

GKZ hypergeometric systems of the four-loop vacuum Feynman integrals

Hai-Bin Zhang^{1,2,3,4,*}, Tai-Fu Feng^{1,2,3,5,†}

¹*Department of Physics, Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China*

²*Hebei Key Laboratory of High-precision Computation and Application of Quantum Field Theory, Baoding, 071002, China*

³*Hebei Research Center of the Basic Discipline for Computational Physics, Baoding, 071002, China*

⁴*Institute of Life Science and Green Development, Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China*

⁵*Department of Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing, 401331, China*

Abstract

Basing on Mellin-Barnes representations and Miller's transformation, we present the Gel'fand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky (GKZ) hypergeometric systems of 4-loop vacuum Feynman integrals with arbitrary masses. Through the GKZ hypergeometric systems, the analytical hypergeometric solutions of 4-loop vacuum Feynman integrals with arbitrary masses can be obtained in neighborhoods of origin including infinity. The analytical expressions of 4-loop vacuum Feynman integrals can be formulated as a linear combination of the fundamental solution systems in certain convergent region.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Jr, 11.10.Gh, 12.38.Bx

Keywords: 4-loop vacuum integral, Feynman integral, GKZ hypergeometric system, analytical calculation

* hbzhang@hbu.edu.cn

† fengtf@hbu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

With the improvement of experimental measurement accuracy at the planned future colliders [1–6], Feynman integrals need to be calculated beyond two-loop order. Vacuum integrals are the important subsets of Feynman integrals, which constitute a main building block in asymptotic expansions of Feynman integrals [7, 8]. The calculation of multi-loop vacuum integrals is a good breakthrough window in the calculation of multi-loop Feynman integrals. In this article, we investigate the analytical calculation of 4-loop vacuum integrals with arbitrary masses.

It's well known that the completely one-loop integrals are analytically in the time-space dimension $D = 4 - 2\varepsilon$ [9–12]. At the two-loop level, the vacuum integrals have been calculated to polylogarithms or equivalent functions [13–17]. The three-loop vacuum integrals are also calculated analytically and numerically in some literatures [18–39]. But, very few four-loop vacuum integrals are calculated analytically. The vacuum integrals at the four-loop level are only calculated analytically for single-mass-scale [40, 41], equal masses [42], and reduction [43, 44]. Recently, Feynman integrals using auxiliary mass flow numerical method [45, 46], also can be reduced to vacuum integrals, which can be numerical solved by further reduction. In order to improve the computational efficiency and give analytical results completely, it is meaningful to explore new analytical calculating method of the multi-loop vacuum integrals with arbitrary masses.

Feynman integrals have been considered as the generalized hypergeometric functions [47–71]. Considering Feynman integrals as the generalized hypergeometric functions, one can find that the D -module of a Feynman integral [63, 72] is isomorphic to Gel'fand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky (GKZ) D -module [73–77]. GKZ-hypergeometric systems of Feynman integrals with codimension= 0, 1 are presented in Refs. [78, 79] through Lee-Pomeransky parametric representations [80]. To construct canonical series solutions with suitable independent variables, one should compute the restricted D -module of GKZ-hypergeometric system originating from Lee-Pomeransky representations on corresponding hyperplane in the parameter space [81–83]. In our previous work, through Mellin-Barnes representations [67, 68], GKZ hypergeometric systems of one- and two-loop Feynman diagrams also can be obtained [84–86], through Miller's transformation [87, 88]. There are some recent work in the GKZ framework of Feynman integrals [89–107].

In our previous work, we have given GKZ hypergeometric systems of the Feynman integrals of the two-loop vacuum integral [84] and three-loop vacuum integrals [39]. In this article, we derive GKZ hypergeometric systems of the four-loop vacuum integrals with arbitrary masses. Our presentation is organized as following. Through the Mellin-Barnes representation and Miller's transformation, we derive the GKZ hypergeometric system of the four-loop vacuum integrals with five propagates in Sec. II, six propagates in Sec. III, and seven propagates in Sec. IV. And then, we construct the hypergeometric series solutions of the GKZ hypergeometric systems of the four-loop vacuum integrals in Sec. V. In Sec. VI, the conclusions are summarized. Some formulates are presented in the appendices.

II. GKZ HYPERGEOMETRIC SYSTEM OF 4-LOOP VACUUM INTEGRAL WITH FIVE PROPAGATES




FIG. 1: Four-loop vacuum diagram with five propagators.

The expression of 4-loop vacuum integral with five propagates in Fig. 1 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_5 = & \left(\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2\right)^{8-2D} \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)(q_3^2 - m_3^2)} \\
 & \times \frac{1}{[(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_4^2](q_4^2 - m_5^2)}, \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where Λ_{RE} denotes the renormalization energy scale and $D = 4 - 2\varepsilon$ is the number of dimensions in dimensional regularization, $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_4)$, $\frac{d^D \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^D} = \frac{d^D q_1}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{d^D q_3}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{d^D q_4}{(2\pi)^D}$. The Feynman integral is hard to calculate analytically, if all virtual masses are nonzero.

So, one can extract the virtual masses from the integral, to facilitate further calculation. Adopting the notation of Refs. [67, 68], the four-loop vacuum integral with five propagates is written as

$$U_5 = \frac{(\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2)^{8-2D}}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} d\mathbf{s} \left[\prod_{i=1}^4 (-m_i^2)^{s_i} \Gamma(-s_i) \Gamma(1+s_i) \right] I_q, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_4)$, $d\mathbf{s} = ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 ds_4$ and

$$I_q \equiv \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(q_1^2)^{1+s_1} (q_2^2)^{1+s_2} (q_3^2)^{1+s_3} [(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4)^2]^{1+s_4} (q_4^2 - m_5^2)}. \quad (3)$$

The integral I_q just keeps one mass m_5 , which can be easily calculated analytically.

And then, the Mellin-Barnes representation of the four-loop vacuum integral in Eq. (2) is written as

$$U_5 = \frac{-m_5^6}{(2\pi i)^4 (4\pi)^8} \left(\frac{4\pi \Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2}{m_5^2} \right)^{8-2D} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} d\mathbf{s} \left[\prod_{i=1}^4 \left(\frac{m_i^2}{m_5^2} \right)^{s_i} \Gamma(-s_i) \right] \times \left[\prod_{i=1}^4 \Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2} - 1 - s_i\right) \right] \Gamma\left(4 - \frac{3D}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^4 s_i\right) \Gamma\left(5 - 2D + \sum_{i=1}^4 s_i\right). \quad (4)$$

It is well known that zero and negative integers are simple poles of the function $\Gamma(z)$. As all s_i contours are closed to the right in complex planes, one can find that the analytic expression of the integral can be written as the linear combination of generalized hypergeometric functions. Taking the residue of the pole of $\Gamma(-s_i)$, ($i = 1, \dots, 4$), we derive one linear independent term of the integral:

$$U_5 \ni \frac{-m_5^6}{(4\pi)^8} \left(\frac{4\pi \Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2}{m_5^2} \right)^{8-2D} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_4=0}^{\infty} (-)^{\sum_{i=1}^4 n_i} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} x_3^{n_3} x_4^{n_4} \times \left[\prod_{i=1}^4 \Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2} - 1 - n_i\right) (n_i!)^{-1} \right] \Gamma\left(4 - \frac{3D}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i\right) \Gamma\left(5 - 2D + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i\right), \quad (5)$$

with $x_i = \frac{m_i^2}{m_5^2}$, ($i = 1, \dots, 4$).

We adopt the well-known identity

$$\Gamma(z-n)\Gamma(1-z+n) = (-)^n \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = (-)^n \pi / \sin \pi z. \quad (6)$$

Then, Eq. (5) is written as

$$U_5 \ni \frac{-m_5^6}{(4\pi)^8} \left(\frac{4\pi \Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2}{m_5^2} \right)^{8-2D} \frac{\pi^4}{\sin^4 \frac{\pi D}{2}} T_5(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (7)$$

with

$$T_5(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_4=0}^{\infty} A_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} x_3^{n_3} x_4^{n_4}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ with

$$a_1 = 4 - \frac{3D}{2}, \quad a_2 = 5 - 2D, \quad b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 2 - \frac{D}{2}, \quad (9)$$

and the coefficient $A_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4}$ is

$$A_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} = \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i) \Gamma(a_2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 n_i! \Gamma(b_i + n_i)}. \quad (10)$$

Here, we just derive one linear independent term of the integral. We still need to derive other linear independent terms of the integral.

We define the auxiliary function

$$\Phi_5(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{e}_4} T_5(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (11)$$

with the intermediate variables $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2) = (1, 1)$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$, $\mathbf{e}_4 = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. Through Miller's transformation [87, 88], one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{u_j} \Phi_5(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &= a_j \Phi_5(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \quad (j = 1, 2), \\ \vartheta_{v_k} \Phi_5(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &= (b_k - 1) \Phi_5(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \quad (k = 1, \dots, 4), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

which naturally induces the notion of GKZ hypergeometric system. $\vartheta_{x_k} = x_k \partial_{x_k}$ denotes the Euler operators, and $\partial_{x_k} = \partial / \partial x_k$.

Through the transformation

$$z_j = \frac{1}{u_j}, \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad z_{2+k} = v_k, \quad z_{6+k} = \frac{x_k}{u_1 u_2 v_k}, \quad (k = 1, \dots, 4), \quad (13)$$

we can finally have the GKZ hypergeometric system for the four-loop vacuum integral with five propagates:

$$\mathbf{A}_5 \cdot \vec{\vartheta}_5 \Phi_5 = \mathbf{B}_5 \Phi_5, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\vec{\vartheta}_5^T &= (\vartheta_{z_1}, \dots, \vartheta_{z_{10}}), \\
\mathbf{B}_5^T &= (-a_1, -a_2, b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, b_3 - 1, b_4 - 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Correspondingly, the dual matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_5$ of \mathbf{A}_5 is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

The row vectors of the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_5$ induce the integer sublattice \mathbf{B} which can be used to construct the formal solutions in hypergeometric series.

Defining the combined variables

$$y_1 = \frac{z_3 z_7}{z_1 z_2}, \quad y_2 = \frac{z_4 z_8}{z_1 z_2}, \quad y_3 = \frac{z_5 z_9}{z_1 z_2}, \quad y_4 = \frac{z_6 z_{10}}{z_1 z_2}, \tag{17}$$

we can write the solutions satisfying Eq. (14) as

$$\Phi_5(\mathbf{z}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{10} z_i^{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi_5(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4). \tag{18}$$

Here $\vec{\alpha}^T = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{10})$ denotes a sequence of complex number such that

$$\mathbf{A}_5 \cdot \vec{\alpha} = \mathbf{B}_5. \tag{19}$$

In the following Sec. V, we will show the analytical hypergeometric series solutions solving from the GKZ hypergeometric system in Eq. (14).




FIG. 2: Four-loop vacuum diagram with six propagators for type A.

III. GKZ HYPERGEOMETRIC SYSTEM OF 4-LOOP VACUUM INTEGRALS WITH SIX PROPAGATES

A. 4-loop vacuum diagram with six propagates for type A

The four-loop vacuum diagrams with six propagates have two topologies, which one can be seen in Fig. 2. The expression of the four-loop vacuum integral with six propagates for type A in Fig. 2 can be written as

$$U_{6A} = \left(\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2\right)^{8-2D} \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)[(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_3^2]} \times \frac{1}{[(q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_4^2](q_3^2 - m_5^2)(q_4^2 - m_6^2)}. \quad (20)$$

Similarly, we can derive one linear independent term of the integral:

$$U_{6A} \ni \frac{m_6^4}{(4\pi)^8} \left(\frac{4\pi\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2}{m_6^2}\right)^{8-2D} \frac{\pi^4}{\sin^4 \frac{\pi D}{2}} T_{6A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (21)$$

with

$$T_{6A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} A_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (22)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_5)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_5)$, $x_i = \frac{m_i^2}{m_6^2}$, ($i = 1, \dots, 5$), $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_5)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_6)$ with

$$a_1 = 5 - \frac{3D}{2}, \quad a_2 = 6 - 2D, \quad a_3 = 3 - D, \quad a_4 = 4 - \frac{3D}{2}, \quad a_5 = 1, \\ b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 2 - \frac{D}{2}, \quad b_5 = 4 - D, \quad b_6 = 5 - \frac{3D}{2}, \quad (23)$$

and the coefficient A_n is

$$A_n = \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i) \Gamma(a_2 + \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i) \Gamma(a_3 + \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i) \Gamma(a_4 + \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i) \Gamma(a_5 + n_4)}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^5 n_i! \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^3 \Gamma(b_i + n_i) \right] \Gamma(b_4 + n_5) \Gamma(b_5 + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i) \Gamma(b_6 + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i)}. \quad (24)$$

We also define the auxiliary function

$$\Phi_{6A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{e}_6} T_{6A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (25)$$

with the intermediate variables $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_5) = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_6)$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_6 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One can derive the GKZ hypergeometric system for the four-loop vacuum integral with six propagates for type A:

$$\mathbf{A}_{6A} \cdot \vec{\vartheta}_{6A} \Phi_{6A} = \mathbf{B}_{6A} \Phi_{6A}, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{6A} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{11 \times 11} & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}6A} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}6A}^T &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \vec{\vartheta}_{6A}^T &= (\vartheta_{z_1}, \dots, \vartheta_{z_{16}}), \\ \mathbf{B}_{6A}^T &= (-a_1, \dots, -a_5, b_1 - 1, \dots, b_6 - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Here, $\mathbf{I}_{11 \times 11}$ is an 11×11 unit matrix.

Correspondingly the dual matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{6A}$ of \mathbf{A}_{6A} is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{6A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}6A}^T & \mathbf{I}_{5 \times 5} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

Here, $\mathbf{I}_{5 \times 5}$ is a 5×5 unit matrix.

B. 4-loop vacuum diagram with six propagates for type B

The expression of the four-loop vacuum integral with six propagates for type B in Fig. 3 can be written as

$$U_{6B} = \left(\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2 \right)^{8-2D} \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(q_1^2 - m_1^2) [(q_1 + q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_2^2]}$$




FIG. 3: Four-loop vacuum diagram with six propagators for type B.

$$\times \frac{1}{(q_2^2 - m_3^2)[(q_2 + q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_4^2](q_3^2 - m_5^2)(q_4^2 - m_6^2)}. \quad (29)$$

We can derive one linear independent term of the integral:

$$U_{6B} \ni \frac{m_6^4}{(4\pi)^8} \left(\frac{4\pi\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2}{m_6^2} \right)^{8-2D} \frac{\pi^4 \sin^2 \pi D}{\sin^5 \frac{\pi D}{2} \sin \frac{3\pi D}{2}} T_{6B}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (30)$$

with

$$T_{6B}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} A_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (31)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_5)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_5)$, $x_i = \frac{m_i^2}{m_6^2}$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_6)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_7)$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 5 - \frac{3D}{2}, \quad a_2 = 6 - 2D, \quad a_3 = a_5 = 2 - \frac{D}{2}, \quad a_4 = a_6 = 3 - D, \\ b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = b_5 &= 2 - \frac{D}{2}, \quad b_6 = 4 - D, \quad b_7 = 5 - \frac{3D}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and the coefficient $A_{\mathbf{n}}$ is

$$A_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i) \Gamma(a_2 + \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i) \Gamma(a_3 + \sum_{i=1}^2 n_i) \Gamma(a_4 + \sum_{i=1}^2 n_i) \Gamma(a_5 + \sum_{i=3}^4 n_i) \Gamma(a_6 + \sum_{i=3}^4 n_i)}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^5 n_i! \Gamma(b_i + n_i) \right] \Gamma(b_6 + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i) \Gamma(b_7 + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i)}. \quad (33)$$

We can define the auxiliary function

$$\Phi_{6B}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{e}_7} T_{6B}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (34)$$

with the intermediate variables $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_6) = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_7)$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_7 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One can derive the GKZ hypergeometric system for the four-loop vacuum integral with six propagates for type B:

$$\mathbf{A}_{6B} \cdot \vec{\vartheta}_{6B} \Phi_{6B} = \mathbf{B}_{6B} \Phi_{6B}, \quad (35)$$




FIG. 4: Four-loop vacuum diagram with seven propagators for type A.

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{A}_{6\mathbf{B}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{13 \times 13} & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}6\mathbf{B}} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}6\mathbf{B}}^T &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \vec{\vartheta}_{6\mathbf{B}}^T &= (\vartheta_{z_1}, \dots, \vartheta_{z_{18}}), \\
 \mathbf{B}_{6\mathbf{B}}^T &= (-a_1, \dots, -a_6, b_1 - 1, \dots, b_7 - 1). \tag{36}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathbf{I}_{13 \times 13}$ is a 13×13 unit matrix.

IV. GKZ HYPERGEOMETRIC SYSTEM OF 4-LOOP VACUUM INTEGRALS WITH SEVEN PROPAGATES

A. 4-loop vacuum diagram with seven propagates for type A

The expression of the four-loop vacuum integral with seven propagates for type A in Fig. 4 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{7\mathbf{A}} &= \left(\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2\right)^{8-2D} \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(q_1^2 - m_1^2)[(q_1 + q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_2^2](q_2^2 - m_3^2)} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{[(q_2 + q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_4^2][(q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_5^2](q_3^2 - m_6^2)(q_4^2 - m_7^2)}. \tag{37}
 \end{aligned}$$

We can derive one linear independent term of the integral:

$$U_{7A} \ni \frac{-m_7^2}{(4\pi)^8} \left(\frac{4\pi\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2}{m_7^2} \right)^{8-2D} \frac{\pi^4 \sin^2 \pi D}{\sin^5 \frac{\pi D}{2} \sin \frac{3\pi D}{2}} T_{7A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (38)$$

with

$$T_{7A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} A_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (39)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_6)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_6)$, $x_i = \frac{m_i^2}{m_7^2}$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_7)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_7)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 6 - \frac{3D}{2}, \quad a_2 = 7 - 2D, \quad a_3 = a_5 = 2 - \frac{D}{2}, \quad a_4 = a_6 = 3 - D, \quad a_7 = 1, \\ b_1 &= b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = b_5 = 2 - \frac{D}{2}, \quad b_6 = 5 - D, \quad b_7 = 6 - \frac{3D}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

and the coefficient $A_{\mathbf{n}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathbf{n}} &= \Gamma(a_1 + \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i) \Gamma(a_2 + \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i) \Gamma(a_3 + \sum_{i=1}^2 n_i) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(a_4 + \sum_{i=1}^2 n_i) \Gamma(a_5 + \sum_{i=3}^4 n_i) \Gamma(a_6 + \sum_{i=3}^4 n_i) \Gamma(a_7 + n_5)}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^6 n_i! \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^4 \Gamma(b_i + n_i) \right] \Gamma(b_5 + n_6) \Gamma(b_6 + \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i) \Gamma(b_7 + \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

We define the auxiliary function

$$\Phi_{7A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{e}_7} T_{7A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (42)$$

with the intermediate variables $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_7)$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_7)$, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_7 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One can derive the GKZ hypergeometric system for the four-loop vacuum integral with seven propagates for type A:

$$\mathbf{A}_{7A} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{7A} \Phi_{7A} = \mathbf{B}_{7A} \Phi_{7A}, \quad (43)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{7A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{14 \times 14} & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}7A} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}7A}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$




FIG. 5: Four-loop vacuum diagram with seven propagators for type B.

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\vartheta}_{7A}^T &= (\vartheta_{z_1}, \dots, \vartheta_{z_{20}}), \\ \mathbf{B}_{7A}^T &= (-a_1, \dots, -a_7, b_1 - 1, \dots, b_7 - 1).\end{aligned}\quad (44)$$

Here, $\mathbf{I}_{14 \times 14}$ is a 14×14 unit matrix.

Correspondingly the dual matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{7A}$ of \mathbf{A}_{7A} is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{7A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{7A}}^T & \mathbf{I}_{6 \times 6} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{6 \times 6}$ is a 6×6 unit matrix.

B. 4-loop vacuum diagram with seven propagates for type B

The expression of the four-loop vacuum integral with seven propagates for type B in Fig. 5 is

$$\begin{aligned}U_{7B} &= \left(\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2\right)^{8-2D} \int \frac{d^D \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(q_1^2 - m_1^2)[(q_1 + q_2)^2 - m_2^2](q_2^2 - m_3^2)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{[(q_2 + q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_4^2][(q_3 + q_4)^2 - m_5^2](q_3^2 - m_6^2)(q_4^2 - m_7^2)}.\end{aligned}\quad (46)$$

We can derive one linear independent term of the integral:

$$U_{7B} \ni \frac{m_7^2}{(4\pi)^8} \left(\frac{4\pi\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^2}{m_7^2}\right)^{8-2D} \frac{\pi^4}{\sin^4 \frac{\pi D}{2}} T_{7B}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (47)$$

with

$$T_{7B}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} A_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (48)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_6)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_6)$, $x_i = \frac{m_i^2}{m_7^2}$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_8)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_8)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 6 - \frac{3D}{2}, \quad a_2 = 7 - 2D, \quad a_3 = 5 - \frac{3D}{2}, \quad a_4 = 4 - D, \\ a_5 &= 2 - \frac{D}{2}, \quad a_6 = 3 - D, \quad a_7 = a_8 = 1, \quad b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 2 - \frac{D}{2}, \\ b_5 &= 3 - \frac{D}{2}, \quad b_6 = 4 - D, \quad b_7 = 5 - D, \quad b_8 = 6 - \frac{3D}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and the coefficient $A_{\mathbf{n}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathbf{n}} &= \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i) \Gamma(a_2 + \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i) \Gamma(a_3 + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i) \Gamma(a_4 + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i)}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^6 n_i! \right] \Gamma(b_1 + n_1) \Gamma(b_2 + n_2) \Gamma(b_3 + n_4) \Gamma(b_4 + n_6)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(a_5 + \sum_{i=1}^2 n_i) \Gamma(a_6 + \sum_{i=1}^2 n_i) \Gamma(a_7 + n_3) \Gamma(a_8 + n_5)}{\Gamma(b_5 + \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i) \Gamma(b_6 + \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i) \Gamma(b_7 + \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i) \Gamma(b_8 + \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We also define the auxiliary function

$$\Phi_{7B}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{e}_8} T_{7B}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{x}), \quad (51)$$

with the intermediate variables $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_8)$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_8)$, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_8 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One can derive the GKZ hypergeometric system for the four-loop vacuum integral with seven propagates for type B:

$$\mathbf{A}_{7B} \cdot \vec{\vartheta}_{7B} \Phi_{7B} = \mathbf{B}_{7B} \Phi_{7B}, \quad (52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{7B} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{16 \times 16} & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}7B} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}7B}^T &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \vec{\vartheta}_{7B}^T &= (\vartheta_{z_1}, \dots, \vartheta_{z_{22}}), \\ \mathbf{B}_{7B}^T &= (-a_1, \dots, -a_8, b_1 - 1, \dots, b_8 - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Here, $\mathbf{I}_{16 \times 16}$ is a 16×16 unit matrix.

V. THE HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES SOLUTIONS OF 4-LOOP VACUUM INTEGRALS

A. The general case of 4-loop vacuum integral with five propagates

In this subsection, we will show the hypergeometric series solutions of the GKZ hypergeometric system of 4-loop vacuum integral with five propagates with arbitrary masses. To construct the hypergeometric series solutions of the GKZ hypergeometric system in Eq. (14) is equivalent to choose a set of the linear independent column vectors of the matrix in Eq. (16) which spans the dual space. We can denote the submatrix composed of the first, third, fourth and fifth column vectors of the dual matrix of Eq. (16) as $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{1345}$, i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{1345} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

Obviously $\det \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{1345} = 1 \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{1345} &= \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{1345}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_5 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Taking 4 row vectors of the matrix \mathbf{B}_{1345} as the basis of integer lattice, one can construct the hypergeometric series solutions in parameter space, through choosing the sets of column indices $I_i \subset [1, \dots, 10]$ ($i = 1, \dots, 16$) which are consistent with the basis of integer lattice \mathbf{B}_{1345} .

One can take the set of column indices $I_1 = [2, 6, \dots, 10]$, i.e. the implement $J_1 = [1, \dots, 10] \setminus I_1 = [1, 3, 4, 5]$. The choice on the set of indices implies the exponent numbers $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0$. Combined with Eq. (9) and Eq. (19), one can have

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{D}{2} - 1, \quad \alpha_6 = -\frac{D}{2}, \quad \alpha_7 = \alpha_8 = \alpha_9 = \frac{D}{2} - 1, \quad \alpha_{10} = -1. \quad (56)$$

According the basis of integer lattice \mathbf{B}_{1345} , the hypergeometric series solution with quadruple independent variables can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{[1345]}^{(1)} &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} z_i^{\alpha_i} \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} c_{[1345]}^{(1)}(\mathbf{n}) \left(\frac{z_1 z_2}{z_6 z_{10}}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{z_3 z_7}{z_6 z_{10}}\right)^{n_2} \left(\frac{z_4 z_8}{z_6 z_{10}}\right)^{n_3} \left(\frac{z_5 z_9}{z_6 z_{10}}\right)^{n_4} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} z_i^{\alpha_i} \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} c_{[1345]}^{(1)}(\mathbf{n}) \left(\frac{1}{y_4}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{y_1}{y_4}\right)^{n_2} \left(\frac{y_2}{y_4}\right)^{n_3} \left(\frac{y_3}{y_4}\right)^{n_4},\end{aligned}\quad (57)$$

where the coefficient is

$$\begin{aligned}c_{[1345]}^{(1)}(\mathbf{n}) &= \left\{ \left[\prod_{i=1}^4 n_i! \right] \Gamma(1 + \alpha_2 + n_1) \Gamma(1 + \alpha_6 - \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i) \Gamma(1 + \alpha_7 + n_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \Gamma(1 + \alpha_8 + n_3) \Gamma(1 + \alpha_9 + n_4) \Gamma(1 + \alpha_{10} - \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i) \right\}^{-1}.\end{aligned}\quad (58)$$

Using the relation in Eq. (6), the hypergeometric series solution is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{[1345]}^{(1)} &= y_1^{\frac{D}{2}-1} y_2^{\frac{D}{2}-1} y_3^{\frac{D}{2}-1} y_4^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} c_{[1345]}^{(1)}(\mathbf{n}) f_{[1345]}, \\ f_{[1345]} &= \left(\frac{1}{y_4}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{y_1}{y_4}\right)^{n_2} \left(\frac{y_2}{y_4}\right)^{n_3} \left(\frac{y_3}{y_4}\right)^{n_4},\end{aligned}\quad (59)$$

with the coefficient is

$$c_{[1345]}^{(1)}(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i) \Gamma(1 + \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 n_i! \Gamma(\frac{D}{2} + n_i)}.\quad (60)$$

Here, the convergent region of the hypergeometric function $\Phi_{[1345]}^{(1)}$ in Eq. (59) is

$$\Xi_{[1345]} = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mid 1 < |y_4|, |y_1| < |y_4|, |y_2| < |y_4|, |y_3| < |y_4|\},\quad (61)$$

which shows that $\Phi_{[1345]}^{(1)}$ is in neighborhood of regular singularity ∞ .

According the basis of integer lattice \mathbf{B}_{1345} , we can also obtain other fifteen hypergeometric solutions, which the expressions can be seen in the supplementary material. The sixteen hypergeometric series solutions $\Phi_{[1345]}^{(i)}$ whose convergent region is $\Xi_{[1345]}$ can constitute a fundamental solution system. The combination coefficients are determined by the value of the scalar integral of an ordinary point or some regular singularities.

Multiplying one of the row vectors of the matrix \mathbf{B}_{1345} by -1, the induced integer matrix can be chosen as a basis of the integer lattice space of certain hypergeometric series. Taking 4 row vectors of the following matrix as the basis of integer lattice,

$$\mathbf{B}_{1345} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{1345},\quad (62)$$

one can obtain sixteen hypergeometric series solutions $\Phi_{[\bar{1}345]}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 16$) similarly, which the expressions can be seen in the supplementary material. The convergent region of the functions $\Phi_{[\bar{1}345]}^{(i)}$ is

$$\Xi_{[\bar{1}345]} = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mid |y_1| < 1, |y_2| < 1, |y_3| < 1, |y_4| < 1\}, \quad (63)$$

which shows that $\Phi_{[\bar{1}345]}^{(i)}$ are in neighborhood of regular singularity 0 and can constitute a fundamental solution system.

Taking 4 row vectors of the following matrix as the basis of integer lattice,

$$\mathbf{B}_{1\bar{3}45} = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, 1) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{1345}, \quad (64)$$

one also obtains sixteen hypergeometric series solutions $\Phi_{[1\bar{3}45]}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 16$):

$$\Phi_{[1\bar{3}45]}^{(i)} = \Phi_{[1345]}^{(i)}(y_4 \leftrightarrow y_1), \quad (65)$$

which the expressions can be obtained by interchanging between y_4 and y_1 in $\Phi_{[1345]}^{(i)}$. The convergent region of the functions $\Phi_{[1\bar{3}45]}^{(i)}$ is

$$\Xi_{[1\bar{3}45]} = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mid 1 < |y_1|, |y_4| < |y_1|, |y_2| < |y_1|, |y_3| < |y_1|\}, \quad (66)$$

which shows that $\Phi_{[1\bar{3}45]}^{(i)}$ are in neighborhood of regular singularity ∞ and can constitute a fundamental solution system.

Taking 4 row vectors of the following matrix as the basis of integer lattice,

$$\mathbf{B}_{134\bar{5}} = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, 1) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{1345}, \quad (67)$$

one can obtain sixteen hypergeometric series solutions $\Phi_{[134\bar{5}]}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 16$):

$$\Phi_{[134\bar{5}]}^{(i)} = \Phi_{[1345]}^{(i)}(y_4 \leftrightarrow y_2), \quad (68)$$

which the expressions can be obtained by interchanging between y_4 and y_2 in $\Phi_{[1345]}^{(i)}$. The convergent region of the functions $\Phi_{[134\bar{5}]}^{(i)}$ is

$$\Xi_{[134\bar{5}]} = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mid 1 < |y_2|, |y_1| < |y_2|, |y_4| < |y_2|, |y_3| < |y_2|\}, \quad (69)$$

which shows that $\Phi_{[134\bar{5}]}^{(i)}$ are in neighborhood of regular singularity ∞ and can constitute a fundamental solution system.

Taking 4 row vectors of the following matrix as the basis of integer lattice,

$$\mathbf{B}_{134\bar{5}} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, -1) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{1345} , \quad (70)$$

one can obtain sixteen hypergeometric series solutions $\Phi_{[134\bar{5}]}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 16$):

$$\Phi_{[134\bar{5}]}^{(i)} = \Phi_{[1345]}^{(i)} (y_4 \leftrightarrow y_3) , \quad (71)$$

which the expressions can be obtained by interchanging between y_4 and y_3 in $\Phi_{[1345]}^{(i)}$. The convergent region of the functions $\Phi_{[134\bar{5}]}^{(i)}$ is

$$\Xi_{[134\bar{5}]} = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mid 1 < |y_3|, |y_1| < |y_3|, |y_2| < |y_3|, |y_4| < |y_3|\} , \quad (72)$$

which shows that $\Phi_{[134\bar{5}]}^{(i)}$ are in neighborhood of regular singularity ∞ and can constitute a fundamental solution system.

In order to elucidate how to obtain the analytical expression of 4-loop vacuum integral clearly, we also present special case for the two nonzero virtual mass of 4-loop vacuum integral with five propagates, which can be seen in the supplementary material. The analytical expressions of the integrals can be formulated as a linear combination of the fundamental solution systems in certain convergent region.

B. 4-loop vacuum integrals with six or seven propagates

In our previous study [84, 85], we present GKZ hypergeometric systems of some one-loop and two-loop Feynman integrals. Recently, the publicly available computer package FeynGKZ [102] can be given to compute some Feynman integrals in terms of hypergeometric functions, which are meaningful to improve computing efficiency.

Here, we also evaluate the four-loop vacuum integrals with six or seven propagates using FeynGKZ [102], which can be seen in the supplementary material. Note that FeynGKZ can't evaluate the four-loop vacuum integrals with five propagates through Mellin-Barnes representations and Miller's transformation. In the supplementary material, we can see that the GKZ hypergeometric systems of the four-loop vacuum integrals with six or seven propagates are in agree with our above results. Using FeynGKZ, we also give some hypergeometric series solutions for the four-loop vacuum integrals with six or seven propagates. The series solutions from GKZ hypergeometric systems for special case with the two nonzero virtual

masses and the three nonzero virtual masses are also showed in the supplementary material, and tested numerically using FIESTA [108]. One can see that the computing time using the hypergeometric series solutions is about $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ times that using FIESTA, which evaluate quickly.

Note that, except above five topologies, the four-loop vacuum integrals still have five topologies, which are one topology with seven propagates, two topologies with eight propagates, and two topologies with nine propagates [43]. Due that they have complex mathematical structure, the five topologies of the four-loop vacuum integrals can't obtain the GKZ hypergeometric systems, through Mellin-Barnes representations and Miller's transformation. In next work, we will embed the general four-loop vacuum Feynman integrals into the subvarieties of Grassmannian manifold [86], to explore more possibilities of the general four-loop vacuum Feynman integrals.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Using Mellin-Barnes representation and Miller's transformation, we present GKZ hypergeometric systems of 4-loop vacuum integrals with arbitrary masses. The dimension of the GKZ hypergeometric system equals the number of independent dimensionless ratios among the virtual mass squared. In the neighborhoods of origin and infinity, one can obtain the hypergeometric series solutions of 4-loop vacuum integrals through GKZ hypergeometric systems. The linear independent hypergeometric series solutions whose convergent regions have non-empty intersection can constitute a fundamental solution system in a proper subset of the whole parameter space. In certain convergent region, the four-loop vacuum integrals can be formulated as a linear combination of the fundamental solution system.

Acknowledgments

The work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) with Grants No. 12075074, No. 12235008, Hebei Natural Science Foundation with Grants No. A2022201017, No. A2023201041, Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi Autonomous Region with Grant No. 2022GXNSFDA035068, and the youth top-notch talent

support program of the Hebei Province.

- [1] L. Linssen et al., CERN-2012-003, arXiv:1202.5940 [physics.ins-det].
- [2] T. Behnke et al., arXiv:1306.6327 [physics.acc-ph].
- [3] J.B.G. da Costa et al. (CEPC Study Group), IHEP-CEPC-DR-2018-02, arXiv:1811.10545 [hep-ex].
- [4] A. Abada et al. (FCC Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 474.
- [5] I.B. Alonso et al., CERN-2020-010.
- [6] G. Heinrich, Phys. Rept. 922 (2021) 1-69.
- [7] V.A. Smirnov, Applied asymptotic expansions in momenta and masses, Springer, Berlin 2002.
- [8] M. Misiak, M. Münz, Phys. Lett. B 344 (1995) 308.
- [9] G. t'Hooft, M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B 153 (1979) 365.
- [10] G. Passarino, M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B 160 (1979) 151.
- [11] A. Denner, Fortschr. Phys. 41 (1993) 307-420.
- [12] V.A. Smirnov, Analytic Tools for Feynman Integrals, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. 250 (2012) 1-296.
- [13] C. Ford, I. Jack, D.R.T. Jones, Nucl. Phys. B 387 (1992) 373-390, [Erratum-ibid. 504 (1997) 551-552].
- [14] A.I. Davydychev, J.B. Tausk, Nucl. Phys. B 397 (1993) 123.
- [15] A.I. Davydychev, V.A. Smirnov, J.B. Tausk, Nucl. Phys. B 410 (1993) 325.
- [16] R. Scharf, J.B. Tausk, Nucl. Phys. B 412 (1994) 523.
- [17] J.R. Espinosa, R.J. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B 586 (2000) 3.
- [18] D.J. Broadhurst, Z. Phys. C 54 (1992) 599.
- [19] S. Laporta, E. Remiddi, Phys. Lett. B 301 (1993) 440.
- [20] L. Avdeev, J. Fleischer, S. Mikhailov, O. Tarasov, Phys. Lett. B 336 (1994) 560 [Erratum-ibid. 349 (1995) 597].
- [21] J. Fleischer, O.V. Tarasov, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 37 (1994) 115.
- [22] L.V. Avdeev, Comput. Phys. Commun. 98 (1996) 15.
- [23] J. Fleischer, M.Y. Kalmykov, Phys. Lett. B 470 (1999) 168.

- [24] D.J. Broadhurst, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 8 (1999) 311.
- [25] K.G. Chetyrkin, M. Steinhauser, *Nucl. Phys. B* 573 (2000) 617.
- [26] A.I. Davydychev, M.Y. Kalmykov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 699 (2004) 3.
- [27] Y. Schröder, A. Vuorinen, *JHEP* 06 (2005) 051.
- [28] M.Y. Kalmykov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 718 (2005) 276.
- [29] M.Y. Kalmykov, *JHEP* 04 (2006) 056.
- [30] S. Bekavac, A.G. Grozin, D. Seidel, V.A. Smirnov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 819 (2009) 183.
- [31] V.V. Bytev, M.Y. Kalmykov, B.A. Kniehl, *Nucl. Phys. B* 836 (2010) 129.
- [32] J. Grigo, J. Hoff, P. Marquard, M. Steinhauser, *Nucl. Phys. B* 864 (2012) 580.
- [33] V.V. Bytev, M.Y. Kalmykov, B.A. Kniehl, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 184 (2013) 2332.
- [34] A. Freitas, *JHEP* 11 (2016) 145.
- [35] S.P. Martin, D.G. Robertson, *Phys. Rev. D* 95 (2017) 016008.
- [36] S.P. Martin, *Phys. Rev. D* 96 (2017) 096005.
- [37] Z.-H Gu, H.-B. Zhang, *Chin. Phys. C* 43 (2019) 083102.
- [38] Z.-H. Gu, H.-B. Zhang, T.-F. Feng, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 35 (2020) 2050089.
- [39] H.-B. Zhang, T.-F. Feng, *JHEP* 05 (2023) 075.
- [40] Y. Schröder, A. Vuorinen, *JHEP* 06 (2005) 051.
- [41] E. Bejdakic, Y. Schröder, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* 160 (2006) 155-159.
- [42] S. Laporta, *Phys. Lett. B* 549 (2002) 115.
- [43] Y. Schröder, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* 116 (2003) 402-406.
- [44] K. Kajantie, M. Laine, Y. Schröder, *Phys. Rev. D* 65 (2002) 045008.
- [45] X. Liu, Y.-Q. Ma, *Phys. Rev. D* 99 (2019) 071501(R).
- [46] X. Liu, Y.-Q. Ma, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 283 (2023) 108565.
- [47] T. Regge, *Algebraic Topology Methods in the Theory of Feynman Relativistic Amplitudes*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1967, pp. 433-458.
- [48] E.E. Boos, A.I. Davydychev, *Vestn.Mosk.Univ.Fiz.Astron.* 28N3 (1987) 8-12.
- [49] E.E. Boos, A.I. Davydychev, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 89 (1991) 1052.
- [50] A.I. Davydychev, *J. Math. Phys.* 32 (1991) 1052.
- [51] A.I. Davydychev, *J. Phys. A* 25 (1992) 5587.
- [52] A.I. Davydychev, *J. Math. Phys.* 33 (1992) 358.

- [53] N.I. Ussyukina, A.I. Davydychev, Phys. Lett. B 298 (1993) 363.
- [54] F.A. Berends, M. Böhm, M. Buza, R. Scharf, Z. Phys. C 63 (1994) 227.
- [55] V.A. Smirnov, Phys. Lett. B 460 (1999) 397-404.
- [56] J.B. Tausk, Phys. Lett. B 469 (1999) 225-234.
- [57] A.I. Davydychev, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 087701.
- [58] O.V. Tarasov, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 89 (2000) 237.
- [59] J. Fleischer, F. Jegerlehner, O. V. Tarasov, Nucl. Phys. B 672 (2003) 303.
- [60] A.I. Davydychev, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 559 (2006) 293.
- [61] M.Y. Kalmykov, B.A. Kniehl, Nucl. Phys. B 809 (2009) 365.
- [62] M.Y. Kalmykov, B.A. Kniehl, Phys. Lett. B 702 (2011) 268.
- [63] M.Y. Kalmykov, B.A. Kniehl, Phys. Lett. B 714 (2012) 103.
- [64] V. Bytev, M. Kalmykov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 189 (2015) 128.
- [65] V.V. Bytev, M.Y. Kalmykov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 206 (2016) 78.
- [66] M.Y. Kalmykov, B.A. Kniehl, JHEP 07 (2017) 031.
- [67] T.-F. Feng, C.-H. Chang, J.-B. Chen, Z.-H. Gu, H.-B. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B 927 (2018) 516.
- [68] T.-F. Feng, C.-H. Chang, J.-B. Chen, H.-B. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B 940 (2019) 130.
- [69] S. Abreu, R. Britto, C. Duhr, E. Gardi, J. Matthew, JHEP 02 (2020) 122.
- [70] B. Ananthanarayan, S. Banik, S. Ghosh, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 606.
- [71] B. Ananthanarayan, S. Banik, S. Friot, S. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 151601.
- [72] E. Nasrollahpoursamami, arXiv:1605.04970 [math-ph].
- [73] I.M. Gel'fand, Soviet Math. Dokl. 33 (1986) 573.
- [74] I.M. Gel'fand, M.I. Graev, A.V. Zelevinsky, Soviet Math. Dokl. 36 (1988) 5.
- [75] I.M. Gel'fand, A.V. Zelevinsky, M. M. Kapranov, Soviet Math. Dokl. 37 (1988) 678.
- [76] I.M. Gel'fand, M.M. Kapranov, and A.V. Zelevinsky, Adv. in Math. 84 (1990) 255.
- [77] I. M. Gelfand, A. V. Zelevinskii, M. M. kapranov, Functional. Anal. Appl. 23 (1989) 94.
- [78] L. Cruz, JHEP 12 (2019) 123.
- [79] R. Klausen, JHEP 04 (2020) 121.
- [80] R.N. Lee, A.A. Pomeransky, JHEP 11 (2013) 165.
- [81] T. Oaku, Adv. Appl. Math. 19 (1997) 61.
- [82] U. Walther, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 139 (1999) 303.

- [83] T. Oaku, N. Takayama, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 156 (2001) 267.
- [84] T.-F. Feng, C.-H. Chang, J.-B. Chen, H.-B. Zhang, *Nucl. Phys. B* 953 (2020) 114952.
- [85] T.-F. Feng, H.-B. Zhang, Y.-Q. Dong, Y. Zhou, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 83 (2023) 314.
- [86] T.-F. Feng, H.-B. Zhang, C.-H. Chang, *Phys. Rev. D* 106 (2022) 116025.
- [87] W. Miller Jr., *J. Math. Mech.* 17 (1968) 1143.
- [88] W. Miller Jr., *SIAM. J. Math. Anal.* 3 (1972) 31.
- [89] F. Loebbert, D. Müller, H. Münkler, *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (2020) 066006.
- [90] A. Klemm, C. Nega, and R. Safari, *JHEP* 04 (2020) 088.
- [91] K. Bönisch, F. Fischbach, A. Klemm, C. Nega, R. Safari, *JHEP* 05 (2021) 066.
- [92] M. Hidding, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 269 (2021) 108125.
- [93] M. Borinsky, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Comb. Phys. Interact.* 10 (2023) 635-685.
- [94] M. Kalmykov, V. Bytev, B. Kniehl, S.-O. Moch, B. Ward, S. Yost, arXiv:2012.14492 [hep-th].
- [95] F. Tellander, M. Helmer, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 399 (2023) 1021-1037.
- [96] R.P. Klausen, *JHEP* 02 (2022) 004.
- [97] S. Mizera, and S. Telen, *JHEP* 08 (2022) 200.
- [98] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. Hillman, S. Mizera, *Phys. Rev. D* 105 (2022) 125013.
- [99] V. Chestnov, F. Gasparotto, M. K. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, S. J. Matsubara-Heo, H. J. Munch, N. Takayamac, *JHEP* 09 (2022) 187.
- [100] U. Walther, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 112 (2022) 120.
- [101] H. J. Munch, *PoS LL2022* (2022) 042.
- [102] B. Ananthanarayan, S. Banik, S. Bera, S. Datta, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 287 (2023) 108699.
- [103] I. Dubovyk, J. Gluza, G. Somogyi, arXiv:2211.13733 [hep-ph].
- [104] V. Chestnov, S. J. Matsubara-Heo, H. J. Munch, N. Takayama, *JHEP* 11 (2023) 202.
- [105] R. P. Klausen, arXiv:2302.13184 [hep-th].
- [106] F. Caloro, P. McFadden, arXiv:2309.15895 [hep-th].
- [107] H. J. Munch, arXiv:2401.00891 [hep-th].
- [108] A.V. Smirnov, N.D. Shapurov, L.I. Vysotsky, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 277 (2022) 108386.