

Singular plane curves and their interplay with algebra and combinatorics

Piotr Pokora

March 21, 2024

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Freeness of plane curves	2
3	Weak-combinatorics versus strong combinatorics and NTC	5
3.1	NTC versus triangular line arrangements	7
3.2	NTC holds for conic-line arrangements with nodes, tacnodes, and ordinary triple points	9
4	Weak Ziegler pairs	10

Abstract

In this survey we focus on various aspects of singular complex plane curves, mostly in the context of their homological properties and the associated combinatorial structures. We formulate some demanding open problems that can indicate new directions in research, for instance by introducing weak Ziegler pairs of curve arrangements.

Keywords 14N20, 51B05, 51A45, 14N25, 32S25

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) singular plane curves; minimal free resolutions; combinatorics

1 Introduction

The main goal of the present survey is to provide a coherent and short introduction to the world of singular plane curves, which is a subject lying on the boundary of algebra, geometry, and combinatorics. Our idea here is to explore very interesting deep properties of algebraic curves and their importance in the context of very recent big open problems in the so-called **combinatorial algebraic geometry**. By combinatorial algebraic geometry we mean the field that is based on close bridges between combinatorics and algebraic geometry, and where these fields of research cooperate in full symbiosis. The Leitmotif for our discussion here is the Numerical Terao's Conjecture (we will write **NTC** for short) and this problem builds the core of the survey. Roughly speaking, **NTC** predicts

that the freeness of reduced plane curves is determined by the weak-combinatorics. This conjecture is somehow surprising and absolutely not obvious. We are aware of the fact that **NTC** does not hold in the class of line arrangements in the complex projective plane, and we deliver a very detailed discussion regarding this subject, but up to right now there is no single counterexample to this conjecture when we extend our consideration to curves such that irreducible components are no longer, and not only, of degree one. This is a very mysterious thing and we do not know how to explain this phenomenon. However, in order to get some feeling about this problem, it seems quite natural to explore new classes of plane curves in order to understand which geometric/combinatorial properties can have an impact on the failure of **NTC**. In the last few years researchers focused exactly on that area of studies, namely to study specific classes of curves that are free and to explore their geometric properties. The first very natural class for such consideration is the class of rational plane curve arrangements with quasi-homogeneous singularities. The main motivation standing behind this choice is very natural. First, it generalizes the framework of line arrangements, and secondly in this class the total Tjurina number of a given curve is determined by its weak-combinatorics.

Let us outline the structure of the present survey. In the first part we focus on the notion of free plane curves. Then we focus on the weak-combinatorics that can be attached to a given reduced plane curve. We will show that this is a very delicate problem and why we cannot use the notion of (strong) combinatorics that is defined for line arrangements verbatim to the world of plane curves. Next, we focus on **NTC** and we present a detailed outline on that subject emphasizing the recent developments. We conclude our note by introducing the notion of weak Ziegler pairs and by presenting an example of such a pair in the class of line arrangements.

I should emphasize that there is an excellent recent survey devoted to free plane curves and their geometric properties by Alex Dimca [8], but our survey is strictly oriented on **NTC** and weak-combinatorics of plane curves, so we will stay parallel with respect to Alex's survey and we hope that our presentation will play a complementary role.

We work exclusively in the projective setting over the complex numbers. Many computations presented in that survey are performed using SINGULAR [4].

2 Freeness of plane curves

Let $S := \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ be the graded ring of polynomials with complex coefficients, and for a homogeneous polynomial $f \in S$ let us denote by J_f the Jacobian ideal associated with f , that is, the ideal of the form $J_f = \langle \partial_x f, \partial_y f, \partial_z f \rangle$. We assume in the whole survey that our plane curves $C : f = 0$ are always reduced. We will need the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let p be an isolated singularity of a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Since we can change the local coordinates, assume that $p = (0, 0)$. Furthermore, the number

$$\mu_p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathbb{C}[x, y] / \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right\rangle \right)$$

is called the (local) Milnor number of f at p .

The number

$$\tau_p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathbb{C}[x, y] / \left\langle f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right\rangle \right)$$

is called the (local) Tjurina number of f at p .

Remark 2.2. For a projective situation, with a point $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and a homogeneous polynomial $F \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$, we can take local affine coordinates such that $p = (0, 0, 1)$, and then the dehomogenization of F .

Finally, the total Tjurina number of a given reduced curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is defined as

$$\tau(C) = \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(C)} \tau_p.$$

We will work mostly with quasi-homogeneous singularities.

Definition 2.3. A singularity is called quasi-homogeneous if and only if there exists a holomorphic change of variables so that the defining equation becomes weighted homogeneous.

Recall that $f(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} x^i y^j$ is weighted homogeneous if there exist rational numbers α, β such that $\sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} x^{i\alpha} y^{j\beta}$ is homogeneous. One can show that if $f(x, y)$ is a convergent power series with an isolated singularity at the origin, then $f(x, y)$ is in the ideal generated by the partial derivatives if and only if f is quasi-homogeneous. It means that in the quasi-homogeneous case one has $\tau_p = \mu_p$, i.e., the local Tjurina number of p is equal to the local Milnor number of p . Moreover, if $C : f = 0$ is a reduced plane curve with only quasi-homogeneous singularities, then

$$\tau(C) = \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(C)} \tau_p = \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(C)} \mu_p = \mu(C),$$

which means that the total Tjurina number of C is equal to the total Milnor number of C .

Before we define the ultimate class of curves in our investigations, i.e., free plane curves, we introduce the following general definition.

Definition 2.4. Let $C : f = 0$ be a reduced curve in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ of degree d given by $f \in S$. Denote by $M(f) := S/J_f$ the Milnor algebra. We say that C is m -syzygy when $M(f)$ has the following minimal graded free resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-2} S(-e_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m S(1-d-d_i) \rightarrow S^3(1-d) \rightarrow S$$

with $e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_{m-2}$ and $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_m$.

Definition 2.5. The m -tuple (d_1, \dots, d_m) in Definition 2.4 is called the exponents of C .

In the light of Definition 2.4, being m -syzygy curve is a homological condition that is decoded by the shape of the minimal free resolution of the Milnor algebra. Among m -syzygy curves, the most crucial one, from our very subjective viewpoint, is the following.

Definition 2.6. We say that a reduced curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ of degree d is free if and only if C is 2-syzygy, and in that case $d_1 + d_2 = d - 1$.

In other words, a reduced plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is free if the corresponding minimal free resolution of the Milnor algebra is Hilbert-Burch. Now we want to present a geometric approach showing that the freeness of plane curves is decoded by their total Tjurina numbers and one special exponent.

Definition 2.7. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be a reduced curve given by $f \in S_d$. We define the graded S -module of algebraic relations associated with f as

$$\text{AR}(f) = \{(a, b, c) \in S^{\oplus 3} : af_x + bf_y + cf_z = 0\}.$$

Then the minimal degree of Jacobian relations among the partial derivatives of f is defined as

$$\text{mdr}(f) := \min\{r : \text{AR}(f)_r \neq 0\}.$$

Remark 2.8. In the light of Definition 2.4, we have

$$\text{mdr}(f) := d_1.$$

Remark 2.9. Sometimes we also write $\text{mdr}(C) = \text{mdr}(f)$, where $C : f = 0$.

Example 2.10. Let us consider the arrangement $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ given by

$$Q(x, y, z) = xyz.$$

Using the language of the elementary projective geometry, \mathcal{L} is the fundamental triangle consisting of 3 nodes as the intersection points located at the three fundamental points of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Obviously $\text{mdr}(Q) > 0$ since there are no $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\alpha \partial_x f + \beta \partial_y f + \gamma \partial_z f = \alpha yz + \beta xz + \gamma xy = 0.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\text{mdr}(Q) = 1$ since we have, for instance, the following relation

$$x \partial_x f - y \partial_y f = 0.$$

As we have seen so far, to show that a given reduced plane curve $C : f = 0$ is free, we need to compute the minimal free resolution of $M(f)$, which can be difficult without a reasonable computer assistance. However, there is an interesting result, which can be considered as a folkloric result, that might be use successfully in some case. This result is known in the literature as Saito's criterion [6].

Theorem 2.11. *Let $C : f = 0$ be a reduced curve in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ of degree d . Let $r_1, r_2 \in \text{AR}(f)$ be two non-trivial syzygies of the form $r_i = (f_1^i, f_2^i, f_3^i)$ with $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then C is free if and only if*

$$\text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} x & f_1^1 & f_1^2 \\ y & f_2^1 & f_2^2 \\ z & f_3^1 & f_3^2 \end{pmatrix} = c \cdot f,$$

where c is a non-zero constant.

It is worth recalling that the above Saito's criterion holds in a very general setting, i.e., we can formulate it even for reduced hypersurfaces $V \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$, and it is a quite effective tool provided that we see appropriate candidates for syzygies r_1, r_2 .

Example 2.12. Let us come back to our canonical example of the fundamental triangle $\mathcal{L} : xyz = 0$. Observe that we have the following two natural syzygies:

$$r_1 = (x, -y, 0), \quad r_2 = (x, 0, -z).$$

Observe that

$$\text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x \\ y & -y & 0 \\ z & 0 & -z \end{pmatrix} = 3xyz,$$

hence \mathcal{L} is free with exponents $(d_1, d_2) = (\text{mdr}(Q), d - 1 - \text{mdr}(Q)) = (1, 1)$.

As we have mentioned, Saito's criterion is an effective tool provided that we can find two suitable syzygies. On the other hand, the freeness of reduced algebraic curves can be checked via the following deep result by du Plessis and Wall [12].

Definition 2.13 (Freeness criterion). Let $C : f = 0$ be a reduced curve in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ of degree d . Then the curve C with $d_1 = \text{mdr}(f) \leq (d-1)/2$ is free if and only if

$$(d-1)^2 - r(d-r-1) = \tau(C). \quad (1)$$

The reader can easily use the above criterion to verify, once again, that the fundamental triangle $\mathcal{L} : xyz = 0$ is free.

Finally, let us define the second most important class of curves in our considerations, namely nearly-free curves.

Definition 2.14. A reduced plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ of degree d is nearly-free if C is 3-syzygy with $d_1 + d_2 = d$ and $d_2 = d_3$.

This definition is somehow complicated to handle, but we have a very useful result due to Dimca that allows us to check the nearly-freeness using the information about the total Tjurina number and the minimal degree of the Jacobian relations [7].

Theorem 2.15. A reduced curve $C : f = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ of degree d with $d_1 = \text{mdr}(f)$ is nearly free if and only if

$$(d-1)^2 - d_1(d-d_1-1) = \tau(C) + 1. \quad (2)$$

3 Weak-combinatorics versus strong combinatorics and NTC

In this section we elaborate about the notion of the weak-combinatorics and strong combinatorics that can be attached to a reduced plane curve. We start with the strong combinatorics that is defined for line arrangements.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be an arrangement of d lines. Then by the intersection lattice $L(\mathcal{L})$ we mean the set of all flats, i.e., non-empty intersections of (sub)families of lines in \mathcal{L} with the order defined by the revers inclusion, i.e., $X \leq Y$ if and only if $Y \subset X$.

It turns out that the information delivered by the intersection lattice of a given line arrangement \mathcal{L} can be equivalently decoded by the so-called Levi graph. Here we take a step forward and define the notion of the Levi graph for arrangements consisting of smooth curves and admitting arbitrary singularities.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be a reduced curve consisting of k smooth components, each of degree $\deg C_i = d_i \geq 1$. Then the *Levi graph* $G = (V, E)$ is a bipartite graph with $V := V_1 \cup V_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$, where each vertex y_i corresponds to a curve C_i , each vertex x_j corresponds to an intersection point $p_j \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$ and x_j is joined with y_i by an edge in E if and only if p_j is incident with C_i .

The above definition is a rather weak notion if we study arbitrary arrangements of smooth plane curves. Consider the following example.

Example 3.3. Let \mathcal{L} be an arrangement of two lines intersecting along a single point, and let \mathcal{C} be an arrangement of two smooth conics intersecting along one point being A_7 singularity. Then we can easily construct the associated Levi graphs, and in both cases these graphs have the same shape, namely

$$G = (\{x_1, y_1, y_2\}, \{\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_1, y_2\}\}).$$

Obviously the Levi graph does not deliver any information about the types of singularities of a given curve \mathcal{C} .

Example 3.4. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2\}$ be an arrangement of two smooth conics such that the singular locus of \mathcal{C} consists of three points, two simple nodes p_1, p_2 , and one tacnode p_3 . Let x_i be the vertex corresponding to point p_i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then the associated Levi graphs has the following form:

$$G = (\{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2\}, \{\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_1, y_2\}, \{x_2, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{x_3, y_1\}, \{x_3, y_2\}\}).$$

Obviously, just by looking at G and without any additional data, we cannot distinguish nodes from tacnodes.

Now let us pass to the following fundamental definition for this survey.

Definition 3.5. Let $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be a reduced curve such that each irreducible component C_i is **smooth**. The weak-combinatorics of C is a vector of the form $(d_1, \dots, d_s; t_1, \dots, t_p)$, where d_i denotes the number of irreducible components of C of degree i , and t_j denotes the number of singular points of a curve C of a given analytic type T_j .

Example 3.6. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2\}$ be an arrangement of two smooth conics such that the singular locus of \mathcal{C} consists of three points, namely two simple nodes and one tacnode. Denote by n_2 the number of nodes and by t_3 the number of tacnodes. Then the weak-combinatorics of \mathcal{C} has the following form

$$(d_2; n_2, t_3) = (2; 2, 1).$$

Why did we introduce these various notions of combinatorics that can be attached to a given reduced curve C ? It is all about Terao's freeness conjecture and **NTC**. We start with the classical Terao's conjecture devoted to line arrangements [18].

Conjecture 3.7 (Terao). *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be two line arrangements such that their intersection lattices $L(\mathcal{A}), L(\mathcal{B})$ are isomorphic. Assume that \mathcal{A} is free, then \mathcal{B} must be free.*

Notice that Terao's freeness conjecture is widely open – we know that it holds with up to 14 lines [2], which might be slightly disappointing. However, classical Terao's conjecture is very demanding and in order to understand this problem well one needs to understand the geometry of moduli spaces of line arrangements that are free. It is very unclear whether the mentioned conjecture holds in general, and based on that problem Dimca and Sticlaru in [10] defined the class of nearly free curves and shortly afterwards it turned out that nearly free curves might be crucial for Terao's conjecture. It is believed that if there exists a counterexample to Terao's freeness conjecture, then we should be able to find two line arrangements with isomorphic intersection lattices, where one arrangement is free and the second arrangement is nearly free. Seeing such fundamental problems, we can wonder whether there is a natural way to extend the setting designed for Terao's freeness conjecture to higher degree curves, for instance to the case of conic-line arrangements. We have the following example that comes from [17].

Example 3.8 (Counterexample to a naive Terao's conjecture). Consider the following conic-line arrangement

$$\mathcal{CL}_1 : xy \cdot (y^2 + xz) \cdot (y^2 + x^2 + 2xz) = 0.$$

Observe that the intersection point $P = (0 : 0 : 1)$ has multiplicity 4. Moreover, it is quasi-homogeneous, but not **ordinary**. Using **SINGULAR**, we can check that P is a singular point of type $Z_{1,0}$ with $\tau_P = \mu_P = 15$. One can show that \mathcal{CL}_1 is free with exponents $(2, 3)$. If we perturb a bit line $y = 0$, taking for instance $x - 13y = 0$, we obtain a new conic-line arrangement

$$\mathcal{CL}_2 : x \cdot (x - 13y) \cdot (y^2 + xz) \cdot (y^2 + x^2 + 2xz) = 0.$$

In this new arrangement, the intersection point $P = (0 : 0 : 1)$ has multiplicity 4, but it is not longer quasi-homogeneous, and \mathcal{CL}_2 is not free. In fact, the arrangement \mathcal{CL}_2 is nearly free exponents $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (3, 3, 3)$. Obviously the Levi graphs of \mathcal{CL}_1 , \mathcal{CL}_2 are isomorphic.

The example presented above is crucial. Firstly, we see that (strong) combinatorics for curve arrangements, i.e., where the irreducible components are not just lines, does not determine the freeness, so that the classical Terao's conjecture cannot be naively extended. On the other hand, the above example shows that the notion of weak-combinatorics might allow us to distinguish arrangements in the context of the freeness property. From this perspective, it is natural to ask whether the following conjecture can hold.

Conjecture 3.9 (Numerical Terao's Conjecture). *Let C_1, C_2 be two reduced curves in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with the property that they possess only smooth irreducible components and they admit only quasi-homogeneous singularities. Suppose that C_1 and C_2 have the same weak-combinatorics and let C_1 be free, then C_2 is also free.*

The assumption that we consider only quasi-homogeneous singularities is technical and not obvious at the first glance. **If we assume that we our curves admit only smooth irreducible components and quasi-homogeneous singularities, then the total Tjurina number is determined by the weak-combinatorics.** For instance, it is well-known that ordinary intersection points for curve arrangements of multiplicity $m > 4$ are, in general, not quasi-homogeneous, see [17, Example 4.2] or [5, Exercise 7.31]. The assumption that all singularities are quasi-homogeneous allows us, for instance, to compute the local Tjurina number of such ordinary singular points using only Milnor's formula involving the number of branches and the intersection indices.

In the forthcoming two sections we collect all recent developments regarding **NTC**. First of all, we start our discussion in the setting of line arrangements, and then we jump it the scenario of conic-line arrangements in the complex plane.

3.1 NTC versus triangular line arrangements

This section is based on [14]. Here we want to show that **NTC** is false in the class of line arrangements in the complex plane. In order to construct the mentioned counterexample, we need to introduce a special class of line arrangements, the so-called triangular arrangements in the complex projective plane.

Definition 3.10. An arrangement \mathcal{L} of abc lines is called **triangular**, if \mathcal{L} is given by an equation of type

$$Q(x, y, z) = xyz \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} (x - \alpha_i y) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{b-1} (y - \beta_j z) \cdot \prod_{i=k}^{c-1} (x - \gamma_k z) = 0,$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}$.

The above definition is motivated by the well-known example of a supersolvable line arrangement, called sometimes the full n th CEVA arrangement, or the full monomial arrangement, and we denote it as \mathcal{FMA}_n . This arrangement is given by the following equation depending on $n \geq 2$, namely

$$F_n(x, y, z) = xyz(x^n - y^n)(y^n - z^n)(x^n - z^n) = 0.$$

Here we want to present the following result that is proved in [14, Theorem 6.2].

Theorem 3.11. *There exists a pair of line arrangements in the complex projective plane, each of which has weak-combinatorics of the form*

$$(d_1; t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = (15; 24, 12, 0, 0, 3),$$

such that one is free and the second is nearly-free.

Proof. The first line arrangement \mathcal{L}_1 is constructed by removing from the full monomial arrangement \mathcal{FMA}_6 defined by

$$F_6(x, y, z) = xyz(x^6 - y^6)(y^6 - z^6)(x^6 - z^6) = 0$$

the following lines

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1 : x - z = 0, \quad \ell_2 : x - ez = 0, \quad \ell_3 : y - z = 0, \\ \ell_4 : y - ez = 0, \quad \ell_5 : x - e^2y = 0, \quad \ell_6 : x - e^4y = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $e^2 - e + 1 = 0$. We can check, using SINGULAR, that \mathcal{L}_1 is free with exponents $(d_1, d_2) = (7, 7)$.

The second line arrangement \mathcal{L}_2 is constructed as follows. Take the full monomial arrangement \mathcal{FMA}_5 given by

$$F_5(x, y, z) = xyz(x^5 - y^5)(y^5 - z^5)(x^5 - z^5) = 0$$

and remove the following three lines

$$\ell_1 : x - z = 0, \quad \ell_2 : x - y = 0, \quad \ell_3 : y - z = 0.$$

We can check that \mathcal{L}_2 is not free, but only nearly free with exponents $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (6, 9, 9)$. \square

From the above result we can extract the following crucial observations.

Corollary 3.12. *The Numerical Terao's conjecture does not hold in the class of triangular line arrangements in the complex projective plane.*

Corollary 3.13. *In the class of line arrangements in the complex projective plane, the minimal degree of non-trivial Jacobian relations is not determined by the weak-combinatorics!*

3.2 NTC holds for conic-line arrangements with nodes, tacnodes, and ordinary triple points

In this section we report results devoted to the freeness of conic-line arrangements with simple singularities. More precisely, $\mathcal{CL} = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d, C_1, \dots, C_k\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be an arrangement of $d \geq 0$ lines and $k \geq 0$ smooth conics admitting only the following quasi-homogeneous singularities (here we use normal local forms presented in [1]):

$$\begin{aligned} A_k \text{ with } k \geq 1 & : x^2 + y^{k+1} = 0, \\ D_k \text{ with } k \geq 4 & : y^2x + x^{k-1} = 0, \\ X_9 \text{ with } a^2 \neq 4 & : x^4 + y^4 + ax^2y^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, A_1 singularities are just nodes, D_4 singularities are ordinary triple points, and X_9 singularities are ordinary quadruple points. Obviously all singularities presented above are quasi-homogeneous. Our first result is devoted to the case when we have only smooth conics, see [15, Theorem A].

Theorem 3.14. *There does not exist any arrangement of $k \geq 2$ smooth conics with A_1, A_3, D_4, X_9 singularities that is free.*

This result is optimal in the sense that if we add to the list of admissible intersections singularities of type A_5 , then we can find an arrangement that is free, see [16, Remark 2.5]. On the other hand, this result stands in odds with the picture of line arrangements where we can find many free arrangements admitting nodes, triple and quadruple points.

Now we can focus on the case of conic-line arrangements $\mathcal{CL} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with $d \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$. We assume that our arrangements admit only singularities of type A_1, A_3, D_4 – this is the first non-trivial situation that distinguishes the geometry of conic arrangements and line arrangements. Our main result, obtained in a joint paper with A. Dimca [9], delivers a complete classification of such arrangements.

Theorem 3.15. *Let \mathcal{CL} be an arrangement of $d \geq 1$ lines and $k \geq 1$ smooth conics having only nodes, tacnodes, and ordinary triple points as singularities. Then \mathcal{CL} is free if and only if one of the following cases occur:*

- (1) $d = k = 1$ and \mathcal{CL} consists of a smooth conic and a tangent line, which means we can only one tacnode.
- (2) $d = 2, k = 1$ and \mathcal{CL} consists of a smooth conic and two tangent lines. In this case one node and two tacnodes.
- (3) $d = 3, k = 1$ and either \mathcal{CL} is a smooth conic inscribed in a triangle, or \mathcal{CL} is a smooth conic circumscribed in a triangle. In the first case we have three nodes and three tacnodes, and in the second case we have only three ordinary triple points as intersections.
- (4) $d = 3, k = 2$ and \mathcal{CL} consists of a triangle Δ , a smooth conic inscribed in Δ , and another smooth conic circumscribed in Δ . In this case we have 5 tacnodes and three ordinary triple points as singularities.

In particular, a free conic-line arrangement having only nodes, tacnodes, and ordinary triple points is determined up to a projective equivalence by the weak-combinatorics.

This theorem implies the following classification result.

Corollary 3.16. *Numerical Terao's Conjecture holds for conic-line arrangements with nodes, tacnodes, and ordinary triple points.*

The following remark explains the situation when $k = 0$, so we arrive at the scenario of line arrangements with double and triple points as intersections.

Remark 3.17. Numerical Terao's Conjecture holds for line arrangements having only double and triple points as singularities and it follows from the following observations. First of all, a free line arrangement $\mathcal{A} : f = 0$ has to satisfy the condition that $d = \deg f \leq 9$, see [13] for an elementary argument. Next, observe that the freeness condition implies that

$$d_1 + d_2 = d - 1 \quad \text{with } d_1 \leq d_2,$$

and we have $(d - 1)/2 \geq d_1 = \text{mdr}(f)$. This gives us $d_1 \leq 3$ or $d_1 = \text{mdr}(f) = 4$ and $d = 9$. Note that if $\mathcal{A}' : f' = 0$ has the same weak-combinatorics as $\mathcal{A} : f = 0$, then $\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \tau(\mathcal{A}')$ since the total Tjurina number of a reduced curve with quasi-homogeneous singularities is determined by the weak-combinatorics. It implies, in particular, that $r' = \text{mdr}(f') \leq \text{mdr}(f)$, and this follows from the maximality of the Tjurina number for free reduced curves, see [12]. In the first case, using the complete classification of line arrangements with $\text{mdr}(f) \leq 3$ provided in [3], we can conclude the statement. In the second case, we use again the maximality of the Tjurina number of free curves [12] and we conclude that $\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \tau(\mathcal{A}') = 48$, and this maximal value is obtained when the number of nodes is 0 and the number of triple points is equal to 12. The only line arrangement with these invariants is the dual Hesse arrangement of lines which is free with exponents $(d_1, d_2) = (4, 4)$ and unique up to the projective equivalence.

4 Weak Ziegler pairs

This short section is devoted to an intriguing notion of Ziegler pairs. Let us recall that topic is strictly motivated by the following question.

Question 4.1. Is it true that for a given complex line arrangements the minimal free resolution of the associated Milnor algebra is determined by its intersection lattice?

At the very first glance we have some doubts since there is no reasonable argument explaining that the shape of the resolution of the Milnor algebras associated with line arrangements can be determined the combinatorial structure. From our perspective, and from the perspective of **NTC**, we focus our attention on the minimal degree of non-trivial Jacobian relations as a crucial invariant for the whole resolution. This idea leads us to the following object.

Definition 4.2 (Ziegler pair). We say that two line arrangements $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2 \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ form a Ziegler pair if the intersection lattices of \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are isomorphic, but $\text{mdr}(\mathcal{L}_1) \neq \text{mdr}(\mathcal{L}_2)$.

As we can see, Ziegler pairs have a strong connection with the possible counterexamples to Terao's freeness conjecture. Recall that for line arrangements the total Tjurina number is determined by the intersection lattice (since all singularities are quasi-homogeneous), so if we could find a counterexample to Terao's conjecture, it would be all about the minimal degrees of non-trivial Jacobian relations being different. The first

pair of line arrangements with the same intersection posets but different minimal degrees of non-trivial Jacobian relations was found by Ziegler [19], and we will say a few words about this construction. This pair consists of two arrangements having exactly 9 lines with 6 triple and 18 double points as intersections, but their geometries are different. In the first case, all six triple points are on a conic, but in the second case, only 5 triple points are on a conic, and one point is off the conic. Geometrically speaking, the condition that 6 points are on a conic is unexpected, and this is a crucial feature for this example. This construction has recently been revisited and studied in detail by Dimca and Sticlaru [11].

However, the notion of Ziegler pairs is not suitable for curve arrangements, in its whole generality, since we can detect some pathological situations.

Example 4.3. Consider an arrangement of lines \mathcal{L} given by

$$Q(x, y, z) = xy.$$

Clearly $\text{mdr}(Q) = 0$. Now we consider the arrangement of conics \mathcal{C} given by

$$G(x, y, z) = (x^2 - yz)(x^2 + z^2 - yz).$$

Obviously these two different curve arrangements have the same Levi graphs and both are free, but

$$0 = \text{mdr}(Q) \neq \text{mdr}(G) = 1.$$

In order to avoid such situations, we define the following.

Definition 4.4 (Weak Ziegler pair). Consider two reduced plane curves $C_1, C_2 \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ such that all irreducible components of C_1 and C_2 are smooth. We say that a pair (C_1, C_2) forms a weak Ziegler pair if C_1 and C_2 have the same weak-combinatorics, but they have different minimal degrees of non-trivial Jacobian relations, i.e., $\text{mdr}(C_1) \neq \text{mdr}(C_2)$.

Proposition 4.5. *The line arrangements constructed in Theorem 3.11 form a weak Ziegler pair.*

Proof. The arrangements \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 have the same weak-combinatorics, but

$$7 = \text{mdr}(\mathcal{L}_1) \neq \text{mdr}(\mathcal{L}_2) = 6,$$

so they form a weak Ziegler pair. \square

It is natural to wonder whether we can construct new weak Ziegler pairs in different classes of curve arrangements, for instance in the class of conic-line arrangements. Let us recall the following example by Schenck and Tohăneanu from [17] providing the first weak Ziegler pair for conic-line arrangements with ordinary but not quasi-homogeneous singularities.

Example 4.6. Let us consider the arrangement \mathcal{C}_1 being the union of the following five smooth conics:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 & : (x - 3z)^2 + (y - 4z)^2 - 25z^2 = 0 \\ C_2 & : (x - 4z)^2 + (y - 3z)^2 - 25z^2 = 0 \\ C_3 & : (x + 3z)^2 + (y - 4z)^2 - 25z^2 = 0 \\ C_4 & : (x + 4z)^2 + (y - 3z)^2 - 25z^2 = 0 \\ C_5 & : (x - 5z)^2 + y^2 - 25z^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The arrangement \mathcal{C}_1 has 13 ordinary singular points, 10 of these points are nodes, while at the points $(0 : 0 : 1), (1 : i : 0), (1 : -i : 0)$ all the five conics meet. We can check that the quintuple point $q = (0 : 0 : 1)$ is not quasi-homogeneous since $15 = \tau_q \neq \mu_q = 16$. If we add the following lines

$$\ell_1 : z = 0, \quad \ell_2 : x - iy = 0, \quad \ell_3 : x + iy = 0,$$

then we obtain arrangement $\mathcal{CL}_1 = \{C_1, \dots, C_5, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}$ which is free with exponents $(d_1, d_2) = (6, 6)$.

Next, let us consider the arrangement \mathcal{C}_2 being the union of the following five smooth conics:

$$\begin{aligned} C'_1 & : x^2 + 8y^2 + 21xy - xz - 8yz = 0 \\ C'_2 & : x^2 + 5y^2 + 13xy - xz - 5yz = 0 \\ C'_3 & : x^2 + 9y^2 - 4xy - xz - 9yz = 0 \\ C'_4 & : x^2 + 11y^2 + xy - xz - 11yz = 0 \\ C'_5 & : x^2 + 17y^2 - 5xy - xz - 17yz = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that \mathcal{C}_2 is combinatorially and weak-combinatorially identical to \mathcal{C}_1 , but the quintuple points $(0 : 0 : 1), (1 : 0 : 1), (0 : 1 : 1)$, where all the branches meet, are not quasi-homogeneous since $15 = \tau \neq \mu = 16$. If we add the lines

$$\ell'_1 : x = 0, \quad \ell'_2 : y = 0, \quad \ell'_3 : x + y - z = 0,$$

then we obtain arrangement $\mathcal{CL}_2 = \{C'_1, \dots, C'_5, \ell'_1, \ell'_2, \ell'_3\}$ having the same strong combinatorics as \mathcal{CL}_1 , and since all singularities are ordinary, then the arrangements have the same weak-combinatorics, but \mathcal{CL}_2 is not free. In fact, \mathcal{CL}_2 is just 4-syzygy with exponents $(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) = (7, 7, 7, 7)$.

Remark 4.7. In the above example we can literally see the phenomenon that if singularities of our curve are not quasi-homogeneous, then the total Tjurina number is not determined by the weak-combinatorics.

We finish our survey with the following problem.

Problem 4.8. Construct examples of weak Ziegler pairs in the class of curve arrangements (so arrangements admitting not only lines as irreducible components) admitting only quasi-homogeneous singularities.

Acknowledgement

I want to thank Alex Dimca for all discussions regarding **NTC** and Ziegler pairs.

Piotr Pokora is supported by the National Science Centre (Poland) Sonata Bis Grant **2023/50/E/ST1/00025**. For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC-BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) version arising from this submission.

References

- [1] V. I. Arnold, Local normal forms of functions. *Invent. Math.* **35**: 87 – 109 (1976).

- [2] M. Barakat, L. Kühne, Computing the nonfree locus of the moduli space of arrangements and Terao's freeness conjecture. *Math. Comp.* **92**: 1431 – 1452 (2023).
- [3] R. Burity, S. Tohăneanu, Logarithmic derivations associated to line arrangements. *J. Algebra* **581**: 327 – 352 (2021).
- [4] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, SINGULAR 4-1-1 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>, 2018.
- [5] A. Dimca, *Topics on real and complex singularities. An introduction.* Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Braunschweig/Wiesbaden: Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn. 1987.
- [6] A. Dimca, *Hyperplane arrangements. An introduction.* Universitext. Cham: Springer (ISBN 978-3-319-56220-9/pbk; 978-3-319-56221-6/ebook). xii, 200 p. (2017).
- [7] A. Dimca, Freeness versus Maximal Global Tjurina Number for Plane Curves. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **163(1)**: 161 – 172 (2017).
- [8] A. Dimca, On free curves and related open problems. **arXiv:2312.07591**.
- [9] A. Dimca and P. Pokora, On conic-line arrangements with nodes, tacnodes, and ordinary triple points. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **56(2)**: 403 – 424 (2022).
- [10] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, Free and Nearly Free Curves vs. Rational Cuspidal Plane Curves. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **54(1)**: 163 – 179 (2018).
- [11] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, From Pascal's Theorem to the geometry of Ziegler's line arrangements. **arXiv:2312.11928**.
- [12] A. Du Plessis and C. T. C. Wall, Application of the theory of the discriminant to highly singular plane curves. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **126(2)**: 259 – 266 (1999).
- [13] J. Kabat, On the freeness of Böröczky line arrangements. *Period. Math. Hung.* **78(1)**: 31 – 37 (2019).
- [14] S. Marchesi and J. Vallés, Triangular arrangements on the projective plane. *Épjournal de Géom. Algébr., EPIGA* **7**: Article 14, 20 p. (2023).
- [15] P. Pokora, \mathbb{Q} -conic arrangements in the complex projective plane. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **151(7)**: 2873 – 2880 (2023).
- [16] P. Pokora, On free and nearly free arrangements of conics admitting certain ADE singularities. *Ann Univ Ferrara* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11565-023-00481-6>.
- [17] H. Schenck, S. Tohăneanu, Freeness of conic-line arrangements in \mathbb{P}^2 . *Comment. Math. Helv.* **84**: 235 – 258 (2009).
- [18] H. Terao, Generalized Exponents of a Free Arrangement of Hyperplanes and Shepherd-Todd-Brieskorn Formula. *Invent. Math.* **63**: 159 – 179 (1981).

- [19] G. Ziegler, Combinatorial construction of logarithmic differential forms. *Adv. Math.* **76**: 116 – 154 (1989).

Piotr Pokora, Department of Mathematics, University of the National Education Commission Krakow, Podchorążych 2, PL-30-084 Kraków, Poland.

E-mail address: piotr.pokora@up.krakow.pl