geometry formulations in terms of the corresponding symmetric variety X . We will call this broad phenomenon the real-symmetric correspondence.

In this paper we study the real-symmetric correspondence in the framework of Langlands duality. We show that there is an equivalence between the relative Satake category of X and the real Satake category of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ with remarkable properties (Theorem 1.1). We further show that the relative Satake category is equivalent to the dg derived category of sheaves on the moduli stack of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundles on the real projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$, hence provides a connection between real and relative Langlands programs (Theorem 1.2). The proof relies on three geometric results: (1) a multi-point version of Quillen's homeomorphism between the loop spaces for compact symmetric varieties and real affine Grassmannians (Section 7) (2) Morse-theoretic construction of the Matsuki correspondence for the affine Grassmannian (Section 3) (3) uniformization of moduli stack of quasi-maps and real bundles. (Section 6).

We provide numerous applications of the main results to real and relative Langlands duality including semi-simplicity and t -exactness criteria of the Hecke actions, the formality and commutativity conjectures for the real and relative Satake categories, and an identification of the (Tannakian) dual groups for $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and X . The last application provides an explicit description of the dual group of X answering a basic open question in relative Langlands duality.

We now describe the paper in more details.

1.1. **Main results.** Let $\text{Gr} = G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ be the affine Grassmannian for G and let $D(G(\mathcal{O})\backslash\text{Gr})$ be the Satake category of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on Gr . One of the foundational result in Langlands duality is the geometric Satake equivalence [BD, G, Lu, MV]

$$\text{Perv}(G(\mathcal{O})\backslash\text{Gr}) \simeq \text{Rep}(G^\vee)$$

providing a description of the category of representations of the Langlands dual group G^\vee in terms of the abelian Satake category $\text{Perv}(G(\mathcal{O})\backslash\text{Gr}) \subset D(G(\mathcal{O})\backslash\text{Gr})$ of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant perverse sheaves on Gr .

Let $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} = G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})/G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the real affine Grassmanian of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $X(\mathcal{K})$ be the loop space of X . We are interested in the real Satake category $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ of $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -equivariant \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the relative Satake category $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on $X(\mathcal{K})$. Those categories are one of the main players in the geometric Langlands for real groups [BZN] and the relative Langlands program [BZSV].

In this paper we will assume $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is connected (equivalently, K is connected). Our first main result is a remarkable equivalence between the real and relative Satake category, called the real-symmetric equivalence:

Theorem 1.1. *There is a natural equivalence*

$$D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) \simeq D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

which is t -exact with respect to the perverse t -structures and is compatible with the fusion products and Hecke actions of $\text{Rep}(G^\vee)$.

Theorem 1.1 is the combination of Theorem 8.14, Theorem 11.1, and Theorem 12.2 in the text; we refer to Section 8, Section 11 and Section 12 for a more detailed explanation of the statement, including the definition of t -structures, fusion products, and Hecke actions. The main ingredient in the proof is a multi-point version of Quillen's homeomorphism between the loop spaces for compact symmetric varieties and the real affine Grassmannians, see Theorem 7.5.

To state our second main result, let $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ be the real analytic stack of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundles on the real projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Let $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the polynomial loop group and polynomial arc group of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively. Denote by $D(\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})))$ the dg category of \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on the real analytic stack $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$, and by $D(LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr})$ (resp. $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$) the dg categories of $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant (resp. $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])$ -equivariant) \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on Gr respectively.

Theorem 1.2. *There are natural commutative diagram of equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \Upsilon \downarrow \simeq & & \Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}} \downarrow \simeq \\ D(LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ & \searrow \simeq & \swarrow \simeq \\ & D(\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))) & \end{array}$$

where Υ is the so called affine Matsuki equivalence, $\Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Radon transform, the horizontal equivalences are nearby cycles functors along quasi-maps family, and the vertical equivalences in the lower triangle are induced from the complex and real uniformizations of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundles

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \xleftarrow{\simeq} G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} .$$

Moreover, the equivalences above are compatible with the natural Hecke actions of $\text{Rep}(G^{\vee})$.

Theorem 1.2 is restated in Theorem 10.2. We refer to Section 9 and Section 10 for a more detailed explanation of the statement. The main step in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the affine Matsuki equivalence Υ whose proof relies on a Morse-theoretic construction (Theorem 3.9) of the Matsuki correspondence for the affine Grassmannian in [N1]: an isomorphism between $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits poset on $X(\mathcal{K})$ (or rather $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits poset on Gr) and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits poset on Gr .

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 provide a connection between relative Langlands program and geometric Langlands program for real groups and we expect applications of such a connection to both subjects. For example, Theorem 1.2 has been used in [CMNO] to establish versions of the relative Langland duality conjecture and geometric Langlands for $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ in the case $(G_{\mathbb{R}}, X) = (\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{H}), \text{Sp}_{2n} \backslash \text{GL}_{2n})$ where $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{H})$ is the real quaternionic linear group (see next section for more applications).

Remark 1.3. In the case when $G_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq H$ is a connected complex reductive group viewed as a real group, Theorem (1.2) recovers the results of V. Lafforgue [La, Proposition 2.1] saying that there are equivalences

$$(1.1) \quad D(H(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \text{Gr}_H) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D(H(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \text{Gr}_H) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D(\text{Bun}_H(\mathbb{P}^1))$$

where the first equivalence is given by the Radon transform and the second equivalence comes from the uniformization isomorphism $H(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \text{Gr}_H \simeq \text{Bun}_H(\mathbb{P}^1)$.

Remark 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.2 incorporated technical material in [CN2] on Matsuki equivalence for affine grassmannians but with many new results, including a proof of a conjecture on identification of the spherical and real dual groups in [CN2, Section 1.4.2] (see Section 1.2.5 for more details). On the other hand, an updated version of [CN2] will include various generalizations of the main results in *loc. cit.*, including the case for affine flag varieties.

1.2. Applications. Our main results allow one to use powerful algebraic geometry tools on the symmetric side (e.g., Deligne's theory of weights) to study questions on the real side, and conversely, to use the concrete geometry on the real side (e.g., the real affine Grassmannian or moduli of real bundles) to study questions on the symmetric side. Here are a few notable examples.

1.2.1. Semi-simplicity of Hecke actions.

Corollary 1.5 (Theorem 13.3). *The Hecke action of $\text{Rep}(G^{\vee})$ on the real Satake category $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ (resp. the relative Satake category $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$) preserves semi-simplicity, that is, it maps semi-simple objects to semi-simple objects.*

In the case of relative Satake category the corollary above is a direct consequence of the decomposition theorem in complex algebraic geometry. However, it is not obvious in the case of real Satake category since decomposition theorem might not hold in the real analytic setting.

1.2.2. t -exactness criterion of Hecke actions.

Corollary 1.6 (Theorem 13.1). *The Hecke action of $\text{Rep}(G^\vee)$ on the relative Satake category $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ (resp. the real Satake category $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$) is t -exact with respect to the perverse t -structure if and only if X is quasi-split (resp. $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is quasi-split).*

In the case of real Satake category the corollary follows from the semi-smallness of the convolution morphisms for real affine Grassmannian and the t -exactness criterion of nearby cycles functor in [N2, Theorem 1.2.3]. On the other hand, the corresponding t -exactness criterion for the relative Satake category is not obvious due the complicated spherical geometry of the loop space $X(\mathcal{K})$.

1.2.3. *Formality and commutativity of dg Ext algebras.* The next corollary confirms the formality and commutativity conjecture for the real and relative Satake category (see, e.g., [BZSV, Conjecture 8.1.8]). Recall the dg extension algebras $A_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{RHom}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}(\delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \star \text{IC}_{reg})$ and $A_X = \text{RHom}_{D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))}(\omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})}, \omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})} \star \text{IC}_{reg})$ for $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ (see Section 13.2 for the precise definition). Note that both $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ and A_X carry natural G^\vee -actions induced from the one on IC_{reg} .

Corollary 1.7 (Theorem 13.4). *(1) There is a G^\vee -equivariant isomorphism of dg algebras $A_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq A_X$. (2) The dg algebras $A_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq A_X$ are formal, that is, they are quasi-isomorphic to the cohomology algebras $H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}}) \simeq H^\bullet(A_X)$ with trivial differentials. (3) The cohomology algebras $H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}}) \simeq H^\bullet(A_X)$ are commutative.*

The formality of A_X is proved in [CY, Theorem 27] using a pointwise purity result for IC-complexes of spherical orbits in $X(\mathcal{K})$.¹ Thus the formality for $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a bit surprising and non-obvious since Hodge theory or the theory of weights is not available on the real analytic setting. The proof of the commutativity of $H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}})$ is similar to the case of complex groups studied in [ABG, BFN].

Remark 1.8. The formality of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ in the case of the real quaternionic group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{H})$ was proved in [CMNO] by a different method. It relies on an explicit computation of a morphism $A \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{R}}$ from the dg Ext algebra A for the Satake category of the complex group GL_{2n} to $A_{\mathbb{R}}$. The argument in *loc. cit.* uses some particular properties of the real quaternionic group which might not hold for other real groups (but it provides more information about $A_{\mathbb{R}}$).

¹In [CY, Theorem 27], we only treat the case of classical symmetric varieties. Thanks to the work of Drinfeld and Bouthier [D, B], we now know that $X(\mathcal{K})$ is $G(\mathcal{O})$ -ind placid and the argument in *loc. cit.* can be generalized to the general case. The details will appear in the revised version of [CY].

1.2.4. Hamiltonian duals of X and $G_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 1.9. Introduce the affine schemes $M_X^{\vee} = \text{Spec}(H^{\bullet}(A_X))$ and $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} = \text{Spec}(H^{\bullet}(A_{\mathbb{R}}))$. Inspired by the work of [BZSV] and [BFN] on relative Langlands duality and Coulomb branches, we will call M_X^{\vee} and $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$ the Hamiltonian dual of X and $G_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let $D_c(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) \subset D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ and $D_c(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the (non co-complete) full subcategories consisting of constructible complexes that are extensions by zero off of substacks and let $D_c(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))_0 \subset D_c(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ and $D_c(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})_0 \subset D_c(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the full subcategories generated by the irreducible direct summands of $\omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})} \star \text{IC}_{reg}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{R}} \star \text{IC}_{reg}$ respectively. Denote by $\text{Coh}(M_X^{\vee}/G^{\vee})$ and $\text{Coh}(M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}/G^{\vee})$ the dg derived categories of coherent complexes on the stack M_X^{\vee}/G^{\vee} and $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}/G^{\vee}$. The following corollary follows from Corollary 1.7:

Corollary 1.10 (Theorem 13.5). (1) *There is a G^{\vee} -equivariant isomorphism $M_X^{\vee} \simeq M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$*
(2) *There are equivalences of categories*

$$(1.2) \quad D_c(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))_0 \simeq \text{Coh}(M_X^{\vee}/G^{\vee}) \quad D_c(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})_0 \simeq \text{Coh}(M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}/G^{\vee}).$$

Remark 1.11. (1) It would be nice if one can find a description of M_X^{\vee} or $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$ in terms of the combinatoric structures of X or $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. In the recent work [BZSV], the authors proposed such a description for a certain class of symmetric varieties (in fact, in *loc. cit.* they consider a more general setting of spherical varieties) (2) Due to the existence of non-trivial equivariant local systems on $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X(\mathcal{K})$ (resp. $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -orbits in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$), the relative Satake category $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ (resp. real Satake category $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$) in general might be bigger than $D_c(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))_0$ (resp. $D_c(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$) and it is an interesting question to extend the spectral description in (1.2) to the entire dg derived category. In view of Theorem 1.2, such a spectral description would imply a version of geometric Langlands on the real projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$, and vice versa.

1.2.5. *Identification of dual groups.* The paper [N1] associates to each real form $G_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G$ a connected complex reductive subgroup $H_{real}^{\vee} \subset G^{\vee}$ of the dual group.² The construction of H_{real}^{\vee} is via Tannakian formalism: the tensor category of finite-dimensional representations $\text{Rep}(H_{real}^{\vee})$ can be realized as a certain full subcategory $Q_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \text{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ of perverse sheaves on $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. In [N2, Section 10], a concrete description of H_{real}^{\vee} is given including the root datum and the Weyl group. On the other hand, the papers [GN1, GN2] associate to every spherical subgroup $K \subset G$ a reductive subgroup $H_{sph}^{\vee} \subset G^{\vee}$ of the dual group. Again, the construction of H_{sph}^{\vee} is via Tannakian formalism: its tensor category of finite-dimensional representations $\text{Rep}(H_{sph}^{\vee})$ can be realized as a certain full subcategory Q_K^{glob} of generic-Hecke equivariant perverse sheaves on the (global) moduli stack of quasi-maps with target $X = K \backslash G$. However, unlike the real group case, a concrete description of H_{sph}^{\vee} is not known. For example, the fact that the root systems or the Weyl group of H_{sph}^{\vee} is the same as that associated to X in the structure theory of spherical varieties [B, KS] remains conjectural.

²While the notation suggests regarding H_{real}^{\vee} itself as a dual group, at the moment we do not know of a concrete role for its dual group.

Consider the case when $K \subset G$ is the symmetric subgroup of a real form $G_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G$. Our last example provides a tensor equivalence between $Q_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq Q_K^{glob}$ and hence an isomorphism $H_{real}^{\vee} \simeq H_{sph}^{\vee}$ of reductive subgroups of G^{\vee} . In particular, we obtain a concrete description of H_{sph}^{\vee} answering a basic open question in relative Langlands duality:

Corollary 1.12. *There are horizontal tensor equivalences in the following commutative diagram of tensor functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Rep}(G^{\vee}) & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ Q_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & Q_K^{glob} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are given by the Hecke action of $\text{Rep}(G^{\vee})$ on the monoidal units.

Corollary 1.12 is the combination of Proposition 13.7, Proposition 13.12, and Theorem 13.14 in the text; we refer to Section 13.3 for a more detailed explanation of the statement. The main ingredient in the proof is a local-global comparison theorem for relative Satake category in Theorem 13.14.

Corollary 1.13. [GN1, Conjecture 7.3.2] *There is an isomorphism of reductive groups $H_{sph}^{\vee} \simeq H_{real}^{\vee}$. In particular, the root datum and Weyl group of H_{sph}^{\vee} are the same as that associated to X in the theory of symmetric varieties.*

Corollary 1.13 is restated in Theorem 13.15. In *loc. cit.* we also obtain a description of irreducible objects in Q_K^{glob} confirming a conjecture in [GN1].

1.2.6. *Braidings.* We conclude the introduction with the following conjecture. Note that Theorem 1.1 implies that

Corollary 1.14. *There is an equivalence*

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

of abelian categories.

We expect to prove the following.

Conjecture 1.15. (1) *There exists a natural geometric lift of the fusion product \star_f to make $\text{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ (resp. $\text{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$) a braided monoidal category. Moreover, there is an upgrade of (1.3) to an equivalence of braided monoidal categories.*

(2) *The real weight functors in [N2] defines a fiber functor $\omega : \text{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \text{SVect}$ where SVect is the tensor category of finite dimensional super vector spaces.*

Remark 1.16. (1) For (1) of the conjecture, it is possible to produce the braided monoidal structure on $\text{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ (resp. $\text{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$) via monodromy equivalences of nearby cycles (resp. compatibilities between fusion and convolution products). What is less clear is how to upgrade (1.3) to intertwine these braided monoidal structures.

(2) Unlike their full subcategories $Q_{\mathbb{R}}$ and Q_K which are abelian semi-simple and symmetric monoidal, the abelian categories $\text{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\text{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ in general are not semi-simple and we expect that the braiding is also not symmetric in general.

(3) Via the Tannakian reconstruction for braided monoidal categories the conjecture would imply that there are equivalences $\text{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) \simeq \text{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \simeq \text{Rep}(H_q^{\vee})$ where $\text{Rep}(H_q^{\vee})$ is the category of representations of a quantum supergroup (at a root of unity). This suggests that the dual groups of symmetric varieties should be *quantum supergroups*.

(4) We expect a version of Conjecture 1.15 for the whole real and relative Satake categories: both categories $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ and $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ admit natural E_2 -structures (i.e., there are locally constant factorization categories on \mathbb{R}^2) and there is an upgrade of the real-symmetric equivalence in Theorem 1.1 to an equivalence of E_2 -categories.

1.3. Organization. In Section 2, we recall the parametrization of $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits on the affine Grassmannian and $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits on $X(\mathcal{K})$. In Section 3, we construct the Matsuki flow on the affine Grassmannian and we give a Morse-theoretic interpretation and refinement of the Matsuki correspondence for the affine Grassmannian. In Section 4, we study real forms of Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannians. In Section 5, we study multi-point version of Gram-Schmidt factorization for loop groups. In Section 6, we study uniformization of real bundles. In Section 7, we study moduli of quasi-maps and we prove a multi-point version of Quillen's homeomorphism. In Section 8, we construct the real-symmetric equivalence Theorem 1.1. In Section 9, we prove the affine Matsuki correspondence for sheaves. In Section 10, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 11, we study the compatibility of Hecke actions. In Section 12, we study the compatibility of fusion products. In Section 13, we study applications of main results to real and relative Langlands duality. In Appendix A, we discuss semi-analytic stacks and categories of sheaves on semi-analytic stacks.

1.4. Acknowledgements. The authors thank David Ben-Zvi, Alexis Bouthier, Pavel Etingof, Mark Macerato, John O'Brien, Yiannis Sakellaridis, Jeremy Taylor, Akshay Venkatesh, Ruotao Yang, and Lingfei Yi for many useful discussions. T.-H. Chen also thanks the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics and D. Nadler the Miller Institute where parts of this work were done. The research of T.-H. Chen is supported by NSF grant DMS-2143722 and that of D. Nadler by NSF grant DMS-2101466.


2. ORBITS ON Gr AND $X(\mathcal{K})$

In this section we study $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits on the affine Grassmannian Gr and $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits on the formal loop space $X(\mathcal{K})$ of X .

2.1. Loop groups. Let $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a connected real reductive algebraic group, and $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ its complexification. From this starting point, one constructs the following diagram of Lie

groups

(2.1)



Here $G = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{C})$ and $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$ are the Lie groups of complex and real points respectively, K_c is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, with complexification K , and G_c is the maximal compact subgroup of G containing K_c .

The real forms $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and G_c of G correspond to anti-holomorphic involutions η and η_c . The involutions η and η_c commutes with each other and $\theta := \eta\eta_c = \eta_c\eta$ is an involution of G . We have $K = G^{\theta}$, $G_{\mathbb{R}} = G^{\eta}$, and $G_c = G^{\eta_c}$. We fix a maximal split torus $A_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a maximal torus $T_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $A_{\mathbb{R}} \subset T_{\mathbb{R}}$. We write A and T for the complexification of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $T_{\mathbb{R}}$. We denote by Λ_T the lattice of coweights of T and Λ_A the lattice of real coweights. We write Λ_T^+ the set of dominant coweight with respect to the Borel subgroup B and define $\Lambda_A^+ := \Lambda_A \cap \Lambda_T^+$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_T$ we define $\eta(\lambda) \in \Lambda_T$ as

$$\eta(\lambda) : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{c} \mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\lambda} T \xrightarrow{\eta} T,$$

where c is the complex conjugation of \mathbb{C}^{\times} with respect to \mathbb{R}^{\times} . The assignment $\lambda \rightarrow \eta(\lambda)$ defines an involution on Λ_T , which we denote by η , and Λ_A is the fixed points of η .

We have a natural projection map


$$(2.2) \quad \sigma : \Lambda_T \rightarrow \Lambda_A \quad \sigma(\lambda) = \eta(\sigma) + \sigma$$

whose image we denote by $\sigma(\Lambda_T) \subset \Lambda_A$.

Let $LG := G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ be the (polynomial) loop group associated to G . We define the following involutions on LG : for any $(\gamma : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow G) \in LG$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta^{\tau}(\gamma) &: \mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{c} \mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\gamma} G \xrightarrow{\eta} G \\
 \eta_c^{\tau}(\gamma) &: \mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{c} \mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\gamma} G \xrightarrow{\eta_c} G.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tau(x) = x^{-1}$ is the the inverse map. Denote by $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$. We have the following diagram



Here $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ and LG_c are the fixed points subgroups of the involutions η^{τ} and η_c^{τ} on LG respectively. Equivalently, $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. LG_c) is the subgroup of LG consisting of maps that

take the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ to $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. G_c). We define the based loop group ΩG_c to be the subgroup of LG_c consisting of maps that take $1 \in S^1$ to $e \in G_c$.

We define $G_{sym} \subset G$ (resp. $G_{c,sym} \subset G_c$) to be the fixed point subspace of the involution $\tilde{\theta} = \theta^{-1}$ on G (resp. G_c) and write G_{sym}^0 (resp. $G_{c,sym}^0$) be the identity component. The map $\pi : G \rightarrow G, g \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}(g)g$ induces a G -equivariant isomorphism $\iota : X \simeq G_{sym}^0$ (resp. G_c -equivariant isomorphism $\iota_c : X_c \simeq G_{c,sym}^0$). We have a natural embedding $A \hookrightarrow G_{sym}^0 \simeq X$. Let $q : G \rightarrow K \backslash G = X$ be the quotient map.

In this paper, we assume $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ (and equivalently K) is connected. Throughout this paper, we will be concerned exclusively with the topology of loop spaces and related moduli and ignore their potentially non-reduced structure.

2.2. Parametrization of orbits. Let $\text{Gr} = G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ be the affine Grassmannian of G . We recall results from [N2] about the parametrization of $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits on Gr and $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits on $X(\mathcal{K})$.

Proposition 2.1. *We have the following.*

(1) *There is a bijection*

$$|X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})| \longleftrightarrow \Lambda_A^+$$

between $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits on $X(\mathcal{K})$ and Λ_A^+ characterized by the following property. Let $X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda$ be the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits corresponding to $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$. Then for any $\gamma \in X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda$ we have $\pi(\gamma) = \tilde{\theta}(\gamma)\gamma \in G(\mathcal{O})t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})$. In addition, we have $\overline{X}(\mathcal{K})^\lambda = \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} X(\mathcal{K})^\mu$.

(2) *There is a bijection*

$$|K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}| \longleftrightarrow \Lambda_A^+$$

between $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits on Gr and Λ_A^+ characterized by the following properties: Let \mathcal{O}_K^λ be the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits corresponding to $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$. Then for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$, thought of as an element in ΩG_c , satisfies $\tilde{\theta}(\gamma)\gamma \in G(\mathbb{C}[t])t^\lambda G(\mathbb{C}[t])$. In addition, we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_K^\lambda = \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} \mathcal{O}_K^\mu$.

(3) *There is a bijection*

$$|LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}| \longleftrightarrow \Lambda_A^+$$

between $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits on Gr and Λ_A^+ characterized by the following property: Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$ be the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits corresponding to $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$. Then for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$, thought of as an element in ΩG_c , satisfies $\tilde{\eta}^\tau(\gamma)\gamma \in G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])t^\lambda G(\mathbb{C}[t])$. In addition, we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \leq \mu} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\mu$.

(4) *The projection $G \rightarrow X$ induces a bijection map between the set of orbits*

$$|K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}| \rightarrow |X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})|$$

and under the bijection in (1) and (2) it is equal to the identity map $\Lambda_A^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_A^+$.

(5) *The correspondence*

$$(2.3) \quad |K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}| \longleftrightarrow |LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}|, \quad \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$$

provides an order-reversing isomorphism from the poset $|K(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \text{Gr}|$ to the poset $|LG_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{Gr}|$ (with respect to the closure ordering). In addition, for each $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$ is the unique $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit such that

$$\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda := \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$$

is a single LK_c -orbit.

Proof. Consider the maps $\pi_1(G) \xrightarrow{q_*} \pi_1(X) \xleftarrow{[-]} \Lambda_A^+$ where the first map is that induced by the projection $q : G \rightarrow X$ and the second map $[-]$ assigns to a loop its homotopy class. We define $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_A^+$ to be the inverse image of $q_*(\pi_1(G))$ along the map $[-]$. According to [N1], the set of orbits in (2) and (3) are parametrized by the subset $\mathcal{L} \in \Lambda_A^+$. When K is connected we have $\mathcal{L} = \Lambda_A^+$ and the proposition follows from [N1, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 10.1]. \square

We will call (2.3) the Affine Matsuki correspondence. This correspondence can be viewed as an affine version of the classical Matsuki correspondence for flag manifolds in [MUV].

3. THE MATSUKI FLOW

In this section we construct a Morse flow on the affine Grassmannian, called the Matsuki flow, and we use it to give a Morse-theoretic interpretation and refinement of the affine Matsuki correspondence.

3.1. Polynomial Loop spaces of X_c . Let $X_c = K_c \setminus G_c$ be the compact symmetric space. Let $LX = X(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ be the space of (polynomial) loop space of X and $LX_c \subset LX$ be the subspace of LX consisting of maps that takes S^1 into X_c . We define the based loop space ΩX_c to be the subspace of LX_c consisting of maps that takes $1 \in S^1$ to $e \in X_c$. The embedding $X_c \simeq G_{c, \text{sym}}^0 \subset G_c$ induces an K_c -equivariant isomorphism $\Omega X_c \simeq \Omega G_{c, \text{sym}}^0 \simeq (\Omega G_c)^{\hat{\theta}}$.

3.2. Geometry of orbits. We embed $\Omega X_c \subset \Omega G_c$ via the embedding $X_c \xrightarrow{\iota_c} G_{c, \text{sym}}^0 \subset G_c$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$, we define $P^\lambda \subset \Omega X_c$ to be the intersection of ΩX_c with the orbit $S^\lambda \subset \Omega G_c \simeq \text{Gr}$, and we define $Q^\lambda \subset \Omega X_c$ to be the intersection of ΩX_c with the orbit $T^\lambda \subset \Omega G_c \simeq \text{Gr}$. We define B^λ to be the intersection of ΩX_c with $C^\lambda \subset \Omega G_c \simeq \text{Gr}$. The projection map $G \rightarrow X$ induces a projection $\Omega G_c \rightarrow \Omega X_c$.

Lemma 3.1. *We have $\Omega X_c = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} P^\lambda$. Equivalently, P^λ is non-empty if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$.*

Proof. The isomorphism $\iota : X \simeq G_{\text{sym}}^0$ induces an embedding $X(\mathcal{K}) \subset G(\mathcal{K})$ and it follows from Proposition 2.1 (1) that $X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda := X(\mathcal{K}) \cap G(\mathcal{O})t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})$ is nonempty if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$. Since $P^\lambda = \Omega X_c \cap X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda$, it implies P^λ is non-empty if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$ and we conclude that $\Omega X_c = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+} P^\lambda = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} P^\lambda$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *We have the following.*

- (1) *The projection $q : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \Omega X_c$ maps \mathcal{O}_K^λ into P^λ and the resulting map $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \rightarrow P^\lambda$ induces a homeomorphism $\Omega K_c \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \simeq P^\lambda$.*

- (2) The projection $q : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \Omega X_c$ maps $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$ into Q^λ and the resulting map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow Q^\lambda$ induces a homeomorphism $\Omega K_c \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \simeq Q^\lambda$
- (3) There is a K_c -equivariant stratified homeomorphism $\Omega K_c \setminus \Omega G_c \simeq \Omega X_c$.

Proof. Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$. Proposition 2.1 together with the fact that $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\eta}^\tau$ on ΩG_c imply $q(\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda) \subset P^\lambda$ and $q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda) \subset Q^\lambda$. By [N1, Proposition 6.3], the restriction of the energy function E to P^λ is Bott-Morse and B^λ is the only critical manifold. Since K_c is connected and acts transitively on B^λ , it follows that B^λ and hence P^λ connected. Now [N2, Proposition 6.4] implies $P^\lambda \subset q(\Omega G_c)$. Thus $q(\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda) = P^\lambda$ and part (1) follows. For part (2) we observe that $Q^\lambda = \bigcup_{\lambda \leq \mu, \mu \in \Lambda_S^+} Q^\lambda \cap P^\mu$. Since $B^\lambda = Q^\lambda \cap P^\lambda$ is in the closure of $Q^\lambda \cap P^\mu$, Lemma 3.1 implies $Q^\lambda = \bigcup_{\lambda \leq \mu, \mu \in \Lambda_A^+} Q^\lambda \cap P^\mu$ and part (1) implies $Q^\lambda \subset q(\Omega G_c)$, hence $Q^\lambda = q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda)$. Part (2) follow. Part (3) follows from part (1) and Lemma 3.1. □

Corollary 3.3. $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits on Gr are transversal.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, it suffices to show that the strata P^λ and Q^μ in ΩX_c are transversal. This follows from the fact that the orbits S^λ and T^λ on ΩG_c are transversal and both S^λ, T^λ are invariant under the involution $\tilde{\theta}$ on ΩG_c as $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\eta}^\tau$ on ΩG_c and S^λ (resp. T^λ) is $\tilde{\theta}$ -invariant (resp. $\tilde{\eta}^\tau$ -invariant). □

3.3. The energy flow on ΩG_c . We recall the construction of energy flow on ΩG_c following [PS, Section 8.9]. For any $\gamma \in LG_c$ and $v \in T_\gamma LG_c$ we denote by $\gamma^{-1}v \in L\mathfrak{g}_c$ (resp. $v\gamma^{-1} \in L\mathfrak{g}_c$) the image of $v \in T_\gamma LG_c$ under the isomorphism $T_\gamma LG_c \simeq T_e LG_c \simeq L\mathfrak{g}_c$ induced by the left action (resp. right action).

Fix a G_c -invariant metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{g}_c . Observe that the formula

$$\omega(v, w) := \int_{S^1} \langle (\gamma^{-1}v)', \gamma^{-1}w \rangle d\theta$$

defines a left invariant symplectic form on $T_\gamma \Omega G_c$. According to [PS, Theorem 8.6.2], the composition $\Omega G_c \rightarrow G(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ defines a diffeomorphism

$$\Omega G_c \simeq \text{Gr}.$$

Let J_γ be the automorphism of $T_\gamma \Omega G_c$ which corresponds to multiplication by i in terms of the complex structure on Gr . The formula $g(v, w) = \omega(v, J_\gamma w)$ defines a positive inner product on $T_\gamma \Omega G_c$ and the Kähler form on $T_\gamma \Omega G_c$ is given by $g(v, w) + i\omega(v, w)$. Finally, for any smooth function $F : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there corresponds so-called Hamiltonian vector field $R(\gamma)$ and gradient vector field $\nabla F(\gamma)$ on ΩG_c characterized by

$$\omega(R(\gamma), v) = dF(\gamma)(v), \quad g(\nabla F(\gamma), v) = dF(\gamma)(v).$$

Consider the energy function on ΩG_c :

$$(3.1) \quad E : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \gamma \rightarrow (\gamma', \gamma')_\gamma = \int_{S^1} \langle \gamma^{-1}\gamma', \gamma^{-1}\gamma' \rangle d\theta.$$

We have the following well-known facts.

Proposition 3.4. [P, PS]

- (1) The Hamiltonian vector field of E is equal to the vector field induced by the rotation flow $\gamma_a(t) = \gamma(t+a)\gamma(a)^{-1}$ and is given by $\gamma \rightarrow R(\gamma) = \gamma' - \gamma\gamma'(0)$. The gradient vector field of E is equal to $\nabla E = -J \circ R$.
- (2) The critical locus $\nabla E = 0$ is the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_T^\pm} C^\lambda$ of G_c -orbits of $\lambda \in \Omega G_c$.
- (3) The gradient flow ψ_t of ∇E preserves the orbits S^λ and T^λ . For each critical orbit C^λ , we have

$$S^\lambda = \{\gamma \in \Omega G_c \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi_t(\gamma) \in C^\lambda\} \quad T^\lambda = \{\gamma \in \Omega G_c \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \psi_t(\gamma) \in C^\lambda\}.$$

That is S^λ and T^λ are the stable and unstable manifold of C^λ .

Lemma 3.5. The $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits are stable under the rotation flow $\gamma_a(t)$ (see Proposition 3.4).

Proof. We give a proof for the case of $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits. The proof for the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits is similar. Let \mathcal{O}_K^λ be a $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbit and let $\gamma = \gamma(t) \in \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$. By Proposition 2.1, we need to show that $\tilde{\theta}(\gamma_a)\gamma_a \in G(\mathbb{C}[t])t^\lambda G(\mathbb{C}[t])$. A direct computation shows that $\tilde{\theta}(\gamma_a)\gamma_a = \theta(\gamma(a))\tilde{\theta}(\gamma(t+a))\gamma(t+a)\gamma(a)^{-1}$. Note that $\tilde{\theta}(\gamma(t+a))\gamma(t+a) \in G(\mathbb{C}[t])t^\lambda G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ as $\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$, the desired claim follows. □

3.4. The Matsuki flow on Gr. The Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ induces a decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_c = \mathfrak{k}_c \oplus i\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{k}_c \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the corresponding loop algebra $L\mathfrak{g} = L\mathfrak{k} \oplus L\mathfrak{p}$, $L\mathfrak{g}_c = L\mathfrak{k}_c \oplus L(i\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}})$, $L\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = L\mathfrak{k}_c \oplus L\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Recall the non-degenerate bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma$ on $T_\gamma LG_c$

$$(v_1, v_2)_\gamma := \int_{S^1} \langle \gamma^{-1}v_1, \gamma^{-1}v_2 \rangle d\theta.$$

Let $\gamma \in LG_c$ and $T_\gamma(LK_c \cdot \gamma) \subset T_\gamma LG_c$ be the tangent space of the LK_c -orbit $LK_c \cdot \gamma$ through γ . The bilinear form above induces an orthogonal decomposition

$$T_\gamma LG_c = T_\gamma LK_c \cdot \gamma \oplus (T_\gamma LK_c \cdot \gamma)^\perp$$

and for any vector $v \in T_\gamma LG_c$ we write $v = v_0 \oplus v_1$ where $v_0 \in T_\gamma LK_c \cdot \gamma$, $v_1 \in (T_\gamma LK_c \cdot \gamma)^\perp$. Note that we have

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma^{-1}v_0 \in \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} L\mathfrak{k}_c, \quad \gamma^{-1}v_1 \in \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} L(i\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Recall that the loop group ΩG_c can be identified with a ‘‘co-adjoint’’ orbit in LG_c via the embedding

$$\Omega G_c \hookrightarrow LG_c, \quad \gamma \rightarrow \gamma^{-1}\gamma'.$$

Consider the following functions on ΩG_c

$$E : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \gamma \rightarrow (\gamma', \gamma')_\gamma = \int_{S^1} \langle \gamma^{-1}\gamma', \gamma^{-1}\gamma' \rangle d\theta,$$

$$E_0 : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \gamma \rightarrow (\gamma'_0, \gamma'_0)_\gamma = \int_{S^1} \langle \gamma^{-1}\gamma'_0, \gamma^{-1}\gamma'_0 \rangle d\theta,$$

$$E_1 : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \gamma \rightarrow (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_1)_\gamma = \int_{S^1} \langle \gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1, \gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1 \rangle d\theta.$$

Note that E is the energy function in (3.1).

Lemma 3.6. *Recall the map $\pi : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \Omega G_c, \gamma \rightarrow \theta(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma$. We have*

$$(3.3) \quad 4E_1 = E \circ \pi : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular, the function E_1 is LK_c -invariant.

Proof. Write $\|v\| = \langle v, v \rangle$ for $v \in \mathfrak{g}_c$. For any $\gamma \in \Omega G_c$ we have

$$E \circ \pi(\gamma) = \int_{S^1} \|\pi(\gamma)^{-1} \pi(\gamma)'\| d\theta = \int_{S^1} \|\gamma^{-1} \gamma' - \gamma^{-1} \eta(\gamma)' \eta(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma\| d\theta.$$

Note that $\gamma^{-1} \gamma' - \gamma^{-1} \theta(\gamma)' \theta(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma = 2\gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1$, hence we have $\|\gamma^{-1} \gamma' - \gamma^{-1} \theta(\gamma)' \theta(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma\| = 4\|\gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1\|$. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.7. *The Hamiltonian vector field on ΩG_c which correspond to E_1 (resp. E_0) is given by*

$$\gamma \rightarrow R_1(\gamma) = \gamma'_1 - \gamma \gamma'_1(0) \quad (\text{resp. } \gamma \rightarrow R_0 = \gamma'_0 - \gamma \gamma'_0(0)).$$

In particular, we have

$$\gamma^{-1} R_1(\gamma) \in \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} \quad (\text{resp. } \gamma^{-1} R_0(\gamma) \in \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} \text{L}\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Proof. Since $R_0(\gamma) + R_1(\gamma) = R(\gamma) = \gamma' - \gamma \gamma(0)'$, it is enough to show that $R_1(\gamma) = \gamma'_1 - \gamma \gamma'_1(0)$. Let $\gamma \in \Omega G_c$, $x = \pi(\gamma) = \theta(\gamma)^{-1} \gamma$, and $u \in T_\gamma \Omega G_c$. According to Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.6, we have

$$4dE_1(\gamma)(u) = \pi^* dE(\gamma)(u) = dE(x)(\pi_* u) = \omega(x', \pi_* u) = \omega(x^{-1} x', x^{-1} \pi_* u).$$

Using the equalities $x^{-1} x' = 2\gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1$, $x^{-1} \pi_* u = 2\gamma^{-1} u_1$, and the fact that $\langle \gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1, (\gamma^{-1} u_0)' \rangle = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4dE_1(\gamma)(u) &= 4\omega(\gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1, \gamma^{-1} u_1) = 4 \int_{S^1} \langle \gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1, (\gamma^{-1} u_1)' \rangle d\theta = 4 \int_{S^1} \langle \gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1, (\gamma^{-1} u)' \rangle d\theta \\ &= 4 \int_{S^1} \langle \gamma^{-1} \gamma'_1 - \gamma'_1(0), (\gamma^{-1} u)' \rangle d\theta = 4\omega(R_1(\gamma), u). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows. \square

Let $\Omega G_c = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$ and $\Omega G_c = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$ be the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits stratifications of ΩG_c . Let $\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda = \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$ which is a single LK_c -orbit.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $E_1 : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function above and ∇E_1 be the corresponding gradient vector field.*

- (1) ∇E_1 is tangential to both \mathcal{O}_K^λ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$,
- (2) The union $\bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda$ is the critical manifold of ∇E_1 .

(3) For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda$, let $T_\gamma \Omega G_c = T^+ \oplus T^0 \oplus T^-$ be the orthogonal direct sum decomposition into the positive, zero, and negative eigenspaces of the Hessian $d^2 E_1$. We have

$$T_\gamma \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda = T^+ \oplus T^0, \quad T_\gamma \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda = T^- \oplus T^0.$$

Proof. Proof of (1). We first show that ∇E_1 is tangential to $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda = \Omega G_c \cap LG_\mathbb{R} t^\lambda G(\mathbb{C}[t])$. Since the tangent space $T_\gamma \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ at $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ is identified, by left translation, with the space

$$\Omega \mathfrak{g}_c \cap (\text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} L\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R} + \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C}[t])) \subset \Omega \mathfrak{g}_c$$

it suffices to show that $\gamma^{-1} \nabla E_1(\gamma) \in \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} L\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R} + \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C}[t])$. Recall that, by Proposition 3.4, we have $\gamma^{-1} \nabla E_1(\gamma) = J(\gamma^{-1} R_1(\gamma))$. Note that $J(v) + iv \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C}[t])$ for $v \in L\mathfrak{g}$ and by Lemma 3.7 we have

$$i\gamma^{-1} R_1(\gamma) = i(\gamma^{-1}(\gamma'_1 - \gamma\gamma'_1(0))) \in \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} L\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{R} + \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{R}.$$

All together, we get

$$\gamma^{-1} \nabla E_1(\gamma) = -i\gamma^{-1} R_1(\gamma) + (J(\gamma^{-1} R_1(\gamma)) + i\gamma^{-1} R_1(\gamma)) \in \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} L\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{R} + \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C}[t])$$

which is contained in $\text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} L\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R} + \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C}[t])$. We are done. The same argument as above, replacing $LG_\mathbb{R}$ by $K(\mathcal{K})$, shows that the gradient field ∇E_0 of E_0 is tangential to \mathcal{O}_K^λ . Since, by Corollary 3.5, the orbit \mathcal{O}_K^λ is a complex submanifold of $\Omega G_c = \text{Gr}$ invariant under the rotation flow $\gamma_a(t)$, it follows from Proposition 3.4 that ∇E is tangential to \mathcal{O}_K^λ . Since $\nabla E_1 = \nabla E - \nabla E_0$, we conclude that ∇E_1 is also tangential to \mathcal{O}_K^λ . This finishes the proof of (1).

Proof of (2) and (3). By proposition 3.2 and lemma 3.6, the function E_1 factors as

$$E_1 : \Omega G_c \xrightarrow{\pi} \Omega X_c \subset \Omega G_c \xrightarrow{E} \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus to prove (2) and (3), it is enough to prove following:

- (i) The union $\bigsqcup_\lambda B^\lambda$ is the critical manifold of the restriction E to ΩX_c ,
- (ii) For $\gamma \in B^\lambda$ we have $T_\gamma P^\lambda = W^+ \oplus W^0$, $T_\gamma Q^\lambda = W^- \oplus W^0$, where $T_\gamma \Omega X_c = W^+ \oplus W^0 \oplus W^-$ is the orthogonal direct sum decomposition into the positive, zero, and negative eigenspaces of the Hessian $E|_{\Omega X_c}$.

By Proposition 3.4, we have $T_\gamma S^\lambda = U^+ \oplus U^0$, $T_\gamma T^\lambda = U^- \oplus U^0$, where $T_\gamma \Omega G_c = U^+ \oplus U^0 \oplus U^-$ is the orthogonal direct sum decomposition into the positive, zero, and negative eigenspaces of the Hessian E . Note that $\tilde{\theta}$ induces a linear map on $T_\gamma \Omega G_c$, which we still denoted by $\tilde{\theta}$, and we have $T_\gamma \Omega X_c = (T_\gamma \Omega G_c)^{\tilde{\theta}}$ is the fixed point subspace. So to prove (i) and (ii) it suffices to show that the subspaces $T_\gamma S^\lambda$ and $T_\gamma T^\lambda$ are $\tilde{\theta}$ -invariant. It is true, since $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\eta}^\tau$ on ΩG_c and S^λ (resp. T^λ) is $\tilde{\theta}$ -invariant (resp. $\tilde{\eta}^\tau$ -invariant). This finished the proof of (2) and (3). □

Theorem 3.9. *The gradient ∇E_1 and gradient-flow ϕ_t associated to the LK_c -invariant function $E_1 : \text{Gr} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the LG_c -invariant metric $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfy the following:*

- (1) *The critical locus $\nabla E_1 = 0$ is the disjoint union of LK_c -orbits $\bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda$*
- (2) *The gradient-flow ϕ_t preserves the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -and $LG_\mathbb{R}$ -orbits.*

(3) The limits $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \phi_t(\gamma)$ of the gradient-flow exist for any $\gamma \in \text{Gr}$. For each LK_c -orbit \mathcal{O}_c^λ in the critical locus, the stable and unstable sets

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda = \{\gamma \in \text{Gr} \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t(\gamma) \in \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda\} \quad \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda = \{\gamma \in \text{Gr} \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \phi_t(\gamma) \in \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda\}$$

are a single $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbit and $LG_\mathbb{R}$ -orbit respectively.

(4) The correspondence between orbits $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ defined by (3.4) recovers the affine Matsuki correspondence (2.3).

Proof. Part (1) and (2) follows from Proposition 3.8. The LK_c -invariant function E_1 , respectively the LG_c -invariant metric $g(\cdot, \cdot)$, and the flow ϕ_t , descends to a K_c -invariant Morse-Bott function $\underline{E}_1 : \Omega K_c \backslash \text{Gr} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, respectively a K_c -invariant metric $\underline{g}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\Omega K_c \backslash \text{Gr}$, and a flow $\underline{\phi}_t$. Since the function \underline{E}_1 is bounded below and the quotient $\Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$ is finite dimensional with $\overline{\Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda} = \bigcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} \Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\mu$, Proposition 3.8 and standard results for gradient flows (see, e.g., [AB, Proposition 1.19] or [P, Theorem 1]) imply that the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \underline{\phi}_t(\gamma)$ exists for any $\gamma \in \Omega K_c \backslash \text{Gr}$ and $\Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$ is the stable manifold for $\Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda$ and $\Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ is the unstable manifold for $\Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda$. Part (3) and (4) follows. \square

We will call the gradient flow $\phi_t : \text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ the Matsuki flow on Gr .

4. REAL BEILINSON-DRINFELD GRASSMANNIANS

In this section we recall some basic facts about real Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannians. The main references are [N1, N2].

4.1. Real affine Grassmannians. We recall results from [N1] about the real affine Grassmannian. Let $\text{Gr}_\mathbb{R} := G_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R})/G_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R})$ be the real affine Grassmannian. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$ we denote by S^λ and T^λ the $G(\mathcal{O})$ and $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ -orbit of $t^\lambda \in \text{Gr}$. The orbits S^λ and T^μ on Gr are transversal and the intersection $C^\lambda = S^\lambda \cap T^\lambda$ is isomorphic to the flag manifold G/P^λ where the parabolic subgroup P^λ is the stabilizer of λ . The affine Grassmannian Gr is the disjoint union of the orbits S^λ (resp. T^λ) for $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$

$$\text{Gr} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+} S^\lambda \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \text{Gr} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+} T^\lambda)$$

and we have

$$\overline{S}^\lambda = \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} S^\mu \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \overline{T}^\lambda = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \leq \mu} T^\mu).$$

The intersection of S^λ (resp. T^λ) with $\text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}$ is nonempty if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$ and we write $S_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ (resp. $T_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$), $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$ for the intersection. We define $C_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ to be the intersection of $S_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ and $T_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$. $S_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ (resp. $T_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$) is equal to the $G_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R})$ -orbit (resp. $G_\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])$ -orbit) of t^λ and $C_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ is isomorphic to the real flag manifold $G_\mathbb{R}/P_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ where the parabolic subgroup $P_\mathbb{R}^\lambda \subset G_\mathbb{R}$ is the

stabilizer of λ . The real affine Grassmannian Gr is the disjoint union of the orbits $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ (resp. $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$) for $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda})$$

and we have

$$\overline{S}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mu} \quad (\text{resp.} \quad T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \leq \mu} T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mu}).$$

4.2. Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannians. Let C be a smooth curve over \mathbb{C} . Consider the functor $G(\mathcal{O})_{C^n}$ from the category of affine schemes to sets

$$S \rightarrow G(\mathcal{O})_{C^n}(S) := \{(x, \phi) | x \in C^n(S), \phi \in G(\hat{\Gamma}_x)\}.$$

Here $\hat{\Gamma}_x$ is the formal completion of the graphs Γ_x of x in $C \times S$. Similarly, we define $G(\mathcal{K})_{C^n}$ to be the functor from the category of affine schemes to sets

$$S \rightarrow G(\mathcal{K})_{C^n}(S) := \{(x, \phi) | x \in C^n(S), \phi \in G(\hat{\Gamma}_x^0)\}.$$

Here $\hat{\Gamma}_x^0 := \hat{\Gamma}'_x - \Gamma_x$ and $\hat{\Gamma}'_x = \text{Spec}(R_x)$ is the spectrum of ring of functions R_x of $\hat{\Gamma}_x$. $G(\mathcal{O})_{C^n}$ is represented by a formally smooth group scheme over C^n and $G(\mathcal{K})_{C^n}$ is represented by a formally smooth group ind-scheme over C^n . The quotient ind-scheme

$$\text{Gr}_{C^n} := G(\mathcal{K})_{C^n} / G(\mathcal{O})_{C^n}$$

is called the Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian. We have

$$\text{Gr}_{C^n}(S) = \{(x, \mathcal{E}, \phi) | x \in C^n(S), \mathcal{E} \text{ a } G\text{-torsor on } C \times S, \phi \text{ a trivialization of } \mathcal{E} \text{ on } C \times S - \Gamma_x\}.$$

For any $x \in C^n$, we denote by $\text{Gr}_{C^n, x} = \text{Gr}_{C^n}|_x$ the fiber over x .

Let $p(n)$ be the set of partition of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. For any $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{p}_k \in p(n)$ let $C^{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the subset consisting of $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C^n$ such that $z_i = z_j$ if and only if $i, j \in \mathfrak{p}_l$ for some l . The collection $\{C^{\mathfrak{p}}\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in p(n)}$ forms a Whitney stratification of C^n . We denote by $C_0^n = C_{1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup n}^n$ the open stratum of distinct points. We have the following well-known factorization properties [BD, Section 5.3.12]: there are canonical isomorphisms

$$(4.2) \quad G(\mathcal{K})_{C^n}|_{C^{\mathfrak{p}}} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k G(\mathcal{K})_C \right) |_{C_0^k} \quad G(\mathcal{O})_{C^n}|_{C^{\mathfrak{p}}} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k G(\mathcal{O})_C \right) |_{C_0^k} \quad \text{Gr}_{C^n}|_{C^{\mathfrak{p}}} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \text{Gr}_C \right) |_{C_0^k}$$

Recall the standard stratification of Gr_{C^n} . For any map $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \Lambda_T^+$, we denote by $\text{Gr}_{C^n}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset \text{Gr}_{C^n}$ the spherical stratum of a G -bundle on \mathcal{E} on C a point in $z \in C^{\mathfrak{p}}$ and a section $\gamma : C \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ of modification type $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The collection $\{\text{Gr}_{C^n}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}\}$ provides a stratification of Gr_{C^n} compatible with that of C^n .

We describe another stratification of Gr_{C^n} coming from the natural $K(\mathcal{K})_{C^n}$ -action. The strata of $\mathcal{O}_{K, C}^{\lambda}$ are indexed by Λ_A^+ . The stratum $\mathcal{O}_{K, C}^{\lambda}$ consists of the union of all fibers $\text{Gr}_{C, x}$ of the points which map to the $K(\mathcal{K})_{C, x}$ -orbit in \mathcal{O}_K^{λ} via the canonical isomorphism $\text{Gr}_{C, x} \simeq \text{Gr}$. The strata of $\mathcal{O}_{K, C^n}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ are indexed by maps $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \Lambda_A^+$. The stratum $\mathcal{O}_{K, C^n}^{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}$

consists of points of $\text{Gr}_{C^n}|_{C^p}$ which maps via the isomorphism (4.2) to the product stratum indexed by λ_p .

4.3. Real forms of Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannians. We consider the case $C = \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$. We write $\text{Gr}^{(n)} = \text{Gr}_{C^n}$, $G(\mathcal{K})^{(n)} = G(\mathcal{K})_{C^n}$, $G(\mathcal{O})^{(n)} = G(\mathcal{O})_{C^n}$, $\text{Gr}_x^{(n)} = \text{Gr}^{(n)}|_x$, $S^{(n),\lambda_p} = \text{Gr}_{C^n}^{\lambda_p}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{K,C^n}^{\lambda_p} = \mathcal{O}_K^{(n),\lambda_p}$.

Let $c : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the complex conjugation. Consider the following anti-holomorphic involution $c^n : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, $c^n(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (c(z_1), \dots, c(z_n))$. The involution c_n together with the involution η on G defines anti-holomorphic involutions $G(\mathcal{K})^{(n)}$, $G(\mathcal{O})^{(n)}$, $\text{Gr}^{(n)}$ and we write $G(\mathcal{K})_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}$, $G(\mathcal{O})_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}$, $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)} = G(\mathcal{K})_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}/G(\mathcal{O})_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}$ for the corresponding semi-analytic spaces.

We have a natural projection map

$$\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))^n$$

and the factorization isomorphism in (4.2) restricts to an isomorphism

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))^p} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1)} \right)|_{(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))_0^k}$$

Consider the case when $n = 2m$ is even. Let $\sigma_{2m} : (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}$ be the complex conjugation given by $\sigma_{2m}(z_1, \dots, z_{2m}) = (c(z_{m+1}), \dots, c(z_{2m}), c(z_1), \dots, c(z_m))$. Then σ_{2m} together with η defines anti-holomorphic involutions of $G(\mathcal{K})^{(2m)}$, $G(\mathcal{O})^{(2m)}$, $\text{Gr}^{(2m)}$ and we write $G(\mathcal{K})_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}$, $G(\mathcal{O})_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}$, $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} = G(\mathcal{K})_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}/G(\mathcal{O})_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}$ for the corresponding real forms. The projection map $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ sending $(z_1, \dots, z_{2m}) \rightarrow (z_1, \dots, z_m)$ restricts to a real analytic isomorphism $((\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m})^{(\sigma_{2m})} \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ and we have a natural projection map

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^m.$$

Write $\mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^1 | \text{im}(z) > 0\}$ be the upper half-plane. According to [N2, Proposition 4.3.1] we have the following isomorphisms

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \simeq \text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \quad \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))^m} \simeq \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)}$$

Moreover, for any $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{p}_k$ partition of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(4.6) \quad \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\mathbf{p}}} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \right)|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)_0^k}$$

The stata $S^{(n),\lambda_p}$ (resp. $S^{(2m),\lambda_p}$) restricts to strata $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n),\lambda_p}$ (resp. $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m}),\lambda_p}$) of $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)}$ (resp. $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}$). It follows from (4.1) that $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n),\lambda_p}$ is non-empty if and only if $\lambda_p : \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \Lambda_A^+ \subset \Lambda_T^+$.

Example 4.1. Consider the case when $m = 1$. Then the fibers of the family $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ over a point $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ are given by $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{z=\bar{z}} \simeq \text{Gr}_{z,\mathbb{R}}$ and $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{z \neq \bar{z}} \simeq \text{Gr}_z$.

5. MULTI-POINT GENERALIZATIONS

We study multi-point version of loop groups and loop spaces and we establish a multi-point generalization of Gram-Schmidt factorization for loop groups. The main reference is [CN1].

5.1. Multi-point version of real loop groups. Consider the functor $LG^{(n)}$ that assigns to an affine scheme S the set of sections

$$S \rightarrow LG^{(n)}(S) = \{(x, \gamma) | x \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n(S), \gamma \in G(\mathbb{P}^1 \times S - \Gamma_x)\}.$$

Assume $n = 2m$. The conjugations σ_{2m} and η together define an anti-holomorphic involution on $LG^{(n)}$ and we write

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^m$$

for the corresponding semi-analytic space. We will write

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)} := LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^m \quad L^-G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)} := LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})^m$$

for the restriction of $LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}$ to \mathcal{H}^m and $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})^m$ respectively. Concretely, we have

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)} = \{(x, \gamma) | x \in \mathcal{H}^m, \gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus |x| \cup |\bar{x}| \rightarrow G \text{ satisfying } \gamma(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}\}.$$

$$L^-G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)} = \{(x, \gamma) | x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})^m, \gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus |x| \rightarrow G \text{ satisfying } \gamma(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus |x|) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}\}.$$

We consider the subscheme $\Omega G^{(n)} \subset LG^{(n)}|_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ consisting of $(x, \gamma) \in LG^{(n)}|_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ such that $\gamma(\infty) = e$. Since the conjugations c_n and σ_{2m} fixed $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$, they induces an involution of $\Omega G^{(2m)}$ and let

$$\Omega G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$$

be the associated semi-analytic space and the subspaces

$$\Omega G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)} := \Omega G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^m \quad L_{\infty}^-G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)} := \Omega G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Example 5.1. Assume $m = 1$. Then the fiber of $LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1)}$ over $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is equal to

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1)}|_x = L_x G_{\mathbb{R}} := \{\gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus x \cup \bar{x} \rightarrow G \text{ satisfying } \gamma(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}\}$$

The change of coordinate $t = \frac{z-x}{z-\bar{x}}$ induces isomorphism $L_x G_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq LG_{\mathbb{R}}$. The fiber of $L^-G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1)}$ over $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is equal to

$$L^-G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1)}|_x = L_x^-G_{\mathbb{R}} := \{\gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus x \rightarrow G \text{ satisfying } \gamma(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus x) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}\}$$

The change of coordinate $t = z - x$ induces isomorphism $L_x^-G_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])$.

5.2. **Generalization of Gram-Schmidt factorization.** Consider the case of compact real from $G_{\mathbb{R}} = G_c$ and write $\Omega G_c^{(\sigma_{2m})} = \Omega G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}$, $\Omega G_c^{(m)} = \Omega G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)}$, $L_{\infty}^{-} G_c^{(m)} = L_{\infty}^{-} G_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)}$.

Lemma 5.2. *There is an isomorphism $L^{-} G_c^{(m)} \simeq G_c \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})^m$ (resp. $L_{\infty}^{-} G_c^{(m)} \simeq \{e\} \times \mathbb{R}^m$) of group schemes.*

Proof. This is proved in [CN1, Lemma 3.2]. □

Corollary 5.3. *We have $G_c(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) = G_c$ and $G_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) = G_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

Proof. Indeed the lemma above (in the case $m = 1$) implies $G_c(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) = G_c$. Since the $G_c(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])$ -orbit in $\text{Gr}_c = G_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})/G_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ through the based point is open dense (see Section 4.1), it implies Gr_c is a point and hence $G_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) = G_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$. □

Proposition 5.4. (1) *For any partition $\mathfrak{p} \in p(m)$, there is a natural homeomorphism*

$$(5.1) \quad \Omega G_c^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\mathfrak{p}}} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \Omega G_c^{(\sigma_{2})} \right)|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)_0^k}$$

(2) *The homeomorphism (5.1) restricts to a natural a homeomorphism*

$$(5.2) \quad \Omega G_c^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{p}}} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \Omega G_c^{(1)} \right)|_{\mathcal{H}_0^k}$$

(3) *There is a natural homeomorphism*

$$\Omega G_c^{(m)} \simeq \text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^m}.$$

(4) *There is a natural homeomorphism*

$$\Omega G_c^{(m)} \times (G(\mathcal{O})^{(m)})|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \simeq G(\mathcal{K})^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^m}$$

Proof. Proof of (1) and (2). [CN1, Theorem 3.3 (3)] implies that the natural multiplication map $(\prod_{i=1}^k \Omega G_c^{(\sigma_{2})})|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)_0^k} \rightarrow \Omega G_c^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is a homeomorphism.

There is a natural map $\Omega G_c^{(m)} \rightarrow G(\mathcal{K})^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^m}$ sending (x, γ) to $(x, \gamma|_{\hat{\Gamma}_x^0})$, where $\gamma|_{\hat{\Gamma}_x^0}$ is the restriction of the section $\gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus |x| \cup |\bar{x}| \rightarrow G$ to $\hat{\Gamma}_x^0$. It induces a map

$$\Omega G_c^{(m)} \rightarrow G(\mathcal{K})^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \rightarrow G(\mathcal{K})^{(m)}/G(\mathcal{O})^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} = \text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^m}$$

and [?, Theorem 3.3 (2)] implies that it is a homeomorphism. Part (3) follows. Since $\text{Gr}^{(m)} \simeq G(\mathcal{K})^{(m)}/G(\mathcal{O})^{(m)}$, part (3) implies part (4). □

Example 5.5. Assume $m = 1$. Then Proposition 5.4 (4) over a point $z \in \mathcal{H}$ specializes to the well-known Gram-Schmidt factorization for loop groups $\Omega_z G_c \times G(\mathcal{O}_z) \simeq G(\mathcal{K}_z)$.

5.3. **Multi-point version of ΩX_c .** For any non-negative integer m , we define

$$\Omega X_c^{(m)} = \{(x, \gamma) | x \in \mathcal{H}^m, \gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus |x| \cup |\bar{x}| \rightarrow X \text{ satisfying } \gamma(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \subset X_c, \gamma(\infty) = e\}.$$

We have natural projection map

$$\Omega X_c^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^m$$

and we denote by $\Omega_z X_c^{(m)}$ the fiber over $z \in \mathcal{H}^m$. The involution $\tilde{\theta}$ on G induces an involution on $\Omega G_c^{(m)}$, still denoted by $\tilde{\theta}$, and the isomorphism $\iota : X \simeq G_{sym}^0 = (G^{\tilde{\theta}})^0$ induces an isomorphism

$$\iota^{(m)} : \Omega X_c^{(m)} \simeq (\Omega G_c^{(m)})^{\tilde{\theta}}.$$

We define

$$P^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} = (\iota^{(m)})^{-1}(S^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\tilde{\theta}}$$

The collection $\{P^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}\}_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \Lambda_A^+}$ forms a stratification of $\Omega X_c^{(m)}$. We have the following multi-point version of Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 5.6. *The quotient map $q : G \rightarrow X$ induces a K_c -equivariant stratified homeomorphisms*

$$\Omega K_c^{(m)} \setminus \Omega G_c^{(m)} \simeq \Omega X_c^{(m)}$$

which restricts to K_c -equivariant homeomorphisms on stratum

$$\Omega K_c^{(m)} \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} \simeq P^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}$$

Proof. Consider the natural map $q^{(m)} : \Omega G_c^{(m)} \rightarrow \Omega X_c^{(m)}$. We shall show that, for any $z \in \mathcal{H}^m$, the map $q^{(m)} : \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \rightarrow \Omega_z X_c^{(m)}$ on the corresponding fiber is surjective. Since $\Omega G_c^{(m)}$ is ind-proper, we conclude that the natural map $q^{(m)} : \Omega G_c^{(m)} \rightarrow \Omega X_c^{(m)}$ is surjective and closed, and it follows that the induced map $\Omega K_c^{(m)} \setminus \Omega G_c^{(m)} \rightarrow \Omega X_c^{(m)}$ is a continuous, closed, bijective map, and hence a homeomorphism. Since $q^{(m)}(\mathcal{O}_K^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \subset P^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, it implies the induced map $\Omega K_c^{(m)} \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rightarrow P^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is also a homeomorphism. The K_c -equivariance is clear.

Proof of the claim. Let $P_z^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} = P^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cap \Omega_z X_c^{(m)}$. It suffices to show $P_z^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset q^{(m)}(\Omega_z G_c^{(m)})$. Let $\text{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \text{Gr}_z^{(m)} = G(\mathcal{K})_z^{(m)} \times^{G(\mathcal{O})_z^{(m)}} \text{Gr}_z^{(m)}$. The multi-point Gram-Schmidt factorization

$$\Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \times G(\mathcal{O})_z^{(m)} \simeq G(\mathcal{K})_z^{(m)}, \quad \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \simeq \text{Gr}_z^{(m)}$$

in Proposition 5.4 implies that the natural inclusion $\Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \times \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \rightarrow G(\mathcal{K})_z^{(m)} \times G(\mathcal{K})_z^{(m)}$ induces a natural homeomorphism

$$\Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \times \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \simeq \text{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \text{Gr}_z^{(m)}.$$

Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \times \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \text{Gr}_z^{(m)} \\ \downarrow \text{mult} & & \downarrow p \\ \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Gr}_z^{(m)} \end{array}$$

where $mult$ is the multiplication map and p is the convolution map. Pick a $s \in \Omega_z G_c^{(m)}$ such that $\gamma = \tilde{\theta}(s)s \in (S_z^{(m),\lambda_p})^{\tilde{\theta}} \simeq P_z^{(m),\lambda_p}$. Consider the $G(\mathcal{O})_z^{(m)}$ -action on $\mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)}$ given by $g_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (g_+\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ and S be the $G(\mathcal{O})_z^{(m)}$ -orbit of $(\tilde{\theta}(s), s) \in \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \times \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)}$. Let Z be the intersection of the closure \overline{S} of S with the pre-image $p^{-1}(S_z^{(m),\lambda_p})$. Note that Z is a disjoint union of $G(\mathcal{O})_z^{(m)}$ -orbits and $p : Z \rightarrow S_z^{(m),\lambda_p}$ is proper and restricts to a submersion on each orbit.

Consider the involution $\mathrm{sw} \circ \tilde{\theta}$ on $\Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \times \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \simeq \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)}$ given by $\mathrm{sw} \circ \tilde{\theta}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (\tilde{\theta}(\gamma_2), \tilde{\theta}(\gamma_1))$. The lemma below implies that Z is stable under the involution $\mathrm{sw} \circ \tilde{\theta}$ and the map $p : Z \rightarrow S_z^{(m),\lambda_p}$ is compatible with the involutions $\mathrm{sw} \circ \tilde{\theta}$ on Z and $\tilde{\theta}$ on $S_z^{(m),\lambda_p}$. Now we can apply the general lemma [N1, Proposition 6.4] to conclude that $P_z^{(m),\lambda_p,\circ} \subset p(Z^{\mathrm{sw} \circ \tilde{\theta}})$ where $P_z^{(m),\lambda_p,\circ} \subset P_z^{(m),\lambda_p} \simeq (S_z^{(m),\lambda_p})^{\tilde{\theta}}$ is a connected component of containing $\gamma = \tilde{\theta}(s)s$. By Theorem 7.5, there is a homeomorphism between $P_z^{(m),\lambda_p}$ and a fiber of the strata $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m),\lambda_p} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)}$ in the real Beilinson-Drinfeld grassmannian. Since the latter fiber is a vector bundle over the real flag manifold $G_{\mathbb{R}}/P_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [N2, Proposition 3.6.1]) which is connected (we assume $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is connected), we conclude that $P_z^{(m),\lambda_p} = P_z^{(m),\lambda_p,\circ} \subset p(Z^{\mathrm{sw} \circ \tilde{\theta}})$. Now we can conclude the proof by noting there is natural identification of the $\mathrm{sw} \circ \tilde{\theta}$ -fixed points of $\mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)}$ with $\Omega_z G_c^{(m)}$ so that p restricts to $\Omega_z G_c^{(m)}$ coincides with $q^{(m)}$. \square

Lemma 5.7. *The involution $\mathrm{sw} \circ \tilde{\theta}$ on $\Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \times \Omega_z G_c^{(m)} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)}$ sends the $G(\mathcal{O})_z^{(m)}$ -orbit of (γ_1, γ_2) to the $(\tilde{\theta}(\gamma_2), \tilde{\theta}(\gamma_1))$.*

Proof. Let $(a, b) = g(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)}$ with $g \in G(\mathcal{O})_z^{(m)}$. By the factorization homeomorphism in Proposition 5.4, it implies $(g\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (au, u^{-1}bg')$ in $G(\mathcal{K})_z^{(m)}$ for some $g', u \in G(\mathcal{O})_z^{(m)}$. Let $h = \theta(g') \in G(\mathcal{O})_z^{(m)}$. Then a direct computation show show that we have

$$(h\tilde{\theta}(\gamma_2), \tilde{\theta}(\gamma_1)) = (\tilde{\theta}(b)\tilde{\theta}(u^{-1}), \tilde{\theta}(u)\tilde{\theta}(a)\tilde{\theta}(g^{-1}))$$

in $G(\mathcal{K})_z^{(m)} \times G(\mathcal{K})_z^{(m)}$ and it implies

$$h(\tilde{\theta}(\gamma_2), \tilde{\theta}(\gamma_1)) = (\tilde{\theta}(b), \tilde{\theta}(a))$$

in $\mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_z^{(m)}$. The lemma follows. \square

6. UNIFORMIZATIONS OF REAL BUNDLES

In this section we study uniformizations of the stack of real bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 and use it to provide a moduli interpretation for the quotient $LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}$.

6.1. Stack of real bundles. Let $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ be the moduli stack of \mathbb{G} -bundles on the complex projective line \mathbb{P}^1 . The standard complex conjugation $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$ on \mathbb{P}^1 together with the involution η of \mathbb{G} defines a real structure $c : \mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ on $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ with real form $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1)$, the real algebraic stack of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundles on the projective real line $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$. We write $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ for the real analytic stack of real points of $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1)$. By definition, we

have $\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ where $Y \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a \mathbb{R} -surjective presentation³ of the real algebraic stack $\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})$, $\Gamma = Y \times_{\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}})} Y$ is the corresponding groupoid, and $X_{\mathbb{R}}, \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ are the real analytic spaces of real points of X, Γ (see Appendix A).

A point of $\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}}$ and, by descent, corresponds to a pair (\mathcal{E}, γ) where \mathcal{E} is a \mathbb{G} -bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 and $\gamma : \mathcal{E} \simeq c(\mathcal{E})$ is an isomorphism such that the induced composition is the identity

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\gamma} c(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{c(\gamma)} c(c(\mathcal{E})) = \mathcal{E}.$$

We call such pair (\mathcal{E}, γ) a real bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 and $\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ the stack of real bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 .

For any $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$, the restriction of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$ to the (real) point ∞ is a $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle on $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$ and the assignment $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}|_{\infty}$ defines a morphism

$$\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{R}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

For each $\alpha \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), G)$, let T_{α} be a $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -torsor on $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$ in the isomorphism class of α and we define $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha} = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}}(T_{\alpha})$. The collection $\{\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}, \alpha \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), G)\}$ is the set of pure inner forms of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha} = \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ be the real analytic group associated to $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}$. We denote by α_0 the isomorphism class of trivial $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -torsor with real group $G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha_0} = G_{\mathbb{R}}$. By Example A.4, the morphism above induces a morphism

$$cl_{\infty} : \text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), G)} \mathbb{B}G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}$$

on the corresponding real analytic stacks. Define

$$(6.1) \quad \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) := (cl_{\infty})^{-1}(\mathbb{B}G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha})$$

for the inverse image of $\mathbb{B}G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}$ under cl_{∞} . Note that each $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is an union of connected components of $\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and we obtain the following decomposition of the stack of real bundles

$$\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), G)} \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

We will call $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ the stack of $G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha}$ -bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 6.1. Consider $G = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. In the case η is the split conjugation, the cohomology group $H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), G)$ is trivial and we have

$$\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times B\mathbb{R}^{\times}.$$

In the case $\eta = \eta_c$ is the compact conjugation, we have $H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), G) = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha_1}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \cup \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha_2}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})),$$

where $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}, \alpha_i}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \simeq BS^1$.

6.2. Uniformizations of real bundles. We shall introduce and study two kinds of uniformization of real bundles: one uses a real point of \mathbb{P}^1 called the real uniformization the other uses a complex point of \mathbb{P}^1 called the complex uniformization.

³A presentation of a real algebraic stack is \mathbb{R} -surjective if it induces a surjective map on the isomorphism classes of \mathbb{R} -points.

6.2.1. *Real uniformizations.* The uniformization morphism

$$u : \mathrm{Gr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$$

for $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ exhibits Gr as a $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ -torsor over $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, in particular, we have an isomorphism

$$(6.2) \quad G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \simeq \mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1).$$

The map u is compatible with the real structures on Gr and $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and we denote by

$$(6.3) \quad u_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

the associated map between the corresponding semi-analytic stacks of real points. We call the morphism $u_{\mathbb{R}}$ the real uniformization. It follows from (6.2) that $u_{\mathbb{R}}$ factors through an embedding

$$(6.4) \quad G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

We shall describe the image of $u_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proposition 6.2. *The map $u_{\mathbb{R}}$ factors through*

$$u_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \subset \mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and induces an isomorphism of semi-analytic stacks

$$G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

Proof. Since every $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$ in the image of $u_{\mathbb{R}}$ is trivial over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 - \{0\}$, in particular at ∞ , we have $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus the map $u_{\mathbb{R}}$ factors through $\mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$. We show that the resulting morphism $u_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is surjective. Let $f : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ be a smooth presentation (note that S is smooth as $\mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is smooth). It suffices to show that, étale locally on S , f admits a lifting to $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Consider the fiber product $Y := S \times_{\mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and we denote by $h : Y \rightarrow S$ the natural projection map. It suffices to show that h is surjective and admits a section étale locally on S . By Theorem 1.1 in [MS], every $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ which is trivial at ∞ admits a trivialization on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 - \{0\}$. It implies h is surjective. To show that h admits a section, we observe that Y is a real analytic ind-space smooth over $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and, as $u_{\mathbb{R}}$ is formally smooth, for any $y \in Y$ and $s = h(y) \in S$, the tangent map $dh_y : T_y Y \rightarrow T_s S$ is surjective. Choose a finite dimensional subspace $W \subset T_y Y$ such that $dh_y(W) = T_s S$. We claim that there exists a smooth real analytic space $U \subset Y$ such that $y \in U$ and $T_y U = W$. This implies $h|_U : U \rightarrow S$ is smooth around y , thus f admits a section étale locally around $s = h(y)$. Finally, by (6.4), we obtain an isomorphism $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$.

To prove the claim, we observe that Y is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ times a smooth real analytic space. So it suffices to show for any finite dimensional subspace $W \subset T_e \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$, there exists a smooth real analytic space U such that $T_e U = W$. This follows from the fact that the exponential map $\exp : T_e \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ associated to the metric $g(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}}$ (here $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the metric on Gr in Section 3.3) is a local diffeomorphism. □

We can also consider the uniformization map $\text{Gr}_z \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ for any real point $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and the discussion above applies to this setting and hence we have obtain real uniformization at x

$$(6.5) \quad u_{x,\mathbb{R}} : L_x^- G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}_{x,\mathbb{R}} \simeq \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

where $L_x^- G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is real analytic group in Example 5.1.

6.2.2. *Complex uniformizations.* We now discuss complex uniformizations. The natural map

$$u^{(2)} : \text{Gr}^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1) \quad (x, \mathcal{E}, \phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

is compatible with the complex conjugation σ_2 on $\text{Gr}^{(2)}$ and the natural one on $\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and we denote by

$$(6.6) \quad u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} : \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \longrightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

the map between the corresponding real analytic stacks.

Let us study the restriction of $u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$ to the fiber $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_x$ over some $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$. If $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$, then we have $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_x \simeq \text{Gr}_{x,\mathbb{R}}$ (see Example 4.1) and the restriction

$$u_{x,\mathbb{R}} := u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_x : \text{Gr}_{x,\mathbb{R}} \simeq \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_x \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

of (6.6) is isomorphic to the real uniformization map in (6.3). If $x \in \mathcal{H}$, then we have $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_x \simeq \text{Gr}_x$ and we will call the map

$$(6.7) \quad u_{x,\mathbb{C}} := u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_x : \text{Gr}_x \simeq \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_x \longrightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

the complex uniformization associated to x .

We shall give a description of $u_{x,\mathbb{C}}$. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \phi) \in \text{Gr}_x$ where \mathcal{E} is a G -bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 and $\phi : \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1 - \{x\}} \simeq G \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{x\})$ is a trivialization of \mathcal{E} over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{x\}$. Let $c(\mathcal{E})$ be complex conjugation of \mathcal{E} (see Sect. 6.1) and let \mathcal{F} be the G -bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 obtained from gluing of $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\bar{x}\}}$ and $c(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathbb{P}^1 - \{x\}}$ using the isomorphism $c(\phi)^{-1} \circ \phi : \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1 - \{x, \bar{x}\}} \simeq c(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathbb{P}^1 - \{x, \bar{x}\}}$. By construction, there is a canonical isomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{F} \simeq c(\mathcal{F})$ and the resulting real bundle $(\mathcal{F}, \gamma) \in \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the image $u_{x,\mathbb{C}}((\mathcal{E}, \phi))$. Note that the cohomology class in $H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), G)$ given by the restriction of the real bundle \mathcal{F} to ∞ is represented by the co-boundary $c(\phi(v))^{-1}(\phi)(v)$ (here $v \in \mathcal{E}|_{\infty}$), hence is trivial. Thus the complex uniformization $u_{x,\mathbb{C}}$ factors as

$$u_{x,\mathbb{C}} : \text{Gr}_x \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

We shall describe the image of $u_{x,\mathbb{C}}$. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ let $a_z : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the multiplication map by z . Consider the flows on $\text{Gr}^{(2)}$ and $\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)$:

$$(6.8) \quad \psi_z : \text{Gr}^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{(2)}, \quad (x, \mathcal{E}, \phi) \rightarrow (a_z(x), (a_{z^{-1}})^* \mathcal{E}, (a_{z^{-1}})^* \phi).$$

$$\psi_z : \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1), \quad \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (a_{z^{-1}})^* \mathcal{E}$$

For $z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the flows above restrict to flows

$$(6.9) \quad \psi_z^1 : \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \quad \psi_z^2 : \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and we have the following commutative diagram

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} & \xrightarrow{\psi_z^1} & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \\ \downarrow u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} & & \downarrow u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \\ \mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_z^2} & \mathrm{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} \end{array} .$$

Lemma 6.3. *We have the following properties of the flows:*

- (1) *The critical manifold of the flow ψ_z^1 are the cores $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_0$ and the stable manifold for $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ is the strata $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$.*
- (2) *For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$, we denote by*

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \mid \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \psi_z^1(\gamma) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}\}$$

the corresponding unstable manifold. We have $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}|_0 \simeq T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$. The isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_x \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_x$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$, restricts to an isomorphism

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}|_x \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$$

for $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$

Proof. This is proved in [N1, Proposition 8.4]. □

Lemma 6.4. (1) *For any $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$, the map $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$, $z \rightarrow \psi_z^1(\gamma)$ given by the flow ψ_z^1 extends to a map $a_{\gamma} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$ such that $a_{\gamma}(0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \psi_z^1(\gamma)$.*

- (2) *For any $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$, the action map $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$, $z \rightarrow \psi_z^2(\mathcal{E})$ given by the flow ψ_z^2 extends to a map*

$$(6.11) \quad a_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

Moreover, we have $a_{\mathcal{E}}(z) \simeq \mathcal{E}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$, there is a commutative diagram

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} & \xrightarrow{a_{\gamma}} & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \\ & \searrow a_{\mathcal{E}} & \downarrow u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \\ & & \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{E} = q(\gamma) \in \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$.

Proof. Part (1) follows from Lemma 6.3 (2). Proof of part (2). Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\mathcal{E} = q(\gamma) \in \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$. Consider the the composed map

$$a_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{a_{\gamma}} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$$

where a_{γ} is the map in part (1) and the last isomorphism is the real uniformization (see Prop.6.2). It is elementary to check that the map $a_{\mathcal{E}}$ only depends on \mathcal{E} and $a_{\mathcal{E}}(z) = \psi_z^2(\mathcal{E})$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, hence defines the desired map in (6.11). Moreover, since $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])$ -orbits $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are unstable manifolds for the flow ψ_z^1 , we have $a_{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \subset T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ if $\gamma \in T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, and it

implies $a_\varepsilon(z) \simeq \mathcal{E}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The commutativity of diagram (6.12) follows from the construction of a_ε . □

Proposition 6.5. *Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$. The complex uniformization $u_{x,\mathbb{C}} : \text{Gr}_x \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ induces an isomorphism*

$$LG_{x,\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$$

of semi-analytic stacks.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \text{Gr}_x$ and let $\mathcal{E} = u_{x,\mathbb{C}}(\gamma) \in \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ be the image of the complex uniformization map. By Lemma 6.4(2) we have

$$(6.13) \quad |\mathcal{E}| = |a_\varepsilon(0)| = |q(a_\gamma(0))| = |u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}(\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \psi_z^1(\gamma))|,$$

$$(6.14) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \psi_z^1(\text{Gr}_x \simeq \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)}|_x) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda.$$

As the image $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} |q(C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda)|$ of the critical manifolds under $u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$ is equal to $|\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))|$, equations (6.13) and (6.14) imply that $u_{x,\mathbb{C}}$ factors through $u_{x,\mathbb{C}} : \text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ and induces a surjection between the sets of isomorphism classes of objects. Now a similar argument as in the proof Proposition 6.2 shows that $u_{x,\mathbb{C}} : \text{Gr}_x \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is surjective and hence an isomorphism $LG_{x,\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}_x \simeq \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$. □

6.3. Multi-point uniformization. We can also consider multi-point uniformization

$$u^{(n)} : \text{Gr}^{(n)} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n, \quad (x, \mathcal{E}, \phi) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, x)$$

which exhibit $\text{Gr}^{(n)}$ as a $LG^{(n)}$ -torsor over $\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. Assume $n = 2m$. the map $u^{(2n)}$ induces a map

$$u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} : \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^m$$

on the corresponding real analytic stacks. Then the same discussions in the previous sections apply to the multi-points setting and we have the following:

Proposition 6.6. *The map $u_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^m.$$

of semi-analytic stacks.

7. QUASI-MAPS AND QUILLEN'S HOMEOMORPHISM

In this section we study the stack of quasi-maps and its real forms and use them to provide moduli interpretation for the quotient $LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}$. Using our previous work [?], we show that the family of real quasi-maps is topologically trivial and deduce from it a multi-point version and refinement of of Quillen result [M] on homotopy equivalences between loop spaces of symmetric varieties and real affine Grassmannians.

7.1. Definition of quasi-maps. For $n \geq 0$, define the stack of quasi-maps with poles $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ to classify triples (z, \mathcal{E}, σ) comprising a point $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, a G -torsor \mathcal{E} over \mathbb{P}^1 , and a section $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus |z| \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times^G X$ where we write $|z| = \cup_{i=1}^n z_i \subset \mathbb{P}^1$. According to [GN1], $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ is an ind-stack of ind-finite type. Note the natural maps $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)$. Let $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)$ be the ind-scheme classifies quadruple $(z, \mathcal{E}, \phi, \iota)$ where $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, \mathcal{E} is a G -bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 , $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 - |z| \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times^G X$, and $\iota : \mathcal{E}_K|_\infty \simeq K$, here \mathcal{E}_K is the K -reduction of \mathcal{E} on $C - |z|$ given by ϕ . We have a natural map $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. The ind-scheme $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is called rigidified quasi-maps. Note that we have natural map

$$QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty) \rightarrow QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)|_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

sending $(z, \mathcal{E}, \phi, \iota)$ to (z, \mathcal{E}, ϕ) and it induces an isomorphism

$$(7.1) \quad K \backslash QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty) \simeq QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)|_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

where the group K acts on $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)$ by changing the trivialization ι . For any $z \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ (resp. $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$), we denote by $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, z, X)$ (resp. $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, z, X, \infty)$) the fiber at z .

7.2. Real forms of quasi-maps. Let $n = 2m$. The twisted conjugation σ_{2m} on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}$ together with the involution η on G defines an involution on $QM^{(2m)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ and we denote by $QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ the corresponding semi-analytic stack. Note there are natural maps

$$QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^m \quad QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Since $c(\infty) = \infty$, we have a real form of $QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)$. We have natural maps

$$QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \quad QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

7.3. Morphisms. Let G_1 and G_2 be two reductive groups with complex conjugations η_1 and η_2 and Cartan involutions θ_1 and θ_2 respectively. Then the constructions of quasi-maps, rigidified quasi-maps, uniformization morphisms, and real forms of those are functorial with respect to homomorphism $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ that intertwine η_1, η_2 and θ_1, θ_2 .

7.4. Uniformizations of quasi-maps. We have a natural uniformization map

$$(7.2) \quad q^{(n)} : \text{Gr}^{(n)} \rightarrow QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$$

exhibits $\text{Gr}^{(n)}$ as a $LK^{(n)}$ -torsor on $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. In particular, there is a canonical isomorphism of ind-stacks

$$(7.3) \quad LK^{(n)} \backslash \text{Gr}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\sim} QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X).$$

Assume $n = 2m$. The morphism in (7.2) gives rise to a uniformization map

$$q_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} : \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \longrightarrow QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

on the corresponding semi-algebraic stacks of real points. The following proposition follows from Proposition 6.6:

Proposition 7.1. *The uniformization map $q_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$LK_c^{(\sigma_{2m})} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \simeq QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Example 7.2. By Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.4, we have $LK_c^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})^m} := L^-K_c^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \simeq K_c \times \mathbb{R}^m$, $LK_c^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \simeq LK_c^m$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \simeq \Omega G_c^{(m)}$ and hence there are isomorphisms

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} &\simeq LK_c^{(m)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \simeq LK_c^{(m)} \backslash \Omega G_c^{(m)} \\ QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}}|_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})^m} &\simeq K_c \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)} \end{aligned}$$

7.5. Quillen's homeomorphism. We first recall some results in [CN1] on quasi-maps for complex groups.

Consider the complex group $G \simeq G \backslash (G \times G)$ viewed as a symmetric variety for $G \times G$. It corresponds to the swap involution $\mathrm{sw}(g_1, g_2) = (g_2, g_1)$ of $G \times G$ and the corresponding conjugation on $G \times G$ is given by $\mathrm{sw}_c(g_1, g_2) = (\eta_c(g_2), \eta_c(g_1))$. Consider the real quasi-maps space $QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, G, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ associated to $G \backslash (G \times G)$.

The natural projections $p_1, p_2 : G \times G \rightarrow G$ induces natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{G \times G}^{(2m)} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{(2m)} \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}} \mathrm{Gr}^{(2m)}.$$

Consider the base changes $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2m)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m}$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_{G \times G}^{(2m)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m}$ along the embedding $(\mathbb{P}^1)^m \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}, (z_1, \dots, z_m) \rightarrow (z_1, \dots, z_m, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_m)$.

We have a natural embedding

$$(7.5) \quad \mathrm{Gr}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{(2m)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m}$$

sending $(z \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^m, \mathcal{E}, \phi) \rightarrow ((z, \bar{z}) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}, \mathcal{E}, \phi|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus z \cup \bar{z}})$. Note that $\mathrm{Gr}_{G \times G, \mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{G \times G}^{(2m)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m}$ and it was proved in [?, Corollary 5.2 and Corollary 5.4] that the natural projection map

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{G \times G}^{(2m)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{(2m)} \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}} \mathrm{Gr}^{(2m)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m} \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathrm{Gr}^{(2m)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m}$$

restricts to an isomorphism

$$(7.6) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{G \times G, \mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{(2m)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m}$$

and the composed map

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{7.5} \mathrm{Gr}^{(2m)}|_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m} \xrightarrow{(7.6)} \mathrm{Gr}_{G \times G, \mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}$$

induces a real analytic isomorphism

$$(7.7) \quad \mathrm{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{C}^m} \simeq \Omega G_c^{(\sigma_{2m})} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{G \times G, \mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_{2m})}|_{\mathbb{C}^m} \simeq QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, G, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Let $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{R}^m \times i\mathbb{R}$ and viewed as a subset of \mathbb{C}^m via the embedding

$$(7.8) \quad \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m, \quad (b_1, \dots, b_m, ia) \rightarrow (b_1 + ia, \dots, b_m + ia)$$

We now consider the base change of the isomorphism (7.7) to \mathbb{S} :

$$(7.9) \quad v : \mathrm{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{S}} \simeq QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, G, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}|_{\mathbb{S}}.$$

The conjugation $\eta \times \eta$ on $G \times G$ commutes with sw_c , together with the complex conjugation on \mathbb{P}^1 , it defines an involution $(\eta \times \eta)^{(\sigma_{2m})}$ on $QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, G, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}|_{\mathbb{S}}$ and, via the isomorphism $v_{\mathbb{S}}$, it induces an involution $\eta^{(\sigma_{2m})}$ on $\text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{S}}$.

The following description of $\eta^{(\sigma_{2m})}$ is proved in [CN1, Proposition 5.12].

Proposition 7.3. *The involution $\eta^{(\sigma_{2m})}$ on $\text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{S}}$ is given by the following formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{(\sigma_{2m})} &= \eta^{(m)} & \text{on} & \quad \text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}} \\ \eta^{(\sigma_{2m})} &= \tilde{\theta} & \text{on} & \quad \text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times i\mathbb{R}^\times} \simeq \Omega G_c^{(2m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times i\mathbb{R}^\times}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by

$$(7.10) \quad \mathcal{Q}^{(m)} := (\text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{S}})^{\eta^{(\sigma_{2m})}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{R}^m \times i\mathbb{R}$$

the fixed-points. Then the isomorphism (7.9) restricts to natural isomorphisms

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} v_0 : \mathcal{Q}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Gr}^{(m)})^{\eta^{(m)}}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}} = \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}} \\ v_{a \neq 0} : \mathcal{Q}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{ia\}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega G_c^{(m)})^{\tilde{\theta}}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{ia\}} \simeq \Omega X_c^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{ia\}} \end{aligned}$$

The spherical strata $S^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset \text{Gr}^{(m)}$ for $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \Lambda_T^+$ (resp. cospherical $T^{(1), \lambda} \subset \text{Gr}^{(1)}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$) give rise to spherical strata $\mathcal{Q}^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} = S^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cap \mathcal{Q}^{(m)}$ of $\mathcal{Q}^{(m)}$ (resp. cospherical strata $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda}^{(1)} = T^{(1), \lambda} \cap \mathcal{Q}^{(1)}$ of $\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}$). Then the isomorphisms (7.11) restrict to

$$(7.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}|_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \{0\}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}|_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \{0\}} \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda}^{(1)}|_{\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1), \lambda}|_{\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}}) \\ \mathcal{Q}^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}|_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{p}} \times ia} &\xrightarrow{\sim} P^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}|_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \{ia\}} \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda}^{(1)}|_{\mathbb{R} \times ia} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q^{(1), \lambda}|_{\mathbb{R} \times \{ia\}}.) \end{aligned}$$

As $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m), \lambda}$ (resp. $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1), \lambda}$) is non-empty if and only if $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \Lambda_A^+ \subset \Lambda_T^+$ (resp. $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$), the same applies to the stratum $\mathcal{Q}^{(m), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda}^{(1)}$). We have the following:

Proposition 7.4. *Equip $\mathcal{Q}^{(m)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}$) and $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{R}^m \times i\mathbb{R}$ with the stratifications $\{\mathcal{Q}^{(m), \mathfrak{p}\lambda}\}$ (resp. $\{\mathcal{Q}^{(1), \lambda}\}$ or $\{\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda}^{(1)}\}$) and $\{\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathbb{R}\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in p(m)}$ respectively. There is a K_c -equivariant stratified trivialization of the family*

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{R}^m \times i\mathbb{R}$$

over $i\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Since the Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian $\text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is ind-proper and there is a K_c -equivariant trivialization $\text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{S}} \simeq \text{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}} \times i\mathbb{R}$ over $i\mathbb{R}$ the desired claim follows from the general lemma in [CN1, Lemma 6.6]. □

Now the homeomorphisms in (7.11) and (7.12) together with Proposition 5.6 and Proposition 7.4 imply the following

Theorem 7.5. *There are K_c -equivariant strata-preserving homeomorphisms*

$$\Omega K_c^{(m)} \setminus \mathrm{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{i\}} \simeq \Omega X_c^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{i\}} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}}$$

which restrict to K_c -equivariant homeomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega K_c^{(m)} \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^{(n), \lambda_p} &\simeq P^{(m), \lambda_p} \simeq S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m), \lambda_p} \\ \Omega K_c^{(1)} \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^{(1), \lambda} &\simeq P^{(1), \lambda} \simeq S_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1)} \quad \Omega K_c^{(1)} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1), \lambda} \simeq Q^{(1), \lambda} \simeq T_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1), \lambda} \quad \text{if } m = 1 \end{aligned}$$

7.6. Trivialization of real quasi-maps. Consider the morphism $f : G \rightarrow G \times G$, $g \rightarrow (g, \theta(g))$. It is equivariant for the conjugations and Cartan involutions on G and $G \times G$ hence, by the functoriality noted in Section 7.3, we obtain a map

$$(7.13) \quad QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}|_{\mathcal{S}}} \longrightarrow QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, G, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}|_{\mathcal{S}}} \stackrel{(7.9)}{\simeq} \mathrm{Gr}^{(m)}|_{\mathcal{S}}.$$

Lemma 7.6. *The map (7.13) restricts to a K_c -equivariant homeomorphism*

$$QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}|_{\mathcal{S}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}^{(m)}$$

In particular, $QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}|_{\mathcal{S}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is topologically trivial over $i\mathbb{R}$

Proof. According to Example 7.2 and (7.11), there are natural identifications

$$\begin{aligned} QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}} &\simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)}, \quad \mathcal{Q}^{(m)} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)} \quad \text{over } \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \\ QM^{(\sigma_{2m})}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}} &\simeq \Omega K_c^{(m)} \setminus \Omega G_c^{(m)}, \quad \mathcal{Q}^{(m)} \simeq \Omega X_c^{(m)} \quad \text{over } \mathbb{R} \times i\mathbb{R}^{\times} \end{aligned}$$

and under the above identifications the map (7.13) specializes to the natural inclusion map

$$(7.14) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(m)} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{(m)} \quad \text{over } \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$$

and to the natural map

$$(7.15) \quad \Omega K_c^{(m)} \setminus \Omega G_c^{(m)} \longrightarrow \Omega X_c^{(m)} \quad \text{over } \mathbb{R} \times i\mathbb{R}^{\times}$$

The lemma follows from Proposition 5.6. □

7.7. Flows on quasi-maps. We have a flow $\psi_z, z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ on $QM^{(2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)$ given by:

$$(7.16) \quad \psi_z : QM^{(2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty) \rightarrow QM^{(2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)$$

$$\psi_z((x, \mathcal{E}, \psi, \iota)) = (a_z(x), (a_z^{-1})^* \mathcal{E}, (a_z^{-1})^* \psi, \iota).$$

For $z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the isomorphism ψ_z restricts to a flow

$$\psi_z^3 : QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

and we have the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_z^3} & QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{a_z} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Lemma 7.7. *We have the following properties of the flows:*

- (1) *The flow ψ_z^3 on $QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is K_c -equivariant.*
- (2) *Recall the flow ψ_z^1 on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$ (6.9). We have the following commutative diagram*

$$(7.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_z^1} & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{\mathbb{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_z^3} & QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} \end{array} .$$

- (3) *For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$, the core $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}|_0$ is a union of components of the critical manifold of the flow ψ_z^3 on $QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the stable manifold for $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ is the strata $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$.*
- (4) *For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$, we denote by*

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} = \{x \in QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \psi_z^3(x) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}\}$$

the corresponding unstable manifold. We have $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}|_0 \simeq T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$. The open embedding $\Omega K_c \setminus \mathrm{Gr} \rightarrow QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}|_i$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$\Omega K_c \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \simeq \tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}|_i$$

for $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$.

Proof. Part (1) and (2) follows from the construction of the flows. Part (3) and (4) follows from Lemma 6.3 and diagram (7.17). □

8. REAL-SYMMETRIC EQUIVALENCE

In this section we construct the real-symmetric equivalence between the real and relative Satake categories. The theory of sheaves on infinite dimensional stacks developed in [BKV] plays an important role here.

8.1. Placid stacks. We first review the notion of placid (ind)-schemes and (ind)-stacks. Let Y be a scheme acted on by an affine group scheme H . we say that Y is H -*placid* if

- Y can be written as filtered limit $Y = \lim_j Y_j$, where each Y_j is a H -scheme of finite type and the transition maps $Y_{j'} \rightarrow Y_j$ for $j \rightarrow j'$ are affine, smooth, surjective, and H -equivariant.

- the action $H \times Y_j \rightarrow Y_j$ factors through a group scheme H_j of finite type. Moreover the H_j can be chosen so that $\{H_j\}_j$ forms a projective system with $H = \lim_j H_j$ and the transition maps $H_{j'} \rightarrow H_j$ are smooth surjective with *unipotent* kernel.

Let $Z \subset Y$ a H -invariant subscheme. We shall say that the inclusion $Z \rightarrow Y$ is placid if there is a presentation of $Y = \lim Y_j$ as above and and index j and a H -invariant subscheme $Z_j \subset Y_j$ such that $Z \cong Z_j \times_{Y_j} Y$.

Let Y be an ind-scheme acted on by an affine group scheme H . We say that Y is H -ind placid if can be written as filtered colimit

$$(8.1) \quad Y \cong \operatorname{colim}_i Y^i$$

where each Y^i is H -placid and the transition maps $Y^i \rightarrow Y^{i'}$ are placid closed embeddings. A presentation as in (8.1) is called a placid presentation of Y .

We call a stack \mathcal{Y} a placid stack (resp. ind-placid stack) if it is isomorphic to a stack of the form $\mathcal{Y} \simeq Y/H$ where Y is H -placid scheme (resp. H -ind placid scheme).

We recall the following basic result due to Drinfeld and Bouthier:

Proposition 8.1. (1) [D, Theorem 6.3] and [B, Proposition 2.0.1] *For any smooth affine \mathbb{C} -scheme Y of finite type, the loop space $Y(\mathcal{K})$ is ind-placid.*

(2) [D, Proposition 3.8] and [B, Proposition 1.1.4] *Let G be a complex connected reductive group and let $H \subset G$ be a connected reductive subgroup. The natural map $G(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ is a $H(\mathcal{K})$ -torsor in the h -topology. In particular, there is an isomorphism of stacks*

$$G(\mathcal{K})/H(\mathcal{K}) \simeq (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$$

Remark 8.2. Part (2) above is equivalent to the following fact: Let A be a \mathbb{C} -algebra. Any G -torsor on $\operatorname{Spec}(A((t)))$ is trivial over $\operatorname{Spec}(B((t)))$ for a h -cover $\operatorname{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. This fact is claimed in [D, Proposition 3.8, Remark (b)] with sketch of proof. In [B], the author provided a different proof.

In [CY, Proposition 26], we deduce the following results from Proposition 8.1 (1).⁴

Proposition 8.3. (1) $X(\mathcal{K})$ is $G(\mathcal{O})$ -ind placid. (2) The orbits closure $\overline{X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda}$ is $G(\mathcal{O})$ -placid. (3) The inclusion $X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda \rightarrow \overline{X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda}$ is placid.

Proposition 8.1 (2) immediately implies

Corollary 8.4. (1) The stack $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}$ is ind-placid. (2) The stack $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \overline{\mathcal{O}}_K^\lambda$ is placid and the inclusion $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \overline{\mathcal{O}}_K^\lambda$ is placid. (3) There is an isomorphism of ind-placid stacks $X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}) \simeq K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}$ and isomorphisms of placid stacks $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \overline{\mathcal{O}}_K^\lambda \simeq \overline{X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda}/G(\mathcal{O})$.

⁴In *loc. cit.* we only deal with the case of classical symmetric varieties using an explicit construction of (ind)-placid presentations. The proof of the general case, using Proposition 8.1, will appear in the revised version of the paper.

8.2. Stacks admitting gluing of sheaves. We recall the notion of stacks admitting gluing of sheaves in [BKV, Section 5.5]. Let \mathcal{Y} be stack and let $\eta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a locally closed embedding of finite presentation (fp-locally closed embedding for short). According to [BKV, Section 5.4.4], there are well-defined continuous functor $\eta_* : D(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{Y})$

Definition 8.5. We say a stack \mathcal{Y} admits gluing of sheaves if for every fp-locally closed embedding $\eta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ the functors $\eta_* : D(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{Y})$ admits a left adjoint $\eta^* : D(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{S})$.

We have following basic facts:

Lemma 8.6. [BKV, Lemma 5.5.3] *Let \mathcal{Y} be a stack admitting gluing of sheaves. (1) Let $\eta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a fp-locally closed embedding. There exists a left adjoint $\eta^!$ of $\eta^! : D(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{X})$ (2) Let $j : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a fp-open embedding and $i : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be the complementary fp-closed embedding. For every $\mathcal{F} \in D(\mathcal{X})$ there is a fiber sequence*

$$j_!j^!\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow i_*i^*\mathcal{F}.$$

Lemma 8.7. [BKV, Proposition 5.5.7] *Let H be an ind-placid group, that is, a group object in ind-placid schemes, acting on an ind-placid scheme Y . The quotient stack Y/H admits gluing of sheaves.*

Example 8.8. Let H be a complex affine group scheme of finite type acting on a complex smooth affine scheme Y of finite type. Then the loop group $H(\mathcal{K})$ is an ind-placid group and the loop space $Y(\mathcal{K})$ is an ind-placid scheme, and Lemma 8.7 implies the quotients $H(\mathcal{K}) \backslash Y(\mathcal{K})$ admits glueing of sheaves.

The following technical lemma will be used in Section 12.2.

Definition 8.9. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism between stacks. We say f is *strongly pro-smooth* is there is a surjective morphism $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that the pullback $f_Y : X := \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following: there is a presentation $X \simeq \lim_{i \in I} X_i$ as filtered limit of ind-stacks ind-locally of finite type over Y such that all the projection maps $X_i \rightarrow Y$ are fp-smooth morphisms and the transition maps $X_i \rightarrow X_{i'}$ are fp-affine smooth morphisms.

Lemma 8.10. *Let \mathcal{X} is an ind-stack of ind-locally of finite type.*

- (1) \mathcal{X} admits gluing of sheaves.
- (2) Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a strongly pro-smooth morphism. Then \mathcal{Y} admits gluing of sheaves and for any fp-locally closed embedding $\eta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ the base change morphism $\eta_S^* f^! \rightarrow f_S^! \eta^*$ (resp. $(\eta_S)_! f_S^! \rightarrow f^! \eta_!$), is isomorphism. Here f_S and η_S are the natural projection maps in the following fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_S & \xrightarrow{\eta_S} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow f_S & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

Proof. Choose a presentation

$$(8.2) \quad \mathcal{X} \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\beta} \mathcal{X}^{\beta} \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\beta \in I} \operatorname{colim}_{\alpha} \mathcal{X}_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

as a filtered colimit, where \mathcal{X}_α^β are stacks of finite type and the transition maps $\mathcal{X}^\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\beta'}$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}_\alpha^\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\alpha'}^\beta$) are closed embeddings of finite presentation (resp. open embeddings of finite presentation). It follows from [BKV, Example 1.3.4] that \mathcal{X}_α^β is *placid* in the sense of [BKV, Section 1.3] and [BKV, Lemma 5.5.5, and Lemma 5.5.6] implies \mathcal{X} admits glueing of sheaves.

Let $\mathcal{X}^{[n]}$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}_S^{[n]}$) be the terms of the Čech complex associated to f (resp. f_S) and let $\eta_S^{[n]} : \mathcal{X}_S^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{[n]}$ pullback of η_S along the projection map $\mathcal{X}^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We claim that

- (1) each $\mathcal{X}^{[n]}$ admits glueing of sheaves
- (2) for every natural projection $f : \mathcal{X}^{[m]} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{[n]}$, with pullback $f_S : \mathcal{X}_S^{[m]} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_S^{[n]}$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$f^!(\eta_S^{[n]})_* \simeq (\eta_S^{[m]})_* f_S^!$$

- (3) (Beck-Chevalley condition) For every projection f as in (2), there is a natural isomorphism

$$(8.3) \quad (\eta_S^{[m]})^* f^! \simeq f_S^! (\eta_S^{[n]})^*$$

Now it follows from [BKV, Proposition 5.1.8] that the functor $\eta_* : D(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{colim}_{[n]} D(\mathcal{X}_S^{[n]}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{Y}) \simeq \text{colim}_{[n]} D(\mathcal{X}^{[n]})$ admits a left adjoint η^* satisfying the base change morphism $\eta_S^* f^! \simeq f_S^! \eta^*$. The lemma follows.

Proof of the claim. Claim (2) follows from [BKV, Lemma 5.4.5]. Note that the presentation (8.2) of \mathcal{X} induces a presentation

$$\mathcal{X}^{[n]} \simeq \text{colim}_\beta \mathcal{X}^{[n],\beta} \simeq \text{colim}_\beta \text{colim}_\alpha \mathcal{X}_\alpha^{[n],\beta}$$

where $\mathcal{X}_\alpha^{[n],\beta} = \mathcal{X}^{[n]} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_\alpha^\beta$. The assumption that f is strongly pro-smooth implies that the projection $\mathcal{X}_\alpha^{[n],\beta} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\alpha^\beta$ is *smooth* in the sense of [BKV, Section 1.3.1 (c)] and, since \mathcal{X}_α^β is *placid*, it follows from [BKV, Section 1.3.3 (d), Lemma 5.5.5, and Lemma 5.5.6] that $\mathcal{X}_\alpha^{[n],\beta}$ is *placid* and $\mathcal{X}^{[n]}$ admits glueing of sheaves. Claim (1) follows.

To show claim (3), we first assume the support of \mathcal{F} is contained in some \mathcal{X}_α^β . Then the desired claim follows from [BKV, Proposition 5.3.9]. Since every object $\mathcal{F} \in D(\mathcal{X})$ can be written as a colimit $\mathcal{F} \simeq \text{colim}_\alpha \text{colim}_\beta \mathcal{F}_\alpha^\beta$ with respect to !-pushforward along open embeddings $\mathcal{X}_\alpha^\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\alpha'}^\beta$ and closed embeddings $\mathcal{X}^\beta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\beta'}$ (see, e.g., [BKV, Corollary 5.1.5]), the general case follows from the fact that the functors in (8.3) commutes with colimits.

The proof of the base change isomorphism $(\eta_S)_! f_S^! \rightarrow f^! \eta_!$ is similar. The only non-trivial part is the Beck-Chevalley condition in (3) and it follows from the same argument above using [BKV, Proposition 5.3.9].

□

Proposition 8.11. (1) *The quotient $LK^{(n)} \backslash \text{Gr}^{(n)}$ is an ind-stack ind-locally of finite type*
(2) *the natural projection map $f : LK^{(n)} \backslash \text{Gr}^{(n)} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K})^{(n)} \backslash \text{Gr}^{(n)}$ is strongly pro-smooth.*

In particular, both stacks $LK^{(n)} \backslash \text{Gr}^{(n)}$ and $K(\mathcal{K})^{(n)} \backslash \text{Gr}^{(n)}$ admit glueing of sheaves.

Proof. Since the stack of quasi maps $QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ is an ind-stack ind-locally of finite type, the uniformization isomorphism $LK^{(n)} \backslash \text{Gr}^{(n)} \simeq QM^{(n)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ in (7.2) implies part (1). On

the other hand, the pullback of f along $\mathrm{Gr}^{(n)} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K})^{(n)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}^{(n)}$ is isomorphic to the natural projection map

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{(n)} \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} K(\mathcal{K})^{(n)} / LK^{(n)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{(n)}.$$

Note that the quotient $K(\mathcal{K})^{(n)} / LK^{(n)}$ is the $K(\mathcal{O})^{(n)}$ -torsor over $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n \times \mathrm{Bun}_K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ classifying a K -bundle $\mathcal{E}_K \in \mathrm{Bun}_K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 , a point $\underline{z} \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, and a trivialization of \mathcal{E}_K on the formal neighborhood of the points \underline{z} in \mathbb{P}^1 . Since $K(\mathcal{O})^{(n)}$ is a projective limit of smooth schemes with smooth affine transition maps (see, e.g., [R, Lemma 2.5.1]) and $\mathrm{Bun}_K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a smooth stack locally of finite type it implies that f is strongly pro-smooth. The proposition follows. \square

8.3. Real and relative Satake categories. The loop group $K(\mathcal{K})$, arc group $G(\mathcal{O})$, and real arc group $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ act natural on Gr , $X(\mathcal{K})$, and $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and we can form the quotient stacks $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}$ and $X(\mathcal{K}) / G(\mathcal{O})$ and the quotient semi-analytic stack $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see Appendix A.2). Let $D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$, $D(X(\mathcal{K}) / G(\mathcal{O}))$, and $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the dg-categories of \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}$, $X(\mathcal{K}) / G(\mathcal{O})$, and $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively (see Appendix A.3).

By Proposition 8.4, there is an isomorphism of stacks $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \simeq X(\mathcal{K}) / G(\mathcal{O})$ and hence a canonical equivalence

$$(8.4) \quad D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \simeq D(X(\mathcal{K}) / G(\mathcal{O}))$$

We will call $D(X(\mathcal{K}) / G(\mathcal{O}))$ the relative Satake category and $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ the real Satake category.

8.4. Perverse t -structures. Let $D(G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the dg category of constructible \mathbb{C} -complexes on $G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $D_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to be the full subcategory of $D(G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ of complexes constructible with respect to the $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -orbits stratification $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}} = \{S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^+}$. We have a natural equivalence

$$(8.5) \quad D_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \simeq D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

Since $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is connected, it follows from [N2, Lemma 3.9.1] that the dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} = 2\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle$ of the real spherical orbits $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ are even numbers. Thus there is a classical perverse t -structure $({}^p D^{\leq 0}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}), {}^p D^{\geq 0}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}))$ on $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \simeq D_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ given by the middle perversity function $p_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $p_{\mathbb{R}}(S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}) = -\frac{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}}{2} = -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle$. We will write

$$\mathrm{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) = {}^{p_{cl}} D^{\leq 0}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \cap {}^{p_{cl}} D^{\geq 0}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

for the heart of the perverse t -structure.

We shall introduce a t -structure on $D(X(\mathcal{K}) / G(\mathcal{O}))$ following [BKV, Section 6]. For any finite type stack \mathcal{Y} we denote by $({}^{p_{cl}} D^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{Y}), {}^{p_{cl}} D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Y}))$ the classical perverse t -structure on $D(\mathcal{Y})$. According to [BKV, Section 6.3.2], for every placid stack $\mathcal{Y} \simeq Y/H$ there is a unique $!$ -adapted t -structure on $({}^p D^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{Y}), {}^p D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Y}))$ on $D(\mathcal{Y})$ characterized by

$$(8.6) \quad {}^p D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Y}) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} {}^{p_{cl}} D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Y}_i)[\dim \mathcal{Y}_i]$$

where $\mathcal{Y} \simeq \lim_{i \in I} \mathcal{Y}_j = Y_j/H$

Following [BKV, Section 2.4.8], we say that an ind-stack \mathcal{Y} is placid stratified if there is a stratification $\{\mathcal{S}^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of \mathcal{Y} such that (1) each stratum \mathcal{S}^α is a placid stack and the inclusion $j^\alpha : \mathcal{S}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a fp-locally closed embedding (2) there is a presentation $\mathcal{Y} = \operatorname{colim}_{j \in J} \mathcal{Y}^j$ of \mathcal{Y} as a filtered colimit of closed substacks such that each \mathcal{Y}^j is a finite union of the strata \mathcal{S}^α .

Recall the following construction of t -structures functor for placid stratified stack, admitting gluing of sheaves in [BKV, Proposition 6.4.2].

Proposition 8.12. *Let $(\mathcal{Y}, \{\mathcal{S}^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I})$ be a placid stratified stack, admitting gluing of sheaves, and equipped with a perversity $p : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. There is a unique t -structure $({}^p D^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{Y}), {}^p D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Y}))$ on $D(\mathcal{Y})$ satisfying*

$$(8.7) \quad {}^p D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Y}) = \{\mathcal{F} \in D(\mathcal{Y}) \mid (j^\alpha)^! \mathcal{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{S}^\alpha)[p(\alpha)]\}$$

The heart of the t -structure is denoted by

$$\operatorname{Perv}^p(\mathcal{Y}) = {}^p D^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{Y}) \cap {}^p D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Y}).$$

Note that every ind-placid stack \mathcal{Y} is a placid stratified stack and [BKV, Lemma 5.5.6] implies that it admits glueing of sheaves. Thus Proposition 8.3, Corollary 8.4 and Lemma 8.7 imply that $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr} \simeq X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ together with the orbits stratification $\{X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda/G(\mathcal{O}) \simeq K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda\}$ is a placid stratified stack, admitting glueing of sheaves. We are mainly interested in the following perversity function

$$(8.8) \quad p_X : \Lambda_A^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad p_X(\lambda) = -\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle$$

and we will call the unique t -structure $({}^p D^{\leq 0}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})), {}^p D^{\geq 0}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})))$ on $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ and $({}^p D^{\leq 0}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}), {}^p D^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}))$ on $D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr})$ in Proposition 8.12, the *perverse t -structure*. We will write

$$\operatorname{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) = {}^p D^{\leq 0}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) \cap {}^p D^{\geq 0}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$$

$$\operatorname{Perv}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}) = {}^p D^{\leq 0}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}) \cap {}^p D^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr})$$

for the heart of the t -structure.

Denote by $j_X^\lambda : X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda/G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ the natural inclusion. Let $\operatorname{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda/G(\mathcal{O}))$ be the category of perverse sheaves on the placid stack $X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda/G(\mathcal{O})$. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda/G(\mathcal{O}))$ we have the IC-complex

$$\operatorname{IC}(\mathcal{L}) := \operatorname{Im}({}^p H^0(j_{X,!}^\lambda \mathcal{L}[-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle]) \rightarrow {}^p H^0(j_{X,*}^\lambda \mathcal{L}[-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle])) \in \operatorname{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$$

Note that $\omega_{X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda/G(\mathcal{O})} \in \operatorname{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda/G(\mathcal{O}))$, we will write

$$\operatorname{IC}_X^\lambda = \operatorname{IC}(\omega_{X(\mathcal{K})^\lambda/G(\mathcal{O})})$$

Similarly, denote by $j_K^\lambda : K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}$ the natural inclusion. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Perv}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda)$, we have the IC-complex

$$\operatorname{IC}(\mathcal{L}) := \operatorname{Im}({}^p H^0(j_{K,!}^\lambda \mathcal{L}[-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle]) \rightarrow {}^p H^0(j_{K,*}^\lambda \mathcal{L}[-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle])) \in \operatorname{Perv}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr})$$

and we will write

$$\operatorname{IC}_K^\lambda = \operatorname{IC}(\omega_{K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda}).$$

8.5. Real-symmetric equivalence for affine Grassmannians. Consider the real analytic ind-scheme $\Omega K_c \backslash \text{Gr}$. Introducing the stratification $\mathcal{S}_K = \{\Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+}$ with strata the ΩK_c -quotients of $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits. Let $D(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr})$ be the dg category of K_c -equivariant \mathbb{C} -constructible on $\Omega K_c \backslash \text{Gr}$ and we set $D_{\mathcal{S}_K}(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr})$ to be the full subcategory of $D(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr})$ of complexes constructible with respect to the stratification \mathcal{S}_K .

Consider the natural map $q : LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}$.

Lemma 8.13. *The pullback functor $q^! : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}) \rightarrow D(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr})$ is fully-faithful and induces an equivalence*

$$D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}) \simeq D_{\mathcal{S}_K}(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}).$$

Proof. Consider the quotient $K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c$ of $K(\mathcal{K})$ by the subgroup LK_c and the natural embedding $\text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c$ sending γ to (γ, eLK_c) . It induces an isomorphism of stacks $LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} \simeq K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash (\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c)$ (where $K(\mathcal{K})$ acts diagonally on $\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c$) and we have

$$q : LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} \simeq K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash (\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}$$

where pr is induced by the natural projection map $\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c \rightarrow \text{Gr}$. Thus it suffices to show $\text{pr}^! : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}) \rightarrow D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash (\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c))$ is fully-faithful. Note that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \lim_{[n]} D(K(\mathcal{K})^n \times \text{Gr}) \\ \downarrow \text{pr}^! & & \downarrow \lim_{[n]} (\text{pr}^{[n]})^! \\ D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash (\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \lim_{[n]} D(K(\mathcal{K})^n \times (\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c)) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal equivalences come from the Čech complexes for the coverings $\text{Gr} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}$ and $\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash (\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c)$, and the right vertical arrow are induced by the pull-back functors along the projections $\text{pr}^{[n]} = \text{id}_{K(\mathcal{K})^n} \times \text{pr} : K(\mathcal{K})^n \times (\text{Gr} \times K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K})^n \times \text{Gr}$. Since the space $K(\mathcal{K})/LK_c \simeq K(\mathcal{O})/K_c \simeq K(\mathcal{O})_+ \times K/K_c$ is contractible (here $K(\mathcal{O})_+ \subset K(\mathcal{O})$ is the first congruence subgroup) the functor $(\text{pr}^{[n]})^!$ is fully-faithful and it follows that $\text{pr}^!$ is fully-faithful.

We show that the resulting functor $q^! : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}) \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{S}_K}(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr})$ is essentially surjective. Since $D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr})$ admits gluing of sheaves, Lemma 8.6 implies that the category $D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr})$ is generated by $j_{K,*}^\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$, where $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \in D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda)$. Consider the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LK_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda & \xrightarrow{i_+^\lambda} & LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} \\ \downarrow q^\lambda & & \downarrow q \\ K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda & \xrightarrow{j_K^\lambda} & K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr} \end{array}$$

The desired claim follows from the facts that (1) $D_{\mathcal{S}_K}(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr})$ is generated by $(i_+^\lambda)_*(q_\lambda^!)(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ under colimit and (2) the base change isomorphism for fp-locally closed embedding $q^!(j_{K,*}^\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)) \simeq (i_+^\lambda)_*(q^\lambda)^!(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ (see, e.g., [BKV, Lemma 5.4.5]).

□

Theorem 8.14. *There are natural equivalences*

$$D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) \simeq D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}) \simeq D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

which are t -exact with respect to the perverse t -structures.

Proof. Theorem 7.5 (the case when $m = 1$) implies that there is a K_c -equivariant stratified homeomorphism $\Omega K_c\backslash\mathrm{Gr} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ which induces a natural equivalence

$$(8.9) \quad D_{S_K}(LK_c\backslash\mathrm{Gr}) \simeq D_{S_{\mathbb{R}}}(G_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Now combining (8.4) and Lemma 8.13 we obtain the desired equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) &\stackrel{(8.4)}{\simeq} D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}) \stackrel{\mathrm{Lem} (8.13)}{\simeq} D_{S_K}(LK_c\backslash\mathrm{Gr}) \stackrel{(8.9)}{\simeq} D_{S_{\mathbb{R}}}(G_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \simeq \\ &\stackrel{(8.5)}{\simeq} D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}). \end{aligned}$$

To check that the equivalences are t -exact it suffices to check that it restricts to an equivalence ${}^pD^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}) \simeq {}^pD^{\geq 0}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Note that the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_c\backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \simeq LK_c\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{i_+^{\lambda}} & K_c\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq LK_c\backslash\mathrm{Gr} \\ \downarrow q^{\lambda} & & \downarrow q \\ K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{j_K^{\lambda}} & K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr} \end{array}$$

implies that there is a commutative square of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}) & \xrightarrow{q^!} & D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \downarrow (j_K^{\lambda})^! & & \downarrow (i_+^{\lambda})^! \\ D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda}) & \xrightarrow{(q^{\lambda})^!} & D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}) \end{array}$$

In view of the characterization of

$${}^pD^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}) = \{\mathcal{F} \in D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}) \mid (j_K^{\lambda})^!\mathcal{F} \in {}^pD^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda})[p_X(\lambda)]\}$$

in (8.7), we need to check $(q^{\lambda})^!$ induces an equivalence

$$(q^{\lambda})^! : {}^pD^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda})[p_X(\lambda)] \simeq {}^pD^{\geq 0}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}).$$

Let $K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda} \simeq \lim_{i \in I} \mathcal{Y}_i$ be a placid presentation with natural evaluation map $ev_i : K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_i$. According to (8.6), we have

$${}^pD^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda}) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} {}^pD^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{Y}_i)[\dim \mathcal{Y}_i]$$

Since the fiber of the composition $ev_i \circ q^{\lambda} : K_c\backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_i$ are contractible and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}/2 = \langle \lambda, \rho \rangle = -p_X(\lambda)$, we have

$$(ev_i \circ q^{\lambda})^! : {}^pD^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{Y}_i)[\dim \mathcal{Y}_i] \rightarrow {}^pD^{\leq 0}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda})[\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}/2] \subset D^{\leq 0}(K_c\backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda})[\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}].$$

and the resulting map

$$(q^{\lambda})^! \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} (ev_i \circ q^{\lambda})^! : {}^pD^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda})[p_X(\lambda)] \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} {}^pD^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{Y}_i)[\dim \mathcal{Y}_i - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}/2] \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow D^{\leq 0}(K_c \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda})[\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}/2]$$

factors through an equivalence

$$(q^{\lambda})^! : {}^p D^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda})[p_X(\lambda)] \simeq {}^p D^{\geq 0}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}) \subset D^{\geq 0}(K_c \backslash S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda})[\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}/2]$$

The desired claim follows. \square

9. AFFINE MATSUKI CORRESPONDENCE FOR SHEAVES

In this section we prove the affine Matsuki correspondence for sheaves.

9.1. The functor Υ . Consider the dg category $D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr})$ of \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on the semi-analytic stacks $LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}$. Consider the following correspondence

$$K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr} \xleftarrow{q} LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} \xrightarrow{u} LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}$$

Define

$$(9.1) \quad \Upsilon = u_! q^! : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}) \rightarrow D(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}) \rightarrow D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr})$$

Theorem 9.1 (Affine Matsuki correspondence for sheaves). *The functor Υ defines an equivalence of categories*

$$\Upsilon : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}).$$

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 9.1.

9.2. Bijection between local systems. Write $[\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda}] = LK_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda}$, $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}] = LK_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, $[\mathcal{O}_c^{\lambda}] = LK_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_c^{\lambda}$, and $[\mathcal{E}^{\lambda}] = LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \in LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}$. Recall the Matsuki flow $\phi_t : \text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ in Theorem 3.9. As ϕ_t is LK_c -equivariant, it descends to a flow $\tilde{\phi}_t : LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} \rightarrow LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}$ and we define

$$\phi_{\pm} : LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} [\mathcal{O}_c^{\lambda}] \subset LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}, \quad \gamma \rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \tilde{\phi}_t(\gamma).$$

Consider the following Cartesian diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda}] & \xrightarrow{i_+^{\lambda}} & LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} & & [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}] & \xrightarrow{i_-^{\lambda}} & LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} & & [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}] & \xrightarrow{i_-^{\lambda}} & LK_c \backslash \text{Gr} \\ \downarrow \phi_+^{\lambda} & & \downarrow \phi_+ & & \downarrow \phi_-^{\lambda} & & \downarrow \phi_- & & \downarrow u^{\lambda} & & \downarrow u \\ [\mathcal{O}_c^{\lambda}] & \xrightarrow{j_+^{\lambda}} & \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} [\mathcal{O}_c^{\lambda}] & & [\mathcal{O}_c^{\lambda}] & \xrightarrow{j_+^{\lambda}} & \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} [\mathcal{O}_c^{\lambda}] & & [\mathcal{E}^{\lambda}] & \xrightarrow{j_-^{\lambda}} & LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr} \end{array}$$

Here i_{\pm}^{λ} and j_{\pm}^{λ} are the natural embeddings and ϕ_{\pm}^{λ} (resp. u^{λ}) is the restriction of ϕ_{\pm} (resp. u) along j_+^{λ} (resp. j_-^{λ}).

Lemma 9.2. *We have the following:*

- (1) *There is a bijection between isomorphism classes of local systems τ^+ on $[\mathcal{O}_K^{\lambda}]$, local systems τ^- on $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}]$, local systems τ on $[\mathcal{O}_c^{\lambda}]$, and local systems $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $[\mathcal{E}^{\lambda}] = [LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}]$, characterizing by the property that $\tau^{\pm} \simeq (\phi_{\pm}^{\lambda})^* \tau$ and $\tau^- \simeq (u^{\lambda})^* \tau_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

(2) The map u^λ factors as

$$(9.2) \quad u^\lambda : [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda] \xrightarrow{\phi_-^\lambda} [\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda] \xrightarrow{p^\lambda} [\mathcal{E}^\lambda]$$

where p^λ is smooth of relative dimension $\dim[\mathcal{E}^\lambda] - \dim[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$. Moreover, we have $(p^\lambda)^* \tau_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \tau$.

Proof. Since the fibers of ϕ_\pm are contractible, pull-back along ϕ_+^λ (resp. ϕ_-^λ) defines an equivalence between LK_c -equivariant local systems on \mathcal{O}_c^λ and LK_c -equivariant local systems on \mathcal{O}_K^λ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$). We show that the fiber of u^λ is contractible, hence pull back along u^λ defines an equivalence between local systems on $[\mathcal{E}^\lambda]$ and LK_c -equivariant local systems on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$. Pick $y \in \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda$ and let $LK_c(y)$, $LG_{\mathbb{R}}(y)$ be the stabilizers of y in LK_c and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively. The group $LK_c(y)$ acts on the fiber $l_y := (\phi_-^\lambda)^{-1}(y)$ and we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \simeq LK_c \times^{LK_c(y)} l_y$. Moreover, under the isomorphism $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda] \simeq LK_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \simeq LK_c(y) \backslash l_y$, $[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda] \simeq LK_c(y) \backslash y$, and $[\mathcal{E}^\lambda] \simeq LG_{\mathbb{R}}(y) \backslash y$, the map u^λ takes the form

$$u^\lambda : [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda] \simeq LK_c(y) \backslash l_y \xrightarrow{\phi_-^\lambda} [\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda] \simeq LK_c(y) \backslash y \xrightarrow{p^\lambda} [\mathcal{E}^\lambda] \simeq LG_{\mathbb{R}}(y) \backslash y,$$

where the first map is induced by the projection $l_y \rightarrow y$ and the second map is induced by the inclusion $LK_c(y) \rightarrow LG_{\mathbb{R}}(y)$. We claim that the quotient $LK_c(y) \backslash LG_{\mathbb{R}}(y)$ is contractible, hence u^λ has contractible fibers and p^λ is smooth of relative dimension $\dim[\mathcal{E}^\lambda] - \dim[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$. Part (1) and (2) follows.

Proof of the claim. Pick $y' \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $K_c(y')$ and $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])(y')$ be the stabilizers of y' in K_c and $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])$ respectively. The composition of the complex and real uniformizations of $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr} \xrightarrow{\text{Prop. 6.5}} \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \xrightarrow{\text{Prop. 6.2}} G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

identifies

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}}(y) \backslash y \simeq [\mathcal{E}^\lambda] \simeq G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])(y') \backslash y'.$$

Hence we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}}(y) \simeq \text{Aut}([\mathcal{E}^\lambda]) \simeq G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])(y')$$

sending $LK_c(y) = K_c(y) \subset LG_{\mathbb{R}}(y)$ to $K_c(y') \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])(y')$. Thus we reduce to show that the quotient $K_c(y') \backslash G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])(y')$ is contractible. This follows from the fact that evaluation map $K_c(y') \backslash G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])(y') \rightarrow K_c(y') \backslash G_{\mathbb{R}}(y')$, $\gamma(t^{-1}) \rightarrow \gamma(0)$ has contractible fibers and the quotient $K_c(y') \backslash G_{\mathbb{R}}(y')$ is contractible as $K_c(y')$ is a maximal compact subgroup of the Levi subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{R}}(y')$. □

Recall the flow

$$\psi_z^3 : QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

in §7.7. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$, we have the critical manifold $C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$, the stable manifold $S_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$, and the unstable manifold $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$. We write

$$s_\lambda^+ : S_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, G, K, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \tilde{t}_\lambda : \tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, G, K, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

for the inclusion maps and we write

$$c_\lambda^+ : S_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda, \quad \tilde{d}_\lambda : \tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$$

for the contraction maps. Note that all the maps above are K_c -equivalent with respect to natural K_c -actions. Recall that, by Lemma 7.7, we have isomorphisms $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda|_i \simeq \Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$, $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda|_0 \simeq T_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$ and we write

$$s_\lambda^- : T_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, G, K, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad t_\lambda : \Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, G, K, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

for the restriction of \tilde{t}_λ and

$$c_\lambda^- : T_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda, \quad d_\lambda : \Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$$

for the restriction of the contractions \tilde{d}_λ .

We write $k_\lambda : \Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$ for the restriction of d_λ and $p_\lambda : T_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash T_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$ for the natural quotient map.

Lemma 9.3. *The map $k_\lambda : \Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$ is a K_c -equivariant isomorphism. There is a bijection between isomorphism classes of K_c -equivariant local systems ω^+ on $S_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$, K_c -equivariant local systems ω^- on $T_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$, K_c -equivariant local systems ω on $C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$, K_c -equivariant local systems τ on $\Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda$, and local system $\omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash T_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$, characterizing by the property that $\omega^\pm \simeq (c_\lambda^\pm)^* \omega$, $\tau \simeq (k_\lambda)^* \omega$, and $(p_\lambda)^* \omega_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq (c_\lambda^-)^* \omega$*

Proof. The first claim follows from the fact that $\Omega K_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_c^\lambda \simeq C_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \simeq K_c(\lambda) \backslash K_c$, where $K_c(\lambda)$ is the stabilizer of λ in K_c , and the K_c -equivariant property of k_λ . The second claim follows from the facts that the contraction maps c_λ^\pm are K_c -equivariant and the fibers of c_λ^\pm and the quotient $K_c \backslash G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])$ are contractible. □

9.3. Standard and co-standard sheaves. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$ and a local system τ on $[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$ one has the standard sheaves

$$(9.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_*^+(\lambda, \tau) := (i_+^\lambda)_*(\tau^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_*^-(\lambda, \tau) := (j_-^\lambda)_*(\tau_{\mathbb{R}})$$

and co-standard sheaves

$$(9.4) \quad \mathcal{S}_!^+(\lambda, \tau) := (i_+^\lambda)_!(\tau^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_!^-(\lambda, \tau) := (j_-^\lambda)_!(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Here τ^+ and $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$ are local system on $[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]$ and $[\mathcal{E}^\lambda]$ corresponding to τ as in Lemma 9.2. Let $d_\lambda := \dim \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}} - \dim[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]$.

Write

$$(9.5) \quad \iota_+^\lambda : [\mathcal{O}_c^\mu] \rightarrow [\mathcal{O}_K^\mu], \quad \iota_-^\lambda : [\mathcal{O}_c^\mu] \rightarrow [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\mu]$$

for the natural embeddings. We recall the following fact, see [MUV, Lemma 5.4].

Lemma 9.4. (1) *Consider $[\mathcal{O}_c^\mu] \xrightarrow{\iota_+^\mu} [\mathcal{O}_K^\mu] \xrightarrow{\phi_+^\mu} [\mathcal{O}_c^\mu]$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c([\mathcal{O}_K^\mu])$. If \mathcal{F} is smooth (= locally constant) on the trajectories of the flow $\tilde{\phi}_t$, then we have canonical isomorphisms $(\iota_+^\mu)_! \mathcal{F} \simeq (\phi_+^\mu)_! \mathcal{F}$ and $(\iota_+^\mu)^* \mathcal{F} \simeq (\phi_+^\mu)_* \mathcal{F}$.*

- (2) Consider $[\mathcal{O}_c^\mu] \xrightarrow{\iota_-^\mu} [\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu] \xrightarrow{\phi_-^\mu} [\mathcal{O}_c^\mu]$ where ι_-^μ is the natural embedding. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c([\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu])$. If \mathcal{F} is smooth (= locally constant) on the trajectories of the flow $\tilde{\phi}_t$ and is supported on a finite dimensional substack $\mathcal{Y} \subset [\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]$, then we have canonical isomorphisms $(\iota_-^\mu)^! \mathcal{F} \simeq (\phi_-^\mu)_! \mathcal{F}$ and $(\iota_-^\mu)^* \mathcal{F} \simeq (\phi_-^\mu)_* \mathcal{F}$.

We shall show that the functor Υ sends standard sheaves to co-standard sheaves. Introduce the following local system on $[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$

$$(9.6) \quad \mathcal{L}_\lambda := (\iota_-^\lambda)^* \mathcal{L}'_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{L}''_\lambda \otimes \text{or}_{p^\lambda}^\vee$$

where

$$(9.7) \quad (\mathcal{L}'_\mu)^\vee := (i_-^\mu)^!(\mathbb{C})[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}''_\lambda := (\iota_+^\lambda)^! \mathbb{C}[\text{codim}_{[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]}[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]]$$

are local systems on $[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda]$ and $[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$ respectively and $\text{or}_{p^\lambda} := (p^\lambda)^! \mathbb{C}[-\dim[\mathcal{E}^\lambda] + \dim[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]]$ is the orientation sheaf for the smooth map $p^\lambda : [\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda] \rightarrow [\mathcal{E}^\lambda]$ in (9.2).

Lemma 9.5. *For any local system τ on $[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$ we have*

$$\Upsilon(S_*^+(\lambda, \tau)) \simeq S_!^-(\lambda, \tau \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)[d_\lambda].$$

Proof. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_A^+$. Consider the following diagram

$$(9.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} [\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu] & \xrightarrow{s} & [\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu] & \xrightarrow{u^\mu} & [\mathcal{E}^\mu] = LG_\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow i_-^\mu & & \downarrow j_-^\mu \\ [\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda] & \xrightarrow{i_+^\lambda} & LK_c \setminus \text{Gr} & \xrightarrow{u} & LG_\mathbb{R} \setminus \text{Gr} \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{G} = (j_-^\mu)^* \Upsilon(S_*(\lambda, \tau)) \simeq (j_-^\mu)^* u_!(i_+^\lambda)_*(\tau^+) \simeq (u^\mu)_!(i_-^\mu)^*(i_+^\lambda)_*(\tau^+)$. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{G} \simeq 0$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$ and $\mathcal{G} \simeq (\tau \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)_\mathbb{R}$ if $\lambda = \mu$.

By Corollary 3.3, the orbits $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu$ and \mathcal{O}_K^λ are trasversal to each other, hence we have

$$(9.9) \quad (i_-^\mu)^*(i_+^\lambda)_*(\tau^+) \simeq (i_-^\mu)^!(i_+^\lambda)_*(\tau^+) \otimes \mathcal{L}'_\mu[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]].$$

where

$$(9.10) \quad (\mathcal{L}'_\mu)^\vee = (i_-^\mu)^!(\mathbb{C})[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]]$$

is a local system on $[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &\simeq (u^\mu)_!(i_-^\mu)^*(i_+^\lambda)_*(\tau^+) \stackrel{(9.9)}{\simeq} (u^\mu)_!((i_-^\mu)^!(i_+^\lambda)_*(\tau^+) \otimes \mathcal{L}'_\mu[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]]) \simeq \\ &(u^\mu)_!(s_* \iota^!(\tau^+) \otimes \mathcal{L}'_\mu[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]]). \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 9.2 the map u^μ factors as

$$u^\mu : [\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu] \xrightarrow{\phi_-^\mu} [\mathcal{O}_c^\mu] \xrightarrow{p^\mu} [\mathcal{E}^\mu]$$

where p^μ is smooth of relative dimension $\dim[\mathcal{E}^\mu] - \dim[\mathcal{O}_c^\mu]$. Since $s_* \iota^!(\tau^+)[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]] \in D_c([\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu])$ is smooth on the trajectories of the flow $\tilde{\phi}_t$, by Lemma 9.4, we have

$$(9.11) \quad \mathcal{G} \simeq u_!^\mu(s_* \iota^!(\tau^+) \otimes \mathcal{L}'_\mu[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]]) \simeq p_!^\mu(\phi_-^\mu)_!(s_* \iota^!(\tau^+) \otimes \mathcal{L}'_\mu[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]]) \stackrel{\text{Lem 9.4}}{\simeq}$$

$$\simeq p_!^\mu(\iota_-^\mu)^!(s_*\iota^!(\tau^+) \otimes \mathcal{L}'_\mu)[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]].$$

Here $\iota_-^\mu : [\mathcal{O}_c^\mu] \rightarrow [\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]$ is the embedding.

If $\lambda \neq \mu$ then $[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu] \cap [\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$ is empty, thus we have

$$(\iota_-^\mu)^!s_*\iota^!(\tau^+)[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu]] = 0$$

and (9.11) implies $\mathcal{G} = 0$.

If $\lambda = \mu$, then $[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda] \cap [\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda] = [\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$, $s = \iota_-^\lambda$, $\iota = \iota_+^\lambda$ are closed embeddings and by Lemma 9.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u^\lambda)_!(s)_*(\tau) &\simeq (p^\lambda)_!(\tau) \simeq \tau_\mathbb{R} \otimes (p^\lambda)_!(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \tau_\mathbb{R} \otimes (\text{or}_{p^\lambda}^\vee)_\mathbb{R}[\dim[\mathcal{E}^\mu] - \dim[\mathcal{O}_c^\mu]], \\ \iota^!(\tau^+) &\simeq \iota^*(\tau^+) \otimes \iota^!\mathbb{C} \simeq \tau \otimes (\iota_+^\lambda)^!\mathbb{C} \simeq \tau \otimes \mathcal{L}''_\lambda[-\text{codim}_{[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]}[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]] \end{aligned}$$

where or_{p^λ} is the relative orientation sheaf on $[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$ associated to $p^\lambda : [\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda] \rightarrow [\mathcal{E}^\lambda]$ and

$$(9.12) \quad \mathcal{L}''_\lambda := (\iota_+^\lambda)^!\mathbb{C}[\text{codim}_{[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]}[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]]$$

is a local system on $[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$. Now an elementary calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &\stackrel{(9.11)}{\simeq} (u^\lambda)_!(s_*\iota^!(\tau^+) \otimes \mathcal{L}'_\lambda)[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda]] \simeq (u^\lambda)_!(s_*(\tau \otimes \mathcal{L}''_\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}'_\lambda)[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda] - \text{codim}_{[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]}[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]] \simeq \\ &\simeq (u^\lambda)_!(\iota_-^\lambda)_*(\tau \otimes \mathcal{L}''_\lambda \otimes (\iota_-^\lambda)^*\mathcal{L}'_\lambda)[\text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda] - \text{codim}_{[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]}[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]] \simeq (\tau \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda)_\mathbb{R}[d_\lambda], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(9.13) \quad \mathcal{L}_\lambda := (\iota_-^\lambda)^*\mathcal{L}'_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{L}''_\lambda \otimes \text{or}_{p^\lambda}^\vee$$

is a local sytem on $[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda]$ and

$$d_\lambda = \text{codim}[\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda] - \text{codim}_{[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]}[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda] + \dim[\mathcal{E}^\lambda] - \dim[\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda] = \dim \text{Bun}_{G_\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) - \dim[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda].$$

The lemma follows. □

9.4. Fully-faithfulness. We shall show that Υ is fully-faithful. Consider a diagram of closed substacks of $LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}$

$$U_0 \xrightarrow{j_0} U_1 \xrightarrow{j_1} U_2 \longrightarrow \cdots \rightarrow U_k \longrightarrow \cdots$$

such that

- (1) $\bigcup_i U_i = LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}$,
- (2) Each U_i is a finite union of $[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]$,
- (3) Each j_k is closed embedding.

Let $f_i : U_i \rightarrow LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}$ be the natural embedding and we define

$$s_i = u \circ f_i : U_i \rightarrow LG_\mathbb{R} \backslash \text{Gr}.$$

Note that each s_i is of finite type.

Lemma 9.6. *For any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \in D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr})$ we have*

$$\text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}') \simeq \text{Hom}_{D(LG_\mathbb{R} \backslash \text{Gr})}(\Upsilon(\mathcal{F}), \Upsilon(\mathcal{F}')).$$

Proof. Since the functor $u_! : D(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}) \rightarrow D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr})$ admits a continuous right adjoint $u^!$, it sends compact objects to compact objects. It follows that the functor

$$\Upsilon =: D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}) \xrightarrow{g^!} D_{\mathfrak{S}}(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}) \subset D(LK_c \backslash \text{Gr}) \xrightarrow{u} D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr})$$

sends compact objects to compact objects. Thus we can assume both $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$ are compact. Then we can choose k such that $\mathcal{F} = (j_k)_* \mathcal{F}_k$ and $(j_k)_* \mathcal{F}'_k$ for $\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}'_k \in D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)$. We have

$$\text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}') \simeq \text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}'_k)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr})}(\Upsilon(\mathcal{F}), \Upsilon(\mathcal{F}')) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}((s_k)_! \mathcal{F}_k, (s_k)_! \mathcal{F}'_k) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, (s_k)^!(s_k)_! \mathcal{F}'_k). \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that the map

$$(9.14) \quad \text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}'_k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, (s_k)^!(s_k)_! \mathcal{F}'_k)$$

is an isomorphism. Since $D_c(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)$ is generated by $w_!(\tau_\lambda^+)$ (resp. $w_*(\tau_\lambda^+)$) for $[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda] \subset U_k$ (here $w_\lambda : [\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda] \rightarrow U_k$ is natural inclusion), it suffices to verify (9.14) for

$$\mathcal{F}_k = (w_\lambda)_!(\tau_\lambda^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}'_k \simeq (w_\mu)_*(\tau_\mu^+).$$

Note that in this case the left hand side of (9.14) becomes

$$(9.15) \quad \text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}'_k) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda \neq \mu$$

$$(9.16) \quad \text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}'_k) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda])}(\tau_\lambda, \tau_\lambda) \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda = \mu.$$

By Lemma 9.5 we have

$$(s_k)_!((w_\mu)_*(\tau_\mu^+)) \simeq u_!(j_k)_*(w_\mu)_*(\tau_\mu^+) \simeq \Upsilon(S_*^+(\mu, \tau_\mu)) \simeq (j_-^\mu)_!(\tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}})[d_\mu],$$

where $\tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} = \tau_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}}$. Therefore the right hand side of (9.14) becomes

$$(9.17) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, (s_k)^!(s_k)_! \mathcal{F}'_k) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}((w_\lambda)_!(\tau_\lambda^+), (s_k)^!(s_k)_!((w_\mu)_*(\tau_\mu^+))) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda])}(\tau_\lambda^+, w_\lambda^!(s_k)^!(j_-^\mu)_!(\tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}})[d_\mu]) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda])}(\tau_\lambda^+, (u \circ i_+^\lambda)^!(j_-^\mu)_!(\tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}})[d_\mu]). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \circ i_+^\lambda$ and j_-^μ are transversal, we have

$$(u \circ i_+^\lambda)^!(j_-^\mu)_!(\tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}}) \simeq (u \circ i_+^\lambda)^*(j_-^\mu)_! \tau_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda'''[-d_\lambda]$$

where

$$(9.18) \quad \mathcal{L}_\lambda''' = (u \circ i_+^\lambda)^! \mathbb{C}[d_\lambda]$$

is a local system on $[\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]$ and, in view of the diagram (9.8), we get

$$(9.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, (s_k)^!(s_k)_! \mathcal{F}'_k) &\stackrel{(9.17)}{\simeq} \text{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda])}(\tau_\lambda^+, (u \circ i_+^\lambda)^!(j_-^\mu)_! \tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}}[d_\mu]) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda])}(\tau_\lambda^+, (u \circ i_+^\lambda)^*(j_-^\mu)_! \tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L}[d_\mu - d_\lambda]) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda])}((\phi_+^\lambda)^* \tau_\lambda, \iota_!(u^\mu \circ s)^* \tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L}[d_\mu - d_\lambda]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda])}((\phi_+^\lambda)^* \tau_\lambda, \iota!(u^\mu \circ s)^* \tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L}[d_\mu - d_\lambda]) \\ &\mathrm{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda])}(\tau_\lambda, (\phi_+^\lambda)_*(\iota!(u^\mu \circ s)^* \tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L})[d_\mu - d_\lambda]). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the case $\lambda \neq \mu$. Then by Lemma 9.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_+^\lambda)_*(\iota!(u^\mu \circ s)^* \tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L})[d_\mu - d_\lambda] &\simeq (\phi_+^\lambda)_*(\iota!(u^\mu \circ s)^* \tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \iota^* \mathcal{L})[d_\mu - d_\lambda] \simeq \\ &\simeq (\iota_+^\lambda)^* \iota!(u^\mu \circ s)^* \tilde{\tau}_{\mu, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \iota^* \mathcal{L}[d_\mu - d_\lambda] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

here $\iota_+^\lambda : [\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda] \rightarrow [\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda]$, and it follows from (9.19) that

$$(9.20) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{X}) \setminus U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, (s_k)!(s_k)!\mathcal{F}'_k) = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{X}) \setminus U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}'_k) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{X}) \setminus U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, (s_k)!(s_k)!\mathcal{F}'_k) \simeq 0 \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu.$$

Consider the case $\lambda = \mu$. We have

$$(\phi_+^\lambda)_*(\iota!(u^\lambda \circ s)^* \tilde{\tau}_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L})[d_\lambda - d_\lambda] \simeq (u^\lambda \circ s)^* \tilde{\tau}_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \iota^* \mathcal{L}''' \simeq \tau_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda \otimes \iota^* \mathcal{L}'''.$$

We claim that $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \otimes \iota^* \mathcal{L}''' \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is the trivial local system hence above isomorphism implies

$$(\phi_+^\lambda)_*(\iota!(u^\lambda \circ s)^* \tilde{\tau}_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{L})[d_\lambda - d_\lambda] \simeq \tau_\lambda, \quad \text{if } \lambda = \mu,$$

and by (9.19), we obtain

$$(9.21) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{X}) \setminus U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, (s_k)!(s_k)!\mathcal{F}'_k) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda])}(\tau_\lambda, \tau_\lambda).$$

By unwinding the definition of the map in (9.14), we obtain that (9.14) satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{X}) \setminus U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}'_k) & \xrightarrow{(9.14)} & \mathrm{Hom}_{D(K(\mathcal{X}) \setminus U_k)}(\mathcal{F}_k, (s_k)!(s_k)!\mathcal{F}'_k), \\ & \searrow \tilde{\quad} (9.16) & \swarrow \tilde{\quad} (9.21) \\ & \mathrm{Hom}_{D([\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda])}(\tau_\lambda, \tau_\lambda) & \end{array}$$

hence is an isomorphism. The lemma follows.

To prove the claim, we observe that, up to cohomological shifts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\lambda &\stackrel{(9.13)}{\simeq} (s)^* \mathcal{L}'_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{L}''_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{or}_{p^\lambda}^\vee \simeq (p^\lambda)^*((j_-^\lambda)!\mathbb{C})^\vee \otimes \iota^! \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathrm{or}_{p^\lambda}^\vee[-] \\ &\quad \iota^* \mathcal{L}'''_\lambda \stackrel{(9.18)}{\simeq} \iota^*((u \circ i_+^\lambda)!\mathbb{C})[-]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the canonical isomorphisms $\iota^!(-) \simeq \iota^*(-) \otimes \iota^! \mathbb{C}$ and $(p^\lambda)^\vee(-) \simeq (p^\lambda)^*(-) \otimes \mathrm{or}_{p^\lambda}^\vee[-]$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\lambda \otimes \iota^* \mathcal{L}'''_\lambda &\simeq (p^\lambda)^*((j_-^\lambda)!\mathbb{C})^\vee \otimes \mathrm{or}_{p^\lambda}^\vee \otimes \iota^*((u \circ i_+^\lambda)!\mathbb{C})[-] \simeq \\ &\simeq (p^\lambda)^*((j_-^\lambda)!\mathbb{C})^\vee \otimes \mathrm{or}_{p^\lambda}^\vee \otimes (p^\lambda)^\vee((j_-^\lambda)!\mathbb{C})[-] \simeq \\ &\simeq (p^\lambda)^*((j_-^\lambda)!\mathbb{C})^\vee \otimes (p^\lambda)^\vee((j_-^\lambda)!\mathbb{C})[-] \simeq \mathbb{C}[-]. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows. □

9.5. **Proof of Theorem 9.1.** Since the categories $D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\text{Gr})$ and $D(LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr})$ are generated by standard (resp. co-standard) objects, Lemma 9.5 and Lemma 9.6 imply that the functor $\Upsilon : D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\text{Gr}) \rightarrow D(LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr})$ is essentially surjective and fully-faithful, and hence an equivalence. This finishes the proof of Theorem 9.1.

Remark 9.7. Let $D_c(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\text{Gr}) \subset D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\text{Gr})$ be the full subcategory consisting of constructible complexes that are extension by zero off of a substack (equivalently, supported on a finite union of $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits on Gr). We define $D_!(LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr})$ to be the full subcategory of $D(LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr})$ consisting of all constructible complexes that are extensions by zero off of finite type substacks of $LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr}$. The proof of Theorem 9.1 show that Υ restricts to an equivalence

$$\Upsilon : D_c(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\text{Gr}) \simeq D_!(LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr}).$$

10. NEARBY CYCLES FUNCTORS AND RADON TRANSFORMS

We study the nearby cycles functors associated to the quasi-maps in Section 7 and the Radon transform for the real affine Grassmannian.

10.1. **A square of equivalences.** Recall the quasi-map family $QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ in Section 7.2. Consider the base change $QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}}|_{i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$ along the natural inclusion $i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. By Proposition 6.6 and Proposition 7.1, we have the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (LK_c\backslash\text{Gr}) \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{j} & QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}}|_{i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} & \xleftarrow{i} & K_c\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow f^0 & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_0 \\ LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr} \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} & \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \times i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}} & G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i\mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \{0\} \end{array} .$$

Define the following nearby cycles functors

$$(10.1) \quad \Psi : D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\text{Gr}) \simeq D_s(LK_c\backslash\text{Gr}) \rightarrow D(K_c\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}), \quad \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Psi(\mathcal{F}) := i^*j_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}),$$

$$(10.2) \quad \Psi_{\mathbb{R}} : D(LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr}) \rightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}), \quad \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Psi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}) = (\bar{i})^*(\bar{j})_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}).$$

We also have the Radon transform

$$(10.3) \quad \Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}} : D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

given by the restriction to $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset D(G_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ of the push-forward $p_! : D(G_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ along the quotient map $p : G_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\text{Gr} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Denote by $D(\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})))$ be the dg category of \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes on $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))$.

Here are the main results of this section.

Theorem 10.1. *The nearby cycles functors and the Radon transform induce equivalences of categories:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &: D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}), \\ \Psi_{\mathbb{R}} &: D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}), \\ \Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}} &: D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10.2. *We have a commutative square of equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \downarrow \Upsilon & & \downarrow \Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}} \\ D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathbb{R}}} & D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ & \searrow \simeq & \swarrow \simeq \\ & D(\mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}))) & \end{array}$$

where the vertical equivalences in the lower triangle come from the real and complex uniformization isomorphism

$$LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \xleftarrow{\simeq} G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 10.1 and Theorem 10.2.

10.2. The nearby cycles functor Ψ . For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$ and a K_c -equivariant local system ω on $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, one has the standard and co-standard sheaves

$$\mathcal{T}_*^+(\lambda, \omega) := (s_{\lambda}^+)_*(\omega^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_!^+(\lambda, \omega) := (s_{\lambda}^+)!(\omega^+)$$

in $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ (see Lemma 9.3). Recall the standard sheaf $\mathcal{S}_*^+(\lambda, \tau)$ in $D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$ (see (9.3)).

Proposition 10.3. *The nearby cycles functor induces an equivalence $\Psi : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \simeq D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$, which is the real-symmetric equivalence in Theorem 8.14. Moreover, we have $\Psi(\mathcal{S}_*^+(\lambda, \tau)) \simeq \mathcal{T}_*^+(\lambda, \omega)$*

Proof. By Lemma 7.6, there is a K_c -equivariant topological trivialization of the quasi-maps family $QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \infty)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and thus the nearby cycles functor is the same as the real-symmetric equivalence in Theorem 8.14. The proposition follows. \square

10.3. The Radon transform. Recall the flow $\psi_z^1 : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$ in (6.9). By Lemma 6.3, it restricts to a flow on the special fiber $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_0$ with critical manifolds $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+} C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ and $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, respectively $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, is the stable manifold, respectively unstable manifold, of $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$. Let $t_{\lambda}^- : G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the natural inclusion map. According to Lemma 9.3, for any K_c -equivariant local system ω on $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, we have the standard and co-standard sheaves

$$\mathcal{T}_*^-(\lambda, \omega) := (t_{\lambda}^-)_*(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_!^-(\lambda, \omega) := (t_{\lambda}^-)!(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Recall the Radon transform

$$\Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}} : D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \longrightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

in (10.3). The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 9.1, replacing the Matsuki flow $\phi_t : \mathrm{Gr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}$ by the $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -flow $\psi_z^1 : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and Lemma 9.2 by Lemma 9.3, gives us:

Proposition 10.4. *The Radon transform defines an equivalence of categories*

$$\Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}} : D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Moreover, for any K_c -equivariant local system ω on $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ we have

$$\Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{J}_*^+(\lambda, \omega)) \simeq \mathcal{J}_!^-(\lambda, \omega \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\lambda})[d_{\lambda}].$$

Here we regard the local system \mathcal{L}_{λ} in (9.13) as a local system on $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ via the isomorphism $k_{\lambda} : \Omega G_c \backslash \mathcal{O}_c^{\lambda} \simeq C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ in Lemma 9.3.

10.4. **The functor $\Psi_{\mathbb{R}}$.** Note that, by Proposition 6.6, the map $LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \simeq \mathrm{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})) \times i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is isomorphic to a constant family. It implies

Proposition 10.5. *The nearby cycles functor*

$$\Psi_{\mathbb{R}} : D(LG_{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}) \longrightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

is an equivalence satisfying $\Psi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{S}_!^-(\lambda, \tau)) \simeq \mathcal{J}_!^-(\lambda, \omega)$.

10.5. **Proof of Theorem 10.1 and Theorem 10.2.** We already proved Theorem 10.1. We have $\Psi(\mathcal{F}) \simeq i^*j_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \simeq i^!j_!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}[1])$ and the natural arrow $(f_0)!i^! \rightarrow (\bar{i})^!f_!$ gives rise to a natural transformation

$$(10.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \Psi(\mathcal{F}) &\simeq (f_0)!i^!j_!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}[1]) \rightarrow (\bar{i})^!f_!j_!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}[1]) \simeq (\bar{i})^!(\bar{j})!(f^0)!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}[1]) \simeq \\ &\simeq \Psi_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \Upsilon(\mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 9.5, Proposition 10.3, Proposition 10.4, and Proposition 10.5 that (10.4) is an isomorphism for the standard sheaf $\mathcal{S}_*^+(\lambda, \tau)$. Since the category $D_c(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr})$ is generated by $\mathcal{S}_*^+(\lambda, \tau)$, it implies (10.4) is an isomorphism. Theorem 10.2 follows.

11. COMPATIBILITY OF HECKE ACTIONS

Recall the derived Satake category $D(G(\mathcal{O})\backslash\mathrm{Gr})$ is naturally monoidal with respect to convolution. We will write $\mathcal{F}_1 \star \mathcal{F}_2$ for the convolution product of $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in D(G(\mathcal{O})\backslash\mathrm{Gr})$.

Here we enhance the equivalences and commutative square of Theorems 10.1 and 10.2 to $D(G(\mathcal{O})\backslash\mathrm{Gr})$ -modules. Roughly speaking, we will take advantage of the natural right actions on the categories involved, whereas the prior Radon transforms were performed on the left.

11.1. **Hecke actions.** First, the affine Matsuki correspondence for sheaves

$$\Upsilon : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$$

is naturally an equivalence of $D(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$ -modules by convolution on the right. To see this, recall Υ is the restriction to $D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \subset D(LK_c \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$ of the push-forward $u_! : D(LK_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$ along the quotient map $u : LK_c \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \rightarrow LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}$. We can equip this construction with compatibility with convolution on the right by using the commutative action diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LK_c \backslash G(\mathcal{K}) \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \mathrm{Gr} & \xrightarrow{u \times \mathrm{id}} & LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash G(\mathcal{K}) \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \mathrm{Gr} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ LK_c \backslash \mathrm{Gr} & \xrightarrow{u} & LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \end{array}$$

and its natural iterations.

Similarly, the Radon equivalence

$$\Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}} : D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

is naturally an equivalence of $D_c(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -modules by convolution on the right. To see this, recall $\Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the restriction to $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset D(G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ of the push-forward $p_! : D(G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ along the quotient map $p : G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We can equip this construction with compatibility with convolution on the right by using the commutative action diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash G(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times^{G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{p \times \mathrm{id}} & G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash G(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times^{G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{p} & G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \end{array}$$

and its natural iterations.

11.2. **From complex to real kernels.** Following [N1], nearby cycles in the real Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$ over $i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ gives a functor

$$(11.1) \quad \psi : D(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \longrightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

Namely, there is a canonical diagram of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant maps

$$(11.2) \quad \mathrm{Gr} \xleftarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Gr} \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \xleftarrow{i} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_0 \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

where we view $G_{\mathbb{R}} \subset LG_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)}$ as the constant group-scheme. One defines $\psi = i^* j_* \pi^* f_{\mathbb{R}}$ where we write $f_{\mathbb{R}} : D(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$ for the forgetful functor.

Note the domain and codomain of ψ both have natural convolution monoidal structures. To equip ψ with a monoidal structure, we proceed as follows.

Let $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ be the moduli of $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ G -torsors on \mathbb{P}^1 , ϕ a trivialization of \mathcal{E}_1 over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$, and α an isomorphism from \mathcal{E}_1 to \mathcal{E}_2 over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$. Let $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$

be the real form of $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ with respect to the twisted conjugation that exchanges x_1 and x_2 .

Then there is a canonical diagram of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -equivariant maps

$$(11.3) \quad G(\mathcal{K}) \times^{G^{(0)}} \mathrm{Gr} \xleftarrow{\pi} G(\mathcal{K}) \times^{G^{(0)}} \mathrm{Gr} \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \xrightarrow{j}$$

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \xleftarrow{i} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_0 \simeq G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times^{G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

Moreover, the convolution maps on the end terms naturally extend to the entire diagram. By standard identities, we arrive at a canonical isomorphism $\psi(\mathcal{F}_1 \star \mathcal{F}_2) \simeq \psi(\mathcal{F}_1) \star \psi(\mathcal{F}_2)$. By using iterated versions of the above moduli spaces, we may likewise equip ψ with the associativity constraints of a monoidal structure.

11.3. Compatibility of actions. Note we can view the Radon equivalence $\Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}}$ as an equivalence of $D(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$ -modules via the monoidal functor

$$\psi : D(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \longrightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

Now we have the following further compatibility of our constructions.

Theorem 11.1. *Via the monoidal functor*

$$\psi : D(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \longrightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

the equivalences

$$\Psi : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

$$\Psi_{\mathbb{R}} : D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

of Theorem 10.1 and commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \downarrow \Upsilon & & \downarrow \Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}} \\ D(LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathbb{R}}} & D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}). \end{array}$$

of Theorem 10.2 are naturally of $D(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$ -modules.

Proof. We will focus on the compatibility for the top row and indicate the moduli spaces needed. We leave it to the reader to pass to sheaves and apply standard identities. The compatibility for the bottom row and entire square can be argued similarly.

Let $QM^{(2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ be the moduli of $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ G -torsors on \mathbb{P}^1 , σ a section of $\mathcal{E}_1 \times^G X$ over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$, and α an isomorphism from \mathcal{E}_1 to \mathcal{E}_2 over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$. Let $QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$ be the real form of $QM^{(2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ with respect to the twisted conjugation that exchanges x_1 and x_2 .

Then there is a canonical diagram of K_c -equivariant maps

$$(11.4) \quad LK_c \backslash G(\mathcal{K}) \times^{G^{(0)}} \mathrm{Gr} \xleftarrow{\pi} LK_c \backslash G(\mathcal{K}) \times^{G^{(0)}} \mathrm{Gr} \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0} \simeq QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \xrightarrow{j}$$

$$QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \xleftarrow{i} QM^{(\sigma_2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}|_0 \simeq K_c \backslash G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times^{G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

Note we could equivalently obtain diagram (11.4) by taking diagram (11.3) and quotienting by the left action of the group-scheme $LK_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma_2)}$.

As with the convolution maps in diagram (11.3), the actions maps on the end terms of diagram (11.4) naturally extend to the entire diagram. By standard identities, we arrive at a canonical isomorphism $\Psi(\mathcal{M} \star \mathcal{F}) \simeq \Psi(\mathcal{M}) \star \psi(\mathcal{F})$. By using iterated versions of the above moduli spaces, we may likewise equip Ψ with the associativity constraints of a module map. \square

12. COMPATIBILITY WITH FUSION PRODUCT

We show that the real-symmetric equivalences in Theorem 8.14 are compatible with the natural fusion products

12.1. Fusion product for $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We first define fusion product for the real Satake category. Consider the family

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

obtained by the restriction of the family $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ along embedding $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ sending $t \rightarrow (t, -t)$. The natural action of $G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})^{(2)}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)}$ is compatible with the factorization isomorphisms in Section 4.3 and it follows that there are Cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbb{R}}} & (G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})^{(2)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)})|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} & \xleftarrow{i_{\mathbb{R}}} & G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \{0\} \end{array}$$

For any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \in D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$, we define the fusion product $\mathcal{F} \star_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}'$ as the following nearby cycles:

$$\mathcal{F} \star_f \mathcal{F}' := (i_{\mathbb{R}})^*(j_{\mathbb{R}})_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}})$$

where $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}$ is the constant sheaf on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Like in the case of complex reductive groups, there is a natural monoidal structure on $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ given by the convolution product: consider the convolution diagram

$$(12.1) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \xleftarrow{p} G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{q} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} := G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times^{G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{m} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

where p and q are the natural quotient maps and $m(x, y \bmod G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})) = xy \bmod G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$. For any $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$, the convolution is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \star \mathcal{F}_2 = m_!(\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2 \in D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is the unique complex such that $q^*(\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2) \simeq p^*(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)$.

Proposition 12.1. (1) *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\star_f \simeq \star : D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

of functors. (2) The fusion and convolution product are t -exact with respect to the perverse t -structure.

Proof. Parts (1) follows from the same proof as in the complex case using the real global convolution diagram in [N2, Section 6.3]. Part (2) follows from part (1) and the fact that the real convolution map m is a stratified semismall map, see [N2, Section 3.8]. \square

12.2. Fusion product for $X(\mathcal{K})$. We define and study the fusion product for the relative Satake category.

Consider the base change $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$ along the embedding $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$, $t \rightarrow (t, -t)$. Since the action of the ind-group scheme $K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ is compatible with the factorization isomorphisms it follows that there are Cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \simeq (K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbb{R}}} & (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} & \xleftarrow{i_{\mathbb{R}}} & K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \simeq X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}) \\
\downarrow s|_{\mathbb{C}^\times} & & \downarrow s & & \downarrow \simeq id \\
(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times \simeq (K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times & \xrightarrow{j} & (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\mathbb{C}} & \xleftarrow{i} & K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \simeq X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{C}^\times & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathbb{C} & \xleftarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \{0\}
\end{array}$$

where s is the natural closed embedding.

Since j is a fp-open embedding and i is an fp-closed embedding, [BKV, Lemma 5.4.1] and Proposition 8.11 implies that the functors $j^!$ and $i^!$ admits a (continuous) right adjoint j_* and a left adjoint i^* respectively.

For any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \in D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \in D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$) we define the fusion product $\mathcal{F} \star_f \mathcal{F}'$ as the following nearby cycles

$$\mathcal{F} \star_f \mathcal{F}' := i^* j_* (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}})$$

here we view $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}$ as constant sheaf supported on $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Note that the left adjoint $(i_{\mathbb{R}})^*$ of $(i_{\mathbb{R}})_*$ and right adjoint $(j_{\mathbb{R}})_*$ of $(j_{\mathbb{R}})^!$ exist and are isomorphic to $(i_{\mathbb{R}})^* \simeq i^* s_*$ and $(j_{\mathbb{R}})_* \simeq s^! j_* (s|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})_*$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}(i^* s_* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}') &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}(s_* \mathcal{F}, i_* \mathcal{F}') \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(s_* \mathcal{F}, s_* (i_{\mathbb{R}})_* \mathcal{F}') \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, (i_{\mathbb{R}})_* \mathcal{F}') \\
\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, s^! j_* (s|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})_* \mathcal{M}') &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}(s_* \mathcal{M}, j_* (s|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})_* \mathcal{M}') \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(j^! s_* \mathcal{M}, (s|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})_* \mathcal{M}') \simeq \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}((s|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})_* (j_{\mathbb{R}})^! \mathcal{M}, (s|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})_* \mathcal{M}') \simeq \mathrm{Hom}((j_{\mathbb{R}})^! \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')
\end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphisms follow from the fact that the functors s_* and $(s|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})_*$ are fully-faithful. There is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F} \star_f \mathcal{F}' \simeq (i_{\mathbb{R}})^* (j_{\mathbb{R}})_* (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}})$$

Theorem 12.2.

(1) The equivalences $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) \simeq D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \simeq D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ are compatible with the fusion products

(2) The fusion product for $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ (resp. $D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr})$) is t -exact with respect to the perverse t -structure.

Proof. Proof of (1). The compatibility for $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})) \simeq D(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr})$ follows from the definition. Consider the family of embeddings

$$\iota_a : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^2 \quad t \rightarrow (t + ai, -t + ai)$$

parametrized by $a \in \mathbb{R}$. There is a natural isomorphism

$$(12.2) \quad (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\iota_a(\mathbb{C})} \simeq (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\iota_0(\mathbb{C})} = (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\mathbb{C}}$$

induced by the translation isomorphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1, x \rightarrow x + ai$ and the stratified homeomorphism in Theorem 7.5 restricts to a stratified homeomorphism

$$(12.3) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \simeq (\Omega K_c^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\iota_1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})}$$

Let us consider the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbb{R}}} & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} & \xleftarrow{i_{\mathbb{R}}} & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ (\Omega K_c^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbb{R}}} & (\Omega K_c^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\iota_1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})} & \xleftarrow{i_{\mathbb{R}}} & \Omega K_c\backslash\mathrm{Gr} \\ \downarrow h^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} & & \downarrow h^{(2)} & & \downarrow h \\ (K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr})^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbb{R}}} & (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} & \xleftarrow{i_{\mathbb{R}}} & K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \{0\} \end{array}$$

where

$$h^{(2)} : (\Omega K_c^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\iota_1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\iota_1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})} \stackrel{(12.2)}{\simeq} (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$$

and

$$\mathrm{pr} : (\Omega K_c^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}) \rightarrow (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})$$

is the natural map induced by the natural inclusion $\Omega K_c^{(2)} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}$. Note that there is an isomorphism

$$(\Omega K_c^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}) \simeq K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}\backslash(\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)} \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2} K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}/\Omega K_c^{(2)})$$

where $K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}$ -acts diagonally, and the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{pr}^! &\simeq (h^{(2)})^! : D(K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}) \simeq \mathrm{colim} D((\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})^{[n]}|_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}) \longrightarrow D((\Omega K_c^{(2)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}) \simeq \\ &\simeq \mathrm{colim} D((\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)} \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2} K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}/\Omega K_c^{(2)})^{[n]}) \end{aligned}$$

is induced by the pullback functors $(\mathrm{pr}^{[n]})^!$ along the projection maps between the terms of the Čech complexes:

$$\mathrm{pr}^{[n]} : (\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)} \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2} K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}/\Omega K_c^{(2)})^{[n]} = (\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)} \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2} (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}/\Omega K_c^{(2)})) \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2} (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)})^n \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow (\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})^{[n]} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)} \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2} (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)})^n$$

Note that the Gram-Schmidt factorization in Proposition 5.4 implies that quotient $K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)}/\Omega K_c^{(2)} \simeq K(\mathcal{O})^{(2)}$ is strongly pro-smooth, that is, $K(\mathcal{O})^{(2)}$ is a projective limit of smooth schemes with smooth affine transition maps. Thus the map $\mathrm{pr}^{[n]}$ is also strongly pro-smooth and it follows from [BKV, Proposition 5.2.7 (d) and Lemma 5.4.5] that $(\mathrm{pr}^{[n]})^!$ and hence $\mathrm{pr}^! \simeq (h^{(2)})^!$ commutes with nearby cycles, that is, we have

$$h^!(i_{\mathbb{R}})^*(j_{\mathbb{R}})_*(-) \simeq (i_{\mathbb{R}})^*(j_{\mathbb{R}})_*(h^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{R}^\times})^!(-).$$

Since $h^! : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow D(\Omega K_c \setminus \mathrm{Gr}) \simeq D(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ gives rise to the equivalence $D(K(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}) \simeq D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ in Theorem 8.14, for any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \in D(K(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr})$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} h^!(\mathcal{F} \star_f \mathcal{F}') &\simeq h^!((i_{\mathbb{R}})^*(j_{\mathbb{R}})_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}})) \simeq (i_{\mathbb{R}})^*(j_{\mathbb{R}})_*(h^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{R}^\times})^!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \simeq \\ &\simeq (i_{\mathbb{R}})^*(j_{\mathbb{R}})_*(h^!\mathcal{F} \boxtimes h^!\mathcal{F}' \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \simeq h^!\mathcal{F} \star_f h^!\mathcal{F}'. \end{aligned}$$

Part (1) follows.

Since the equivalences in (1) are t -exact, Part (2) follows from part (1) and Lemma 12.1. \square

13. APPLICATIONS

We provide numerous applications of the main results to real and relative Langlands duality.

13.1. t -exactness criterion and semi-simplicity of Hecke actions.

Theorem 13.1. *The Hecke actions on $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ and $D(K(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr})$ (resp. $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$) are t -exact if and only if X is quasi-split (resp. $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is quasi-split).*

Proof. It was shown in [N2, Theorem 1.2.3] that the nearby cycles functor $\psi : D(G(\mathcal{O}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is t -exact if and only if $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is quasi-split. Since the Hecke action on the real Satake category is given by the convolution $\mathcal{F} \star \psi(\mathcal{F})$, the t -exactness for the convolution in Lemma 12.1 implies the desired claim for the case $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$. We deduce the case of $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ from Theorem 8.14 and Theorem 11.1. \square

Remark 13.2. It would be nice if one can find a direct geometric proof for the t -exactness criterion for the relative Satake category.

Theorem 13.3. *The Hecke action on the real and relative Satake categories satisfies the conclusion of decomposition theorem. That is, for any semi-simple complexes $\mathcal{M} \in D(G(\mathcal{O}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr})$ and $\mathcal{F} \in D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ or $D(K(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr})$ or $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$, the convolutions $\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{M}$ is again semi-simple.*

In particular, the nearby cycles functor $\psi : D(G(\mathcal{O}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ preserves semi-simplicity.

Proof. By the real-symmetric equivalence Theorem 8.14 and Theorem 11.1, it suffices to prove the case of relative Satake categories. Pick a placid presentation $X(\mathcal{K}) = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \lim_{j \in J} Y_j^i$. We can assume the twisted product $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \in D(X(\mathcal{K}) \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \operatorname{Gr})$ is supported on $Y^i \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \overline{G(\mathcal{O})t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})}/G(\mathcal{O})$ for some $i \in I$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$. One can find an (large enough) index $i' \in I$ such that the image of the action map $a : Y^i \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \overline{G(\mathcal{O})t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})} \rightarrow X(\mathcal{K})$ lands in $Y^{i'}$. Note that $Y^i \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \overline{G(\mathcal{O})t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})}$ is $G(\mathcal{O})$ -placid and the induced map

$$a : Y^i \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \overline{G(\mathcal{O})t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})} \rightarrow Y^{i'}$$

is a proper morphism. Thus by [EGA IV, Theorem 8.10.5], one can find a placid presentation $Y^i \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \overline{G(\mathcal{O})t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})} \simeq \lim_{j \in J} Z_j$, an index $j \in J$, and a proper morphism $\bar{a} : Z_j \rightarrow Y_j^{i'}$ such that there is a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^i \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \overline{G(\mathcal{O})t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})}/G(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{a} & Y^{i'}/G(\mathcal{O}) \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow p \\ Z_j/G(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}} & Y_j^{i'}/G(\mathcal{O}) \end{array}$$

Moreover, we can assume $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \simeq h^! \mathcal{F}'$ for some semisimple object $\mathcal{F}' \in D(Z_j/G(\mathcal{O}))$. Since \bar{a} is proper, the Decomposition Theorem implies $\bar{a}_*(\mathcal{F})$ is semi-simple and the proper base change theorem implies that

$$\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{M} \simeq a_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}) \simeq a_* h^! (\mathcal{F}') \simeq p^! (\bar{a})_*(\mathcal{F}')$$

is semi-simple. □

13.2. Formality and commutativity of dg Ext algebras. We have the monoidal abelian Satake equivalence

$$\operatorname{Rep}(G^\vee) \simeq \operatorname{Perv}(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}_G) : V \rightarrow \operatorname{IC}_V.$$

By restricting the Hecke action to the subcategory $\operatorname{Rep}(G^\vee) \simeq \operatorname{Perv}(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}_G)$, we obtain a monoidal action of $\operatorname{Rep}(G^\vee)$ on $D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ and $D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Let $\omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})} \in \operatorname{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ be the dualizing complex on the closed $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit $X(\mathcal{K})^0 \simeq X(\mathcal{O})$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \operatorname{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the IC-complex of the closed $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -orbit $S_{\mathbb{R}}^0$.

Let $\operatorname{IC}_{reg} := \operatorname{IC}_{\mathcal{O}(G^\vee)}$ (an ind-object in $\operatorname{Perv}(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}_G)$) be the image of the regular representation $\mathcal{O}(G^\vee)$ under the abelian Satake equivalence. Since $\mathcal{O}(G^\vee)$ is a ring object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G^\vee)$, IC_{reg} is naturally a ring object in $D(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr})$, that is, there is a natural homomorphism

$$(13.1) \quad m : \operatorname{IC}_{reg} \star \operatorname{IC}_{reg} \rightarrow \operatorname{IC}_{reg}$$

satisfying the unit and associativity properties. It follows that the RHom spaces

$$A_X := \operatorname{RHom}_{D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))}(\omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})}, \omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})} \star \operatorname{IC}_{reg})$$

$$A_{\mathbb{R}} := \operatorname{RHom}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \backslash \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}(\delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \star \operatorname{IC}_{reg})$$

are naturally dg-algebras with natural G^\vee -actions, known as the de-equivariantized Ext algebras for the symmetric and real Satake categories respectively. We denote by $H^\bullet(A_X) =$

$\text{Ext}_{D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))}^\bullet(\omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})}, \omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})} \star \text{IC}_{reg}))$ and $H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}}) = \text{Ext}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}^\bullet(\delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \star \text{IC}_{reg})$ the corresponding cohomology algebras with trivial differentials.

Theorem 13.4. (1) *There is a G^\vee -equivariant isomorphism of dg algebras $A_X \simeq A_{\mathbb{R}}$ inducing a G^\vee -equivariant isomorphism of algebras $H^\bullet(A_X) \simeq H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

(2) *The dg algebra A_X (resp. $A_{\mathbb{R}}$) is formal, that is, they are quasi-isomorphic to the cohomology algebras $H^\bullet(A_X)$ (resp. $H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}})$) with trivial differential.*

(3) *The algebra $H^\bullet(A_X)$ (resp. $H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}})$) is commutative.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from Theorem 8.14 and Theorem 11.1.

For part (2) and (3), using (1), it suffices to prove that A_X is formal and $H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}})$ is commutative. The formality of A_X is proved in [CY] using a pointwise purity result for IC-complexes of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X(\mathcal{K})$. The proof of the commutativity of $H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}})$ is similar to the case of complex groups. Note that $\delta_{\mathbb{R}} \star \text{IC}_{reg} \simeq \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})$ where ψ is the monoidal functor in (11.1). Recall that IC_{reg} is a *commutative ring object* in $D(G(\mathcal{O})\backslash\text{Gr})$, that is, there is a natural isomorphism

$$m \circ \sigma \simeq m : \text{IC}_{reg} \star \text{IC}_{reg} \rightarrow \text{IC}_{reg}$$

where

$$(13.2) \quad \sigma : \text{IC}_{reg} \star \text{IC}_{reg} \simeq \text{IC}_{reg} \star \text{IC}_{reg}$$

is the commutativity constraint of the convolution product. The monoidal structure of ψ gives rise to a multiplication morphism of $\psi(\text{IC}_{reg})$:

$$m_{\mathbb{R}} : \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}) \star \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}) \simeq \psi(\text{IC}_{reg} \star \text{IC}_{reg}) \xrightarrow{\psi(m)} \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})$$

satisfying the unit and associativity properties and there is a natural isomorphism

$$(13.3) \quad m_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq m_{\mathbb{R}} : \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}) \star \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}) \rightarrow \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})$$

where

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{R}} : \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}) \star \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}) \simeq \psi(\text{IC}_{reg} \star \text{IC}_{reg}) \xrightarrow{\psi(\sigma)} \psi(\text{IC}_{reg} \star \text{IC}_{reg}) \simeq \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}) \star \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}).$$

Note that the multiplication of

$$H^\bullet(A_{\mathbb{R}}) = \text{Ext}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}^\bullet(\delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \star \text{IC}_{reg}) \simeq \text{Ext}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}^\bullet(\delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}))$$

is induced from the multiplication morphism $m_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\psi(\text{IC}_{reg})$: for $x : \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})[i] \in \text{Ext}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}^i(\delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}))$, $y : \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})[j] \in \text{Ext}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}^j(\delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}))$ there exists a unique map

$$x \tilde{\boxtimes} y : \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\boxtimes} \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})[i] \tilde{\boxtimes} \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})[j] \in \text{Ext}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\times} \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}^{i+j}(\delta_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\boxtimes} \delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}) \tilde{\boxtimes} \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}))$$

such that

$$q^*(x \tilde{\boxtimes} y) = p^*(x \boxtimes y) \in \text{Ext}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}^{i+j}(\delta_{\mathbb{R}} \boxtimes \delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}) \boxtimes \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}))$$

(where p and q are the maps in the convolution diagram (12.1)) and the product $x \star y \in \text{Ext}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}^{i+j}(\delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi(\text{IC}_{reg}))$ is given by

$$x \star y = m_!(x \tilde{\boxtimes} y) : \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \star \delta_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})[i] \star \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})[j] \xrightarrow{m_{\mathbb{R}}} \psi(\text{IC}_{reg})[i+j]$$

Since the commutativity constraint σ exchanges the factors of $\mathrm{IC}_{reg} \star \mathrm{IC}_{reg}$, it follows that $\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ exchanges the factors of $\psi(\mathrm{IC}_{reg}) \star \psi(\mathrm{IC}_{reg})$ and the isomorphism (13.3) implies that the algebra $\mathrm{Ext}_{D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})}^{\bullet}(\delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi(\mathrm{IC}_{reg}))$ is commutative. \square

Theorem 13.4 implies the following spectral descriptions of the full subcategories $D_c(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))_0 \subset D(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ and $D_c(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})_0 \subset D(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ in Section 1.2.4. Consider the Hamiltonian duals $M_X^{\vee} = \mathrm{Spec}(H^{\bullet}(A_X))$ and $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} = \mathrm{Spec}(H^{\bullet}(A_{\mathbb{R}}))$ of X and $G_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 13.5. (1) *There is a G^{\vee} -equivariant isomorphism $M_X^{\vee} \simeq M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$.* (2) *There are equivalences of categories*

$$(13.4) \quad D_c(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))_0 \simeq \mathrm{Coh}(M_X^{\vee}/G^{\vee}) \quad D_c(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})_0 \simeq \mathrm{Coh}(M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}/G^{\vee}).$$

Proof. Part (1) follows from Theorem 13.4 and part (2) follows from the formality of dg Ext algebras A_X and $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the general Barr-Beck-Lurie theorem, see the details in [CMNO, Theorem 5.5]. \square

13.3. Identification of dual groups. In this section we show that there is an isomorphism between the dual group $H_{real}^{\vee} \subset G^{\vee}$ of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ introduced in [N2] and the dual group $H_{sph}^{\vee} \subset G^{\vee}$ of X introduced in [GN1].

13.3.1. *Construction of H_{real}^{\vee} .*

Definition 13.6. Let $Q_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathrm{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the full subcategory whose objects are isomorphic to direct sum of perverse sheaves that appears in the summand of $\delta_{\mathbb{R}} \star \mathrm{IC}_V$ for some $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G^{\vee})$. Let $Q_X \subset \mathrm{Perv}(X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}))$ be the full subcategory whose objects are isomorphic to direct sum of perverse sheaves that appears in the summand of $\omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})} \star \mathrm{IC}_V$ for some $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G^{\vee})$. Let $Q_K \subset \mathrm{Perv}(K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathrm{Gr})$ be the full subcategory whose objects are isomorphic to direct sum of perverse sheaves that appears in the summand of $\omega_{K(\mathcal{K})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^0} \star \mathrm{IC}_V$ for some $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G^{\vee})$.

Consider the perverse Hecke actions

$$(13.5) \quad \star^p : \mathrm{Rep}(G^{\vee}) \times Q \rightarrow Q \quad \mathrm{IC}_V \star^p \mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} {}^p H^i(\mathrm{IC}_V \star \mathcal{F})$$

where $Q = Q_{\mathbb{R}}, Q_X$ or Q_K .

Proposition 13.7. (1) *The abelian category $Q_{\mathbb{R}}$ is semi-simple and irreducible objects are intersection cohomology sheaves on the orbits closures of strata $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \sigma(\Lambda_T)$ (see (2.2)), with coefficients in trivial local systems. There exists a unique associativity and commutativity constraints for the category $Q_{\mathbb{R}}$ equipped with the convolution product \star such that $(Q_{\mathbb{R}}, \star)$ is a neutral Tannakian category with fiber functor $H^* : Q_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}$ given by cohomology. The Tannakian group of $Q_{\mathbb{R}}$ is isomorphic to the connected complex reductive subgroup $H_{real}^{\vee} \subset G^{\vee}$ of the dual group associated to $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ in [N2] and there is a horizontal tensor equivalence in the*

following diagram of tensor functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Rep}(G^\vee) & \\
 \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 Q_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Rep}(H_{\text{real}}^\vee)
 \end{array}$$

where left vertical arrow is given by the perverse Hecke action on $\delta_{\mathbb{R}}$.

(2) There exists unique associativity and commutativity constraints for the category Q_X (resp. Q_K) equipped with the fusion product \star_f such that there are horizontal tensor equivalences in the following commutative diagram of tensor functors

$$(13.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{Rep}(G^\vee) & & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 Q_X & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & Q_K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & Q_{\mathbb{R}}
 \end{array} .$$

where vertical arrows are given by the perverse Hecke-actions \star^p of $\text{Rep } G^\vee$ (13.5) on $\omega_{X(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O})}$, $\omega_{K(\mathcal{X})\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^0}$, and $\delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively.

Proof. The semi-simplicity of the Hecke action in Theorem 13.3 implies that the category $Q_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the same as the full subcategory $Q(\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \text{Perv}(G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})\backslash\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ introduced [N2] whose objects are isomorphic to subquotients of perverse sheaves that appears in the summand of $\delta_{\mathbb{R}} \star \text{IC}_V$ for some $V \in \text{Rep}(G^\vee)$. Now part (1) follows from the main results in [N2].

Proposition 12.2 implies that there are equivalence $Q_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq Q_X \simeq Q_K$ compatible with the Hecke action, and the convolution product on $Q_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the fusion products on Q_X and Q_K . Thus we can transport the associativity and commutativity constraints of $(Q_{\mathbb{R}}, \star)$ through the above equivalence to (Q_X, \star_f) and (Q_K, \star_f) . Now the commutativity of (13.6) follows again from part (1) and Theorem 11.1. □

Corollary 13.8. *There are tensor equivalences $Q_X \simeq Q_K \simeq \text{Rep}(H_{\text{real}}^\vee)$. The abelian category Q_X (resp. Q_K) is semi-simple with irreducible objects IC_X^λ (resp. IC_K^λ), $\lambda \in \sigma(\Lambda_T)$.*

13.3.2. *Construction of H_{sph}^\vee .* Fix a pole point $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and consider the stack of quasi-maps $Z := \text{QM}^{(1)}(\mathbb{P}^1, 0, X)$ classifying a G -bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^1 and a section $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times^G X$, or equivalently, a K -reduction \mathcal{E}_K of \mathcal{E} on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}$. We have the uniformization isomorphism

$$(13.7) \quad Z \simeq LK^{(1)}\backslash\text{Gr}^{(1)}|_0 \simeq K(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])\backslash\text{Gr}$$

in Section (7.4), here t is the local coordinate at 0. The stratum $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+$ in Section 4.2 descends to a stratum $Z^\lambda = K(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])\backslash\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \subset Z$, which is smooth, locally closed sub-stack of Z and the collection $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z^\lambda\}$ forms a stratification of Z (see [GN1, Section 3.4]). For example, the closed stratum Z^0 is isomorphic to

$$Z^0 \simeq K(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])\backslash K(\mathcal{K})/K(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \text{Bun}_K(\mathbb{P}^1).$$

Following [GN1], we define the ind-stack Hecke_Z of *generic Hecke modifications* to the ind-stack classifying data

$$(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \underline{z}, \tau)$$

where $(\mathcal{E}_i, \phi_i) \in Z$, $\underline{z} \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a divisor on \mathbb{P}^1 with support contained in $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}$, and τ is an isomorphism of G -bundles $\tau : \mathcal{E}_1 \simeq \mathcal{E}_2$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \underline{z}$ compatible with the K -reductions of $\mathcal{E}_{1,K}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2,K}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \underline{z}\}$. We have natural projection maps

$$Z \xleftarrow{p_1} \text{Hecke}_Z \xrightarrow{p_2} Z$$

given by

$$p_i((\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \underline{z}, \tau)) = (\mathcal{E}_i, \phi_i).$$

We define a *smooth generic Hecke correspondence* to be any stack Y equipped with smooth maps

$$Z \xleftarrow{h_{1,Y}} Y \xrightarrow{h_{2,Y}} Z$$

such that there exists a map $Y \rightarrow \text{Hecke}_Z$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ h_{1,Y} \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow h_{2,Y} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{p_1} \text{Hecke}_Z \xrightarrow{p_1} & Z \end{array}$$

We also have the ind-stack $Z \tilde{\times} \text{Gr} = \tilde{Z} \times^{G(\mathcal{O})} \text{Gr}$ of Hecke modifications at $\{0\}$ where $\tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -torsor classifying the data

$$(\mathcal{E}, \phi, \sigma)$$

where $(\mathcal{E}, \phi) \in Z$ and σ is a trivialization $\mathcal{E}|_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})} \simeq G \times \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ of \mathcal{E} on the formal neighborhood of $\{0\}$. We have natural projection maps

$$Z \xleftarrow{h_1} Z \tilde{\times} \text{Gr} \xrightarrow{h_2} Z$$

Let $\text{Perv}(Z)$ be the category of perverse sheaves on Z . Let $\text{Perv}_z(Z) \subset \text{Perv}(Z)$ the full subcategory of perverse sheaves which are locally constant with respect to the stratification $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z^\lambda\}$. We define a *generic Hecke-equivariant* perverse sheaf on Z to a perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(Z)$ on Z equipped with isomorphisms

$$\phi_Y : h_{1,Y}^! \mathcal{F} \simeq h_{2,Y}^! \mathcal{F}$$

for every smooth generic Hecke correspondence Y , satisfying some natural conditions, see [GN1, Section 3.2]. We denote by $\text{Perv}(Z)^{\text{Hecke}}$ the category of generic Hecke-equivariant perverse sheaves on Z . Since we assume K is connected, the condition of generic-Hecke equivariance is a property, not addition structure of a perverse sheaf on Z by [GN1, Proposition 3.5.2], we see that the natural forgetful map $\text{Perv}(Z)^{\text{Hecke}} \rightarrow \text{Perv}(Z)$ is fully-faithful and induces an equivalence $\text{Perv}(Z)^{\text{Hecke}} \simeq \text{Perv}_z(Z)$.

Following [GN1, Section 4.2], consider the perverse Hecke action

$$\star^p : \text{Rep}(G^\vee) \times \text{Perv}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(Z) \quad \text{IC}_V \star^p \mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_i^p H^i((h_2)_!(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \text{IC}_V))$$

where $\mathcal{F}\tilde{\boxtimes}\mathrm{IC}_V \in \mathrm{Perv}(Z \tilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr})$ is the twisted product of $\mathcal{F}\boxtimes\mathrm{IC}_V$ with respect to the projections h_1 and h_2 . Since the generic Hecke modifications commute with Hecke modification at the pole point $\{0\}$, the perverse Hecke action descends to a well defined functor

$$(13.8) \quad \star^p : \mathrm{Rep}(G^\vee) \times \mathrm{Perv}(Z)^{\mathrm{Hecke}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Perv}(Z)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$$

For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$, let $\mathrm{IC}_{Z^\lambda} \in \mathrm{Perv}(Z)$ be the intersection cohomology complex of the stratum Z^λ (with constant coefficient). By [GN1, Proposition 3.5.1], we have $\mathrm{IC}_{Z^\lambda} \in \mathrm{Perv}(Z)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$.

Definition 13.9. [GN1, Definition 4.2.3] Let $Q_K^{\mathrm{glob}} \subset \mathrm{Perv}(Z)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Perv}(Z)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$ whose objects are isomorphic to direct summands of perverse sheaves appear in $\mathrm{IC}_V \star^p \mathrm{IC}_{Z^0}$ for some $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G^\vee)$.

We have the following description of Q_K^{glob} .

Proposition 13.10. [GN1, Theorem 1.2.1] Q_K^{glob} is a semi-simple abelian category and every irreducible object is isomorphic to IC_{Z^λ} for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$.

Remark 13.11. The proof of the proposition in *loc. cit.* is quite involved. We will give an another proof of it using the results of the paper, see Corollary 13.15. In particular, we will show that in fact the irreducible objects of Q_K^{glob} are isomorphic to IC_{Z^λ} , $\lambda \in \sigma(\Lambda_T)$, confirming a conjecture in *loc. cit.*.

We now recall the construction of fusion product on Q_K^{glob} following [GN1, Section 6.3]. Consider the base change

$$Z^{(2)} = QM^{(2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2} \mathbb{C}$$

of $QM^{(2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$ along the diagonal embedding $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$, $z \rightarrow (z, -z)$ We have

$$Z^{(2)}|_0 \simeq Z$$

and there is a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{C}^\times} & \xrightarrow{j} & Z^{(2)} & \xleftarrow{i} & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longleftarrow & \{0\} \end{array}$$

The stratification $\{\mathcal{O}_K^{(2), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}\}$ of $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ in Section 4.2 descends to a stratification $\{LK^{(2)} \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^{(2), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}\}$ of $QM^{(2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \simeq LK^{(2)} \setminus \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ which restricts to a stratification $\{Z^{(2), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}\}$ of the base change Z , where

$$(13.9) \quad Z^{(2), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}} = (LK^{(2)} \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^{(2), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \cap Z = (LK^{(2)} \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^{(2), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^2} \mathbb{C}$$

We denote by $\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \in \mathrm{Perv}(Z^{(2)})$ the IC-complex of the stratum $Z^{(2), \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

For any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_A^+$ let $\lambda_{1 \cup 2} : \mathfrak{p} = \{1\} \cup \{2\} \rightarrow \Lambda_A^+$ be the the map $\lambda_{1 \cup 2}(i) = \lambda_i$. Note that $Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}} \subset Z^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{C}^\times}$. For any $\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_1}}, \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_2}} \in \mathrm{Perv}(Z)$ we define the (global) fusion product of as the following nearby cycles

$$(13.10) \quad \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_1}} \star_f \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_2}} = \psi_f(\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}}}) \in \mathrm{Perv}(Z)$$

along the projection map $f : Z^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Proposition 13.12. (1) For any $\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_1}}, \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_2}} \in Q_K^{\mathrm{glob}}$, we have $\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_1}} \star_f \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_2}} \in Q_K^{\mathrm{glob}}$.
(2) There exists unique associativity and commutativity constraints for the category Q_K^{glob} equipped with the fusion product \star_f such that $(Q_K^{\mathrm{glob}}, \star_f)$ is a neutral Tannakian category with Tannakian group isomorphic to the reductive subgroup $H_{\mathrm{sph}}^\vee \subset G^\vee$ associated to X in [GN1]. Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram of tensor functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Rep}(G^\vee) & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ Q_K^{\mathrm{glob}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{Rep}(H_{\mathrm{sph}}^\vee) \end{array}$$

where the left vertical arrow is given by the perverse Hecke action on $\mathrm{IC}_{Z^0} \in Q_K^{\mathrm{glob}}$.

Proof. [GN1, Corollary 4.2.6] and [GN1, Lemma 6.3.1] imply that $\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}}} \simeq j_{!*} j^*(\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}}})$ is ULA with respect to the projection $f : Z^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Thus by [Z1, Theorem A.2.6] there is an isomorphism

$$\psi_f(\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}}}) \simeq i^* j_{!*} (j^* \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}}})[-1].$$

It follows that the fusion product in (13.10) is the same as the one defined in [GN1, Section 6.3] and the proposition follows from the main results of [GN1]. \square

13.3.3. *The identification $H_{\mathrm{real}}^\vee = H_{\mathrm{sph}}^\vee$.* The uniformization map (13.7) induces a map

$$r : Z \simeq K(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]) \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}.$$

Proposition 13.13. *The functor $r^![\dim K] : D(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow D(Z)$ is t -exact*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one in Theorem 8.14. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$, consider the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z^\lambda & \xrightarrow{j_Z^\lambda} & Z \\ \downarrow r^\lambda & & \downarrow r \\ K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda & \xrightarrow{j_K^\lambda} & K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \end{array}$$

We need to check that for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Perv}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})$, we have

$$(13.11) \quad (j_Z^\lambda)^* r^![\dim K](\mathcal{F}) \in {}^{\mathrm{pcl}} D^{\leq 0}(Z^\lambda) \quad (j_Z^\lambda)^! r^![\dim K](\mathcal{F}) \in {}^{\mathrm{pcl}} D^{\geq 0}(Z^\lambda).$$

By Proposition 8.11 (in the case $n = 1$), the map r is strongly pro-smooth and the functor $r^!$ satisfies base change along $*$ -pullback along any fp-locally closed map $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}$. It follows that

$$(13.12) \quad \dim Z^\lambda = \dim \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda - \dim \mathcal{O}_K^0 + \dim Z^0 = \langle \lambda, \rho \rangle + \dim Z^0 = \langle \lambda, \rho \rangle - \dim K$$

(note that $Z^0 \simeq \mathrm{Bun}_K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and $\dim \mathrm{Bun}_K(\mathbb{P}^1) = -\dim K$) and

$$(j_Z^\lambda)^! r^![\dim K](\mathcal{F}) \simeq (r^\lambda)^!(j_K^\lambda)^![\dim K](\mathcal{F})$$

$$(j_Z^\lambda)^* r^! (\mathcal{F})[\dim K] \simeq (r^\lambda)^! (j_K^\lambda)^* (\mathcal{F})[\dim K].$$

Since \mathcal{F} is perverse sheaf on $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr} \simeq X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ with respect to the perversity function in (8.8), we have

$$(j_K^\lambda)^! (\mathcal{F}) \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda) [-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle] \quad (j_K^\lambda)^* (\mathcal{F}) \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda) [-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle].$$

On the other hand, since r^λ is strongly pro-smooth, the characterization of $!$ -adapted t -structures in (8.6) implies that $(r^\lambda)^!$ is t -exact with respect to the $!$ -adapted t -structures [BKV, Proposition 6.3.3 (c)] and hence

$$(r^\lambda)^! ((j_K^\lambda)^! (\mathcal{F}))[\dim K] \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(Z^\lambda) [-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle + \dim K] \stackrel{(13.12)}{=} {}^p D^{\geq 0}(Z^\lambda) [-\dim Z^\lambda] = {}^{p_{cl}} D^{\geq 0}(Z^\lambda)$$

$$(r^\lambda)^! ((j_K^\lambda)^* (\mathcal{F}))[\dim K] \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(Z^\lambda) [-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle + \dim K] \stackrel{(13.12)}{=} {}^p D^{\leq 0}(Z^\lambda) [-\dim Z^\lambda] = {}^{p_{cl}} D^{\leq 0}(Z^\lambda)$$

The desired claim (13.11) follows. □

It follows from the proposition above that $r^![\dim K]$ restricts to a functor

$$r^![\dim K] : \text{Perv}(K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(Z)$$

on the category of perverse sheaves.

Theorem 13.14. *The functor $r^![\dim K]$ restricts to the horizontal tensor equivalence in a commutative diagram of tensor functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Rep } G^\vee & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ Q_K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & Q_K^{glob} \end{array}$$

Proof. Since the functor $r^![\dim K]$ is t -exact and commutes with the convolution action of the Hecke category $D(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \text{Gr})$ from the right, it restricts to a functor $r^![\dim K] : Q_K \rightarrow Q_K^{glob}$. We first show that $r^![\dim K]$ induces an equivalence of semi-simple abelian categories

$$(13.13) \quad \Phi : Q_K \simeq Q_K^{glob}$$

By the description of Q_K and Q_K^{glob} in Proposition 13.8 and Proposition 13.10, it suffices to show that, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_A^+$, there is an isomorphism

$$(13.14) \quad r^![\dim K](\text{IC}_X^\lambda) \simeq \text{IC}_{Z^\lambda}$$

Since j_K^λ is fp-locally closed embedding the base change isomorphisms in [BKV, Lemma 5.4.5] and Lemma 8.10 imply

$$r^! \circ (j_K^\lambda)_* \simeq (j_Z^\lambda)_* (r^\lambda)^! \quad r^! \circ (j_K^\lambda)! \simeq (j_Z^\lambda)! (r^\lambda)^!$$

and (13.14) follows from the t -exactness of $r^![\dim K]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IC}_{Z^\lambda} &\simeq \text{Im}({}^{p_{cl}} H^0((j_Z^\lambda)! (\omega_{Z^\lambda}[-\dim Z^\lambda]))) \rightarrow {}^{p_{cl}} H^0((j_Z^\lambda)_* (\omega_{Z^\lambda}[-\dim Z^\lambda])) \\ \text{IC}_K^\lambda &\simeq \text{Im}({}^p H^0((j_K^\lambda)! (\omega_{K(\mathcal{X}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda}[-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle]))) \rightarrow {}^p H^0((j_K^\lambda)_* (\omega_{K(\mathcal{X}) \backslash \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda}[-\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle])). \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that $\Phi := r^![\dim K] : Q_K \simeq Q_K^{glob}$ is a tensor equivalence. We first show that for any $\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_1}, \mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_2} \in Q_K$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(13.15) \quad c : \Phi(\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_1} \star_f \mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_2}) \simeq \Phi(\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_1}) \star_f \Phi(\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_2}) \simeq \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_1}} \star_f \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_2}}.$$

called the *monoidal structure* on Φ .

The uniformization isomorphism $QM^{(2)}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \simeq LK^{(2)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ gives rise to a map

$$r^{(2)} : Z^{(2)} \simeq LK^{(2)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Consider the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{C}^\times} & \xrightarrow{j} & Z^{(2)} & \xleftarrow{i} & Z \\ \downarrow r^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{C}^\times} & & \downarrow r^{(2)} & & \downarrow r \\ (K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr})^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times & \xrightarrow{j} & (K(\mathcal{K})^{(2)} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)})|_{\mathbb{C}} & \xleftarrow{i} & K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^\times & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{C} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \{0\} \end{array}$$

Proposition 8.11 implies that the map $r^{(2)}$ is strongly pro-smooth and Lemma 8.10 implies that

$$(13.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_1} \star_f \mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_2}) &= r^![\dim K] i^* j_* (\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_2} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \simeq \\ &\simeq i^* j_* (r_{>0}^{(2)})^! (\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_2} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) [\dim K]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the same argument of proving (13.14) show that

$$(r^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})^! (\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_2} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}[1]) [\dim K] \simeq \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}}|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}}$$

where $\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}}}$ is the IC-complex on the stratum $Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}} \subset Z^{(2)}$ in (13.9). Thus we have

$$(13.17) \quad \begin{aligned} i^* j_* (r_{>0}^{(2)})^! (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) [\dim K] &\simeq i^* j_* (\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}}|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}}) [-1] \simeq \psi_f (\mathrm{IC}_{Z^{(2), \lambda_{1 \cup 2}}}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_1}} \star_f \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_2}} \end{aligned}$$

Combining (13.16) and (13.17), we obtain the desired monoidal structure

$$c : \Phi(\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_1} \star_f \mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_2}) \simeq i^* j_* (r^{(2)}|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})^! (\mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \mathrm{IC}_K^{\lambda_2} \boxtimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) [\dim K] \simeq \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_1}} \star_f \mathrm{IC}_{Z^{\lambda_2}}$$

in (13.15).

Finally, we show that Φ is compatible with associativity and commutativity constraints of Q_K and Q_K^{glob} . Consider the following diagram of functors

$$(13.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Rep} G^\vee & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ Q_K & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Phi} & Q_K^{glob} \\ & \searrow \omega & \swarrow \omega^{glob} \\ & \mathrm{Vect} & \end{array}$$

65

where ω and ω^{glob} are the fiber functors. The upper triangle and the outer square are commutative. We claim that the lower triangle is commutative, that is, there is natural isomorphism $\omega^{glob} \circ \Phi \simeq \omega$. For this we observe that the composition

$$\text{Rep } G^\vee \rightarrow Q_K \xrightarrow{\omega^{glob} \circ \Phi} \text{Vect}$$

is the fiber functor for $\text{Rep } G^\vee$ and, for any $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \in Q_K$, the the monoidal structure for Φ defines an isomorphism

$$\omega^{glob} \circ \Phi(\mathcal{F} \star_f \mathcal{F}') \simeq \omega^{glob} \circ \Phi(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \omega^{glob} \circ \Phi(\mathcal{F}').$$

Thus by [Z2, Lemma 3.3], $\omega^{glob} \circ \Phi : Q_K \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ has a structure of a fiber functor and since Q_K is a neutral Tannakian category over \mathbb{C} , the uniqueness of fiber functors in [DM, Theorem 3.2] implies that there is an isomorphism $\omega^{glob} \circ \Phi \simeq \omega$.

Let R, B_K, B_K^{glob} be the Hopf algebra corresponding to $\text{Rep } G^\vee, Q_K$, and Q_K^{glob} under the Tannakian dictionary. By [DM, Proposition 2.16], the commutative diagram of functors in (13.18) gives rise to a maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & R & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ B_K & \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} & B_K^{glob} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are *surjective* morphisms of Hopf algebras and the horizontal arrow Φ^* is an *isomorphism* of co-algebras. By [N2, Lemma 9.2.1], the monoidal structure for Φ implies that Φ^* respects multiplication and the surjectivity of the vertical arrows implies that Φ^* is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. The theorem follows. □

The following corollary follows immediately from Proposition 13.7, Proposition 13.12, confirming [GN1, Conjecture 7.3.2.] in the case of symmetric varieties.

Corollary 13.15. (1) *There is an isomorphism $H_{sph}^\vee \simeq H_{real}^\vee$. In particular, the Weyl group of H_{sph}^\vee is isomorphic to the small Weyl group of X .* (2) *The irreducible objects in Q_K^{glob} are intersection cohomology sheaves IC_{Z^λ} on the closures of strata Z^λ , $\lambda \in \sigma(\Lambda_T)$, with coefficients in trivial local systems.*

APPENDIX A. SEMI-ANALYTIC STACKS

A.1. Basic definitions. Recall that a subset Y of a real analytic manifold M is called semi-analytic if any point $y \in Y$ has a open neighbourhood U such that the intersection $Y \cap U$ is a finite union of sets of the form

$$\{y \in U \mid f_1(y) = \cdots = f_r(y) = 0, g_1(y) > 0, \dots, g_l(y) > 0\},$$

where the f_i and g_j are real analytic functions on U . A map $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$ between two semi-analytic sets is called semi-analytic if it is continuous and its graph is a semi-analytic set.

Let Grpd be the ∞ -category of spaces, which are often referred as ∞ -groupoids. Let RSp be the site of semi-analytic sets where the coverings are étale (=locally bi-analytic) maps $\{S_i \rightarrow S\}_{i \in I}$ such that the map $\bigsqcup S_i \rightarrow S$ is surjective.

Definition A.1. A semi-analytic pre-stack is a functor $\mathcal{Y} : \text{RSp} \rightarrow \text{Grpd}$ and a semi-analytic stack is a pre-stack which is a sheaf.

We will view any semi-analytic set as a semi-analytic stack via the Yoneda embedding.

A morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ between stacks is called representable if for any morphism from a semi-analytic set $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, the fiber product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y$ is representable by a semi-analytic set. We say that a representable morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ has property P if it has property P after base change along any morphism from a semi-analytic sets.

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of semi-analytic stacks. One can associate its Čech complex with terms $\mathcal{X}^{[n]} = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \times \cdots \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ the $(n+1)$ -times fiber product of \mathcal{X} over \mathcal{Y} . When f is surjective, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(A.1) \quad \mathcal{Y} \simeq \text{colim}(\cdots \rightrightarrows \mathcal{X}^{[2]} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{X}^{[1]} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{X})$$

A semi-analytic stack \mathcal{Y} is a semi-analytic space if for every $S \in \text{RSp}$, $\mathcal{Y}(S)$ is isomorphic to a set, that is, each connected component of $\mathcal{Y}(S)$ is contractible. Let $\Gamma \rightrightarrows Y$ be a groupoid object in the category of semi-analytic space. The quotient stack $\Gamma \backslash Y$ is defined as the colimit

$$(A.2) \quad \Gamma \backslash Y \simeq \text{colim}(\cdots \rightrightarrows \Gamma \times_Y \Gamma \rightrightarrows \Gamma \rightrightarrows Y)$$

A.2. From stacks to semi-analytic stacks. Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . For any scheme Y over F of finite type, its F -points $Y(F)$ is naturally a semi analytic set, denoted by Y_F . For any strict ind-scheme $Y \simeq \text{colim}_{i \in I} Y^i$ over F , its F -points is naturally a semi-analytic space, denoted by Y_F .

In the paper, we will mainly consider F -stacks of the form $\mathcal{Y} \simeq Y/G$ where Y is a strict ind-scheme acted on by a group ind-scheme G . A surjective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ from a strict ind-scheme Y to a F -stack \mathcal{Y} is called a F -surjective presentation if it induces a surjective map $Y(F) \rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}(F)|$ on the set of isomorphism classes of objects. Note that when $F = \mathbb{C}$ any surjective morphism is a \mathbb{C} -surjective presentation.

Lemma A.2. *Let $f_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $f_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be two F -surjective presentations of \mathcal{Y} . Let $\Gamma_i = Y_i \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y_i \rightrightarrows Y_i$ be the corresponding groupoid. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of semi-analytic stacks*

$$\Gamma_{1,F} \backslash Y_{1,F} \simeq \Gamma_{2,F} \backslash Y_{2,F}.$$

Proof. Let $Y = Y_1 \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y_2$ and $\Gamma = Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y$ be the corresponding groupoid. Then the natural map $\Gamma_F \backslash Y_F \rightarrow \Gamma_{i,F} \backslash Y_{i,F}$ is an isomorphism. The lemma follows. □

Definition A.3. Given a stack \mathcal{Y} over F which admits a F -surjective presentation, we define the associated semi-analytic stack to be

$$\mathcal{Y}_F := \Gamma_F \backslash Y_F$$

where $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a F -presentation of \mathcal{Y} .

By the lemma above \mathcal{Y}_F is well-defined and the assignment $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_F$ defines a functor from the category of stacks over F which admit F -presentations to the category of semi-analytic stacks.

Example A.4. Consider the case $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let Y be a \mathbb{R} -scheme and G be an algebraic group over \mathbb{R} acting on Y . Consider the algebraic stack $\mathcal{Y} = G \backslash Y$. Let $T_1, \dots, T_s \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), G(\mathbb{C}))$ be the isomorphism classes of G -torsors. Define $G_i := \text{Aut}_G(T_i)$ and the \mathbb{R} -scheme $Y_i := \text{Hom}_G(T_i, Y)$. Note that G_i acts on Y_i and the collection $\{G_1, \dots, G_s\}$ gives all the pure-inner forms of G . Consider the real algebraic stack $G_i \backslash Y_i$. We have $G_i \backslash Y_i \simeq \mathcal{Y}$ and the map $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^s Y_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a \mathbb{R} -surjective presentation. In addition, the \mathbb{R} -surjective presentation above induces an isomorphism of semi-analytic stacks $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^s G_{i,\mathbb{R}} \backslash Y_{i,\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

One can regard real stacks as complex stacks with real structures and the discussion above has an obvious generalization to this setting. Let \mathcal{Y} be a complex stack and let σ be a real structure on \mathcal{Y} , that is, a complex conjugation (or a semi-linear involution) $\sigma : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Then a surjective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of \mathcal{Y} is called a \mathbb{R} -surjective presentation if it satisfies the following properties. (1) There is a real structure σ on Y such that f is compatible with the real structures on Y and \mathcal{Y} . (2) The map f induces a surjective map $Y(\mathbb{C})^\sigma \rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C})^\sigma|$. One can check that Lemma A.2 still holds in this setting, thus for a pair (\mathcal{Y}, σ) as above which admits a \mathbb{R} -surjective presentation, there is a well-defined semi-analytic stack $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}}$ given by $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{R}} := \Gamma(\mathbb{C})^\sigma \backslash Y(\mathbb{C})^\sigma$, where $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a \mathbb{R} -surjective presentation, $\Gamma = Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y$ is the corresponding groupoid (Note that Γ has a canonical real structure σ coming from Y and \mathcal{Y}).

A.3. Constructible complexes. We will be working with \mathbb{C} -linear dg-categories. Unless specified otherwise, all dg-categories will be assumed cocomplete, i.e., containing all small colimits, and all functors between dg-categories will be assumed continuous, i.e., preserving all small colimits.

For any semi-analytic set S , we define $D(Y) = \text{Ind}(D_c(Y))$ to be the ind-completion of the bounded dg-category $D_c(Y)$ of \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves on Y . For any semi-analytic stack \mathcal{Y} we define $D(\mathcal{Y}) := \lim^! D(Y)$ where the index category is that of semi-analytic sets equipped with a semi-analytic map to \mathcal{Y} , and the transition functors are given by $!$ -pullback. Since we are in the constructible context, $!$ -pullback admits a left adjoint, given by $!$ -pushforward, and it follows that $D(\mathcal{Y}) = \text{colim}^! D(Y)$. In particular, $D(\mathcal{Y})$ is compactly generated. For an ind semi-analytic stacks $\mathcal{Y} = \text{colim } \mathcal{Y}_i$ we denote by $D_c(\mathcal{Y}) \subset D(\mathcal{Y})$ the (non co-complete) full subcategory consisting of complexes that are extensions by zero off of substacks.

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a surjective morphism of semi-analytic stacks. Then the isomorphism (A.1) induces an equivalence

$$(A.3) \quad D(\mathcal{Y}) \simeq \lim(D(\mathcal{X}) \rightrightarrows D(\mathcal{X}^{[1]}) \rightrightarrows D(\mathcal{X}^{[2]}) \rightrightarrows \dots)$$

Let $F = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . For any F -stack \mathcal{Y} admitting a F -surjective presentation, we define $D(\mathcal{Y}) = D(\mathcal{Y}_F)$ where \mathcal{Y}_F is the associated semi-analytic stack.

REFERENCES

- [AB] M.F. Atiyah, R. Bott. The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences* Vol. 308, No. 1505, 1983, 523-615
- [ABG] S. Arkhipov, R. Bezrukavnikov, V. Ginzburg, Quantum groups, the loop Grassmannian, and the Springer resolution. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (2004), no. 3, 595-678.
- [B] A. Bouthier, in preparation.
- [BD] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves, preprint, available at <https://math.uchicago.edu/~drinfeld/langlands/QuantizationHitchin.pdf>
- [BFN] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, H. Nakajima, Ring objects in the equivariant derived Satake category arising from Coulomb branches (with an appendix by Gus Lonergan), *ADV. THEOR. MATH. PHYS.* Volume 23, Number 2, 253-344, 2019
- [BKV] A. Bouthier, D. Kazhdan, Y. Varshavsky, Perverse sheaves on infinite-dimensional stacks, and affine Springer theory, *Advances in Mathematics* Volume 408, Part A, 29 October 2022, 108572
- [BZN] D. Ben-Zvi, D. Nadler, Betti geometric Langlands, *Algebraic geometry: Salt Lake City 2015*, 3?41, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 97.2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018
- [BZSV] D. Ben-Zvi, Y. Sakellaridis, A. Venkatesh, Relative Langlands duality, preprint, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~akshay/>
- [CMNO] T.-H. Chen, M. Macerato, D. Nadler, J. O'Brien, Quaternionic Satake equivalence, [arXiv:2207.04078](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.04078)
- [CN1] T.-H. Chen, D. Nadler. Real and symmetric quasi-maps, XI Southwestern Lie theory conference proceedings.
- [CN2] T.H. Chen, D. Nadler. Affine Matsuki correspondence for sheaves, [arXiv:1805.06514](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.06514)
- [CY] T.-H. Chen, L. Yi. Slices in the loop space of symmetric varieties and the formality conjecture, [arXiv:2310.20006](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.20006).
- [D] V. Drinfeld, *Infinite-Dimensional Vector Bundles in Algebraic Geometry, The Unity of Mathematics. Progress in Mathematics*, vol 244.
- [DM] P. Deligne, J.S. Milne, *Tannakian Categories, Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura Varieties*, LNM 900, 1982, 101-228.
- [EGA IV] A. Grothendieck, *Elements de geometrie algebrique : IV. Etude locale des schemas et des morphismes de schemas, Seconde partie*, Publications Mathematiques de l'IHES, Volume 24 (1965), 5-231.
- [G] V. Ginzburg. Perverse sheaves on a loop group and Langlands' duality, [arXiv:alg-geom/9511007](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9511007)
- [GN1] D. Gaitsgory, D. Nadler. Spherical varieties and Langlands duality, *Moscow Math. J.* 10 (2010), no. 1, (Special Issue: In honor of Pierre Deligne), 65-137.
- [GN2] D. Gaitsgory, D. Nadler. Hecke operators on quasimaps into horospherical varieties, *Documenta Math.* 14 (2009) 19-46.
- [KS] F. Knop, B. Schalke, The dual group of a spherical variety, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 2017, 187-216.
- [La] V. Lafforgue, Quelques calculs relatifs à la correspondance de Langlands géométrique pour \mathbb{P}^1 (version provisoire), preprint, available at <https://vlafforg.perso.math.cnrs.fr/files/geom.pdf>
- [Lu] G. Lusztig. Singularities, character formulas, and a q-analog of weight multiplicities *Asterisque*, tome 101-102 (1983), 208-229.
- [M] S. A. Mitchell. Quillen's theorem on buildings and the loops on a symmetric space, *Enseign. Math.* 34 (1988), 123-166.
- [MS] V.B. Mehta, S Subramanian. Principal bundles on the affine line, *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences -Mathematical Sciences* 1984, 137-145.
- [MUV] I. Mirkovic, T. Uzawa, K. Vilonen. Matsuki correspondence for sheaves, *Inventiones Math.* 109 (1992), 231-245.
- [MV] I. Mirkovic, K. Vilonen. Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings, *Annals of Mathematics, Second Series*, 166 (1), 95-143.
- [N1] D. Nadler. Matsuki correspondence for the affine Grassmannian, *Duke Math. J.* 124 (2004), 421-457.

- [N2] D. Nadler. Perverse sheaves on real loop Grassmannians, *Inventiones Math.* 159 (2005), 1-73.
- [P] A. Pressley, The energy flow on the loop space of a compact Lie group, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 2 (1982), 557-566.
- [PS] A. Pressley, G. Segal. *Loop groups*. Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1986,.
- [R] S. Raskin, Chiral principal series categories II: the factorizable Whittaker category, preprint, available at <https://gauss.math.yale.edu/sr2532/cpsii.pdf>
- [Z1] X. Zhu, An introduction to affine Grassmannians and the geometric Satake equivalence, [arXiv:1603.05593](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.05593)
- [Z2] X. Zhu, The geometric Satake correspondence for ramified groups, *Annales Scientifiques-cole Normale Supérieure Paris* 48 (2).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, TWIN CITIES, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455
Email address: `chenth@umn.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC BERKELEY, EVANS HALL, BERKELEY, CA 94720
Email address: `nadler@math.berkeley.edu`