# ASYMPTOTICS FOR SINAÏ EXCURSIONS

SERTE DONDERWINKEL AND BRETT KOLESNIK

ABSTRACT. We study a class of random polymers, introduced by Sinaĭ, which are related to persistence probabilities in integrated simple random walk bridges. We find the precise asymptotics of these probabilities, and describe their combinatorics, using limit theory for infinitely divisible distributions, and the number-theoretic subset counting formulas of von Sterneck from the early 1900s. Our results sharpen estimates by Aurzada, Dereich and Lifshits, and respond to a conjecture of Caravenna and Deuschel, which arose in their study of the pinning/wetting models, for random linear chains exhibiting entropic repulsion. Our key combinatorial result is an analogue of Sparre Andersen's classical formula.



FIGURE 1. The 16 Sinaĭ excursions of length 12, slightly staggered. Such excursions have total area 0 and partial areas  $\ge 0$ . The standard Sinaĭ excursion, oscillating between  $\pm 1$ , is black.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05A15; 05A17; 05C20; 60E07; 60G50; 60K05; 82B41; 82D60.

*Key words and phrases.* entropic repulsion; infinite divisibility; majorization; persistence probability; random polymer; random walk; renewal sequence; wetting model.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(Y_i : i \ge 0)$  be a simple random walk on the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  started at  $Y_0 = 0$ , and let  $A_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i} Y_k$  denote its area process. Sinaĭ [34] proved that the persistence probability

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1, \dots, A_n \ge 0) = \Theta(n^{-1/4}). \tag{1.1}$$

This result has inspired much activity. See, e.g., the recent developments [3,4,9,12,13,21,22,37,38]. In particular, see Vysotsky [38] for the precise asymptotics for a large class of unbiased random walks.

See, e.g., [38, p. 196], and references therein, for a discussion on the original motivation and applications of such persistence probabilities, in relation to solutions of Burgers' equation, sticky particle systems and random polymers, etc. See also, e.g., Aurzada and Simon [5] for a survey on these, and other related results.

If  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \ge 0$  is satisfied, we call  $(Y_i : 0 \le i \le n)$  a *Sinaĭ walk*. Renewal times are only possible at multiples of 4. If  $A_1, \ldots, A_{4n-1} \ge 0$  and  $A_{4n} = Y_{4n} = 0$ , we call  $(Y_i : 0 \le i \le 4n)$  a *Sinaĭ excursion*. These processes are related to the continuous *positive Kolmogorov excursions (from zero and back)* studied recently by Bär, Duraj and Wachtel [8, p. 131].

## 1.1. Purpose. Aurzada, Dereich and Lifshits [4] showed that

$$p_n = \mathbb{P}(A_1, \dots, A_{4n} \ge 0 \mid A_{4n} = Y_{4n} = 0) = \Theta(n^{-1/2}), \quad (1.2)$$

verifying a conjecture of Caravenna and Deuschel [12, §1.5]. This problem arose in the context of *pinning/wetting models*, related to random linear chains/polymers, exhibiting *entropic repulsion* (see, e.g., [10, 11, 36]).

Recently, we [23, Corollary 4] proved that

$$n^{1/2}p_n \to \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{6}}\frac{1}{1-\mathbb{P}(A_{\tau}=0)}, \qquad \tau = \inf\{t: Y_t = 0, A_t \le 0\}.$$
 (1.3)

The purpose of this work is to illustrate the utility of the asymptotic transference theory for infinitely divisible distributions, as developed by Hawkes and Jenkins [27] (cf. Embrechts and Hawkes [24]), by providing an alternative proof of (1.3), along with an explicit, number-theoretic description of the limiting constant. In other words, we will calculate  $\mathbb{P}(A_{\tau} = 0)$ . Let us note that results in [24, 27] extend earlier work of Wright [40–42], and are founded on the analysis of Chover, Ney and Wainger [15].

The second author [28] recently used this limit theory to find the asymptotic number of tournament score sequences, as conjectured by Moser [31]. The proof in [28] relies on a bijection by Claesson, Dukes, Franklín and Stefánsson [16]. Although the results in [16] are not stated in the context of random walks, this connection dates back at least to Moser [31] (cf. Winston and Kleitman [39, p. 212]).

In fact, the main idea behind the bijection  $\mu$  in [16, Lemmas 8–10] is essentially present in Kleitman's short note, included at the end of [31, p. 166]. By adapting this intuition, we will give a simpler, and in some sense geometric, proof of an analogous result for Sinaĭ excursions.

1.2. **Main results.** As we will see, the combinatorics of Sinaĭ excursions are related to the following subset counting formula. Let  $\Xi_n$  be the number of subsets of  $\{1, 2, ..., 4n - 1\}$  of size 2n that sum to  $3n \mod 4n$ . From the general formulas of von Sterneck (see Section 2 below) it follows that

$$\Xi_n = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{d|2n} \binom{4n/d - 1}{2n/d} \phi(d), \qquad (1.4)$$

where  $\phi$  is Euler's totient function.

**Theorem 1.** As  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$n^{1/2}p_n \to \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{6}}e^{\xi}, \qquad \xi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_k}{k^{24k}}.$$

In other words, the probability in (1.3) is precisely  $\mathbb{P}(A_{\tau} = 0) = 1 - e^{-\xi}$ . We note that  $\xi \approx 0.08049$ .

To prove this result, we will first consider the probability

$$\varphi_n = \mathbb{P}(A_1, \dots, A_{4n} \ge 0, A_{4n} = Y_{4n} = 0)$$
(1.5)

that  $(Y_i : 1 \le i \le 4n)$  is a Sinaĭ excursion. We let  $\Phi_n = 2^{4n} \varphi_n$  denote the number of Sinaĭ excursions.

The asymptotics of  $\Phi_n$  can be obtained from those of  $\Xi_n$ , using the following combinatorial result, which can be viewed as an analogue of Sparre Andersen's formula [35] (see, e.g., [5, (2.3)]) for the probabilities  $\varphi_n$ , in terms of the probabilities  $\xi_n = \Xi_n/2^{4n}$ .

**Theorem 2.** For all  $|x| \leq 1$ , we have that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n x^n = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k x^k / k\right).$$
(1.6)

Our proof of this result is simpler than that given for the analogous result in [16, Corollary 12], for two main reasons. First, we use renewal theory to circumvent the need for bivariate generating functions. Second, we use the connection with random walks to give a transparent explanation for the underlying combinatorial connection with von Sterneck's formulas. Let us note that (1.6) can equivalently be expressed as

$$\Xi(x) = x \frac{d}{dx} \log \Phi(x), \qquad (1.7)$$

where  $\Phi(x) = \sum_{n} \Phi_{n} x^{n}$  and  $\Xi(x) = \sum_{n} \Xi_{n} x^{n}$  are the associated generating functions. In this sense, we say that  $\Xi_{n} = \mathscr{L}(\Phi_{n})$  is the *log transform* of  $\Phi_{n}$ . Equivalently, (1.6) can also be expressed in terms of the recursion

$$n\Phi_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \Xi_i \Phi_{n-i}, \qquad n \ge 1.$$
(1.8)

Theorem 2 yields that the sequence  $e^{-\xi} \varphi_k \ge 0$  sums to 1 (by setting x = 1). Moreover, it is an *infinitely divisible* probability distribution (see, e.g., Feller [26]). We recall that this means that if *Z* has this distribution, then, for any  $n \ge 1$ , there are independent and identically distributed  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$  and *Z* are equal in distribution.

TABLE 1. Initial values in the sequence  $\Phi_n$  and its log transform  $\Xi_n$ .

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\Phi_n$	1	1	3	16	119	1070	10751	116287	1326581
$\Xi_n$	0	1	5	40	405	4626	56360	716430	9392085

By Stirling's approximation,

$$\Xi_n \sim rac{1}{4n} inom{4n-1}{2n} \sim rac{2^{4n}}{8\sqrt{2\pi}} rac{1}{n^{3/2}} \, .$$

Then, since  $\Xi_n/2^{4n}$  is regularly varying with index -3/2 < 0, we obtain the following result, by Theorem 2 and the limit theorem for infinitely divisible distributions in [27, Theorem 3.1] (cf. [24, Theorem 1]).

**Corollary 3.** As  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$n^{5/2}\varphi_n o rac{1}{8\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\xi}.$$

By the local limit [4, Proposition 2.1], it follows that

$$n^2 \mathbb{P}(A_{4n} = Y_{4n} = 0) \to \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi}.$$

Combining this with Corollary 3, we obtain Theorem 1.

4

1.3. **Consequences.** If  $A_1, \ldots, A_{4n-1} > 0$  and  $A_{4n} = Y_{4n} = 0$ , we will call  $(Y_i : 1 \le i \le n)$  a *positive Sinaĭ excursion*. Such excursions are, in a sense, *irreducible*. We let  $\Phi_n^+$  denote the number of such excursions. Clearly, any Sinaĭ excursion can be decomposed into a series of positive Sinaĭ subexcursions. This opens the door to renewal theory techniques.

By Corollary 3 and the *reverse renewal theorem* of Alexander and Berger [2, Theorem 1.4], we can obtain the asymptotic probability

$$\varphi_n^+ = \Phi_n^+/2^{4n} = \mathbb{P}(A_1, \dots, A_{4n-1} > 0, A_{4n} = Y_{4n} = 0)$$

that  $(Y_i : 1 \le i \le n)$  is a positive Sinaĭ excursion.

**Corollary 4.** As  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\varphi_n^+/\varphi_n \to e^{-2\xi},$$

and so

$$n^{5/2} \varphi_n^+ 
ightarrow rac{1}{8\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\xi}.$$

Therefore, approximately 85.13% of all Sinaĭ excursions are positive.

As discussed in [2], most results in the literature (see, e.g., de Bruijn and Erdős [18–20]) would give the asymptotics of  $\varphi_n$  from those of  $\varphi_n^+$ . However, quite usefully, the reverse renewal theorem allows us to go in the opposite direction. In fact, as discussed in [2], this result follows by [15], but a short probabilistic proof is given in [2, §4.1].

Finally, let  $\mathcal{N}_n$  be the number of positive Sinaĭ excursions in a uniformly random Sinaĭ excursion of length 4n. Combining Corollary 4 and [15], we obtain the following limit theorem.

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a negative binomial with parameters r = 2 and  $p = e^{-\xi}$ . Then, as  $n \to \infty$ , we have that  $\mathcal{N}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}$ .

Therefore, the asymptotic proportion of Sinaĭ excursions with exactly *m* positive Sinaĭ subexcursions is precisely  $m(1 - e^{-\xi})^{m-1}e^{-2\xi}$ .

The reason for the negative binomial limit is that, as shown in [23], renewal times are likely to occur only very close to the ends of the walk. The numbers at each end are roughly independent and geometric.

The proofs of Corollaries 4 and 5 are similar to those of Corollaries 4 and 5 in [28], so we will omit the details.

In closing, we note that, in [23], establishing the convergence of  $\mathcal{N}_n$  to a negative binomial is one of the steps towards proving (1.3). On the other hand, by our current methods, it follows as a corollary of Theorem 1.

1.4. Acknowledgments. SD acknowledges the financial support of the CogniGron research center and the Ubbo Emmius Funds (Univ. of Groningen). BK thanks Vasu Tewari for indicating the work of von Sterneck.

#### 2. VON STERNECK'S FORMULAS

In this work, we will use the following number-theoretic subset counting formulas, proved by von Sterneck in the early 1900s.

We will use the standard notation  $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . For convenience, we also let  $[n]_0 = \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ .

Let  $\Lambda_k(n,s)$  denote the number of submultisets  $\{m_1, \ldots, m_k\} \subset [n-1]_0$  of size *k* that sum to  $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i \equiv s \mod n$ .

See, e.g., [6, 29, 32] (cf. [1, 14]) for the following result.

**Lemma 6** (von Sterneck). *For all*  $n \ge 1$ , we have that

$$\Lambda_k(n,s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|k,n} \binom{(n+k)/d - 1}{k/d} \frac{\mu(d/g)\phi(d)}{\phi(d/g)}, \qquad g = \gcd(d,s),$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function and  $\phi$  is the Euler totient function.

In particular, we note that

$$\Lambda_n(n,0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} {\binom{2n/d-1}{n/d}} \phi(d),$$

and we claim that the formula for  $\Xi_n$  given in (1.4) above follows. To see this, we prove the following.

**Lemma 7.** For all  $n \ge 1$ , we have that

$$\Xi_n = \Lambda_{2n}(2n,0)/2.$$

*Proof.* First, we note that there are an equal number of subsets of [4n-1] of size 2n that sum to  $n \mod 4n$  as there are subsets of [4n-1] of size 2n that sum to  $3n \mod 4n$ . Indeed,  $a_1, \ldots, a_{2n} \in [4n-1]$  sum to  $n \mod 4n$  if and only if  $4n - a_1, \ldots, 4n - a_{2n} \in [4n-1]$  sum to  $3n \mod 4n$ . Next, we observe that there is a correspondence between submultisets of  $[2n-1]_0$  of size 2n that sum to  $0 \mod 2n$  and subsets of [4n-1] of size 2n that sum to n or  $3n \mod 4n$ . Specifically, we claim that a submultiset  $\{m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_{2n}\} \subset [2n-1]_0$  sums to  $0 \mod 2n$  if and only if the subset  $\{s_1 < \cdots < s_{2n}\} \subset [4n-1]$  sums to n or  $3n \mod 4n$ , where  $s_i = m_i + i$ . To see this, simply note that  $\binom{2n+1}{2} = 2n^2 + n$  is equal to n or  $3n \mod 4n$  (depending on the parity of n).

# 3. SPARRE ANDERSEN FOR SINAĬ EXCURSIONS

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2.

Consider a bridge  $\mathscr{B} = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{4n})$  of length 4n. That is,  $B_0 = B_{4n} = 0$  and all increments  $B_{k+1} - B_k = \pm 1$ , for  $0 \le k < 4n$ . Let  $\mathbf{t}(\mathscr{B})$  denote the sequence of times

$$0 \leqslant t_1 < \cdots < t_{2n} \leqslant 4n$$

*before*  $\mathscr{B}$  takes down steps, i.e., times *t* for which  $B_{t+1} = B_t - 1$ .

We recall that, for weakly increasing  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have that  $\mathbf{x}$  is *majorized* by **y**, written as  $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}$ , if and only if all partial sums  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i$ , with equality when k = n (see, e.g., Marshall, Olkin and Arnold [30]). Let

$$\mathbf{t}_{*}^{(n)} = \mathbf{t}(\mathscr{B}_{*}^{(n)}) = (1, 2, 5, 6, \dots, 4n - 3, 4n - 2)$$
(3.1)

be the sequence of times before down steps in the standard Sinaï excursion

$$\mathscr{B}^{(n)}_* = (0, 1, 0, -1, 0..., 0, 1, 0, -1, 0)$$

of length 4n, which oscillates between  $\pm 1$ . See Figure 1.

Clearly,  $\mathscr{B} = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{4n})$  is a Sinaĭ excursion if and only if  $\mathbf{t}(\mathscr{B}) \preceq$  $\mathbf{t}_{*}^{(n)}$ . Therefore, in particular, each Sinaĭ excursion corresponds to a subset  $\{t_1,\ldots,t_{2n}\} \subset [4n-1]$  that sums to  $\sum_i t_i = 4n^2 - n \equiv 3n \mod 4n$ .

3.1. Renewal structure. To prove Theorem 2, we will show that the log transform  $\mathscr{L}(\Phi_n)$  of the number  $\Phi_n$  of Sinaĭ excursions of length 4n is the number  $\Xi_n$  of subsets  $S \subset [4n-1]$  of size 2n that sum to  $3n \mod 4n$ . To this end, it will be useful to notice that  $\Phi_n$  has a certain renewal structure.

As discussed in Section 1.3, a Sinaĭ excursion can be decomposed into a series of positive Sinaĭ excursions. Let  $\Phi_n^{(m)}$  denote the number of Sinaĭ excursions containing exactly *m* positive Sinaĭ excursions. In Section 1.3, we wrote  $\Phi_n^+ = \Phi_n^{(1)}$ . Then  $\Phi_n$  is a *renewal sequence* (see, e.g., Feller [26]), in the sense that

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \Phi^{(1)}(x)},$$

where  $\Phi(x) = \sum_{n} \Phi_{n} x^{n}$  and  $\Phi^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{n} \Phi_{n}^{(1)} x^{n}$  are the associated generating functions. In other words,  $\Phi_{n}^{(m)} = [x^{n}][\Phi^{(1)}(x)]^{m}$ , where  $[x^{n}]f(x)$  is the coefficient of  $x^n$  in f(x).

As noted in [27] (cf. [28, Lemma 8]), all renewal sequences  $(1 = a_0, a_1, ...)$ are infinitely divisible. Moreover, in this case, the log transform  $\mathscr{L}(a_n)$  takes a special form. In the current context, we have that

$$\frac{\mathscr{L}(\Phi_n)}{n\Phi_n} = \sum_m \frac{1}{m} \frac{\Phi_n^{(m)}}{\Phi_n} = \mathbb{E}[\mathscr{N}_n^{-1}],$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_n$  is the number of positive Sinaĭ excursions in a uniformly random Sinaĭ excursion of length 4n.

Our proof of Theorem 2 will consist of two steps.

First, following the discussion in [28, §3.3], we will consider the following probability. Consider a uniformly random Sinaĭ excursion  $\mathcal S$  of length 4n and let  $k \in [n]$  be a uniformly random and independent integer. The probability  $\psi_n$  that 4k is at most the length  $\ell$  of the first positive Sinaĭ excursion in  $\mathscr{S}$  is given by

$$\begin{split} \Psi_n &= \sum_k \sum_m \frac{k}{n} \frac{\Phi_k^{(1)} \Phi_{n-k}^{(m-1)}}{\Phi_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n \Phi_n} \sum_m \sum_k k \Phi_k^{(1)} \Phi_{n-k}^{(m-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n \Phi_n} \sum_m \frac{1}{m} [x^n] \left( x \frac{d}{dx} [\Phi^{(1)}(x)]^m \right) \\ &= \sum_m \frac{1}{m} \frac{\Phi_n^{(m)}}{\Phi_n} = \frac{\mathscr{L}(\Phi_n)}{n \Phi_n}. \end{split}$$

Second, we note that, alternatively,  $\psi_n$  can be calculated as follows. Let  $X_n$  denote the number of pairs  $(\mathcal{S}, j)$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a Sinaĭ excursion, whose first positive Sinaĭ excursion is of length  $\ell = 4k$ , and  $j \in [k]$ . Then simply

$$\psi_n = \frac{X_n}{n\Phi_n}$$

3.2. **The bijection.** Therefore, to prove Theorem 2, it suffices to show the following.

**Lemma 8.** For all  $n \ge 1$ , we have that  $\Xi_n = X_n$ .

In the proof, we will use the following basic observation. If  $\mathscr{B} = (B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_{4n})$  is a bridge, with increments  $X_k = B_k - B_{k-1}$ ,  $1 \le k \le 4n$ , and times  $\mathbf{t}(\mathscr{B}) = (t_1, \ldots, t_{2n})$  before down steps, then its total area is

$$A_{4n} = \sum_{k=1}^{4n} (4n - k + 1)X_k = -8n^2 + 2n + 2\sum_{i=1}^{2n} t_i.$$
 (3.2)

*Proof.* In fact, it will be easier to show that  $2\Xi_n = 2X_n$ , and recall (see Section 2) that  $2\Xi_n$  is the number of subsets of [4n - 1] of size 2n that sum to *n* or  $3n \mod 4n$ . To do this, we will find a bijection  $\Upsilon$ 

- from the set of all pairs (𝒴, j), where 𝒴 is a Sinaĭ excursion of length 4n, with first positive Sinaĭ excursion of length ℓ = 4k, and j ∈ [2k] is an integer,
- to the set of all of subsets  $S \subset [4n-1]$  of size 2n that sum to n or  $3n \mod 4n$ .

Our choice of  $\Upsilon$  is inspired by Kleitman's remarks in [31, p. 166] and the bijection  $\mu$  used in [16]. The proof that it works is short and geometric.

Suppose that  $\mathscr{S}$  is a Sinaĭ excursion, with first positive Sinaĭ excursion of length  $\ell = 4k$ . Let

$$1 = t_1 < \cdots < t_{2k} \leq 2k - 1$$

be the first 2k times before down steps in  $\mathscr{S}$ . Let

$$0 = i_1 < \cdots < i_{2k} \leq 2k - 1$$

be the first 2k times before up steps in  $\mathscr{S}$ . The union of these times is the set  $[4k - 1]_0$  of times *before* the end of the first positive Sinaĭ excursion.

We will let  $\Upsilon(\mathscr{S}, j)$  to be the set of times before down steps in the bridge obtained by cyclically shifting  $\mathscr{S}$  to the left by  $i_j$ . In particular,  $\Upsilon(\mathscr{S}, 0)$  is the set of times before down steps in  $\mathscr{S}$  itself.

More formally, let  $\{m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_{2n}\}$  denote the submultiset of  $[2n-1]_0$ associated with the set  $\{t_1 < \cdots < t_{2n}\} \subset [4n-1]$  of all times before down steps in  $\mathscr{S}$ . Recall that this means that each  $m_i = t_i - i$ . In other words,  $m_i$  is the number of up steps before the *i*th down step, minus 1. Then we take  $\Upsilon(\mathscr{S}, j) = \{t'_1, \dots, t'_{2n}\}$  to be the subset of [4n-1] associated with the submultiset  $\{m'_1, \dots, m'_{2n}\}$  of  $[2n-1]_0$ , where  $m_i - i_j \equiv m'_i \mod 2n$ . Recall that, since  $\mathscr{S}$  is a Sinaĭ excursion,  $\sum_i t_i$  is equal to  $3n \mod 4n$ . Therefore,  $\sum_i t'_i$  is equal to *n* or  $3n \mod 4n$  (since each of the 2n elements  $t_i$  are shifted by the same amount). See Figure 2 for an example.



FIGURE 2. *Ist row:* Times before down steps 1256, 1346 and 2345 are black dots in the  $\Phi_2 = 3$  Sinaĭ excursions of length 8. Times before up steps 03, 0257 and 0167 in the first positive excursion are white dots. The bijection  $\Upsilon$  gives the  $2\Xi_2 = 10$  subsets of [7] of size 4 that sum to 2 or 6 mod 8 as follows. *Ist column:* If we shift 1256 to the left cyclically by 0 and 3, we obtain 1256 and 2367. *2nd column:* If we shift 1346 to the left cyclically by 0, 2, 5 and 7 we obtain 1346, 1247, 1467 and 2457. *3rd column:* If we shift 2345 to the left cyclically by 0, 1, 6 and 7 we obtain 2345, 1234, 4567 and 3456.

The function  $\Upsilon$  is clearly injective, by the restrictions placed on *j*, which prevent us from cyclically shifting  $\mathscr{S}$  beyond its first positive excursion.

Finally, we will prove that  $\Upsilon$  is surjective. Let  $S \subset [4n-1]$  of size 2n be given, that sums to *s*, equal to *n* or  $3n \mod 4n$ . Consider the bridge  $\mathscr{B}$  with times before down steps at  $t \in S$ . Then, by (3.2), we note that  $\mathscr{B}$  has total area (above the *x*-axis, y = 0) equal to  $-8n^2 + 2(n+s) \equiv 0 \mod 4n$ . As such, for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that  $\mathscr{B}$  has total area 0 above the line y = b. By Raney's lemma [33], there is some cyclical ordering of its positive/negative excursions above this line with  $\ge 0$  partial areas and total area 0, yielding some  $(\mathscr{S}, j)$  such that  $\Upsilon(\mathscr{S}, j) = S$ . See Figure 3 for an example.



FIGURE 3. To invert the bijection  $\Upsilon$ , we select a line y = b that "cuts" the area in half, and use Raney's lemma to obtain a Sinaĭ excursion.

## 4. CLOSING REMARKS

4.1. **Extensions.** The methods in this articles feel robust, and von Sterneck's formulas are quite general. We suspect that [16, Corollary 12] and Theorem 2 are two special cases in a wide family of such relations.

Recall that Sinaĭ excursions correspond to sequences  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$  such that  $\mathbf{t} \preceq \mathbf{t}_*^{(n)}$ , where  $\mathbf{t}_*^{(n)}$  is as in (3.1). Tournament score sequences, on the other hand, are sequences  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{s} \preceq \mathbf{s}_*^{(n)}$ , where

$$\mathbf{s}_{*}^{(n)} = (0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

It might be possible, using our general scheme, to asymptotically enumerate the number of  $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}_*^{(n)}$ , when  $\mathbf{x}_*^{(n)}$  is *periodic* (so that renewal theory applies). Here, we mean that the sequence of increments  $x_{i+1} - x_i$  in  $\mathbf{x}_*^{(n)}$  is periodic. For instance,  $\mathbf{s}_*^{(n)}$  has period 1 and  $\mathbf{t}_*^{(n)}$  has period 2.

4.2. **Related results.** In closing, let us also remark that the limiting constants in the asymptotics [38, p. 212], which sharpen and generalize (1.1), are defined in terms of probabilities that have not be calculated explicitly. See, e.g., Berger and Béthencourt [9] for bounds.

The situation is similar in the recent work of Balister, the first author, Groenland, Johnston and Scott [7] on *graphical sequences* (which can be realized as the degree sequence of a graph). Specifically, the asymptotics [7, pp. 2–3] involve a constant  $c_{deg}$  that is expressed in terms of a probability  $\rho$ , which is approximated numerically, but not calculated. A connection with random walks, analogous to that discussed in [31], also holds in this context, since the Erdős–Gallai conditions [25] can be expressed in terms of (weak) majorization (see, e.g., Dahl and Flatberg [17]).

The techniques in the current article might be helpful with making such asymptotics more explicit. However, since these questions involve walks which are not necessarily bridges, they are more complicated, as the underlying combinatorics involve *delayed* renewal sequences.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. M. Alekseyev, *Proof of Jovovic's formula*, unpublished manuscript available at http: //oeis.org/A145855/a145855.txt.
- 2. K. S. Alexander and Q. Berger, *Local limit theorems and renewal theory with no moments*, Electron. J. Probab. **21** (2016), Paper No. 66, 18.
- F. Aurzada and S. Dereich, Universality of the asymptotics of the one-sided exit problem for integrated processes, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 49 (2013), no. 1, 236– 251.
- F. Aurzada, S. Dereich, and M. Lifshits, *Persistence probabilities for a bridge of an integrated simple random walk*, Probab. Math. Statist. **34** (2014), no. 1, 1–22.
- F. Aurzada and T. Simon, *Persistence probabilities and exponents*, Lévy matters. V, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2149, Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. 183–224.
- 6. P. G. H. Bachmann, Niedere Zahlentheorie, vol. 2, Leipzig B.G. Teubner, 1902.
- P. Balister, S. Donderwinkel, C. Groenland, T. Johnston, and A. Scott, *Counting graphic sequences via integrated random walks*, preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.07022.
- 8. M. Bär, J. Duraj, and V. Wachtel, *Invariance principles for integrated random walks conditioned to stay positive*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **33** (2023), no. 1, 127–160.
- 9. Q. Berger and L. Béthencourt, An application of Sparre Andersen's fluctuation theorem for exchangeable and sign-invariant random variables, preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.09031.

- E. Bolthausen, *Random walk representations and entropic repulsion for gradient models*, Infinite dimensional stochastic analysis (Amsterdam, 1999), Verh. Afd. Natuurkd.
   Reeks. K. Ned. Akad. Wet., vol. 52, R. Neth. Acad. Arts Sci., Amsterdam, 2000, pp. 55–83.
- 11. J. Bricmont, A. El Mellouki, and J. Fröhlich, *Random surfaces in statistical mechanics:* roughening, rounding, wetting,..., J. Statist. Phys. **42** (1986), no. 5-6, 743–798.
- 12. F. Caravenna and J.-D. Deuschel, *Pinning and wetting transition for* (1+1)-*dimensional fields with Laplacian interaction*, Ann. Probab. **36** (2008), no. 6, 2388–2433.
- 13. \_\_\_\_\_, Scaling limits of (1+1)-dimensional pinning models with Laplacian interaction, Ann. Probab. **37** (2009), no. 3, 903–945.
- 14. S. Chern, An extension of a formula of Jovovic, Integers 19 (2019), Paper No. A47, 7.
- J. Chover, P. Ney, and S. Wainger, *Functions of probability measures*, J. Analyse Math. 26 (1973), 255–302.
- A. Claesson, M. Dukes, A. F. Franklín, and S. Ö. Stefánsson, *Counting tournament score sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 151 (2023), no. 9, 3691–3704.
- 17. G. Dahl and T. Flatberg, *A remark concerning graphical sequences*, Discrete Math. **304** (2005), no. 1-3, 62–64.
- N. G. de Bruijn and P. Erdős, *Some linear and some quadratic recursion formulas. I*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A. **54** = Indagationes Math. **13** (1951), 374–382.
- 19. \_\_\_\_\_, *Some linear and some quadratic recursion formulas. II*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A. **55** = Indagationes Math. **14** (1952), 152–163.
- 20. \_\_\_\_\_, On a recursion formula and on some Tauberian theorems, J. Research Nat. Bur. Standards **50** (1953), 161–164.
- A. Dembo, J. Ding, and F. Gao, *Persistence of iterated partial sums*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 49 (2013), no. 3, 873–884.
- 22. D. Denisov and V. Wachtel, *Exit times for integrated random walks*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **51** (2015), no. 1, 167–193.
- 23. S. Donderwinkel and B. Kolesnik, *Tournaments and random walks*, preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.12940.
- P. Embrechts and J. Hawkes, A limit theorem for the tails of discrete infinitely divisible laws with applications to fluctuation theory, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 32 (1982), no. 3, 412–422.
- 25. P. Erdős and T. Gallai, *Gráfok előírt fokszámú pontokkal*, Mat. Lapok **11** (1960), 264–274.
- W. Feller, An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. I, third ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1968.
- 27. J. Hawkes and J. D. Jenkins, *Infinitely divisible sequences*, Scand. Actuar. J. (1978), no. 2, 65–76.
- 28. B. Kolesnik, *The asymptotic number of score sequences*, Combinatorica **43** (2023), no. 4, 827–844.
- 29. M. Konvalinka, M. Reineke, and V. Tewari, *Divisors on complete multigraphs and Donaldson-Thomas invariants of loop quivers*, preprint available at https://arxiv. org/abs/2111.07071.
- 30. A. W. Marshall, I. Olkin, and B. C. Arnold, *Inequalities: theory of majorization and its applications*, second ed., Springer Series in Statistics, Springer, New York, 2011.
- L. Moser, Asymptotics of tournament scores, Combinatorics (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XIX, Univ. California, Los Angeles, Calif., 1968), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971, pp. 165–166.

- 32. K. G. Ramanathan, *Some applications of Ramanujan's trigonometrical sum*  $C_m(n)$ , Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A. **20** (1944), 62–69.
- G. N. Raney, Functional composition patterns and power series reversion, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (1960), 441–451.
- 34. Ya. G. Sinaĭ, *Distribution of some functionals of the integral of a random walk*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **90** (1992), no. 3, 323–353.
- 35. E. Sparre Andersen, On the fluctuations of sums of random variables. II, Math. Scand. 2 (1954), 195–223.
- 36. Y. Velenik, *Localization and delocalization of random interfaces*, Probab. Surv. **3** (2006), 112–169.
- 37. V. Vysotsky, *On the probability that integrated random walks stay positive*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **120** (2010), no. 7, 1178–1193.
- *Positivity of integrated random walks*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 50 (2014), no. 1, 195–213.
- 39. K. J. Winston and D. J. Kleitman, *On the asymptotic number of tournament score sequences*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **35** (1983), no. 2, 208–230.
- 40. E. M. Wright, A relationship between two sequences, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 17 (1967), 296–304.
- 41. \_\_\_\_\_, A relationship between two sequences. II, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 17 (1967), 547–552.
- 42. \_\_\_\_\_, A relationship between two sequences. III, J. London Math. Soc. 43 (1968), 720–724.

UNIVERSITY OF GRONINGEN, BERNOULLI INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, COM-PUTER SCIENCE AND AI, AND COGNIGRON (GRONINGEN COGNITIVE SYSTEMS AND MATERIALS CENTER)

Email address: s.a.donderwinkel@rug.nl

UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND MAGDALEN COLLEGE *Email address*: brett.kolesnik@stats.ox.ac.uk