

# String attractors and bi-infinite words

Pierre Béaur<sup>1</sup>, France Gheeraert<sup>\*2</sup>, and Benjamin Hellouin de Menibus<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Sciences du Numérique, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS,  
Orsay, France

<sup>2</sup>IMAPP, Radboud University of Nijmegen, Nijmegen, Netherlands

## Abstract

String attractors are a combinatorial tool coming from the field of data compression. It is a set of positions within a word which intersects an occurrence of every factor. While one-sided infinite words admitting a finite string attractor are eventually periodic, the situation is different for two-sided infinite words. In this paper, we characterise the bi-infinite words admitting a finite string attractor as the characteristic Sturmian words and their morphic images. For words that do not admit finite string attractors, we study the structure and properties of their infinite string attractors.

## 1 Introduction

A string attractor of a finite word (or sequence of symbols) is a set of positions which captures all the factors of this word, in the sense that every factor has an occurrence overlapping one of the positions. The size of such a set can be related to data compression techniques such as the Lempel-Ziv factorisation or the Burrows-Wheeler transform [KP18]. As such, string attractors (and in particular string attractors of minimal size) provide a common ground between various compression methods and open the door to new techniques.

Originating in the field of data compression algorithms, string attractors have quickly gained much traction in the combinatorics on words community as a simple enough object having a far from trivial behaviour when faced with operations such as concatenation.

Finding a string attractor of minimal size is known to be an NP-complete problem in general [KP18]. Therefore the search quickly turned to particular finite words, such as the prefixes of the Thue-Morse word [SS21, KMN<sup>+</sup>20] or standard Sturmian words [MRR<sup>+</sup>21]. Using combinatorial techniques, string attractors have also been used to characterise Sturmian and quasi-Sturmian (one-sided) infinite words [RRS22, CGR<sup>+</sup>23], two famous families of words which can be seen as the simplest interesting infinite words. These works consider string attractors for each of the prefixes of the infinite word, and not for the infinite word itself. The reason for this is simple: when naturally defining string attractors of one-sided infinite words, the only words admitting a finite string attractor are eventually periodic [RRS22], so this notion has limited interest. Indeed, a fixed position can cover a bounded amount a factors of each length, so a finite string attractor implies that the factor complexity is bounded.

However, the situation is different when considering two-sided infinite words as the number of length- $n$  factors covered by a fixed position is not necessarily bounded. This is a motivation to study bi-infinite words admitting a finite string attractor, which is the first goal of this paper. We obtain a characterisation (Theorem 34) of all bi-infinite words admitting a finite string attractor: they are eventually periodic or morphic images of characteristic Sturmian words. We moreover show that the factor complexity of such a word is strongly related to the minimal span (i.e., diameter) of its string attractors.

---

\*Corresponding author

This paper is organised as follows. First, we recall the usual notions of combinatorics on words and introduce string attractors in Section 2. Then, we study the string attractors of Sturmian words in Section 3, and of quasi-Sturmian words in Section 4. In particular, we characterise Sturmian and quasi-Sturmian shift spaces in Proposition 30: an aperiodic minimal shift space is Sturmian (resp., quasi-Sturmian) if and only if it contains an element having a string attractor made of two (resp., a finite number of) consecutive positions. This can be contrasted to the characterisation of one-sided Sturmian (resp., quasi-Sturmian) words as the aperiodic words for which (resp., having a suffix for which) infinitely many prefixes admit a string attractor made of two (resp., a finite number of) consecutive positions [RRS22, CGR<sup>+</sup>23]. The main result of this paper (Theorem 34) characterise words admitting a finite string attractor, and show that for shift spaces, we fall back to the periodic case.

After looking at finite string attractors, we consider infinite string attractors in Section 5, and attempt to define a notion of “smallest” infinite string attractor. However, we show in Propositions 36 and 38 that any recurrent word or minimal shift space admits arbitrarily sparse string attractors, implying that such a notion does not exist. We therefore turn our attention to the study of bi-infinite words and shift spaces having particular infinite string attractors (every arithmetic progression) and relate this to other dynamical properties.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Words, shift spaces and substitutions

An *alphabet*  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite set of symbols, called *letters*. The set of *finite words*, i.e., of finite sequences, over  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and it is naturally endowed with the concatenation. Finite words are indexed from 0 to  $|w| - 1$ . The *one-sided infinite words* over  $\mathcal{A}$  are the elements of  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and the *bi-infinite words* over  $\mathcal{A}$  are the elements of  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . For  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we sometimes write  $x = \cdots x_{-3}x_{-2}x_{-1}.x_0x_1x_2 \cdots$ . The *length* of a finite word  $w$  is the integer  $\ell$  such that  $w \in \mathcal{A}^\ell$ , we denote it  $|w|$ ; the empty word  $\varepsilon$  is the only word of length 0.

A word  $u$  is a *factor* of a finite or infinite word  $w$  if there exist words  $p, s$  such that  $w = pus$ . If  $p = \varepsilon$  (resp.,  $s = \varepsilon$ ), we moreover say that  $u$  is a *prefix* (resp., *suffix*) of  $w$ . If  $u \neq w$ , we also say that  $u$  is *proper*. The set of length- $n$  factors of  $w$  is denoted  $\mathcal{L}_n(w)$  and the set of all factors of  $w$  is denoted  $\mathcal{L}(w)$ . The *factor complexity* of a bi-infinite word  $x$  is the function  $p_x$  such that  $p_x(n) = \#\mathcal{L}_n(x)$  for all  $n$ . A factor  $u$  of  $w$  is *left-special* (resp., *right-special*) if there exist (at least) two different letters  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $au, bu \in \mathcal{L}(x)$  (resp.,  $ua, ub \in \mathcal{L}(x)$ ). It is *bispecial* if it is both left- and right-special. For a bi-infinite word  $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , we use the notation  $x_{[i, i+k]} = x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{i+k}$  and say that the factor  $x_{[i, i+k]}$  has an *occurrence* in  $x$  starting in  $i$ , ending in  $i + k$  and crossing every intermediary index. We naturally extend these notions to finite words.

A bi-infinite word  $x$  is:

- *purely periodic* if there exists  $p$  such that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_n = x_{n+p}$ ;
- *positively periodic* if there exist  $N$  and  $p$  such that, for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $x_n = x_{n+p}$ ;
- *negatively periodic* if there exist  $N$  and  $p$  such that, for all  $n \leq -N$ ,  $x_n = x_{n-p}$ ;
- *eventually periodic* if it is either positively or negatively periodic;
- *aperiodic* if it is not eventually periodic.

A bi-infinite word  $x$  is *recurrent* if every factor appears infinitely many times and it is *uniformly recurrent* if every factor appears with bounded gaps, i.e., for each  $u \in \mathcal{L}(x)$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $u$  is a factor of each  $v \in \mathcal{L}_n(x)$ .

**Remark 1.** *If  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is uniformly recurrent and eventually periodic, then it is purely periodic.*

A *shift space* is a subset of  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , closed for the product topology (when  $\mathcal{A}$  is endowed with the discrete topology) and stable under the *shift map*  $S: (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (x_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . The *orbit* of a bi-infinite word  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the

set  $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{S^k(x) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . The topological closure of this set is a shift space called the *orbit closure* of  $x$  and denoted  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$ .

A shift space is *aperiodic* if it does not contain any purely periodic words. It is *minimal* if the only shift spaces it contains are itself and  $\emptyset$ . Equivalently, a shift space is minimal if and only if it is the orbit closure of each of its elements. Moreover, the elements of a minimal shift space are all uniformly recurrent and have the same language.

A *substitution* is a monoid morphism  $\varphi$  from  $\mathcal{A}^*$  to  $\mathcal{B}^*$  such that  $\varphi(u) \neq \varepsilon$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{A}^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$  (this is sometimes called a *non-erasing morphism*). It is thus entirely determined by the images of the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  since  $\varphi(w) = \varphi(w_0) \cdots \varphi(w_{|w|-1})$ . We naturally extend substitutions to bi-infinite words by concatenation. We also define the image of a shift space as follows: if  $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a shift space, then  $\varphi(X) = \{S^k(\varphi(x)) \mid x \in X, 0 \leq k < |\varphi(x_0)|\}$ .

## 2.2 String attractors

**Definition 2** (String attractor). *Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and let  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . A factor  $w$  of  $x$  is covered by  $\Gamma$  if it has an occurrence crossing a position in  $\Gamma$ , i.e., there exists  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x_{\llbracket i, i+|w|-1 \rrbracket} = w$  and  $\llbracket i, i+|w|-1 \rrbracket \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . If every non-empty factor of  $x$  is covered by  $\Gamma$ , we say that  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of  $x$ .*

**Example 3.** *Consider the word  $x = \cdots 0000.1111 \cdots$ , i.e.,  $x_i = 0$  if  $i < 0$  and  $x_i = 1$  otherwise. Its language is  $\mathcal{L}(x) = \{0^i 1^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$ . Consider  $\Gamma = \llbracket -1, 0 \rrbracket$  and let  $0^i 1^j$  be a nonempty factor of  $x$ . We have  $x_{\llbracket -i, j-1 \rrbracket} = 0^i 1^j$ , and since  $0^i 1^j$  is nonempty,  $\llbracket -i, j-1 \rrbracket \cap \llbracket -1, 0 \rrbracket \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $0^i 1^j$  is covered by  $\Gamma$ . As this is true for every nonempty factor of  $x$ ,  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of  $x$ .*

The notion of string attractor of a finite or one-sided infinite word is defined similarly. However, the following result on one-sided infinite words, which is an alternative formulation of [RRS22, Proposition 6], is the reason why we chose to focus on the bi-infinite case for which the answer is not as direct.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $x$  be a one-sided infinite word. Then  $x$  admits a finite string attractor if and only if  $x$  is eventually periodic.*

We also consider string attractors of shift spaces defined as follows.

**Definition 5.** *Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a shift space. A set  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is called a string attractor of  $\mathbb{X}$  if  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of every  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ .*

When looking at finite string attractors of a word, we will also be interested in the minimal span.

**Definition 6** (Span). *The span of a set  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is defined as  $\text{span}(\Gamma) = \sup \Gamma - \inf \Gamma$ . The (string attractor) span of a bi-infinite word  $x$  is defined as*

$$\text{span}(x) = \inf\{\text{span}(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \text{ string attractor of } x\}.$$

*In particular,  $\text{span}(x) = \infty$  if and only if  $x$  admits no finite string attractor.*

The span was first introduced for finite words in [RRS22], where they study some of its combinatorial properties. We obtain similar results when looking at bi-infinite words. For example, the following proposition shows the link between span and factor complexity, and is a direct adaptation of [RRS22, Lemma 1]. The proof for bi-infinite words is similar but we give it here for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 7.** *For any bi-infinite word  $x$ , we have  $p_x(n) \leq n + \text{span}(x)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{span}(x)$  is infinite, the conclusion is direct. Therefore, let us assume that  $x$  has a finite string attractor  $\Gamma$  such that  $\text{span}(\Gamma) = \text{span}(x)$ , and let  $\gamma = \inf \Gamma$ , i.e.,  $\Gamma \subseteq \llbracket \gamma, \gamma + \text{span}(x) \rrbracket$ . Let  $n \geq 1$ . Since every  $w \in \mathcal{L}_n(x)$  is covered by  $\Gamma$ , it has an occurrence starting in  $\llbracket \gamma - n + 1, \gamma + \text{span}(\Gamma) \rrbracket$ . This implies that  $p_x(n) \leq \gamma + \text{span}(\Gamma) - (\gamma - n + 1) + 1 = n + \text{span}(\Gamma)$ .  $\square$

A string attractor of  $x$  induces a string attractor of the image  $\varphi(x)$  of  $x$  under a substitution  $\varphi$ . This gives a link between  $\text{span}(x)$  and  $\text{span}(\varphi(x))$  which can be deduced from the proof of [RRS22, Proposition 4] for finite words. When we only look at the span of bi-infinite words, we obtain the following simpler version.

**Definition 8.** Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a word and let  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  be a substitution. For any set  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote  $\varphi_x(\Gamma)$  the support of the images in  $\varphi(x)$  of the elements of  $\Gamma$ , i.e.,

$$\varphi_x(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} \text{supp } \varphi(x_i) \quad \text{where} \quad \text{supp } \varphi(x_i) = \begin{cases} \llbracket |\varphi(x_{\llbracket 0, i-1 \rrbracket})|, |\varphi(x_{\llbracket 0, i \rrbracket})| - 1 \rrbracket, & \text{if } i \geq 0; \\ \llbracket -|\varphi(x_{\llbracket i, -1 \rrbracket})|, -|\varphi(x_{\llbracket i+1, -1 \rrbracket})| - 1 \rrbracket, & \text{if } i < 0. \end{cases}$$

When the context is clear, we drop the subscript  $x$  in  $\varphi_x(\Gamma)$ .

**Proposition 9.** Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a bi-infinite word and  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  be a substitution. If  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is a string attractor of  $x$ , then  $\varphi_x(\Gamma)$  is a string attractor of  $\varphi(x)$ . In particular,  $\text{span}(\varphi(x)) \leq (\text{span}(x) + 1) \cdot \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |\varphi(a)| - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \in \mathcal{L}(\varphi(x))$ . By definition,  $w$  appears in some  $\varphi(u)$  where the factor  $u = u_0 \cdots u_{|u|-1}$  of  $x$  can be chosen minimal, i.e., the occurrence of  $w$  in  $\varphi(u)$  begins in  $\varphi(u_0)$  and ends in  $\varphi(u_{|u|-1})$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of  $x$ , there is an occurrence of  $u$  crossing  $\Gamma$  in  $x$ . Thus, by definition of  $\varphi(\Gamma)$ , an occurrence of  $\varphi(u)$  in  $\varphi(x)$  intersects  $\varphi(\Gamma)$  over all the positions of some  $\varphi(u_i)$ . This implies, by minimality of  $u$ , that  $w$  is covered by  $\varphi(\Gamma)$ .  $\square$

Similarly, we introduce the pre-image of a string attractor.

**Definition 10.** Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  be a substitution. For all  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote  $\varphi_x^{-1}(\Gamma)$  the set of positions of the letters in  $x$  whose images in  $\varphi(x)$  intersect  $\Gamma$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_x^{-1}(\Gamma) = & \{i \geq 0 \mid \llbracket |\varphi(x_{\llbracket 0, i-1 \rrbracket})|, |\varphi(x_{\llbracket 0, i \rrbracket})| - 1 \rrbracket \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset\} \\ & \cup \{i < 0 \mid \llbracket -|\varphi(x_{\llbracket i, -1 \rrbracket})|, -|\varphi(x_{\llbracket i+1, -1 \rrbracket})| - 1 \rrbracket \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

When the context is clear, we drop the subscript  $x$  in  $\varphi_x^{-1}(\Gamma)$ .

Those two definitions are related since we have

$$\Gamma = \varphi_x^{-1}(\varphi_x(\Gamma)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma \subseteq \varphi_x(\varphi_x^{-1}(\Gamma))$$

for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  and  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . In general, we do not have a direct equivalent to Proposition 9 since  $\Gamma$  being a string attractor of  $\varphi(x)$  does not directly implies that  $\varphi_x^{-1}(\Gamma)$  is a string attractor of  $x$ . In Lemmas 18 and 32, we will however provide such results for specific substitutions.

### 3 String attractors and Sturmian words

By Proposition 7, if the span of a bi-infinite word is 1, its factor complexity is at most  $n + 1$ ; this includes the well-studied family of Sturmian words. In this section, we characterise words of span 1, and give a full description of the span of Sturmian words.

While usually considered in their one-sided version, two-sided Sturmian words are defined as follows (see [Arn02, Section 6.2]).

**Definition 11** (Sturmian word). A bi-infinite word  $x$  over a binary alphabet is Sturmian if its factor complexity is given by  $p_x(n) = n + 1$  and  $x$  is aperiodic.

Equivalently,  $x$  is Sturmian if and only if it is aperiodic and balanced (i.e., for any two factors of the same length, the number of 0's in them differs at most by one). We naturally say that a shift space is *Sturmian* if it is the orbit closure of a Sturmian word.

Sturmian words are known to be uniformly recurrent, or equivalently, Sturmian shift spaces are minimal (see [Arn02, Proposition 6.3.16] for example). In particular, the elements of a Sturmian shift space are all Sturmian. Conversely, if a shift space of factor complexity  $n + 1$  is minimal, then it is Sturmian.

To study words of span 1, we first introduce some notations. Due to the link between factor complexity and left- (resp., right-) special factors, words of complexity  $n + 1$  have exactly one left- (resp., right-) special factor of each length.

**Definition 12.** *Let  $x$  be a bi-infinite word of factor complexity  $n + 1$ . We denote  $l_n(x)$  the only left-special factor of  $x$  of length  $n$ , and  $r_n(x)$  the only right-special factor of  $x$  of length  $n$ .*

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be of factor complexity  $n + 1$ . Then  $x$  has the string attractor  $\llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket$  if and only if  $x = \lim_n r_n(x).01 \lim_n l_n(x)$  or  $x = \lim_n r_n(x).10 \lim_n l_n(x)$ , i.e., if and only if  $x_{\llbracket -n, n+1 \rrbracket} = r_n(x)01l_n(x)$  for all  $n$  or  $x_{\llbracket -n, n+1 \rrbracket} = r_n(x)10l_n(x)$  for all  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket$  is a string attractor of  $x$  if and only if, for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the word  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket}$  contains all length- $(N + 1)$  factors of  $x$ . Moreover, since  $p_x(N + 1) = N + 2$ , each length- $(N + 1)$  factor appears exactly once in  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket}$ . We show that this occurs if and only if  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket} = r_N(x)01l_N(x)$  or  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket} = r_N(x)10l_N(x)$  for all  $N$ .

Let us first fix  $N$  and assume that for all  $n \leq N$ ,  $x_{\llbracket -n, n+1 \rrbracket}$  contains all length- $(n + 1)$  factors. With  $n = 0$ , we directly deduce that  $x_{\llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket} \in \{01, 10\}$ . If  $N > 0$ , then in particular  $x_{\llbracket -N+1, N \rrbracket}$  contains all length- $N$  factors of  $x$  exactly once. Consequently, it contains  $l_N(x)$  exactly once. By definition of  $l_N(x)$ ,  $0l_N(x)$  and  $1l_N(x)$  are length- $(N + 1)$  factors of  $x$  so, by hypothesis, they both appear in  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket}$ . Thus, there are two occurrences of  $l_N(x)$  in  $x_{\llbracket -N+1, N+1 \rrbracket}$ , and exactly one of them is in  $x_{\llbracket -N+1, N \rrbracket}$ . This implies that the other one is a suffix of  $x_{\llbracket -N+1, N+1 \rrbracket}$ , i.e., that  $x_{\llbracket 2, N+1 \rrbracket} = l_N(x)$ . We similarly show that  $x_{\llbracket -N, -1 \rrbracket} = r_N(x)$ , which proves that  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket} \in r_N(x)\{01, 10\}l_N(x)$ . As this is true for all  $N$ , this ends the proof of the first implication.

Let us prove the converse by induction on  $N$ . We prove the case  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket} = r_N(x)01l_N(x)$  (the other case is symmetric). For  $N = 0$ , the fact that  $x_0x_1 = 01$  directly implies that  $x_0x_1$  contains all the letters of  $x$ . Assume that the implication holds for  $N - 1$ , and let us prove it for  $N$ . Take  $w \in \mathcal{L}_{N+1}(x)$  whose length- $N$  suffix  $v$  is not left-special. By induction hypothesis,  $x_{\llbracket -N+1, N \rrbracket} = r_{N-1}(x)01l_{N-1}(x)$  contains all length- $N$  factors exactly once. Therefore,  $v$  is a factor of  $x_{\llbracket -N+1, N \rrbracket}$ , and since  $v$  is not left-special, any occurrence of  $v$  in  $x$  corresponds to an occurrence of  $w$ . This shows that  $w$  occurs exactly once in  $x_{\llbracket -N, N \rrbracket}$ , and as  $v \neq l_N(x) = x_{\llbracket 2, N+1 \rrbracket}$ ,  $w$  occurs exactly once in  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket}$ . We conclude that  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket}$  contains exactly once every length- $(N + 1)$  factor whose length- $N$  suffix is not left-special.

In particular, the only factor that might not appear in  $x_{\llbracket -N, N+1 \rrbracket}$  is  $0l_N(x)$ . By the factor complexity of  $x$ , this would imply that  $1l_N(x)$  appears exactly twice. Using the same argument on right-special factors, the only factor that might not appear is  $r_N(x)1$ , and in this case  $r_N(x)0$  appears exactly twice. Therefore, if all length- $N$  factors do not appear exactly once, then  $0l_N(x) = r_N(x)1$  and  $1l_N(x) = r_N(x)0$ . This is impossible: if  $N = 0$  we get  $0 = 1$ , and if  $N \geq 1$  we get that  $r_N(x)$  begins with both 0 and 1.  $\square$

This gives a characterisation of all non purely periodic words having a span of 1. Let us comment on this result in the context of Sturmian words. The condition imposed on  $x$  corresponds to the notion of characteristic Sturmian word, which are of particular importance (see [Arn02] for example).

**Definition 14** (Characteristic Sturmian word). *A Sturmian word  $x$  is upper (resp., lower) characteristic if*

$$x = \lim_n r_n(x).01 \lim_n l_n(x) \quad (\text{resp., } x = \lim_n r_n(x).10 \lim_n l_n(x)),$$

*i.e., if  $x_{\llbracket -n, n+1 \rrbracket} = r_n(x)01l_n(x)$  (resp.,  $r_n(x)10l_n(x)$ ) for all  $n$ . It is characteristic if it is lower or upper characteristic.*

Proposition 13 admits the following direct corollary.

**Corollary 15.** *An aperiodic word  $x$  is of span 1 if and only if it is, up to finite shift, a characteristic Sturmian word.*

In [BLS21], Barbieri, Labbé and Starosta implicitly obtain one direction of this corollary. Namely, they prove that characteristic Sturmian words form a so-called non-trivial indistinguishable asymptotic pair with difference set  $\{0, 1\}$ , and as such, they have the string attractor  $\llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket$ . Their proof relies on the interpretation of Sturmian words as mechanical words of irrational slope. The technique used here is inspired by a combinatorial result of Zamboni [Zam18].

In the remainder of the section, we show that the span of Sturmian words is either 1 or infinite. To do so, we use the following famous result stating that any Sturmian word can be generated using the two following substitutions (see [Arn02]):

$$L_0: \begin{cases} 0 \mapsto 0 \\ 1 \mapsto 01 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad L_1: \begin{cases} 0 \mapsto 10 \\ 1 \mapsto 1 \end{cases}.$$

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $x$  be a Sturmian word. There exist a non-eventually constant sequence  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , a sequence of Sturmian words  $(x^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a sequence  $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that, for all  $i$ ,  $x = S^{c_i} L_{a_0} \cdots L_{a_i}(x^{(i)})$ .*

This construction plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 19. Let us first remark that, if  $x^{(i)}$  is, up to finite shift, a characteristic Sturmian word, then so is  $x$ . More precisely, we have the following result.

**Lemma 17.** *Let  $x$  be an upper (resp., lower) characteristic word. Then  $SL_0(x)$  and  $SL_1(x)$  are two upper (resp., lower) characteristic words.*

*Proof.* We prove the case of a lower characteristic word  $x$  and of the substitution  $L_0$ ; the other proofs are symmetric. Recall first that  $SL_0(x)$  is Sturmian [Arn02, Lemma 6.3.5] and we have

$$SL_0(x) = L_0(\lim_n r_n(x))0.01L_0(\lim_n l_n(x)).$$

For the right-hand side, using the one-sided version of this result (see [Lot02, Lemma 2.3.13]), we have  $L_0(\lim_n l_n(x)) = \lim_n l_n(L_0(x)) = \lim_n l_n(SL_0(x))$ .

For the left-hand side, notice that the above remark implies that  $L_0(l_n)0$  is a left-special word of  $SL_0(x)$  for all  $n$ . For any Sturmian word  $y$ ,  $r_n(y) = l_n(y)^R$  where  $u^R$  denotes the reversal of  $u$ . Observe that, for all  $u$ , we have  $(L_0(u)0)^R = L_0(u^R)0$ . This implies that, for all  $n$ ,  $L_0(r_n(x))0 = (L_0(l_n(x))0)^R$  is a right-special word of  $SL_0(x)$  and therefore that

$$L_0(\lim_n r_n(x))0 = \lim_n r_n(SL_0(x)).$$

We conclude that  $SL_0(x)$  is a lower characteristic Sturmian word. □

We use the substitutive structure of Sturmian words to understand their string attractors; in particular, we want to obtain a string attractor of  $x$  from a string attractor of  $L_0(x)$  (resp.,  $L_1(x)$ ). Using Definition 10, we prove the following result specifically for  $L_0$ ; a similar result holds for  $L_1$  by reversing the roles of 0 and 1.

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $x = L_0(y)$ . Let  $\Gamma = \llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket$  be a string attractor of  $x$ .*

1. *There exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $L_0^{-1}(\Gamma) = \llbracket m, m+\ell \rrbracket$  where*

$$\ell = k - \#\{\text{occurrences of } 1 \text{ in } x_{\llbracket n+1, n+k \rrbracket}\}.$$

2. *The set  $L_0^{-1}(\Gamma) \cup \{m-1\}$  is a string attractor of  $y$ .*

3. *Furthermore,*

- *if  $x_{n-1}x_n \neq 00$ , we can remove the position  $m-1$ ,*
- *if  $x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} = 01$ , we can remove the position  $m+\ell$*

in  $L_0^{-1}(\Gamma) \cup \{m-1\}$  and the resulting set is still a string attractor of  $y$ .

*Proof.* The existence of  $m$  and  $\ell$  such that  $L_0^{-1}(\Gamma) = \llbracket m, m + \ell \rrbracket$  is a direct consequence of the fact that  $L_0$  is non-erasing. Let us now look at the value of  $\ell$ . By definition of  $L_0$  and of pre-images of string attractors, we find two words  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  such that  $x_{\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket} = \alpha L_0(y_{\llbracket m+1, m+\ell-1 \rrbracket})\omega$ . More precisely, if  $x_n = 1$ , then  $\alpha = 1$ , and  $\alpha = L_0(y_m)$  otherwise ; and if  $x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} = 01$ , then  $\omega = 0$ , and  $\omega = L_0(y_{m+\ell})$  otherwise. Equivalently,  $|\alpha| = 2$  if and only if  $x_{n+1} = 1$ , and  $|\omega| = 2$  if and only if  $x_{n+k} = 1$ .

Using the images under  $L_0$ , this entails that

$$k+1 = |x_{\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket}| = |\alpha| + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} |L_0(y_{m+i})| + |\omega| = |\alpha| + \ell - 1 + \#\{\text{occurrences of } 1 \text{ in } x_{\llbracket n+|\alpha|, n+k-|\omega| \rrbracket}\} + |\omega|.$$

We conclude that  $k = \ell + \#\{\text{occurrences of } 1 \text{ in } x_{\llbracket n+1, n+k \rrbracket}\}$  using the characterisation of  $|\alpha|$  and  $|\omega|$  above.

Let us prove the last two claims. Let  $w$  be a non-empty factor of  $y$ .

First assume that  $w$  ends with a 1. Any occurrence of  $w$  in  $y$  corresponds to an occurrence of  $L_0(w)$  in  $x$ , and due to the shape of the substitution  $L_0$ , the converse is true as well. As  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of  $x$ , there is an occurrence of  $L_0(w)$  crossing a position in  $\Gamma$ , proving that an occurrence of  $w$  crosses a position in  $L_0^{-1}(\Gamma)$ .

If  $w$  ends with a 0, we have the same correspondence between  $w$  in  $y$  and  $L_0(w)0$  in  $x$  (since  $w$  is followed by another letter in  $y$ , and every image begins with a 0). If  $L_0(w)0$  crosses a position in  $\llbracket n+1, n+k \rrbracket$ , then the conclusion follows as in the first case. If  $L_0(w)0$  only crosses position  $n$  in  $\Gamma$ , then the corresponding occurrence of  $w$  in  $y$  crosses position  $m-1$ . This shows that  $L_0^{-1}(\Gamma) \cup \{m-1\}$  is always a string attractor of  $y$ .

Moreover, the position  $m-1$  is only needed in this last case in which  $x_{n-1}x_n$  is the length-2 suffix of  $L_0(w)0$ , so  $x_{n-1}x_n = 00$ .

Now assume that  $x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} = 01$ , which means that  $y_{m+\ell} = 1$ . Let us show that the position  $m+\ell$  is superfluous, i.e., that every factor  $y_{\llbracket m+\ell, m+\ell+j \rrbracket}$ ,  $j \geq 0$ , has an occurrence in  $y$  crossing  $\llbracket m, m+\ell-1 \rrbracket$  or  $\llbracket m-1, m+\ell-1 \rrbracket$  depending on the string attractor obtained so far. The factor  $y_{\llbracket m+\ell, m+\ell+j \rrbracket}$  can be written as  $1w$  for some (possibly empty) factor  $w$ . Since 1 is not left-special in  $x$ , we have again a one-to-one correspondence between the occurrences of  $1w$  in  $y$  and the occurrences of  $1L_0(w)$  in  $x$  if  $1w$  ends with a 1 (resp., the occurrences of  $1L_0(w)0$  in  $x$  if  $1w$  ends with a 0). By assumption,  $1L_0(w)$  (resp.,  $1L_0(w)0$ ) is covered by  $\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket$ , and, as  $x_{n+k} = 0$ , it is in fact covered by  $\llbracket n, n+k-1 \rrbracket$ . As above, this implies that  $1w$  is covered by  $\llbracket m-1, m+\ell-1 \rrbracket$ , the position  $m-1$  being needed only when  $x_{n-1}x_n = 00$ .  $\square$

We naturally obtain the same result with  $L_1$  by replacing the condition  $x_{n-1}x_n \neq 00$  by  $x_{n-1}x_n \neq 11$ , and  $x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} = 01$  by  $x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} = 10$ . We give a complete description of the span of Sturmian words.

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $x$  be a Sturmian word. Then*

$$\text{span}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if, up to finite shift, } x \text{ is characteristic;} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*In particular, in every Sturmian shift space, there are infinitely countably many elements of span 1 and uncountably many of infinite span.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $\text{span}(x) = 1$  if and only if  $x$  is, up to finite shift, a characteristic Sturmian word is Corollary 15. In particular, in a Sturmian shift space, there are only countably many elements of span 1. Since a Sturmian shift space is uncountable, it remains to show that, if  $x$  is Sturmian, then  $\text{span}(x) \in \{1, \infty\}$ .

By Theorem 16, there exist a non-eventually constant sequence  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , a sequence of Sturmian words  $(x^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a sequence  $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that, for all  $i$ ,  $x = S^{c_i}(L_{a_0} \cdots L_{a_i}(x^{(i)}))$ . In particular,  $\text{span}(x) = \text{span}(L_{a_0} \cdots L_{a_i}(x^{(i)}))$  for every  $i$  so, as we are looking at the span and not the actual positions of the string attractors, we assume for simplicity's sake that  $x = L_{a_0} \cdots L_{a_i}(x^{(i)})$  for every  $i$ .

Assume that  $\text{span}(x)$  is finite. We first show that there exists  $i$  such that  $\text{span}(x^{(i)}) = 1$  by induction on  $\text{span}(x)$ . More precisely, we prove that, if  $\text{span}(x) \neq 1$ , then there exists  $i$  such that  $\text{span}(x^{(i)}) < \text{span}(x)$ , which is sufficient to conclude by induction applied on  $x^{(i)}$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , be a string attractor of  $x$  of minimal span. Let us now find a string attractor of  $x^{(0)}$ . We assume that  $x = L_0(x^{(0)})$  (the other case is symmetric). Lemma 18 directly implies  $\text{span}(x^{(0)}) < \text{span}(x)$  in the following cases:

- $\#\{\text{occurrences of } 1 \text{ in } x_{\llbracket n+1, n+k \rrbracket}\} \geq 2$ , or
- $\#\{\text{occurrences of } 1 \text{ in } x_{\llbracket n+1, n+k \rrbracket}\} = 1$  and  $(x_{n-1}x_n \neq 00 \text{ or } x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} = 01)$ , or
- $\#\{\text{occurrences of } 1 \text{ in } x_{\llbracket n+1, n+k \rrbracket}\} = 0$ ,  $x_{n-1}x_n \neq 00$ , and  $x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} = 01$ .

Denoting  $\llbracket m, m+\ell \rrbracket = L_0^{-1}(\Gamma)$ , the problematic cases are:

1. whenever  $x_{\llbracket n+1, n+k \rrbracket}$  contains exactly one occurrence of 1,  $x_{n-1}x_n = 00$ , and  $x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} \neq 01$ . Then by Lemma 18,  $x^{(0)}$  admits the string attractor  $\llbracket m-1, m+\ell \rrbracket$  with  $\ell = k-1$ . Moreover, we have  $x_{\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket} = 0^i 10^j$  with  $i \geq 1$ , and if  $j \geq 1$ , then  $x_{n+k+1} = 0$ . This implies that  $x_{\llbracket m-1, m+k-1 \rrbracket}^{(0)} = 0^i 10^j = x_{\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket}$ .
2. whenever  $x_{\llbracket n+1, n+k \rrbracket} = 0^k$  and  $(x_{n-1}x_n = 00 \text{ or } x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} \neq 01)$ . As  $\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket$  is a string attractor of  $x$ , we have  $x_{\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket} = 10^k$ . In particular,  $x_{n-1}x_n \neq 00$  so, by Lemma 18,  $x^{(0)}$  admits the string attractor  $\llbracket m, m+\ell \rrbracket$  with  $\ell = k$ . Moreover, by hypothesis,  $x_{n+k}x_{n+k+1} \neq 01$  and  $x_{n+k+1} = 0$ . This implies that  $x_{\llbracket m, m+k \rrbracket}^{(0)} = 10^k = x_{\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket}$ .

In both problematic cases,  $x^{(0)}$  has a string attractor  $\llbracket m', m'+k \rrbracket$  such that  $x_{\llbracket m', m'+k \rrbracket}^{(0)} = x_{\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket}$ . This stabilisation only happens when  $x_{\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket} \in \{0^i 10^j \mid i+j = k\}$ . In this case, we iterate the reasoning: since substitutions  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  alternate infinitely often, let  $N$  be such that  $x = L_0^{N+1}(x^{(N)})$ ,  $x^{(N)} = L_1(x^{(N+1)})$ , and  $x_{\llbracket p, p+k \rrbracket}^{(N)} = x_{\llbracket n, n+k \rrbracket}$  for some  $p$  such that  $\llbracket p, p+k \rrbracket$  is a string attractor of  $x^{(N)}$ . We now apply the same method for  $L_1$  by exchanging the roles of 0 and 1; since  $x_{\llbracket p, p+k \rrbracket}^{(N)}$  has at least two occurrences of 0 (we assumed  $k \geq 2$ ), we do not fall into the problematic case for  $L_1$ . This shows that  $\text{span}(x^{(N+1)}) < \text{span}(x)$  in all cases.

Iterating this process, we find  $i$  such that  $\text{span}(x^{(i)}) = 1$ . By Corollary 15,  $x^{(i)}$  is, up to finite shift, a characteristic Sturmian word. By iterating Lemma 17, we deduce that  $x$  is also a characteristic Sturmian word, so  $\text{span}(x) = 1$  again by Corollary 15.  $\square$

## 4 Finite string attractors

After studying words of span 1, we characterise words admitting finite string attractors. By Proposition 7, if a bi-infinite word  $x$  has a finite string attractor (or equivalently, if its span is finite), then its factor complexity is bounded by the linear function  $n + \text{span}(x)$ . For such words, we have the following dichotomy due to Coven.

**Proposition 20** ([Cov75]). *Let  $x$  be a bi-infinite word such that  $p_x(n) \leq n+k$  for some  $k$  and for all  $n$ . If  $x$  is not both positively and negatively periodic, then  $x$  is uniformly recurrent. In particular,  $x$  is aperiodic.*

It is easy to find finite string attractors for words that are both positively and negatively periodic. Namely, if  $x = S^k(\dots uuu.wvvv \dots)$ , then  $\llbracket -|u| - k, |wv| - k - 1 \rrbracket$  is a string attractor. In the following results, we find a string attractor of minimal span when  $x$  is not purely periodic (minimal span in the purely periodic case is not as straightforward).

**Lemma 21.** *Let  $w$  be a finite word, periodic of period  $p$  and  $q$  with  $p \neq q$ . If  $p, q \leq |w| < p+q$ , then  $\Gamma = \llbracket |w| - q, p - 1 \rrbracket$  is a string attractor of  $w$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u = w_{\llbracket 0, |w|-q-1 \rrbracket}$  and  $v = w_{\llbracket p, |w|-1 \rrbracket}$ , i.e.,  $u$  is the length- $(|w|-q)$  prefix of  $w$  and  $v$  is the length- $(|w|-p)$  suffix of  $w$ . Let  $w'$  be such that  $w = uw'v$ . By construction, the positions of  $\Gamma$  correspond to  $w'$  in  $w$ . Let us show that any non-empty factor  $f$  of  $u$  or of  $v$  has an occurrence in  $w$  crossing  $\Gamma$ .



Since  $|u| \neq |v|$ , let us assume that  $|v| < |u|$  (the other case is symmetric). By periodicity,  $u$  and  $v$  are both prefixes and suffixes of  $w$ , so that  $v$  is a prefix and a suffix of  $u$  and  $u$  is periodic of period  $|u| - |v|$ . Moreover, any factor of  $v$  is a factor of  $u$  so  $f$  is a factor of  $u$ . By periodicity of  $u$ , any factor of  $u$  has an occurrence starting in the first  $|u| - |v|$  letters. As  $u$  is both a prefix and a suffix of  $w$ , this implies that  $f$  has an occurrence in  $w$  starting in  $\Gamma$ , as illustrated in Figure 1.  $\square$




Figure 1: Proof that if  $f$  is a factor of  $u$ , then  $f$  has an occurrence starting in  $w'$ .

**Proposition 22.** *Let  $x$  be a bi-infinite word. If  $x$  is both positively and negatively periodic but not purely periodic, then  $x$  admits a finite string attractor and its factor complexity is eventually equal to  $n + \text{span}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us denote  $x_{\llbracket i, +\infty \rrbracket}$  the longest periodic suffix of  $x$  and  $p$  its minimal period, i.e.,  $x_n = x_{n+p}$  for all  $n \geq i$  but  $x_{i-1} \neq x_{i-1+p}$ . Similarly, let  $x_{\llbracket -\infty, j \rrbracket}$  be the longest periodic prefix of  $x$  and  $q$  its minimal period. Because  $x$  is non purely periodic, we have that  $j - i + 1 < p + q - 1$ : otherwise, using Fine and Wilf's theorem,  $x$  would be  $\text{gcd}(p, q)$ -periodic. Let us show that the set  $\Gamma = \llbracket j - q + 1, i + p - 1 \rrbracket$  is a string attractor of  $x$ .

The factors crossing  $\llbracket j - q + 1, i + p - 1 \rrbracket$  are trivially covered. Consider a factor  $f$  of  $x_{\llbracket -\infty, j - q \rrbracket}$ . By left periodicity,  $f$  has an occurrence ending in  $\llbracket j - q + 1, j \rrbracket$ . If it crosses  $\Gamma$ , we are done. Otherwise, this occurrence is included in  $\llbracket i + p, j \rrbracket$ . We consider two cases: if  $i \geq j - q + 1$ , then by right periodicity,  $f$  has an occurrence starting in  $\llbracket i, i + p - 1 \rrbracket \subseteq \Gamma$ . If  $i \leq j - q$ , then the word  $x_{\llbracket i, j \rrbracket}$  is both  $p$  and  $q$  periodic, and  $p, q < j - i + 1 < p + q - 1$ . Moreover, by aperiodicity of  $x$ , we have  $p \neq q$  by Fine and Wilf again, so we conclude by Lemma 21 that  $f$  has an occurrence crossing  $\Gamma$ . This shows that any factor of  $x_{\llbracket -\infty, j - q \rrbracket}$  is covered by  $\Gamma$ .

Similarly, any factor of  $x_{\llbracket i + p, +\infty \rrbracket}$  is covered, and so  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of  $x$ . In particular,  $\text{span}(x) \leq i - j + p + q - 2$ .

If the words  $x_{\llbracket j - q + 1, j \rrbracket}$  and  $x_{\llbracket i, i + p - 1 \rrbracket}$  are not (cyclic) conjugates, then by [Hei01, Theorem B], the factor complexity of  $x$  is eventually equal to  $n + i - j + p + q - 2$ . This implies that  $i - j + p + q - 2 \leq \text{span}(x)$  by Proposition 7, so  $\text{span}(x) = i - j + p + q - 2$ .

If  $x_{\llbracket j - q + 1, j \rrbracket}$  and  $x_{\llbracket i, i + p - 1 \rrbracket}$  are (cyclic) conjugates, denote  $x_{\llbracket j - q + 1, j \rrbracket} = uv$  and  $x_{\llbracket i, i + p - 1 \rrbracket} = vu$ . Then  $q = p$  and, by [Hei01, Theorem B], the factor complexity of  $x$  is eventually equal to  $n + i - j + p - 2$ . Observe that, if  $i + p - 1 \leq j$ , then  $x_{i-1} \neq x_{i+p-1} = x_{i+p-1-q}$  by minimality of  $i$  and by left periodicity. This is a contradiction with  $p = q$ , therefore  $i + p - 1 \geq j + 1$ . Let us show that the first  $q$  positions of  $\Gamma$  are not needed, i.e., that  $\Gamma' = \llbracket j + 1, i + p - 1 \rrbracket$  is a string attractor.

Any factor of  $x_{\llbracket -\infty, j \rrbracket}$  is a factor of  $x_{\llbracket i, +\infty \rrbracket}$  since the period words are (cyclic) conjugates. Let  $f$  be a factor of  $x_{\llbracket i + p, +\infty \rrbracket}$ . By right periodicity, it has an occurrence starting in  $\llbracket i, i + p - 1 \rrbracket$ . If it crosses  $\Gamma'$ , we directly conclude. Otherwise,  $i \leq j$  and this occurrence is included in  $\llbracket i, j \rrbracket$ . We consider the word  $x_{\llbracket i, i + p + |v| - 1 \rrbracket} = uvv$ , as represented in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Proof of the case where the left and right period words are conjugates and  $j \geq i$ . The grey portion represents the positions in  $\Gamma'$ .

Clearly,  $vuv$  is periodic of period  $p$ . Since  $x_{[i,j]}$  is a suffix of  $x_{[j-q+1,j]} = uv$  and a prefix of  $x_{[i,i+p-1]} = vu$  it is also a prefix and a suffix of  $vuv$ . Therefore,  $vuv$  is periodic of period  $|vuv| - (j - i + 1)$ . Now, we check that the conditions of Lemma 21 are fulfilled: first, the sum of the two periods is  $p + |vuv| - (j - i + 1) = |vuv| + (i + p - 1 - j) > |vuv|$ . Then, we check that the two periods are different: if they were equal, we would have  $p = |vuv| - (j - i + 1)$ , which entails that  $|v| = j - i + 1$ . Then  $x_{[i,j]} = v$ , and  $x_{[j+1,i+p-1]} = u = x_{[j-p+1,i-1]}$ , therefore  $x$  would be purely periodic, a contradiction.

Applying Lemma 21,  $\Gamma'$  is a string attractor of  $x$ , so  $\text{span}(x) = i - j + p - 2$ .  $\square$

We therefore focus on the case of aperiodic words of complexity bounded by  $n + k$  for some  $k$ , also called *quasi-Sturmian* words.

## 4.1 Quasi-Sturmian words

**Definition 23** (Quasi-Sturmian word). *A bi-infinite word  $x$  is quasi-Sturmian if it is aperiodic and there exist  $n_0 \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 1$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $p_x(n) = n + k$ .*

Note that, by Proposition 20, quasi-Sturmian words are uniformly recurrent. We naturally define quasi-Sturmian shift spaces as follows. In particular, they are minimal.

**Definition 24.** *A shift space is quasi-Sturmian if it is the orbit closure of a quasi-Sturmian word.*

Quasi-Sturmian words are closely related to Sturmian words through the following result. A substitution  $\varphi$  over a binary alphabet  $\{a, b\}$  is *acyclic* if  $\varphi(a)$  and  $\varphi(b)$  are not powers of the same word.

**Theorem 25** ([Hei01, Theorem 3.1]). *A word  $x$  is quasi-Sturmian if and only if there exist an acyclic substitution  $\varphi$ , an integer  $m$  and a Sturmian word  $y$  such that  $x = S^m \varphi(y)$ .*

However, we need a slightly stronger version of this statement (namely, we need more restrictions on  $\varphi$ ). The idea of the proof is closely related to the original one-sided proof of [Ale96, Cas97] and relies on Rauzy graphs.

**Definition 26** (Rauzy graph). *Let  $x$  be a bi-infinite word. The Rauzy graph of rank  $n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , of  $x$  is the graph  $\mathcal{G}_n(x)$  whose vertices are the length- $n$  factors of  $x$ , and there is an edge  $u \xrightarrow{a} v$  labeled by  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if there exists a letter  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $ua = bv$  is a length- $(n + 1)$  factor of  $x$ .*

In particular, for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $x$  labels an infinite walk on the graph  $\mathcal{G}_n(x)$ . Observe also that, in  $\mathcal{G}_n(x)$ , a path from  $w$  to  $v$  labelled by  $u$  is such that  $v$  is a suffix of  $wu$ . If moreover,  $w = v$  and the path does not go through the vertex  $w$ , i.e., the path forms a loop on the vertex  $w$ , then  $wu$  contains exactly two occurrences of  $w$ : at the beginning and at the end. We then say that  $u$  is a *return word* for  $w$ . These words are the building blocks of the derivation operation in symbolic dynamics and were extensively used in [Dur98] for example. We need the substitution version of this notion.

**Definition 27** (Return morphism). *Let  $w \in \mathcal{A}^+$ . A substitution  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  is a return morphism for  $w$  if  $\varphi$  is injective on  $\mathcal{A}$  and, for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $w\varphi(a)$  contains exactly two distinct occurrences of  $w$ , one as a prefix and one as a suffix. We say that  $\varphi$  is a return morphism if it is a return morphism for some  $w$ .*

We list below some of the properties of return morphisms; the proofs and more details can be found in [Ghe23].

**Proposition 28.** *Let  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  be a return morphism for  $w$ .*

1. *For any  $u \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $w$  is a suffix of  $w\varphi(u)$ .*
2. *The substitution  $\varphi$  is injective (on finite and infinite words).*
3. *Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . For any  $u \in \mathcal{L}(x)$ , any occurrence of  $u$  in  $x$  corresponds to an occurrence of  $w\varphi(u)$  in  $\varphi(x)$ , and conversely.*

Combining Rauzy graphs and return morphisms, we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 29.** *Let  $x$  be a quasi-Sturmian word. There exist a bispecial factor  $w$  of  $x$ , a substitution  $\varphi$ , a Sturmian word  $y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and an integer  $m$  such that  $x = S^m \varphi(y)$  and the following conditions are satisfied:*

1.  $\varphi$  is a return morphism for  $w$ ;
2.  $p_x(n) = n + |\varphi(0)| + |\varphi(1)| - |w| - 1$  for all  $n \geq |w|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k$  and  $n_0$  be such that  $p_x(n) = n + k$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . In other words,  $n_0$  is such that, for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $x$  has exactly one length- $n$  right-special factor  $r_n$  and one length- $n$  left-special factor  $l_n$ . Notice that  $l_n$  is a prefix of  $l_{n+1}$  and  $r_n$  is a suffix of  $r_{n+1}$ .

By Proposition 20, both  $l_n$  and  $r_n$  appear with bounded gaps in  $x$ . If no  $l_n$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ , was bispecial, it would mean that, for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $l_n$  is always uniquely extended to the right, and it must extend to  $l_{n+1}$ . As  $l_{n_0}$  appears infinitely many times in  $x$ , there would be an index  $n$  such that  $l_{n_0}$  is a prefix and suffix of  $l_n$ . Since the extension is unique at each step, iterating this process,  $x$  is positively periodic of period  $n - n_0$ . This is a contradiction so there is an index  $n_1 \geq n_0$  such that  $l_{n_1}$  is bispecial. We then write  $w = l_{n_1}$ .

Now, let us consider the Rauzy graph  $\mathcal{G}_{n_1}(x)$ . By construction, every vertex except  $w$  has one ingoing and one outgoing edge, and  $w$  has two of each. It follows that the graph  $\mathcal{G}_{n_1}(x)$  is made of two loops starting and ending in  $w$ ; see Figure 3. Let us define  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(a)$ ,  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ , are the labels of these two loops. Since  $\varphi(a)$  labels a path starting in  $w$ , the length- $n_1$  factors of  $w\varphi(a)$  correspond to the vertices of this path. In particular,  $w$  is a suffix of  $w\varphi(a)$  and  $w$  does not appear in  $w\varphi(a)$  except as a prefix or a suffix.

Moreover, as the two loops leave  $w$  with different edges,  $\varphi(0)$  and  $\varphi(1)$  begin with different letters, and as they return in  $w$  coming from two different vertices, the suffix  $w$  is preceded by different letters in  $w\varphi(0)$  and  $w\varphi(1)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $aw$  is a suffix of  $w\varphi(a)$ . We have proved that  $\varphi$  is a return morphism.




Figure 3: The graph  $\mathcal{G}_{n_1}(x)$ .

Finally, since  $x$  labels an infinite walk on  $\mathcal{G}_{n_1}(x)$ , there exist a word  $y$  and an integer  $m$  such that  $x = S^m \varphi(y)$ . Let us show that  $y$  is Sturmian. Since  $x$  is aperiodic in both directions,  $y$  is as well. We show that  $y$  has at most one right-special factor of each length, which implies that  $p_y(n) = n + 1$  for all  $n$ .

Assume by contradiction that there exist  $t_0$  and  $t_1$  two length- $n$  right-special factors of  $y$ . We assume that  $n$  is minimal, so that there is a word  $t'$  such that  $t_a = at'$ . Observe that, by definition of  $\varphi$ , for any  $u \in \mathcal{L}(y)$ ,  $w\varphi(u)$  is a factor of  $x$ . Therefore, for all  $a, a' \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $w\varphi(a)\varphi(t')\varphi(a')$  is a factor of  $x$ . Since  $\varphi(0)$  and  $\varphi(1)$  begin with different letters, and since  $aw$  is a suffix of  $w\varphi(a)$ , we conclude that  $aw\varphi(t')$  is right-special in  $x$  for all  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ . We have therefore two right-special factors of  $x$  of the same length which is at least  $|w| \geq n_0$  so this is a contradiction.

Let us show that  $p_x(n) = n + |\varphi(0)| + |\varphi(1)| - |w| - 1$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . In the construction of the substitution  $\varphi$ , we can see that  $p_x(n_1)$  is the number of vertices of the graph  $\mathcal{G}_{n_1}(x)$ , and  $|\varphi(0)|$  and  $|\varphi(1)|$  are the number of vertices in each loop, the loops having exactly one common vertex. It follows that  $p_x(n_1) = |\varphi(0)| + |\varphi(1)| - 1$ . Since  $|w| = n_1 \geq n_0$  and  $p_x(n) = n + k$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , this ends the proof.  $\square$

Note that we will also re-obtain the other implication of Theorem 25 using the string attractor span in Proposition 30.

## 4.2 Quasi-Sturmian words and string attractors

The proposition below sums up the results of the previous sections and gives a first characterization of quasi-Sturmian words in terms of string attractors.

**Proposition 30.** *Let  $x$  be an aperiodic uniformly recurrent bi-infinite word. The following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *there exists a word of finite span in the orbit closure of  $x$ ;*
2.  *$x$  is quasi-Sturmian;*
3. *there exist a return morphism  $\varphi$ , an integer  $m$  and a Sturmian word  $y$  such that  $x = S^m\varphi(y)$ ;*
4. *there exist an acyclic substitution  $\varphi$ , an integer  $m$  and a Sturmian word  $y$  such that  $x = S^m\varphi(y)$ .*

*Equivalently, let  $\mathbb{X}$  be an infinite minimal shift space. The following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *there exists  $x \in \mathbb{X}$  of finite span;*
2.  *$\mathbb{X}$  is quasi-Sturmian;*
3. *there exist a return morphism  $\varphi$  and a Sturmian shift space  $\mathbb{Y}$  such that  $\mathbb{X} = \varphi(\mathbb{Y})$ ;*
4. *there exist an acyclic substitution  $\varphi$  and a Sturmian shift space  $\mathbb{Y}$  such that  $\mathbb{X} = \varphi(\mathbb{Y})$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the result for a bi-infinite word, the case of a shift space is similar (noting that an infinite minimal shift space must contain an aperiodic word).

(1  $\Rightarrow$  2) If  $y \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$  has a finite string attractor, then, by Proposition 7,  $p_y(n) \leq n + \text{span}(y)$ . By minimality of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$ , we also have  $p_x(n) \leq n + \text{span}(y)$  so  $x$  is quasi-Sturmian or eventually periodic, we conclude since  $x$  is aperiodic by assumption.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  3) This is Proposition 29.

(3  $\Rightarrow$  4) As any return morphism is injective,  $\varphi$  is acyclic.

(4  $\Rightarrow$  1) Assume that  $x = S^m\varphi(y)$ . By Theorem 19, we find a Sturmian word  $z \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(y)}$  with  $\text{span}(z) = 1$ . Then  $\varphi(z) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$  and, by Proposition 9,  $\text{span}(\varphi(z))$  is finite.  $\square$

Using Proposition 29, we refine the above characterisation of quasi-Sturmian words in Theorem 33 by saying that a word is quasi-Sturmian of eventual factor complexity  $n + k$  if and only if there is a word of span  $k$  in its orbit closure. To do so, we leverage the strong recognisability properties of return morphisms to prove a precise link between the string attractors of  $x$  and of  $\varphi(x)$  whenever  $\varphi$  is a return morphism. For example, we have the following refinement of Proposition 9.

**Lemma 31.** *Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a bi-infinite word and  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  be a return morphism for some  $w \in \mathcal{L}(x)$  such that  $\varphi(x)$  is aperiodic. If  $\Gamma = \llbracket n, n + k \rrbracket$  is a string attractor of  $x$ , then  $\varphi(\Gamma)$  without its last  $|w|$  positions is a string attractor of  $\varphi(x)$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $\varphi(\Gamma)$  is a string attractor of  $\varphi(x)$  by Proposition 9; let us prove that it contains at least  $|w| + 1$  positions. Since  $\varphi$  is a return morphism, we have for all  $a \neq b \in \mathcal{A}$  that  $\varphi(ab) \neq \varphi(ba)$  and that  $w$  is a suffix of both  $w\varphi(ab)$  and  $w\varphi(ba)$ . It follows that  $|\varphi(ab)| \geq |w| + 1$ . As  $\Gamma$  covers all letters from  $\mathcal{A}$  (we assume that all letters of  $\mathcal{A}$  appear in  $x$ ), we deduce that  $\#\varphi(\Gamma) \geq \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}} |\varphi(c)| \geq |w| + 1$ .

By Proposition 28, the last  $|w|$  positions of  $\varphi(\Gamma)$  correspond to an occurrence of  $w$  in  $\varphi(x)$ . We denote  $\Gamma'$  the set  $\varphi(\Gamma)$  without its last  $|w|$  positions, which is not empty. Let us prove that  $\Gamma'$  is a string attractor of  $\varphi(x)$ : it suffices to prove that the non-empty factors of  $\varphi(x)$  having an occurrence starting in  $\varphi(\Gamma) \setminus \Gamma'$  are covered by  $\Gamma'$ .

First, consider the words  $u$  which are not factors of  $w$  but have an occurrence starting in  $\varphi(\Gamma) \setminus \Gamma'$ . Denote  $t$  the shortest prefix of  $x_{\llbracket n+k+1, \infty \rrbracket}$  such that  $u$  is a factor of  $w\varphi(t)$ , i.e., the leftmost occurrence of  $u$  starting

in  $\varphi(\Gamma) \setminus \Gamma'$  ends in the image of  $t_{|t|-1}$ . Note that, since  $u$  is not a factor  $w$ ,  $t$  is indeed not empty. This is represented in Figure 4. Since  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of  $x$ ,  $t$  has an occurrence in  $x$  crossing a position in  $\Gamma$ . This corresponds in  $\varphi(x)$  to an occurrence of  $\varphi(t)$  preceded by  $w$  and crossing  $\varphi(\Gamma)$ . Thus, by minimality of  $t$ ,  $u$  has an occurrence crossing  $\varphi(\Gamma)$ . This occurrence is to the left of the previous one, and therefore cannot begin in  $\varphi(\Gamma) \setminus \Gamma'$ , meaning that it crosses a position in  $\Gamma'$ . This shows that  $u$  is covered by  $\Gamma'$ .




Figure 4: Proof that if  $u$  is not a factor of  $w$  and has an occurrence starting in  $\varphi(\Gamma) \setminus \Gamma'$ , then it has another occurrence crossing  $\Gamma'$ . The grey portion represents the positions in  $\Gamma'$ .

Finally, consider the non-empty factors of  $w$  and start by observing that, as each occurrence of  $x_{[n, n+k]}$  in  $x$  corresponds to an occurrence of  $w\varphi(x_{[n, n+k]})$  in  $\varphi(x)$ , the letters corresponding to  $\Gamma'$  are both preceded and followed by  $w$  in  $\varphi(x)$ .

If an occurrence of  $w$  starts (resp., ends) in  $\Gamma'$ , either it is entirely included in  $\Gamma'$  (in which case all factors of  $w$  are covered by  $\Gamma'$ ), or its positions in  $\Gamma'$  correspond to a proper prefix (resp., proper suffix)  $m$  of  $w$ . In this second case, using the observation of the previous paragraph,  $w$  is periodic of period  $|m|$ , implying that every factor of  $w$  has an occurrence starting (resp., ending) in  $m$ , and is therefore covered by  $\Gamma'$ .

It remains to consider the case where no occurrence of  $w$  starts or ends in  $\Gamma'$ . As  $w$  is a suffix of  $w\varphi(x_n)$ , this means that  $\Gamma'$  corresponds to a proper prefix of  $\varphi(x_n)$ , so that  $\varphi(x_{[n+1, n+k]})$  is a proper suffix of  $w$ . Moreover,  $\varphi(x_n)$  must also be a proper suffix of  $w$  otherwise there is an occurrence of  $w$  starting in  $\Gamma'$ . This situation is represented in Figure 5.




Figure 5: The case where no occurrence of  $w$  begins or ends in  $\Gamma'$ . The grey portion represents the positions in  $\Gamma'$ .

In that case, let us denote  $w = u\varphi(x_n) = v\varphi(x_{[n+1, n+k]})$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of  $x$ , we have  $x_n \neq x_{[n+1, n+k]}$ . As a return morphism,  $\varphi$  is injective, so  $\varphi(x_n) \neq \varphi(x_{[n+1, n+k]})$  which implies  $u \neq v$ . Moreover,  $u$  and  $v$  are both prefixes and suffixes of  $w$  so  $|u| \neq |v|$ . One easily checks that  $w$  is periodic of period  $|w| - |u|$  and  $|w| - |v|$ , and that  $|w| > |u| + |v|$  so, by Lemma 21,  $\Gamma'$  captures every factor of  $w$ .  $\square$

If  $\varphi$  is a return morphism, we can also build a string attractor of  $x$  from a string attractor of  $\varphi(x)$ , as was done for the particular case of the substitutions  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  in Lemma 18.

**Lemma 32.** *Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a bi-infinite word and  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  be a return morphism for  $w$ . If  $\Gamma = [n, n+k]$  is a string attractor of  $\varphi(x)$ , then there exist  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\ell \geq 0$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(\Gamma) = [m, m+\ell]$ , and  $[m, m+\ell+|w|]$  is a string attractor of  $x$ .*

*Proof.* The existence of  $m$  and  $\ell$  is a direct consequence of the fact that, as a return morphism,  $\varphi$  is non-erasing. Let us show that  $[m, m+\ell+|w|]$  is a string attractor of  $x$  and let  $u$  be a non-empty factor of  $x$ . By hypothesis on the substitution  $\varphi$ , any occurrence of  $u$  in  $x$  corresponds to an occurrence of  $w\varphi(u)$  in  $\varphi(x)$ , and conversely. As  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of  $\varphi(x)$ , there is an occurrence of  $w\varphi(u)$  in  $\varphi(x)$  crossing a position in  $\Gamma$ . We consider two cases. First, if this position is in  $\varphi(u)$ , then there is an occurrence of  $u$  in  $x$  crossing a position in  $\varphi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ , and in particular in  $[m, m+\ell+|w|]$ . Second, if this position is in  $w$ , then as  $\varphi$  is non-erasing, this means that

there is an occurrence of  $u$  in  $x$  at most  $|w| - 1$  positions after  $\varphi^{-1}(\Gamma)$  so this occurrence crosses a position in  $\llbracket m, m + \ell + |w| \rrbracket$ .  $\square$

The following result is the quasi-Sturmian version of Theorem 19 on Sturmian words.

**Theorem 33.** *Let  $x$  be a quasi-Sturmian word such that  $p_x(n) = n + k$  for all large enough  $n$ . Then*

$$\text{span}(x) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } x = S^n\psi(y) \text{ where } y \text{ is a characteristic Sturmian word, } \psi \text{ is a substitution and } n \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*In particular, in every quasi-Sturmian shift space of eventual factor complexity  $n+k$ , there are infinitely countably many elements of span  $k$  and uncountably many of infinite span.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 29, take a return morphism  $\varphi$ , a Sturmian word  $y$  and an integer  $m$  such that  $x = S^m\varphi(y)$ . By Lemma 32, if  $x$  is of finite span, then  $y$  is of finite span; by Theorem 19,  $\text{span}(y) = 1$  and  $y$  is characteristic up to finite shift. Moreover, the positions of the string attractor correspond to 01 or 10. By Lemma 31, we have  $\text{span}(x) \leq |\varphi(0)| + |\varphi(1)| - |w| - 1$ , where  $w$  is the bispecial word used to define  $\varphi$ . By Proposition 29, we know that, for any large enough  $n$ ,  $p_x(n) = n + |\varphi(0)| + |\varphi(1)| - |w| - 1$ . Using the link between span and factor complexity (Proposition 7), this implies that  $\text{span}(x) = |\varphi(0)| + |\varphi(1)| - |w| - 1 = k$ . We conclude that  $\text{span}(x) \in \{k, \infty\}$ . This also shows that, if  $\text{span}(x)$  is finite, then  $x$  is, up to finite shift, the image of a characteristic Sturmian word by a substitution. The converse follows from Theorem 19 and Proposition 9.

Moreover, if  $\mathbb{X}$  is a quasi-Sturmian shift space, then  $\mathbb{X} = \{S^m\varphi(y) \mid y \in \mathbb{Y}, 0 \leq m < |\varphi(y_0)|\}$  for some Sturmian shift space  $\mathbb{Y}$  and some return morphism  $\varphi$ . The elements of finite span in  $\mathbb{X}$  are, up to finite shift, the images under  $\varphi$  of the elements of finite span in  $\mathbb{Y}$  which are, by Theorem 19, the two characteristic words of  $\mathbb{Y}$  up to finite shift. In particular, there are infinitely countably many elements of finite span in  $\mathbb{X}$ .  $\square$

Summing up, by Proposition 22 and Theorem 33, we obtain the following characterisation of bi-infinite words of finite span.

**Theorem 34.** *A bi-infinite word  $x$  has a finite string attractor if and only if it is either both positively and negatively periodic, or, up to finite shift, the image of a characteristic Sturmian word by a substitution. Moreover, if  $x$  is not purely periodic, then its factor complexity is eventually equal to  $n + \text{span}(x)$ .*

In contrast to single words, when looking at finite string attractors of shift spaces, we are once again restricted to periodic elements. In particular, while Sturmian and quasi-Sturmian words can have finite string attractors, the corresponding shift spaces do not have finite string attractors.

**Proposition 35.** *A shift space  $\mathbb{X}$  has a finite string attractor if and only if it is a finite union of periodic shift spaces. In particular,  $\mathbb{X}$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathbb{X}$  is generated by a purely periodic word  $x = w^{-\omega}.w^\omega$ . By periodicity,  $\llbracket 0, |w| - 1 \rrbracket$  is a string attractor of  $\mathbb{X}$ . For a finite union, take the largest such string attractor.

Conversely, let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a shift space with a finite string attractor and let  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ . By Theorem 34,  $x$  is quasi-Sturmian or eventually periodic. If  $x$  is quasi-Sturmian, there is a point in  $\mathbb{X}$  with infinite span by Theorem 33, which contradicts the existence of a finite string attractor of  $\mathbb{X}$ . Therefore,  $x$  is eventually periodic. Furthermore,  $x$  is also uniformly recurrent. Indeed, if  $\llbracket \gamma, \gamma + k \rrbracket$  is a string attractor of  $\mathbb{X}$ , and in particular of every  $S^j(x)$ , then for all  $n \geq 1$ , every length- $n$  factor of  $x$  appears in every length- $n + k$  factor of  $x$ . By Remark 1,  $x$  is then purely periodic, and this shows that  $\mathbb{X}$  only contains purely periodic words. As a shift space containing only purely periodic words is finite [BDJ08, Theorem 3.8], this in turn implies that  $\mathbb{X}$  is a finite union of periodic shift spaces.  $\square$

## 5 Infinite string attractors

A natural question is whether anything can be said about words and shift spaces that do not admit any finite string attractor, such as most words in a Sturmian shift, the Prouhet-Thue-Morse word, or any infinite shift space. For example, we may consider the minimal density  $\lim_n \frac{\#(\Gamma \cap \llbracket -n, n \rrbracket)}{2n+1}$  or log-density  $\lim_n \frac{\#(\Gamma \cap \llbracket -n, n \rrbracket)}{\log 2n+1}$  among all string attractors. The following result tells us that any such measure is always zero in the case of recurrent words.

**Proposition 36.** *Let  $x$  be a recurrent word, and let  $\eta$  be an arbitrary non-decreasing function such that  $\lim_n \eta(n) = +\infty$ . Then  $x$  has a string attractor  $\Gamma$  such that  $\#(\Gamma \cap \llbracket -n, n \rrbracket) \leq \eta(n)$  for all  $n$ . Intuitively,  $x$  has arbitrarily sparse string attractors.*

*Proof.* We fix an enumeration of the non-empty factors of  $x$ , that is, a bijection  $\text{enum}: \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(x) \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ . For all  $i$ , we define  $\gamma_i$  as the position in  $\mathbb{Z}$  closest to 0 such that  $\eta(|\gamma_i|) \geq i$  and an occurrence of  $\text{enum}(i)$  in  $x$  crosses the position  $\gamma_i$ . Such a position always exists since  $x$  is recurrent. Notice that the same position may be chosen multiple times. The set  $\Gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is clearly a string attractor. Moreover, if  $\gamma_k \in \llbracket -n, n \rrbracket$ , then by hypothesis on  $\eta$  and by definition of  $\gamma_k$ , we have  $k \leq \eta(|\gamma_k|) \leq \eta(n)$ . This shows that  $\#(\Gamma \cap \llbracket -n, n \rrbracket) \leq \eta(n)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 37.** *In the context of string attractors for one-sided infinite words, the same proof shows that any recurrent one-sided infinite word has arbitrarily sparse string attractors.*

We obtain the same result, using a similar proof, for minimal shift spaces.

**Proposition 38.** *Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a minimal shift space, and let  $\eta$  be an arbitrary non-decreasing function such that  $\lim_n \eta(n) = +\infty$ . Then  $\mathbb{X}$  has a string attractor  $\Gamma$  such that  $\#(\Gamma \cap \llbracket -n, n \rrbracket) \leq \eta(n)$  for all  $n$ . Intuitively,  $\mathbb{X}$  has arbitrarily sparse string attractors.*

*Proof.* As in the word case, let us fix an enumeration of the non-empty factors of  $\mathbb{X}$ , that is, a bijection  $\text{enum}: \llbracket 1, +\infty \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(x) \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ . By uniform recurrence, let  $c_i$  be a constant such that any length- $c_i$  interval contains an occurrence of  $\text{enum}(i)$  in every  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ . Now fix  $\Gamma = \bigcup_i \llbracket \gamma_i, \gamma_i + c_i - 1 \rrbracket$  with  $\gamma_i$  chosen large enough that  $\#(\Gamma \cap \llbracket -n, n \rrbracket) \leq \eta(n)$  for all  $n$ . By definition of  $c_i$ ,  $\text{enum}(i)$  has an occurrence included in  $\llbracket \gamma_i, \gamma_i + c_i - 1 \rrbracket$  in every  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ , so  $\Gamma$  is a string attractor of  $\mathbb{X}$ .  $\square$

Therefore, we cannot find a minimal or sparsest string attractor. We turn our attention to the existence of string attractors with a specific structure. In what follows, we study words and shift spaces having all (non-trivial) arithmetic progressions as string attractors. To do so, we introduce the following notation.

**Definition 39** (Occurrences mod  $k$ ). *Given  $k \geq 1$ ,  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the set of positions mod  $k$  of occurrences of  $w$  in  $x$  is denoted*

$$\text{Occ}_k^x(w) = \{i \bmod k \mid x_{\llbracket i, i+|w|-1 \rrbracket} = w\}.$$

Clearly, the set  $i + k\mathbb{Z}$  is a string attractor of  $x$  if and only if  $\text{Occ}_k^x(w) \cap \llbracket i - |w| + 1, i \rrbracket \neq \emptyset$  for every non-empty factor  $w$  of  $x$ . This suggests the following stronger definition, first found in [CKT10].

**Definition 40** (Modulo-recurrence). *A word  $x$  is modulo-recurrent if for every  $w \in \mathcal{L}(x)$  and every  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Occ}_k^x(w) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Proposition 41.** *If  $x$  is modulo-recurrent, then every arithmetic progression  $i + k\mathbb{Z}$  is a string attractor, for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .*

From the point of view of shift spaces, modulo-recurrence corresponds to a property called total minimality that was known much earlier (see [Pau75] for example), just as uniform recurrence corresponds to minimality.

**Definition 42.** *A shift space  $\mathbb{X}$  is totally minimal if it is minimal under the action of  $S^k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .*

**Proposition 43.** *The shift space  $\mathbb{X}$  is totally minimal if and only if it is the orbit closure of a uniformly recurrent modulo-recurrent word. In particular, all words of  $\mathbb{X}$  are modulo-recurrent.*

*Proof.* Take  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ . Because  $\mathbb{X}$  is minimal for  $S$ ,  $x$  is uniformly recurrent. By contradiction, assume that  $0 < \#\text{Occ}_k^x(w) < k$  for some  $k > 0$  and factor  $w$ ; then  $\text{Occ}_k^{S(x)}(w) = 1 + \text{Occ}_k^x(w) \not\subseteq \text{Occ}_k^x(w)$ . The set of words  $y$  such that  $\text{Occ}_k^y(w) \subseteq \text{Occ}_k^x(w)$  is  $S^k$ -invariant, nonempty, closed, and not equal to  $\mathbb{X}$  (it does not contain  $S(x)$ ), so  $\mathbb{X}$  is not minimal for  $S^k$ , a contradiction. This shows that any  $x \in \mathbb{X}$  is uniformly recurrent and modulo-recurrent.

For the other direction, take  $x$  a uniformly recurrent modulo-recurrent word. Its orbit closure (under  $S$ )  $\mathbb{X}$  is minimal. In particular, the elements of  $\mathbb{X}$  all share the same language. To show that  $\mathbb{X}$  is minimal under the action of  $S^k$ , it is enough to show that it is the orbit closure under  $S^k$  of any  $y \in \mathbb{X}$ . Let  $w \in \mathcal{L}(x)$ . Since  $x$  is modulo-recurrent, there is a factor  $u$  of  $x$  in which  $w$  appears at every position modulo  $k$ . Since  $\mathbb{X}$  is minimal,  $u$  appears in  $y$ , so  $\text{Occ}_k^y(w) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that  $S^{nk}(y)_{[0,|w|-1]} = w$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This holds for every  $w \in \mathcal{L}(x)$ , therefore every  $z \in \mathbb{X}$  is in the orbit closure of  $y$  under  $S^k$ , since  $\mathcal{L}(z) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(x)$ .  $\square$

We then have the following direct translation of Proposition 41.

**Proposition 44.** *If  $\mathbb{X}$  is a totally minimal shift space, then the sets  $i + k\mathbb{Z}$  are string attractors of  $\mathbb{X}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .*

Sturmian words are known to be modulo-recurrent [KT07], and Sturmian shift spaces to be totally minimal [Pau75]. More generally, we have the following result.

**Proposition 45** ([BDD<sup>+</sup>18, Proposition 12]). *Minimal dendric shift spaces are totally minimal. Recurrent dendric words are modulo-recurrent.*

**Corollary 46.** *Recurrent dendric words, and Sturmian words in particular, admit all arithmetic progressions as string attractors.*

*Minimal dendric shift spaces, and Sturmian shift spaces in particular, admit all arithmetic progressions as string attractors.*

On the other hand, quasi-Sturmian words may or may not be modulo-recurrent. If they are not, they may or may not admit every arithmetic progression as a string attractor, as we show below. This implies that modulo-recurrence (and total minimality) are not equivalent to having all arithmetic progressions as string attractors.

**Proposition 47.** *Let  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be any Sturmian word.*

1. *Let  $\psi$  be the substitution defined by  $\psi(0) = 01$  and  $\psi(1) = 00$ . The set  $2\mathbb{Z}$  is not a string attractor of  $\psi(x)$ .*
2. *Let  $\varphi$  be the substitution defined by  $\varphi(0) = 01$  and  $\varphi(1) = 10$ . The word  $\varphi(x)$  is not modulo-recurrent but it has every arithmetic progression as a string attractor.*

*Proof.* The first claim is direct: every even position in  $\psi(x)$  contains a 0, so the factor 1 is not covered by  $2\mathbb{Z}$ .

We now consider  $y = \varphi(x)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that 00 is a factor of  $y$  but 11 is not. Therefore, 01 is a factor of  $y$  but it only appears at even positions, so  $\text{Occ}_2^y(01) = \{0\}$ . This shows that  $y$  is not modulo-recurrent.

Now take  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and a non-empty factor  $w$  of  $y$ . First assume that  $|w| = 1$  and consider the set of positions  $(i + k\mathbb{Z}) \cap 2\mathbb{Z}$ . If it is nonempty, then it is an infinite arithmetic progression in  $y$ , which corresponds to an arithmetic progression in  $x$  seeing the same letters. By modulo-recurrence of  $x$ , every letter appears so  $w$  is captured by  $i + k\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $(i + k\mathbb{Z}) \cap 2\mathbb{Z}$  is empty, do the same argument with  $(i + k\mathbb{Z}) \cap 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$  and  $\bar{x}$  where  $\bar{x}_n = 1 - x_n$ .

Now assume that  $|w| > 1$ . By adding at most one letter to the left and to the right,  $w$  can be extended to  $w' = \varphi(u)$  where  $u$  is a factor of  $x$ . The word  $x$  is modulo-recurrent, so for all  $k$ ,  $\text{Occ}_k^x(u) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ . This



implies that  $\text{Occ}_k^y(w')$  contains at least all even elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ . We have either  $\text{Occ}_k^y(w) = \text{Occ}_k^y(w')$  or  $\text{Occ}_k^y(w) = \text{Occ}_k^y(w') - 1$ , so  $\text{Occ}_k^y(w)$  contains either all even or all odd elements. It follows that either  $i$  or  $i - 1$  is in  $\text{Occ}_k^y(w)$ , and since  $|w| > 1$ ,  $i + k\mathbb{Z}$  covers an occurrence of  $w$ .  $\square$

**Remark 48.** *The proof can be extended to show that, if  $x = \varphi(y)$  with  $y$  modulo-recurrent and  $\varphi$  a  $\ell$ -uniform substitution, then every  $i + k\mathbb{Z}$  covers an occurrence of every factor of length at least  $\ell$  in  $x$ . To determine whether  $i + k\mathbb{Z}$  is a string attractor, it is enough to check smaller factors.*

We end with a comment on the intuition behind modulo-recurrence and total minimality: the word or shift space does not have any hidden periodic structure. Hidden structure can be uncovered through cellular automata.

**Definition 49.** *A map  $\pi: \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a cellular automaton if and only if there exist an integer  $M \geq 1$  and a map  $\psi: \mathcal{A}^M \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\pi(x)_n = \psi(x_{\llbracket n, n+M-1 \rrbracket})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

See [LM21] for example for other equivalent definitions. In the context of shift spaces,  $\pi$  is also called a *factor map* from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\pi(\mathbb{X})$ . While the following result is known (see [Pau75] for the difficult direction for example) for shift spaces, we provide a combinatorial proof in the context of a single word.

**Proposition 50.** *A uniformly recurrent bi-infinite word  $x$  is modulo-recurrent if and only if it is completely aperiodic, i.e., for any cellular automaton  $\pi$ ,  $\pi(x)$  is aperiodic or the constant word.*

*A minimal shift space  $\mathbb{X}$  is totally minimal if and only if it is completely aperiodic, i.e., for any cellular automaton  $\pi$ ,  $\pi(\mathbb{X})$  is strongly aperiodic (does not contain any purely periodic word) or contains a single constant word.*

*Proof.* As explained above, we only prove this result in the case of a uniformly recurrent word  $x$ , and we proceed by contraposition. Assume first that  $x$  is not completely aperiodic, and there exists a cellular automaton  $\pi$  such that  $\pi(x)$  is neither aperiodic nor constant. If  $x$  is uniformly recurrent, so is  $\pi(x)$ . Therefore, by Remark 1,  $\pi(x)$  is purely periodic. Let  $k$  be its period and  $0 < i < k$  be such that  $\pi(x)_i \neq \pi(x)_0$ . If  $\psi: \mathcal{A}^M \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is the local rule corresponding to  $\pi$  by Proposition 49, and if  $w = x_{\llbracket 0, M-1 \rrbracket}$ , then  $\psi(w) = \pi(x)_0$  and, for all  $j \equiv i \pmod{k}$ , we have  $\psi(x_{\llbracket j, j+M-1 \rrbracket}) = \pi(x)_j = \pi(x)_i \neq \psi(w)$ . This shows that  $i \notin \text{Occ}_k^x(w)$  so  $x$  is not modulo-recurrent.

Conversely, assume that  $i \notin \text{Occ}_k^x(w)$  for some  $w \in \mathcal{L}(x)$  and  $0 \leq i < k$ . Let us find a cellular automaton  $\pi$  such that  $\pi(x)$  is eventually periodic but not constant. For each  $j \in \text{Occ}_k^x(w)$ , let us fix  $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n_j \equiv j \pmod{k}$  and  $x_{\llbracket n_j, n_j+|w|-1 \rrbracket} = w$ . We define  $N = |w| + \max\{|n_j| \mid j \in \text{Occ}_k^x(w)\}$  and  $u = x_{\llbracket -N, N \rrbracket}$ . Let  $M$  be the uniform recurrence bound for  $u$ , i.e., every length- $M$  factor of  $x$  contains an occurrence of  $u$ .

Observe that, for every position  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\{j \bmod k \mid j \in \llbracket m, m+M-|w| \rrbracket \text{ and } x_{\llbracket j, j+|w|-1 \rrbracket} = w\} \subseteq \text{Occ}_k^x(w).$$

Moreover, since  $x_{\llbracket m, m+M-1 \rrbracket}$  contains an occurrence of  $u$ , the two sets have the same cardinality so they are equal. Consider the following local rule:

$$\psi: \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A}^M \rightarrow \\ v \mapsto \end{array} \begin{cases} \{0, 1\} \\ 1, & \text{if there is an occurrence of } w \text{ in } v \text{ whose position is a multiple of } k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \right)$$

By definition, if  $\psi(x_{\llbracket m, m+M-1 \rrbracket}) = 1$ , then  $(m \bmod k) \in \text{Occ}_k^x(w)$ . Conversely, if  $(m \bmod k) \in \text{Occ}_k^x(w)$ , then by the observation above, there exists  $j \in \llbracket m, m+M-|w| \rrbracket$  such that  $m \equiv j \pmod{k}$  and  $x_{\llbracket j, j+|w|-1 \rrbracket} = w$ . In other words, there is a occurrence of  $w$  in  $x_{\llbracket m, m+M-1 \rrbracket}$  whose first position (in  $x_{\llbracket m, m+M-1 \rrbracket}$ ) is a multiple of  $k$ , or equivalently,  $\psi(x_{\llbracket m, m+M-1 \rrbracket}) = 1$ .

If  $\pi$  is the cellular automaton associated with  $\psi$  as in Proposition 49, then  $\pi(x)_m = 1$  if and only if  $(m \bmod k) \in \text{Occ}_k^x(w)$ . This implies that the word  $\pi(x)$  is purely periodic, but as there exists  $i \notin \text{Occ}_k^x(w)$ , it is not constant.  $\square$

## 6 Further work

We have completely characterised words on  $\mathbb{Z}$  that admit a finite string attractor, and we found some properties for those that admit every arithmetic progression as string attractor. Are there any other infinite sets or structures such that words that admit this set as string attractor form a natural or interesting class?

The definition of string attractors can be naturally extended for two-dimensional infinite words. One easily sees that, as in dimension 1, having a finite string attractor heavily constraints the factor complexity. It would be interesting to characterise the configurations admitting such a string attractor. Are they necessarily periodic? Can this be used to emphasise a particular family of low-complexity configurations? Let us recall that, contrary to the one dimensional case, low-complexity words of dimension two are not well understood, as is highlighted by the infamous Nivat's Conjecture. Therefore, string attractors could provide an alternative approach to study these words.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Francesco Dolce and Giuseppe Romana for initial discussions on the topic.

During this research, France Gheeraert was a Research Fellow of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique - FNRS.

## References

- [Ale96] Pascal Alessandri. Codages de rotation et suites de basses complexités, 1996. PhD Thesis, Université d'Aix-Marseille II.
- [Arn02] Pierre Arnoux. Sturmian sequences. In V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit, and A. Siegel, editors, *Substitutions in Dynamics, Arithmetics and Combinatorics*, chapter 6, pages 143–198. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.
- [BDD<sup>+</sup>18] Valérie Berthé, Francesco Dolce, Fabien Durand, Julien Leroy, and Dominique Perrin. Rigidity and substitutive dendric words. *Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, 29(5):705–720, 2018.
- [BDJ08] Alexis Ballier, Bruno Durand, and Emmanuel Jeandel. Structural aspects of tilings. In *STACS 2008: 25th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*, volume 1 of *LIPICs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.*, pages 61–72. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2008.
- [BLS21] Sebastián Barbieri, Sébastien Labbé, and Štěpán Starosta. A characterization of Sturmian sequences by indistinguishable asymptotic pairs. *European J. Combin.*, 95:Paper No. 103318, 22, 2021.
- [Cas97] Julien Cassaigne. Sequences with grouped factors. In *Developments in Language Theory*, pages 211–222. 1997.
- [CGR<sup>+</sup>23] Julien Cassaigne, France Gheeraert, Antonio Restivo, Giuseppe Romana, Marinella Sciortino, and Manon Stipulanti. New string attractor-based complexities for infinite words, 2023.
- [CKT10] Julien Cassaigne, Idrissa Kaboré, and Théodore Tapsoba. On a new notion of complexity on infinite words. *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.*, 2(2):127–136, 2010.
- [Cov75] Ethan M. Coven. Sequences with minimal block growth. II. *Math. Systems Theory*, 8(4):376–382, 1974/75.
- [Dur98] Fabien Durand. A characterization of substitutive sequences using return words. *Discrete Math.*, 179(1-3):89–101, 1998.

- [Ghe23] France Gheeraert. A study of dendricity through the lens of morphisms, 2023. PhD Thesis, University of Liège.
- [Hei01] Alex Heinis. Arithmetics and cominatorics of words of low complexity, 2001. PhD Thesis, Leiden University.
- [KMN<sup>+</sup>20] Kanaru Kutsukake, Takuya Matsumoto, Yuto Nakashima, Shunsuke Inenaga, Hideo Bannai, and Masayuki Takeda. On repetitiveness measures of Thue-Morse words. In *String processing and information retrieval*, volume 12303 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 213–220. Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [KP18] Dominik Kempa and Nicola Prezza. At the roots of dictionary compression: string attractors. In *STOC’18—Proceedings of the 50th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 827–840. ACM, New York, 2018.
- [KT07] Idrissa Kaboré and Théodore Tapsoba. Combinatoire de mots récurrents de complexité  $n+2$ . *Theor. Inform. Appl.*, 41(4):425–446, 2007.
- [LM21] Douglas Lind and Brian Marcus. *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2021.
- [Lot02] M. Lothaire. *Algebraic combinatorics on words*, volume 90 of *Encycl. Math. Appl.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [MRR<sup>+</sup>21] Sabrina Mantaci, Antonio Restivo, Giuseppe Romana, Giovanna Rosone, and Marinella Sciortino. A combinatorial view on string attractors. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 850:236–248, 2021.
- [Pau75] Michael E. Paul. Minimal symbolic flows having minimal block growth. *Math. Systems Theory*, 8(4):309–315, 1974/75.
- [RRS22] Antonio Restivo, Giuseppe Romana, and Marinella Sciortino. String attractors and infinite words. In *LATIN 2022: theoretical informatics*, volume 13568 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 426–442. Springer, Cham, 2022.
- [SS21] Luke Schaeffer and Jeffrey Shallit. String attractors for automatic sequences, 2021. arXiv:2012.06840.
- [Zam18] Luca Q. Zamboni. On the character of words of sublinear complexity. *Acta Arith.*, 184(3):201–213, 2018.