

# Lattice Polaron in a Bose-Einstein Condensate of Hard-Core Bosons

Moroni Santiago-García,<sup>1</sup> Shunashi G. Castillo-López,<sup>2</sup> and Arturo Camacho-Guardian<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica,  
Calle Luis Enrique Erro No.1 Santa María Tonantzintla, Puebla CP 72840, Mexico*

<sup>2</sup>*Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,  
Apartado Postal 20-364, Ciudad de México C.P. 01000, Mexico*

(Dated: March 26, 2024)

Lattice polarons, quasiparticles arising from the interaction between an impurity and its surrounding bosonic environment confined to a lattice system, have emerged as a platform for generating complex few-body states, probing many-body phenomena, and addressing long-standing problems in physics. In this study, we employ a variational ansatz to investigate the quasiparticle and spectral properties of an impurity coupled to a condensate gas of hard-core bosons in a two-dimensional optical lattice. Our findings demonstrate that the polaron features can be tuned by adjusting the filling factor of the bath, revealing intriguing polaron characteristics in the strongly interacting regime. These results offer valuable insights for lattice polaron experiments with ultracold gases and can serve as a guide for new experiments in emergent quantum devices, such as moiré materials, where optical excitations can be described in terms of hard-core bosons.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ultracold gases have served as a robust platform for quantum simulation of exotic many-body physics [1–4]. This permitted the realization of quantum analogs of well-understood phenomena [5, 6], as well as the exploration of physics beyond the accessible regimes in condensed matter physics. Nowadays, the versatility of these systems allows for addressing concise proposals for long-standing open problems such as high- $T_c$  superconductivity. Bose polaron physics in ultracold gases has attracted much attention given this context, and it has dramatically stimulated new theoretical and numerical approaches [7–29] to understanding the experimental realization of this phenomenon far beyond its original formulation [30–37].

In optical lattices, impurity physics has renewed interest in probing the Mott-insulator to superfluid transition [38], topological phases [39–41], band geometry [42], magnetic polarons [43–47], few-body physics [48], non-equilibrium dynamics [49], and polaron physics in strongly correlated Fermi-Hubbard models [50]. The study of lattice polarons is further motivated by the advances in quantum gas microscopy, which enables the imaging of individual atoms [51, 52], providing intricate spatial details of quantum states that complement traditional spectroscopic information [43, 53].

On the other hand, recent developments with two-dimensional van der Waals heterostructures place quantum gases into new territories where polaron physics and Bose-Fermi mixtures are being realized with control and tunability [54] with excitons and charge carriers (electrons and holes). Polaron physics has demonstrated to be a powerful tool to sense correlated phases of matter [55–59]. In these experiments, Bose-Fermi Hubbard




FIG. 1. (a) Schematic representation of the state describing a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) of hard-core bosons in terms of the Bloch sphere, the angle  $\theta$  determines the filling factor of the BEC. (b) Cartoon of the impurity (blue ball) coupled to the BEC of hard-core bosons (pink balls).

systems may arise as a consequence of an emergent moiré potential [60–62]. In multilayers, spatially indirect excitons (electron and hole sitting in different layers) can arise, imprinting strong dipole-dipole interactions, which can effectively lead to a Hubbard model sensitive to the intrinsic quasi-bosonic character of the excitations [63]. Indeed, for relevant experiments, the excitons in a moiré superlattice may behave as hard-core bosons, which have been predicted to exhibit superfluid [64] and supersolid phases [65].

Motivated by the progress with ultracold gases and the relevance in the new quantum materials, in this article, we study the strongly interacting impurity in a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) of hard-core bosons in the atomic context. For this purpose, we employ a variational ansatz to describe the spectral and quasiparticle properties of an impurity embedded in a gas of hard-core bosons. The character of the variational ansatz allows us to understand the coupling between the collective exci-

\* acamacho@fisica.unam.mx

tations of the hard-core gas and the impurity beyond the so-called Fröhlich-like Hamiltonian, which is only valid for weak impurity-boson interactions. Our results show that the quasiparticle properties and the emergence of the polaron branches can be tuned with the underlying properties of the bath and find that for low densities, the quasiparticle properties are governed by the beyond Fröhlich Hamiltonian.

Our results offer a benchmark for experiments with ultracold gases, where interactions between the bath and impurities can be tuned on demand. Furthermore, our study is motivated by recent experiments in van der Waals heterostructures, where moiré excitons and electrons can be tightly confined to a moiré superlattice and highly population Bose-Fermi mixture can be created to realize polaron physics. Our theoretical framework may provide valuable guidance for understanding these experimental systems.

## II. MODEL

Consider a single impurity coupled to a two-dimensional gas of bosons confined in a square optical lattice of  $N_s$  sites, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The Hamiltonian of the system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = & - \sum_{i=A,B} \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} \left[ (t_i \hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},i}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{r}',i} + \text{h.c.}) - \delta_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} \mu_i \hat{n}_{\mathbf{r},i} \right] \\ & + \frac{U_{BB}}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},B}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},B}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},B} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},B} \\ & + U_{AB} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{r},B} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{r},A}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the creation (annihilation) operation of the A/B atoms is denoted by  $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},A/B}^\dagger$  ( $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},A/B}$ ). We use index  $B$  for the two-dimensional Bose gas, while index  $A$  corresponds to the impurity. The tunneling coefficients are given by  $t_i$ , the chemical potentials by  $\mu_i$ , and we consider an on-site boson-boson interaction,  $U_{BB}$ , much larger than the tunneling,  $t_B$ , so we assume that the  $B$  atoms can effectively be regarded as hard-core bosons. The impurity boson interaction,  $U_{AB}$ , is also assumed to be short-range. Finally,  $\hat{n}_{\mathbf{r},i} = \hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},i}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},i}$  corresponds to the number operators.

Considering the majority  $B$  atoms as hard-core bosons implies explicitly forbidding double occupancy of sites. To obtain the ground state of the  $B$  gas and its collective excitations, we follow Refs. [66, 67], and we only briefly detail their proceeding. The idea is to account for the forbidden double occupancy of the  $B$  atoms by mapping the bosonic field operators into spin 1/2 operators; that is, we start by replacing  $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},B}^\dagger \rightarrow \hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger$ , and  $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},B} \rightarrow \hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}$ , where  $\hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger$  ( $\hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}$ ) creates (annihilates) a spin  $+\frac{1}{2}$  ( $-\frac{1}{2}$ ) at location  $\mathbf{r}$ . For  $U_{AB} = 0$ , the ground state of the system can be written as

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}=0,A}^\dagger |\psi(\theta)\rangle_{\text{BEC}}, \quad (2)$$

this considers an impurity at the bottom of the band on top of the gas of hard-core bosons, whose many-body wave-function is given by

$$|\psi(\theta)\rangle_{\text{BEC}} = \prod_{\mathbf{r}} \left[ \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \hat{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger \right] |0\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Here, the angle  $\theta$  is determined by a variational approach [66]:  $\cos\theta = \mu_B/4t_B$ , which defines the filling factor  $n_B = (\cos\theta + 1)/2$ .

To understand the coupling between the impurity and the majority bosons, we write the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) in terms of the collective excitations of the hard-core BEC. In turn, in momentum space, the Hamiltonian of the system is given by the sum of the following terms

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [\omega(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} + \epsilon_A(\mathbf{k}) \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k},A}] + \hat{H}_{AB}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$  and  $\hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}$  are the creation and annihilation bosonic operators describing the collective excitations of the BEC, respectively, with  $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = 2\sqrt{\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^2}$  and

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{2} (\cos^2\theta + 1) + 4t_B \right], \quad (5a)$$

$$\beta_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{1}{4} \sin^2\theta \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (5b)$$

Here,  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t_B [\cos(k_x a) + \cos(k_y a)]$ , where  $a$  is the lattice constant. The coupling between the impurity and the excitations of the BEC is described by  $\hat{H}_{AB}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{AB} = & \frac{U_{AB}}{2} (1 + \cos\theta) \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{r},A} \\ & - \frac{U_{AB}}{\sqrt{N_s}} \frac{\sin\theta}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}} (u_{\mathbf{q}} - v_{\mathbf{q}}) (\hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger + \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{q}}) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},A}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},A} \\ & - \frac{1}{N_s} U_{AB} \cos\theta \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}'} [u_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}} u_{\mathbf{k}'} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}'} \\ & - u_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}} v_{\mathbf{k}'} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger - v_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}} u_{\mathbf{k}'} \hat{\gamma}_{-(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q})} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}'} \\ & + v_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}} v_{\mathbf{k}'} \hat{\gamma}_{-(\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q})} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},A}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},A}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the coherence factors of the BEC,  $u_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ , are defined as

$$u_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}}{2\sqrt{\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^2}}}, \quad (7a)$$

$$v_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}}{2\sqrt{\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^2}}}. \quad (7b)$$

The impurity-boson Hamiltonian of Eq. (6) consists of three contributions: i) The mean-field term in the first line, ii) the Fröhlich-like interaction where a single collective excitation can be either absorbed or emitted (second

line), and iii) beyond Fröhlich contributions (third to fifth lines), which involve processes with two collective modes. Notice that for  $\theta \rightarrow \pi$ , the interaction Hamiltonian is completely governed by the beyond Fröhlich term.

Next, we propose a Chevy-like ansatz [48, 68, 69] for the ground state as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \left[ \phi_0 \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}=0,A}^\dagger + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \phi_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{q},A}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \right] |\psi(\theta)\rangle_{\text{BEC}}, \quad (8)$$

with the variational parameters  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_{\mathbf{q}}$ , obtained from the variational principle  $\delta\langle\Psi|\hat{H} - E|\Psi\rangle/\delta\phi_0^* = 0$  and  $\delta\langle\Psi|\hat{H} - E|\Psi\rangle/\delta\phi_{\mathbf{p}}^* = 0$ , respectively. This ansatz leads to the following set of equations:

$$\epsilon_{MF}\phi_0 - \frac{U_{AB}}{2\sqrt{N_s}} \sin\theta \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \phi_{\mathbf{p}}(u_{\mathbf{p}} - v_{\mathbf{p}}) = E\phi_0, \quad (9a)$$

$$\left[ \omega(\mathbf{p}) + \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^{(A)} + \epsilon_{MF} \right] \phi_{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{U_{AB}}{2\sqrt{N_s}} \sin\theta(u_{\mathbf{p}} - v_{\mathbf{p}})\phi_0 - \frac{1}{N_s} U_{AB} \cos\theta \sum_{\mathbf{p}'} (u_{\mathbf{p}}u_{\mathbf{p}'} + v_{\mathbf{p}}v_{\mathbf{p}'})\phi_{\mathbf{p}'} = E\phi_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad (9b)$$

where  $\epsilon_{MF} = n_B U_{AB}$  is the mean-field energy and  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^{(A)} = -2t_A[\cos(k_x a) + \cos(k_y a) - 2]$  is the impurity dispersion.

For the two-dimensional Bose polaron in homogeneous confinement, Chevy's ansatz in Ref. [70] has provided a very accurate description compared to quantum Monte Carlo calculations [71], and it is equivalent to the non-self-consistent T-matrix approximation [72]. Furthermore, the limitations of Chevy's ansatz for weakly interacting BEC are related to the formation of clusters around the impurity [13], clusters that, due to the bosonic nature of the atoms forming the BEC, can be arbitrarily large. The formation of large polaron clouds cannot be correctly captured with this ansatz. Here, however, we work in the opposite regime where these clusters are intrinsically prevented due to the hard-core nature of the bosons. Therefore, we expect this ansatz to be even more reliable with the hard-core constraint for the bath bosons.

### III. LATTICE POLARONS

We can now investigate the spectral features of the impurity coupled to the hard-core gas and the properties of the resulting lattice polaron. In particular, we perform calculations of the quasiparticle residue and the spectral function. The polaron energy is simply given by  $E$  since we set the ground state energy of the BEC and the impurity to zero. The quasiparticle residue,  $Z$ , is determined by the squared overlap between the interacting  $|\Psi\rangle$  and the non-interacting  $|\Phi_0\rangle$  states, the latter corresponding to the case where the impurity sits on top of the BEC without creating correlations, see Eq. (2):

$$Z = |\langle\Phi_0|\Psi\rangle|^2. \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, the spectral function of the impurity is defined by the expression

$$A(\mathbf{k} = 0, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[ \sum_{n=0} \frac{|\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_n\rangle|^2}{\omega - E_n + i\eta} \right], \quad (11)$$

where  $\{|\Psi_n\rangle\}$  is the set of eigenstates obtained from the diagonalization of Eqs. (9a) and (9b), and  $E_n$  denotes the corresponding eigenenergies. For visibility purposes, we add a small imaginary number,  $i\eta$ , to the spectral function. As we focus on the zero-momentum impurity, we simply denote  $A(\mathbf{k} = 0, \omega) = A(\omega)$ .




FIG. 2. Zero-momentum polaron energies as a function of the angle  $\theta$  for  $U_{AB} = -10.0$  (red line),  $U_{AB} = -5.0$  (blue line),  $U_{AB} = -1.0$  (black line),  $U_{AB} = 1.0$  (orange line) and  $U_{AB} = 5.0$  (purple line).

First, we study the zero-momentum polaron energy,  $E/t$ , as a function of the angle  $\theta$  (which varies the filling factor  $n_B$ ) for several values of the interaction strength  $U_{AB}$ . This is shown in Fig. 2, where we show for attractive impurity-boson interactions:  $U_{AB} = -10.0$  (red line),  $U_{AB} = -5.0$  (blue line), and  $U_{AB} = -1.0$  (black line) as well as for repulsive interactions  $U_{AB} = 1.0$  (dashed orange line) and  $U_{AB} = 5.0$  (dot-dashed purple line). Unless explicitly stated, all of our simulations are performed for a squared two-dimensional lattice of  $151 \times 151$  sites. We take  $t_A = t_B = t$ .

For attractive interactions in Fig. 2, we observe for small angles, i.e., close to unit filling factors, that the polaron energy simply saturates at  $U_{AB}$ . Physically, this is very intuitive: when the bosons fill the lattice, there is no longer a continuum of scattering processes, and the energy of adding an impurity is simply given by the energy cost of putting a boson and an impurity together, which is  $U_{AB}$ . With increasing angle, that is, decreasing the filling factor, scattering processes start to be allowed, then, the energy of the polaron decreases smoothly with the angle  $\theta$ . Interestingly, we find that when  $\theta \rightarrow \pi$ , that is,  $n_B \rightarrow 0$ , the energy of the polaron does not tend to zero. This is somewhat surprising because in the limit  $n_B \rightarrow 0$ , the problem can be regarded as a  $B$  atom placed in an

empty lattice, and one would expect that the energy of the polaron to approach zero as  $n_B \rightarrow 0$ . However, this is a partial description and it is not entirely valid in the strong coupling regime. To further understand this unexpected behavior, note that in Eq. (6), the mean-field and Fröhlich terms of the Hamiltonian vanish when  $\theta \rightarrow \pi$ , while the term beyond Fröhlich remains finite (last three lines in Eq. (6)). This term increases with  $U_{AB}$ , leading to a non-zero polaron energy for  $n_B \rightarrow 0$ . In this limit, both the mean-field and Fröhlich contributions become negligible, and the polaron state is completely dominated by the *beyond Fröhlich* term of the Hamiltonian, this is a genuine result of strong interactions.

For repulsive interactions, observe that close to unit filling ( $\theta = 0$ ), the energy of the polaron saturates to  $E \sim U_{AB}$ , as shown in Fig. 2. With increasing angle (decreasing filling factor), the polaron energy smoothly decreases and tends to zero as the filling factor approaches zero. Our findings suggest that for strong coupling, the repulsive and attractive polaron behave differently in the limit  $n_B \rightarrow 0$ .

To understand the quasiparticle character of the polaron states discussed above, we now turn to the polaron residue as defined in Eq. (10). In Fig. 3, we show the residue  $Z$  as a function of the angle  $\theta$  and the interaction strength  $U_{AB}/t$ . For weak attractive interactions,




FIG. 3. Quasiparticle residue,  $Z$ , for the zero-momentum polaron as a function of the interaction strength,  $U_{AB}$ , and the angle  $\theta$ .

we find that the polaron is well-defined with  $Z \sim 1$ . As the interaction strength increases, the polaron remains a well-defined quasiparticle for small angles (close to unit filling factors). In contrast, for small filling factors ( $\theta \rightarrow \pi$ ), the residue of the polaron starts dropping to zero. That is, the states we discussed previously, where

the energy of the ground-state remains non-zero in the limit  $n_B \rightarrow 0$ , have indeed very small residue and are consequently ill-defined quasiparticles. Note that in this same limit ( $n_B \rightarrow 0$ ), the residue of the repulsive polaron is close to one, meaning that in this case, this branch remains as a well-defined quasiparticle, contrary to the attractive polaron. On the other hand, we obtain that close to unit filling and for strong coupling, the attractive polaron remains well-defined whereas the repulsive polaron cedes its spectral weight and is no longer a well-defined quasiparticle. One should remark that the breakdown of the quasiparticle picture occurs only for strong interactions. For weak impurity-boson interactions, we obtain that the quasiparticle picture holds valid for all filling factors, including the limit cases of  $n_B \rightarrow 0$  and  $n_B \rightarrow 1$ .

Since our approach preserves the sum-rule  $\int A(\omega)d\omega = 1$ , if the polaron loses its residue, the spectral weight has to be distributed in high-energy excitations. To understand how the spectral weight is transferred into high-energy excitations, we plot in Fig. 4 the spectral function,  $A(\omega)$ , as a function of the frequency  $\omega/t$  for fixed  $U_{AB}/t = -5$  and several filling factor values.




FIG. 4. Spectral function,  $A(\omega)$ , as a function of the frequency  $\omega/t$  with  $U_{AB} = -5$  for  $\theta = \pi/4$  (red line),  $\theta = 3\pi/4$  (blue line),  $\theta = 7\pi/8$  (black line) and  $\theta = \pi - 0.05$  (purple line). With increasing angle (decreasing the filling factor), we observe that the polaron becomes ill-defined and cedes its spectral weight to the continuum of collective excitations.

Fig. 4 shows the evolution of the polaron peak with decreasing density (increasing  $\theta$ ) for strong impurity-boson interactions. For large filling factors (small angles), the polaron peak retains most of the spectral weight, as shown by the red line in Fig. 4; in this case, only the quasiparticle peak at  $\omega/t \approx U_{AB} = -5$  is visible in the spectral function. By decreasing the filling factor (increasing  $\theta$ ), we observe a reduction of polaron peak, as indicated by the blue and black curves for  $\theta = 3\pi/4$  and  $\theta = 7\pi/8$ , respectively. As the polaron peak shrinks, its spectral weight is transferred into high-energy excitations, these excitations are incoherent and consist of the continuum of excitations of our ansatz in Eq. (8); i.e.,

this continuum is formed by one collective excitation of the BEC and an impurity state with opposite momentum. Close to  $\theta = \pi$ , the polaron peak has disappeared and the quasiparticle residue vanishes, distributing its spectral weight in the continuum of excitations which become more visible (purple line).

Due to the confinement of the BEC and the impurity within an optical lattice, the continuum of excitations is bounded from above and below, and its width is given by  $W = 4t[2 + \sqrt{2(1 + \cos^2 \theta)}]$ , which depends on  $\theta$ . Note that the fading of the polaron in the strong coupling regime is a result that only the beyond Fröhlich term in the Hamiltonian of Eq. (6) can capture.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have studied the problem of an impurity coupled to a Bose-Einstein condensate of hard-core bosons in a two-dimensional optical lattice. Employing a variational ansatz to describe the formation of the Bose polaron for strong coupling, we unveiled the quasiparticle properties of the zero-momentum impurity and have shown the interplay between the strong impurity-boson interactions and the inherent collective excitations of the hard-core bosons which tune the quasiparticle features.

The ability to probe lattice systems site-by-site using quantum microscopy has increased interest in the study

of lattice polarons, which may serve as probes to measure quantum phase transitions [38], topological and geometric features [39–42], as well as following spatially non-equilibrium dynamics [49]. Entering into the strongly interacting regime may lead to the emergence of new few-body and many-body states [48].

Also, the recent experiments with van der Waals materials where excitons in moiré superlattices behave as hard-core bosons make our study relevant for moiré polarons, moiré polaritons, and probing strongly correlated phases with lattice polarons.

#### V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank David Ruiz-Tijerina for valuable discussions and comments to our manuscript. M. S. G acknowledges to Consejo Nacional de Humanidades, Ciencias y Tecnología (CONAHCYT) for the scholarship provided. A.C.G. acknowledges financial support from UNAM DGAPA PAPIIT Grant No. IA101923, and UNAM DGAPA PAPIIME Grants No. PE101223 and No. PIIF23. S.G.C-L acknowledges financial support from UNAM DGAPA PAPIIT Grant No. TA100724.

**Data availability statement.**— The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at the following URL/DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10841578>

- 
- [1] Immanuel Bloch, Jean Dalibard, and Sylvain Nascimbene, “Quantum simulations with ultracold quantum gases,” *Nature Physics* **8**, 267–276 (2012).
  - [2] I. M. Georgescu, S. Ashhab, and Franco Nori, “Quantum simulation,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 153–185 (2014).
  - [3] Christian Gross and Immanuel Bloch, “Quantum simulations with ultracold atoms in optical lattices,” *Science* **357**, 995–1001 (2017).
  - [4] Florian Schäfer, Takeshi Fukuhara, Seiji Sugawa, Yosuke Takasu, and Yoshiro Takahashi, “Tools for quantum simulation with ultracold atoms in optical lattices,” *Nature Reviews Physics* **2**, 411–425 (2020).
  - [5] Markus Greiner, Olaf Mandel, Tilman Esslinger, Theodor W Hänsch, and Immanuel Bloch, “Quantum phase transition from a superfluid to a mott insulator in a gas of ultracold atoms,” *nature* **415**, 39–44 (2002).
  - [6] Leticia Tarruell and Laurent Sanchez-Palencia, “Quantum simulation of the hubbard model with ultracold fermions in optical lattices,” *Comptes Rendus Physique* **19**, 365–393 (2018).
  - [7] Steffen Patrick Rath and Richard Schmidt, “Field-theoretical study of the bose polaron,” *Physical Review A* **88**, 053632 (2013).
  - [8] LA Peña Ardila and S Giorgini, “Impurity in a bose-einstein condensate: Study of the attractive and repulsive branch using quantum monte carlo methods,” *Physical Review A* **92**, 033612 (2015).
  - [9] Yulia E. Shchadilova, Richard Schmidt, Fabian Grusdt, and Eugene Demler, “Quantum dynamics of ultracold bose polarons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 113002 (2016).
  - [10] Fabian Grusdt, Kushal Seetharam, Yulia Shchadilova, and Eugene Demler, “Strong-coupling bose polarons out of equilibrium: Dynamical renormalization-group approach,” *Physical Review A* **97**, 033612 (2018).
  - [11] Rasmus Søgaard Christensen, Jesper Levinsen, and Georg M. Bruun, “Quasiparticle properties of a mobile impurity in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 160401 (2015).
  - [12] Arthur Christianen, J. Ignacio Cirac, and Richard Schmidt, “Phase diagram for strong-coupling Bose polarons,” *SciPost Phys.* **16**, 067 (2024).
  - [13] Jesper Levinsen, Luis A Peña Ardila, Shuhei M Yoshida, and Meera M Parish, “Quantum behavior of a heavy impurity strongly coupled to a bose gas,” *Physical Review Letters* **127**, 033401 (2021).
  - [14] Jesper Levinsen, Meera M Parish, and Georg M Bruun, “Impurity in a bose-einstein condensate and the efimov effect,” *Physical Review Letters* **115**, 125302 (2015).
  - [15] Mingyuan Sun, Hui Zhai, and Xiaoling Cui, “Visualizing the efimov correlation in bose polarons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 013401 (2017).
  - [16] Mingyuan Sun and Xiaoling Cui, “Enhancing the efimov correlation in bose polarons with large mass imbalance,” *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 022707 (2017).
  - [17] Arthur Christianen, J Ignacio Cirac, and Richard Schmidt, “Chemistry of a light impurity in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Physical Review Letters* **128**, 183401 (2022).

- [18] Arthur Christianen, J Ignacio Cirac, and Richard Schmidt, “Bose polaron and the efimov effect: A gaussian-state approach,” *Physical Review A* **105**, 053302 (2022).
- [19] Shuhei M. Yoshida, Shimpei Endo, Jesper Levinsen, and Meera M. Parish, “Universality of an impurity in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 011024 (2018).
- [20] Pietro Massignan, Nikolay Yegovtsev, and Victor Gurarie, “Universal aspects of a strongly interacting impurity in a dilute bose condensate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 123403 (2021).
- [21] Nikolay Yegovtsev, Pietro Massignan, and Victor Gurarie, “Strongly interacting impurities in a dilute bose condensate,” *Phys. Rev. A* **106**, 033305 (2022).
- [22] Magnus G. Skou, Thomas G. Skov, Nils B. Jørgensen, and Jan J. Arlt, “Initial dynamics of quantum impurities in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Atoms* **9** (2021), 10.3390/atoms9020022.
- [23] Hugo Cayla, Pietro Massignan, Thierry Giamarchi, Alain Aspect, Christoph I Westbrook, and David Clément, “Observation of  $1/k$  4-tails after expansion of bose-einstein condensates with impurities,” *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 153401 (2023).
- [24] K Knakkergaard Nielsen and LA Peña Ardila, “Critical slowdown of non-equilibrium polaron dynamics,” *New Journal of Physics* **21**, 043014 (2019).
- [25] Nils-Eric Guenther, Richard Schmidt, Georg M. Bruun, Victor Gurarie, and Pietro Massignan, “Mobile impurity in a bose-einstein condensate and the orthogonality catastrophe,” *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 013317 (2021).
- [26] G. Bighin, A. Burchianti, F. Minardi, and T. Macrì, “Impurity in a heteronuclear two-component bose mixture,” *Phys. Rev. A* **106**, 023301 (2022).
- [27] A. Camacho-Guardian, “Polaritons for testing the universality of an impurity in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Phys. Rev. A* **108**, L021303 (2023).
- [28] Ning Liu, “Weak and Strong Coupling Polarons in Binary Bose-Einstein Condensates,” arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2401.11808 (2024), arXiv:2401.11808 [cond-mat.quant-gas].
- [29] Felipe Isaule, “Functional renormalisation group approach to the finite-temperature bose polaron,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04197 (2024).
- [30] Nils B Jørgensen, Lars Wacker, Kristoffer T Skalmstang, Meera M Parish, Jesper Levinsen, Rasmus S Christensen, Georg M Bruun, and Jan J Arlt, “Observation of attractive and repulsive polarons in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Physical review letters* **117**, 055302 (2016).
- [31] Ming-Guang Hu, Michael J Van de Graaff, Dhruv Kedar, John P Corson, Eric A Cornell, and Deborah S Jin, “Bose polarons in the strongly interacting regime,” *Physical review letters* **117**, 055301 (2016).
- [32] LA Peña Ardila, NB Jørgensen, T Pohl, S Giorgini, GM Bruun, and JJ Arlt, “Analyzing a bose polaron across resonant interactions,” *Physical Review A* **99**, 063607 (2019).
- [33] Zoe Z Yan, Yiqi Ni, Carsten Robens, and Martin W Zwierlein, “Bose polarons near quantum criticality,” *Science* **368**, 190–194 (2020).
- [34] Magnus G Skou, Thomas G Skov, Nils B Jørgensen, Kristian K Nielsen, Arturo Camacho-Guardian, Thomas Pohl, Georg M Bruun, and Jan J Arlt, “Non-equilibrium quantum dynamics and formation of the bose polaron,” *Nature Physics* **17**, 731–735 (2021).
- [35] Magnus G. Skou, Kristian K. Nielsen, Thomas G. Skov, Andreas M. Morgen, Nils B. Jørgensen, Arturo Camacho-Guardian, Thomas Pohl, Georg M. Bruun, and Jan J. Arlt, “Life and death of the bose polaron,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, 043093 (2022).
- [36] AM Morgen, SS Balling, K Knakkergaard Nielsen, T Pohl, GM Bruun, and JJ Arlt, “Quantum beat spectroscopy of repulsive bose polarons,” arXiv (2023).
- [37] Jiří Etrych, Gevorg Martirosyan, Alec Cao, Christopher J Ho, Zoran Hadzibabic, and Christoph Eigen, “Universal quantum dynamics of bose polarons,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.14816 (2024).
- [38] VE Colussi, F Caleffi, C Menotti, and A Recati, “Lattice polarons across the superfluid to mott insulator transition,” *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 173002 (2023).
- [39] Fabian Grusdt, Norman Y Yao, D Abanin, Michael Fleischhauer, and E Demler, “Interferometric measurements of many-body topological invariants using mobile impurities,” *Nature communications* **7**, 11994 (2016).
- [40] A. Camacho-Guardian, N. Goldman, P. Massignan, and G. M. Bruun, “Dropping an impurity into a chern insulator: A polaron view on topological matter,” *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 081105 (2019).
- [41] D. Pimenov, A. Camacho-Guardian, N. Goldman, P. Massignan, G. M. Bruun, and M. Goldstein, “Topological transport of mobile impurities,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 245106 (2021).
- [42] Dimitri Pimenov, “Polaron spectra and edge singularities for correlated flat bands,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.15155 (2024).
- [43] Joannis Koepsell, Dominik Bourgund, Pimonpan Sompet, Sarah Hirthe, Annabelle Bohrdt, Yao Wang, Fabian Grusdt, Eugene Demler, Guillaume Salomon, Christian Gross, *et al.*, “Microscopic evolution of doped mott insulators from polaronic metal to fermi liquid,” *Science* **374**, 82–86 (2021).
- [44] F. Grusdt, M. Kánasz-Nagy, A. Bohrdt, C. S. Chiu, G. Ji, M. Greiner, D. Greif, and E. Demler, “Parton theory of magnetic polarons: Mesonic resonances and signatures in dynamics,” *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 011046 (2018).
- [45] Yao Wang, Annabelle Bohrdt, Shuhan Ding, Joannis Koepsell, Eugene Demler, and Fabian Grusdt, “Higher-order spin-hole correlations around a localized charge impurity,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 033204 (2021).
- [46] K. K. Nielsen, M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, T. Pohl, and G. M. Bruun, “Spatial structure of magnetic polarons in strongly interacting antiferromagnets,” *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 155136 (2021).
- [47] K. Knakkergaard Nielsen, “Exact nonequilibrium hole dynamics, magnetic polarons, and string excitations in antiferromagnetic bethe lattices,” *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 115144 (2022).
- [48] Shanshan Ding, G. A. Domínguez-Castro, Aleksí Julku, Arturo Camacho-Guardian, and Georg M. Bruun, “Polarons and bipolarons in a two-dimensional square lattice,” *SciPost Phys.* **14**, 143 (2023).
- [49] Felipe Isaule, Abel Rojo-Francàs, and Bruno Juliá-Díaz, “Bound impurities in a one-dimensional bose lattice gas: low-energy properties and quench-induced dynamics,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03070 (2024).
- [50] Ivan Amelio and Nathan Goldman, “Polaron spectroscopy of interacting fermi systems: Insights from exact diagonalization,” *SciPost Physics* **16**, 056 (2024).

- [51] Waseem S Bakr, Jonathon I Gillen, Amy Peng, Simon Fölling, and Markus Greiner, “A quantum gas microscope for detecting single atoms in a hubbard-regime optical lattice,” *Nature* **462**, 74–77 (2009).
- [52] Waseem S Bakr, Amy Peng, M Eric Tai, Ruichao Ma, Jonathan Simon, Jonathon I Gillen, Simon Foelling, Lode Pollet, and Markus Greiner, “Probing the superfluid–to–mott insulator transition at the single-atom level,” *Science* **329**, 547–550 (2010).
- [53] Timon A Hilker, Guillaume Salomon, Fabian Grusdt, Ahmed Omran, Martin Boll, Eugene Demler, Immanuel Bloch, and Christian Gross, “Revealing hidden antiferromagnetic correlations in doped hubbard chains via string correlators,” *Science* **357**, 484–487 (2017).
- [54] David A Ruiz-Tijerina, “Bose–fermi mixtures in 2d solid-state superstructures,” *Nature Materials* **22**, 153–154 (2023).
- [55] Yuya Shimazaki, Clemens Kuhlenskamp, Ido Schwartz, Tomasz Smoleński, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Martin Kroner, Richard Schmidt, Michael Knap, and Ata ç Imamoğlu, “Optical signatures of periodic charge distribution in a mott-like correlated insulator state,” *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 021027 (2021).
- [56] Tomasz Smoleński, Pavel E Dolgirev, Clemens Kuhlenskamp, Alexander Popert, Yuya Shimazaki, Patrick Back, Xiaobo Lu, Martin Kroner, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, *et al.*, “Signatures of wigner crystal of electrons in a monolayer semiconductor,” *Nature* **595**, 53–57 (2021).
- [57] Giacomo Mazza and Adriano Amaricci, “Strongly correlated exciton-polarons in twisted homobilayer heterostructures,” *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, L241104 (2022).
- [58] Ivan Amelio, N. D. Drummond, Eugene Demler, Richard Schmidt, and Atac Imamoglu, “Polaron spectroscopy of a bilayer excitonic insulator,” *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 155303 (2023).
- [59] Aleksı Julku, Shanshan Ding, and Georg M Bruun, “An exciton interacting with a moiré lattice–polarons, strings, and optical probing of spin correlations,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.03482 (2023).
- [60] Dante M Kennes, Martin Claassen, Lede Xian, Antoine Georges, Andrew J Millis, James Hone, Cory R Dean, DN Basov, Abhay N Pasupathy, and Angel Rubio, “Moiré heterostructures as a condensed-matter quantum simulator,” *Nature Physics* **17**, 155–163 (2021).
- [61] Di Huang, Junho Choi, Chih-Kang Shih, and Xiaoqin Li, “Excitons in semiconductor moiré superlattices,” *Nature Nanotechnology* **17**, 227–238 (2022).
- [62] Yanhao Tang, Lizhong Li, Tingxin Li, Yang Xu, Song Liu, Katayun Barmak, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Allan H MacDonald, Jie Shan, *et al.*, “Simulation of hubbard model physics in wse<sub>2</sub>/ws<sub>2</sub> moiré superlattices,” *Nature* **579**, 353–358 (2020).
- [63] Tsung-Sheng Huang, Peter Lunts, and Mohammad Hafezi, “Non-bosonic moiré excitons,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19931 (2023).
- [64] Benjamin Remez and Nigel R. Cooper, “Leaky exciton condensates in transition metal dichalcogenide moiré bilayers,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, L022042 (2022).
- [65] Aleksı Julku, “Nonlocal interactions and supersolidity of moiré excitons,” *Physical Review B* **106**, 035406 (2022).
- [66] K Bernardet, GG Batrouni, J-L Meunier, Guido Schmid, Matthias Troyer, and A Dorneich, “Analytical and numerical study of hardcore bosons in two dimensions,” *Physical Review B* **65**, 104519 (2002).
- [67] Moroni Santiago-García and Arturo Camacho-Guardian, “Collective excitations of a bose–einstein condensate of hard-core bosons and their mediated interactions: from two-body bound states to mediated superfluidity,” *New Journal of Physics* **25**, 093032 (2023).
- [68] F. Chevy, “Universal phase diagram of a strongly interacting fermi gas with unbalanced spin populations,” *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 063628 (2006).
- [69] Weiran Li and S. Das Sarma, “Variational study of polarons in bose-einstein condensates,” *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 013618 (2014).
- [70] Yasufumi Nakano, Meera M. Parish, and Jesper Levinsen, “Variational approach to the two-dimensional bose polaron,” *Phys. Rev. A* **109**, 013325 (2024).
- [71] L. A. Peña Ardila, G. E. Astrakharchik, and S. Giorgini, “Strong coupling bose polarons in a two-dimensional gas,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 023405 (2020).
- [72] Luis Fernando Cárdenas-Castillo and Arturo Camacho-Guardian, “Strongly interacting bose polarons in two-dimensional atomic gases and quantum fluids of polaritons,” *Atoms* **11**, 3 (2022).