

THE MODULAR PROPERTIES OF $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ TORUS 1-POINT FUNCTIONS

MATTHEW KRAUEL, JAMAL NOEL SHAFIQ, AND SIMON WOOD

ABSTRACT. Conformal field theory and its axiomatisation in terms of vertex operator algebras or chiral algebras are most commonly considered on the Riemann sphere. However, an important constraint in physics and an interesting source of mathematics is the fact that conformal field theories are expected to be well defined on any Riemann surface. To this end, a thorough understanding of chiral torus 1-point functions, ideally including explicit formulae, is a prerequisite for a detailed understanding of higher genera. These are distinguished from characters or vacuum torus 1-point functions because the insertion point is explicitly allowed to be labelled by any module over the vertex operator algebra rather than just the vertex operator algebra itself.

Compellingly, chiral torus 1-point functions exhibit interesting modular properties, which we explore here in the example of the simple affine $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ vertex operator algebras at non-negative integral levels. We determine the dimension of the space spanned by such functions, choose a natural basis to construct vector-valued modular forms and describe the congruence properties of these forms. In particular, we find explicit generators for the spaces of all vector-valued modular forms of dimension at most three, when the insertion comes from a simple module other than the vertex operator algebra. Finally, we use the fact that categories of modules over rational vertex operator algebras are modular tensor categories to give explicit formulae for the action of the modular group on chiral torus 1-point functions entirely in terms of categorical data. The usual modular S and T matrices of characters are known not to be complete invariants of modular tensor categories, so these generalised modular data are good candidates for more fine-grained invariants.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important theme of conformal field theory is well definedness, not only on the Riemann sphere, but on all Riemann surfaces in the sense that n -point correlation functions should be well defined on all these surfaces [7, 54]. The special case of vacuum torus 1-point functions has been extensively studied in the literature. For example, their congruence had long been conjectured [3, 13, 16, 17, 53] and was later proved for a large class of vertex operator algebras in [12]. Specifically, evaluating such vacuum torus 1-point functions at the vacuum vector gives characters (or graded dimensions) which are central to many important research strands, such as classifying rational (bulk) conformal field theories built from a given vertex operator algebra [6, 46], the origins of Moonshine [8, 26], classifying certain families of vertex operator algebras [22, 44, 58], and tackling number theoretic and combinatorial problems [9, 49, 50]. However, general torus 1-point functions have received far less attention. To the best of the authors' knowledge, in the context of vertex operator algebras the only case considered in the literature is the family of Virasoro minimal models [37]. Thus, one goal of this paper is to detail another family of examples: the important class of simple affine vertex operator algebras $L(k, 0)$ constructed from $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ at non-negative integral levels k , also known as $SU(2)$ Wess–Zumino–Witten models in the physics literature. We note that some relevant, but different work is performed in [19, 29] where generalisations of Jack symmetric polynomials are constructed in the context of affine Lie algebras. Meanwhile, although highest weight vectors govern the theory developed in [37], this is not the case for the vertex operator algebras here, where the story is much more subtle. Therefore, a dual goal of this paper is to improve on the tools that are available for studying torus 1-point functions, which includes the incorporation of categorical notions and resources surrounding modular tensor categories. In particular, we show that these 1-point functions are a source of infinite families of non-congruence representations of the modular group, we derive explicit formulae for q -series expansions, and we contrast these analytic number theoretic data with the categorical data of the modular tensor categories formed by $L(k, 0)$ -modules.

We provide a little more context for readers unfamiliar with n -point correlation functions in conformal field theory. On higher genus Riemann surfaces these are in practice constructed from those on the sphere by gluing together points to add handles. For each pair of points glued in this way, the number of points in the correlation function decreases by two and the genus of the surface increases by one. The configuration of these points determines the complex structure of the resulting surface with many different configurations giving equivalent complex structures. All configurations giving an equivalent complex structure are famously related by the actions of mapping class groups. Due to conformal invariance being closely

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B69, 11F12; Secondary 17B10, 17B67, 81T40.

related to the existence of complex structure, one may be tempted to conclude that a well defined conformal field theory should not be able to distinguish Riemann surfaces with equivalent complex structures. However, this is only true for bulk or full conformal field theory. For chiral conformal field theory, which is the focus here (specifically its algebraic axiomatisation in the form of vertex operator algebras), one merely has that the mapping class groups act on the spaces of chiral correlation functions, as opposed to this action being trivial. All considerations from here on will be purely chiral and so henceforth correlation function or n -point function will refer to the chiral version. In the special case of the torus with either 0 or 1 points, the mapping class groups are, respectively, $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and \mathbf{B}_3 (the Braid group on three strands). Recall that \mathbf{B}_3 is the universal central extension of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. It turns out that the action of \mathbf{B}_3 on torus 1-point functions can always be rescaled using multiplier systems to yield an action by its quotient $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Hence the properties of torus correlation functions are commonly presented in terms of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ are somewhat confusingly both commonly referred to as “the modular group” in the literature and so one speaks of modular invariance of torus 1-point functions.

While [54] gives a compelling motivation for the role of modular invariance, this has only been rigorously established for conformal field theories constructed from rational C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras. In this setting, torus n -point functions where the n points only take insertions from the vertex operator algebra can be constructed as traces of a product of n copies of the vertex operator algebra action on some module M . We call these n -point functions *vacuum torus n -point functions* because the vertex operator algebra is sometimes also called the *vacuum module* (note that the insertions need not be the vacuum vector of the vertex operator algebra). The special case of $n = 1$ with the insertion being the vacuum vector (this can also be thought of as a 0-point function) is called the character of M . In [63], Zhu proved the modular invariance of such vacuum torus n -point functions and in particular showed that vacuum torus 1-point functions are closed under the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The properties of the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ representations arising from vacuum torus 1-point functions have been heavily studied. Much of this work, for example the congruence property [12] mentioned above, rests on using the theory of tensor categories [32] and Verlinde’s formula [33, 60]. An alternative route to studying congruence now exists in the case of characters due to developments in number theory and a proof of the unbounded denominator conjecture [5]. However, again, this does not apply to general torus 1-point functions such as those studied here.

The transition from vacuum torus n -point functions to general torus n -point functions requires the replacement of vertex operator algebra actions by intertwining operators. This case, as mentioned above, has so far received far less attention within the literature and is the focus here. For rational C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras the modular invariance of general torus 1-point functions was shown in [51]. This was generalised to orbifolds in [61] and to torus n -point functions in [31]. However, neither insights from Verlinde’s formula nor the unbounded denominator property apply here. For example, it was observed in [37] that both congruence and non-congruence representations of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ appear for the Virasoro minimal models and we will show below that this is also the case for simple affine $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ vertex operator algebras at non-negative integral levels.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we review the analytic number theory required for studying vector-valued modular forms and how vertex operator algebras can be used to generate examples of vector-valued modular forms. The components (entries) of these vectors are torus 1-point functions.

In Section 3 we review and develop general tools to characterise the space of all torus 1-point functions (as modules over the algebra of holomorphic modular forms and the algebra \mathcal{R} of modular differential operators) obtained by varying the insertion vector over an entire simple vertex operator algebra module. The main results are Proposition 3.1, which gives sufficient conditions for the span of torus 1-point functions obtained from Virasoro descendants of certain vectors to be a cyclic \mathcal{R} -module, and Theorem 3.3 which gives sufficient conditions for the span of all torus 1-point functions to be a cyclic \mathcal{R} -module.

In Section 4 we introduce the simple affine vertex operator algebra constructed from $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ at non-integral levels. The main result of the section is the multi-part Theorem 4.2, which collects the most important general results surrounding the analysis of torus 1-point functions. These include finding vectors giving non-zero torus 1-point functions, establishing linear independence among a certain set of these functions, obtaining that vectors generated from these functions are

weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular forms, and providing necessary and sufficient conditions for when these are holomorphic.

In Section 5 we study spaces of vector-valued modular forms associated to the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ representations that arise in Section 4. We show the representations for forms of dimensions one and two are always congruence, while for dimension three there exists an infinite family of non-congruence representations. For all these dimensions we provide explicit formulae for certain distinguished vector-valued modular forms from which all others can be generated. We also highlight the precise levels for which the space of all holomorphic vector-valued modular forms is obtained from torus 1-point functions. For dimension four we describe the space of all torus 1-point functions in those cases that a relevant space of holomorphic vector-valued modular forms is a cyclic module over the algebra of modular differential operators. For general dimensions we identify levels of affine $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ for which the representation is non-congruence, if it is irreducible.

In Section 6 we use the fact that categories of modules over rational C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras are modular tensor categories. The categorical counterpart to torus 1-point functions are 3-point coupling spaces and we study the action of \mathbf{B}_3 on these. The action on what corresponds to vacuum torus 1-point functions is well studied and yields so called modular \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{T} matrices. These are invariants of modular tensor categories that are, however, known not to be complete invariants [48]. The full action of \mathbf{B}_3 on all torus 1-point functions therefore has the potential to be a more fine-grained invariant. We derive explicit formulae for this action in terms categorical data (specifically twists and fusing matrices) in Theorem 6.2. We use these formulae to complement the results of Section 5 by showing that a certain representation of dimension four is irreducible.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Luca Candelori, Thomas Creutzig, Cameron Franc, Terry Gannon, Simon Lentner, Christopher Marks, Geoffrey Mason, and Ingo Runkel for helpful discussions. SW's research is supported by Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) EP/V053787/1 and by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

2. MODULAR PROPERTIES OF TRACES OF INTERTWINING OPERATORS

2.1. Vector-valued modular forms. We begin by fixing notation and recalling some facts about modular forms. Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Here and throughout, τ will always lie in the complex upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{im}(z) > 0\}$ and for a variable x we denote $\mathbf{e}(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$. In particular, $q = \mathbf{e}(\tau)$ so that q lies in the interior of the complex unit disk. Let \mathcal{M} denote the \mathbb{C} -algebra of integral weight holomorphic modular forms, and denote by \mathcal{M}_k the subspace of modular forms of weight k . Recall that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the Eisenstein series of weight $2k$ are given by

$$G_{2k}(\tau) = -\frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} + \frac{2}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k-1} q^n}{1-q^n}, \quad (2.1)$$

where B_ℓ denotes the ℓ th Bernoulli number given by the generating series

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} B_\ell \frac{x^\ell}{\ell!} = \frac{x}{e^x - 1}. \quad (2.2)$$

For $k \geq 2$, G_{2k} is an example of a holomorphic modular form of weight $2k$. Moreover, \mathcal{M} is freely generated by G_4 and G_6 , that is, $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[G_4, G_6]$.

Recall that, $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, the group of integral 2×2 matrices of unit determinant, is generated by $\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and admits the presentation $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T} \mid \mathbf{S}^4 = 1, (\mathbf{ST})^3 = \mathbf{S}^2 \rangle$. This group is furnished with a natural action on \mathbb{H} via

$$\gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Additionally, for $k \in \mathbb{R}$ we set

$$j_k(\gamma; \tau) = (c\tau + d)^k. \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.1. A function $\nu: \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \{r \in \mathbb{C} \mid |r| = 1\}$ is called a *multiplier system for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of weight $k \in \mathbb{R}$* if for any $A, B \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ it satisfies

$$\nu(AB)j_k(AB; \tau) = \nu(A)\nu(B)j_k(A; B\tau)j_k(B; \tau). \quad (2.5)$$

The *cuspidal parameter* of ν is the unique $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq m < 12$ and $\nu(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{e}(m/12)$.

Note that the relation (2.5) implies that multiplier systems are uniquely characterised by their values on the generators S and T . The purpose of multiplier systems is to redefine projective representations of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (specifically representations of the braid group on three strands $B_3 = \langle S, T \mid (ST)^3 = S^2 \rangle$, where S^4 acts as a phase) so that they are no longer projective, as we shall see shortly.

Note that if ν is a multiplier system of weight k , then it is also one of weight $k + n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The remainder of this subsection does not depend on the choice of multiplier system one wishes to consider. Nevertheless, for use later we note that for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a multiplier system ν_r of weight r satisfying

$$\nu_r(T) = \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{r}{12}\right), \quad \nu_r(S) = \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{-r}{4}\right), \quad \nu_r(ST) = \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{-r}{6}\right) \quad (2.6)$$

(see, for example, [38, Proposition 2.3.2]). The multiplier system ν_r is precisely that which makes η^{2r} transform as a modular form of weight r , where η is Dedekind's eta function

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n). \quad (2.7)$$

Note that r is the cusp parameter for ν_r if and only if $0 \leq r < 12$.

Multiplier systems allow us to define an action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on tuples of functions (called vectors) on \mathbb{H} via the following.

Definition 2.2. Let $\rho: \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be a d -dimensional representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and consider holomorphic functions $f_1, \dots, f_d: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ arranged into a vector

$$F = (f_1, \dots, f_d)^t, \quad (2.8)$$

where \mathbf{x}^t denotes the transpose of a vector \mathbf{x} .

(1) The vector F is a d -dimensional *weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form of weight $k \in \mathbb{R}$ on $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$* for the representation ρ and a multiplier system ν , if the following hold.

- (i) Each f_j is meromorphic at the cusp $i\infty$.
- (ii) For each $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$F|_k^\nu \gamma = \rho(\gamma)F, \quad (2.9)$$

where we define $|_k^\nu \gamma$ on each f_j by

$$(f_j|_k^\nu \gamma)(\tau) = \nu(\gamma)^{-1} j_k(\gamma; \tau)^{-1} f_j(\gamma\tau) \quad (2.10)$$

and extend the definition of $|_k^\nu$ component wise to F .

(2) The vector F is a *holomorphic vector-valued modular form* if it is a weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form for which each f_j is holomorphic at the cusp $i\infty$.

Here we see that if the multiplier system were omitted from the action (2.10), then the $S^4 = 1$ relation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ would not necessarily hold. This would therefore define a projective action, or alternatively, an action of B_3 .

For a fixed representation $\rho: \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ and multiplier system ν of weight $k \in \mathbb{R}$, the corresponding vector spaces of weakly holomorphic and holomorphic vector-valued modular forms of weight k for representation ρ and multiplier system ν are denoted $\mathcal{M}^1(k, \rho, \nu)$ and $\mathcal{H}(k, \rho, \nu)$, respectively. As noted above, multiplier systems only determine weights up to shifts by integers and these weights are always in the same integer coset as the cusp parameter m of ν . We therefore denote by $\mathcal{M}^1(\rho, \nu) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}^1(m + n, \rho, \nu)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(m + n, \rho, \nu)$, respectively, the spaces of all weakly holomorphic and holomorphic vector-valued modular forms for the pair (ρ, ν) . Further, $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu)$ always admits a minimal weight $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{H}(p_0 + n, \rho, \nu)$ and $\mathcal{H}(p_0 - \ell, \rho, \nu) = 0$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will always assume that $\rho(T)$ is diagonal with

$$\rho(T) = \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{e}(r_1), \dots, \mathbf{e}(r_d)), \quad (2.11)$$

for real numbers r_1, \dots, r_d , in particular, $\rho(T)$ is a unitary matrix. This assumption can always be made for vertex operator algebras with a semisimple representation theory (which is the case we will specialise to shortly) as the intertwining operators can then be chosen without loss of generality to take values in simple modules. A further simplifying assumption

that is common in the number theory literature is that $\rho(\mathbf{S}^2)$ is a scalar matrix. Since \mathbf{S}^2 generates the centre of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and has finite order (in the standard realisation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ as integral 2×2 matrices with unit determinant, we have $\mathbf{S}^2 = -1$), the vector space underlying the representation ρ always admits a direct sum decomposition with $\rho(\mathbf{S}^2)$ acting as a scalar on each summand. This assumption therefore primarily simplifies the presentation of certain theorems and hence is not necessary. In the context of vertex operator algebras, \mathbf{S}^2 carries the additional interpretation of being the *charge conjugation involution* (the functor which assigns a module to its dual), so $\rho(\mathbf{S}^2)$ cannot be diagonal in a basis of intertwining operators which only take values in simple modules, if there are modules which are not self dual. For an account of the role of these assumptions in number theory one can consult, for example, [27] or [39]. In the latter, it is also assumed that $0 \leq r_1, \dots, r_d < 1$, but this will not be required here. With these assumptions, if $F \in \mathcal{M}^\dagger(k, \rho, \nu)$ we can replace Definition 2.2.1.i with the condition that each f_j has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f_j(\tau) = q^{\lambda_j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n, \quad (2.12)$$

for some real numbers λ_j (see, for example, [27] for more discussion). As described in [39], a holomorphic vector-valued modular form F requires each f_j to have an expansion (2.12) where

$$0 \leq \lambda_j \equiv r_j + \frac{m}{12} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad (2.13)$$

and m is the cusp parameter of the multiplier system ν .

Definition 2.3. Let $\rho: \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be a representation such that $\rho(T)$ is diagonal and unitary as in (2.11) and ν a multiplier system. A set of non-negative real numbers $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ satisfying (2.13) is called an *admissible set* for (ρ, ν) . The *minimal admissible set* for (ρ, ν) is the unique admissible set which additionally satisfies $\lambda_j < 1$ for each j .

As pointed out in [39], and which follows from (2.12) and (2.13) above, for a minimal admissible set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ we have that every non-zero $F \in \mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu)$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^{\lambda_1+n_1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_1(n) q^n \\ \vdots \\ q^{\lambda_d+n_d} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_d(n) q^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.14)$$

where for each j we have $a_j(0) \neq 0$ and n_j are non-negative integers.

To provide conditions for a lower bound on the minimal weight p_0 , among other things, we require the modular derivative in weight $k \in \mathbb{R}$, which is defined as

$$\partial = \partial_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} + kG_2(\tau), \quad (2.15)$$

on weight k (vector-valued) modular forms and is then extended linearly. The modular derivative increments the weight of (vector-valued) modular forms by two. In particular, the homogeneous subspaces of the ring of integral weight holomorphic modular forms are related by $\partial_k \mathcal{M}_k \subset \mathcal{M}_{k+2}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_k$ we let $\partial^n \phi$ denote the composition of operators $\partial_{k+2n} \circ \partial_{k+2(n-1)} \circ \dots \circ \partial_{k+2} \circ \partial_k \phi$. This allows us to consider an order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ monic modular differential equation in weight $k \in \mathbb{R}$, which is an ordinary differential equation of the form

$$\left(\partial_k^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \phi_{2(n-j)} \partial_k^j \right) f = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

in the disk $|q| < 1$, where $\phi_j \in \mathcal{M}_j$ for each j . For more details about monic modular differential equations see, for example, [39, 43].

The modular derivative can be adjoined to the algebra of integral weight modular forms \mathcal{M} to form

$$\mathcal{R} = \{ \phi_0 + \phi_1 \partial + \dots + \phi_n \partial^n \mid \phi_i \in \mathcal{M}, n \geq 0 \}, \quad (2.17)$$

the skew polynomial ring of modular differential operators, where addition is defined component wise, and multiplication is characterised by $\partial \cdot \phi = \phi \partial + \partial_k \phi$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_k$. In fact, for any $F \in \mathcal{M}^\dagger(k, \rho, \nu)$, defining ∂F to be ∂ applied component

wise, we find $\partial F \in \mathcal{M}^!(k+2, \rho, \nu)$. Similarly, for $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_k$ we let ϕF denote the vector F with ϕ multiplied component wise. Thus both $\mathcal{M}^!(\rho, \nu)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu)$ are left \mathcal{R} -modules with $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu)$ as an \mathcal{R} -submodule.

We conclude this section with convenient criteria for determining when the components of a holomorphic vector-valued modular form span the solution space of a monic modular differential equation and for determining the minimal weight p_0 of the space $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu)$ of holomorphic vector-valued modular forms.

Theorem 2.4 (Mason [43], Marks [39, Theorem 2.8]). *Let $\rho: \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be a representation such that $\rho(T)$ is diagonal and unitary as in (2.11), ν a multiplier system, and $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ the minimal admissible set for (ρ, ν) . Consider a holomorphic vector-valued modular form $F \in \mathcal{H}(k, \rho, \nu)$ which must therefore have an expansion of the form (2.14) such that the leading exponent of the j th component is $\lambda_j + n_j$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If the components of F are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} , then the weight p is bounded below by the inequality*

$$p \geq \frac{12(\sum_j (\lambda_j + n_j))}{d} + 1 - d, \quad (2.18)$$

and equality holds if and only if the components of F span the solution space of a monic modular differential equation. In particular, the minimal weight p_0 of $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{H}(p_0 + n, \rho, \nu)$ satisfies

$$p_0 \geq \frac{12(\sum_j (\lambda_j))}{d} + 1 - d. \quad (2.19)$$

2.2. Modularity of torus 1-point functions. The purpose of this section is to fix notation relating to vertex operator algebras and to introduce torus 1-point functions, which can be defined in a number of ways. Here we shall define and construct them as suitable traces of intertwining operators. We mostly follow the conventions of [23], however, since few textbooks cover intertwining operators, we introduce these in greater detail. See [34] for an exhaustive account of intertwining operators and the tensor structures that arise from them.

Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra, where V denotes the underlying vector space, Y the field map, $\mathbf{1}$ the vacuum vector, and ω the conformal vector. The central charge of the Virasoro algebra generated by the field expansion of $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$ will be denoted \mathbf{c} . Further, let (U, Y_U) be a V -module, with U the underlying vector space and Y_U the field map (or action) representing the vertex operator algebra V . We will always assume that modules are graded by generalised L_0 eigenvalues, that is,

$$U = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{C}} U_n, \quad U_n = \{u \in U \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{N}, (L_0 - n)^m u = 0\}. \quad (2.20)$$

For a homogeneous element $u \in U_n$, we denote the *conformal weight* n of u by $\mathrm{wt}(u) = n$.

Definition 2.5. Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra and let (U_i, Y_{U_i}) , $i = 1, 2, 3$ be V -modules. Consider a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}: U_1 \otimes U_2 &\rightarrow U_3\{z\}[\log(z)] \\ u_1 \otimes u_2 &\mapsto \mathcal{Y}(u_1, z)u_2 = \sum_{\substack{s \in \mathbb{C} \\ t \geq 0}} (u_1)_{s,t} u_2 z^{-s-1} \log(z)^t, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where $\{z\}$ denotes unbounded power series with arbitrary complex exponents and $\log(z)$ is a formal variable satisfying the relation $\frac{d}{dz} \log(z) = 1/z$. Such a map is called an *intertwining operator of type* $\binom{U_3}{U_1, U_2}$, if it satisfies the following conditions.

(1) **Truncation:** For fixed $u_1 \in U_1$, $u_2 \in U_2$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $t \geq 0$,

$$(u_1)_{s+\ell, t} u_2 = 0 \quad (2.22)$$

for sufficiently large $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) **L_{-1} -derivative:** For any $u_1 \in U_1$ and $u_2 \in U_2$,

$$\frac{d}{dz} \mathcal{Y}(u_1, z)u_2 = \mathcal{Y}(L_{-1}u_1, z)u_2. \quad (2.23)$$

(3) Jacobi identity: For any $v \in V$, $u_1 \in U_1$, $u_2 \in U_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_{U_3}(v, z_1) \mathcal{Y}(u_1, z_2) u_2 &= z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-z_2 + z_1}{z_0}\right) \mathcal{Y}(u_1, z_2) Y_{U_2}(v, z_1) u_2 \\ &+ z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) \mathcal{Y}(Y_{U_1}(v, z_0) u_1, z_2) u_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$\delta\left(\frac{a-b}{c}\right) = \sum_{\substack{r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ s \geq 0}} \binom{r}{s} (-1)^s a^r b^s c^{-r} \quad (2.25)$$

is the algebraic Dirac delta function.

We will soon specialise to rational C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras. Among other helpful properties, such vertex operator algebras only admit modules for which L_0 acts semisimply with finite-dimensional eigenspaces, and all eigenvalues are rational, bounded below, and discrete. Furthermore, intertwining operators do not contain any $\log(z)$ terms. Given two V -modules (U, Y_U) and (W, Y_W) , we are particularly interested in intertwining operators \mathcal{Y} of type $\binom{W}{U, W}$. Note that we use the symbol $\binom{W}{U, W}$ both to denote the type of an intertwining operator and to denote the vector space of all intertwining operators of that type. For any L_0 eigenvector $u \in U_{\text{wt}(u)}$, we define the \mathcal{Y} zero mode $o^{\mathcal{Y}}(u)$ of u to be the coefficient of $z^{-\text{wt}(u)}$ in the expansion of $\mathcal{Y}(u, z)$. This zero mode preserves generalised L_0 eigenvalues, that is, $o^{\mathcal{Y}}(u)(W_m) \subset W_m$ for all generalised L_0 eigenvalues $m \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.6. Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra, (U, Y_U) , (W, Y_W) be V -modules, and \mathcal{Y} be an intertwining operator of type $\binom{W}{U, W}$. The *torus 1-point function associated to \mathcal{Y}* is the trace

$$\psi^{\mathcal{Y}}(u, \tau) = \text{tr}_W o^{\mathcal{Y}}(u) q^{L(0) - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24}}, \quad u \in U. \quad (2.26)$$

If the intertwining operator \mathcal{Y} is clear from context, we will omit \mathcal{Y} as a label for zero modes and torus 1-point functions.

Beyond the standard expansions of fields, we will also need to consider transformed expansions

$$Y[a, z] = Y(a, e^z - 1) e^{z \text{wt}(a)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{[n]} z^{-n-1}, \quad a \in V_{\text{wt}(a)}, \quad (2.27)$$

and extended linearly, which implies the formula

$$a_{[n]} = \text{Res}_z (Y(a, z) (\log(1+z))^n (1+z)^{\text{wt}(a)-1}). \quad (2.28)$$

For example, for $a \in V_{\text{wt}(a)}$ we have

$$a_{[0]} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wt}(a)-1}{j} a_j, \quad (2.29)$$

so that if $a \in V_1$ we obtain $a_{[0]} = a_0$. In fact, the map (2.27) gives V another structure of a vertex operator algebra of central charge \mathbf{c} with the same vacuum vector and conformal element $\tilde{\omega} = \omega - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} \mathbf{1}$ (see [63, Section 4] for details). Similar to above, defining $L_{[n]}$ via $Y[\tilde{\omega}, z] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{[n]} z^{-n-2}$ gives us a *square bracket grading*

$$U = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{C}} U_{[n]}, \quad U_{[n]} = \{u \in U \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{N}, (L_{[0]} - n)^m u = 0\}. \quad (2.30)$$

If $u \in U_{[n]}$ we write $\text{wt}[u] = n$. In the case where the vertex operator algebra V is rational and C_2 -cofinite, we again have that $L_{[0]}$ acts semisimply with finite-dimensional eigenspaces, and that all eigenvalues are rational, bounded below, and discrete. Additionally, if we assume that U is simple then the minimal L_0 and $L_{[0]}$ eigenvalues will be equal and denoted h_U , which is a rational number [11], and is called the *conformal weight of the module U* . Further, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $U_{[h_U+n]} \subset \bigoplus_{m=0}^n U_{h_U+m}$ and $U_{h_U+n} \subset \bigoplus_{m=0}^n U_{[h_U+m]}$.

For later use we prepare some helpful identities involving torus 1-point functions and square bracket expansions.

Proposition 2.7 (Zhu [63], Miyamoto [51, Proposition 3.1 and 3.3]). *Let V be a vertex operator algebra, U, W be V -modules, and \mathcal{Y} an intertwining operator of type $\binom{W}{U, W}$. Then for any $a \in V$ and $u \in U$ we have*

$$\psi^{\mathcal{Y}}(a_{[0]} u, \tau) = 0 \quad (2.31)$$

and

$$\psi^{\mathfrak{Y}}(a_{[-1]}u, \tau) = \text{tr}|_W o(a)o(u)q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} G_{2\ell}(\tau)\psi^{\mathfrak{Y}}(a_{[2\ell-1]}u, \tau). \quad (2.32)$$

The identity (2.31) can be specialised and refined as follows.

Proposition 2.8. *Let V be a vertex operator algebra and U a V -module with a decomposition into generalised $L_{[0]}$ eigenspaces as in (2.30). Suppose that for any $x, y \in V_1$ the binary operation $[x, y] = \text{Res}_z Y(x, z)y = x_0y$ furnishes V_1 with the structure of a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra and thus each homogeneous space $U_{[m]}$ is a module over V_1 . Let $U_{[m]} = U_{[m]}^{\text{triv}} \oplus U_{[m]}^{\text{non-triv}}$ be the unique decomposition into the maximal trivial submodule $U_{[m]}^{\text{triv}}$ and its complement $U_{[m]}^{\text{non-triv}}$ containing all non-trivial simple summands. Then for any $u \in U_{[m]}^{\text{non-triv}}$, any V -module W , and any intertwining operator \mathfrak{Y} of type $\binom{W}{U, W}$, we have*

$$\psi^{\mathfrak{Y}}(u, \tau) = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

Proof. Recall that for $a \in V_1$ the square and non-square zero modes coincide, that is, $a_{[0]} = a_0$. Since by assumption V_1 is a reductive Lie algebra, we can decompose $U_{[m]}$ into a direct sum of irreducible V_1 -modules and group them into trivial and non-trivial ones. In particular

$$U_{[m]}^{\text{triv}} = \{u \in U_{[m]} \mid \forall a \in V_1, a_0u = 0\}, \quad U_{[m]}^{\text{non-triv}} = \{a_0u \mid a \in V_1, u \in U_{[m]}\}. \quad (2.34)$$

Therefore, if u lies in a simple non-trivial submodule of $U_{[m]}$, then it also lies in the image of some $a \in V_1$ and thus by Proposition 2.7 the result follows. \blacksquare

Note that a sufficient condition for V_1 being a Lie algebra under the bracket given above is $\dim(V_0) = 1$ and $\dim(V_n) = 0$ for $n < 0$. If in addition to being rational V is C_2 -cofinite and $L_1V_1 = 0$, then V_1 is reductive [15], which is the case for the examples we shall consider later.

For the remainder of this article we assume that V is a vertex operator algebra for which the conformal weights are bounded below by 0, the conformal weight 0 space V_0 is 1-dimensional, V is C_2 -cofinite, the contragredient or graded dual V^* satisfies $V^* \cong V$, and V is rational, i.e., the category of admissible modules, $\text{Rep}(V)$, is semisimple. The C_2 -cofiniteness of V implies that $\text{Rep}(V)$ admits only a finite number of inequivalent simple V -modules $V = W^1, \dots, W^{d_V}$ for some $d_V \in \mathbb{N}$ [63] (see also [10]). In this notation, for each $\mu \in \{1, \dots, d_V\}$, we let h_μ denote the conformal weight of W^μ , where $h_1 = 0$. Further, C_2 -cofiniteness also implies that the central charge and conformal weights of all modules are rational [52, Corollaries 5.10 and 5.11] (see also [11, Theorem 1.1]). Note that the assumptions $\dim(V_0) = 1$, $V \cong V^*$, and that the conformal weights are bounded below by 0 are not required for the space of torus 1-point functions to be closed under the action of the modular group [63] (see also [11]). They are necessary to prove that $\text{Rep}(V)$ is rigid and, additionally, a modular tensor category [33].

Given a simple V -module W^λ we introduce the vector space of intertwining operators

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\mu} \binom{W^\mu}{W^\lambda W^\mu}. \quad (2.35)$$

Let $N_{\lambda, \mu}^\mu$ denote the dimension of the space of intertwining operators of type $\binom{W^\mu}{W^\lambda W^\mu}$ so that $\dim(\mathcal{J}_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu} N_{\lambda, \mu}^\mu$. Note that in the special case when W^λ is the vertex operator algebra V , we have $N_{\lambda, \mu}^\mu = 1$ for all labels μ and there is a distinguished basis given by the field maps Y_{W^μ} , that is, the action of V on W^μ .

The space of 1-point functions, $\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)$, with insertion from a simple module W^λ admits a number of characterisations in various level of generality, however, for rational C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras this space can always be realised as the span

$$\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda) = \{\psi^{\mathfrak{Y}}(-, \tau) \mid \mathfrak{Y} \in \mathcal{J}_\lambda\}, \quad (2.36)$$

see [61, Theorem 5.1] for details, which we use as the definition here, for simplicity. Further, we define the space of torus 1-point functions evaluated at $u \in W^\lambda$ to be

$$\mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda) = \{\psi^\mathfrak{y}(u, \tau) \mid \mathfrak{y} \in \mathcal{J}_\lambda\}. \quad (2.37)$$

Bounds on dimensions are then given by

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda)) \leq \dim(\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)) \leq \dim(\mathcal{J}_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d_V} N_{\lambda, \mu}^\mu. \quad (2.38)$$

Theorem 2.9 (Miyamoto [51, Theorem 5.1], Yamauchi [61, Theorem 5.1], Huang [31, Theorem 7.3]). *Recall the multiplier system ν_r given in (2.6). Let V be a rational C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra. Then for any simple module W^λ and any homogeneous vector $u \in W_{[\text{wt}[u]]}^\lambda$, every torus 1-point function $\psi(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda)$ evaluated at u is a holomorphic function on \mathbb{H} . For $\gamma \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $u \in W_{[\text{wt}[u]]}^\lambda$,*

$$\psi^\mathfrak{y}(u, \tau)|_\gamma = \nu_{\text{wt}[u]}(\gamma)^{-1} j_{\text{wt}[u]}(\gamma; \tau)^{-1} \psi^\mathfrak{y}(u, \gamma\tau) \in \mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda) \quad (2.39)$$

defines an action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda)$ which lifts to an action on $\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)$.

Recall that the weight of a multiplier system can be freely shifted by integers and that for u as in the theorem above $\text{wt}[u] - h_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can therefore define the right action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda)$ to be

$$\left(\psi^\mathfrak{y}|_{\text{wt}[u]}^\lambda\right)(u, \tau) = \nu_{h_\lambda}(\gamma)^{-1} j_{\text{wt}[u]}(\gamma; \tau)^{-1} \psi^\mathfrak{y}(u, \gamma\tau), \quad (2.40)$$

thus making the multiplier system independent of the choice of vector $u \in W^\lambda$.

To transition from considering right $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ actions on $\mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda)$ to vector-valued modular forms, we need to choose elements in $\mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda)$ to form the components of a vector, which we shall now do. Let \mathcal{B}_λ^μ be a basis for the space of intertwining operators of type $\begin{pmatrix} W^\mu \\ W^\lambda W^\mu \end{pmatrix}$ which is the empty set if λ, μ are such that $N_{\lambda, \mu}^\mu = 0$ as the corresponding space of intertwining operators will vanish. Let

$$\Xi_\lambda = \bigcup_{\mu} \mathcal{B}_\lambda^\mu \quad (2.41)$$

be the union of all these bases for fixed λ , thus forming a basis of \mathcal{J}_λ . The space of intertwining operators \mathcal{J}_λ and the spaces of torus 1-point functions $\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda)$ are related by the linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}^\lambda: \mathcal{J}_\lambda &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda), & \text{ev}_u: \mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda), \\ \mathfrak{y} &\mapsto \text{tr} o^\mathfrak{y}(-) q^{L(0) - \frac{c}{24}} & f(-, \tau) &\mapsto f(u, \tau) \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

that is, the first map is the taking of traces and the second is evaluation at the vector $u \in W^\lambda$. These maps are surjective by construction, hence their composition is too, yet they need not be injective. In particular, certain choices of $u \in W^\lambda$ can lead to large kernels. For example, if V is the simple affine vertex operator algebra constructed from $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ at level 3, with W^λ chosen to be V , and $u = \mathbf{1}$, then we have $|\Xi_\lambda| = 10$ while $\dim(\mathcal{C}_1(V)) = 6$. That is, there are 10 simple modules up to equivalence, yet the span of characters is only 6-dimensional. Indeed, Proposition 2.8 shows that there can exist non-zero $u \in W^\lambda$ for which ev_u is the zero map.

Setting $\delta(\lambda) = \dim \mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)$, let $\Delta_\lambda \subset \text{tr}^\lambda(\Xi_\lambda) = \{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^{\delta(\lambda)}\}$ be a linearly independent subset of the image of the basis Ξ_λ and hence a basis of $\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)$, and define the vector $\Psi_\lambda = (\psi^1, \dots, \psi^{\delta(\lambda)})^t$. Then Theorem 2.9 can be restated as follows.

Theorem 2.10. *For any $u \in W_{[\text{wt}[u]]}^\lambda$ the space $\mathcal{C}_1^u(W^\lambda)$ carries a \mathbb{T} -unitarisable representation $\rho_\lambda: \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\delta(\lambda), \mathbb{C})$ such that $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is a $\delta(\lambda)$ -dimensional weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form of weight $\text{wt}[u]$, representation ρ_λ , and multiplier system ν_{h_λ} . That is, $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}^1(\text{wt}[u], \rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda})$. For each component ψ^j of Ψ_λ let μ_j be the corresponding module label, that is, ψ^j is the trace of an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W^{\mu_j} \\ W^\lambda W^{\mu_j} \end{pmatrix}$. Then additionally*

$$\rho_\lambda(T) = \text{diag}\{\mathbf{e}(r_1), \dots, \mathbf{e}(r_{\delta(\lambda)})\}, \quad (2.43)$$

where

$$r_j = h_{\mu_j} - \frac{c}{24} - \frac{h_\lambda}{12}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \delta(\lambda). \quad (2.44)$$

Moreover, if $h_{\mu_j} - \mathbf{c}/24 \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \delta(\lambda)$, then $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{H}(\text{wt}[u], \rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda})$.

Proof. By construction every basis element $\psi^j \in \Delta_\lambda$ is the image of an intertwining operator that takes values in a simple module. The exponents of q in the series expansion of ψ^j will therefore only differ by integers and hence the matrix for T will be diagonal and all diagonal entries will be complex numbers of modulus 1. That $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is a $|\Xi_\lambda|$ -dimensional weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form of weight $\text{wt}[u]$, representation ρ_λ , and multiplier system ν_{h_λ} follows from Theorem 2.9 (for additional details, see [38, Proposition 2.5.2]). Taking $\gamma = T$ in (2.9) gives

$$\rho_\lambda(T)\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau) = \nu_{h_\lambda}(T)^{-1} j_{\text{wt}[u]}(T; \tau)^{-1} \Psi_\lambda(u, \tau + 1) = \mathbf{e}\left(-\frac{h_\lambda}{12} - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24}\right) \text{diag}\{\mathbf{e}(h_{\mu_1}), \dots, \mathbf{e}(h_{\mu_{\delta(\lambda)}})\} \Psi_\lambda(u, \tau), \quad (2.45)$$

and thus (2.43) and (2.44). Meanwhile, $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is holomorphic at $i\infty$ if and only if each component function ψ^j is holomorphic at $i\infty$, and this is true if and only if $h_{\mu_j} - \mathbf{c}/24 \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \delta(\lambda)$. ■

We stress that choices were made to construct the vector $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}^1(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda})$ from $\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)$. For example, for any $U \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ the components of the vector $U\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ will also form a basis of $\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)$ and hence give rise to an equivalent representation ρ_U related to the previously constructed representation via $\rho_U(\gamma) = U\rho_\lambda(\gamma)U^{-1}$ for all $\gamma \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, $U\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}^1(\text{wt}[u], \rho_U, \nu_{h_\lambda})$. The association of $\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)$ to vector-valued modular forms is therefore only determined up to a choice of basis.

A natural question to ask, is if there is a discrepancy between the dimension of the space of intertwining operators \mathcal{J}_λ and that of the unevaluated torus 1-point functions $\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda)$, or equivalently, if the trace map tr^λ in (2.42) has a non-trivial kernel. In [63, Theorem 5.3.1] it was shown that the kernel is trivial in the special case $W^\lambda = V$. However, it is currently not known, if this is true or false for general W^λ . A sufficient condition for the kernel being trivial is the existence of a vector $u \in W^\lambda$ such that the image of the basis Ξ_λ under the composition $\text{ev}_u \circ \text{tr}^\lambda$ is linearly independent, as in this case the inequalities (2.38) are all equalities. Such vectors will also be shown to exist below (not assuming $W^\lambda = V$) in the example of the simple affine vertex operator algebra constructed from $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ at any non-negative integer level.

3. GENERAL RESULTS ON SPACES OF 1-POINT FUNCTIONS

In this section we consider the behaviour of evaluated torus 1-point functions, when one lets the insertion vector $u \in W^\lambda$ vary. Let

$$\mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)_n = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau) \mid u \in W^\lambda_{[h_\lambda+n]}\} \quad (3.1)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$\mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)_n. \quad (3.2)$$

That is, $\mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)$ is the space of all evaluations of the $\Psi(-, \tau)$ at any $u \in W^\lambda$. Additionally, for $u \in W^\lambda$ define

$$\text{Vir}(u) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_{[-n_1]} \cdots L_{[-n_\ell]} u \mid n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subset W^\lambda, \quad (3.3)$$

which is the space of Virasoro descendants of u . Note that $\text{Vir}(u)$ is a Virasoro module, that is, closed under the action of the Virasoro algebra, if and only if u is a singular vector (an $L_{[0]}$ -eigenvector that is annihilated by all positive Virasoro modes). For $u \in W^\lambda$, set

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \mid w \in \text{Vir}(u)\} \subset \mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda), \quad \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)_n = \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) \cap \mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)_n. \quad (3.4)$$

That is, $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)$ consisting of all evaluations of $\Psi_\lambda(-, \tau)$ on Virasoro descendants of u . Recall the ring of modular differential operators given in (2.17).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $u \in W^\lambda_{[\text{wt}[u}]$ be a homogeneous vector whose conformal weight satisfies the condition $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) = 0$ for all homogeneous vectors $w \in W^\lambda_{[\text{wt}[w}]$ with $\text{wt}[w] < \text{wt}[u]$. Then $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$. Furthermore, if $-\text{wt}[u] \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ then $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) = \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$, that is, $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ is cyclic as an \mathcal{R} -module and is generated by $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$.*

Proof. This proof is a generalisation of [14, Proposition 2(b)].

We first prove $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$. Consider $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ and note $w \in W_{[h_\lambda+N]}^\lambda$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Set $k = \text{wt}[u] - h_\lambda$. We will prove by induction on N that $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$. If $N < k$ then $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) = 0$ by assumption. Note that if $N = k$, then w is a scalar multiple of u since $w \in \text{Vir}(u)$ and so $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ for $N \leq k$.

Suppose the result holds for an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and consider the case $w \in W_{[h_\lambda+N+1]}^\lambda$. Since $w \in \text{Vir}(u)$ and w is not a scalar multiple of u , we may assume without loss of generality that $w = L_{[-n_1]}L_{[-n_2]} \cdots L_{[-n_t]}u$, where n_j equals 1 or 2 for all $1 \leq j \leq t$ since $L_{[-1]}$ and $L_{[-2]}$ generate $L_{[-n]}$ for all $n > 0$. In the case $n_1 = 1$, we have by (2.31) (recall $\omega_{[n+1]} = L_{[n]}$) that $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) = 0$. If $n_1 = 2$, then setting $x = L_{[-n_2]} \cdots L_{[-n_t]}u$ and using (2.32) we have

$$\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) = \partial\Psi_\lambda(x, \tau) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} G_{2j}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(L_{[2j-2]}x, \tau). \quad (3.5)$$

Since $\text{wt}[x]$ and $\text{wt}[L_{[2j-2]}x]$ are both strictly less than $\text{wt}[w] = h_\lambda + N + 1$, our induction hypothesis implies $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$.

We turn to showing that $\mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ if $-\text{wt}[u] \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. Recall every element in $\mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is of the form

$$(\phi_0 + \phi_1\partial + \cdots + \phi_t\partial^t)\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau), \quad (3.6)$$

for $\phi_\ell \in \mathcal{M}$ with $0 \leq \ell \leq t$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We also have that ϕ_ℓ is a linear combination of terms $G_4(\tau)^i G_6(\tau)^j$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, by linearity, we need only show that $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ is closed under taking modular derivatives ∂ , and multiplication by $G_4(\tau)$ and $G_6(\tau)$ if $-\text{wt}[u] \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. For $z \in \text{Vir}(u)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, set $x_r(z) = L_{[-2]}L_{[-1]}^{2r}z$. Then for $r \geq 1$,

$$\Psi_\lambda(x_r(z), \tau) = \alpha G_{2r+2}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(z, \tau) + \sum_{\ell=r+2}^{\infty} G_{2\ell}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(x_{\ell,r,z}, \tau) \quad (3.7)$$

(see the proof of [14, Proposition 2(b)]), where α is a complex number that is 0 if and only if $\text{wt}[z] = 0$, and $x_{\ell,r,z} \in \text{Vir}(u)$ satisfies $\text{wt}[x_{\ell,r,z}] < \text{wt}[z]$ for $\ell \geq r+2$.

We first claim that for any $\ell \geq 2$ and $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ we have $G_{2\ell}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$. We prove this by induction on $\text{wt}[w]$, and more specifically, by induction on the non-negative integer n such that $\text{wt}[w] = \text{wt}[u] + n$. In the case $n = 0$, we have w is a scalar multiple of u and (3.7) gives

$$\Psi_\lambda(x_r(w), \tau) = \alpha G_{2r+2}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) + \sum_{\ell=r+2}^{\infty} G_{2\ell}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(x_{\ell,r,w}, \tau) = \alpha G_{2r+2}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \quad (3.8)$$

since $\text{wt}[x_{\ell,r,w}] < \text{wt}[u]$ so that $\Psi_\lambda(x_{\ell,r,w}, \tau) = 0$ for $\ell \geq r+2$ by assumption. Here α is non-zero by our assumption $-\text{wt}[u] \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus $G_{2r+2}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ for $r \geq 1$ and the base case is established. Assume $G_{2\ell}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ for any $\ell \geq 2$ when $\text{wt}[w] = \text{wt}[u] + m$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$. Consider the $n+1$ case, i.e., $\text{wt}[w] = \text{wt}[u] + n + 1$. Then (3.7) becomes

$$\Psi_\lambda(x_r(w), \tau) = \alpha G_{2r+2}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) + \sum_{\ell=r+2}^{\infty} G_{2\ell}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(x_{\ell,r,w}, \tau) \quad (3.9)$$

where $\text{wt}[x_{\ell,r,w}] < \text{wt}[w] = \text{wt}[u] + n + 1$ for $\ell \geq r+2$. Again, α is non-zero since $\text{wt}[w] > \text{wt}[u]$ and $-\text{wt}[u] \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, so $\text{wt}[w] \neq 0$. As such, we have by our induction hypothesis that $G_{2\ell}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(x_{\ell,r,w}, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ for $\ell \geq r+2$. It follows that $G_{2r+2}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ for $r \geq 1$.

Next, we establish that $\partial\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ for any $w \in \text{Vir}(u)$. Indeed, (2.32) gives

$$\Psi_\lambda(x_0(w), \tau) = \partial\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) + \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} G_{2\ell}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(x_{\ell,0,w}, \tau) \quad (3.10)$$

where $\text{wt}[x_{\ell,0,w}] < \text{wt}[w]$. Since $x_{\ell,0,w} \in \text{Vir}(u)$ and $G_{2\ell}(\tau)\Psi_\lambda(x_{\ell,0,w}, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ for $\ell \geq 2$ we have $\partial\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$. Thus $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ is closed under the action of \mathcal{R} and hence $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) = \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau)$. ■

Since the above proposition gives the decomposition of $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ as an \mathcal{R} -module it is natural to ask how it decomposes as an \mathcal{M} -module. To this end we require the following lemma.

Proposition 3.2 (Marks-Mason [41, Theorem 1], Marks [39, Lemma 2.7]). *Let $F \in \mathcal{H}(k, \rho, \nu)$ be a d -dimensional holomorphic vector-valued modular form of weight k for a representation and multiplier system (ρ, ν) , whose components form a fundamental set of solutions for a monic modular differential equation. Then the set $\{F, \partial F, \dots, \partial^{d-1}F\}$ is an \mathcal{M} -basis for*

$\mathcal{R}F$. Further, if $c(d, n) \in \mathbb{N}$ are the coefficients of the series expansion

$$\frac{1 - t^{2d}}{(1 - t^2)(1 - t^4)(1 - t^6)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} c(d, n)t^n, \quad (3.11)$$

then the weight $k + n$ homogeneous subspace $(\mathcal{R}F)_n = \{(\phi_0 + \phi_1\partial + \phi_2\partial^2 + \dots)F \mid \phi_j \in \mathcal{M}_{n-2j}, j \geq 0\}$ satisfies

$$\dim((\mathcal{R}F)_n) = c(d, n). \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. In [41, Theorem 1] it is shown that $\mathcal{H}(k, \rho, \nu)$ is free and rank d over \mathcal{M} . Further, in [39, Lemma 2.7] it is shown that $\{F, \partial F, \dots, \partial^{d-1}F\}$ is linearly independent over \mathcal{M} . By assumption, the components of F form a fundamental set of solutions for a monic modular differential equation of degree d , hence $\partial^d F$ lies in the \mathcal{M} -span of $\{F, \partial F, \dots, \partial^{d-1}F\}$. Thus $\{F, \partial F, \dots, \partial^{d-1}F\}$ is an \mathcal{M} -basis for $\mathcal{R}F$. The series (3.11) is the Hilbert-Poincaré series for $\mathcal{R}F$, see [39, Equation 2.9]. ■

Theorem 3.3. Let $u \in W_{[\text{wt}[u]]}^\lambda$ be a homogeneous vector whose conformal weight satisfies the condition $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) = 0$ for all homogeneous vectors $w \in W_{[\text{wt}[w]]}^\lambda$ with $\text{wt}[w] < \text{wt}[u]$. Let $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\delta(\lambda)}\}$ (recall $\delta(\lambda) = \dim(\mathcal{C}_1(W^\lambda))$) be the leading exponents of $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ and let μ_{\min}, μ_{\max} be the least and greatest leading exponent, respectively. Suppose further that the following four conditions hold.

- (1) The subspace $N = \{w \in W_{[\text{wt}[u]]}^\lambda \mid \Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) = 0\}$ has codimension 1 in $W_{[\text{wt}[u]]}^\lambda$.
- (2) The exponents $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\delta(\lambda)}\}$ saturate the inequality (2.18), that is, it is an equality.
- (3) The exponents $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\delta(\lambda)}\}$ of $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ are minimal among all vector-valued modular forms in $\mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)$, that is, for any $F \in \mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)$ the leading exponent of the j th component will be at least μ_j .
- (4) The space $\mathcal{H}(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$ is cyclic over \mathcal{R} .

Then

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda) \subset \eta^{24\mu_{\min}} \mathcal{H}(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}}) \quad (3.13)$$

and $\{\mu_1 - \mu_{\min}, \dots, \mu_{\delta(\lambda)} - \mu_{\min}\}$ is an admissible set for $(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$. Moreover, let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\delta(\lambda)}\}$ be the minimal admissible set for $(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$ and define

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{\delta(\lambda)} (\mu_i - \mu_{\min} - \lambda_i). \quad (3.14)$$

Then

$$c(\delta(\lambda), n) = \dim(\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)_n) \leq \dim(\mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)_n) \leq c\left(\delta(\lambda), n + 12 \frac{M}{\delta(\lambda)}\right), \quad (3.15)$$

where $c(\delta(\lambda), n)$ are the graded dimensions of (3.12). If additionally

- (5) $\mu_{\max} - \mu_{\min} < 1$,

then $\{\mu_1 - \mu_{\min}, \dots, \mu_{\delta(\lambda)} - \mu_{\min}\}$ is the minimal admissible set for $(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$. Finally, if in addition to the five conditions above

- (6) $-\text{wt}[u] \notin \mathbb{N}_0$,

then

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) = \mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda) = \eta^{24\mu_{\min}} \mathcal{H}(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}}) \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\dim(\mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)_n) = c(\delta(\lambda), n). \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) = \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ if $-\text{wt}[u] \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. Since the exponents of $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ saturate the inequality (2.18), so do the exponents of $\eta^{-24\mu_{\min}} \Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ and the exponents of each component function are all non-negative, hence $\eta^{-24\mu_{\min}} \Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is holomorphic. Since η commutes with modular derivatives, we further have that $\mathcal{R}\eta^{-24\mu_{\min}} \Psi_\lambda(u, \tau) = \eta^{-24\mu_{\min}} \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau) = \eta^{-24\mu_{\min}} \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$. Additionally, the leading exponents of $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ are minimal among all vector-valued modular forms in $\mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)$, we therefore have $\eta^{-24\mu_{\min}} \mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{H}(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$ and (3.13) follows.

The bounds on the graded dimension then follow from the fact that $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$ are both cyclic \mathcal{R} -modules and the weight of the cyclic generator of $\mathcal{H}(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$ differs from the weight of $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ by $12\frac{M}{\delta(\lambda)}$.

If $\mu_{\max} - \mu_{\min} < 1$, then $\{\mu_1 - \mu_{\min}, \dots, \mu_{\delta(\lambda)} - \mu_{\min}\}$ is a minimal admissible set for $(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$. Thus $\eta^{-24\mu_{\min}}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ has the same weight as the cyclic generator of $\mathcal{H}(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$, which lies in a 1-dimensional weight space, that is, $\eta^{-24\mu_{\min}}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is a non-zero scalar multiple of the cyclic generator. Hence $\eta^{-24\mu_{\min}}\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) = \mathcal{H}(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda - 12\mu_{\min}})$, which implies (3.16) and also the dimension formula (3.17). \blacksquare

Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 generalise Lemma 2.1, Theorem 3.5, and Corollary 3.3 of [37]. Additionally, we note here that the necessary condition on the values of $\text{wt}[u]$ is absent in the statement of Lemma 2.1 in [37], and thus also Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.3 in loc. cit. Indeed, in the notation of that paper, these results and the relevant discussion should all include the assumption that $-h_{m,n} \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. Fortunately, in the application of these results to the Virasoro minimal models in [37, Section 3], the analysis of small dimensions automatically excludes $-h_{m,n} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with one exception. This exception is in the 1-dimensional setting where the trivial case of $(m, n) = (1, 1)$ is included in the second statement of Theorem 3.7 when it should not be. However, this corresponds to the Virasoro minimal model at central charge $c = 0$ (i.e., the trivial vertex operator algebra isomorphic to \mathbb{C}) acting on itself. In this case (up to rescaling) there is only one torus 1-point function and it is constant.

4. AFFINE $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$

In this section we apply the theory of the previous section to the simple affine vertex operator algebra at non-negative integral level k associated to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, which we denote $L(k, 0)$. The central charge of this vertex operator algebra is

$$\mathbf{c} = \frac{3k}{k+2}. \quad (4.1)$$

Denote the standard $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ Chevalley basis by $\{e, h, f\}$ subject to the standard commutation relations

$$[e, f] = h, \quad [h, e] = 2e, \quad [h, f] = -2f, \quad (4.2)$$

with h spanning a choice of Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathbb{C}h$. Further we identify the root lattice with even integers, $Q \cong 2\mathbb{Z}$, and the weight lattice with the integers, $P \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We normalise the Killing form such that the length squared of the highest root is 2, that is, the non-vanishing pairings are $\kappa(e, f) = 1$, $\kappa(h, h) = 2$. As is well known, $L(k, 0)$ and its representation theory satisfy the assumptions given in the paragraph preceding (2.35). In particular, the representation theory of $L(k, 0)$ is semisimple and a complete set of representatives of simple modules is given by the simple quotients of affine Verma modules $L(k, \mu)$, where $0 \leq \mu \leq k$ is the h_0 eigenvalue of the highest weight vector (also known as the finite part of the affine weight) [24]. The conformal weight of this highest weight vector is

$$h_\mu = \frac{\mu(\mu+2)}{4(k+2)}. \quad (4.3)$$

In order to obtain non-vanishing trace functions $\psi^\lambda(u, \tau)$, we need to find non-vanishing spaces of intertwining operators of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} L(k, \mu) \\ L(k, \lambda) \end{smallmatrix} L(k, \mu) \right)$, and a suitable basis Ξ_λ as defined in (2.41). Note that as intertwining operator spaces for triples of simple $L(k, 0)$ -modules are always at most 1-dimensional, we will identify the basis vectors in Ξ_λ with the index μ appearing in $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} L(k, \mu) \\ L(k, \lambda) \end{smallmatrix} L(k, \mu) \right)$. Further, let ψ^μ denote the trace of the basis intertwining operator corresponding to the label μ over the module $L(k, \mu)$, as in (2.26).

Proposition 4.1. *Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$, then*

$$\Xi_\lambda = \begin{cases} \left\{ \mu \mid \frac{\lambda}{2} \leq \mu \leq k - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} & \lambda \text{ even,} \\ \emptyset & \lambda \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

In particular, if λ is even then $|\Xi_\lambda| = k - \lambda + 1$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the $L(k, 0)$ fusion rules given by $L(k, \lambda) \boxtimes L(k, \mu) = \bigoplus_{\nu} N_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} L(k, \nu)$, where

$$N_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\lambda - \mu| \leq \nu \leq \min\{\lambda + \mu, 2k - \lambda - \mu\} \text{ and } \lambda + \mu + \nu \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

■

The fusion rules (4.5) were originally presented in the physics literature in [28, 62] and predate vertex operator algebras. They were later proved in [59, Theorem 1] and [24, Corollary 3.2.1]. We next record many properties of the traces ψ^{μ} , with the remainder of the section dedicated to proving these properties. Throughout the section for any $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$ we denote the highest weight vector of $L(k, \lambda)$ by $|\lambda\rangle$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$ with λ even.*

- (1) *Let $0 \leq n \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} - 1$, then $\psi^{\mu}(v, \tau) = 0$ for all $v \in L(k, \lambda)_{[h_{\lambda} + n]}$ and $\mu \in \Xi_{\lambda}$, where $L(k, \lambda)_{[h_{\lambda} + n]}$ denotes a homogeneous space with respect to the square bracket grading (2.30).*
- (2) *For any $\mu \in \Xi_{\lambda}$, the subspace $N = \{v \in L(k, \lambda)_{[h_{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2}]} \mid \psi^{\mu}(v, \tau) = 0\}$ has codimension 1 in $L(k, \lambda)_{[h_{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2}]}$. Hence there is a unique vector $u \in L(k, \lambda)_{[h_{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2}]}$, up to rescaling or addition by elements in N , such that $\psi^{\mu}(u, \tau) \neq 0$. The vector u can be chosen to be $f_{[-1]}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} |\lambda\rangle$ or, more generally, as any element*

$$u \in f_{[-1]}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} |\lambda\rangle + \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1} L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda} + n}, \quad (4.6)$$

where $L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda} + n}$ denotes a homogeneous space with respect to the standard conformal grading (2.20).

- (3) *For any $\mu \in \Xi_{\lambda}$ and $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ as in (4.6), the leading exponent of $\psi^{\mu}(u, \tau)$ is*

$$h_{\mu} - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} = \frac{2\mu^2 + 4\mu - k}{8(k+2)}. \quad (4.7)$$

These leading exponents saturate the inequality (2.18), that is, it is an equality.

- (4) *For $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ as in (4.6), the intertwining operator basis Ξ_{λ} can be normalised such that all coefficients of the series expansion of $\psi^{\mu}(u, \tau)$ are rational for each $\mu \in \Xi_{\lambda}$.*
- (5) *Let $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ be as in (4.6). The set $\{\psi^{\mu}(u, \tau) \mid \mu \in \Xi_{\lambda}\}$ is linearly independent in $\mathcal{C}_1^u(L(k, \lambda))$, the space of torus 1-point functions evaluated at u , and the set $\{\psi^{\mu}(-, \tau) \mid \mu \in \Xi_{\lambda}\}$ is linearly independent in $\mathcal{C}_1(L(k, \lambda))$, the space of (unevaluated) torus 1-point functions. The dimension of both of these spaces is $k - \lambda + 1$, the cardinality of Ξ_{λ} . Thus the vector $\Psi_{\lambda}(u, \tau) = (\psi^{\mu}(u, \tau) \mid \mu \in \Xi_{\lambda})^t = (\psi^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}(u, \tau), \dots, \psi^{k - \frac{\lambda}{2}}(u, \tau))^t$ is a $|\Xi_{\lambda}| = k - \lambda + 1$ -dimensional weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form of weight $h_{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2}$, representation ρ_{λ} , and multiplier system $\nu_{h_{\lambda}}$. Moreover,*

$$\rho_{\lambda}(\mathbb{T}) = \text{diag} \left\{ \mathbf{e} \left(r_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \right), \dots, \mathbf{e} \left(r_{k - \frac{\lambda}{2}} \right) \right\}, \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$r_{\mu} = h_{\mu} - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} - \frac{h_{\lambda}}{12}, \quad \mu \in \Xi_{\lambda}. \quad (4.9)$$

- (6) *For arbitrary $w \in L(k, \lambda)_{[\text{wt}[w]]}$ we have that $\Psi_{\lambda}(w, \tau)$ is a holomorphic vector-valued modular form if $\lambda \geq -2 + \sqrt{2k+4}$. Additionally, for $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ as in (4.6), the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $\Psi_{\lambda}(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{H}(\rho_{\lambda}, \nu_{h_{\lambda}})$.
- (ii) $\lambda \geq -2 + \sqrt{2k+4}$.
- (iii) $k \geq d - 2 + \sqrt{2d-1}$ or $k \leq d - 2 - \sqrt{2d-1}$, where $d = |\Xi_{\lambda}|$ is the dimension of $\Psi_{\lambda}(u, \tau)$.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 requires some preparation. First note that the conformal weight 1 space of $L(k, 0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and hence all conformal weight spaces of the simple modules $L(k, \lambda)$ completely reduce into finite direct sums of finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ modules. Thus, by Proposition 2.8, for each $\mu \in \Xi_{\lambda}$ we have $\psi^{\mu}(-, \tau)$ vanishes when restricted to a conformal weight space of $L(k, \lambda)$ that does not contain the trivial $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ module. Therefore, we need to find the conformal weight space in $L(k, \lambda)$ at which the trivial module first appears.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$, λ even, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

- (1) *For $\lambda \geq 2$ and $n < \frac{\lambda}{2}$, the multiplicity of the trivial $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ module in the conformal weight spaces $L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+n}}$ is 0.*
- (2) *For $\lambda \geq 0$, the multiplicity of the trivial $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ module in the conformal weight spaces $L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+\frac{\lambda}{2}}}$ is 1.*

Proof. If $n < 0$, then $L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+n}} = \{0\}$. Meanwhile, for $n \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+n}}: \alpha = \{w \in L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+n}} \mid h_0 w = \alpha w\}. \quad (4.10)$$

We compute the multiplicity of the trivial $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ module by considering character formulae obtained from the BGG resolution of $L(k, \lambda)$ in terms of Verma modules $V(k, \sigma)$ (where σ again denotes the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ weight, that is, the h_0 eigenvalue, of the generating highest weight vector)

$$\cdots \rightarrow V(k, \lambda_i) \oplus V(k, \lambda_{-i}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V(k, \lambda_2) \oplus V(k, \lambda_{-2}) \rightarrow V(k, \lambda_1) \oplus V(k, \lambda_{-1}) \rightarrow V(k, \lambda) \rightarrow L(k, \lambda) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.11)$$

where for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lambda_{2j} = \lambda + 2i(k+2), \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{2j-1} = -\lambda - 2 + 2j(k+2). \quad (4.12)$$

The above resolution is given in [4, Section 4] using results from [35]. Recall that the character of a Verma module is given by

$$\text{ch}[V(k, \mu)] = \text{tr}_{V(k, \mu)} z^{h_0} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = \frac{z^\mu q^{h_\mu - \frac{c}{24}}}{\prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - z^2 q^m)(1 - q^m)(1 - z^{-2} q^{m-1})}. \quad (4.13)$$

The Verma character formulae, in turn, yield the character formula for simple modules via the BGG resolution above. We have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{c}{24} - h_\lambda} \text{ch}[L(k, \lambda)] &= q^{\frac{c}{24} - h_\lambda} \text{tr}_{L(k, \mu)} z^{h_0} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = q^{\frac{c}{24} - h_\lambda} \text{ch}[V(k, \lambda)] + q^{\frac{c}{24} - h_\lambda} \sum_{i \geq 1} (-1)^i (\text{ch}[V(k, \lambda_i)] + \text{ch}[V(k, \lambda_{-i})]) \\ &= q^{\frac{c}{24} - h_\lambda} \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i (\text{ch}[V(k, \lambda_i)] - \text{ch}[V(k, \lambda_{-i-1})]) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i q^{h_{\lambda_i} - h_\lambda} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\lambda_i} z^{\lambda_i - 2n}}{\prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - z^2 q^m)(1 - q^m)(1 - z^{-2} q^m)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where multiplication by the factor $q^{\frac{c}{24} - h_\lambda}$ shifts the exponents of the above power series such that the coefficient of $z^m q^n$ is the dimension of $L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+n}}: m$. The last equality in the above character formula uses that $\lambda_{-m} = -2 - \lambda_{m-1}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and hence the conformal weights corresponding to these $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ weights satisfy $h_{\lambda_{-m}} = h_{\lambda_{m-1}}$. The expansion of (4.14) up to degree $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ in q will allow us to conclude the lemma.

Note that all even weight simple $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ modules have a 1-dimensional weight 0 space. Further the weight 2 space vanishes for the trivial module, while it is 1-dimensional for all other even weight simple $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ modules. Therefore the difference $\dim(L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+m}:0}) - \dim(L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+m}:2})$ is the multiplicity of the trivial module in $L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+m}}$. This difference is also equal to the difference of the coefficients of $z^0 q^m$ and $z^2 q^m$ in the character formula (4.14) above. Further, note that $h_{\lambda_m} - h_{\lambda_0}$ increases monotonically in $m > 0$, and in particular,

$$h_{\lambda_1} - h_\lambda = k - \lambda + 1, \quad h_{\lambda_2} - h_\lambda = k + \lambda + 3 > \frac{\lambda}{2}. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus if we wish to expand $q^{\frac{c}{24} - h_\lambda} \text{ch}[L(k, \lambda)]$ up to degree $q^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$ it is sufficient to only consider the summands coming from $i = 0, 1$ in the character formula (4.14). To simplify formulae, we introduce the notation $(q)_i = \prod_{m=1}^i (1 - q^m)$, $i \geq 0$ and record the q -series identity [57, Equation 9.16]

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - z^2 q^m)(1 - z^{-2} q^m)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{2n} \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{q^{2i+|n|}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+|n|}}. \quad (4.16)$$

This identity is a consequence of the identity

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - z^2 q^m)} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{q^j}{(q)_j} z^{2j}, \quad (4.17)$$

in [1, Equation 2.2.5]. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{c}{24}-h\lambda} \text{ch}[L(k, \lambda)] &= \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\lambda} z^{\lambda-2n} - q^{k+1-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{2(k+1)-\lambda} z^{2(k+1)-\lambda-2n}}{\prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - z^2 q^m)(1 - q^m)(1 - z^{-2} q^m)} + \mathcal{O}(q^{\lambda+k+3}) \\ &= \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{2n} \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{q^{2i+|n|}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+|n|} (q)_{\infty}} \right] \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\lambda} z^{\lambda-2m} - q^{k-\lambda+1} \sum_{m=0}^{2(k+1)-\lambda} z^{2(k+1)-\lambda-2m} \right] + \mathcal{O}(q^{\lambda+k+3}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Collecting the z^0 terms gives

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lambda} \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{q^{2i+|\frac{\lambda}{2}-m|}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+|\frac{\lambda}{2}-m|} (q)_{\infty}} - q^{k-\lambda+1} \sum_{m=0}^{2(k+1)-\lambda} \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{q^{2i+|k+1-\frac{\lambda}{2}-m|}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+|k+1-\frac{\lambda}{2}-m|} (q)_{\infty}} + \mathcal{O}(q^{\lambda+k+3}), \quad (4.19)$$

while collecting the z^2 terms gives

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lambda} \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{q^{2i+|\frac{\lambda}{2}-m-1|}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+|\frac{\lambda}{2}-2m-2|} (q)_{\infty}} - q^{k-\lambda+1} \sum_{m=0}^{2(k+1)-\lambda} \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{q^{2i+|k-\frac{\lambda}{2}-m|}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+|k-\frac{\lambda}{2}-m|} (q)_{\infty}} + \mathcal{O}(q^{\lambda+k+3}). \quad (4.20)$$

The difference of the z^0 and z^2 terms is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m \geq 0} (\dim(L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+m}:0}) - \dim(L(k, \lambda)_{h_{\lambda+m}:2})) q^m \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \left[\frac{q^{2i+\frac{\lambda}{2}}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+\frac{\lambda}{2}} (q)_{\infty}} - \frac{q^{2i+\frac{\lambda}{2}+1}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+\frac{\lambda}{2}+1} (q)_{\infty}} \right] - q^{k-\lambda+1} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left[\frac{q^{2i+k+1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+k+1-\frac{\lambda}{2}} (q)_{\infty}} - \frac{q^{i+k+2-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}{(q)_i (q)_{i+k+2-\frac{\lambda}{2}} (q)_{\infty}} \right] + \mathcal{O}(q^{\lambda+k+3}) \\ &= q^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(q^{\frac{\lambda}{2}+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where we have used that only the first term of the first summand at $i = 0$ contributes to $q^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$. Thus, both parts of the lemma follow. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.4. *Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$, λ even, and $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ be as in (4.6).*

(1) *For all $\mu \in \Xi_{\lambda}$, the trace $\psi^{\mu}(u, \tau)$ is non-vanishing and the leading exponent is*

$$h_{\mu} - \frac{c}{24} = \frac{2\mu^2 + 4\mu - k}{8(k+2)}. \quad (4.22)$$

These leading exponents saturate the inequality (2.18), that is, it is an equality.

(2) *The intertwining operator underlying the trace $\psi^{\mu}(u, \tau)$ can be normalised such that all coefficients of the series expansion are rational.*

Proof. Recall that we denote by $o(u)$ the coefficient of $z^{-\text{wt}(u)}$ in the series expansion of u inserted into the intertwining operator underlying the trace ψ^{μ} . Therefore,

$$\psi^{\mu}(u, \tau) = \text{tr}_{L(k, \mu)} o(u) q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = q^{h_{\mu} - \frac{c}{24}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \text{tr}_{L(k, \mu)_{h_{\mu}+n}} o(u). \quad (4.23)$$

We compute the coefficient of the leading term corresponding to $n = 0$ and show that it is non-zero, which in turn will imply that formula (4.22) gives the leading exponent. Note that $L(k, \mu)_{h_{\mu}}$ is a module over the finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ by restriction, and it is isomorphic to the simple highest weight module $L(\mu)$ of highest weight μ . We choose the basis $\{v_i = f_0^i |\mu\rangle\}_{i=0}^{\mu}$ of $L(k, \mu)_{h_{\mu}} \cong L(\mu)$ and the corresponding dual basis $\{\phi_i\}_{i=0}^{\mu} \subset L(\mu)^* \cong L(\mu)$. Let \langle, \rangle denote the standard pairing between $L(\mu)$ and its dual space so that the standard left action of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on the dual space is characterised by $\langle x_0 \psi, w \rangle = -\langle \psi, x_0 w \rangle$, $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, $\psi \in L(\mu)^*$, $w \in L(\mu)$. With these conventions we have

$$\phi_i = (-1)^i \frac{(\mu - i)!}{i! \mu!} e_0^i \phi_0. \quad (4.24)$$

Then

$$\text{tr}_{L(k, \mu)_{h_{\mu}}} o\left(f_{-1}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} |\lambda\rangle\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu} \left\langle \phi_i, o\left(f_{-1}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} |\lambda\rangle\right) v_i \right\rangle. \quad (4.25)$$

To further evaluate this expression we recall the Jacobi identity (2.24). In that identity we set $v = f_{-1}\mathbf{1}$, $U_1 = L(k, \lambda)$, $U_2 = U_3 = L(k, \mu)$, multiply both sides by z_0^{-1} , and take the residue in z_0 and z_1 to obtain the identity

$$\mathcal{Y}(f_{-1}u_1, z_2)u_2 = \sum_{s \geq 0} z_2^s f_{-s-1} \mathcal{Y}(u_1, z_2)u_2 + z_2^{-s-1} \mathcal{Y}(u_1, z_2) f_s u_2. \quad (4.26)$$

Specialising further to $u_2 = v_i$ and noting that for $s \geq 1$ we have $f_s v_i = 0$ and $\phi_i(f_{-s}w) = 0$ for any $w \in L(k, \lambda)$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{tr}_{L(k, \mu)_{h_\mu}} o\left(f_{-1}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\lambda\rangle\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu} \left\langle \phi_i, o(|\lambda\rangle) f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} v_i \right\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2}} \left\langle \phi_i, o(|\lambda\rangle) f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} v_i \right\rangle = (-1)^i \frac{(\mu - i)!}{i! \mu!} \sum_{i=0}^{\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2}} \left\langle e_0^i \phi_0, o(|\lambda\rangle) f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} v_i \right\rangle. \quad (4.27)$$

Here, the second equality is due to $f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} v_i$ vanishing for $i > \mu - \frac{\lambda}{2}$, while the third equality follows from the formula above for the basis and its dual. Evaluating the action on the dual space and using the identity $[e_0, o(|\lambda\rangle)] = o(e_0|\lambda) = 0$ (which follows similarly to (4.26) by taking appropriate residues of the Jacobi identity (2.24)) we obtain

$$\mathrm{tr}_{L(k, \mu)_{h_\mu}} o\left(f_{-1}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\lambda\rangle\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2}} \frac{(\mu - i)!}{i! \mu!} \left\langle \phi_0, o(|\lambda\rangle) e_0^i f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2} + i} v_0 \right\rangle. \quad (4.28)$$

Observe that

$$e_0^i f_0^{i + \frac{\lambda}{2}} |\mu\rangle = \frac{(\frac{\lambda}{2} + i)! (\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2})!}{(\frac{\lambda}{2})! (\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2} - i)!} f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} |\mu\rangle, \quad (4.29)$$

which combined with the Jacobi identity $[f_0, o(w)] = o(f_0 w)$ to move $f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$ back into the intertwining operator zero mode yields

$$\mathrm{tr}_{L(k, \mu)_{h_\mu}} o\left(f_{-1}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\lambda\rangle\right) = \left\langle \phi_0, o\left(f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\lambda\rangle\right) v_0 \right\rangle (-1)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2}} \frac{(\mu - i)! (\frac{\lambda}{2} + i)! (\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2})!}{i! \mu! (\frac{\lambda}{2})! (\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2} - i)!} \quad (4.30)$$

Since $\mu \geq \frac{\lambda}{2}$ and $i \leq \mu - \frac{\lambda}{2}$, the sum is strictly positive and rational. Thus, $\mathrm{tr}_{L(k, \mu)_{h_\mu}} o(f_{-1}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\lambda\rangle)$ is non-vanishing if and only if $\langle \phi_0, o(f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\lambda\rangle) v_0 \rangle$ is, which in turn must be non-zero because the intertwining operator is.

We turn to showing that the leading exponents provide an equality in (2.18). Set $\mu_n = \frac{\lambda}{2} + n$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, k - \lambda$. Then $\Xi_\lambda = \{\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-\lambda}\}$. Recalling $|\Xi_\lambda| = k - \lambda + 1$, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{k-\lambda} (h_{\mu_n} - \frac{c}{24})}{k - \lambda + 1} = \frac{4k(k+2) - 2\lambda(k+1) + \lambda^2}{48(k+2)}, \quad (4.31)$$

and thus

$$\frac{12(\sum_{n=0}^{k-\lambda} h_{\mu_n} - \frac{c}{24})}{k - \lambda + 1} + \lambda - k = \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 2k + 6)}{4(k+2)}. \quad (4.32)$$

Finally, we note that the above is equal to

$$h_\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 2k + 6)}{4(k+2)} \quad (4.33)$$

and hence the equality in (2.18) is obtained.

Next we show that intertwining operator can be normalised such that the trace $\psi^\mu(u, \tau)$ has rational coefficients. First note that since the level k is integral, the commutation relations of the affine generators e_n, h_n, f_n all have integral structure constants. Further, the two generating singular vectors of the maximal proper submodule of the Verma module $V(k, \mu)$ can be normalised to have integral expansions in the standard Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) basis. Therefore, a basis of the simple quotient $L(k, \mu)$ can be chosen such that its representatives in $V(k, \mu)$ expand in the standard PBW basis with rational coefficients. See [47] for a description of such bases.

Finally, note that when expressing dual basis vectors in simple finite $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -modules in terms of the dual of the highest vector (as in (4.24)) all normalisation factors are again rational. Thus every computation of $\mathrm{tr}_{L(k, \mu)_{h_{\mu+m}}} o(f_{-1}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\lambda\rangle)$ will reduce to $\langle \phi_0, o(f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\lambda\rangle) v_0 \rangle$ multiplied by a sum of products of rational numbers, hence to ensure that the coefficients of $\psi^\mu(u, \tau)$ are rational it is necessary and sufficient to normalise the intertwining operator such that $\langle \phi_0, o(f_0^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\lambda\rangle) v_0 \rangle$ is rational, which can always be done. ■

We now have all results needed to prove Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Recall that for all non-negative integers m we have $L(k, \lambda)_{[h_\lambda+m]} \subset \bigoplus_{n=0}^m L(k, \lambda)_{h_\lambda+n}$, hence Part 1 follows from Lemma 4.3.1.

To conclude Part 2 note that Lemma 4.3.2 bounds the codimension of the subspace N above by 1, while Lemma 4.4.3 bounds it below by 1, hence the codimension is 1.

Part 3 is given in Lemma 4.4.1, while Part 4 is Lemma 4.4.2.

Finally, for Part 5, we show linear independence of the traces ψ^μ evaluated at u . Recall that the leading exponents are

$$h_\mu - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} = \frac{2\mu^2 + 4\mu - k}{8(k+2)}, \quad \frac{\lambda}{2} \leq \mu \leq k - \frac{\lambda}{2}. \quad (4.34)$$

Observe that the numerator is quadratic in μ with a minimum at $\mu = -1$ which is below the range of μ hence all exponents are distinct. Thus, the set $\{\psi^\mu(u, \tau) \mid \mu \in \Xi_\lambda\}$ is linearly independent and thus so is $\{\psi^\mu(-, \tau) \mid \mu \in \Xi_\lambda\}$.

Finally, we turn to Part 6. By Theorem 2.10 we know $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau)$ is a weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form. It remains to show that if $\lambda \geq -2 + \sqrt{2k+4}$, then all exponents of each component of $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau)$ are non-negative. By Proposition 4.1, the smallest possible leading exponent in the q -expansions among all components is $h_\mu - \mathbf{c}/24$ for $\mu = \lambda/2$. All other exponents are larger since $h_{\mu_1} - \mathbf{c}/24 \geq h_{\mu_2} - \mathbf{c}/24$ if $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$. Thus, we are assured all exponents will be non-negative if $h_{\lambda/2} - \mathbf{c}/24 \geq 0$. By (4.7) this is equivalent to

$$\frac{\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 2k}{16(k+2)} \geq 0, \quad (4.35)$$

which in turn amounts to $\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 2k \geq 0$. This establishes the holomorphicity of $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau)$, as desired.

As discussed above, the smallest exponent occurring in any q -expansion in the components of $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is $h_{\lambda/2} - \mathbf{c}/24$. The same argument as above now gives the equivalence between Parts 6.i and 6.ii. Meanwhile, we recall that 4.2.5 gives $d = k - \lambda + 1$, or $\lambda = k - d + 1$. Plugging this into the inequality $\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 2k \geq 0$ we obtained above and solving for k gives the equivalence between Parts 6.i and 6.iii. \blacksquare

5. ANALYSING REPRESENTATIONS AND CATEGORISING SPACES OF TORUS 1-POINT FUNCTIONS

In this section we present a detailed analysis of vector-valued modular forms arising from the 1-point functions of the simple affine vertex operator algebras constructed from $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ at non-negative integer levels. We determine congruence or non-congruence for large families of examples, and also whether the representations associated to these forms have finite or infinite image. Additionally, we give complete descriptions of the spaces of vector-valued modular forms of dimension at most three.

Recall the ring of integral weight modular forms $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[G_4, G_6]$ and the skew polynomial ring of modular differential operators \mathcal{R} . Throughout this section let $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$, with λ even, and $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ denote the vector-valued modular form defined in Theorem 4.2.5, with $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ as in (4.6). Consider the cyclic \mathcal{R} -submodule $\mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ of $\mathcal{M}^1(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda})$ generated by $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$. In this section we will consider how the \mathcal{R} -modules $\mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$, $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda)$, $\mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda)$, $\mathcal{H}(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{M}^1(\rho_\lambda, \nu_{h_\lambda})$ are interrelated.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $2 \leq \lambda \leq k$, λ even, and $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ be as in (4.6). Then $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) = \mathcal{R}\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$.*

Proof. Suppose $w \in L(k, \lambda)_{[h_\lambda+\ell]}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\ell < \lambda/2$ we have $\Psi_\lambda(w, \tau) = 0$ by Theorem 4.2.1. The result now follows from Proposition 3.1 after noting that $\text{wt}[u] > 0$. \blacksquare

Next, we prepare a sufficient condition for concluding the irreducibility of a representation of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\rho: \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ be a d -dimensional representation of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\rho(T)$ is diagonalisable with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$. If every non-empty proper subproduct of $\det(\rho(T)) = \prod_{i=1}^d \lambda_i$ is not a 12th root of unity, then ρ is irreducible.*

Proof. Recall that taking the determinant $\det(\rho)$ of ρ yields an element in the group of characters $\text{Hom}(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}^\times)$ and that this group is cyclic of order 12 (one choice of cyclic generator assigns $T \mapsto \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{12})$ $S \mapsto \mathbf{e}(\frac{3}{4})$). In particular, every element in $\text{Hom}(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}^\times)$ maps T to some 12th root of unity. Note further that any invariant subspace of

the representation ρ admits a basis of $\rho(\mathbb{T})$ eigenvectors so taking the determinant of the representation restricted to this subspace will map T to a product of $\rho(\mathbb{T})$ -eigenvalues with as many factors as the dimension of the subspace and this product would need to be a 12th root of unity. So if no non-empty product of $\rho(\mathbb{T})$ -eigenvalues is a 12th root of unity, then ρ admits no non-trivial invariant subspace. ■

5.1. Dimension One. We begin by considering 1-dimensional vector-valued modular forms.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$, λ even, $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ as in (4.6), and ρ_λ be the representation associated to $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$. The dimension of the vector-valued modular form $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is 1 if and only if $\lambda = k$ and hence the level k is even. Moreover, in this case the following hold.*

- (1) *The representation ρ_k is irreducible and congruence.*
- (2) *The representation ρ_k satisfies $\rho_k(\mathbf{S}) = \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{k}{8}\right)$ and $\rho_k(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{k}{24}\right)$. In particular, ρ_k is trivial if and only if k is a multiple of 24.*
- (3) *We have the inclusion $\mathcal{V}(\rho_k) \subset \mathcal{H}(\rho_k, \nu_{h_k})$ for all even $k \geq 0$. The inclusion is an equality if $2 \leq k \leq 14$ and it is proper if $k = 0$ or $k \geq 16$.*
- (4) *There exists a normalisation of the intertwining operator underlying $\Psi_k(u, \tau)$ such that $\Psi_k(u, \tau) = \eta^{\frac{3k}{2}}$ for all even $k \geq 0$. Further, for $k \geq 2$ we have the identity of \mathcal{R} -modules*

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_k) = \mathcal{V}(\rho_k) = \mathcal{R}\eta^{\frac{3k}{2}}. \quad (5.1)$$

As \mathcal{M} -modules each of the above is free of rank 1 with basis $\{\eta^{\frac{3k}{2}}\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\dim(\mathcal{V}(\rho_k))_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{12} \rfloor & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{12} \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{12} \rfloor + 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the floor function of a real number x .

Proof. Theorem 4.2.5 gives that ρ_k is a 1-dimensional representation for even k . Further, ρ_k is irreducible as it is 1-dimensional and we note any irreducible 1-dimensional representation must also be congruence (see, for example, [37, Section 3.1] for more details). This gives Part 1.

For Part 2, note that the representation ρ_k is trivial if and only if $\rho_k(\mathbb{T}) = 1$. By Theorem 4.2.5, $\rho_k(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{k}{24}\right)$, thus the representation is trivial if and only if k is a multiple of 24.

We consider Parts 3 and 4 together. In the case $k = 0$, it is well known that $L(0, 0) \cong \mathbb{C}$, and it follows that $\mathcal{V}(\rho_0) = \mathbb{C}$, which is strictly contained in $\mathcal{H}(\rho_0, \nu_{h_0})$. Therefore, we consider the case $k > 0$, and thus $\text{wt}[u] > 0$ by Theorem 4.2. Note for dimensions three or less spaces of holomorphic vector-valued modular forms are always cyclic \mathcal{R} -modules, and hence all six conditions in Theorem 3.3 are satisfied, which implies

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_k) = \mathcal{V}(\rho_k) = \eta^{\frac{3k}{2}} \mathcal{H}\left(\rho_k, \nu_{-\frac{k}{2}}\right) \quad (5.3)$$

and the dimension formula (5.2) follows. Further, the cyclic generator of $\mathcal{H}(\rho_k, \nu_{-\frac{k}{2}})$ has weight 0 and thus can be chosen to be 1, which gives the formula $\Psi_k(u, \tau) = \eta^{\frac{3k}{2}}$. ■

5.2. Dimension Two. We turn to describing the 2-dimensional setting and prepare some notation. Let $j(\tau)$ be Klein's j -invariant (normalised so that the leading term is q^{-1}) and $J(\tau) = j(\tau)/1728$. Additionally, for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, c not a negative integer, and a variable z , let ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ denote the Gaussian hypergeometric function, which is given by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \quad (5.4)$$

with $(x)_n$ being the (rising) factorial for $x \in \mathbb{C}$ given by $(x)_n = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, set

$$\Phi = \left(\begin{array}{c} J^{\frac{1}{24}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{-1}{24}, \frac{7}{24}; \frac{3}{4}; J^{-1}\right) \\ J^{-\frac{5}{24}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{5}{24}, \frac{13}{24}; \frac{5}{4}; J^{-1}\right) \end{array} \right), \quad (5.5)$$

where $J^{-1} = 1/J$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$, λ even, $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ as in (4.6), and let ρ_λ be the representation associated to $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$. The dimension of the vector-valued modular form $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is 2 if and only if $\lambda = k - 1$ and hence the level k is odd.*

- (1) *The representation ρ_{k-1} is irreducible. Moreover, among all indecomposable representations ρ' of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\rho'(T) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\mathbf{e}\left(\frac{k-2}{24}\right), \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{k+4}{24}\right)\right)$, ρ_{k-1} is the unique (up to isomorphism) one that is irreducible.*
- (2) *The representation ρ_{k-1} is congruence with congruence level $N = 8$ for $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $N = 24$ otherwise.*
- (3) *We have an inclusion $\mathcal{V}(\rho_{k-1}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-1}, \nu_{h_{k-1}})$ for all odd $k \geq 3$. This inclusion is an equality if $3 \leq k \leq 13$ and proper if $k \geq 15$.*
- (4) *There exists a normalisation of the intertwining operator underlying $\Psi_{k-1}(u, \tau)$ such that*

$$\Psi_{k-1}(u, \tau) = \eta^{\frac{3k^2+2k-5}{2(k+2)}} \Phi \quad (5.6)$$

for all odd $k \geq 1$. Further, for $k \geq 3$ we have the identity of \mathcal{R} modules

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_{k-1}) = \mathcal{V}(\rho_{k-1}) = \mathcal{R} \eta^{\frac{3k^2+2k-5}{2(k+2)}} \Phi. \quad (5.7)$$

As \mathcal{M} -modules each of the above is free of rank 2 with basis $\{\Psi_{k-1}(u, \tau), \partial \Psi_{k-1}(u, \tau)\} = \{\Psi(u, \tau), \Psi_{k-1}(L_{[-2]}u, \tau)\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\dim(\mathcal{V}(\rho_{k-1})_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. That ρ_{k-1} is a 2-dimensional representation if and only if $\lambda = k - 1$ is even follows directly from Theorem 4.2.5. To show Part 1 we use the criterion in Lemma 5.2 and note by Theorem 4.2.5 that

$$\rho_{k-1}(T) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\mathbf{e}\left(\frac{k-2}{24}\right), \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{k+4}{24}\right)\right). \quad (5.9)$$

Thus, by Lemma 5.2, ρ_{k-1} is irreducible if neither of the $\rho_{k-1}(T)$ -eigenvalues are a 12th root of unity. This is clearly the case, since k is odd. The fact that ρ_{k-1} is the unique irreducible representation among indecomposable representations with T given by the formula (5.9) is due to [42, Theorem 3.1].

Part 2 follows from [42, Theorem 3.7], where all 2-dimensional irreducible finite image representations are classified. They turn out to all be congruence representations. The congruence levels are recorded in the tables following that theorem, where each representation is characterised by the fractions (or rather the smallest non-negative representative of their integer coset) that appear in the formula (5.9) for $\rho_{k-1}(T)$. The congruence level is then always the order of $\rho_{k-1}(T)$, that is, 8 if $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and 24 otherwise. A simple calculation reveals that for all odd k each $\rho_{k-1}(T)$ corresponds to a case in [42, Table 3].

We consider Parts 3 and 4 together. By Theorem 4.2.5 the leading exponents of $\Psi_{k-1}(u, \tau)$ are

$$\mu_{\min} = h_{\frac{k-1}{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} = \frac{k^2 - 3}{16(k+2)}, \quad \mu_{\max} = h_{\frac{k+1}{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} = \frac{k^2 + 4k + 5}{16(k+2)} = \frac{k^2 - 3}{16(k+2)} + \frac{1}{4}. \quad (5.10)$$

Clearly these exponents are non-negative if and only if $k \geq 3$, which proves the inclusion in Part 3. Since spaces of holomorphic vector-valued modular forms of dimension three or less are always cyclic \mathcal{R} modules, and since the two exponents above differ by $\frac{1}{4}$, all six assumptions of Theorem 3.3 apply if $k \geq 3$. Hence

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_{k-1}) = \mathcal{V}(\rho_{k-1}) = \eta^{3\frac{k^2-3}{2(k+2)}} \mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{k-1}, \nu_{\frac{2-k}{2}}\right) \quad (5.11)$$

for $k \geq 3$. For $k = 1$, by Proposition 3.1, we can still assert $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_{k-1}) \subset \eta^{3\frac{k^2-3}{2(k+2)}} \mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-1}, \nu_{\frac{2-k}{2}})$. Further, for all odd $k \geq 1$ we have that $\eta^{-3\frac{k^2-3}{2(k+2)}} \Psi(u, \tau)$ is a cyclic generator for $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-1}, \nu_{\frac{2-k}{2}})$, since it has the right weight and spans a 1-dimensional weight space. By construction, a cyclic generator of $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-1}, \nu_{\frac{2-k}{2}})$ has leading exponents $\{0, \frac{1}{4}\} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ (these exponents are a minimal admissible set) and the weight of this cyclic generator is $\frac{1}{2}$, in particular it satisfies the equality in (2.19) and so its components form a fundamental system of solutions to a monic modular differential equation. This allows us to

use [39, Theorem 3.1] and its proof (which additionally requires $\rho(\mathbf{S}^2)$ be a scalar matrix, but this is automatic due to ρ_{k-1} being irreducible; see the discussion below (2.11)). This gives the existence of a non-zero vector-valued modular form F of weight $p_0 = 6(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - 1 = \frac{1}{2}$ such that $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-1}, \nu_{h_{k-1}}) = \mathcal{R}F$ (as \mathcal{R} -modules) and $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-1}, \nu_{h_{k-1}}) = \mathcal{M}F \oplus \mathcal{M}\partial F$ (as \mathcal{M} -modules). Moreover, the component functions of F form a fundamental system of solutions of a second order monic modular differential equation of the form

$$(\partial_{p_0}^2 + \phi)f = 0, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_4$. Note that since $\mathcal{M}_4 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{G_4\}$, up to a scalar p_1 we have that (5.12) can be rewritten as

$$(\partial_{p_0}^2 - p_1 G_4)f = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

This equation is characterised by its indicial roots being λ_1 and λ_2 (these are related to p_1 via $p_1 = 180(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 - 5$) which are the exponents of the first and second component functions of F , respectively, as detailed in the proof of [39, Theorem 3.1] (cf. (2.12) and (2.13)). Meanwhile, [20, Proposition 2.2] (see also [21, Section 4.1]) gives that the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \eta^{2p_0} J^{-\frac{6(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + 1}{12}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{6(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + 1}{12}, \frac{6(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + 5}{12}; \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1; J^{-1}\right) \\ f_2 &= \eta^{2p_0} J^{-\frac{6(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + 1}{12}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{6(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + 1}{12}, \frac{6(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + 5}{12}; \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + 1; J^{-1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

form a fundamental set of solutions for (5.13). We make some notes pertaining to our use of [20, 21]. First, loc. cit. assumes integral weights, however, a careful examination of the proof shows that it also holds for real weights. Second, there is a difference of normalisations of Eisenstein series between the G_{2k} in this paper and the E_{2k} of [20, 21] given by $E_{2\ell} = -\frac{(2\ell)!}{B_{2\ell}} G_{2\ell}$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Since f_1 and f_2 form a fundamental set of solutions for (5.13), up to a matrix $A \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have $A(f_1, f_2)^t = F$. That is, $(f_1, f_2)^t$ is a vector-valued modular form of weight p_0 , but with representation $A\rho_{k-1}A^{-1}$. However, the leading exponents of f_1 and f_2 are λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively (we note that this disagrees with [20, Remark 2.3], where there is a minor typographical error listing the exponents in reverse order). Thus, it must be that $A = \text{diag}(\alpha, \beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and we have $A\rho_{k-1}A^{-1} = \rho_{k-1}$. In particular, $F_{\alpha\beta} = (\alpha f_1, \beta f_2)^t$. It remains to show that $(\alpha f_1, \beta f_2)^t$ is equal to (5.6). This follows immediately from (5.14) by specialising $p_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{4}$. The dimension formula (5.8) then follows from the evaluation of (3.17) [39, Corollary 3.2]. Finally the inclusion of Part 3 is an equality if and only the leading exponents of $\Psi(u, \tau)$ lie in the interval $[0, 1)$ which happens if and only of $3 \leq k \leq 13$. ■

5.3. Dimension Three. Here we consider the 3-dimensional case. Recall, ${}_3F_2$, the generalised hypergeometric function, which for $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{C}$, d, e not negative integers, and a variable z , is given by

$${}_3F_2(a, b, c; d, e; z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)^n (b)^n (c)^n z^n}{(d)^n (e)^n n!}. \quad (5.15)$$

We set

$$\Phi_k = \begin{pmatrix} J^{\frac{(k+1)}{12(k+2)}} {}_3F_2\left(-\frac{(k+1)}{12(k+2)}, \frac{11k+14}{24(k+2)}, \frac{19k+30}{24(k+2)}, \frac{3k+7}{4(k+2)}, \frac{1}{2}; J^{-1}\right) \\ J^{-\frac{k+1}{6(k+2)}} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{k+1}{6(k+2)}, \frac{3k+5}{6(k+2)}, \frac{5k+9}{6(k+2)}, \frac{5k+9}{4(k+2)}, \frac{5}{8}; J^{-1}\right) \\ J^{-\frac{5k+11}{12(k+2)}} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{5k+11}{12(k+2)}, \frac{9k+19}{12(k+2)}, \frac{13k+27}{12(k+2)}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5k+11}{4(k+2)}; J^{-1}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.16)$$

where $J = j/1728$ is the same renormalisation of Klein's j -invariant as in the previous section.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$, λ even, $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ as in as in (4.6), ρ_λ the representation associated to $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$. The dimension of the vector-valued modular form $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is 3 if and only if $\lambda = k - 2$ and hence the level k is even.*

- (1) *The representation ρ_{k-2} is irreducible.*
- (2) *The representation ρ_{k-2} has finite image. Additionally, the order of $\rho_{k-2}(\mathbb{T})$ is $12(k+2)$ if $k \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, and is $4(k+2)$ otherwise.*
- (3) *If the order of $\rho_{k-2}(\mathbb{T})$ does not divide $25,401,600 = 2^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$, then the representation is non-congruence, in particular, this gives an infinite family of non-congruence representations and a finite bound on the number of congruence representations.*

Level k	Cyclic generator $\Psi_{k-1}(u, \tau)$
3	$q^{3/40} \left(1 + \frac{1}{5}q - \frac{117}{25}q^2 - \frac{84}{125}q^3 + \frac{3659}{625}q^4 + \dots\right)$ $q^{13/40} \left(1 - \frac{9}{5}q - \frac{2}{25}q^2 - \frac{39}{125}q^3 - \frac{126}{625}q^4 + \dots\right)$
5	$q^{11/56} \left(1 - \frac{19}{7}q - \frac{264}{49}q^2 + \frac{6061}{343}q^3 + \frac{22963}{2401}q^4 + \dots\right)$ $q^{25/56} \left(1 - \frac{33}{7}q + \frac{247}{49}q^2 + \frac{1672}{343}q^3 - \frac{18183}{2401}q^4 + \dots\right)$
7	$q^{23/72} \left(1 - \frac{17}{3}q + \frac{23}{9}q^2 + \frac{3128}{81}q^3 - \frac{13429}{243}q^4 + \dots\right)$ $q^{41/72} \left(1 - \frac{23}{3}q + \frac{170}{9}q^2 - \frac{391}{81}q^3 - \frac{10948}{243}q^4 + \dots\right)$
9	$q^{39/88} \left(1 - \frac{95}{11}q + \frac{2340}{121}q^2 + \frac{48165}{1331}q^3 - \frac{2895523}{14641}q^4 + \dots\right)$ $q^{61/88} \left(1 - \frac{117}{11}q + \frac{5035}{121}q^2 - \frac{74100}{1331}q^3 - \frac{1011465}{14641}q^4 + \dots\right)$
11	$q^{59/104} \left(1 - \frac{151}{13}q + \frac{7611}{169}q^2 - \frac{35636}{2197}q^3 - \frac{9959957}{28561}q^4 + \dots\right)$ $q^{85/104} \left(1 - \frac{177}{13}q + \frac{12382}{169}q^2 - \frac{383087}{2197}q^3 + \frac{1229442}{28561}q^4 + \dots\right)$
13	$q^{83/120} \left(1 - \frac{73}{5}q + \frac{1992}{25}q^2 - \frac{18177}{125}q^3 - \frac{224261}{625}q^4 + \dots\right)$ $q^{113/120} \left(1 - \frac{83}{5}q + \frac{2847}{25}q^2 - \frac{48472}{125}q^3 + \frac{309009}{625}q^4 + \dots\right)$

TABLE 1. The first five terms of the q -series expansions for $\Psi_{k-1}(u, \tau)$ for all levels k at which $\Psi_{k-1}(u, \tau)$ generates $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-1}, \nu_{h_{k-1}})$. In each case the series have been normalised so that the leading coefficient is 1. This can always be achieved by an appropriate choice of normalisation of the intertwining operators in $\Psi_{k-1}(u, \tau)$.

- (4) We have an inclusion $\mathcal{V}(\rho_{k-2}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-2}, \nu_{h_{k-2}})$ for all even $k \geq 4$. This inclusion is an equality if $4 \leq k \leq 10$ and it is proper if $k \geq 12$.
- (5) There exists a normalisation of the intertwining operators underlying $\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau)$ such that

$$\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau) = \eta^{\frac{3k^2-2k-8}{2(k+2)}} \Phi_k \quad (5.17)$$

for all even $k \geq 2$. Further, for $k \geq 4$ we have the identity of \mathcal{R} -modules

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_{k-2}) = \mathcal{V}(\rho_{k-2}) = \mathcal{R}\eta^{\frac{3k^2-2k-8}{2(k+2)}} \Phi_k. \quad (5.18)$$

As \mathcal{M} -modules each of the above is free of rank 3 with basis

$$\{\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau), \partial\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau), \partial^2\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau)\} = \{\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau), \Psi_{k-2}(L_{[-2]}u, \tau), \Psi_{k-2}(L_{[-2]}^2u + \delta L_{[-4]}u, \tau)\}, \quad (5.19)$$

where $\delta = \frac{2(16+k-6k^2)}{3(k-2)(4+3k)}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\dim(\mathcal{V}(\rho_{k-2})_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.20)$$

Proof. Note that Theorem 4.2.5 gives that ρ_λ is a 3-dimensional representation if and only if $\lambda = k - 2$ is even.

To establish Part 1 we use the irreducibility criterion in Lemma 5.2. Note that Theorem 4.2.5 yields the formula

$$\rho_{k-2}(\mathbb{T}) = \text{diag} \left(\mathbf{e} \left(\frac{k(k-2)-6}{24(k+2)} \right), \mathbf{e} \left(\frac{k(k+4)}{24(k+2)} \right), \mathbf{e} \left(\frac{k(k+10)+18}{24(k+2)} \right) \right). \quad (5.21)$$

The fractions in the formula for $\rho_{k-2}(\mathbb{T})$ above multiplied by 12 are, respectively,

$$\frac{k}{2} - 2 + \frac{1}{k+2} \equiv \frac{1}{k+2} \pmod{1}, \quad \frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{k+2} \equiv \frac{k}{k+2} \pmod{1}, \quad \frac{k}{2} + 4 + \frac{1}{k+2} \equiv \frac{1}{k+2} \pmod{1}. \quad (5.22)$$

These are never integral since the numerators on the right sides of the above identities are always strictly less than the denominators. Products of two eigenvalues correspond to sums of two of the above fractions. The numerator of such sums

cannot exceed $k + 1$, so they are never integral for any $k \in 2\mathbb{N}$, concluding no twelfth root of unity arises as a product of one or two $\rho_{k-2}(T)$ eigenvalues. Thus ρ_{k-2} is irreducible.

Moving to Part 2, we show that ρ_{k-2} has finite image by using the criterion [40, Proposition 5.1], which states that a 3-dimensional irreducible representation with diagonalisable $\rho(T)$ has finite image if there exist two eigenvalues of $\rho(T)$ whose ratio is -1 . To this end, observe that

$$\frac{k(k+10)+18}{24(k+2)} - \frac{k(k-2)-6}{24(k+2)} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.23)$$

Hence ρ_{k-2} has finite image. To determine the order of $\rho_{k-2}(T)$, note that it is the least common multiple of the denominators of the reductions of the fractions in the exponents in (5.21). Since k is even, we take $k = 2\ell$ for $\ell \geq 0$ and consider all three exponents

$$\frac{k(k-2)-6}{24(k+2)} = \frac{2\ell(\ell-1)-3}{24(\ell+1)}, \quad \frac{k(k+4)}{24(k+2)} = \frac{\ell(\ell+2)}{12(\ell+1)}, \quad \frac{k(k+10)+18}{24(k+2)} = \frac{2\ell(\ell+5)+9}{24(\ell+1)}. \quad (5.24)$$

Next we compute the greatest common divisor of the numerator and denominator of the first and third fractions above to reduce them. Denote $a = 2\ell(\ell-1)-3 = 2(\ell-2)(\ell+1)+1$, $b = 2\ell(\ell+5)+9 = 2(\ell+1)(\ell+4)+1$, and $c = 24(\ell+1)$ so that the first and third fractions are equal to $\frac{a}{c}$ and $\frac{b}{c}$, respectively. Note that a, b are odd while c is even, so $\gcd(a, c)$ and $\gcd(b, c)$ will both be odd. Further, if a prime $p \geq 5$ divides c , then it must divide $(\ell+1)$, but then $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \equiv b$. So p does not divide $\gcd(a, c)$ or $\gcd(b, c)$. Both of these greatest common divisors are therefore a power of 3. We have that 3 divides a if and only if $\ell \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$ and the same is also true for b . Further, if $\ell \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$, then $\ell+1 \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$, and so 9 does not divide c . Thus,

$$\gcd(a, c) = \gcd(b, c) = \begin{cases} 1 & \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 3 & \ell \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \quad (5.25)$$

Therefore, after reduction, the denominators of the first and third fractions in (5.24) are $24(\ell+1) = 12(k+2)$ if $k \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, and $8(\ell+1) = 4(k+2)$ if $k \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{6}$. Next we see that the reduced denominator of the middle fraction divides $12(\ell+1)$ if $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, and that it divides $4(\ell+1)$ if $\ell \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$. It follows that the least common multiple of the reduced divisors in (5.24), the order of $\rho_{k-2}(T)$, is as claimed.

Part 3 follows from [40, Corollary 3.5], which states that ρ_{k-2} is non-congruence if there exists a prime dividing $\frac{N}{(N, 2^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2)}$. This quotient reduces to 1 if and only if N divides $2^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$. Thus, there exists a prime p dividing $\frac{N}{(N, 2^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2)}$ if and only if N does not divide $2^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$.

We consider Parts 4 and 5 together. By Theorem 4.2.5 the leading exponents of $\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau)$ are

$$\mu_{\min} = h_{\frac{k-2}{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} = \frac{k^2 - 2k - 4}{16(k+2)}, \quad h_{\frac{k}{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} = \frac{k}{16} = \mu_{\min} + \frac{k+1}{4(k+2)}, \quad \mu_{\max} = h_{\frac{k+2}{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} = \frac{k^2 + 6k + 12}{16(k+2)} = \mu_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.26)$$

Clearly these exponents are non-negative if and only if $k \geq 4$, which proves the inclusion in Part 4. Spaces of holomorphic vector-valued modular forms of dimension three or less are always cyclic over \mathcal{R} , and the maximal and minimal exponents above differ by $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, all six assumptions of Theorem 3.3 apply if $k \geq 4$. Hence

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_{k-2}) = \mathcal{V}(\rho_{k-2}) = \eta^{3\frac{k^2-2k-4}{2(k+2)}} \mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{k-2}, \nu_{\frac{3+k-k^2}{2(k+2)}}\right) \quad (5.27)$$

for $k \geq 4$. For $k = 2$, by Proposition 3.1, we can assert $\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_{k-1}) \subset \eta^{3\frac{k^2-2k-4}{2(k+2)}} \mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-1}, \nu_{\frac{2-k}{2}})$, however, for all even $k \geq 2$ we still have that $\eta^{-3\frac{k^2-2k-4}{2(k+2)}} \Psi(u, \tau)$ is a cyclic generator for $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-2}, \nu_{\frac{3+k-k^2}{2(k+2)}})$, since it has the right weight and spans a 1-dimensional weight space. By construction, the leading exponents of the cyclic generator of $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-2}, \nu_{\frac{3+k-k^2}{2(k+2)}})$ are $\{0, \frac{k+1}{4(k+2)}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ (they form a minimal admissible set) and the weight of this cyclic generator is $\frac{k+1}{k+2}$. This implies the components of this vector-valued modular form form a set of fundamental solutions for a third order monic modular linear differential equation of the form

$$(\partial_{p_0}^3 + p_1 G_4 \partial_{p_0} + p_2 G_6) f = 0, \quad (5.28)$$

see [21, Equation 15] and the surrounding text. While [21] works in the context of integral weight vector-valued modular forms, a careful analysis of their construction of solutions [21, Equation 16] to (5.28) shows that it is valid for real weight

as well. In terms of the leading exponents $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$ a set of fundamental solutions is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \eta^{2p_0} J^{-\frac{4\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_3 + 1}{6}} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{4\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_3 + 1}{6}, \frac{4\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_3 + 3}{6}, \frac{4\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_3 + 5}{6}; \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1, \lambda_1 - \lambda_3 + 1; J^{-1}\right), \\ f_2 &= \eta^{2p_0} J^{-\frac{4\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3 + 1}{6}} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{4\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3 + 1}{6}, \frac{4\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3 + 3}{6}, \frac{4\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3 + 5}{6}; \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 + 1; J^{-1}\right), \\ f_3 &= \eta^{2p_0} J^{-\frac{4\lambda_3 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 + 1}{6}} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{4\lambda_3 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 + 1}{6}, \frac{4\lambda_3 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 + 3}{6}, \frac{4\lambda_3 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 + 5}{6}; \lambda_3 - \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_3 - \lambda_2 + 1; J^{-1}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

with $p_0 = 4(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) - 2$. Specialising to $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\} = \{0, \frac{k+1}{4(k+2)}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \eta^{\frac{2k}{k+2}} J^{\frac{(k+1)}{12(k+2)}} {}_3F_2\left(-\frac{(k+1)}{12(k+2)}, \frac{11k+14}{24(k+2)}, \frac{19k+30}{24(k+2)}; \frac{3k+7}{4(k+2)}, \frac{1}{2}; J^{-1}\right), \\ f_2 &= \eta^{\frac{2k}{k+2}} J^{-\frac{k+1}{6(k+2)}} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{k+1}{6(k+2)}, \frac{3k+5}{6(k+2)}, \frac{5k+9}{6(k+2)}; \frac{5k+9}{4(k+2)}, \frac{5}{8}; J^{-1}\right), \\ f_3 &= \eta^{\frac{2k}{k+2}} J^{-\frac{5k+11}{12(k+2)}} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{5k+11}{12(k+2)}, \frac{9k+19}{12(k+2)}, \frac{13k+27}{12(k+2)}; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5k+11}{4(k+2)}; J^{-1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

The components of the cyclic generator are therefore linear combinations of the above fundamental solutions. More specifically, since the leading exponents of the respective components are $\{0, \frac{k+1}{4(k+2)}, \frac{1}{2}\}$, the cyclic generator must be of the form $(\alpha f_1, \beta f_2, \gamma f_3)^t$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Hence

$$\Psi(u, \tau) = \eta^{3\frac{k^2-2k-4}{2(k+2)}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha f_1 \\ \beta f_2 \\ \gamma f_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.31)$$

and the underlying intertwining operators can be normalised such that $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$, so (5.17) and (5.18) follow. That the left side of (5.19) is an \mathcal{M} -basis follows from Theorem 3.3. So all that remains is to relate powers of the modular derivative the action of Virasoro generators. By the $a = \tilde{\omega}$ case of (2.32) we have $\Psi_{k-2}(L_{[-2]}u, \tau) = \partial \Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau)$. Furthermore, using [45, Theorem 5.10], we find that the components ψ^μ , $\frac{k-2}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{k+2}{2}$ of $\Psi(w, \tau)$, $w \in L(k, k-2)$ satisfy

$$\psi^\mu(\tilde{\omega}_{[-3]}u, \tau) = \psi^\mu(L_{[-4]}u, \tau) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{m+2}{m} G_{m+3}(\tau) \psi^\mu(L_{[m-1]}u, \tau) = 3\text{wt}[u]G_4(\tau) \psi^\mu(u, \tau). \quad (5.32)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\mu(\tilde{\omega}_{[-1]}^2 u, \tau) &= \text{tr}|_{L(k, \mu)} \left(L_0 - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24} \right) o(\tilde{\omega}_{[-1]}u) q^{L_0 - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24}} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} G_{m+1}(\tau) \psi^\mu(L_{[m-1]}L_{[-2]}u, \tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} \text{tr}|_{L(k, \mu)} o(\tilde{\omega}_{[-1]}u) q^{L_0 - \frac{\mathbf{c}}{24}} + (\text{wt}[u] + 2)G_2(\tau) \psi^\mu(\tilde{\omega}_{[-1]}u, \tau) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} G_{m+1}(\tau) \psi^\mu(L_{[m-1]}L_{[-2]}u, \tau) \\ &= \partial^2 \psi^\mu(u, \tau) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{2m} G_{2m}(\tau) \psi^\mu(L_{[2m-2]}L_{[-2]}u, \tau) = \partial^2 \psi^\mu(u, \tau) + G_4(\tau) \psi^\mu(L_{[2]}L_{[-2]}u, \tau) \\ &= \partial^2 \psi^\mu(u, \tau) + \left(4\text{wt}[u] + \frac{\mathbf{c}}{2} \right) G_4(\tau) \psi^\mu(u, \tau). \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

Combining (5.32) and (5.33) we find $\partial^2 \Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau) = \Psi_{k-2}((L_{[-2]}^2 + \delta L_{[-4]})u, \tau)$ with $\delta = -(4\text{wt}[u] + \mathbf{c}/24)/(3\text{wt}[u])$. Plugging in the formula above for $\text{wt}[u]$ and \mathbf{c} gives the stated formula for δ . \blacksquare

5.4. Select higher dimensions. We conclude this section by providing some results concerning non-congruence representations in some higher dimensions.

Theorem 5.6. *Let the level be $k = p^t - 2$, where $p > 3$ is prime and t is a positive integer. For $2 \leq \lambda \leq k$ with λ even, if the representation ρ_λ is irreducible, then it is non-congruence if $t = 1$ or if $t > 1$ and $\lambda + 1 > p^{t-2}$.*

Level k	$\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau)$
4	$q^{1/24} \left(1 - \frac{6991}{171}q - \frac{1462930981}{198531}q^2 - \frac{11520966474250}{5360337}q^3 - \frac{467661528323716250}{627159429}q^4 + \dots \right)$ $q^{1/4} \left(1 + \frac{134}{9}q + \frac{167509}{81}q^2 + \frac{24672291010}{45927}q^3 + \frac{2054193740460070}{11986947}q^4 + \dots \right)$ $q^{13/24} \left(1 - \frac{31}{27}q + \frac{473}{1215}q^2 - \frac{27056}{32805}q^3 - \frac{1533931}{2657205}q^4 + \dots \right)$
6	$q^{5/32} \left(1 - \frac{1041}{20}q - \frac{28822341}{3040}q^2 - \frac{34699584029}{12160}q^3 - \frac{2170275413391777}{2140160}q^4 + \dots \right)$ $q^{3/8} \left(1 + \frac{74}{5}q + \frac{317943}{130}q^2 + \frac{8423595}{13}q^3 + \frac{21692516271}{104}q^4 + \dots \right)$ $q^{21/32} \left(1 - \frac{31}{8}q + \frac{423}{128}q^2 + \frac{14247}{7168}q^3 - \frac{485683}{229376}q^4 + \dots \right)$
8	$q^{11/40} \left(1 - \frac{46803}{775}q - \frac{14944931541}{1375625}q^2 - \frac{574656427747084}{171953125}q^3 - \frac{782261040133149248781}{649123046875}q^4 + \dots \right)$ $q^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1704}{125}q + \frac{108483138}{40625}q^2 + \frac{5094872662288}{7109375}q^3 + \frac{5893213005533601}{25390625}q^4 + \dots \right)$ $q^{31/40} \left(1 - \frac{503}{75}q + \frac{44149}{3125}q^2 - \frac{206842}{78125}q^3 - \frac{420276376}{17578125}q^4 + \dots \right)$
10	$q^{19/48} \left(1 - \frac{44717}{666}q - \frac{14421863479}{1222776}q^2 - \frac{243672512766437}{66029904}q^3 - \frac{68038738170466662661}{50617747584}q^4 + \dots \right)$ $q^{5/8} \left(1 + \frac{107}{9}q + \frac{2963152}{1053}q^2 + \frac{64959522367}{85293}q^3 + \frac{5516615806491181}{22261473}q^4 + \dots \right)$ $q^{43/48} \left(1 - \frac{259}{27}q + \frac{8110}{243}q^2 - \frac{251140}{6561}q^3 - \frac{25036652}{531441}q^4 + \dots \right)$

TABLE 2. The first five terms of the q -series expansions for $\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau)$ for all levels k at which $\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau)$ generates $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-2}, \nu_{h_{k-2}})$. In each case the series have been normalised so that the leading coefficient is 1. This can always be achieved by an appropriate choice of normalisation of the intertwining operators underlying $\Psi_{k-2}(u, \tau)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2.5,

$$\rho_\lambda(\mathbb{T}) = \text{diag}\{\mathbf{e}(r_0), \dots, \mathbf{e}(r_{k-\lambda})\} \quad (5.34)$$

where

$$r_j = \frac{6j^2 + 6j(\lambda + 2) + \lambda(\lambda + 5) - 3k}{24(k + 2)}. \quad (5.35)$$

Evaluating at $k = p^t - 2$, the r_j become

$$r_j = \frac{6j^2 + 6j(\lambda + 2) + \lambda(\lambda + 5) - 3p^t + 6}{2^3 \cdot 3p^t}. \quad (5.36)$$

Since $p > 3$, the numerator in (5.36) is odd and hence indivisible by 2. Furthermore, the numerator is divisible by 3 if and only if 3 divides $\lambda(\lambda + 5)$, which is the case if and only if $\lambda \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$. The order of the $\rho_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$ is given by the least common multiple of the reduced denominators. To ensure this includes the factor of p^t , it suffices that p does not divide the numerator for all $j = 0, \dots, k - \lambda = p^t - 2 - \lambda$. As j increases, the j th numerator is incremented by $18 + 12j + 6\lambda$ which must be divisible by p for all numerators to be divisible by p . This is only the case if p divides 12, i.e., $p = 2, 3$ which we have excluded. Thus the order of $\rho_\lambda(\mathbb{T})$ is $N = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot p^t$ if $\lambda \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $N = 2^3 \cdot p^t$ otherwise.

To ascertain non-congruence based on the level and dimension of a representation, we follow the argument in [37, Section 3.4]. Namely, for a d -dimensional congruence representation $\rho: \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ of level N , its image is isomorphic to a quotient of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_N)$. If $N = \prod_i p_i^{s_i}$ is the factorisation of N into distinct primes p_i , then $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_N) \cong \prod_i \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{s_i}})$ and hence any irreducible representation of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_N)$ can be constructed by tensoring irreducible representations of the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{s_i}})$ factors. In [55, 56], all irreducible representations of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_{p^t})$, for p prime and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, were classified and their dimensions were determined. In particular, tables summarising the classification are given in [56, Section 9] (a summary of the minimal dimensions of non-trivial representations in English is given in [37, Theorem 3.14]). Specifically the minimal dimensions of a representations of level 2^3 or 3 are 2 and 1, respectively. While for representations of level p^t the minimal dimension is $\frac{1}{2}(p - 1)$, if $t = 1$ and $\frac{1}{2}(p^t - t^{t-2})$, if $t \geq 2$. Thus, the minimal dimension among representations of level N may be found as a product of the minimal dimensions of the representations of level $p_i^{s_i}$. Note that requiring each tensor factor to have respective level $p_i^{s_i}$ precludes any of the tensor factors from being trivial. Thus for $t = 1$, we get that the minimal dimension is $p - 1$ for both $N = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot p^t$ and $N = 2^3 \cdot p^t$. Comparing this to Theorem 4.2.5 at level

$k = p^t - 2$ we see that the dimension formula becomes $p - 1 - \lambda$ which is less than $p - 1$ if $\lambda \geq 2$. Similarly, if $t \geq 2$ the minimal congruence dimension is $p^t - p^{t-2}$. Hence we have non-congruence, if $p^t - p^{t-2} > p - 1 - \lambda$, or equivalently, $\lambda + 1 > p^{t-2}$. ■

Proposition 5.7. *Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$, λ even, $u \in L(k, \lambda)$ as in (4.6), and let ρ_λ be the representation associated to $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$. The dimension of the vector-valued modular form $\Psi_\lambda(u, \tau)$ is 4 if and only if $\lambda = k - 3$ and hence the level k is odd. If $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-3}, \nu_{\frac{-k^2+4k+6}{2(k+2)}})$ is cyclic, then*

$$\mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) = \mathcal{V}(\rho_\lambda) = \eta^{\frac{3}{2} \frac{k^2-4k-3}{k+2}} \mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{k-3}, \nu_{\frac{-k^2+4k+6}{2(k+2)}}\right). \quad (5.37)$$

Proof. Theorem 4.2.5 gives that ρ_λ is a 4-dimensional representation if and only if $\lambda = k - 3$ is even. Next note that as $h_{\frac{k+3}{2}} - h_{\frac{k-3}{2}} = \frac{3}{4}$ all conditions in Theorem 3.3 other than condition 4 (cyclicity over \mathcal{R}) obviously hold. Thus the proposition follows for those levels where $\mathcal{H}(\rho_{k-3}, \nu_{\frac{-k^2+4k+6}{2(k+2)}})$ is cyclic. ■

Note that in the case of general non-negative integral levels k and even weight $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$, where one obtains vector-valued modular forms of dimension $d = k - \lambda + 1$, we have $\mu_{\max} - \mu_{\min} = (d - 1)/4$. Thus, the sixth condition of Theorem 3.3 holds only for those levels and weights chosen so that $d \leq 4$ or equivalently $k - \lambda \leq 3$. For $d \geq 5$ we therefore have that the inclusion

$$\eta^{-\frac{3}{2} \frac{(k-d+1)(k-d+5)-2k}{k+2}} \mathcal{V}^u(\rho_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{k-d+1}, \nu_{\frac{(k-d+1)(k-d+3)}{16(k+2)}}\right) \quad (5.38)$$

is proper.

6. MODULAR ACTIONS FROM CATEGORICAL DATA

So far we have studied the properties of traces of intertwining operators directly, that is, using results from analytic number theory on modular forms. However, since categories of modules over rational vertex operator algebras are modular tensor categories, and additionally the categorical and number theoretic notions of modularity coincide [33], we can repeat the above analysis using categorical data. Let \mathcal{C} be a modular tensor category, that is, a monoidal category with many additional structures and properties (linear, abelian, semi-simple, finite, rigid, and braided with a non-degenerate braiding, etc.; see [2, 18] for details). To compute the action of the modular group, we will need the graphical calculus (also known as string diagram calculus, see [2, Section 2.3] for an introduction). To convert this abstract action of the modular group into actual matrices we will need to make explicit choices of bases (see [25, Section 2] for an introduction to working in such bases and some helpful identities), just as we needed to choose bases of intertwining operators in Section 2 above to obtain vector-valued modular forms. Let \mathcal{J} be a complete set of representatives of simple isomorphism classes of objects in \mathcal{C} , with $0 \in \mathcal{J}$ denoting the tensor unit (that is, the vertex operator algebra itself, if \mathcal{C} is a category of vertex operator algebra modules). The rigid dual of a simple object $i \in \mathcal{J}$ will be denoted i^* . For every triple $i, j, k \in \mathcal{J}$, consider the vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i \otimes j, k)$ (called a 3-point coupling space) and pick a basis $\{\lambda_{(i,j)k}^\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^{\dim(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i \otimes j, k)) - 1}$ and denote its dual basis by $\{\Upsilon_{(i,j)k}^\alpha\}_\alpha \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(k, i \otimes j)$, where the evaluation of dual vector on vectors is given by

$$\lambda_{(i,j)k}^\alpha \circ \Upsilon_{(i,j)k}^\beta = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \text{id}_k \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(k, k) = \mathbb{C} \text{id}_k, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the Kronecker δ . The 3-point coupling space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i \otimes j, k)$ is the categorical counterpart to the space of intertwining operators of type $\begin{pmatrix} k \\ i \ j \end{pmatrix}$ and picking a basis of 3-point couplings is equivalent to picking a basis of intertwining operators. Therefore, a natural categorical question is to ask how to characterise the subcategory of objects which correspond to intertwining operators that map an object to itself (and hence admit a trace as in (2.26)). Recall the adjoint category \mathcal{C}_{ad} is defined to be the smallest full subcategory of \mathcal{C} containing all objects $i \otimes i^*$, $i \in \mathcal{C}$ and all of their subquotients. Note that this category is closed under taking duals. Another characterisation of \mathcal{C}_{ad} is as the centraliser of the subcategory of invertible objects [18, Section 4.14].

Lemma 6.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a modular tensor category. A simple object $p \in \mathcal{C}$ admits a non-vanishing $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p \otimes i, i)$ for some $i \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if p is in the adjoint subcategory \mathcal{C}_{ad} .*

Theorem 6.2 (Bakalov-Kirillov [2, Theorem 3.1.17]). *Let $p \in \mathcal{J}$ and consider the vector spaces $W_{p,i} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p, i \otimes i^*)$, $i \in \mathcal{J}$, and their direct sum $W_p = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{J}} W_{p,i}$. Define linear maps $\mathbf{S}^{(p)}, \mathbf{T}^{(p)} : W_p \rightarrow W_p$ via the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{S}^{(p)} : & \begin{array}{c} i \quad i^* \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ \bullet \alpha \\ | \\ p \end{array} & \mapsto \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{d_j}{D} \cdot \begin{array}{c} j \\ \curvearrowright \\ \bullet \alpha \\ | \\ p \end{array}, \\
 \\
 \mathbf{T}^{(p)} : & \begin{array}{c} i \quad i^* \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ \bullet \alpha \\ | \\ p \end{array} & \mapsto \frac{\theta_i}{\zeta} \cdot \begin{array}{c} i \quad i^* \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ \bullet \alpha \\ | \\ p \end{array}, \tag{6.7}
 \end{array}$$

where d_i is the quantum dimension of $i \in \mathcal{J}$, $D = \sum_i d_i^2$, and $\zeta = \left(\frac{\sum_i \theta_i d_i}{\sum_i \theta_i^{-1} d_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{6}}$. Then $\mathbf{S}^{(p)}, \mathbf{T}^{(p)}$ satisfy the relations $(\mathbf{S}^{(p)} \mathbf{T}^{(p)})^3 = (\mathbf{S}^{(p)})^2$ and $(\mathbf{S}^{(p)})^4 = \theta_p^{-1}$. That is, $\mathbf{S}^{(p)}, \mathbf{T}^{(p)}$ satisfy the defining relations of the braid group \mathbf{B}_3 on three strands (the modular group of the torus with one marked point) with the additional relation $(\mathbf{S}^{(p)})^4 = \theta_p^{-1}$ being the Dehn twist about the marked point.

The above theorem is a specialisation of [2, Theorem 3.1.17 and 5.5.1], where Theorem 3.1.17 gives the action of the modular group on duals of 3-point coupling spaces and Theorem 5.5.1 gives the action on marked tori (which are the geometric interpretation of traces of intertwining operators). Note that in order to be closer to the conventions of vertex operator algebra literature, we have rescaled the definition of $\mathbf{T}^{(p)}$ by a factor of ζ relative to the conventions of [2]. Note further that the action given in Theorem 6.2 and above is an action of \mathbf{B}_3 . To deprojectify and obtain an action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ one needs to include a multiplier system, which we shall do a posteriori in the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ example below. If \mathcal{C} is a category of modules over a rational vertex operator algebra (one satisfying all of the assumptions in the paragraph preceding (2.35)), then the numbers appearing in the theorem above can be expressed in terms of vertex operator algebra data as $D = \mathbf{S}_{0,0}^{-1}$, $d_i = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{i,0}}{\mathbf{S}_{0,0}}$, where $\mathbf{S}_{i,j}$ is the modular \mathbf{S} -matrix of characters, and $\theta_p = \mathbf{e}(h_p)$, where h_p is the conformal weight of the simple module p and $\zeta = \mathbf{e}(\frac{\mathbf{c}}{24})$, where \mathbf{c} is the central charge of the vertex operator algebra.

Theorem 6.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a modular tensor category with a set of representatives of simple isomorphism classes \mathcal{J} , twist, braiding and fusing matrices given in a choice of basis of 3-point couplings, as described above. Let $p \in \mathcal{J}$. Then the pull back of $\mathbf{S}^{(p)}, \mathbf{T}^{(p)}$ to spaces of 3-point couplings via evaluation and co-evaluation, expanded in the basis $\{\lambda_{(p,i)\alpha}^i\}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{S}_{i\alpha, j\beta}^{(p)} &= D^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} i \quad j \\ \curvearrowright \quad \curvearrowright \\ \bullet \alpha \quad \bullet \beta \\ | \quad | \\ p \end{array} \\
 &= \frac{d_i d_j}{D} \sum_{r \in i^* \otimes j} \sum_{\gamma \delta \varepsilon} \frac{\theta_r}{\theta_i \theta_j} \mathbf{G}_{0; \delta r \gamma}^{(i^* j) j} \mathbf{F}_{\varepsilon r \gamma; 0}^{(i^* j) j} \mathbf{G}_{\delta i \alpha; \beta j \varepsilon}^{(p i r) j}, \tag{6.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{i,j}$ denotes entries of the matrix for the standard S -transformation of characters, γ runs over the basis of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i^* \otimes j, r)$, and ε, δ both run over the basis of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i \otimes r, j)$. The modular T -matrix is given by

$$T_{i\alpha, j\beta}^{(p)} = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\theta_j}{\zeta}. \quad (6.9)$$

The above S and T matrices are the categorical counterpart to the analytic number theoretic ones discussed previously. Note however, that the multiplier system ν_{h_p} has not yet been included in these formulae. A similar diagrammatic formula for $S_{i\alpha, j\beta}^{(p)}$ already appeared in [54], but with some additional assumptions on dimensions of 3-point coupling spaces, which we do away with here.

Proof. The formula for $T^{(p)}$ follows immediately from Theorem 6.2, so we focus on the formula for $S^{(p)}$. The transferal of $S^{(p)}$ as it is given in Theorem 6.2 to 3-point couplings via evaluation and co-evaluation is

$$S^{(p)}: \begin{array}{c} i \\ | \\ \bullet \alpha \\ / \quad \backslash \\ p \quad i \end{array} \mapsto \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{d_j}{D} \cdot \begin{array}{c} j \\ | \\ \bullet \\ / \quad \backslash \\ i \quad p \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{d_j}{D} \cdot \begin{array}{c} i \\ | \\ \bullet \alpha \\ / \quad \backslash \\ p \quad j \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} S_{i\alpha, j\beta}^{(p)} \cdot \begin{array}{c} j \\ | \\ \bullet \beta \\ / \quad \backslash \\ p \quad j \end{array}, \quad (6.10)$$

where the first identity uses the straightening axiom of evaluation and co-evaluation to yield a morphism in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p \otimes j, j)$ and the second identity is the expansion of this morphism in our chosen basis, which defines the coefficients $S_{i\alpha, j\beta}^{(p)}$. To extract the coefficient in front of each basis vector we pair with the dual basis by attaching $\Upsilon_{(p,j)j}^{\beta}$ to the diagram from below, which will yield a morphism in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(j, j) = \mathbb{C} \text{id}_j$, proportional to the identity, that is,

$$S_{i\alpha, j\beta}^{(p)} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ j \end{array} = \frac{d_j}{D} \cdot \begin{array}{c} i \\ | \\ \bullet \alpha \\ / \quad \backslash \\ p \quad j \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ \bullet \beta \\ | \\ j \end{array}. \quad (6.11)$$

We can then take the trace over j to get a morphism in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(0, 0) = \mathbb{C} \text{id}_0$, that is, we connect the j -strands at the top and bottom of the diagram using evaluation and co-evaluation. The left side of the identity is then just a circle labelled by j and this evaluates to d_j . The right side then becomes string diagram in (6.8). To evaluate the string diagram we need the well known identities

$$\begin{array}{c} i \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} i^* \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ i^* \end{array} = d_i \cdot \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ i \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ i \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ i^* \quad j \end{array} = \sum_{r \in i^* \otimes j} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\theta_r}{\theta_i \theta_j} \cdot \begin{array}{c} i^* \quad j \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \bar{\gamma} \\ | \\ \bullet \gamma \\ \text{---} \\ i^* \quad j \end{array}, \quad (6.12)$$

where the grey boxes in the left identity can contain any diagram and where we have also used that $\theta_{i^*} = \theta_i$. Then

Diagrammatic equation (6.13) showing the decomposition of a product of two circles into a sum of diagrams with a central vertex. The left side shows two circles, one labeled i and one labeled j , with vertices α , p , and $\bar{\beta}$. The right side shows a sum over $r \in i^* \otimes j$ of $\frac{\theta_r}{\theta_i \theta_j}$ times a diagram with a central vertex r and vertices α , p , $\bar{\beta}$, i , j , $\bar{\gamma}$, and γ . The right side is further equal to d_i times a sum over $r \in i^* \otimes j$ of $\frac{\theta_r}{\theta_i \theta_j}$ times a diagram with a central vertex r and vertices α , p , $\bar{\beta}$, i , j , $\bar{\gamma}$, γ , and i^* .

$$= \sum_{r \in i^* \otimes j} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\theta_r}{\theta_i \theta_j} \cdot \alpha \quad (6.13)$$

$$= d_i \sum_{r \in i^* \otimes j} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\theta_r}{\theta_i \theta_j} \cdot \alpha \quad (6.13)$$

Applying the well known identities

Diagrammatic equation (6.14) showing identities for vertices with external legs. The left side shows a vertex γ with legs i , r , j , and i^* , and a dashed leg 0 . The middle side shows a vertex $\bar{\varepsilon}$ with legs i , r , and j . The right side shows a vertex $\bar{\gamma}$ with legs i , r , and j , and a dashed leg 0 . The far right side shows a vertex δ with legs i , r , and j .

$$= \sum_{\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon r \gamma, 0}^{(i^* j)j} \quad (6.14)$$

$$= \sum_{\delta} G_{0, \delta r \gamma}^{(i^* j)j} \quad (6.14)$$

and

Diagrammatic equation (6.15) showing a complex diagram with multiple vertices and legs. The left side shows a diagram with vertices α , β , $\bar{\delta}$, and $\bar{\gamma}$, and legs ℓ , p , k , i , j , q , and ℓ . The right side shows a diagram with a central vertex $\bar{\delta}$ and legs ℓ , ℓ , and ℓ .

$$= G_{\alpha \beta, \gamma \bar{\delta}}^{(i j k) \ell} \quad (6.15)$$

to the last diagram in (6.13) and again using the fact that the circle labelled by j evaluates to d_j yields the formula in (6.8). ■

6.1. The $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ example. Explicit formulae for the Moore-Seiberg data of the modular tensor category for affine $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ at level $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are known and we reproduce them here to compute some examples. We take the label set of simple modules to be their highest weights $\mathcal{J} = \{0, \dots, k\}$ with respective conformal weights $h_n = \frac{n(n+2)}{4(k+2)}$, $n \in \mathcal{J}$ and central charge $\mathbf{c} = \frac{3k}{k+2}$. Since for $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ the 3-point coupling spaces are always at most 1-dimensional, no labels are needed for basis vectors (the

Greek indices above). The character \mathbf{S} -matrix entries are given by

$$\mathbf{S}_{i,j} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k+2}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi(i+1)(j+1)}{k+2}\right), \quad D = \mathbf{S}_{0,0}^{-1}, \quad d_i = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{i,0}}{\mathbf{S}_{0,0}}. \quad (6.16)$$

The twist, ζ , braiding and fusing matrices are, respectively, given by

$$\theta_r = \mathbf{e}(h_r), \quad \zeta = \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{\mathbf{c}}{24}\right), \quad \mathbf{R}^{(rst)t} = (-1)^{r+s-t} \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{h_r + h_s - h_t}{2}\right), \quad \mathbf{F}_{pq}^{(rst)u} = \begin{cases} t/2 & s/2 & p/2 \\ r/2 & u/2 & q/2 \end{cases}, \quad (6.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & e \\ d & c & f \end{pmatrix} &= (-1)^{a+b-c-d-2e} \sqrt{[2e+1][2f+1]\Delta(a,b,e)\Delta(a,c,f)\Delta(c,e,d)\Delta(d,b,f)} \\ &\times \sum_{z=\min\{a+b+c+d, a+d+e+f, b+c+e+f\}}^{\max\{a+b+e, a+c+f, b+d+f, c+d+e\}} (-1)^z [z+1]! ([z-a-b-e]! [z-a-c-f]! [z-b-d-f]! [z-d-c-e]! \\ &[a+b+c+d-z]! [a+d+e+f-z]! [b+c+e+f-z]!)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

are quantum group $6j$ -symbols and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a,b,c) &= \sqrt{\frac{[-a+b+c]![a-b+c]![a+b-c]!}{[a+b+c+1]!}}, \\ [n] &= \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi n}{k+2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k+2}\right)}, \quad [n]! = \prod_{m=1}^n [m], \quad [0]! = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

The above formulae can be found in [30, 36]. Note that the \mathbf{G} can be computed from the above data using the identity

$$\mathbf{G}_{pq}^{(ijk)\ell} = \frac{\mathbf{R}^{(jk)q} \mathbf{R}^{(iq)\ell}}{\mathbf{R}^{(ij)p} \mathbf{R}^{(pk)\ell}} \mathbf{F}_{pq}^{(kji)\ell}. \quad (6.20)$$

Consider now the example when the label p of the acting object is equal to k . Then we are in the 1-dimensional case with \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{T} given by

$$\mathbf{S}^{(k)} = \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}i\left(h_k + \frac{k}{2}\right)} = \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}i\frac{3k}{8}}, \quad \mathbf{T}^{(k)} = \mathbf{e}^{\frac{\pi}{4}i\frac{k}{2}}. \quad (6.21)$$

In particular, $\mathbf{S}^{(k)}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{(k)}$ are equal to the evaluation of the multiplier system $\nu_{h_k + \frac{k}{2}}$, so the categorical data appear to detect that the natural vector u to use for torus 1-point functions has conformal weight $h_k + \frac{k}{2}$, as we have seen in the sections above. If we divide the above formulae by the ν_{h_k} multiplier system then we recover the formulae in Theorem 5.3.

The above formulae for \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{T} can also be used to show that Theorem 5.6 admits examples of non-congruent representations of dimension greater than three.

Proposition 6.4. *Choose $p = 7$, $t = 1$ in Theorem 5.6 and hence $k = 5$. Then for $\lambda = 2$, the representation ρ_2 is 4-dimensional, irreducible and non-congruence.*

Proof. All of the conditions of Theorem 5.6 except for irreducibility hold by construction. So we only need to show irreducibility. Note that the existence or absence of a non-trivial invariant subspace does not depend on whether a multiplier system is included in the formulae for \mathbf{S} or \mathbf{T} . Therefore, we work directly with the formulae in Theorem 6.3. Note in particular that all of the eigenvalues of

$$\mathbf{T}^{(2)} = \text{diag}\left(\mathbf{e}\left(\frac{1}{56}\right), \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{11}{56}\right), \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{25}{56}\right), \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{43}{56}\right)\right) \quad (6.22)$$

are distinct. Therefore a non-trivial invariant subspace would need to admit a basis B that is a proper non-empty subset of $\mathbf{T}^{(2)}$ -eigenvectors. The columns in $\mathbf{S}^{(2)}$ corresponding to these basis vectors would hence need to contain entries that are 0 in those rows which correspond to $\mathbf{T}^{(2)}$ -eigenvectors not in B . However,

$$\mathbf{S}^{(2)} \approx \begin{pmatrix} -0.16 - 0.33i & -0.26 - 0.55i & -0.26 - 0.55i & -0.16 - 0.33i \\ -0.26 - 0.55i & -0.16 - 0.33i & 0.16 + 0.33i & 0.26 + 0.55i \\ -0.26 - 0.55i & 0.16 + 0.33i & 0.16 + 0.33i & -0.26 - 0.55i \\ -0.16 - 0.33i & 0.26 + 0.55i & -0.26 - 0.55i & 0.16 + 0.33i \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.23)$$

has no entries that are 0 and hence the representation must be irreducible. Here we have chosen to give a numerical approximation of $S^{(2)}$ to two significant digits for simplicity, as the exact expression in terms of radicals is impractically large to present. ■

Note that $v_{h_2}(T) = \mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{42})$ and so if we divide the diagonal entries in (6.22) by v_{h_2} and take the product of the first two diagonal entries, we obtain $\mathbf{e}(\frac{1}{6})$, which is a 12th root of unity. Hence Lemma 5.2 does not apply and we were only able to conclude irreducibility because of the categorical formulae.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Andrews. *The Theory of Partitions*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [2] B. Bakalov and J. A. Kirillov. *Lectures on Tensor Categories and Modular Functors*, volume 21 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [3] M. Bauer, A. Coste, C. Itzykson, and P. Ruelle. Comments on the links between $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ modular invariants, simple factors in the Jacobian of Fermat curves, and rational triangular billiards. In *R.C.P. 25, Vol. 48*, volume 1997/42 of *Prépubl. Inst. Rech. Math. Av.*, pages 1–56. Univ. Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 1997. arXiv:hep-th/9604104.
- [4] D. Bernard and G. Felder. Fock representations and BRST cohomology in $SL(2)$ current algebra. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 127:145–168, 1990.
- [5] F. Calegari, V. Dimitrov, and Y. Tang. The unbounded denominators conjecture, 2023. arXiv:2109.09040 [math.NT].
- [6] A. Cappelli, C. Itzykson, and J. B. Zuber. The A-D-E classification of minimal and $A_1^{(1)}$ conformal invariant theories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 113(1):1–26, 1987.
- [7] J. L. Cardy. Operator content of two-dimensional conformally invariant theories. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 270(2):186–204, 1986.
- [8] J. H. Conway and S. P. Norton. Monstrous moonshine. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 11(3):308–339, 1979.
- [9] W. J. Cook, H. Li, and K. C. Misra. A recurrence relation for characters of highest weight integrable modules for affine Lie algebras. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 9(2):121–133, 2007. arXiv:math/0504463 [math.QA].
- [10] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason. Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras. *Math. Ann.*, 310(3):571–600, 1998. arXiv:q-alg/9509005.
- [11] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason. Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 214:1–56, 2000. arXiv:q-alg/9703016.
- [12] C. Dong, X. Lin, and S. Ng. Congruence property in conformal field theory. *Algebra Number Theory*, 9(9):2121–2166, 2015. arXiv:1201.6644 [math.QA].
- [13] C. Dong and G. Mason. Vertex operator algebras and Moonshine: A survey. In *Progress in algebraic combinatorics (Fukuoka, 1993)*, volume 24 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 101–136. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1996.
- [14] C. Dong and G. Mason. Monstrous Moonshine of higher weight. *Acta Math.*, 185:101–121, 2000. arXiv:math/9803116 [math.QA].
- [15] C. Dong and G. Mason. Rational vertex operator algebras and the effective central charge. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2004:2989–3008, 2004. arXiv:math/0201318 [math.QA].
- [16] W. Eholzer. On the classification of modular fusion algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 172(3):623–659, 1995. arXiv:hep-th/9408160.
- [17] W. Eholzer and N. P. Skoruppa. Modular invariance and uniqueness of conformal characters. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 174(1):117–136, 1995. arXiv:hep-th/9407074.
- [18] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. *Tensor Categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [19] P. I. Etingof and A. A. Kirillov. On the affine analogue of Jack and Macdonald polynomials. *Duke Math. J.*, 78(2):229–256, 1995. arXiv:hep-th/9403168.
- [20] C. Franc and G. Mason. Fourier coefficients of vector-valued modular forms of dimension 2. *Can. Math. Bull.*, 57(3):485–494, 2014. arXiv:1304.4288 [math.NT].
- [21] C. Franc and G. Mason. Hypergeometric series, modular linear differential equations and vector-valued modular forms. *Ramanujan J.*, 41(1-3):233–267, 2016. arXiv:1503.05519 [math.NT].
- [22] C. Franc and G. Mason. Classification of some vertex operator algebras of rank 3. *Algebra Number Theory*, 14(6):1613–1668, 2020. arXiv:1905.07500 [math.QA].
- [23] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi. *Vertex Algebras and Algebraic Curves*, volume 88 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [24] I. G. Frenkel and Y. Zhu. Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 66, Apr. 1992.
- [25] J. Fuchs, I. Runkel, and C. Schweigert. TFT construction of RCFT correlators I: Partition functions. *Nucl. Phys.*, B646:353–497, 202. arXiv:hep-th/0204148.
- [26] T. Gannon. *Moonshine beyond the Monster*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. The bridge connecting algebra, modular forms and physics.
- [27] T. Gannon. The theory of vector-valued modular forms for the modular group. In *Conformal field theory, automorphic forms and related topics*, volume 8 of *Contrib. Math. Comput. Sci.*, pages 247–286. Springer, Heidelberg, 2014. arXiv:1310.4458 [math.NT].
- [28] D. Genper and E. Witten. String theory on group manifolds. *Nucl. Phys.*, B278:493, 1986.

- [29] Y. Hara. Affine analogue of Jack's polynomials for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 7(1):87–120, 2003. arXiv:math/0210236 [math.QA].
- [30] B. Hou, K. Shi, P. Wang, and R. Yue. The crossing matrices of WZW $SU(2)$ model and minimal models with the quantum $6j$ symbols. *Nucl. Phys.*, B345:659–684, 1990.
- [31] Y. Z. Huang. Differential equations, duality and modular invariance. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 7(5):649–706, 2005. arXiv:math/0303049 [math.QA].
- [32] Y. Z. Huang. Rigidity and modularity of vertex tensor categories. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 10:871–911, 2008. arXiv:math/0502533 [math.QA].
- [33] Y. Z. Huang. Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 10:103–1054, 2008. arXiv:math/0406291 [math.QA].
- [34] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky, and L. Zhang. Logarithmic tensor product theory I–VIII. arXiv:1012.4193 [math.QA], arXiv:1012.4196 [math.QA], arXiv:1012.4197 [math.QA], arXiv:1012.4198 [math.QA], arXiv:1012.4199 [math.QA], arXiv:1012.4202 [math.QA], arXiv:1110.1929 [math.QA], arXiv:1110.1931 [math.QA].
- [35] V. Kac. *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [36] A. N. Kirillov and N. Y. Reshetikin. Representations of the algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$, q -orthogonal polynomials and invariants of links. In V. G. Kac, editor, *New Developments in the Theory of Knots*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, page 285. World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [37] M. Krauel and C. Marks. Intertwining operators and vector-valued modular forms for minimal models. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 12:657–686, 2018. arXiv:1612.02134 [math.QA].
- [38] C. Marks. Classification of vector-valued modular forms of dimension less than six, 2010. arXiv:1003.4111 [math.NT].
- [39] C. Marks. Irreducible vector-valued modular forms of dimension less than six. *Ill. J. Math.*, 55(4):1267–1297 (2013), 2011. arXiv:1004.3019 [math.NT].
- [40] C. Marks. Fourier coefficients of three-dimensional vector-valued modular forms. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 9(2):387–411, 2015. arXiv:1201.5165 [math.NT].
- [41] C. Marks and G. Mason. Structure of the module of vector-valued modular forms. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 82(1):32–48, 2010. arXiv:arXiv:0901.4367 [math.NT].
- [42] G. Mason. 2-dimensional vector-valued modular forms. *Ramanujan J.*, 17:405–427, 2007.
- [43] G. Mason. Vector-valued modular forms and linear differential operators. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 3(3):377–390, 2007.
- [44] G. Mason, K. Nagatomo, and Y. Sakai. Vertex operator algebras of rank 2—the Mathur-Mukhi-Sen theorem revisited. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 15(1):59–90, 2021.
- [45] G. Mason and M. P. Tuite. Vertex operators and modular forms, 2009. arXiv:0909.4460 [math.QA].
- [46] S. D. Mathur, S. Mukhi, and A. Sen. On the classification of rational conformal field theories. *Phys. Lett. B*, 213(3):303–308, 1988.
- [47] A. Meurman and M. Primc. Vertex operator algebras and representations of affine lie algebras. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 44:207–215, 1996.
- [48] M. Mignard and P. Schauenburg. Modular categories are not determined by their modular data. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 111:9, 2021. arXiv:1708.02796 [math.QA].
- [49] A. Milas. Ramanujan's “Lost Notebook” and the Virasoro algebra. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 251(3):567–588, 2004. arXiv:math/0309201 [math.QA].
- [50] A. Milas, E. Mortenson, and K. Ono. Number theoretic properties of Wronskians of Andrews-Gordon series. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 4(2):323–337, 2008. arXiv:math/0512623 [math.QA].
- [51] M. Miyamoto. Intertwining operators and modular invariance, 2000. arXiv:math/0010180 [math.QA].
- [52] M. Miyamoto. Modular invariance of vertex operator algebras satisfying C_2 -cofiniteness. *Duke Math. J.*, 122:51–91, 2004. arXiv:math/0209101 [math.QA].
- [53] G. Moore. Atkin-Lehner symmetry. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 293(1):139–188, 1987.
- [54] G. Moore and N. Seiberg. Classical and quantum conformal field theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 123:177–254, 1989.
- [55] A. Nobs and J. Wolfart. Die irreduziblen Darstellungen der Gruppen $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, insbesondere $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. I. Teil. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 51:465–489, 1976.
- [56] A. Nobs and J. Wolfart. Die irreduziblen Darstellungen der Gruppen $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, insbesondere $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. II. Teil. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 51:491–526, 1976.
- [57] D. Ridout. $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)_{-1/2}$: A case study. *Nucl. Phys.*, B814:485–521, 2009. arXiv:0810.3532 [hep-th].
- [58] A. N. Schellekens. Meromorphic $c = 24$ conformal field theories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 153(1):159–185, 1993. arXiv:hep-th/9205072.
- [59] A. Tsuchiya and Y. Kanie. Vertex operators in the conformal field theory on \mathbf{P}^1 and monodromy representations of the braid group. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 13(4):303–312, 1987.
- [60] E. Verlinde. Fusion rules and modular transformations in 2d conformal field theory. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 300(3):360–376, 1988.
- [61] H. Yamauchi. Orbifold Zhu theory associated to intertwining operators. *J. Algebra*, 265:513–538, 2003. arXiv:math/0201054 [math.QA].
- [62] A. B. Zamolodchikov and V. A. Fateev. Operator algebra and correlation functions in the two-dimensional $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ chiral Wess-Zumino model. *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.*, 43:657, 1986.
- [63] Y. Zhu. Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9:237–302, 1996.

(Matthew Krauel) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO, 6000 J. STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA, USA, 95819.

Email address: krauel@csus.edu

(Jamal Shafiq) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, CARDIFF UNIVERSITY, CARDIFF, UNITED KINGDOM, CF24 4AG.

Email address: shafiqjn@cardiff.ac.uk

(Simon Wood) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, CARDIFF UNIVERSITY, CARDIFF, UNITED KINGDOM, CF24 4AG.

Email address: woods@cardiff.ac.uk