

# COMMUTING VARIETIES OF UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRICES AND REPRESENTATION HOMOLOGY

GUANYU LI

**ABSTRACT.** We establish a connection between commuting varieties  $C_g(G)$  (potentially of higher genus), which are associated with a group scheme  $G$  consisting of upper triangular matrices, and the representation homology  $HR_*(\Sigma_g, G)$  of a Riemann surface  $\Sigma_g$  with coefficients in the group  $G$ . As an outcome, we provide a numerical criterion for determining whether commuting varieties  $C(U_n)$  form a complete intersection for groups consisting of upper triangular unipotent matrices.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The commuting pairs of matrices form an affine space called the commuting variety. These varieties are extensively studied and they are related to different aspects. People did many work on the geometric properties of commuting varieties for various reasons.

Motzkin and Taussky in [MT] and Gerstenhaber in [G] proved that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the variety of all the pairs  $(A, B)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $k$  such that  $[A, B] = 0$  is irreducible. In 1979, Richardson [R] proved that for a reductive group  $G$  (resp. a reductive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, the commuting variety of  $G$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) is irreducible. This result generalises from the variety of all pairs of matrices to the variety of pairs of matrices of certain types. Later, Baranovsky in [B1] and Basili in [B2] showed the irreducibility of the commuting variety of  $n \times n$  nilpotent matrices, under mild conditions on the characteristic of the field  $k$ .

There are properties other than irreducibility considered. For instance, in [B3], Basili gives some properties of being a complete intersection of commuting pairs. Other people like [K] give specific answer which commuting variety is a complete intersection.

The commuting variety with a group coefficient is actually a special case of the so-called representation variety  $\text{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$ , which is a scheme parametrizing all representations with given coefficient  $G$ . While this is a useful generalisation, representation varieties do not naturally behave well. First, the schemes are generally very singular, and hence one needs to resolve the singularities of  $\text{Rep}_G(\Gamma)$ . Second, in practice, the group  $\Gamma$  is generally taken the fundamental group of aspherical spaces such as (compact orientable) surfaces, hyperbolic 3-manifolds and knot complements in  $S^3$ . For more general spaces, however, one needs to take into account a higher homotopy information of the space.

As a possible solution to the deficiencies of representation varieties, a derived version, representation homology, was introduced in [BRY3]. It also has a mapping stack interpretation (see [BRY1, Appendix]). In DAG, people expect that the higher order information contained in the higher structure corresponds to the geometric properties of the original geometric object. The main result of this paper, is a demonstration of this principle:

**Theorem 1.1** (A reformulation of [Theorem 4.1](#) and [Theorem 4.2](#)). *For group schemes  $G$  consisting of upper triangular matrices, the vanishing of representation homology of topological oriented surface with coefficient  $G$ , is equivalent to the satisfaction of certain geometric property of the (generalised) commuting variety of  $G$ .*

Although there are many other equivalent definitions, the construction in [BRY3] is actually computable, and a corollary of this result is the full classification of commuting varieties satisfying certain geometric property ([Corollary 4.2.1](#) and [Proposition 4.6](#)).

**1.1. Organisation of the paper.** The paper contains three parts : In [Section 2](#), we collect necessary algebra materials for the object and tools to analyse the geometry. In [Section 3](#), we briefly summarise the construction and basic properties of representation homology, and set up background for computations. In [Section 4](#), we present our results in [Theorem 4.1](#) and [Theorem 4.2](#). In particular, with the help of Macaulay2, we can compute the

representation homology  $HR_*(\Sigma_g, U_n)$  for small  $n$ , implying a complete list of commuting varieties of unipotent groups, which are complete intersections.

**1.2. Notations and Conventions.** Throughout this paper,  $k$  will denote a field of characteristic 0. Unless specified, the tensor product  $\otimes$  will be over  $k$ .

By a  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , we mean a *commutative* ring  $R$  with a unit. We shall denote by  $\mathbf{Alg}_k$  the category of (commutative)  $k$ -algebras. We will always use homological convention, i.e. the differentials are always of degree  $-1$ . A DG algebra is a positive graded *commutative* algebra with compatible differential maps, i.e.

$$xy = (-1)^{\deg x \deg y} yx$$

and

$$(1.1) \quad d(xy) = d(x)y + (-1)^{\deg x} xd(y)$$

for homogenous elements  $x, y$ . The category of all DG algebras will be denoted by  $\mathbf{CDGA}_k$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{Set}$  the category of sets, by  $\mathbf{Top}$  and  $\mathbf{Top}_*$  the categories of topological spaces and pointed topological spaces, by  $\mathbf{Gp}$  the category of groups, and  $\mathbf{Sch}_k$  the category of schemes over  $\text{Spec } k$ .

Given any category  $\mathcal{C}$ , denote by  $s\mathcal{C}$  the category of simplicial objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $s\mathcal{C} := \text{Func}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ . For results about simplicial sets and model categories, we recommend the readers to check [GJ], although we will give precise citations.

We shall not distinguish between a simplicial set  $X$  and its geometric realization  $|X|$  (see Remark 2).

**1.3. Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank my advisor Yuri Berest first, who introduced me this object in the field of derived algebraic geometry, and ask me many valuable questions which help improve my understanding of this results. I would also like to thank Mike Stillman, who helped me with building the Macaulay2 packages, and analysing the pathology that came along the computations.

## 2. COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Koszul complex and Codimension.** We collect necessary results in this section, among which Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.7 (Corollary 2.7.1, Corollary 2.7.2) are the punchlines, which will be used later.

**Definition 2.1** ([S, Definition 0622] and [S, Definition 0623]). Given a commutative ring  $R$  and elements  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  in it, the **Koszul complex** (or **Koszul algebra**) is the (commutative) DG algebra s.t.

(1) the underlying graded algebra is

$$\bigwedge_R \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r R \cdot t_i \right)$$

where  $t_i$  is of (homological) degree 1 for all  $i$ ,

(2) the differential satisfies

$$d(t_i) = f_i$$

and is extended by the graded Leibniz rule Equation (1.1).

We denote by  $\text{Kos}_*^R(f)$  the Koszul complex of  $f := f_1, \dots, f_r$ . When the ambient ring  $R$  is clear, we also write  $\text{Kos}_*(f)$ .

Given any  $\text{Kos}_*^R(f)$  is actually a DG algebra. Taking its homology makes it a graded algebra.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Given sequences  $f = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  in a commutative ring  $R$  over  $k$ , let  $g = \{g_1 = 0, \dots, g_t = 0\}$  be a sequence of 0's. Then*

(1)  $\text{Kos}_*(g) \cong R \otimes \Lambda_k[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_t] \cong \Lambda_R[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_t]$  with 0 differentials.

(2)

$$\text{Kos}_*(f \cup g) = \text{Kos}_*(f) \otimes_R \text{Kos}_*(g).$$

(3)

$$H_*(\text{Kos}(f \cup g)) \cong H_*(\text{Kos}(f)) \otimes \Lambda_k[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_t].$$

*Proof.* The first two are immediate, and we only prove the last one.

By Künneth formula ([W, Theorem 3.6.3]) there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(P_\bullet) \otimes_R H_q(Q_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n((P \otimes_R Q)_\bullet) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(H_p(P_\bullet), H_q(Q_\bullet)) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $P_\bullet$  is taken  $\mathrm{Kos}_*(g)$  and  $Q_\bullet$  is taken  $\mathrm{Kos}_*(f)$ . By part 1,  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(H_p(P_\bullet), H_q(Q_\bullet))$  is always 0, hence we have the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2** ([E, Corollary 17.5]). *Given a commutative ring  $R$  and a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , if  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$  is a regular sequence, then  $M \otimes_R \mathrm{Kos}_*^R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is exact except at the extreme left; that is,*

$$H_j(M \otimes_R \mathrm{Kos}_*^R(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 0 \quad \forall j > 0.$$

And furthermore,  $H_0(M \otimes_R \mathrm{Kos}_R(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M$ .

Notice that [E] has cohomological Koszul complex while we turn it into a homological Koszul complex.

**Definition 2.2** ([M, page 30]). Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $R$ . The **height** (or, **codimension**) of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the length  $n$  of the longest chain of prime ideals

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}.$$

It is also the Krull dimension of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (see also [S, Definition 00KF]).

For a general ideal  $I \leq R$ , its height (codimension) is

$$\mathrm{codim} I := \inf\{\mathrm{codim} \mathfrak{p} \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal containing  $I$ , then

$$\mathrm{codim} I = \mathrm{codim} I_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

where  $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the ideal in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  corresponding to  $I$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (Krull's principal ideal theorem, [E] Theorem 10.2, or [M], Theorem 13.5). *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring. If  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal among primes of  $R$  containing  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ , then*

$$\mathrm{codim} \mathfrak{p} \leq r.$$

Theorem 2.3 implies

$$\mathrm{codim} (f_1, \dots, f_r) \leq r$$

in a Noetherian ring.

**Definition 2.3** ([S, Tag 00LE], or [E, Section 18.1]). Given a commutative ring  $R$  with ideal  $I$ , and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module, then the depth of  $I$  on  $M$ , written  $\mathrm{depth}(I, M)$ , is the length of a maximal  $M$ -sequence in  $I$ . When  $M = R$ , we shall simply speak of the depth of  $I$ .

**Proposition 2.4** ([E, Proposition 18.2]). *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  containing  $\mathrm{ann}(M)$ , then  $\mathrm{depth}(I) \leq$  the length of any maximal chain of prime ideals descending from a prime containing  $I$  to an associated prime of  $M$ .*

In particular,  $\mathrm{depth}(I) \leq \mathrm{codim} I$ .

**Theorem 2.5** ([M, Theorem 16.5, part ii]). *Suppose that one of the following two conditions holds (so that Nakayama lemma could be applied):*

- (a)  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring,  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $M$  is a finite  $R$ -module;
- (b)  $R$  is an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring and  $M$  is an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded  $R$ -module, and  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  are homogeneous elements of positive degree.

Then if  $H_1(M \otimes_R \mathrm{Kos}_*(f)) = 0$ , then  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is a regular sequence.

**Theorem 2.6** ([M, Theorem 17.4]). *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring.*

- (1) For a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  we have

$$\mathrm{codim} I = \mathrm{depth}(I)$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad \dim R = \dim R/I + \mathrm{codim} I$$

- (2) For any sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  form a regular sequence.
- (b)  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_j) = j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ .
- (c)  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = r$ .

*Remark 1.* However, for a ring  $R$  and an ideal  $I$ , [Equation \(2.1\)](#) is not always true. See [here](#), Section 3.4.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Given homogeneous elements  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  ( $\deg x_i$  is arbitrary) of positive degrees, denote the sequence by  $f = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  form a regular sequence.
- (2)  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_j) = j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ .
- (3)  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = r$ .
- (4)  $H_j(\text{Kos}_*(f)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ .
- (5)  $H_1(\text{Kos}_*(f)) = 0$ .

*Proof.* The polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is Cohen-Macaulay ([\[M, Theorem 17.7\]](#)), so we can use [Theorem 2.6](#) freely (after localisation).

1 $\Rightarrow$ 4) This is immediate by [Proposition 2.2](#).

4 $\Rightarrow$ 5) Obvious.

5 $\Rightarrow$ 1) This is immediate by [Theorem 2.5](#).

1 $\Rightarrow$ 2) By [Proposition 2.4](#),  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_j) \geq \text{depth}(I) = j$ . However, [Theorem 2.3](#) implies  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_j) \leq j$ , hence  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_j) = j$ .

2 $\Rightarrow$ 3) Obvious.

3 $\Rightarrow$ 5) Denote by  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . Since  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\text{codim } I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = r$ . By [Theorem 2.6](#) part 2,  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a regular sequence in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , thus  $H_1(\text{Kos}_*(f) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ . Since localisation is exact,  $H_1(\text{Kos}_*(f)) = 0$ . □

**Corollary 2.7.1.** *Within the same setting, any sub-sequence of  $f$  with any order is a regular sequence.*

*Proof.*  $H_1(\text{Kos}_*(f))$  is independent of the order of  $f$ , so any order of  $f$  forms a regular sequence.

For any subsequence  $\{f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_t}\}$ , there is an order of  $f$  such that  $\{f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_t}\}$  are the first  $t$ -terms of  $f$ . Then by definition,  $\{f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_t}\}$  is a regular sequence. □

In terms of geometry, we have the notion

**Definition 2.4** ([\[S, Tag 00S9\]](#)). We say that a finite algebra  $R$  over  $k$  is a **global complete intersection** if there exists a presentation  $R = \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{f_1, \dots, f_r}$  such that  $\dim(R) = n - r$ .

**Corollary 2.7.2.** *Let  $X$  be an affine subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  of dimension  $n - r$ . Suppose there is a presentation  $\text{Spec } \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{f_1, \dots, f_r}$  where  $f_j$  are homogeneous of positive degrees (again  $\deg x_i$  is arbitrary), then  $X$  is a complete intersection if and only if  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  is a regular sequence.*

*Proof.* On the one hand, if  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  is a regular sequence, by [Theorem 2.7](#),  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = r$ . Passing  $(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  to the localisation  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{m}}$  where  $\mathfrak{m} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_r)_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = r$ . by [Equation \(2.1\)](#),  $\dim X = \dim \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{f_1, \dots, f_r} = \dim \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{m}}}{(f_1, \dots, f_r)_{\mathfrak{m}}} = n - r$  since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is maximal, hence we found a presentation.

On the other hand, suppose  $X$  is of dimension  $n - r$ . Then pass  $(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  to the localisation  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{m}}$  where  $\mathfrak{m} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , by [Equation \(2.1\)](#),  $\text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = \text{codim}(f_1, \dots, f_r)_{\mathfrak{m}} = r$ . By [Theorem 2.7](#),  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  is a regular sequence. □

## 2.2. Group Schemes and The Grading.

**Definition 2.5.** An **affine group scheme**  $G$  is a group object in the category  $\mathbf{Sch}_k$  which is affine in the sense of schemes. We shall denote by  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  the coordinate ring of  $G$ .

In this paper, we will use the functor of points interpretation of a group scheme, which says a scheme  $G \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$  is a group scheme if and only if by viewing it a functor  $\mathbf{Alg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , it has a natural factorisation

$$\mathbf{Alg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Gp} \xrightarrow{U} \mathbf{Set}$$

where  $U$  is the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Gp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ . This is equivalent to say for each  $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_k$ , there is a functorial way of assigning the set  $G(A)$  a group structure.

**Example 2.8.** The basic example is the general linear group  $GL_n$ , the affine (group) scheme such that for any  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ,

$$GL_n(R) := \left\{ M = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{bmatrix} \middle| a_{i,j} \in R, \det M \in R^\times \right\}.$$

Its coordinate ring is  $k[x_{i,j}, \det^{-1}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ , with comultiplication

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta(x_{i,j}) = \sum_{t=1}^n x_{i,t} \otimes x_{t,j}.$$

We will concentrate on the following two types of group schemes.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $U_n$  be the affine (group) scheme such that for any  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ,

$$U_n(R) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ & 1 & a_{2,3} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ & & 1 & \cdots & a_{3,n} \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \middle| a_{i,j} \in R \right\}.$$

The coordinate ring is  $k[x_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ . We call it the **unipotent  $n \times n$  matrix group scheme**. With its coordinate ring as  $k[x_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ , we call the matrix

$$(2.3) \quad X := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ 0 & 1 & x_{2,3} & \cdots & x_{2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & x_{3,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

its **coordinate matrix**.

**Definition 2.7.** Similarly, let  $B_n$  be the affine (group) scheme such that for any  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ,

$$B_n(A) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ & & a_{3,3} & \cdots & a_{3,n} \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & a_{n,n} \end{bmatrix} \middle| a_{i,j} \in R, x_{1,1} \cdots x_{n,n} \in R^\times \right\}.$$

The coordinate ring is  $\frac{k[x_{i,j}, t]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}}{t \cdot a_{1,1} \cdots a_{n,n} = 1}$ . We call it the **Borel group scheme**. With its coordinate ring as

$\frac{k[x_{i,j}, t]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}}{t \cdot a_{1,1} \cdots a_{n,n} = 1}$ , we call the matrix

$$(2.4) \quad X := \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ 0 & x_{2,2} & x_{2,3} & \cdots & x_{2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{3,3} & \cdots & x_{3,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

its **coordinate matrix**.

**Example 2.9.** Consider  $G = U_3$ , given any  $k$ -algebra  $R$  and two generic matrices  $A, B \in U_3(R)$ ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_3 \\ & 1 & a_2 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1 & b_3 \\ & 1 & b_2 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

if we give an internal grading on the matrix so that  $\deg a_1 = \deg a_2 = \deg b_1 = \deg b_2 = 1$  and  $\deg a_3 = \deg b_3 = 2$ , then in the matrix

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 + b_1 & a_3 + a_1b_2 + b_3 \\ & 1 & a_2 + b_2 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

one notices that all elements  $a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + a_1b_2 + b_3$  are homogeneous, of degrees 1, 1 and 2 respectively, i.e. the matrix product preserves the internal grading.

There is a similar internal grading on  $G = B_2$ .

In general, one could define the internal degree as

$$(2.5) \quad \deg x_{i,j} = j - i,$$

which is a constant in the diagonal direction, and preserved by the multiplication. Since there is only positive degrees, one sets

$$\deg 0 = -\infty.$$

**Lemma 2.10.** *The grading Equation (2.5) makes  $\mathcal{O}(U_n)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(B_n)$  graded Hopf algebras.*

*Proof.* For  $U_n$ , the coordinate ring is a polynomial ring and the grading is defined on its generators. So  $\mathcal{O}(U_n)$  is a graded algebra. It is easy to see from Equation (2.2) and Equation (2.5) that the grading is compatible with the comultiplication since the comultiplication is inherited from  $GL_n$ . Furthermore, any matrix in  $U_n(R)$  has a decomposition

$$A = I - N$$

where  $I$  is the identity matrix and  $N$  is a nilpotent matrix ( $N^{n-1} = 0$ ), hence

$$A^{-1} = I + N + \cdots + N^{n-2},$$

i.e. the antipodal map could be interpreted by comultiplications. Concretely,

$$S(x_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{1 \leq i < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < j \leq n-1} (-1)^{k+1} x_{i,t_1} x_{t_1,t_2} \cdots x_{t_k,j},$$

hence  $S$  preserves the grading.

For  $B_n$ , we see by Equation (2.5)  $\deg x_{i,i} = 0$  and we set in addition  $\deg t = 0$ , hence  $\mathcal{O}(B_n)$  is a quotient algebra from a polynomial algebra by a homogeneous equation  $t \cdot a_{1,1} \cdots a_{n,n} - 1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}(B_n)$  is a graded algebra. The grading is compatible with the multiplication because of the same reason, and so is the antipodal map (or

concretely this time  $S(x_{i,j}) = x_{j,j}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{1 \leq i < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < j \leq n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{x_{i,t_1}}{x_{i,i}} \frac{x_{t_1,t_2}}{x_{t_1,t_1}} \cdots \frac{x_{t_k,j}}{x_{t_k,t_k}}$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** (1) *The kernel of the counit map  $\epsilon_{U_n} : \mathcal{O}(U_n) \rightarrow k$  is generated by a regular sequence  $\{x_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ .*

(2) *The kernel of the counit map  $\epsilon_{B_n} : \mathcal{O}(B_n) \rightarrow k$  is generated by a regular sequence  $\{x_{i,j} - \delta_j^i\}_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$*

where  $\delta_j^i = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  is the Kronecker delta.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{O}(U_n)$  is the polynomial ring generated by the elements  $\{x_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ , the statement is clear for  $\mathcal{O}(U_n)$ .

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(B_n) &= \frac{k[x_{i,j}, t]_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}}{t \cdot x_{1,1} \cdots x_{n,n} = 1} \\ &\cong \frac{k[x_{i,i}, t]_{1 \leq i \leq n}}{t \cdot x_{1,1} \cdots x_{n,n} = 1} [x_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \\ &\cong \left( \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} k[x_{i,i}, x_{i,i}^{-1}] \right) [x_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \end{aligned}$$

where the tensor product is taken over  $k$ , the statement is also clear for  $\mathcal{O}(B_n)$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Commuting Varieties and Higher Genus Generalisations.** Let  $G$  be an affine group scheme over  $k$  with coordinate ring  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ .

Denote by  $C(G)$  the **commuting variety** of  $G$ , and in terms of functor of points, it is the affine variety defined by

$$\begin{aligned} C(G) : \mathbf{Alg}_k &\rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ R &\mapsto \{(a, b) \in G(R) \times G(R) \mid ab = ba\}, \end{aligned}$$

where with the notation  $[a, b] := aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ , one could also write the set

$$C(G)(R) = \{(a, b) \in G(R) \times G(R) \mid [a, b] = 1\}.$$

The scheme  $C(G)$  is actually affine for the following reason: it is the closed subscheme of  $G \times G$  cut out by the equation

$$xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = e,$$

where this equation is an algebraic equation since the group multiplication and inverse are algebraic in the group scheme  $G$ . Its coordinate ring will be in [Equation \(3.6\)](#).

*Although historically we call the object commuting variety, it really should be commuting scheme before we show that it is irreducible and reduced.*

**Example 2.12.** The group scheme  $GL_n$  is a matrix group scheme, in the sense that there is a matrix  $X$  of symbols (variables over  $k$  or elements in  $k$ ), satisfying

- (1) For any  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ,  $GL_n(R)$  is the set of matrices by evaluating  $X$  in  $R$ , and
- (2) the multiplication in  $GL_n(R)$  is exactly the matrix multiplication of evaluations of  $X$ .

We say an affine group scheme  $G$  has a matrix generator, if  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $GL_n$ . Then the inclusion  $i : G \hookrightarrow GL_n$  gives a matrix generator  $i^*X$  of  $G$  in terms of the conditions just above:

- (1) For any  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ,  $G(R)$  is the set of matrices by evaluating  $i^*X$  in  $R$ , and
- (2) the multiplication in  $G(R)$  is exactly the matrix multiplication of evaluations of  $i^*X$ .

In this case, we shall denote by  $k[X]$  the coordinate ring of  $G$ .

Now if  $G$  is a group scheme with a matrix generator, then the coordinate ring of  $C(G)$  is

$$\frac{k[X, Y]}{XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = I},$$

where  $k[X, Y] = k[X] \otimes_k k[Y]$  is the coordinate ring of  $G \times G$ , and  $XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = I$  is the set of  $n \times n$  equations, claiming the entries in the matrix  $XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}$  are equal to the corresponding entries in  $I$ .

We shall give example to illustrate this:

- (i) Let  $G = U_3$ , then the matrix generator is  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} \\ 0 & 1 & x_{2,3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and

$$XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_{1,2}y_{2,3} - y_{1,2}x_{2,3} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

thus the coordinate ring of  $C(U_3)$  is

$$\frac{k[X, Y]}{XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = I} = \frac{k[x_{1,2}, x_{1,3}, x_{2,3}, y_{1,2}, y_{1,3}, y_{2,3}]}{x_{1,2}y_{2,3} - y_{1,2}x_{2,3}}.$$

(ii) Let  $G = B_2$ , then the matrix generator is  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\ 0 & x_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$ , and

$$XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x_{1,1}x_{1,2}y_{1,1}^2st + x_{1,1}^2y_{1,1}y_{1,2}st + x_{1,1}x_{1,2}s - y_{1,1}y_{1,2}t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $s = (\det X)^{-1} = (x_{1,1}x_{2,2})^{-1}$  and  $t = (\det Y)^{-1} = (y_{1,1}y_{2,2})^{-1}$ , thus the coordinate ring of  $C(B_2)$  is

$$\frac{k[X, Y]}{XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = I} = \frac{k[x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}, (x_{1,1}x_{2,2})^{-1}, y_{1,1}, y_{1,2}, y_{2,2}, (y_{1,1}y_{2,2})^{-1}]}{-x_{1,1}x_{1,2}y_{1,1}^2st + x_{1,1}^2y_{1,1}y_{1,2}st + x_{1,1}x_{1,2}s - y_{1,1}y_{1,2}t}.$$

In conclusion, the coordinate matrix of  $U_n$  (resp.  $B_n$ ) is the matrix generator of  $U_n$  (resp.  $B_n$ ).

We conclude this section by slightly generalising the notion of commuting variety.

**Definition 2.8.** Given  $G$  be an affine group scheme over  $k$  with coordinate ring  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  and a positive integer  $g$ , **the commuting variety of higher genus  $g$**  is the scheme

$$C_g(G) : \mathbf{Alg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ R \mapsto \left\{ (a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g) \in \prod_{i=1}^{2g} G(R) \mid [a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2] \cdots [a_g, b_g] = 1 \right\}.$$

Notice that when  $g = 1$ , the higher genus commuting variety goes back to the classical commuting variety. The reason why we give it such a name will be in [Example 3.6](#).

### 3. REPRESENTATION HOMOLOGIES OF RIEMANN SURFACES

In this section, we first recall a classical object, representation scheme, which is a natural generalisation of the commuting variety. We collect the results that we need about the derived version of representation scheme, which is called representation homology and first introduced in [\[BRY3\]](#).

**3.1. Representation Schemes.** Given an affine group scheme  $G$  over  $k$ , by viewing it a functor, one has a “tensor-hom” type adjunction

$$(3.1) \quad (-)_G : \mathbf{Gp} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Alg}_k : G$$

where the left functor  $(-)_G : \mathbf{Gp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_k$  exists since a group homomorphism only satisfies algebraic equations. To any group  $\Gamma$ , the scheme  $\text{Rep}_G(\Gamma) := \text{Spec}(\Gamma)_G$  associated to the ring  $(\Gamma)_G$  is called the **representation scheme**.

**Example 3.1.** By definition, for any group  $\Gamma$  and any group scheme  $G$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}((\Gamma)_G, R) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Gp}}(\Gamma, G(R)).$$

- (1) Consider when  $\Gamma = 1$  the trivial group, then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Gp}}(\Gamma, G(R))$  consists of only one element. Thus  $(\Gamma)_G = k$  since  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}((\Gamma)_G, R)$  consists of only one element for any  $k$ -algebra  $R$ .
- (2) Consider when  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  the trivial group, then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Gp}}(\Gamma, G(R)) \cong G(R)$  and in order to have  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}((\Gamma)_G, R) \cong G(R)$  for all  $R$ , by definition  $(\Gamma)_G \cong \mathcal{O}(G)$ .
- (3) Consider when  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Gp}}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, G(R)) = \{(a, b) \in G(R) \times G(R) \mid ab = ba\}.$$

This means  $(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})_G$  is the coordinate ring of  $C(G)$ , and the representation scheme is a (wide) generalisation of the commuting variety.

**Example 3.2.** Suppose the group  $\Gamma$  is finitely presented, namely it has a presentation  $\Gamma \cong \langle x_1, \dots, x_m \mid r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle$ , and suppose that the group scheme  $G$  has a matrix generator  $X$  ([Example 2.12](#)), then

$$(3.2) \quad \Gamma_G \cong \frac{k[X_1, \dots, X_m]}{r_1 - 1, \dots, r_n - 1},$$

where  $X_i$  are the matrix generators (so  $k[X_1, \dots, X_m]$  is the coordinate ring of  $m$ -copies of  $G$ ), and each  $r_j - 1$  is the set of  $n \times n$ -expressions in  $k[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ , claiming the entries in the matrix  $r_j(X_1, \dots, X_m)$  are equal to the corresponding entries in  $I$ , where the matrix  $r_j(X_1, \dots, X_m)$  is the expression by replacing  $x_i$  in  $r_j$  by the coefficient matrix  $X_i$ .

For instance, take  $G = GL_2$  and  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} = \langle x, y \mid xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \rangle$ , then by [Equation \(3.2\)](#)

$$(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \cong \frac{k[X, Y]}{XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = I},$$

which is the coordinate ring of  $C(GL_2)$  as stated in [Example 2.12](#).

The way one generally gets such a group  $\Gamma$  is by taking the fundamental group of some topological space. For instance, the group  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is  $\pi_1(T^2)$  where  $T^2$  is the topological 2-torus.

**3.2. Representation Homology.** Next we extend the adjunction [\(3.1\)](#) to simplicially, getting another adjunction [\(3.3\)](#)

$$(-)_G : s\mathbf{Gp} \rightleftarrows s\mathbf{Alg}_k : G.$$

Unfortunately, this is not a Quillen pair. However, one still has an important fact

**Lemma 3.3** ([\[BRY3, Lemma 3.1\]](#)). *The functor  $(-)_G$  in [\(3.3\)](#) maps the weak equivalences between cofibrant objects in  $s\mathbf{Gr}$  to weak equivalences in  $s\mathbf{CommAlg}_k$ , and hence has a total left derived functor*

$$\mathbb{L}(-)_G : \mathrm{Ho}(s\mathbf{Gp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(s\mathbf{Alg}_k).$$

*As a consequence, the left derived functor  $\mathbb{L}(-)_G$  can be computed by  $X \mapsto (QX)_G$ , where  $QX$  is a fibrant replacement of  $X$  in  $s\mathbf{Gp}$ .*

*Remark 2.* There is a Quillen equivalence

$$|-| : s\mathbf{Set} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Top} : S$$

where  $S$  is the (total) singular complex and  $|-|$  is the geometric realisation ([\[GJ, Theorem I.11.4.\]](#)). By definition, given any topological space  $X$ ,

$$S_n(X) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(\Delta^n, X)$$

where  $\Delta^n := \left\{ (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^n x_i = 1, x_i \geq 0 \right\}$  is the standard geometric simplex.

A simplicial set  $X$  is called reduced if it has a single vertex, that is,  $X_0 = \{*\}$ . The full subcategory of  $s\mathbf{Set}$  consisting of reduced simplicial sets will be denoted  $s\mathbf{Set}_0$ . For a pointed topological space  $(X, *)$ , its Eilenberg subcomplex of  $\bar{S}_*(X)$  is defined by

$$\bar{S}_n(X) := \{f : \Delta^n \rightarrow X \mid f(v_i) = * \text{ for all vertices } v_i \in \Delta^n\}.$$

If  $X$  is connected, the natural inclusion  $\bar{S}_*(X) \hookrightarrow S_*(X)$  is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. Further, if we restrict  $S$  to the category  $\mathbf{Top}_{0,*}$  of connected pointed spaces, we get the pair of adjoint functors

$$|-| : s\mathbf{Set}_0 \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Top} : \bar{S}.$$

Furthermore, there is another Quillen equivalence

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{G} : s\mathbf{Set}_0 \rightleftarrows s\mathbf{Gp} : \bar{W},$$

where  $\mathbb{G}$  is called the Kan loop group functor and  $\bar{W}$  is the classifying simplicial complex (see [\[GJ, Proposition V.6.3.\]](#)). It is worthwhile to point out that by the construction, the Kan loop group  $\mathbb{G}X$  of any reduced simplicial set  $X$  is semi-free, hence cofibrant.

In conclusion we have a sequence of equivalences of homotopy categories

$$\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{Top}_{0,*}) \simeq \mathrm{Ho}(s\mathbf{Set}_0) \simeq \mathrm{Ho}(s\mathbf{Gp}).$$

This means giving a simplicial group is the same as giving a pointed, connected topological group.

This leads to the definition

**Definition 3.1.** Given a (pointed, connected) topological space  $X$  and a group scheme  $X$  over  $k$ , the representation homology  $HR_*(X, G)$  of  $X$  with coefficient  $G$  is the homotopy group

$$\pi_*((\mathbb{G}(X))_G),$$

and we denote by  $\mathrm{DRep}_G(X) := \mathrm{Spec} \ HR_*(X, G)$ .

**Example 3.4** (See also [BRY3, Section 6.1.2.]). Given a positive integer  $g$ , let  $\Sigma_g$  be the Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . Then one has a homotopy colimit diagram in  $\mathbf{Top}_*$

$$\Sigma_g \simeq \text{hocolim} \left[ \{*\} \leftarrow S^1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bigvee_{i=1}^{2g} S^1 \right]$$

where the map  $\alpha$  is the attaching map of the 2-cell onto  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{2g} S^1$ . In terms of the generators of fundamental groups, we could denote the map  $\alpha^g$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : S_c^1 &\rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^g (S_{a_i}^1 \vee S_{b_i}^1) \\ c &\mapsto [a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is a generator of  $\pi_1(S^1)$  and  $a_i, b_i$  are the generators of  $\pi_1(S_{a_i}^1)$  and  $\pi_1(S_{b_i}^1)$ . Then by applying the Kan loop group construction (see Equation (3.4)), one get a simplicial group model for  $T^2$ :

$$\mathbb{G}(\Sigma_g) \simeq \text{hocolim} \left[ 1 \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha^g} \mathbb{F}_{2g} \right].$$

Since the representation homology commutes with homotopy colimit ([BRY3, Theorem 3.2.]), by Example 3.1 one applies the functor  $\mathbb{L}(-)_G$  and has

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, G) \simeq \text{hocolim} [k \xleftarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^g} \mathcal{O}(G^{2g})]$$

where the map  $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow k$  is induced by the unit map of the group  $G$  and  $\alpha_*^g : \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G^{2g})$  is

$$(3.5) \quad \alpha_*^g(f)(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g) := f([x_1, y_1][x_2, y_2] \cdots [x_g, y_g]),$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_*^g : G^{2g}(R) &\rightarrow G(R) \\ (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g) &\mapsto [x_1, y_1][x_2, y_2] \cdots [x_g, y_g]. \end{aligned}$$

In the category  $s\mathbf{Alg}_k$ , the colimit

$$\text{colim} [k \xleftarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^g} \mathcal{O}(G^{2g})]$$

is

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g}$  and  $k$  are viewed as  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -modules via  $\alpha_*^g$  and  $\epsilon$ . Now by viewing the homotopy colimit as the derived functor of colimit,

$$\text{hocolim} [k \xleftarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^g} \mathcal{O}(G^{2g})] \simeq \mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)}^{\mathbb{L}} k,$$

where  $-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)}^{\mathbb{L}} -$  is the left derived functor of  $-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} -$ .

Summrising Example 3.4, we have

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\Sigma_g$  be the Riemann surface of genus  $g$ .*

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, G) \cong H_*(\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)}^{\mathbb{L}} k)$$

where  $-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)}^{\mathbb{L}} -$  is the left derived functor of  $-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} -$  in  $s\mathbf{Alg}_k$ .

**Example 3.6.** One could show that  $HR_0(X, G) \cong (\pi_1(X))_G$  by comparing the universal mapping properties (see also [BRY3, (3.6)]). In fact, for any  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}((\pi_1(X))_G, R) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{GP}}(\pi_1(X), G(R)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{s\mathbf{GP}}(\mathbb{G}(X), G(R)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{s\mathbf{Alg}_k}((\mathbb{G}(X))_G, R) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(H_0((\mathbb{G}(X))_G), R) \end{aligned}$$

where the second and the forth equality are because  $G(R)$  and  $R$  are viewed as simplicial objects concentrated on 0-th degree, i.e. all higher elements are degenerate. In particular one has

$$HR_0(\Sigma_g, G) \cong \mathcal{O}(C_g(G)) \cong \mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k.$$

This means the representation homology of Riemann surface of genus  $g$  is a derived version of the commuting variety of higher genus  $g$ .

To see from the definition that  $\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k$  is the coordinate ring, by the universal property of colimit,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} k, R) &\cong \{(f, h) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g}, R) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(k, R) \mid f\alpha_*^g = h\epsilon\} \\ &= \{(f_1, \dots, f_{2g}, h) \in G^{2g}(R) \times e(R) \mid (f_1, \dots, f_{2g})\alpha_*^g = h\epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Equation (3.5),  $(f_1, \dots, f_{2g})\alpha_*^g = [f_1, f_2] \cdots [f_{2g-1}, f_{2g}]$ , and  $e(R)$  consists of only the identity element, so

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(f_1, \dots, f_{2g}, h) \in G^{2g}(R) \times e(R) \mid (f_1, \dots, f_{2g})\alpha_*^g = h\epsilon\} \\ &= \{(f_1, \dots, f_{2g}) \in G^{2g}(R) \mid [f_1, f_2] \cdots [f_{2g-1}, f_{2g}] = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 3.* The famous Dold-Kan correspondence states that there is a Quillen equivalence

$$N : s\mathrm{Mod}_k \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Com}(\mathrm{Mod}_k) : \Gamma$$

when  $N$  is the normalisation functor and  $\Gamma$  is its right adjoint ([GJ, Corollary III.2.3, Corollary III.2.7.]).

When  $\mathrm{char} k = 0$ , there is a model structure on  $\mathbf{CDGA}_k$  ([GS1, Theorem 3.6, Example 3.7.], or explicitly [GM, Theorem V.3.4.]). Now there is a Quillen equivalence ([Q, Page 220-223], or [BRY2, Appendix])

$$N^* : \mathbf{CDGA}_k \rightleftarrows s\mathbf{Alg}_k : N,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} HR_*(\Sigma_g, G) &:= H_* \left( N(\mathrm{hocolim} [k \xleftarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^g} \mathcal{O}(G^{2g})]) \right) \\ &\cong H_* \left( \mathrm{hocolim} [N(k) \xleftarrow{\epsilon} N(\mathcal{O}(G)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^g} N(\mathcal{O}(G^{2g}))] \right) \\ &\cong H_* \left( \mathrm{hocolim} [k \xleftarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*^g} \mathcal{O}(G^{2g})] \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the last homotopy colimit is taken in the category  $\mathbf{CDGA}_k$ . This means (when  $\mathrm{char} k = 0$  as the assumption at the beginning) the homotopy colimit  $\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)}^{\mathbb{L}} k$  in  $s\mathbf{Alg}_k$  could be computed in  $\mathbf{CDGA}_k$ .

#### 4. MAIN RESULTS

Now we can introduce our main result:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $U_n$  be the affine group scheme consisting of upper triangular matrices with diagonals being 1, and let  $\Sigma_g$  be the Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *The vanishing*

$$HR_i(\Sigma_g, U_n) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq n$$

*is true.*

(2) *There is an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, U_n) \cong HR_0(\Sigma_g, U_n) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_k(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$$

*where  $\mathrm{Sym}_k$  is the graded symmetric product over  $k$  and  $T_i$  is of homological degree 1.*

(3) *The commuting variety of higher genus  $C_g(U_n)$  is a complete intersection.*

Similarly, we have

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $B_n$  be the affine group scheme consisting of invertible upper triangular matrices, and let  $\Sigma_g$  be the Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *The vanishing*

$$HR_i(\Sigma_g, B_n) = 0 \quad \forall i > n$$

*is true.*

(2) There is an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, B_n) \cong HR_0(\Sigma_g, B_n) \otimes_k \text{Sym}_k(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

where  $\text{Sym}_k$  is the graded symmetric product over  $k$  and  $T_i$  is of homological degree 1.

(3) The commuting variety of higher genus  $C_g(B_n)$  is a complete intersection.

With the help of Macaulay2 ([GS2]), one has

**Corollary 4.2.1.**  $C(U_2), C(U_3), C(U_4), C(U_5), C(B_2), C(B_3)$  are complete intersections.

4.1. **Proof.** We will break the proof into several lemmas. By Remark 3, we can proceed our computation in the category  $\mathbf{CDGA}_k$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Suppose that the map  $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow k$ , which is induced by taking the identity in the group  $G$ , has a kernel generated by a regular sequence  $\{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ . Then

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, G) \cong H_*(\text{Kos}_{\mathcal{O}(G^{2g})}(\alpha_*(y_1), \dots, \alpha_*(y_r))).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\ker \epsilon$  is generated by a regular sequence  $\{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ , the canonical map

$$\text{Kos}_*^{\mathcal{O}(G)}(y_1, \dots, y_r) \rightarrow k$$

gives a cofibrant replacement of  $k$  over  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  in  $\mathbf{CDGA}_k$  by Proposition 2.2. It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{O}(G)^{\otimes 2g} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(G)} \text{Kos}_{\mathcal{O}(G)}(y_1, \dots, y_r) \cong \text{Kos}_*^{\mathcal{O}(G^{2g})}(\alpha_*(y_1), \dots, \alpha_*(y_r))$$

where  $\alpha_*$  is defined in Equation (3.5). Thus the representation homology  $HR_*(\Sigma_g, G)$  can be computed by  $H_*(\text{Kos}_{\mathcal{O}(G^{2g})}(\alpha_*(y_1), \dots, \alpha_*(y_r)))$  by Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

In our cases, the complexes can be written explicitly.

**Lemma 4.4.** The representation homology  $HR_*(\Sigma_g, U_n)$  for  $G = U_n$  can be computed by

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, U_n) \cong H_*(\text{Kos}_*^{\mathcal{O}(U_n^{2g})}(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}))$$

where  $f_{i,j}$  is the  $(i, j)$ -entry of the matrix

$$(4.1) \quad f := [X_1, Y_1] \cdots [X_g, Y_g].$$

and  $X_t, Y_t$  are the coordinate matrices of the  $2t - 1, 2t$ -th copy of  $U_n$  in  $U_n^{2g}$ , respectively (so the coordinate ring of  $U_n^{2g}$  is  $k[x_{t,i,j}, y_{t,i,j}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq g}$ ).

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.11,  $\{x_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  forms a regular sequence in  $\mathcal{O}(U_n)$  which generates the kernel of  $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}(U_n) \rightarrow k$ . Thus by Lemma 4.3,

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, U_n) \cong H_*(\text{Kos}_*^{\mathcal{O}(U_n^{2g})}(\{\alpha_*^g(x_{i,j})\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n})).$$

We then need to specify the elements  $\alpha_*^g(x_{i,j})$  in  $\text{Kos}_*^{\mathcal{O}(U_n^{2g})}$ .

Suppose that the  $2g$ -tuple of matrices  $(X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dots, X_g, Y_g)$  gives the coordinate matrices of the copies of  $U_n$  in  $U_n^{2g} = (U_n^2)^g$ , each of which has the same shape as Equation (2.3). With these settings, the coordinate ring

$$\mathcal{O}(U_n^{2g}) = k[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dots, X_g, Y_g] = k[x_{t,i,j}, y_{t,i,j}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq g}.$$

Given arbitrary points  $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_g, y_g) \in U_n^{2g}(R) = (U_n^2)^g(R)$ , view  $X_i, Y_i$  (resp.  $X$ ) matrices of functions on  $U^{2g}(R)$  (resp.  $U(R)$ ), then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_*^g(X)(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g) &= X([x_1, y_1][x_2, y_2] \cdots [x_g, y_g]) \\ &= [X_1(x_1), Y_1(y_1)] \cdots [X_g(x_g), Y_g(y_g)] \\ &= \left( [X_1, Y_1] \cdots [X_g, Y_g] \right) \left( [x_1, y_1][x_2, y_2] \cdots [x_g, y_g] \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the first equation is by definition Equation (3.5) and the third equation is because all  $X_i$ 's and  $Y_i$ 's are matrix generators.

This implies that  $\alpha_*^g(x_{i,j})$  is the  $(i, j)$ -entry of the matrix

$$f := [X_1, Y_1] \cdots [X_g, Y_g].$$

Thus

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, U_n) \cong H_*(\text{Kos}_{\mathcal{O}(U_n^{2g})}(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}))$$

where  $f_{i,j}$  is the  $(i,j)$ -entry in the matrix  $[X_1, Y_1] \cdots [X_g, Y_g]$  defined in Equation (4.1).  $\square$

Similarly for  $B_n$ , it has a matrix generator Equation (2.4) and also by Lemma 2.11,  $\{x_{i,j} - \delta_j^i\}_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$  forms a regular sequence in  $\mathcal{O}(B_n)$  which generates the kernel of  $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}(B_n) \rightarrow k$ . Therefore we can apply Lemma 4.3 in the same manner, yielding

**Lemma 4.5.** *The representation homology  $HR_*(\Sigma_g, B_n)$  for  $G = B_n$  can be computed by*

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, B_n) \cong H_*(\text{Kos}_*^{\mathcal{O}(B_n^{2g})}(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}))$$

where  $f_{i,j}$  is the  $(i,j)$ -entry of the matrix

$$(4.2) \quad f := [X_1, Y_1] \cdots [X_g, Y_g] - I,$$

and  $X_t, Y_t$  are the coordinate matrices of the  $2t - 1, 2t$ -th copy of  $B_n$  in  $B_n^{2g}$ , respectively.

We are ready to prove the main theorems.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Let  $X_t, Y_t$  are the coordinate matrices of the  $2t - 1, 2t$ -th copy of  $U_n$  in  $U_n^{2g}$  respectively, and let

$$f = [X_1, Y_1] \cdots [X_g, Y_g]$$

as in Equation (4.1). We first notice that by Lemma 2.10, the upper part of  $f$ ,  $\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ , consists of homogeneous elements in  $\mathcal{O}(U_n^{2g}) = k[X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dots, X_g, Y_g] = k[x_{t,i,j}, y_{t,i,j}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq g}$ . and by Lemma 4.4,

$$HR_*(\Sigma_g, U_n) \cong H_*(\text{Kos}_*^{\mathcal{O}(U_n^{2g})}(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n})).$$

One notice that the sub-diagonal elements  $f_{i,i+1}$  are 0 for all  $1 \leq i < n$ , since the sub-diagonal elements of  $[X_t, Y_t]$  are 0. Therefore, among all the elements  $\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ , there are exactly  $n - 1$  which are 0, so there is an isomorphism of complexes by Lemma 2.1

$$(4.3) \quad H_*(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n})) \cong H_*(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 < j \leq n})) \otimes_k \text{Sym}_k(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$$

where each  $T_j$  is of degree 1.

By Proposition 2.2 and Example 3.6,

$$(4.4) \quad H_0(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n})) = \frac{\mathcal{O}(U_n^{2g})}{\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}}$$

is the coordinate ring of  $C_g(U_n)$ .

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) If the vanishing results hold, then

$$H_*(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 < j \leq n})) \otimes \text{Sym}_k(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$$

has at most  $n - 1$  nontrivial levels. However the part  $\text{Sym}_k(T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$  already provides  $n - 1$  nontrivial levels, hence  $H_*(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 < j \leq n}))$  is concentrated at degree 0.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) By the assumption and Equation (4.3),

$$H_*(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 < j \leq n})) \cong H_0(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 < j \leq n})).$$

Hence by Theorem 2.7,  $\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 < j \leq n}$  is a regular sequence. Then by Corollary 2.7.2,  $C_g(U_n)$  is a complete intersection.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Bear in mind that Equation (4.4) gives the coordinate ring, by Corollary 2.7.2 and Theorem 2.7,

$$H_*(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 < j \leq n})) \cong H_0(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 < j \leq n})).$$

From Equation (4.3), the vanishing result is proven.  $\square$

*Remark 4.* The key step of the proof is the observation that the subdiagonal elements  $\{f_{i,i+1}\}_{1 \leq i < n}$  are 0 in Equation (4.1).

For  $B_n$ , diagonal elements  $\{f_{i,i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  in Equation (4.2) are also 0. Hence there is a similar formula

$$H_*(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n})) \cong H_*(\text{Kos}_*(\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 \leq j \leq n})) \otimes_k \text{Sym}_k(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

and all other tools could be applied in the same way by Lemma 2.10. The proof of Theorem 4.2 will be almost exactly the same.

## 4.2. Specific Non-Properties.

**Proposition 4.6.**  $C(U_6)$  is not a complete intersection.

*Proof.* Suppose that by contradiction  $C(U_6)$  is a complete intersection.

By Equation (4.4) and Corollary 2.7.2, the set of generators  $\{f_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i+1 < j \leq 6}$  of  $C(U_6)$  forms a regular sequence. And then by Corollary 2.7.1, its subsequence  $\{f_{1,3}, f_{1,4}, f_{2,4}, f_{2,5}, f_{3,5}, f_{3,6}, f_{4,6}\}$  is again a regular sequence, so

$$\text{codim}(f_{1,3}, f_{1,4}, f_{2,4}, f_{2,5}, f_{3,5}, f_{3,6}, f_{4,6}) = 7.$$

Consider the matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & x_{1,4} & x_{1,5} & x_{1,6} \\ & 1 & x_{2,3} & x_{2,4} & x_{2,5} & x_{2,6} \\ & & 1 & x_{3,4} & x_{3,5} & x_{3,6} \\ & & & 1 & x_{4,5} & x_{4,6} \\ & & & & 1 & x_{5,6} \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_{1,2} & y_{1,3} & y_{1,4} & y_{1,5} & y_{1,6} \\ & 1 & y_{2,3} & y_{2,4} & y_{2,5} & y_{2,6} \\ & & 1 & y_{3,4} & y_{3,5} & y_{3,6} \\ & & & 1 & y_{4,5} & y_{4,6} \\ & & & & 1 & y_{5,6} \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By letting  $x_{2,3} = x_{4,5} = y_{2,3} = y_{4,5} = 0$  (where this can be viewed as the computation in the ring  $\frac{k[X, Y]}{(x_{2,3}, x_{4,5}, y_{2,3}, y_{4,5})}$ ), one has

$$(4.5) \quad XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_{1,2}y_{2,4} + x_{1,3}y_{3,4} & * & * \\ & 1 & 0 & -x_{3,4}y_{1,3} - x_{2,4}y_{1,2} & 0 & * \\ & & 1 & 0 & 0 & x_{3,4}y_{4,6} + x_{3,5}y_{5,6} \\ & & & 1 & 0 & -x_{5,6}y_{3,5} - x_{4,6}y_{3,4} \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the natural map

$$k[X, Y] \rightarrow k[X, Y]/(x_{2,3}, x_{4,5}, y_{2,3}, y_{4,5}),$$

Equation (4.5) implies the image of  $(f_{1,3}, f_{1,4}, f_{2,4}, f_{2,5}, f_{3,5}, f_{3,6}, f_{4,6})$  is  $(x_{1,2}y_{2,4} + x_{1,3}y_{3,4} - x_{3,4}y_{1,3} - x_{2,4}y_{1,2}) + (x_{3,4}y_{4,6} + x_{3,5}y_{5,6} - x_{5,6}y_{3,5} - x_{4,6}y_{3,4})$ .

This means the ideal generated by  $f_{1,3}, f_{1,4}, f_{2,4}, f_{2,5}, f_{3,5}, f_{3,6}, f_{4,6}$  is contained in the ideal

$$(x_{2,3}, x_{4,5}, y_{2,3}, y_{4,5}) + (x_{1,2}y_{2,4} + x_{1,3}y_{3,4} - x_{3,4}y_{1,3} - x_{2,4}y_{1,2}) + (x_{3,4}y_{4,6} + x_{3,5}y_{5,6} - x_{5,6}y_{3,5} - x_{4,6}y_{3,4}).$$

This ideal clearly has codimension  $\leq 6$  (Theorem 2.3, because the ideal is generated by 6 elements), hence we derive a contradiction.  $\square$

*Remark 5.* The proof of Proposition 4.6 also suggests that  $U_n$  for  $n \geq 6$  are not complete intersection, since for bigger  $n$ , the matrix generator (see Example 2.12) of  $U_n$  contains a  $6 \times 6$  matrix as its submatrix.

## REFERENCES

- [B1] V. Baranovsky, *The variety of pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices is irreducible*, Transform. Groups **6** (2001), no. 1, 3–8. MR1825165
- [B2] Roberta Basili, *On the irreducibility of commuting varieties of nilpotent matrices*, J. Algebra **268** (2003), no. 1, 58–80. MR2004480
- [B3] ———, *On commuting varieties of upper triangular matrices*, Comm. Algebra **45** (2017), no. 4, 1533–1541. MR3576674
- [BH] José Barría and P. R. Halmos, *Vector bases for two commuting matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **27** (1990), no. 3, 147–157. MR1064891
- [BRY1] Yuri Berest, Ajay C. Ramadoss, and Wai-kit Yeung, *Vanishing theorems for representation homology and the derived cotangent complex*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **19** (2019), no. 1, 281–339. MR3910582
- [BRY2] Yuri Berest, Ajay C. Ramadoss, and Wai-Kit Yeung, *Representation homology of simply connected spaces*, J. Topol. **15** (2022), no. 2, 692–744. MR4441602
- [BRY3] ———, *Representation homology of topological spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **6** (2022), 4093–4180. MR4391884
- [E] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry. MR1322960
- [G] Murray Gerstenhaber, *On dominance and varieties of commuting matrices*, Ann. of Math. (2) **73** (1961), 324–348. MR132079
- [GJ] Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009. Reprint of the 1999 edition [MR1711612]. MR2840650
- [GM] Sergei I. Gelfand and Yuri I. Manin, *Methods of homological algebra*, Second, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. MR1950475
- [GS1] Paul Goerss and Kristen Schemmerhorn, *Model categories and simplicial methods*, Interactions between homotopy theory and algebra, 2007, pp. 3–49. MR2355769
- [GS2] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*.
- [K] Allan Gerald Keeton, *Commuting varieties associated with symmetric pairs*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1996. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, San Diego. MR2693854
- [M] Hideyuki Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Second, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. MR1011461
- [MT] T. S. Motzkin and Olga Taussky, *Pairs of matrices with property L. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1955), 387–401. MR86781
- [Q] Daniel Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 205–295. MR258031
- [R] R. W. Richardson, *Commuting varieties of semisimple Lie algebras and algebraic groups*, Compositio Math. **38** (1979), no. 3, 311–327. MR535074
- [S] The Stacks project authors, *The stacks project*, 2024.
- [W] Charles A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR1269324