

# GENERATING ALL TIME-CHANGES PRESERVING DYNAMICAL ZETA FUNCTIONS

SAWIAN JAIDEE, JAKUB BYSZEWSKI, AND THOMAS WARD

ABSTRACT. We construct a set of topological generators for the monoid of time-changes preserving the space of dynamical zeta functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A ‘dynamical system’ for our purposes is simply a map  $T: X \rightarrow X$  on a set with the property that

$$\text{Fix}_n(T) = |\{x \in X \mid T^n x = x\}| < \infty$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $T^n$  denotes the  $n$ th iterate of  $T$ . Associated to any such system is the formal ‘dynamical zeta function’,

$$\zeta_T(z) = \exp \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\text{Fix}_n(T)}{n} z^n,$$

and we denote by  $\mathcal{Z}$  the set of all dynamical zeta functions. In many settings the variable  $z$  is taken to lie in  $\mathbb{C}$  and (for example) Tauberian arguments relate analytic properties of  $\zeta_T$  to orbit-growth properties of  $T$ ; for our purposes  $\zeta_T$  is best thought of as a formal power series in  $z$ . This set enjoys some algebraic properties:

- $\mathcal{Z}$  is closed under multiplication of functions in the usual sense (corresponding to disjoint unions of the underlying dynamical systems); cryptically we may write  $\zeta_T \zeta_S = \zeta_{T \sqcup S}$ ;
- $\mathcal{Z}$  is closed under a form of Hadamard multiplication (corresponding to Cartesian products of the underlying systems), which we may write as

$$(\zeta_T *_{\text{H}} \zeta_S)(z) = \exp \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\text{Fix}_n(T) \text{Fix}_n(S)}{n} z^n = \zeta_{T \times S}(z);$$

- $\mathcal{Z}$  is not closed under addition or composition (see Example 1).

---

*Date:* March 22, 2024.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37P35, 37C30, 11N32.

The named first author thanks Khon Kaen University for funding a research visit to Durham University in 2023.

To the memory of Patrick Moss, who passed away on the 17th of March 2024.

The set  $\mathcal{Z}$  has special properties because integer sequences of the form  $(\text{Fix}_n(T))_{n \geq 1}$  (the ‘realizable’ sequences) are far from arbitrary:

- $\text{Fix}_n(T) \in \mathbb{N}_0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- the sequence  $(\text{Fix}_n(T))_{n \geq 1}$  obeys an infinite family of congruence conditions (for example, the difference  $\text{Fix}_2(T) - \text{Fix}_1(T)$  is the count of points on the closed orbits of length 2 for  $T$  and hence is even);
- if  $\zeta_T$  is a rational function then the poles and zeros can only occur at reciprocals of algebraic integers (see [1, Prop. 1]).

Here we describe a topological generating set for the monoid of ‘symmetries’ or ‘time changes’ of  $\mathcal{Z}$  in the following sense. The second and third authors together with Patrick Moss introduced the following definition in [3]: Given a zeta function  $\zeta_T$  and a map  $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  we define the time-change of  $\zeta_T$  by  $h$  to be the formal function

$$(h * \zeta_T)(z) = \exp \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\text{Fix}_{h(n)}(T)}{n} z^n.$$

That is, if iteration of  $T$  is thought of as the passage of time, then  $h$  changes time for  $T$ , replacing  $T^n$  with  $T^{h(n)}$ . The function  $h * \zeta_T$  may or may not be a dynamical zeta function, and we define

$$\mathcal{P}(X, T) = \{h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid h * \zeta_T \in \mathcal{Z}\}$$

to be the allowed time-changes for the dynamical zeta function  $\zeta_T$  (or, equivalently, for the map  $T$ ). If  $h \in \mathcal{P}(X, T)$  then there is, by definition, another dynamical system  $T^{(h)}: X^{(h)} \rightarrow X^{(h)}$  with

$$\text{Fix}_n(T^{(h)}) = |\{x \in X^{(h)} \mid T^{h(n)}x = x\}|$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Some maps  $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  may have this preserving property for all dynamical systems, giving rise to a collection of maps

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigcap_{\{(X, T)\}} \mathcal{P}(X, T)$$

where the intersection is taken over all dynamical systems. What can be said immediately is that  $\mathcal{P}$  is not empty, because it contains the map  $h(n) = n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . It is also clear that it is closed under composition, and so defines a monoid with identity. The two main results in [3] are as follows:

- $\mathcal{P}$  is large in that it is uncountable, and
- $\mathcal{P}$  is small in that the only polynomials it contains are monomials  $n \mapsto an^b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Notice that the presence of monomials means that  $\mathcal{P}$  is not commutative. The maps  $n \mapsto 2n^2$  and  $n \mapsto 3n$  are in  $\mathcal{P}$  and do not commute with each other under composition, for example.

Here we wish to describe a family of topological generators of  $\mathcal{P}$  in the following sense. There is a natural topology on  $\mathcal{P}$  and we will exhibit families of maps  $\{g_{p,t} \mid p \in \mathbb{P}, t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{h_{p,t} \mid p \in \mathbb{P}, t \geq 0\}$  that together generate a dense sub-monoid of  $\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the set of rational primes.

**Example 1.** The full shift  $T$  on 2 symbols has  $\zeta_T(z) = \frac{1}{1-2z}$ . The Taylor expansion of  $\log\left(\frac{2}{1-2z}\right)$  has some non-integer coefficient, showing that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is not closed under addition. Similarly,  $\log\left(\frac{1}{1-2\left(\frac{1}{1-2z}\right)}\right)$  has some non-integer coefficient, showing that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is (unsurprisingly) not closed under composition.

## 2. NOTATION

The arguments below are elementary but intricate and potentially confusing. We will write composition of maps as

$$fg(n) = (f \circ g)(n) = f(g(n)),$$

and carry the formal product notation as far as writing  $\prod_{i \in I} f_i$  for a composition of functions indexed by an ordered finite set  $I$ . We write  $\mathbb{P}$  for the set of prime numbers written in their natural order as  $\mathbb{P} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots\}$ . For a prime  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  write  $p^k \parallel n$  for ‘exactly divides’, meaning that  $p^k \mid n$  but  $p^{k+1} \nmid n$ . We write  $\text{ord}_p(n)$  for the maximal power of  $p$  dividing  $n$ , so by definition  $p^{\text{ord}_p(n)} \parallel n$ , and we call  $p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}$  the  $p$ -part of  $n$ .

## 3. THE TOPOLOGY ON $\mathcal{P}$

A map  $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if it preserves two properties on sequences of non-negative integers  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ : If  $\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(n/d)a_d$  is non-negative and divisible by  $n$  for all  $n \geq 1$  then  $\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(n/d)a_{h(d)}$  is non-negative (property (S)) and divisible by  $n$  (property (D)) for all  $n \geq 1$ . We refer to the recent survey [2] for more on this and the context in which the two properties—the ‘Dold congruence’ (D) and the ‘sign condition’ (S)—sit. The space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of functions  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  inherits a natural topology of pointwise convergence, meaning that  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are close in  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $\min\{k \geq 1 \mid h_1(k) \neq h_2(k)\}$  is large, and the Dold and sign conditions are clearly closed, so  $\mathcal{P}$  is a closed sub-monoid of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

In order to illustrate the finite combinatorial nature of the ideas we will use and the meaning of the definition of  $\mathcal{P}$ , we also give a direct

proof that  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathcal{P}$  is open. If  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is not in  $\mathcal{P}$ , this means there is a dynamical system  $(X, T)$  that witnesses this fact via the statement  $f \notin \mathcal{P}(X, T)$ . That is, there is some dynamical system  $(X, T)$  for which  $f * \zeta_T$  is not a dynamical zeta function. That means (D) and (S) hold for the sequence  $(\text{Fix}_T(n))_{n \geq 1}$  but there is some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{d|k} \text{Fix}_T(f(d)) \mu(k/d) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$$

or

$$\sum_{d|k} \text{Fix}_T(f(d)) \mu(k/d) < 0.$$

If  $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is sufficiently close to  $f$ , then  $h(n) = f(n)$  for all  $n \leq k$ , and so the statement above means that  $(X, T)$  is also a witness to the statement  $h \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathcal{P}$ , showing that the complement of  $\mathcal{P}$  is open.

#### 4. THE MAPS $g_{p,t}$ AND $h_{p,t}$

In his thesis [4] Patrick Moss constructed several elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ , including:

- the map  $g_p$  defined by  $g_p(n) = \begin{cases} pn & \text{if } p \mid n \\ n & \text{if not} \end{cases}$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ; and
- the map  $n \mapsto n^2$ .

A natural question is to ask if the maps  $\{g_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  together generate a dense subset of  $\mathcal{P}$ , but this is clearly false. For example, for any constant  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the function  $n \mapsto k$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}$ , and such a map cannot be approximated by compositions of maps of the form  $g_p$ . The same holds for another almost trivial observation, namely that the map  $n \mapsto 2n$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}$  (this holds simply because  $T^{2n} = (T^2)^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ). Indeed it turns out that much finer control of how a function changes the primes dividing  $n$  is essential to approximate elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Definition 2.** For  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $t \geq 0$  define maps  $g_{p,t}$  and  $h_{p,t}$  by

$$g_{p,t}(n) = \begin{cases} pn & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) = t; \\ n & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) \neq t, \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_{p,t}(n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) < t; \\ np^{t - \text{ord}_p(n)} & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) \geq t. \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$\text{ord}_p(h_{p,t}(n)) = \min\{t, \text{ord}_p(n)\} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\text{ord}_p(g_{p,t}(n)) \neq t \quad (2)$$

for any  $n \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $t \geq 0$  we have  $g_{p,t} \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $h_{p,t} \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

In order to prove this we first assemble two elementary reductions in the criteria for membership in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *A map  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if  $f * \zeta_T \in \mathcal{Z}$  for any dynamical system  $T: X \rightarrow X$  comprising a single orbit.*

*Proof.* A single orbit means that  $(\text{Fix}_n(T)) = (\text{reg}_k(n))$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , where

$$\text{reg}_k(n) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \mid n; \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $(\text{reg}_k(f(n)))$  is realizable. For the reverse direction notice that properties (D) and (S) are additive, and the periodic orbits of any dynamical system simply comprise a disjoint union of single orbits.  $\square$

Lemma 4 gives a convenient way to test candidate functions for membership in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Example 5.** The function  $h: n \rightarrow n^n$  time-changes  $\text{reg}_8$  to the sequence beginning  $(0, 0, 0, 8, 0, 8, \dots)$  since  $8 \mid 4^4$  and  $8 \mid 6^6$  and  $8 \nmid k^k$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, 5$ . If there were a dynamical system  $(X, T)$  with this sequence of periodic point counts, it would have 2 orbits of length 4 and no shorter orbits, so  $6 \nmid \text{Fix}_T(6)$  is impossible.

**Lemma 6.** *A map  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the pre-image*

$$f^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f(n) \in k\mathbb{N}\} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{or} \\ d_f(k)\mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

for some  $d_f(k) \mid k$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4 it is enough to check the property implies that the sequence  $(\text{reg}_k(f(n)))$  is realizable for any  $k \geq 1$ . This sequence takes values in  $\{0, k\}$  and so could be identically zero. The first non-zero

term if one exists must be  $k$ , and must correspond to  $\frac{k}{d(k)}$  closed orbit of length  $d(k)$  for some  $d(k) \mid k$ . That is,

$$\text{reg}_k(f(n)) = \frac{k}{d(k)} \text{reg}_{d(k)}(n)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . It follows that  $f^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) = \emptyset$  or  $f^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) = d(k)\mathbb{N}$  for some  $d(k) \mid k$  as required.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.* Let  $k > 0$  and  $t \geq 1$  be integers. We claim that

$$h_{p,t}^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(k) \geq t + 1; \\ k\mathbb{N} & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(k) \leq t. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\text{ord}_p(k) \geq t + 1$  and suppose that there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that

$$h(n) = kn_1$$

for some  $n_1 \geq 1$ . If  $\text{ord}_p(n) < t$  then

$$\text{ord}_p(n) = \text{ord}_p(k) + \text{ord}_p(n_1) \geq t + 1,$$

a contradiction. If  $\text{ord}_p(n) \geq t$  then, since  $\text{ord}_p(h(n)) = t$  by (1), we have

$$t = \text{ord}_p(kn_1) \geq t + 1,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $h_{p,t}^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) = \emptyset$  if  $\text{ord}_p(k) \geq t + 1$ .

Now assume that  $\text{ord}_p(k) \leq t$  and  $n \geq 1$  has  $h_{p,t}(n) = kn_2$  for some  $n_2 \geq 1$ . If  $\text{ord}_p(n) < t$ , then  $n = h(n) = kn_2 \in k\mathbb{N}$ . If  $\text{ord}_p(n) \geq t$ , then

$$h_{p,t}(n) = np^{t-\text{ord}_p(n)} = kn_2$$

so  $n = kn_2 p^{\text{ord}_p(n)-t} \in k\mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $h_{p,t}^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) \subseteq k\mathbb{N}$ .

If  $h_{p,t}^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) \neq k\mathbb{N}$  then there is some  $m = kn \in k\mathbb{N}$  with  $h_{p,t}(m) \notin k\mathbb{N}$ . If  $\text{ord}_p(kn) < t$  then  $h_{p,t}(kn) = kn \in k\mathbb{N}$ , so we must have  $\text{ord}_p(kn) \geq t$ . Then

$$\text{ord}_p(h_{p,t}(kn)) = \min\{t, \text{ord}_p(kn)\} = t < \text{ord}_p(k)$$

since  $h_{p,t}(kn) \notin k\mathbb{N}$  means that the  $p$ -part of  $h_{p,t}(kn)$  is a strict divisor of the  $p$ -part of  $k$ . This contradicts  $\text{ord}_p(k) \leq t$ , so  $h_{p,t}^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) = k\mathbb{N}$ .

We claim that

$$g_{p,t}^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) = \begin{cases} k\mathbb{N} & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(k) = t \text{ or } \text{ord}_p(k) < t \text{ or } \text{ord}_p(k) > t + 1; \\ \frac{k}{p}\mathbb{N} & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(k) = t + 1. \end{cases}$$

Assume first that  $\text{ord}_p(k) = t$  and  $g_{p,t}(n) = kn_1$ . If  $\text{ord}_p(n_1) = 1$  then  $n = \frac{kn_1}{p}$  or  $n = kn_1$  so  $n \in k\mathbb{N}$ . By (2) we have  $\text{ord}_p(n_1) \neq 0$ , and if  $\text{ord}_p(n_1) > 1$  then  $n = kn_1 \in k\mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $g_{p,t}^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) \subset k\mathbb{N}$ . Clearly  $g_{p,t}(k\mathbb{N}) \subset k\mathbb{N}$ , so we have  $g_{p,t}^{-2}(k\mathbb{N}) = k\mathbb{N}$  as claimed.

If  $\text{ord}_p(k) = t + 1$  and  $g_{p,t}(n) \in k\mathbb{N}$  then  $pn \in k\mathbb{N}$  or  $n \in k\mathbb{N}$ , so  $g_{p,t}^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) \subset \frac{k}{p}\mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand  $g_{p,t}(k\mathbb{N}) = k\mathbb{N} \subset \frac{k}{p}\mathbb{N}$ , so

$$g_{p,t}^{-1}(k\mathbb{N}) = \frac{k}{p}\mathbb{N}.$$

If  $\text{ord}_p(k) < t$  or  $\text{ord}_p(k) > t + 1$  then  $g_{p,t}(n) = kn_1$  implies that either

$$\text{ord}_p(n) + 1 = \text{ord}_p(k) + \text{ord}_p(n_1)$$

or

$$\text{ord}_p(n) = \text{ord}_p(k) + \text{ord}_p(n_1).$$

In either case we deduce that  $\text{ord}_p(n) \neq t$ , so  $g_{p,t}(n) = n$  and therefore  $g_{p,t}(k\mathbb{N}) = k\mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

In order to see how these maps together generate other elements in  $\mathcal{P}$  we go through the four examples we have already seen, namely  $g_p$ , the squaring map, a constant map, and the doubling map. Notice that each of these maps can be written uniquely using prime factorizations in the form

$$\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{v_p} \longmapsto \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{d_p(v_p)}$$

for certain maps  $d_p: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Example 7.** The map  $g_p$  has  $d_p(v_p) = v_p + 1$  and  $d_q(v_q) = v_q$  for all primes  $q \neq p$ . The composition

$$g_{p,1}g_{p,2} \cdots g_{p,k}(n)$$

multiplies  $n$  by  $p$  if  $\text{ord}_p(n) \leq k$ , and so it converges to  $g_p$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Example 8.** The map  $n \mapsto n^2$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}$ , and has  $d_p(v_p) = 2v_p$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . To see how this works, notice first that  $n \mapsto g_{p,1}(n)$  squares the  $p$ -part of  $n$  if  $\text{ord}_p(n) \leq 1$ , and  $n \mapsto g_{p,1}g_{p,3}g_{p,2}(n)$  squares the  $p$ -part of  $n$  if  $\text{ord}_p(n) \leq 2$ , and so on. Thus

$$f_p(n) = \underbrace{g_{p,1}}_{\times p \text{ if } \text{ord}_p=1; \times p^2 \text{ if } \text{ord}_p=2; \times p^3 \text{ if } \text{ord}_p=3; \cdots \underbrace{g_{p,2k-1} \cdots g_{p,k+1}g_{p,k}}_{\times p^k \text{ if } \text{ord}_p=k}(n)$$

squares the  $p$ -part of  $n$  if  $\text{ord}_p(n) \leq k$ . So the composition

$$f_{p_k} f_{p_{k-1}} \cdots f_2(n)$$

squares the  $p$ -part of  $n$  if  $\text{ord}_p(n) \leq k$  for all primes  $p \leq p_k$ . That is, this composition agrees with  $n \mapsto n^2$  for

$$n \in \{p_1^{j_1} \cdots p_k^{j_k} \mid j_i \leq k \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

Thus  $n \mapsto n^2$  lies in the closure of the sub-monoid generated by the maps  $g_{p,t}$  and  $h_{p,t}$ .

**Example 9.** The map  $n \mapsto 2$  has  $d_2(v_2) = 1$  and  $d_p(v_p) = 0$  for all primes  $p \neq 2$ . We claim that for  $k \geq 3$  we have

$$h_{p_k,0}h_{p_{k-1},0} \cdots h_{7,0}h_{5,0}h_{3,0}h_{2,1}g_{2,0}(n) = 2$$

for all  $n < p_k$ . To see the claim, notice that the first map  $g_{2,0}$  multiplies by 2 if  $n$  is odd, resulting in an even number. Then  $h_{2,1}$  applied to any even number  $n$  gives  $n2^{1-\text{ord}_p(n)}$ , a number divisible by 2 exactly once. For any prime  $p < p_k$  the map  $h_{p,0}$  removes all powers of  $p$  that can be removed. It follows that  $n \mapsto 2$  lies in the closure of the sub-monoid generated by the maps  $g_{p,t}$  and  $h_{p,t}$ .

**Example 10.** The map  $n \mapsto 2n$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}$  (it corresponds to replacing  $T: X \rightarrow X$  with  $T^2: X \rightarrow X$ ). This map has

$$d_p(v_p) = \begin{cases} v_2 + 1 & \text{if } p = 2; \\ v_p & \text{if } p \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

To approximate this map, we need to multiply by 2 exactly once, and then make no further changes. Since  $n \mapsto g_{2,t}(n)$  multiplies by 2 only when  $\text{ord}_2(n) = t$ , we have

$$g_{2,0}g_{2,1}g_{2,2} \cdots g_{2,k}(n) = 2n$$

for all  $n < 2^{k+1}$ . It follows that  $n \mapsto 2n$  lies in the closure of the sub-monoid generated by the maps  $g_{p,t}$ .

The maps  $g_{p,t}$  and  $h_{p,t}$  have somewhat messy orbits on a given dynamical zeta function, but explicit calculations are possible for the simpler map  $g_p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Starting with a rational zeta function this produces algebraic dynamical zeta functions.

**Example 11.** The function  $\zeta_T(z) = \frac{1}{1-2z}$  is the dynamical zeta function of the full shift on 2 symbols. For a fixed  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{T(g_p)}(z) &= \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{pk}}{pk} 2^k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} 2^n - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{pk}}{pk} 2^{pk} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{1-2z^p} \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{1}{1-2z} \right) (1-2^p z^p). \end{aligned}$$

## 5. COMMUTATION RELATIONS

As mentioned earlier, the monoid  $\mathcal{P}$  is certainly not commutative, and this is reflected in properties of the maps  $g_{p,t}$  and  $h_{p,t}$ .

**Lemma 12.** *For  $p, p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $t, t_1, t_2 \geq 0$  the following relations hold.*



- (a)  $g_{p_1, t_1}$  and  $h_{p_2, t_2}$  commute if  $p_1 \neq p_2$ .
- (b)  $g_{p_1, t_1}$  and  $h_{p_2, t_2}$  commute if  $p_1 = p_2$  and  $t_1 \neq t_2$ .
- (c)  $g_{p_1, t_1}$  and  $g_{p_2, t_2}$  commute if  $p_1 \neq p_2$ .
- (d)  $h_{p_1, t_1}$  and  $h_{p_2, t_2}$  commute.
- (e)  $g_{p, t}$  and  $h_{p, t}$  do not commute but satisfy the relation

$$h_{p, t+1}(g_{p, t}(n)) = g_{p, t}(h_{p, t}(n)).$$

*Proof.* Referring to Definition 2, we may consider four cases:

$$\text{ord}_{p_1}(n) = t_1, \quad (3)$$

$$\text{ord}_{p_1}(n) \neq t_1, \quad (4)$$

$$\text{ord}_{p_2}(n) < t_2, \quad (5)$$

$$\text{ord}_{p_2}(n) \geq t_2. \quad (6)$$

For (a) we have  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and compute the composition in each of the four possible cases as follows:

case	$g_{p_1, t_1}(h_{p_2, t_2}(n))$	$h_{p_2, t_2}(g_{p_1, t_1}(n))$
(3)&(5)	$p_1 n$	$p_1 n$
(3)&(6)	$np_1 p_2^{t_2 - \text{ord}_{p_2}(n)}$	$np_1 p_2^{t_2 - \text{ord}_{p_2}(n)}$
(4)&(5)	$n$	$n$
(4)&(6)	$np_2^{t_2 - \text{ord}_{p_2}(n)}$	$np_2^{t_2 - \text{ord}_{p_2}(n)}$

For (b) some additional steps are required. For purposes of brevity we suppress the single prime  $p$  from the notation, writing  $g_{t_1}$  and  $h_{t_2}$ . The formula for  $h_{t_2}(g_{t_1}(n))$  falls into four cases as follows.

( $\alpha$ ) If  $\text{ord}_p(n) = t_1$  and  $t_1 + 1 < t_2$  then  $h_{t_2}(g_{t_1}(n)) = pn$ .

( $\beta$ ) If  $\text{ord}_p(n) = t_1$  and  $t_1 + 1 \geq t_2$  then

$$h_{t_2}(g_{t_1}(n)) = pnp^{t_2 - \text{ord}_p(pn)} = np^{t_2 - \text{ord}_p(n)}.$$

( $\gamma$ ) If  $\text{ord}_p(n) \neq t_1$  and  $\text{ord}_p(n) < t_2$  then  $h_{t_2}(g_{t_1}(n)) = n$ .

( $\delta$ ) If  $\text{ord}_p(n) \neq t_1$  and  $\text{ord}_p(n) \geq t_2$  then  $h_{t_2}(g_{t_1}(n)) = np^{t_2 - \text{ord}_p(n)}$ .

The formula for  $g_{t_1}(h_{t_2}(n))$  falls into three cases as follows.

( $\epsilon$ ) If  $\text{ord}_p(n) < t_2$  and  $\text{ord}_p(n) = t_1$  then  $g_{t_1}(h_{t_2}(n)) = pn$ .

( $\zeta$ ) If  $\text{ord}_p(n) < t_2$  and  $\text{ord}_p(n) \neq t_1$  then  $g_{t_1}(h_{t_2}(n)) = n$ .

( $\eta$ ) If  $\text{ord}_p(n) \geq t_2$  and  $t_2 \neq t_1$  then  $g_{t_1}(h_{t_2}(n)) = np^{t_2 - \text{ord}_p(n)}$ .

Notice that the fourth possibility ( $\text{ord}_p(n) < t_1$  and  $t_2 = t_1$ ) is excluded by the hypothesis that  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . To prove (b) we have to verify that all the cases agree.

- In ( $\epsilon$ ) we have  $t_1 < t_2$ . If  $t_1 + 1 < t_2$  then we match ( $\alpha$ ). If  $t_1 + 1 \geq t_2$  then  $t_1 + 1 = t_2$  since  $t_1 < t_2$ , so by ( $\beta$ ) we have

$$h_{t_2}(g_{t_1}(n)) = np^{t_2 - \text{ord}_p(n)} = np^{t_1 + 1 - \text{ord}_p(n)} = np$$

which matches up.

- The cases  $(\zeta)$  and  $(\gamma)$  coincide.
- Assume we are in case  $(\eta)$ . If  $\text{ord}_p(n) = t_1$  then  $t_1 \geq t_2$  so we have  $t_1 + 1 \geq t_2$  and we match  $(\beta)$ .
- If  $\text{ord}_p(n) \neq t_1$  then we match  $(\delta)$ .

The statement in (c) is clear.

For (d), the statement is clear if  $p_1 \neq p_2$  since  $h_{p,t}(n)$  only involves the  $p$ -part of  $n$ . If  $p_1 = p_2 = p$  then  $h_{p,t} = h_t$  only influences the  $p$ -part, so it is enough to calculate  $\text{ord}_p$  as follows. If

$$\text{ord}_p(n) \geq t_2 \geq t_1$$

then  $\text{ord}_p(h_{t_1}(h_{t_2}(n))) = t_1$ . If  $\text{ord}_p(n) \geq t_2$  and  $t_2 < t_1$ , then

$$\text{ord}_p(h_{t_1}(h_{t_2}(n))) = t_2.$$

If  $\text{ord}_p(n) < t_2$  and  $\text{ord}_p(n) \geq t_1$  then

$$\text{ord}_p(h_{t_1}(h_{t_2}(n))) = t_1.$$

If  $\text{ord}_p(n) < t_2$  and  $\text{ord}_p(n) < t_1$  then

$$\text{ord}_p(h_{t_1}(h_{t_2}(n))) = \text{ord}_p(n).$$

The same four cases for  $h_{t_2}(h_{t_1}(n))$  match up.

For (e) we compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{t+1}(g_t(n)) &= \begin{cases} h_{t+1}(pn) & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) = t; \\ h_{t+1}(n) & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) \neq t \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} pnp^{t+1-\text{ord}_p(n)} = pn & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) = t; \\ np^{t+1-\text{ord}_p(n)} & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) > t; \\ n & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) < t \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(h_t(n)) &= \begin{cases} g_t(n) & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) < t; \\ g_t(np^{t-\text{ord}_p(n)}) & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) \geq t \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} n & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) < t; \\ pnp^{t-\text{ord}_p(n)} = np^{t+1-\text{ord}_p(n)} & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) > t; \\ pn & \text{if } \text{ord}_p(n) = t \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

6. CHARACTERIZING  $\mathcal{P}$

In addition to Lemma 6, it will be useful to have another characterization of membership in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Proposition 13.** *A map  $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if it can be written in the form*

$$f: n = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{v_p(n)} \longmapsto \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{d_p(v_p(n))} \quad (7)$$

for functions  $d_p: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  satisfying the following properties

- (i)  $d_p(0) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .
- (ii)  $d_p$  is non-decreasing for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .
- (iii) If  $d_p$  is unbounded then  $d_p(i) \geq i$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .
- (iv) If  $d_p$  is bounded, then  $d_p(i) \geq i$  for all  $i \leq \max\{d_p(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . We claim that

$$m \mid n \implies f(m) \mid f(n) \quad (8)$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $f(m) \in f(m)\mathbb{N}$  the set  $f^{-1}(f(m)\mathbb{N})$  contains  $m$ , so by Lemma 6 we have

$$f^{-1}(f(m)\mathbb{N}) = d(f(m))\mathbb{N}$$

for some  $d(f(m)) \mid f(m)$ . If  $m \mid n$  it follows that  $n \in d(f(m))\mathbb{N}$  since  $m \in d(f(m))\mathbb{N}$ , so  $f(n) \in f(m)\mathbb{N}$  as claimed in (8).

We next claim that

$$\gcd(m, n) = 1 \implies f(mn) = \text{lcm}(f(m), f(n)) \quad (9)$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $k = \text{lcm}(f(m), f(n))$ , so  $f(m) \mid k$  and  $f(n) \mid k$ . Since  $m \mid mn$  and  $n \mid mn$  we have  $f(m) \mid f(mn)$  and  $f(n) \mid f(mn)$ , so  $k \mid f(mn)$ . Suppose that  $k \neq f(mn)$ , and pick  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $t \geq 1$  so that  $p^t \mid f(mn)$  and  $p^t \nmid k$ . Since  $p^t \mid f(mn)$  we have

$$f^{-1}(p^t\mathbb{N}) = \{\ell \geq 1 \mid f(\ell) \in p^t\mathbb{N}\} \ni mn,$$

so  $f^{-1}(p^t\mathbb{N}) = d(p^t)\mathbb{N}$  for some  $d(p^t) \mid p^t$ . Also  $f(mn) \in p^t\mathbb{N}$  so

$$mn \in f^{-1}(p^t\mathbb{N}) = d(p^t)\mathbb{N},$$

so  $d(p^t) \mid mn$ . Since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  it follows that  $d(p^t) \mid m$  or  $d(p^t) \mid n$ . We have  $f(d(p^t)) \in p^t\mathbb{N}$ , so if  $d(p^t) \mid m$  then  $p^t \mid f(d(p^t)) \parallel f(m)$  by (8). The same argument applies to  $n$ , so  $p^t \mid f(m)$  or  $p^t \mid f(n)$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $p^t \nmid k = \text{lcm}(f(m), f(n))$  and proves (9).

Next we claim that

$$p \mid \frac{f(n)}{f(1)} \implies p \mid n \quad (10)$$

for any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $n \geq 1$ . If  $n = 1$  the statement is trivial. If (10) is known for all prime powers, then given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we may factorize as  $n = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{v_p}$ . By (9) it follows that

$$\frac{f(n)}{f(1)} = \frac{\text{lcm}(f(2^{v_2}), f(3^{v_3}), \dots)}{f(1)},$$

so if a prime  $q$  divides  $\frac{f(n)}{f(1)}$  then  $q \mid \frac{f(p^{v_p})}{f(1)}$  for some  $p$  by (10) for prime powers, so  $q \mid n$ . Assume therefore that  $n = p^t$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $t \geq 1$  and there is a prime  $q \neq p$  such that  $q^s \parallel f(1)$  and  $q^{s+1} \mid f(p^t)$ , so

$$q \mid \frac{f(p^t)}{f(1)}.$$

Then  $f^{-1}(q^{s+1}\mathbb{N}) \ni p^t$  so  $f^{-1}(q^{s+1}\mathbb{N}) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence

$$f^{-1}(q^{s+1}\mathbb{N}) = d(q^{s+1})\mathbb{N}$$

for some  $d(q^{s+1}) \mid q^{s+1}$ . Since  $d(q^{s+1}) \mid p^t$  we must have  $d(q^{s+1}) = 1$ , so  $f(1) \in q^{s+1}\mathbb{N}$ , contradicting the assumption that  $q^s \parallel f(1)$ . This proves (10) for prime powers and hence for all  $n \geq 1$ .

The claims (8) and (10) imply that for each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  there is a non-decreasing function  $d_p: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $f(1) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{d_p(0)}$  and

$$f(p^t) = f(1)p^{d_p(t)-d_p(0)},$$

so in particular with  $d_p(0) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $p$ . By (9), it follows that  $f$  has the form in (7).

If for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  there are  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $d_p(j) \geq i$ , then the set  $f^{-1}(p^i\mathbb{N})$  contains  $p^j$ , so  $f^{-1}(p^i\mathbb{N}) = d(p^i)\mathbb{N}$  for some  $d(p^i)$  which must divide both  $p^i$  and  $p^j$ , so  $d(p^i) = p^\ell$  for some  $\ell$  with

$$0 \leq \ell \leq \min\{i, j\}.$$

It follows that

$$f(d(p^i)) = f(p^\ell) = f(1)p^{d_p(\ell)-d_p(0)} \in p^i\mathbb{N},$$

so  $d_p(j) \geq d_p(\ell) \geq i$ . This shows that  $f$  satisfies (iii) and (iv).  $\square$

## 7. GENERATING $\mathcal{P}$

Our main result is in effect a generalization of Examples 7–10 to find topological generators of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Theorem 14.** *The monoid  $\mathcal{P}'$  generated by the maps  $g_{p,t}$  and  $h_{p,t}$  for  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $t \geq 0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* Using the commutation relations in Lemma 12 any term  $h_*$  can be moved left in any word in  $\mathcal{P}'$ , and then the terms can be grouped

according to the prime involved. That is, any element of  $\mathcal{P}'$  has the form

$$\prod_{p \in \mathbb{S}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}} \prod_* h_{p,*} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{S}_2 \subset \mathbb{P}} \prod_* g_{p,*} \tag{11}$$

where  $\prod_*$  denotes a composition of finitely many choices for the parameter  $t$  and  $\mathbb{S}_1, \mathbb{S}_2$  are finite sets of primes. Given  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ , we may write it in the form (7) from Lemma 13.

For any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  with unbounded  $d_p$  and  $t \geq 0$  with  $d_p(t) \geq 1$  we may use a composition

$$\prod_* g_{p,*} = g_{p,t} g_{p,t+1} \cdots g_{p,d_p(t)-2} g_{p,d_p(t)-1} \tag{12}$$

to multiply  $n$  by  $p^{d_p(t)-t}$  if  $\text{ord}_p(n) = t$  as required. We compose expressions of this form for  $t = 0, 1, \dots, t^*$  and for all primes  $p < t^*$  say.

If  $d_p$  is bounded with  $d_p(v_p) = M_p$  for all  $v_p > t'$  then we compose terms (12) for  $t = 0, 1, \dots, t'$  and for each such  $p$ . This is composed with the map  $h_{p,t'}$  to reduce any higher power of  $p$  to  $p^{t'}$  and with  $h_{q,0}$  for

$$q \in \{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid q < p_{t^*}, d_p(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}.$$

Composing all these maps as in (11) produces a map whose value at  $n$  agrees with  $f$  as long as  $\text{ord}_p(n) \leq t^*$  for all  $p < t^*$  and  $n < t^*$ . As  $t^*$  was arbitrary we can approximate  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  by elements of  $\mathcal{P}'$ .  $\square$

A natural question is to ask if this is precise in the following sense: Does  $\mathcal{P}'$  have a presentation with generators from Definition 2 and relations from Lemma 12?

REFERENCES

[1] R. Bowen and O. E. Lanford, III. Zeta functions of restrictions of the shift transformation. In *Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vols. XIV, XV, XVI, Berkeley, Calif., 1968)*, pages 43–49. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970.

[2] J. Byszewski, G. Graff, and T. Ward. Dold sequences, periodic points, and dynamics. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 53(5):1263–1298, 2021.

[3] S. Jaidee, P. Moss, and T. Ward. Time-changes preserving zeta functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147(10):4425–4438, 2019.

[4] P. Moss. *The arithmetic of realizable sequences*. PhD thesis, University of East Anglia, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KHON KAEN UNIVERSITY, THAILAND

*Email address:* `jsawia@kku.ac.th`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, KRAKOW, POLAND

*Email address:* `jakub.byszewski@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, ENGLAND

*Email address:* `tbward@gmail.com`