

**SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF LATTICE SCHRÖDINGER-TYPE OPERATORS
 ASSOCIATED WITH THE NONSTATIONARY ANDERSON MODEL AND
 INTERMITTENCY**

DAN HAN, STANISLAV MOLCHANOV, AND BORIS VAINBERG

ABSTRACT. The research explores a high irregularity, commonly referred to as intermittency, of the solution to the non-stationary parabolic Anderson problem:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \varkappa \mathcal{L}u(t, x) + \xi_t(x)u(t, x)$$

with the initial condition $u(0, x) \equiv 1$, where $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d$. Here, $\varkappa \mathcal{L}$ denotes a non-local Laplacian, and $\xi_t(x)$ is a correlated white noise potential. The observed irregularity is intricately linked to the upper part of the spectrum of the multiparticle Schrödinger equations for the moment functions $m_p(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = \langle u(t, x_1)u(t, x_2) \cdots u(t, x_p) \rangle$.

In the first half of the paper, a weak form of intermittency is expressed through moment functions of order $p \geq 3$ and established for a wide class of operators $\varkappa \mathcal{L}$ with a positive-definite correlator $B = B(x)$ of the white noise. In the second half of the paper, the strong intermittency is studied. It relates to the existence of a positive eigenvalue for the lattice Schrödinger type operator with the potential B . This operator is associated with the second moment m_2 . Now B is not necessarily positive-definite, but $\sum B(x) \geq 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper presents novel advancements in the study of nonstationary lattice Anderson parabolic problems, building upon two recent works [4] [13] and memoir [3]. The central object of [4] is the nonstationary lattice Anderson parabolic problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \varkappa \mathcal{L}u(t, x) + \xi_t(x)u(t, x) \\ u(0, x) &\equiv 1, \quad (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d. \end{aligned}$$

with non-local Laplacian

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{L}f(t, x) = \sum_{\substack{z \neq 0 \\ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} a(z)(f(t, x+z) - f(t, x)).$$

The operator \mathcal{L} is the generator of the random walk $x(t)$. The random walk $x(t)$ has the following structure. It spends in each site $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ the time τ_x which is exponential distributed with coefficient \varkappa , i.e., $P(\tau_x > t) = e^{-\varkappa t}$, and it jumps at the moment $\tau_x + 0$ from site x to site $x + z$ with probability $a(z)$. We assume $a(z) = a(-z) \geq 0$ (symmetry), $\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(z) = 1$ (normalization), $a(0) = 0$, $a(z) > 0$ if $|z| = 1$ (to guarantee non-degeneracy of the random walk). The constant \varkappa in (1.1) is the diffusion coefficient (diffusivity).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H25;60H15;81Q10;37H15;35B40.

The potential $\xi_t(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is the Gaussian field which is δ_0 -correlated in time and correlated in space:

$$(1.3) \quad \langle \xi_{t_1}(x_1) \xi_{t_2}(x_2) \rangle = \delta_0(t_1 - t_2) B(x_1 - x_2)$$

where $\delta_0(t)$ is the standard Dirac delta function. It means that $\xi_t(x)$ is the white noise in time with space correlator $B(x_1 - x_2)$, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Our goal is to qualitatively analyze the field $u(t, x)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and prove that u is intermittent in space. At the physical level, the intermittency means that the main contribution to the moment functions of $u(t, x)$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, is given by very sparse and very high picks [6, 20]. The mathematical description and study of the intermittency are using Lyapunov exponents, properties of the correlation function B , and the multi-particle equation for higher order moments of u .

Properties of the potential $\xi_t(x)$ and the correlation function B : We can understand $\xi_t(x)$ as the generalized derivative of the Wiener process $W(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. That is $\xi_t(x) = \dot{W}(t, x)$ and equation (1.1) is understood as the infinite dimensional stochastic differential equations in Itô sense in an appropriate weighted Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mu)$ with measure μ . The measure μ on \mathbb{Z}^d is selected based on the jumps distribution $a(z)$ $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, see details in [4]. We will use the notation $\langle \cdot \rangle$ to represent the integration over the distribution of $\xi_t(x) = \dot{W}(t, x)$, and $E[\cdot]$ will be the expectation of the functional of the random walk $x(t)$ with the generator \mathcal{L} . Formula (1.3) implies that $\langle W(t, x) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle W(t_1, x_1) W(t_2, x_2) \rangle = \min(t_1, t_2) B(x_1 - x_2)$. We construct the correlated Wiener process $W(t, x)$ as a linear transform of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) standard Brownian motions $w(t, x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, t \geq 0$ with weight kernel $b(\cdot)$:

$$(1.4) \quad W(t, x) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} b(x - z) w(t, z).$$

Assume that the kernel $b(\cdot)$ satisfies $b(\cdot) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, which implies $\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |b^2(z)| < \infty$ and

$$(1.5) \quad B(x - y) = \langle W^2(1, x - y) \rangle = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} b(x - z) b(y - z) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} b(x - y - z) b(-z)$$

$$(1.6) \quad B(0) = \langle W^2(1, 0) \rangle = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} b^2(z) < \infty.$$

The function $B(x - y)$ is the space correlation of stationary Gaussian field $W(t, x)$. Due to Bochner-Khinchin theorem, B is a positively definite function, i.e., it has the representation

$$(1.7) \quad B(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{T^d} e^{ikx} \hat{B}(k) dk, \quad T = [-\pi, \pi]^d,$$

where the spectral density $\hat{B}(k) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} B(x) e^{ikx} \in C(T^d)$ and $\hat{B}(k) \geq 0$. Indeed, since $b(x) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \cap l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, formulas (1.5), (1.6) imply that $\hat{B}(k) = |\hat{b}(k)|^2 \geq 0$ where $\hat{b}(k) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{ikx} b(x)$, $k \in T^d$.

Note also that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} B(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{T^d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{ikx} \hat{B}(k) dk = \int_{T^d} \delta_0(x) \hat{B}(k) dk = \hat{B}(0) \geq 0.$$

Higher order moments and multi-particle equation: Equation (1.1) can be rewritten in the integral form (in Itô sense):

$$(1.8) \quad u(t, x) = 1 + \int_0^t \varkappa \mathcal{L} u(s, x) ds + \int_0^t u(s, x) dW(s, x).$$

The existence and uniqueness theorems for stochastic differential equation (1.8) are given in the paper [4]. One also can find there the derivations of the equations for the p -th moment functions $m_p(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = \langle u(t, x_1) \cdots u(t, x_p) \rangle$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$:

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{dm_p(t, x_1, \dots, x_p)}{dt} = \varkappa \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \mathcal{L}_{x_i} m_p(t, x_1, \dots, x_p) \right) + V_p(x_1, \dots, x_p) m_p(t, x_1, \dots, x_p) = H_p m_p$$

with the initial condition $m_p(0, x_1, \dots, x_p) = 1$. Here $V_p(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum_{i < j} B(x_i - x_j)$.

The first two moments of the field $u(t, x)$ satisfy the following equations respectively:

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{\partial m_1}{\partial t} = (\varkappa \mathcal{L}) m_1(t, x), \quad m_1(0, x) = 1,$$

i.e., $m_1(t, x) = 1$. If $m_2(t, x) = m_2(t, x + z, z)$, then

$$(1.11) \quad \frac{\partial m_2(t, x)}{\partial t} = 2\varkappa \mathcal{L} m_2(t, x) + B(x) m_2(t, x) = H_2 m_2, \quad m_2(0, x) = 1.$$

For the special case when $\varkappa \mathcal{L}$ is the local Laplacian operator $\varkappa \Delta$ and $B(x_1 - x_2) = \delta(x_1 - x_2)$, the derivations and analysis of the equations for the p th moment functions $m_p(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = \langle u(t, x_1) \cdots u(t, x_p) \rangle$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$ can be found in [3]. In the latter case, there exists the exact formula for $m_2(t, x)$.

All equations for higher order moments have the form of multi-particle Schrödinger equations containing the Hamiltonian H_p as in the equation (1.9). The "non-local Laplacian" $\varkappa \mathcal{L}$ is a symmetric bounded and self-adjoint operator in $l^2(Z^d)$ with the dot product $(f, g) = \sum_{z \in Z^d} f(x) \bar{g}(x)$. It is isomorphic to the operator of multiplication by the continuous non-positive functions, the Fourier symbol, $\varkappa \hat{\mathcal{L}}(k) = \varkappa \sum_{z \in Z^d} (\cos(kz) - 1) a(z) =$

$\varkappa (\hat{a}(k) - 1)$, $k \in T^d = [-\pi, \pi]^d$, in the dual Fourier space $L^2(T^d, dk)$. As a result, the spectrum $Sp(\varkappa \mathcal{L})$ of $\varkappa \mathcal{L}$ coincides with $Range(\varkappa \hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)) = [\varkappa \alpha, 0]$, $\alpha = \min_{k \in T^d} \hat{\mathcal{L}}(k) = \min_{k \in T^d} (\hat{a}(k) - 1) < 0$.

Spectral analysis of H_p and intermittency: The notion of intermittency presented in the physical literature refers to a high irregularity of the fields, see [2, 6, 18, 20]. In the case of the solar magnetic field, the intermittency pertains to the presence of high and narrow peaks that encapsulate nearly all magnetic energy. In mathematical literature [4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 20], this notion is described in terms of the Lyapunov exponents γ_p for the moments $m_p(t, \cdot)$ defined in (1.9). Estimates on γ_p depend on the structure of the spectral measure for the Hamiltonian H_p , $p \geq 2$, near the top of the spectrum.

Due to the stationarity of the field $u(t, x), x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the moments do not depend on x : $m_p(t) = \langle u^p(t, x) \rangle = \langle u^p(t, 0) \rangle$. We will prove (see section 2) that the following Lyapunov exponents exist:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \langle u(t, 0) \rangle}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln m_1(t)}{t} = 0 \\ \gamma_p &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \langle u^p(t, 0) \rangle}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln m_p(t)}{t}, p \geq 2,\end{aligned}$$

and that $0 = \gamma_1 \leq \frac{\gamma_2}{2} \leq \frac{\gamma_3}{3} \leq \dots$ (Lyapunov type inequality). If for some index p_0 , we have

$$0 = \gamma_1 = \frac{\gamma_2}{2} = \dots = \frac{\gamma_{p_0}}{p_0} < \frac{\gamma_{p_0+1}}{p_0+1},$$

then for $k \geq p_0 + 1$, we have $\frac{\gamma_k}{k} < \frac{\gamma_{k+1}}{k+1}$ and this fact is the manifestation of intermittency [5, 20]. If $\frac{\gamma_2}{2} > 0$, i.e., $p_0 = 1$, then *the intermittency is strong*; if $p_0 > 1$, *the intermittency is weak*. The qualitative meaning of intermittency will be explained in the remark in section 2.

The main part of the paper contains two sections. In Section 2, we establish the weak intermittency of the solution $u(t, x)$. This is deduced from simple upper and lower estimations of the moments $m_p(t)$ for $p = 2, 3, \dots$, that imply the following estimates on the Lyapunov exponents γ_p :

$$(1.12) \quad \frac{p-1}{2} B(0) - \varkappa d \leq \frac{\gamma_p}{p} \leq \frac{(p-1)B(0)}{2}.$$

The second part of Section 2 contains improved upper and lower estimates for $\frac{\gamma_p}{p}$, $p \geq 2$ in terms of γ_2 . These results reveal that the critical value $p_0 = p_0(\varkappa)$, defined as the minimal p_0 such that $0 < \frac{\gamma_{p_0}}{p_0}$, has order $O(\varkappa)$ for large \varkappa .

The final section 3 focuses on the examination of the second moment and the second Lyapunov exponent γ_2 . In the transient case, under minimal conditions on the generator $\varkappa \mathcal{L}$ and the correlator $B(x)$, we establish the existence of a phase transition: $\gamma_2(\varkappa) = 0$ if $\varkappa < \varkappa_0$; $\gamma_2(\varkappa) > 0$ if $\varkappa > \varkappa_0$ for appropriate \varkappa_0 if $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} B(x) > 0$. In the recurrent case, we consider a very broad class of operators and show that $\gamma_2(\varkappa) > 0$ for any $\varkappa > 0$. The positivity of $\gamma_2(\varkappa)$ is established for an arbitrary, not necessarily Markovian pseudodifferential operator $\varkappa \mathcal{L}$. We do not require the potential B to be positive-definite. The main assumption on the potential is that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} B(x) > 0$. In a specific case, we demonstrate that $\gamma_2(\varkappa) > 0$ for $\varkappa > 0$, even in the borderline scenario where $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} B(x) = 0$.

2. INTERMITTENCY OF THE ANDERSON PARABOLIC PROBLEM

The intermittency phenomenon is associated with the progressive growth of moment functions $m_p(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = \langle u(t, x_1) \cdots u(t, x_p) \rangle$ as t tends to infinity. Equations (1.9) for $m_p(t, x_1, \dots, x_d)$ have been derived in [4], but the analysis of the equation has been limited to the cases where $p = 1, 2$. Here we extend the study by providing several estimations for $m_p(\cdot, \cdot)$, specifically for $p \geq 3$, shedding light on the phenomenon of intermittency.

The existence-uniqueness theorem for stochastic differential equations governing $u(t, x)$ in a weighted Hilbert space, along with the Itô formula, supports the derivation of equations (1.9). The solution $u(t, x)$ of the stochastic differential equation (1.1) is homogeneous and

ergodic in space, and all its moment functions $m_p(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = \langle u(t, x_1)u(t, x_2) \cdots u(t, x_p) \rangle$ are finite. Furthermore, these moment functions satisfy the p -particle type Schrödinger equation (1.9) Since $\|\varkappa\mathcal{L}\| \leq \varkappa$ and $\max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |B(x)| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} B(x) = B(0)$, i.e., $|V_p| \leq \frac{p(p-1)}{2}B(0)$, the Hamiltonian H_p in (1.9) is bounded for any $p \geq 1$.

Note also that since $u(t, x) > 0$,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} m_p(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) &= \langle u(t, x_1)u(t, x_2) \cdots u(t, x_p) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \langle u^p(t, x_1) + \cdots + u^p(t, x_p) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^p \langle u^p(t, x_j) \rangle = m_p(t, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Due to Kac-Feynman formula,

$$(2.2) \quad m_p(t, 0, \dots, 0) = E_{(0,0,\dots,0)} e^{\int_0^t V_p(x_1(s), x_2(s), \dots, x_p(s)) ds}$$

where $x_j(s)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, are p independent random walks on \mathbb{Z}^d with generator $\varkappa\mathcal{L}$. Formula (2.2) doesn't contain the pre-exponent $u(0, x_1(t), \dots, x_p(t))$ due to the condition $u(0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = 1$. Future estimates are based on the formula (2.2).

Denote $M_p(t) = m_p(t, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = \max_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p} m_p(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$, see inequality (2.1).

The max principle for the parabolic equation (1.9) and the independence of increments in time for the processes $x_j(t)$ lead to the inequality $M_p(t+s) \leq M_p(t)M_p(s)$, where $M_p \geq 1$. The subadditivity of $\ln M_p(t)$ implies the existence of the Lyapunov exponent, given by

$$\gamma_p = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln M_p(t)}{t}, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

It is known that γ_p is the upper bound of the spectrum of the multiparticle Hamiltonian H_p , $p \geq 2$, see [3]. Due to the Lyapunov inequality,

$$(2.3) \quad 0 = \gamma_1 \leq \frac{\gamma_2}{2} \leq \frac{\gamma_3}{3} \leq \dots \leq \frac{\gamma_p}{p} \leq \dots$$

Our goal now is to prove that the field $u(t, x)$ is intermittent in the sense of formal definitions from [3, 20, 5, 10].

Let us revisit the concept of intermittency, briefly touched upon in the Introduction. In the following remark, we will try to explain why the formal mathematical definition of intermittency implies the high irregularity of the field $u(t, x)$. We will also stress the similarity between our scalar model and models for the Solar magnetic field [5, 14, 17, 19].

Remark 2.1. The field $u(t, x)$, representing solutions to the Anderson parabolic problem, is both homogeneous and ergodic. The correlation functions of any order are finite. Specifically, it leads to the following ergodic theorem,

$$(2.4) \quad \langle u^{p_0}(t, x) \rangle = \langle u^{p_0}(t, 0) \rangle = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2L)^d} \sum_{|y| \leq L} u^{p_0}(t, y) \stackrel{\log}{\sim} e^{\gamma_{p_0} t}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $0 < \delta < \gamma_{p_0}/p_0$. Chebyshev's inequality and the relation $\langle u(t, y) \rangle \equiv 1$, $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, imply

$$P\left(\frac{\ln u(t, y)}{t} \geq \frac{\gamma_{p_0}}{p_0} - \delta\right) = P(u(t, y) \geq e^{t(\frac{\gamma_{p_0}}{p_0} - \delta)}) \leq \langle u(t, y) \rangle e^{-t(\frac{\gamma_{p_0}}{p_0} - \delta)} = e^{-\delta_1 t}, \quad \delta_1 > 0.$$

For a fixed δ and a large L , consider the cube $Q_L = \{y : |y| < L\}$ which we can partition into the set $Q_L^\delta = \{y \in Q_L : u(t, y) \leq e^{-t(\frac{\gamma_{p_0}}{p_0} - \delta)}\}$ and the complement set containing large values of u . In a logarithmic sense, we have $\frac{1}{(2L)^d} \sum_{Q_L^\delta} < u^{p_0}(t, x) > \leq e^{t(\gamma_{p_0} - p_0 \delta)}$, which is significantly smaller than the logarithmic asymptotic $e^{\gamma_{p_0} t}$ of $< u^{p_0}(t, 0) >$. This indicates that the primary contribution to $< u^{p_0}(t, 0) >$ arises from the sparse high peaks where $u(t, x) > e^{-t(\frac{\gamma_{p_0}}{p_0} - \delta)}$. The density $\pi(t, \delta) = |Q_L \setminus Q_L^\delta| / |Q_L|$ of such points in Q_L , $L \rightarrow \infty$, is exponentially small in t :

$$\pi(t, \delta) \leq e^{-t\delta_1}.$$

A classic example of *intermittent field* is the magnetic field of the Sun and a diverse array of white and yellow stars. The energy of magnetic field $\vec{H}(t, x)$ is proportional to the 2nd moment $< \vec{H}(t, x_1) \vec{H}(t, x_2) >$. The Lyapunov exponents of $\vec{H}(x)$ satisfy the same relation $0 = \gamma_1 < \frac{\gamma_2}{2}$ for hot stars including the Sun. Nearly all magnetic energy of $\vec{H}(x)$ is concentrated within the black spots, covering extremely small regions of the Sun's surface, as discussed in [20]. The lattice parabolic Anderson model, introduced in [3], serves as a simplified representation of the Maxwell equations governing the magnetic field in the turbulent flow of solar plasma.

Theorem 2.2. *The field $u(t, x)$, representing the solution to the equation (1.1) as an infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equation (SDE) in the appropriate weighted Hilbert space, is weak intermittent as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This holds without any additional restrictions on the jump distribution $a(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and the correlator $B(\cdot)$, except as outlined in the introduction.*

Proof. Due to (2.1) and the maximum principle,

$$M_p(t) = \max_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p} m_p(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = M_p(t, 0, \dots, 0) \leq \exp\left\{\frac{p(p-1)}{2} B(0)t\right\},$$

i.e.,

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\gamma_p}{p} \leq \frac{p-1}{2} B(0), p = 2, 3, \dots$$

At the same time,

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(t, 0, \dots, 0) &\geq E_{0,0,\dots,0} [I_{\{y_1(s)=y_2(s)=\dots=y_p(s)=0, s \in [0,t]\}}] e^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2} B(0)t} \\ &= \exp\left\{-p\kappa dt + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} B(0)t\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\gamma_p}{p} \geq \frac{p-1}{2} B(0) - \kappa d.$$

Thus,

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\gamma_p}{p} > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad p > 2 + \frac{2\kappa}{B(0)}.$$

This implies the weak intermittency of the field $u(t, x)$ and provides an estimate from below on the borderline value of $p = p_0$. □

Estimates (2.6) and (2.5) are very rough. In the following, we will present significantly refined estimates for γ_p with $p \geq 2$. The pivotal element in this refinement lies in the accurate estimation of the second moment $M_2(t)$ and the corresponding Lyapunov exponent γ_2 .

2.1. Upper estimate of Lyapunov exponents γ_p through γ_2 . We will start with two technical lemmas. Let us introduce a little more general stochastic differential equation (1.8) where the white noise has an extra factor α . Denote by $\tilde{u}(t, \vec{x})$, $\tilde{m}_p(t, \vec{x})$, $\tilde{\gamma}_p$ the corresponding solution of (1.8) with factor α , its moment functions and Lyapunov exponents. Here $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$. In particular, function $\tilde{m}_p(t, \vec{x})$ satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d\tilde{m}_p(t, \vec{x})}{dt} = \varkappa \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \mathcal{L}_{x_i} \tilde{m}_p(t, \vec{x}) \right) + \alpha V_p(\vec{x}) \tilde{m}_p(t, \vec{x}) = \tilde{H}_p \tilde{m}_p$$

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{m}_p(0, \vec{x}) = 1.$$

The solution to equation (2.8) is given by

$$(2.10) \quad \tilde{m}_p(t, \vec{x}) = E_{\vec{x}} \exp \left(\alpha \int_0^t V_p(t, \vec{x}_s) ds \right)$$

where $\vec{x}_s = (x_1(s), x_2(s), \dots, x_p(s))$ is the family of independently random walks with the generator $\varkappa \mathcal{L}$. We will explicitly show the dependence of the Lyapunov exponent on two parameters: $\tilde{\gamma}_p = \tilde{\gamma}_p(\varkappa, \alpha)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Under the aforementioned conditions, $\tilde{\gamma}_p(\varkappa, \alpha) = \alpha \gamma_p \left(\frac{\varkappa}{\alpha} \right)$.*

Proof. This result is derived through direct calculations. We rescale time by setting $\alpha s = \tau$ in (2.10) and obtain:

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{m}_p(t, \vec{x}) = E_{\vec{x}} \exp \left(\int_0^{\alpha t} V_p(t, \vec{x}_{\tau/\alpha}) d\tau \right)$$

The new process $\vec{y}(t) = \vec{x}_{\frac{\tau}{\alpha}}$ has the generator $\frac{\varkappa}{\alpha} \mathcal{L}$, and

$$(2.12) \quad \tilde{\gamma}(\varkappa, \alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \tilde{m}_p(t, 0)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha \ln m_p(\tau, 0)}{\tau} = \alpha \gamma_p \left(\frac{\varkappa}{\alpha} \right)$$


□

The following lemma gives a special representation of the multiparticle potential

$$(2.13) \quad V_p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} B(x_i - x_j).$$

Lemma 2.4. *If $p = 2l + 1$ is odd and the number of terms in V_p is $\binom{p}{2} = l(2l + 1)$, then there exists a partition of the sum (2.13) in p groups, $V_p = G_1 + \dots + G_p$, such that each group contains l terms $B(x_i - x_j)$ with the following property: the group G_k , $1 \leq k \leq p$, does not depend on x_k , and each other argument x_s in the terms $B(x_i - x_j)$ inside of the group G_k occurs only once.*

If $p = 2l$ is even and the number of terms in V_p is $\binom{p}{2} = l(2l - 1)$, then there exists a partition of the sum (2.13) in $p - 1$ groups, $V_p = G_1 + \dots + G_{p-1}$, such that each group contains l terms $B(x_i - x_j)$ with the following property: each argument x_s in the terms $B(x_i - x_j)$ inside of a group occurs only once.

FIGURE 1. An example of G_2 when $p = 5, l = 2$.

The Figure (1) depicts the algorithmic process for constructing a configuration, with specific values assigned for l and p (namely, $l = 2$ and $p = 5$). It is crucial to note that this construction is not unique. Along the unit circle, we identify $p = 2l + 1$ points, numbered clockwise as $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2l+1}$. To elaborate, we fix $1 \leq i \leq p$, exclude x_i , and subsequently generate successive pairs using the remaining variables. This is achieved by moving clockwise and counterclockwise along the equal distribution of points: $(x_{i+1}, x_{i-1}), (x_{i+1}, x_{i-1}), \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) &= \underbrace{B(x_5 - x_2) + B(x_4 - x_3)}_{G_1} + \underbrace{B(x_1 - x_3) + B(x_5 - x_4)}_{G_2} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{B(x_2 - x_4) + B(x_1 - x_5)}_{G_3} + \underbrace{B(x_3 - x_5) + B(x_2 - x_1)}_{G_4} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{B(x_4 - x_1) + B(x_3 - x_2)}_{G_5}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $p = 2l + 1$, the first part directly follows from our specific partition, as depicted in Fig. (1). For $p = 2l$, where $l > 1$, we proceed by isolating x_p and applying the partition to the remaining $2l - 1$ points. We divide them into $p - 1 = 2l - 1$ groups G_i , where $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$, with each G_i containing $l - 1$ possible pairs among $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}\} - \{x_i\}$. Next, we add $B(x_p - x_i)$ to each group G_i . For example, when $p = 6$ and $l = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V &= \underbrace{B(x_5 - x_2) + B(x_4 - x_3) + B(x_6 - x_1)}_{G_1} + \underbrace{B(x_1 - x_3) + B(x_5 - x_4) + B(x_6 - x_2)}_{G_2} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{B(x_4 - x_2) + B(x_5 - x_1) + B(x_6 - x_3)}_{G_3} + \underbrace{B(x_3 - x_5) + B(x_2 - x_1) + B(x_6 - x_4)}_{G_4} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{B(x_4 - x_1) + B(x_3 - x_2) + B(x_6 - x_5)}_{G_5}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This method of construction ensures a systematic and comprehensive generation of pairs, as exemplified for the given parameters. \square

The Theorem (2.5) highlights the efficiency of direct probabilistic methods within the pure spectral context. Providing a functional analytical proof for the same result is non-trivial. Estimations of the higher moments $M_p(t) = \langle u^p(t, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \rangle = \langle E \exp(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \int_0^t B(x_i(s) -$

$x_j(s)ds >$ includes the additional improvement based on the fact that $(x_1(s) - x_2(s)), (x_3(s) - x_4(s)), \dots$ etc are independent processes.

Theorem 2.5. Upper estimate of Lyapunov exponents For $p \geq 3$

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\gamma_p}{p} = \frac{1}{p} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln M_p(t)}{t} \leq \left[\frac{p}{2}\right] \gamma_2\left(\frac{\varkappa}{p}\right)$$

Proof. Consider $M_p(t) = E_{(0,0,\dots,0)}[\exp(\sum_{i < j} \int_0^t B(x_i(s) - x_j(s)ds))]$, using the inequality

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p \leq \frac{1}{p} (a_1^p + \cdots + a_p^p),$$

we can estimate moment function $M_p(t)$ from the above.

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(t) &= E_{(0,\dots,0)}[\exp(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (\int_0^t B(x_i(s) - x_j(s)ds))] \\ &= E_{(0,\dots,0)}[\exp(\sum_{l=1}^p \sum_{(i,j) \in G_l} (\int_0^t B(x_i(s) - x_j(s)ds))] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{l=1}^p E_{(0,\dots,0)}[\exp(p \sum_{(i,j) \in G_l} (\int_0^t B(x_i(s) - x_j(s)ds))] \\ &= E_{(0,\dots,0)}[\exp(p \sum_{(i,j) \in G_l} (\int_0^t B(x_i(s) - x_j(s)ds))] \\ &= \{E_{(0,\dots,0)}[\exp(p \int_0^t B(x_1(s) - x_2(s)ds)]\}^l, \quad l = \left[\frac{p}{2}\right] \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we use the symmetry of the potential and independence of the integrals in each group G_l . This independence by itself follows from the independence of the random walks $x_i(s)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

But $E_{(0,0)}[\exp(p \int_0^t B(x_1(s) - x_2(s)ds)] = y(t, x_1, x_2)$ satisfies two-particle Schrödinger equation

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \varkappa(\mathcal{L}_{x_1} + \mathcal{L}_{x_2})y + pB(x_1 - x_2)y$$

$$(2.16) \quad y(0, x_1, x_2) = 1.$$

Since we have a homogeneous space, $y(t, x_1, x_2)$ is a function depending on $x_1 - x_2$, that is $y(t, x_1, x_2) = \tilde{y}(t, x_1 - x_2)$. After a change of variable $v = x_1 - x_2$ and considering initial conditions $y(t, x_1, x_2) = \tilde{y}(0, x_1 - x_2) = 1$, we eventually reduce the analysis to the problem:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial t} = \tilde{H}_v \tilde{y} = 2\varkappa \mathcal{L}_v \tilde{y}(v) + pB(v) \tilde{y}, \quad \tilde{M}(0, \cdot) = 1, \quad v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

where the potential $B(\cdot) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is positive definite, as discussed in the introduction. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_2(\varkappa, p)$ be the Lyapunov exponent for \tilde{y} . Due to Lemma (2.3),

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{\gamma}_2(\varkappa, p) = p \tilde{\gamma}_2\left(\frac{\varkappa}{p}, 1\right) = p \gamma_2\left(\frac{\varkappa}{p}\right).$$

Combining together all results, we get for arbitrary $p \geq 3$ and $l = \left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln M_p(t)}{t} = \gamma_p \leq lp \gamma_2\left(\frac{\varkappa}{p}\right)$$

That is,

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{\gamma_p}{p} \leq l\gamma_2\left(\frac{\varkappa}{p} = \left[\frac{p}{2}\right]\gamma_2\left(\frac{\varkappa}{p}\right).$$

□

The positivity $\gamma_2(\varkappa) > 0$ will be discussed in section 3.

2.2. Lower estimate for Lyapunov exponent γ_p .

Theorem 2.6. For $p \geq 2$,

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{\gamma_p}{p-1} > \gamma_2\left(\frac{\varkappa}{p-1}\right) + \frac{B(0)(p-2)}{2} - 2d\varkappa$$

Proof. Due to Kac-Feynman formula,

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(t) &= E_{(0,\dots,0)}\left[\exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \left(\int_0^t B(x_i(s) - x_j(s)) ds\right)\right)\right] \\ &\geq E_{(0,\dots,0)}\left[\exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \left(\int_0^t B(x_i(s) - x_j(s)) ds\right)\right) I_{x_l(s)=0, l=2,3,\dots,p}\right], \\ &= E_{(0,\dots,0)}\left[\exp\left((p-1)\left(\int_0^t B(x_1(s)) ds\right)\right) e^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}B(0)} \prod_{l=2}^p P(x_l(s)=0 | x_l(0)=0)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln M_p(t) &\geq \ln E_{(0,\dots,0)}\left[\exp\left((p-1)\left(\int_0^t B(x_1(s)) ds\right)\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}B(0)t + \sum_{l=2}^p \ln P(x_l(s)=0 | x_l(0)=0). \end{aligned}$$

Since the number of the jumps of the random walk $x_l(s), s \in [0, t]$ is a Poisson process with the rate $2d\varkappa$, and the event $\{x_l(s)=0\}$ is equivalent to the absence of the jumps during $[0, t]$. Thus $P(x_l(s)=0 | x_l(0)=0) = e^{-2d\varkappa t}$. And since for $l=2, 3, \dots, p$, $x_l(s)$ are independent random walks following the same law. Thus

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{\ln M_p(t)}{t(p-1)} \geq \frac{\ln E_{(0,\dots,0)}\left[\exp\left((p-1)\left(\int_0^t B(x_1(s)) ds\right)\right)\right]}{t(p-1)} + \frac{(p-2)}{2}B(0) - 2d\varkappa.$$

As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{\gamma_p}{p-1} \geq \frac{1}{p-1} \tilde{\gamma}_2(\varkappa, p-1) + \frac{(p-2)}{2}B(0) - 2d\varkappa.$$

Due to Lemma 2.3,

$$(2.22) \quad \frac{\gamma_p}{p-1} \geq \frac{1}{p-1} \tilde{\gamma}_2(\varkappa, p-1) + \frac{(p-2)}{2}B(0) - 2d\varkappa = \gamma_2\left(\frac{\varkappa}{p-1}\right) + \frac{p-2}{2}B(0) - 2d\varkappa$$

□

3. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF H_2 AND THE STRONG INTERMITTENCY

The analysis of the second Lyapunov exponent γ_2 (or the top of the spectrum of the operator H_2) depends significantly on the properties of the random walk $x(t)$ associated with ‘‘Laplacian’’ $\varkappa\mathcal{L}$. If $p(t, x, y) = P\{x(t) = y | x(0) = x\}$, $t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then $p(t, x, y)$ is the fundamental solution of the following parabolic problem:

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = 2\varkappa\mathcal{L}p, t > 0, \quad p(0, x, y) = \delta_y(x).$$

The process $x(t)$ is called recurrent if $\int_0^\infty p(t, x, x)dt = \infty$, otherwise, it is transient.

Using the Fourier transform, we obtain:

$$(3.2) \quad p(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{T^d} e^{2\varkappa\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)t} e^{ik(x-y)} dk, \quad k \in T^d = [-\pi, \pi]^d.$$

Here, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k) = \sum_{z \neq 0} (\cos(k, z) - 1)a(z) = \hat{a}(k) - 1$ represents the Fourier symbol of the operator \mathcal{L} . When $x = y$,

$$\int_0^\infty p(t, x, x)dt = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{T^d} \frac{dk}{2\varkappa(1 - \hat{a}(k))}.$$

If $E[x^2(t)] < \infty$, i.e., $\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |z|^2 a(z) < \infty$, then $\hat{a}(k) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(Dk, k) + O(|k|^2)$, where D is the matrix of the second moments of $x(t)$. In this case $p(t, x, x) \sim \frac{c}{t^{d/2}}$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, and the process $x(t)$ is transient for dimensions $d \geq 3$ and recurrent for $d = 1, 2$.

We consider a more general situation when the second moment of $x(t)$ is infinite. We impose a certain regularity condition on the symbol $-\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k) = 1 - \hat{a}(k)$ of the operator \mathcal{L} . We assume that

$$(3.3) \quad \hat{a}(k) = e^{-\beta(\hat{k})|k|^\alpha(1+o(|k|))}, \quad |k| \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\hat{k} = \frac{k}{|k|}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, and $\beta(\hat{k}) = \beta(-\hat{k}) > 0$ is a symmetric and positive function on T^d . Both functions β on the sphere and the remainder term in the exponent are assumed to be sufficiently smooth. Corresponding conditions on the jump distribution $a(x)$ can be found in [1].

Under these conditions, $p(t, x, x) \sim t^{-d/\alpha}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since $p(t, x, y) \leq 1$, we have $p(t, x, x) \leq Ct^{-d/\alpha}$, $t \geq 0$, and the process $x(t)$ is recurrent for $d = 2, \alpha = 2$, and for $d = 1, 1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. It is transient in the case of $d = 2, \alpha < 2$ and $d = 1, \alpha < 1$.

3.1. Transient Case. This section is devoted to the question of the existence (or non-existence) of a positive eigenvalue of Schrödinger operators

$$(3.4) \quad H\psi = \mathcal{L}\psi(x) + \sigma V(x)\psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad \sigma > 0,$$

that includes the spectral problem for the operator H_2 defined in (1.11) as a particular case if we set $\sigma = \frac{1}{2\varkappa}$. The corresponding change of the parameter appears after the rescaling $t \rightarrow t/(2\varkappa)$ in (1.11). We do not assume that the potential is positive definite and use notation V instead of B .

Assume that potential $V(x)$ satisfies the Cwickel-Lieb-Rozenblum (CLR) type condition [15],

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |V(x)|^{\frac{d}{\alpha}} < \infty, \quad d = 2, \alpha < 2 \text{ or } d = 1, \alpha < 1,$$

or

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |V(x)|^{\frac{d}{2}} < \infty, \quad d \geq 3.$$

Let us note that these conditions hold the potential B from section 2 since it was assumed that $B \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$.

Denote the number of positive eigenvalues of the operator (3.4) by $N_0(\sigma V) = \#\{\lambda_i : \lambda_i(H) > 0\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let conditions (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6) hold and let the potential $V(\cdot)$ be positive at least at one point. Then there exists a critical value σ_{cr} such that there are no positive eigenvalues when $\sigma < \sigma_{cr}$ and the positive eigenvalues $\lambda_0(H)$ exist when $\sigma > \sigma_{cr}$.*

Proof. For arbitrary σ , the following Bargmann type estimate can be found in [12, 16]:

$$N_0(\sigma V) \leq C \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sigma V(x) \int_{\frac{1}{\sigma|V(x)|}}^{\infty} p(t, x, x) dt.$$

Since $p(t, x, x) \leq Ct^{-d/\alpha}$, $t \geq 0$, and $d > \alpha$, we obtain that

$$N_0(\sigma V) \leq \frac{C\alpha}{d-\alpha} \sigma^{\frac{d}{\alpha}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} V(x)^{d/\alpha}.$$

Thus, $N_0(\sigma V) < 1$ when σ is small, i.e., there are no positive eigenvalues for small σ .

In order to prove existence of positive eigenvalues for large σ , consider a test function $\psi_0(x) = \delta_{x_0}(x)$, where x_0 is a point such that $V(x_0) > 0$. Then

$$(H\psi_0, \psi_0) = l + \sigma V(x_0), \quad l = (\mathcal{L}\psi_0, \psi_0) < \infty.$$

The quadratic form is positive for large σ , and therefore the positive eigenvalues exist. \square

If in any dimension $d \geq 1$, the potential is positive and decays slowly: $V(x) \geq \frac{C}{1+|x|^{\frac{d}{\alpha}-\varepsilon}}$, then H with arbitrary $\sigma > 0$ has infinitely many positive eigenvalues. The proof is similar to the one in [13].

3.2. Recurrent Case. We continue to study the question of the existence of a positive eigenvalue for the Schrödinger operator

$$(3.7) \quad H\psi = \mathcal{L}\psi(x) + \sigma V(x)\psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad \sigma > 0; \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |V(x)| < \infty, \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} V(x) \geq 0,$$

but now we assume that operator \mathcal{L} is recurrent and the conditions on V are different compared to the transient case.

Since an analysis of the ground states is important for many applications and has been widely studied, we omit many restrictions imposed on H earlier. Operator \mathcal{L} now can be not related to a Markov process and is defined simply as an operator which in the Fourier images acts as the multiplication by a continuous function $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)$, $k \in T^d$:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathcal{L}\psi(x) e^{-i\langle k, x \rangle} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}(k) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \psi(x) e^{-i\langle k, x \rangle}.$$

We assume that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(0) = 0$, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k) < 0$ for $k \neq 0$. If the opposite is not stated, we assume that

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{T^d} [\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)]^{-1} dk = \infty.$$

This condition in the Markovian case is equivalent to the recurrency of the process. While the last condition in (3.7) often appears as a consequence of the potential being positive-definite, we do not assume the latter property.

The spectrum of operator \mathcal{L} coincides with the range of function $[\min_k \hat{\mathcal{L}}(k), 0]$. The presence of the potential may lead to the appearance of positive eigenvalues. Our goal is to find conditions that guarantee the existence of a positive eigenvalue for the operator (3.7) with arbitrary small $\sigma > 0$. Note that the same conditions will lead to the existence of a positive eigenvalue for all $\sigma > 0$. Indeed, if a positive eigenvalue exists for some $\sigma > 0$ then it exists for operator $\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{L} + V(x)$ and then it exists for the same operator with larger values of σ due to the monotonicity of the latter operator in σ . Hence, operator (3.7) with larger values of σ also has positive eigenvalues.

First, we will prove a couple of statements concerning the case when

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} V(x) > 0.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let (3.8), (3.9) hold. Then the positive spectrum of the operator (3.7) is discrete and non-empty, i.e., the operator with arbitrary $\sigma > 0$ has a positive eigenvalue.*

Remark 3.3. This statement in the continuous case with a slightly more restrictive assumption was proven in [13].

Proof. Let us show that the positive spectrum of the operator (3.7) is non-empty. Conditions on V imply that $\hat{V}(0) > 0$ and $\hat{V}(k)$ is continuous. Thus, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that $\hat{V}(k - \xi) > v_0 > 0$ when $|k|, |\xi| < \varepsilon_1$. We construct test functions $\psi(x) = \psi_\varepsilon(x)$ using their Fourier images:

$$\hat{\psi}_\varepsilon(k) = \begin{cases} [\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)]^{-1}, & 0 < \varepsilon \leq |k| \leq \varepsilon_1, \\ 0, & |k| \notin (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle H\psi, \psi \rangle &= \int_{\varepsilon < |k| < \varepsilon_1} \hat{\mathcal{L}}(k) |\hat{\psi}(k)|^2 dk + \sigma \int_{\varepsilon < |k| < \varepsilon_1} \int_{\varepsilon < |\xi| < \varepsilon_1} \hat{V}(k - \xi) \hat{\psi}(k) \overline{\hat{\psi}(\xi)} dk d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\varepsilon < |k| < \varepsilon_1} [\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)]^{-1} dk + \sigma v_0 \left(\int_{\varepsilon < |k| < \varepsilon_1} [\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)]^{-1} dk \right)^2 \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

due to (3.8). Hence, there are test functions for which the quadratic form (3.10) is positive, and therefore, the positive spectrum of H is non-empty.

It remains to show that the positive spectrum of H is discrete. The operators $(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^{-1}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is analytic in λ when $\lambda \notin [0, \infty)$, and the same is true for $T_\lambda := V(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^{-1}$ since $|V(x)| < C < \infty$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Hence the resolvent identity and the analytic Fredholm theorem will imply the discreteness of the positive spectrum of H if we show that operators T_λ , $\lambda \notin [0, \infty)$, are compact. We represent T_λ as the sum $T_\lambda = \alpha(x/n)T_\lambda + (1 - \alpha(x/n))T_\lambda$ where $\alpha(x) = 1$ when $|x| \leq 1$, $\alpha(x) = 0$ when $|x| > 1$. The operator $\alpha(x/n)T_\lambda$ is finite-dimensional, and therefore it is compact, and

$$\|(1 - \alpha(x/n))T_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

since V vanishes at infinity. Thus operators T_λ , $\lambda \notin [0, \infty)$, are compact as limits of compact operators $\alpha(x/n)T_\lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof is complete \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let condition (3.9) hold. Let operator \mathcal{L} be a generator of a random walk and*

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{T^d} |k|[\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)]^{-1} dk < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\mathbb{Z}^d} |xV(x)| < \infty.$$

Then operator H defined in (3.7) with

$$0 < \sigma < \sigma_0 = (2 \int_{T^d} |k|[\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)]^{-1} dk \sum_{\mathbb{Z}^d} |xV(x)|)^{-1}$$

has exactly one positive eigenvalue.

Proof. The following estimate for the number $N_0(V)$ of positive eigenvalues of operator H follows from [12], see Theorem 1.2:

$$N_0(V) \leq 1 + 2 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |V(x)| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +0} [R_\lambda(x) - R_\lambda(0)],$$

where $R_\lambda(x-y)$ is the kernel of the resolvent of operator \mathcal{L} . The limit above does not exceed

$$\int_{T^d} |e^{ikx} - 1|[\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)]^{-1} dk \leq \int_{T^d} |kx|[\hat{\mathcal{L}}(k)]^{-1} dk.$$

The last two relations imply that $N_0(V) < 2$ when $\sigma < \sigma_0$. Thus H has at most one eigenvalue in this case, and it exists due to Theorem 3.2. \square

3.3. Zero average potentials, one-dimensional case. The goal of this subsection is to expand the important part of Theorem 3.2 on the existence of positive eigenvalues to a particular case of operators H with $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} V(x) \geq 0$ where the sum can be equal to zero. The operator H will be a perturbation of the second derivative on the one-dimensional lattice. We would like to get this result also in the continuous case and therefore, we will consider simultaneously operators H in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and in $L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by the relations

$$(3.12) \quad H\psi = \psi''(x) + \sigma V(x)\psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{where} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |x|)|V(x)|dx < \infty, \quad V \not\equiv 0,$$

Here and below, $\psi''(x)$ and the integrals must be replaced by $\psi(x-1) + \psi(x+1) - 2\psi(x)$ and the corresponding infinite sums, respectively, when $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us stress that the assumption on the behavior of the potential at infinity in (3.12) is stronger than in (3.7).

Theorem 3.5. *If $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x)dx \geq 0$, $V \not\equiv 0$, then operator H defined in (3.12) has a positive eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda(\sigma)$ for each $\sigma > 0$.*

Remark 3.6. The proof below will be given in the continuous case $x \in \mathbb{R}$, but one needs only to replace integrals with sums for the proof to be valid in the lattice case.

Remark 3.7. This statement for the operator on the half-axis $x > 0$ with the boundary condition $\psi'(0) = 0$ was proved in [13]

We will need the following lemma (a similar statement can be found in [13]).

Lemma 3.8. *When $|\sigma| \ll 1$, there are solutions ψ_1, ψ_2 of the equation $H\psi = 0$ on the half axis $x \geq 0$, where H is defined in (3.12), such that*

$$(3.13) \quad \psi_1(x) = 1 + O(\sigma), \quad \psi_1'(x) = O(\sigma), \quad x \geq 0; \quad \psi_1(0) = 1, \quad \psi_1'(0) = \alpha(\sigma),$$

$$(3.14) \quad \psi_2(x) = x(1 + O(\sigma)), \quad \psi_2'(x) = 1 + O(\sigma), \quad x \geq 0; \quad \psi_2(0) = 0, \quad \psi_2'(0) = 1.$$

Here the remainder terms are uniform in x and

$$(3.15) \quad \alpha(\sigma) = \sigma b + \sigma^2 c^2 + O(\sigma^3), \quad b = \int_0^\infty V(\xi) d\xi, \quad c^2 = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_\eta^\infty V(\xi) d\xi \right)^2 d\eta.$$

Proof. We look for a complementary bounded solution ψ in the form $\psi = 1 + z(x)$. Then $z'' + \sigma V(x)z = -\sigma V(x)$, and this equation can be reduced to the integral equation

$$(3.16) \quad z(x) + \sigma Pz = -\sigma \int_x^\infty (\xi - x)V(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \geq 0, \quad \text{where} \quad Pz = \int_x^\infty (\xi - x)V(\xi)z(\xi) d\xi.$$

Operator P in the space C of continuous bounded functions on the semi-axis $x \geq 0$ is bounded, and the right-hand side in (3.16) has the form $-\sigma f$, where $f \in C$. Thus equation (3.16) is uniquely solvable in C when $|\sigma| \ll 1$ and the solution is analytic in σ . Hence,

$$(3.17) \quad \psi = 1 - \sigma f + \sigma^2 Pf + O(\sigma^3),$$

where $-\sigma f$ is the right-hand side in (3.16). Since (3.16) also admits the differentiation in x , the latter expansion can be differentiated in x . This implies that ψ satisfies the first two relations in (3.13) and has the following initial data:

$$\psi(0) = 1 - \sigma a + O(\sigma^2), \quad \psi'(0) = \sigma b + \sigma^2(-ab + c^2) + O(\sigma^3),$$

where $a = \int_0^\infty \xi V(\xi) d\xi$, and b, c^2 are defined in (3.15). The evaluation of the coefficient for σ^2 in the expansion of $\psi'(0)$ is based on (3.17) but it also involves an integration by parts, and more details can be found in [13] (see Lemma 3.3) if needed.

Function ψ_1 can be defined as $\psi_1(x) = \psi(x)/\psi(0)$. Function ψ_2 can be constructed as

$$\psi_2(x) = \psi_1(x) \int_0^x \psi_1^{-2}(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \geq 0, \quad |\sigma| \ll 1.$$

Then (3.14) follows from (3.13). □

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Theorem 3.1 from [12] implies that the positive spectrum of H is discrete. Thus it is enough to show that the positive spectrum is not empty. It is enough to show the existence of a positive spectrum of H for arbitrarily small $\sigma > 0$ since it will imply its existence for all $\sigma > 0$, see the paragraph before the statement of the theorem. Hence, the theorem will be proven if, for some sequence $\sigma = \sigma_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$, we construct functions $\phi = \phi_n(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with compact supports such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty [-\phi'^2(x) + \sigma_n V(x)\phi^2(x)] dx > 0.$$

We define solutions $\psi_3(x), \psi_4(x)$ of equation (3.12) on the negative half-axis $x \leq 0$ similar to $\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x)$ constructed in Lemma 3.8 on the semi-axis $x \geq 0$. In particular,

$$(3.18) \quad \psi_3(0) = 1, \quad \psi_3'(0) = \beta(\sigma), \quad \psi_4(0) = 0, \quad \psi_4'(0) = 1,$$

where

$$\beta = -\sigma b_- - \sigma^2(c_-)^2 + O(\sigma^3), \quad b_- = \int_{-\infty}^0 V(\xi) d\xi, \quad (c_-)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\int_{-\infty}^\eta V(\xi) d\xi \right)^2 d\eta.$$

For example, one can find $\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x)$ on $x \geq 0$ for the potential $V(-x)$ and put

$$\psi_3(x) = \psi_1(-x), \quad \psi_4(x) = \psi_2(-x), \quad x \leq 0.$$

Let $m = [\sigma^{-3}]$ be the integer part of σ^{-3} , where $0 \leq \sigma \ll 1$. We put

$$(3.19) \quad \phi = \begin{cases} \psi_1(x) - \varepsilon_1 \psi_2(x), & 0 \leq x \leq m, \\ \psi_3(x) + \varepsilon_2 \psi_4(x), & -m \leq x \leq 0, \\ 0, & |x| > m, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ are positive solutions of the equations $\psi_1(m) - \varepsilon_1 \psi_2(m) = 0$, $\psi_3(-m) + \varepsilon_2 \psi_4(-m) = 0$, respectively. From the asymptotics of $\psi_i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, at infinity, it follows that solutions $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ exist and $\varepsilon_{1,2} = m^{-1}(1 + O(\sigma)) = O(\sigma^{-3})$. Note that $\phi(\pm m) = 0$.

Since $H\phi(x) = 0$, $0 < |x| < m$, and $\phi(x)$ is continuous with $\phi(0) = 1$, we have

$$H\phi = \varepsilon \delta(x), \quad |x| < m, \quad \varepsilon = \phi'(+0) - \phi'(-0),$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the delta function, and therefore,

$$\int_{|x| < m} (H\phi(x))\phi(x)dx = \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, integration by parts implies

$$\int_{|x| < m} (H\phi(x))\phi(x)dx = \int_{|x| < m} [-\phi'^2(x) + \sigma V(x)\phi^2(x)]dx - \varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} ([\phi'^2(x) - \sigma V(x)\phi^2(x)]dx = 2\varepsilon.$$

Asymptotics of $\psi_i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, see (3.13), (3.14), (3.18), lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x)dx + \sigma^2(c^2 + (c_-)^2) + O(\sigma^3) - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \\ &= \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x)dx + \sigma^2(c^2 + (c_-)^2) + O(\sigma^3). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient for σ here is not negative, and the coefficient for σ^2 is positive if $V \not\equiv 0$. Hence, $\varepsilon > 0$ when $\sigma > 0$ is small enough. Thus, for that σ , the quadratic form in the left-hand side of (3.20) is positive, and therefore the positive spectrum of H is not empty. \square

REFERENCES

1. A Agbor, S Molchanov, and B Vainberg, *Global limit theorems on the convergence of multidimensional random walks to stable processes*, *Stochastics and Dynamics* **15** (2015), no. 03, 1550024.
2. Marco Avellaneda and Andrew J Majda, *Renormalization theory for eddy diffusivity in turbulent transport*, *Physical review letters* **68** (1992), no. 20, 3028.
3. René A Carmona and Stanislav A Molchanov, *Parabolic anderson problem and intermittency*, vol. 518, American Mathematical Soc., 1994.
4. Xiaoyun Chen, Dan Han, and Stanislav Molchanov, *Non-stationary lattice Anderson model with non-local Laplacian and correlated white noise*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.01242 (2023).
5. Frank Den Hollander, Stanislav A Molchanov, and Ofer Zeitouni, *Random media at saint-flour*, Springer, 2012.
6. Mohammud Foondun and Davar Khoshnevisan, *Intermittence and nonlinear parabolic stochastic partial differential equations*, (2009).

7. Pierre Yves Gaudreau Lamarre, Promit Ghosal, and Yuchen Liao, *Moment intermittency in the pam with asymptotically singular noise*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **376** (2023), no. 10, 7235–7286.
8. A Getan, S Molchanov, and B Vainberg, *Intermittency for branching walks with heavy tails*, Stochastics and Dynamics **17** (2017), no. 06, 1750044.
9. A Greven and F den Hollander, *Population growth in random media. i. variational formula and phase diagram*, Journal of statistical physics **65** (1991), 1123–1146.
10. L Korolov and S Molchanov, *Structure of population inside propagating front*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **189** (2013), 637–658.
11. Yangyang Lyu and Heyu Li, *Almost surely time-space intermittency for the parabolic anderson model with a log-correlated gaussian field*, Acta Mathematica Scientia **43** (2023), no. 2, 608–639.
12. S Molchanov and B Vainberg, *Bargmann type estimates of the counting function for general Schrödinger operators*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **184** (2012), 457–508.
13. ———, *Positive eigenvalues of non-local schrödinger operators with sign-changing potentials*, Proceedings of AMS **151** (2023), no. 11, 4757—4770.
14. Stanislav Molchanov and Alexander Ruzmaikin, *Lyapunov exponents and distributions of magnetic fields in dynamo models*, The Dynkin Festschrift: Markov Processes and their Applications, Springer, 1994, pp. 287–306.
15. Stanislav Molchanov and Boris Vainberg, *On general Cwikel–Lieb–Rozenblum and Lieb–Thirring inequalities*, Around the Research of Vladimir Maz’ya III, Springer, 2010, pp. 201–246.
16. Michael Reed, Barry Simon, Barry Simon, and Barry Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics*, vol. 1, Elsevier, 1972.
17. Alexander Ruzmaikin, Paulett C Liewer, and Joan Feynman, *Random cell dynamo*, Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics **73** (1993), no. 1-4, 163–177.
18. Zhen-Su She and Emmanuel Leveque, *Universal scaling laws in fully developed turbulence*, Physical review letters **72** (1994), no. 3, 336.
19. Y-H Yang and Sunny WY Tam, *Intermittency analysis and spatial dependence of magnetic field disturbances in the fast solar wind*, Journal of atmospheric and solar-terrestrial physics **72** (2010), no. 1, 97–108.
20. Ya. B. Zel’Dovich, S.A. Molchanov, A. A. Ruzmaikin, and D. D. Sokolov, *Intermittency in random media*, Cambridge Scientific Publishers Limited, 2014.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE
 Email address: dan.han@louisville.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHARLOTTE
 Email address: smolchan@charlotte.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHARLOTTE
 Email address: brvainbe@charlotte.edu