AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF AFFINE VARIETIES AND THEIR LIE ALGEBRAS

HANSPETER KRAFT AND MIKHAIL ZAIDENBERG

ABSTRACT. This is a brief overview of a few selected chapters on automorphism groups of affine varieties. It includes some open problems.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J50, 14R20, 14L30, 14E07, 22F50. Key words: affine variety, flexible variety, automorphism group, ind-group, Lie algebra, algebraic group action, one-parameter subgroup, Tits alternative, triangulation, linearization, amalgamation.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Lie algebras of vector fields	3
2.1. Algebraically generated subgroups of $Aut(X)$ and Lie algebras	3
2.2. Locally finite endomorphisms and Lie subalgebras	4
3. Bijective morphisms of ind-groups	4
4. Nested ind-groups	6
5. Algebraic subgroups and algebraic elements	7
6. Nilpotency and solvability	9
7. Aut-quasihomogeneous and flexible affine varieties	11
7.1. Aut-quasihomogeneous affine varieties	11
7.2. Flexible affine varieties	11
7.3. Flexibility of toric varieties	13
8. Rigid affine varieties	13
9. Chapters on $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$	16
9.1. Groups acting infinitely transitively on \mathbb{A}^n	16
9.2. Tame subgroups	16
9.3. Tamizable automorphisms	19
9.4. Stable tameness	19
9.5. Cotame automorphisms	20
9.6. Amalgamation	20
9.7. Linearization of reductive group actions	21
9.8. Solvable and unipotent ind-subgroups: triangulation	23
10. Tits' type alternative for automorphism groups	24
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

We provide a brief overview of a few selected chapters on automorphism groups of affine varieties. It completes the existing literature on the subject, see e.g. [Arz23], [Fre17], [FuKr18], [Kal09], [KPZ17], [Kra89], [Kra96], [Kra17], [Lam24], [Miy24], [Sno89], [vdE00], [vdEKC21], [Wri17], etc.

Throughout the text, X stands for an affine variety defined over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero, $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ stands for the group of automorphisms of X, \mathbb{A}^n stands for the affine *n*-space over \mathbb{K} and \mathbb{G}_a resp. \mathbb{G}_m stands for the additive resp. multiplicative group of the field \mathbb{K} .

If $\dim(X) = 1$ then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is an algebraic group. Moreover, any finite group can be realized as the full automorphism group of a smooth affine curve, see [Jel15, Proposition 7.2]. The properties of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ are ultimately related with the geometry of X. For instance, if $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is infinite, then X is uniruled, i.e. covered by rational curves, see [Jel15, Theorem 1.1]. Already in the case of a smooth affine surface X, the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is often infinite-dimensional and has a rich algebraic structure, see the survey article [KPZ17]. The present survey is focused on various aspects of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ as an ind-group and on its Lie algebra. It contains a list of open problems partially borrowed in [FuKr18] and [KrZa24].

Recall that an *ind-variety* is an inductive limit $\mathfrak{V} = \varinjlim A_i$ of algebraic varieties A_i with closed embeddings $A_i \hookrightarrow A_{i+1}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Identifying A_i with its image in A_{i+1} we can define an ascending filtration $\mathfrak{V} = \bigcup A_i$. We use both these notations alternatively depending on the context. An ind-variety \mathfrak{V} is called *affine* if all the A_i are affine varieties. It comes equipped with an ind-topology. Namely, $U \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ is open (resp. closed) if $U \cap A_i$ is Zariski open (resp. Zariski closed) in A_i for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, see e.g. [FuKr18, Definition 1.1.3]. A map $\varphi \colon \mathfrak{V} \to \mathfrak{V}'$ of ind-varieties $\mathfrak{V} = \varinjlim A_i$ and $\mathfrak{V}' = \varinjlim A_i'$ is called *morphism* if for any k there is an l such that $\varphi(A_k) \subseteq A_l'$ and the induced map $A_k \to A_l'$ is a morphism of varieties. A subset $U \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ is said to be *curve-connected* if any pair of points $x, y \in U$ is contained in an irreducible algebraic curve $C \subseteq A_i \cap U$ for some i, cf. [FuKr18, Definition 1.6.1]. Clearly, a curve-connected subset is connected.

An *ind-group* $\mathfrak{G} = \varinjlim A_i$ is an ind-variety with a group structure such that the group operations are morphisms of ind-varieties. An ind-group is connected if and only if it is curve-connected, see [FuKr18, Remark 2.2.3]. The connected component \mathfrak{G}^0 of the neutral element in an ind-group \mathfrak{G} is an open and closed normal ind-subgroup, see [FuKr18, Proposition 2.2.1]. In the case where \mathfrak{G} is the inductive limit of algebraic groups A_i , where A_i is a closed subgroup of A_{i+1} , we say that \mathfrak{G} is *nested*. This special case is considered in Section 4.

The group of regular automorphisms $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is an affine ind-group, see e.g. [FuKr18, Theorem 5.1.1]. A closed algebraic subset of A_i defines an algebraic family of automorphisms of X; such families were studied in [Ram64], see also [FuKr18, Section 3.3] and [Pop14].

A subgroup $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ that is a closed algebraic subset of some A_i has a natural structure of an algebraic group. It will be called an *algebraic*

subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is an affine ind-group, any algebraic subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is an affine algebraic group. A faithful action of a linear algebraic group G on X defines an isomorphism of G with an algebraic subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$.

Given an ind-group $\mathfrak{G} = \varinjlim A_i$, the tangent space $T_e \mathfrak{G} = \varinjlim T_e A_i$ carries a natural structure of a Lie algebra denoted Lie(\mathfrak{G}), see [Sha81, p. 189], [Kum02, Proposition 4.2.2] and [FuKr18, Sec. 1.9 and 2.1].

Let $\mathfrak{G} = \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ and let $\operatorname{Vec}(X)$ stand for the Lie algebra of regular vector fields on X. Recall that $\operatorname{Vec}(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ of derivations of the K-algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of regular functions on X. In turn, Lie(Aut(X)) can be naturally identified with a subalgebra of $\operatorname{Vec}(X)$, see [FuKr18, Propositions 3.2.4 and 7.2.4]. For $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ this is the subalgebra $\operatorname{Vec}^c(\mathbb{A}^n) \subseteq \operatorname{Vec}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ of all vector fields on \mathbb{A}^n with constant divergence, see [Sha81, p. 191] and [FuKr18, Proposition 15.7.2]. For an algebraic subgroup $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$, Lie(G) is a finite-dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\operatorname{Vec}(X)$.

Let \mathfrak{G} be an ind-group and $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$ be a closed ind-subgroup. Then Lie (\mathfrak{H}) is a Lie subalgebra of Lie (\mathfrak{G}) . However, it can happen (contrary to [Sha81, Theorem 1]) that Lie $(\mathfrak{H}) = \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{G})$ while \mathfrak{H} is a proper subgroup of a connected ind-group \mathfrak{G} , see Example 9.5. In this respect, the ind-groups are more complex than the algebraic groups.

2. Lie Algebras of vector fields

2.1. Algebraically generated subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ and Lie algebras. Let $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be a subgroup. One says that G is algebraically generated if it is generated by a family $\{G_i \mid i \in I\}$ of connected algebraic subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Thus, an algebraically generated subgroup is connected.

Throughout this subsection we assume that G is algebraically generated. We associate to G the Lie subalgebra

$$L(G) = \langle \operatorname{Lie}(G_i) \, | \, i \in I \rangle_{Lie} \, \subseteq \operatorname{Vec}(X)$$

generated by the Lie subalgebras $\text{Lie}(G_i)$. In fact, L(G) depends only on G and not on the choice of generating subgroups G_i , see [KrZa24, Theorem 2.3.1(4)].

For any ind-group \mathfrak{G} we also define the *canonical Lie algebra* $L_{\mathfrak{G}}$, see [KrZa24, Sect. 7.1], where

 $L_{\mathfrak{G}} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{K}} \{ T_e Y \mid Y \subseteq \mathfrak{G} \text{ a closed algebraic subset smooth in } e \}.$

Consider also the Zariski closure \overline{G} in the ind-group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. This is a closed ind-subgroup with Lie algebra $\operatorname{Lie}(\overline{G})$. Notice that $L(G) \subseteq L_G$ and both are ideals in $\operatorname{Lie}\overline{G}$, see [KrZa24, Theorem 2.3.1 and Proposition 7.1.3].

Question 2.1 ([KrZa24, Question 1]). Do we have $L(G) = L_G = \text{Lie}(\overline{G})$?

The answer is affirmative provided L is finite-dimensional.

Theorem 2.2 ([CD03, Theorem 1], [KrZa24, Theorem A]). Assume that L(G) is finite dimensional. Then G is an algebraic subgroup of Aut(X) and Lie(G) = $L_G = L(G)$.

2.2. Locally finite endomorphisms and Lie subalgebras.

4

Definition 2.3. An endomorphism λ of a vector space V is called *locally finite* if every $v \in V$ belongs to a finite-dimensional λ -invariant subspace of V.

Every locally finite endomorphism λ has a uniquely defined *additive Jor*dan decomposition $\lambda = \lambda_s + \lambda_n$ where λ_s is semisimple, λ_n locally nilpotent and $\lambda_s \circ \lambda_n = \lambda_n \circ \lambda_s$. Recall that a locally finite endomorphism λ_s is semisimple if the restriction of λ_s to any finite-dimensional λ_s -invariant subspace of V can be diagonalized.

Definition 2.4. A subspace $L \subseteq \text{Vec}(X) = \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ is called *locally* finite if any $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ is contained in a finite dimensional *L*-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{O}(X)$.

Every element of a locally finite subspace is locally finite as an endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ and thus admits a Jordan decomposition.

Lemma 2.5 ([KrZa24, Lemma 1.6.2]). A locally finite subspace $L \subseteq Vec(X)$ is finite dimensional.

Let $L \subseteq \operatorname{Vec}(X)$ be a Lie subalgebra generated by a family of locally finite Lie subalgebras $L_i \subseteq \operatorname{Vec}(X)$, $i \in I$. There is the following question, see [KrZa24, Question 2].

Question 2.6. Is L locally finite provided L is finite dimensional?

According to Theorem 2.2 the answer is positive if $L_i = \text{Lie}(G_i)$, where the G_i are algebraic subgroups of Aut(X). In particular, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7 ([KrZa24, Corollary of Theorem E]). Consider a family $\{\eta_i \mid i \in I\}$ of locally nilpotent vector fields on X and let $L := \langle \eta_i \mid i \in I \rangle_{Lie} \subseteq$ Vec(X) be the Lie algebra generated by the η_i . If L is finite dimensional, then L is locally finite.

Recall that a locally nilpotent vector field η on X defines a one-dimensional algebraic subgroup $U = \exp(t\eta) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a such that $\operatorname{Lie} U = \mathbb{K} \eta$.

A weak form of Question 2.6 is as follows.

Question 2.8. Let $\xi, \eta \in \text{Vec}(X)$ be locally finite. Assume that the Lie subalgebra $L = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{Lie}$ generated by ξ and η is finite dimensional. Does it follow that L is locally finite?

By Corollary 2.7 the latter is true if ξ and η are locally nilpotent. Indeed, under the latter assumption we have by Theorem 2.2 L = Lie(G) where $G = \langle \exp(t\xi), \exp(t\eta) \rangle$ is an algebraic group.

3. BIJECTIVE MORPHISMS OF IND-GROUPS

By definition, an ind-variety \mathfrak{V} comes equipped with a countable ascending filtration by algebraic varieties $\mathfrak{V} = \lim V_d = \bigcup_d V_d$ where V_d is closed in V_{d+1} . Another such filtration $\mathfrak{V} = \bigcup_e W_e$ is called *admissible* if for every *n* there is an *m* such that $V_n \subseteq W_m$ and $W_n \subseteq V_m$. There are different notions of smoothness of a point in an ind-variety.

Definition 3.1 ([FuKr18, Definition 1.9.4]). Let \mathfrak{V} be an ind-variety, and let $x \in \mathfrak{V}$. We say that

- \mathfrak{V} is *strongly smooth* in x if there is an open neighborhood of x which has an admissible filtration consisting of smooth connected algebraic varieties.
- \mathfrak{V} is geometrically smooth in x if there is an admissible filtration $\mathfrak{V} = \bigcup_k V_d$ such that $x \in V_d$ is a smooth point for all d.

Proposition 3.2 ([FuKr18, Propositions 1.8.5, 1.9.6 and 2.4.1]). Assume that \mathbb{K} is uncountable. Let $\varphi \colon \mathfrak{V} \to \mathfrak{W}$ be a bijective morphism of indvarieties.

- (a) Suppose that \mathfrak{V} is connected and that \mathfrak{W} has an admissible filtration $\mathfrak{W} = \bigcup_k W_k$ such that all W_k are irreducible and normal. Then φ is an isomorphism.
- (b) Suppose that 𝔅 is curve-connected and 𝔅 is strongly smooth in a point w ∈ 𝔅. Then there is an open neighborhood 𝔅' of φ⁻¹(w) in 𝔅 such that φ|_{𝔅'}: 𝔅' → 𝔅 is an open immersion. If 𝔅 is strongly smooth in every point, then φ is an isomorphism.

Let now $\varphi \colon \mathfrak{G} \to \mathfrak{H}$ be a bijective homomorphism of ind-groups. Assume that \mathfrak{G} is connected and \mathfrak{H} is strongly smooth in e. Then φ is an isomorphism.

Example 3.3 (see [FuKr18, Proposition 14.2.1]). The automorphism group Aut($\mathbb{K}\langle x, y \rangle$) of the rank 2 free associative algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle x, y \rangle$ has a natural structure of an ind-group. The homomorphism of abelianization $\mathbb{K}\langle x, y \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ induces a bijective (homo)morphism of ind-groups

$$\varphi \colon \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{K}\langle x, y \rangle) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{K}[x, y]),$$

see [ML70], [Cze71-72] and [Coh85, Theorem 9.3]. The induced surjective morphism of Lie algebras

$$\operatorname{Lie}(\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{K}\langle x, y \rangle)) \to \operatorname{Lie}(\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{K}[x, y]))$$

has a non-trivial kernel, see [BW00, last paragraph of sect. 11] and [FuKr18, Proposition 14.2.1]. In particular, φ is not an isomorphism of ind-groups. In fact, there exists a unicuspidal curve C in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{K}\langle x, y \rangle)$ such that $\varphi(C) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{K}[x, y])$ has a deeper cusp and so, is not isomorphic to C, see [FuKr18, Sect. 14.3].

Using Proposition 3.2 one arrives at the following conclusion.

Corollary 3.4 ([FuKr18, Corollary 14.1.2]). Let \mathbb{K} be uncountable. Then the ind-group $\mathfrak{G} = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{K}[x, y])$ is not strongly smooth in id. Moreover, there is no admissible filtration $\mathfrak{G} = \bigcup_d \mathfrak{G}_d$ with irreducible and normal algebraic varieties \mathfrak{G}_d .

Remark 3.5. It is not clear how the notions "strongly smooth" and "geometrically smooth" are related. There exists as well a notion of *algebraic* smoothness of a point in an ind-variety. It has the following advantage: the point $e \in \mathfrak{G}$ is algebraically smooth in every affine ind-group \mathfrak{G} , see [Sha81, Theorem 3] and [Kum02, Theorem 4.3.7]. A geometrically smooth point of an ind-variety is algebraically smooth, see [Kum02, Lemma 4.3.4].

There are several pathological examples. For instance, there is an indvariety \mathfrak{V} such that each point $v \in \mathfrak{V}$ is not algebraically smooth, see [Sha81, P. 188]. The ind-group $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{K}[t])$ has an admissible ascending filtration $\mathfrak{G} = \bigcup_d G_d$ such that the neutral element e of \mathfrak{G} is singular in every G_d , see [Sha04, Theorem 2] and [Kum02, Examples 4.3.5 and 4.3.8].

4. Nested ind-groups

Definition 4.1. A subgroup G of an ind-group \mathfrak{G} is *nested* if G is a union of an increasing sequence of algebraic subgroups G_i of \mathfrak{G} , see [KPZ17]. A nested ind-group \mathfrak{G} is called *unipotent* if all the G_i are unipotent algebraic groups.

The last assertion of Proposition 3.2 can be applied to nested ind-groups. Indeed, such a group is strongly smooth, see [FuKr18, Example 2.4.2]. Notice that a connected nested ind-group is algebraically generated, and any closed ind-subgroup of a nested ind-group is nested.

Question 4.2 ([KrZa24, Question 4]). Is it true that every connected nested ind-subgroup $G \subseteq Aut(X)$ is closed in Aut(X)?

The answer is affirmative, see [Per24, Theorem 6.6]. Furthermore, a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ consisting of unipotent elements is nested if and only if it is closed in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$, see [Per24, Theorem 8.11]. The proofs of these results exploit a new notion of a de Jonquières-like (dJ-like, for short) subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. The following analogue of the Lie–Kolchin theorem holds: every nested unipotent subgroup $U \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is contained in a dJ-like subgroup, see [Per24, Theorem 6.1]. Yet another ingredient in the proof of [Per24, Theorem 6.6] is the following version of the Levi decomposition for nested subgroups, see [KPZ17, Theorem 2.11 and Corollary 2.12].

Theorem 4.3. Let $G = \varinjlim_i G_i$ be a connected nested subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Then there is a decomposition $G = R_u(G) \rtimes L$, where L is a maximal reductive algebraic subgroup in G and $R_u(G)$ is the unipotent radical of G. Moreover, one may assume that $G_i = R_u(G_i) \rtimes L$ where $R_u(G_i) = R_u(G) \cap G_i$.

There is the following conjectural criterion of nestedness.

Conjecture 4.4 (cf. [FuKr18, 9.4.3-9.4.6]). Assume that every finite subset of an ind-group \mathfrak{G} is contained in an algebraic group. Then \mathfrak{G} is nested.

Recall the following definition.

Definition 4.5 ([FuKr18, Definition 1.13.2]). A subset S of an ind-group \mathfrak{G} is called *weakly closed* if for every algebraic subset $U \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$ such that $U \subseteq S$ we have $\overline{U} \subseteq S$. The union $\cup_U \overline{U}$ for all U as before is called the *weak closure* of S.

Notice that a countable union of closed algebraic subsets in \mathfrak{G} is weakly closed, see [FuKr18, Proposition 1.13.6].

Question 4.6 ([FuKr18, Question 15.9.1]). Let $g \in Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$. Are the following assertions equivalent?

- g is diagonalizable.
- g is semisimple.
- The conjugacy class C(g) is closed in $Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$.
- The conjugacy class C(g) is weakly closed in $Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$.

The next proposition contains a partial answer to Question 4.6.

Proposition 4.7 ([FuKr18, Corollary 15.9.8]). Let $g \in Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$ be semisimple. Then g is diagonalizable if and only if its conjugacy class C(g) is weakly closed.

Question 4.8 ([FuKr18, Question 15.9.11]; cf. [FuKr18, Proposition 15.9.12]). Let $g \in Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$ be locally finite. Does the (weak) closure of C(g) contain the semisimple part of g?

5. Algebraic subgroups and algebraic elements

Definition 5.1. An element g of an ind-group \mathfrak{G} is called *algebraic* if it is contained in an algebraic subgroup of \mathfrak{G} . It is called *unipotent* if it is contained in a \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroup of \mathfrak{G} .

Clearly, g is algebraic iff the closure $\overline{\langle g \rangle}$ is an algebraic group. If g is algebraic, then this abelian group has the form $(\mathbb{G}_m)^s (\mathbb{G}_a)^t F$ with $s \ge 0$, $t \in \{0, 1\}$ and F being a finite cyclic group. Every subgroup of this form in \mathfrak{G} is equal to $\overline{\langle g \rangle}$ for some $g \in \mathfrak{G}$.

It is easily seen that $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is algebraic if and only if it defines a locally finite automorphism of $\mathcal{O}(X)$. In particular, any algebraic element g has a unique multiplicative Jordan decomposition $g = g_{s}g_{u}$, where $g_{s}, g_{u} \in$ $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ commute, g_{s} is semisimple and g_{u} is unipotent, see [FuKr18, Section 9.1].

Let $\operatorname{Aut}(X)_{\operatorname{alg}} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ stand for the subgroup generated by all algebraic elements, in other words, by all algebraic subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$.

Theorem 5.2 ([BD15, Theorem 1.1]). Assume that the field \mathbb{K} is uncountable. Let S be an affine surface given in $\mathbb{A}^4 = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[x, y, z, u])$ by the equations

$$yu = xP(x), \quad vx = uQ(u), \quad yv = P(x)Q(u),$$

where each of the polynomials $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}[w]$ has at least 2 distinct roots and $P(0) \neq 0$. Then the following hold.

- The normal subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}(S)_{\operatorname{alg}} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ is not generated by a countable family of algebraic subgroups, and
- the quotient Aut(S)/Aut(S)_{alg} contains a free group over an uncountable set of generators.

Conjecture 5.3. Let $G = \langle G_1, \ldots, G_n \rangle$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ generated by a finite collection of algebraic subgroups G_i . Then either G is an algebraic group, or G contains non-algebraic elements.

A weak version:

Conjecture 5.4. Let $g, h \in Aut(X)$ be algebraic elements. Then either g, h are contained in an algebraic subgroup of Aut(X), or the group generated by g and h contains non-algebraic elements.

The following corollary of [LPU23, Theorem 5.1] confirms the latter conjecture in dimension two.

Theorem 5.5. Let X be an affine surface and G be a finitely generated subgroup of Aut(X). If G consists of algebraic elements, then G is contained in an algebraic subgroup of Aut(X).

Cf. also Theorem 8.7 and Corollary 8.8 below.

Due to the next results (see [BPZ24, Theorem 1.1 and Corollaries 1.2-1.3]), the Burnside problem has a positive solution in Aut(X).

Theorem 5.6. Let k be any field of zero characteristic and X be an affine variety over k. If G is a torsion subgroup of Aut(X), then any finitely generated subgroup of G is finite. If $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n$, then G contains an abelian normal subgroup of finite index.

The proof uses the following result of Bass and Lubotzky [BL83, Corollary 1.2].

Theorem 5.7. Let k be an arbitrary field and X be a scheme of finite type over k. If $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is a finitely generated subgroup, then G is residually finite, i.e. the intersection of all finite index subgroups of G is trivial. If X is flat over \mathbb{Z} , then G is virtually torsion free, in particular, the orders of finite subgroups of G are bounded above.

Example 5.8 (see [KrZa24, Theorem 6.4.2]). Let $F = \langle g, h \rangle \subseteq Aut(\mathbb{A}^2)$ be the subgroup generated by algebraic elements

 $g: (x, y) \mapsto (x + y^2, y)$ and $h: (x, y) \mapsto (x, y + x^2).$

Then $F \cong \mathbb{F}_2$ is a free group of rank 2. It contains non-algebraic elements, for example, gh is one of them. The closure $\mathcal{F} = \overline{F}$ is a free product $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{J}^+ * \mathcal{J}^-$ of two nested unipotent closed subgroups \mathcal{J}^{\pm} of Aut(\mathbb{A}^2) isomorphic to the vector group ($\mathbb{K}[t], +$). Every algebraic subgroup of \mathcal{F} is conjugate to a subgroup of \mathcal{J}^+ or \mathcal{J}^- .

Conjecture 5.9 ([FuKr18, Question 9.1.5]). Assume that $\operatorname{Aut}^0(X) \neq {\operatorname{id}_X}$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}^0(X)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to either \mathbb{G}_m or \mathbb{G}_a , or in other words, $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ contains a non-torsion algebraic element.

Due to Theorem 8.4 below, Conjecture 5.9 holds for affine surfaces. It holds also provided Aut(X) contains a nontrivial connected set of commuting elements. Indeed, we have the following results.

Theorem 5.10 ([CRX19, Theorem B]). Let Y be an irreducible algebraic subvariety of Aut(X) such that Y contains the identity and any $g_1, g_2 \in$ Y commute. Then the subgroup of Aut(X) generated by Y is an abelian connected algebraic subgroup of Aut(X).

Corollary 5.11. Let G be a connected abelian subgroup of Aut(X).

- If G is nontrivial, then it contains a subgroup isomorphic to either \mathbb{G}_{m} or \mathbb{G}_{a} .
- If G is a closed ind-subgroup, then G is nested.

As a consequence, an eventual counterexample to Conjecture 5.9 has the property that every commutative subgroup of Aut(X) is discrete, or, in other terms, every algebraic element of Aut(X) is a torsion element. See also [RvS21, Theorem A] for a description of maximal abelian subgroups of Aut(X) which consist of unipotent elements.

Question 5.12. Assume that the group Aut(X) does not contain algebraic elements different from id_X . Is it then discrete?

Notice that by Theorem 5.10, if $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ has no algebraic element different from id_X , then every abelian subgroup H of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ has no torsion and the neutral component H^0 is trivial. Cf. also [Arz18a, Problem 20].

6. NILPOTENCY AND SOLVABILITY

Theorem 6.1 ([KrZa24, Theorem B]). Let $G \subseteq Aut(X)$ be an algebraically generated subgroup, see subsection 2.1. If G is solvable, then the following hold.

- (a) $G = U \rtimes T$ where T is an algebraic torus and $U = R_u(G)$ is a nested unipotent group.
- (b) G is unipotent if and only if the generating subgroups are.
- (c) If, in addition, G is generated by a finite family of connected algebraic groups, then G is a connected algebraic group.

Theorem 6.2 ([KrZa24, Theorem C]). A nested unipotent subgroup $U \subseteq Aut(X)$ is solvable of derived length $\leq max\{\dim Ux \mid x \in X\} \leq \dim X$.

Remark 6.3. A unipotent algebraic group is nilpotent. By contrast, a nested unipotent group $G = \bigcup_i G_i$ is not necessarily nilpotent, since the nilpotency class of the unipotent algebraic subgroups G_i might not be bounded.

For example, consider the *de Jonquières subgroup* $\text{Jonq}_n \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ of triangular automorphisms of the form

$$\varphi = (a_1 x_1 + f_1, a_2 x_2 + f_2(x_1), \dots, a_n x_n + f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}))$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $f_i \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}]$. The unipotent radical $\mathcal{J}_n = R_u(\operatorname{Jonq}_n)$ is a closed nested unipotent subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$. For $n \geq 2$ its Lie algebra $\operatorname{Lie}(\mathcal{J}_n)$ is not nilpotent. However, the Lie algebra of a nilpotent ind-group is nilpotent, see [KrZa24, Lemma 5.1.4(3)].

Indeed, let for instance n = 2 and

$$L_d = \langle \partial / \partial x_1, x_1^d \partial / \partial x_2 \rangle_{Lie} \subseteq \operatorname{Lie}(\mathcal{J}_2).$$

It is easily seen that the *d*th member $(L_d)_d$ of the lower central series of L_d does not vanish, and so $(\text{Lie}(\mathcal{J}_2))_d \neq 0$ for any $d \geq 1$, cf. [KrZa24, Remark 5.3.3].

Question 6.4. Let \mathfrak{G} be a connected ind-group. Is it true that \mathfrak{G} is solvable (resp., nilpotent) if Lie \mathfrak{G} is?

Definition 6.5. Let us say that a subgroup $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is *a-generated* if $G = \langle Y \rangle$ where $Y \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is an irreducible algebraic subset containing id_X . Replacing Y by $Y \cdot Y^{-1}$ we may assume that Y is symmetric, that is, $Y = Y^{-1}$.

Conjecture 6.6. If G is a-generated and nilpotent (resp., solvable) then G is algebraic.

In the case of a nilpotent (resp., a solvable) subgroup G one can try to proceed by induction on the nilpotency class of G (resp., on the derived length of G), where the case n(G) = 1 (i.e. G abelian) is settled by Theorem 5.10. In the general case, the induction works provided the following conjecture is true.

Conjecture 6.7. If G is a-generated and nilpotent (resp., solvable) then any member of its lower central series (resp., derived series) is a-generated as well.

Let z(G) stands for the center of G. If G/z(G) is abelian, then Conjecture 6.6 holds if the following is true:

Conjecture 6.8. Consider an admissible filtration $\operatorname{Aut}^0(X) = \bigcup A_n$ by irreducible affine subvarieties A_n . Let G be a (nilpotent, resp., solvable) subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^0(X)$ such that $G = \langle G \cap A_n \rangle$ for some n. Then $z(G) = \langle z(G) \cap A_m \rangle$ for some m (resp., $(G, G) = \langle (G, G) \cap A_m \rangle$ for some m).

The following question concerns a modified version of stable triangulation, see Section 9.8.

Question 6.9 ([KrZa24, Sect. 5.2, Question 6]). Let $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be a solvable or nilpotent connected subgroup. Does there exists a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ such that G extends to a subgroup of the de Jonquières group Jonq_n? Is this true if G is algebraic or nested?

Remark 6.10. The answer to the latter question is affirmative in a particular setup where $X = \mathbb{A}^k$ and $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^k)$ is a unipotent algebraic group, see [KrZa24, Remark 1.4.2(3)]. For instance, the Nagata-Bass \mathbb{G}_a -subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ can be triangulated in \mathbb{A}^4 in the above sense, being non-stably triangulable in the usual sense, cf. Example 9.37.

Denote by $\text{LND}(X) \subseteq \text{Vec}(X)$ the set of all locally nilpotent derivations of $\mathcal{O}(X)$. For a vector space V over \mathbb{K} we let LND(V) be the set of locally nilpotent endomorphisms of V.

Definition 6.11 ([Dai19]). A subset Z of endomorphisms of a vector space V is called *uniformly locally nilpotent*, or ULN for short, if for any $v \in V$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_1 \cdots a_n(v) = 0$ whatever are $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in Z$.

Clearly, if Z is ULN then $Z \subseteq \text{LND}(V)$ and $\text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}(Z) \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$ is ULN too.

Let $A \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be an irreducible algebraic subvariety which contains id_X , and let $\langle A \rangle$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ generated by A. The notation $L_A \subseteq \operatorname{Vec}(X)$ has the same meaning as in subsection 2.1. Given an ind-subgroup \mathfrak{G} , the *adjoint representation* $\operatorname{Ad}(\mathfrak{G}) \colon \mathfrak{G} \to \operatorname{GL}(\operatorname{Lie}\mathfrak{G})$ is the tangent representation at the fixed point $\operatorname{id}_X \in \mathfrak{G}$ of the action of \mathfrak{G} on itself by inner automorphisms $\operatorname{Int}(g) \colon h \mapsto g \cdot h \cdot g^{-1}$, see [FuKr18, Section 7.5].

Question 6.12. Do the following equivalences hold?

- (1) $L_A \subseteq \text{LND}(X) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ad}(A) \subseteq \text{End}_{Lie}(\text{Vec}(X))$ is ULN $\Leftrightarrow \langle A \rangle$ is a unipotent algebraic group;
- (2) $\langle A \rangle$ is metabelian $\Leftrightarrow \langle \operatorname{Ad}(A) \rangle_{Lie}$ is commutative;
- (3) $\langle A \rangle$ is solvable $\Leftrightarrow \langle \operatorname{Ad}(A) \rangle_{Lie}$ is solvable;
- (4) $\langle A \rangle$ is nilpotent $\Leftrightarrow \langle \operatorname{Ad}(A) \rangle_{Lie}$ is nilpotent.

7. Aut-Quasihomogeneous and flexible affine varieties

7.1. Aut-quasihomogeneous affine varieties.

Definition 7.1. We say that a (not necessarily affine) variety X is Authomogeneous (resp. Aut-quasihomogeneous) if Aut(X) acts transitively on X (resp. acts on X with an open orbit).

The following characterizations of Aut-quasihomogeneity for smooth affine surfaces are due to Gizatullin [Giz71b]. This was extended to normal affine surfaces by Dubouloz [Dub04].

Theorem 7.2. Let X be a normal affine surface X non-isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$. Then the following are equivalent:

- X is Aut-quasihomogeneous;
- there are two effective \mathbb{G}_{a} -actions on X with distinct general orbits;
- $X \cong X \setminus D$ where X is a normal projective surface and $D \subseteq X$ is a reduced divisor with simple normal crossings contained in the smooth locus reg (\bar{X}) of \bar{X} , which consists of a chain of smooth rational curves.

Notice that many, but not all, smooth Gizatullin surfaces are Aut-homogeneous. See Gizatullin [Giz71a] and Popov [Pop73] for a list of normal affine surfaces that are (quasi)homogeneous spaces of algebraic groups; see also [KPZ17, Theorem 4.8].

In higher dimensions there is a classification of Aut-homogeneous affine toric varieties and complete toric varieties, see [Arz18b]; cf. also the recent preprint [ArZa23].

7.2. Flexible affine varieties. Flexible affine varieties were introduced in [AFK⁺13a], see also [KZ99] and [AKZ12]. For overviews on the subject and examples we address [Arz23], [AFK⁺13b], and [CPPZ21, Section 7].

Definition 7.3. Let X be a quasiaffine variety. We say that a smooth point $x \in X$ is *flexible* if the tangent space T_xX is spanned by the tangent vectors to the orbits Ux of \mathbb{G}_a -subgroups U of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. The variety X is called *flexible* if every smooth point $x \in X$ is flexible. Clearly, X is flexible if at least one smooth point $x \in X$ is and the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ acts transitively on the smooth locus $\operatorname{reg}(X)$.

We let $\mathfrak{U}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^0(X)$ be the subgroup generated by all \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$, in other words, by all unipotent elements of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)^{-1}$. We also let $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{alg}}(X)$ be the subgroup generated by all algebraic elements of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Notice that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{alg}}(X)$ is not necessarily connected, and every algebraic element $a \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{alg}}(X) \setminus \operatorname{Aut}^0(X)$ is a torsion element.

The following theorem (conjectured in [AKZ12, Sect. 4.2]) was proven in [AFK⁺13a] for affine varieties and extended in [APS14, Theorem 2] and [FKZ16, Theorem 2.12] to quasiaffine varieties.

Theorem 7.4. For a quasiaffine variety X of dimension at least 2, the following are equivalent:

- X is flexible;
- the group $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ acts transitively on the smooth locus $\operatorname{reg}(X)$;
- the group $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ acts infinitely transitively on $\operatorname{reg}(X)$.

The flexibility survives when removing a subvariety of codimension ≥ 2 .

Theorem 7.5 ([FKZ16, Theorem 0.1]). Let X be a flexible smooth quasiaffine variety of dimension ≥ 2 , and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset of codimension ≥ 2 . Then $X \setminus Y$ is flexible.

As an application of Theorem 7.4, let us mention the following two interpolation results. The first follows immediately from $[AFK^+13a, Theorem 4.14 and Remark 4.15]$.

Theorem 7.6. Let X be a flexible affine variety of dimension $n \ge 2$. Then for every $m \ge 0$ and every finite subset $Z \subseteq \operatorname{reg}(X)$ there exists an automorphism $g \in \mathfrak{U}(X)$ with prescribed m-jets at the points $p \in Z$ provided each jet fixes its center p.

Corollary 7.7. Under the assumptions of Theorem 7.6 there exists an \mathbb{A}^1 -orbit of a \mathbb{G}_a -action on X which passes through every point $p \in Z$ and interpolates a given smooth curve jet at p.

See also [AFK⁺13a, Theorem 1.15] for an analogue of Kleiman's Transversality theorem for flexible varieties.

The first nontrivial examples of flexible varieties appeared in [Giz71a] and [Giz71b]; these are Aut-homogeneous Gizatullin affine surfaces, see subsection 7.1. See [GD75], [GD77], [KPZ17] and references therein for systematic studies on the automorphism groups of Gizatullin surfaces.

¹The subgroup $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{SAut}(X)$ in $[\operatorname{AFK}^+13a]$. We prefer the notation $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ in order to keep $\operatorname{SAut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ for the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ of volume-preserving automorphisms.

Let us mention two interesting examples of flexible affine varieties of the form $X_{n,d} = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus H_d$, where H_d is a hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{P}^n with $(n,d) \in \{(26,3), (55,4)\}$, see [Giz18, Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 4.3]. These are homogeneous spaces of simple linear groups of type E_6 and E_7 , respectively. The cubic form that defines $H_3 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{26}$ was first written by Camille Jordan in 1870. It is related to the configuration of 27 lines on a smooth cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 . The quartic form that defines $H_4 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{55}$ is related to the 56 exceptional curves on a Del Pezzo surface of degree 2, and to the 28 bitangent lines of a plane quartic curve.

7.3. Flexibility of toric varieties. Let $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ stand for an algebraic *n*-torus. Recall that a \mathbb{T} -variety X of dimension n is a *toric variety* if \mathbb{T} acts effectively on X with an open orbit. One says that a toric variety X has a torus factor if $X \cong Y \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^k$ for some toric variety Y and some k > 0, or equivalently, if there is a nonconstant invertible regular function on X.

Any toric affine variety X of dimension $n \ge 2$ with no torus factor can be defined by a strictly convex polyhedral cone in the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^n . For instance, the affine plane \mathbb{A}^2 with the standard diagonal torus action is defined by the first quadrant $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^2$ of \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Definition 7.8. Let X be a toric variety. A *Demazure root subgroup* of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is a \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroup $U \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ which is normalized by the acting torus \mathbb{T} .

Such subgroups, or rather the associated locally nilpotent derivations of $\mathcal{O}(X)$, correspond to certain lattice points of \mathbb{Z}^n called *Demazure roots*. In particular, if $X = \mathbb{A}^2$ then the Demazure roots are the lattice points (-1, k) and (l, -1) with $k, l \geq 0$. They correspond to the locally nilpotent derivations $y^k \partial/\partial x$ and $x^l \partial/\partial y$, respectively. It is known that any normal toric affine variety of dimension ≥ 2 with no torus factor is flexible, see [AKZ12]. The following theorem says more.

Theorem 7.9 ([AKZ19, Theorem 1.3]). Let X be a toric affine variety of dimension at least 2. If X has no torus factor and is smooth in codimension 2, then one can find a finite collection of Demazure root subgroups such that the group generated by these acts infinitely transitively on the smooth locus reg(X).

8. RIGID AFFINE VARIETIES

Definition 8.1. An affine variety X is called *rigid* if it admits no effective \mathbb{G}_{a} -action.

Example 8.2. Conjecturally, a Pham–Brieskorn hypersurface $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$, defined by

$$x_0^{a_0} + x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} = 0$$
 where $2 \le a_0 \le a_1 \le \dots \le a_n$

is rigid if and only if $a_1 \ge 3$, see [CPPZ21, Conjecture 1.22]. This is indeed the case for n = 2 [KZ00, Lemma 4] and for n = 3 [ChDu23, Main theorem].

For example, the Fermat threefold hypersurface

$$x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 = 0$$

in \mathbb{A}^4 is rigid; see [CPPZ21, Theorem 1.13] for a far more general result. See also [EGS23] and references therein for a description of rigid trinomial affine varieties.

For the following conjecture see e.g. [PZ22, Conjectures 1.0.1 and 1.0.2]; cf. also Conjecture 5.9 and Question 5.12.

Conjecture 8.3. Let X be a rigid affine variety. Then $\operatorname{Aut}^0(X)$ is an algebraic torus (of rank $\leq \dim(X)$).

Conjecture 8.3 is confirmed for rigid toric varieties and varieties with a torus action of complexity one, see [BG23]. Due to the following theorem, this conjecture also holds in dimension 2.

Theorem 8.4 ([PZ22, Theorem 1.3(1)]). Assume that the base field \mathbb{K} is uncountable. Let X be a normal affine surface over \mathbb{K} . Then $\operatorname{Aut}^{0}(X)$ is an algebraic group if and only if X is rigid, if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}^{0}(X)$ is an algebraic torus (of rank ≤ 2).

We have the following geometric criterion of rigidity of a normal affine surface.

Theorem 8.5 ([PZ22, Theorem 1.3(2)]). Assume that the base field \mathbb{K} is uncountable. Then a normal affine surface X over \mathbb{K} is rigid if and only if X admits a relatively minimal completion \overline{X} by a reduced effective normal crossing divisor D supported on reg (\overline{X}) such that any extremal chain of the dual graph $\Gamma(D)$ which consists of rational components C_1, \ldots, C_k satisfies $C_i^2 \leq -2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

The dual graph $\Gamma(D)$ is defined as follows: its vertices correspond to components of D and the edges correspond to the pairs of components that intersect. A chain L in $\Gamma(D)$ is called *extremal* if all vertices of L are of valence ≤ 2 in $\Gamma(D)$ and at least one vertex is of valence 1.

Example 8.6 ([PZ22, Example 4.3.6]). Consider the affine surface $X = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \text{supp}(D)$ where D is a reduced effective divisor on \mathbb{P}^2 with only nodes as singularities. Then X is not rigid if and only if $\text{deg}(D) \leq 2$, that is, D is either a projective line of a (possibly, singular) conic.

By definition, a nested ind-group consists of algebraic elements. The following theorem provides a partial converse.

Theorem 8.7 ([PR24, Theorem 1.1], cf. also [PR23]). For an affine variety X the following conditions are equivalent:

- Aut⁰(X) consists of algebraic elements;
- $\operatorname{Aut}^{0}(X)$ is a closed nested ind-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$;
- Aut⁰(X) = 𝔅(X) ⋊ T where T is a maximal torus of Aut(X) and 𝔅(X) = R_u(Aut(X)) is abelian and consists of all unipotent elements of Aut(X).

Corollary 8.8 ([PR24, Corollary 4.3]). Let X be a rigid affine variety. If $\operatorname{Aut}^{0}(X)$ consists of algebraic elements, then $\operatorname{Aut}^{0}(X)$ is an algebraic torus of dimension $\leq \dim(X)$.

The proof exploits the following result of independent interest.

Theorem 8.9 ([PR24, Theorem 3.1]). Let \mathfrak{G} be a connected ind-group and $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$ be a closed connected nested ind-subgroup. Assume that any $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ is a torsion element modulo \mathfrak{H} , that is, $g^d \in \mathfrak{H}$ for some d = d(g) > 0. Then $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G}$.

The following theorem gives a necessary condition for rigidity. For the proof see [AG17, Theorem 2.1]; cf. also [FZ05b, Theorem 3.3] in the case of affine surfaces.

Theorem 8.10. Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ contains two non-commuting \mathbb{G}_{m} -subgroups. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ contains a \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroup.

This theorem implies (a) below; see [PR24, Proposition 5.1 and Remark 5.2] for the proof of (b).

Theorem 8.11. Let X be a rigid affine variety. Then the following hold.

- (a) There exists an algebraic torus $\mathbb{T} \cong (\mathbb{G}_m)^k$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ which contains any other algebraic subtorus of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. In particular, \mathbb{T} is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$.
- (b) \mathbb{T} is a central subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{0}(X)$ and the only maximal connected abelian ind-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{0}(X)$.

The next theorem confirms Conjecture 8.3 in a particular setting of rigid varieties with a torus action. For statement (a) see [AG17, Example 2.3 and Remark 2.4] and [AKZ12, Sect. 2]; (b) is proven in [BG23, Theorem 6.4]. Recall that the *complexity* of an algebraic group action is the codimension of general orbits.

Theorem 8.12. (a) Let X be a normal toric variety. If X is rigid, then $X \cong \mathbb{T}$ is a torus and $\operatorname{Aut}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{T}$ is the torus acting naturally on *itself.*

(b) Let X be a normal rational affine variety with a torus action of complexity one and a finitely generated divisor class group. Suppose that X is rigid and every invertible regular function on X is constant. Then Aut⁰(X) is an algebraic torus.

Concerning the conjugacy of tori in Aut(X) we have the following general results, see e.g. [FuKr18, Proposition 10.5.4] for (a) and [Dem82], [Gub98] and [BH03] for (b).

Theorem 8.13.

- (a) Let $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be an algebraic torus. Then $\dim(T) \leq \dim(X)$.
- (b) Assume that X is a normal toric variety. Then all algebraic tori $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ of dimension $\dim(X)$ are conjugate. Furthermore, any algebraic torus of dimension $\dim(X) - 1$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is contained in an algebraic torus of dimension $\dim(X)$.

However, for a non-toric affine variety X the group Aut(X) can contain several pairwise non-conjugate maximal algebraic tori.

Example 8.14. 1. (See [Dani89, Theorem 2].) Let D be the Danielewski surface $\{xy - z^2 + z = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$ and let $X = D \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ contains an infinite sequence of pairwise non-conjugate algebraic 2-tori and no 3-torus. Furthermore, let X be the underlying affine variety of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the number of conjugate classes of maximal tori in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is infinite [Dani89, P. 7].

2. There exist examples of Aut-homogeneous smooth non-toric affine surfaces X such that Aut(X) contains an algebraic family of pairwise nonconjugate algebraic 1-tori depending on 1 or 2 parameters, see [FKZ11, Theorem 1.0.1] and also [KPZ17, Theorem 5.5]. Cf. also Example 9.21 below of Danilov-Gizatullin surfaces, where the number of conjugate classes of 1-tori is finite.

Remark 8.15 (see [KML97] and [DMJP10]). Recall that the Koras-Russel threefold X given in $\mathbb{A}^4_{\mathbb{C}}$ by equation $x + x^2y + z^2 + t^3 = 0$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$, but non-isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is neither flexible, nor rigid. The group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is infinite dimensional, acts on X with an open orbit and a unique fixed point (the origin). It coincides with the stabilizer of X in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^4_{\mathbb{C}})$. This group is naturally isomorphic to the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{C}})$ (where $\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{C}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x, z, t]$) of all automorphisms which leave invariant the ideals (x) and $(x^2, x + z^2 + t^3)$.

9. Chapters on $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$

9.1. Groups acting infinitely transitively on \mathbb{A}^n . Recall that the root subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ are the \mathbb{G}_a -subgroups normalized by the standard *n*-torus, see Definition 7.8.

Theorem 9.1 ([And19], [AKZ19]). For any $n \ge 2$ one can find three \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroups (resp., n+2 root subgroups) of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{n})$ which generate a subgroup acting infinitely transitively on \mathbb{A}^{n} .

9.2. Tame subgroups. Notice that the de Jonquières subgroup $\text{Jonq}_n \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ (see Remark 6.3) is generated by the standard torus \mathbb{T} of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{K})$ and the unipotent radical $\mathcal{J}_n = R_u(\text{Jonq}_n)$. In turn, \mathcal{J}_n is generated by the triangular root subgroups

 $\exp(tx_1^{m_1}\cdots x_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}}\partial_i), \quad i=1,\ldots,n \quad \text{where} \quad \partial_i=\partial/\partial x_i.$

The Lie algebra

$$\operatorname{Lie}(\mathcal{J}_n) = \mathbb{K}\partial_1 \oplus \mathbb{K}[x_1]\partial_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]\partial_n$$

consists of locally nilpotent derivations. This is a maximal Lie subalgebra in $\operatorname{Vec}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ consisting of locally nilpotent derivations, see [Sku21, Theorems 1 and 7]; cf. also [BPZ24, Theorems 1.4 and 1.5] and the references therein for closely related results. For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, Jonq_n is a Borel subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{C}})$, that is, a maximal (connected) solvable subgroup, see [FuPo18, Corollary 1.2].

Definition 9.2. An automorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is said to be *tame* if it is a composition of affine and triangular automorphisms; otherwise it is called *wild*. The tame automorphisms form the *tame subgroup* $\operatorname{Tame}_n = \langle \operatorname{Aff}_n, \operatorname{Jonq}_n \rangle$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$.

For the following classical theorem see [Jun42], [vdK53]; cf. also [Kam75, Theorem 2] and [Miy24, Theorem 2.3.8].

Theorem 9.3 (Jung-van der Kulk). Let k be an arbitrary field. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^2) = \operatorname{Tame}_2$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^2)$ is a free product $\operatorname{Aff}_2 *_C \operatorname{Jong}_2$ amalgamated over $C = \operatorname{Aff}_2 \cap \operatorname{Jong}_2$.

Using this amalgam, Danilov [Dan74] showed that the subgroup $SAut(\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{K}}) = \{f \in Aut(\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{K}}) | \det(f) = 1\}$ of unimodular automorphisms is not a simple group.

The things are different for $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{K}})$. Nagata considered in [Nag72, Section 2.1] the following automorphism of $\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{K}} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[x, y, z])$:

 $\Phi \colon (x, y, z) \mapsto (x + \sigma z, y + 2\sigma x + \sigma^2 z, z) \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma = x^2 - yz.$

We have

 $\Phi = \exp(\sigma \partial)$ where $\partial = z \partial / \partial x + 2x \partial / \partial y$.

Indeed, $\partial(\sigma) = 0$ and so, $\Phi^*(\sigma) = \sigma$. Since also $\Phi^*(z) = z$ we have $\Phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}[z]} \mathbb{K}[z][x, y]$. Nagata showed that Φ is not generated by the affine and triangular automorphisms that fix z, and suggested that Φ is wild, i.e., $\Phi \notin \operatorname{Tame}_3$, see [Nag72, Conjecture 3.1]. The following theorem due to Shestakov and Umirbaev confirms Nagata's Conjecture.

Theorem 9.4 ([SU04a, SU04b]; see also [vdEKC21, Chapter 1]). The Nagata automorphism Φ is wild. So, Tame₃ is a proper subgroup of Aut(\mathbb{A}^3).

Notice that Tame₃ is not a closed ind-subgroup of Aut(\mathbb{A}^3), see [EP15]. It is unknown whether Tame₃ is dense in Aut(\mathbb{A}^3).

Example 9.5 ([FuKr18, Sect. 17.3]). Letting $\mathbb{A}^3 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ consider the closed connected ind-subgroup $\mathfrak{G} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ consisting of the automorphisms which send z to z. Then $\mathfrak{G}^t = \mathfrak{G} \cap \operatorname{Tame}_3$ is a proper closed indsubgroup of \mathfrak{G} . Nevertheless, their Lie algebras coincide: Lie(\mathfrak{G}^t) = Lie(\mathfrak{G}), contrary to the claim of [Sha81, Theorem 1].

Question 9.6. Do we have $L_{\mathfrak{G}} = \text{Lie } \mathfrak{G}$ and $L_{\mathfrak{G}^t} = \text{Lie } \mathfrak{G}^t$? Is it true that $L_{\mathfrak{G}} = L_{\mathfrak{G}^t}$?

Let us mention also the following result.

Theorem 9.7 ([KrSt13, Main Theorem]). Every automorphism of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{C}})$ is inner up to field automorphisms when restricted to the tame subgroup Tame_n.

Remarks 9.8. 1. For n = 2 the assertion was established in [Dés06]. Since $\text{Tame}_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}})$, see Theorem 9.3, it follows that every automorphism of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}})$ is inner up to a field automorphism. The latter does not hold, in general, for toric affine surfaces. For example, this fails for the quotient

of \mathbb{A}^2 by the cyclic group generated by $g: (x, y) \mapsto (\xi^e x, \xi y)$, where ξ is a dth primitive root of unity, 1 < e < d, (e, d) = 1 and $e^2 \not\equiv 1 \mod d$, see [LRU23, Remark 5.13].

2. Any automorphism of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ as an ind-group is inner, see [BKYE16]. The same holds for the automorphisms of the subgroup SAut(\mathbb{A}^n) of volumepreserving automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^n , see [Kra17]. See also [Sta13], [UZ21, Theorem 1.3] and the literature therein for some generalizations of Theorem 9.7.

3. A tame subgroup was defined for some other automorphism groups, for instance,

- for $\operatorname{Aut}(Q_3)$ where Q_3 is a smooth affine quadric threefold realized as the underlying variety of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, see [LV13];
- for Aut (A_n) where $A_n = \mathbb{K}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is the free associative algebra in $n \ge 2$ variables, see [BKY12b];
- for Aut(X), where X is a toric affine variety, see [AG10, Definition 5.3].

A theorem due to Makar-Limanov and Czerniakiewicz says that $\operatorname{Aut}(A_2) = \operatorname{Tame}(\operatorname{Aut}(A_2))$, see [ML70], [Cze71-72] and [Coh85, Theorem 4.1]. At the same time, Tame(Aut(Q_3)) and Tame(Aut(A_3)) are proper subgroups of Aut(Q_3) and Aut(A_3), respectively, see [AG10, Theorem 6.1] and [LV13, Section 5.1] for the former and [Umi07, Corollary 2.1] for the latter. The role of the Nagata automorphism for Aut(A_3) is played by the Anick automorphism

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x + z\sigma, y + x\sigma, z)$$
 where $\sigma = xz - zy$,

which is wild. See also [SZ24] for a wild (but stably tame) automorphism of Anick type of a 3-generated free Poisson algebra, which induces a tame automorphism of the 3-generated polynomial algebra.

Likewise, consider the quadratic cone $S_3 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^4$ given by equation $x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = 0$. There exists an automorphism τ of \mathbb{A}^4 which restricts to S_3 and lifts to the Cox ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ of S_3 yielding the Anick automorphism of \mathbb{A}^4 :

 $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (x_1, x_2 + x_1\delta, x_3, x_4 + x_3\delta)$ where $\delta = x_1x_4 - x_2x_3$.

The restriction $\tau|_{S_3}$ is a wild automorphism of S_3 , see [AG10, Theorem 6.1].

The following theorem relates the automorphism group of \mathbb{A}^n with the automorphism groups of various Lie algebras of vector fields on \mathbb{A}^n . Below $\operatorname{Vec}^0(\mathbb{A}^n)$ stands for the Lie algebra of vector fields with zero divergence.

Theorem 9.9. There are isomorphisms

 $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Lie}}(\operatorname{Vec}(\mathbb{A}^n)) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Lie}}(\operatorname{Vec}^{\operatorname{c}}(\mathbb{A}^n)) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Lie}}(\operatorname{Vec}^{0}(\mathbb{A}^n))$

induced by the natural action of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ on the Lie algebra of vector fields $\operatorname{Vec}(\mathbb{A}^n)$.

The first isomorphism was obtained in [Rud86, Corollary], [Kul93, Sec. 4] and [Bav17a] along different approaches; in [Kul93] it appeared in relation with the Jacobian Conjecture. The other isomorphisms were established

18

independently in [Bav17b] and [KrRe17]. See [Bav14] for the automorphism group of the Lie algebra of triangular derivations.

See also [CL06, Théorème A] for a description of the lattices in simple real Lie groups that can be realized as subgroups of $Aut(\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}})$. Furthermore, see [AGL24] and the references therein for studies on (finite dimensional) Lie algebras of derivations of polynomial rings.

9.3. Tamizable automorphisms.

Definition 9.10 ([MP09, Definition 4.1]). An automorphism $\varphi \in Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is called *tamizable* (resp., *linearizable*, *triangulable*) if it is conjugate in $Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$ to a tame (resp. linear, triangular) automorphism.

Question 9.11 ([MP09]). Is it true that any $\varphi \in Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is tamizable? In particular, is the Nagata automorphism Φ tamizable?

Example 9.12. It is known that the Nagata automorphism Φ is not conjugate to a triangular one, see [Bas84]. Nevertheless, it is *shifted linearizable*. More precisely, 2id $\cdot \Phi$ is conjugate to 2id in Aut(\mathbb{A}^3), see [MP09, Theorem 3.3]; cf. also [FuKr18, Lemma 15.11.1] for a general result of this type.

As follows from the Jung-van der Kulk Theorem 9.3, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is algebraically generated, see subsection 2.1 for the definition. It is known that $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is connected for any n, see [Sha81, Lemma 4]. The following natural question arises:

Question 9.13. Is $Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$ algebraically generated for $n \geq 3$?

The locally finite automorphisms of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ were studied in [FuMa07]. It is known that the subgroup $\langle \operatorname{LF}_n \rangle$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ generated by all locally finite automorphisms is normal, see [Zyg11]. By the Jung-van der Kulk Theorem 9.3 we have $\langle \operatorname{LF}_2 \rangle = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$. For n = 3, $\langle \operatorname{LF}_3 \rangle$ contains the Nagata automorphism. However, it is unknown whether $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3) = \langle \operatorname{LF}_3 \rangle$ ([Zyg11]; cf. also subsection 9.5).

9.4. Stable tameness.

Definition 9.14. A wild automorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is called *stably tame* if $\varphi \times \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{A}^{\kappa}} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{n+\kappa})$ is tame for some $\kappa \geq 1$. The minimal number κ of additional variables will be called *excessive dimension*.

Theorem 9.15 ([Smi89]). The Nagata automorphism Φ is stably tame with excessive dimension $\kappa = 1$.

By [Sp007] the same is true for the Anick automorphism of \mathbb{A}^4 , cf. Remark 9.8.3. More generally, the following holds.

Theorem 9.16 ([BvdEW12, Corollary 4.9]). Let as before $\mathfrak{G} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ be the subgroup of automorphisms fixing z. Then any element $\varphi \in \mathfrak{G}$ is stably tame with excessive dimension $\kappa \leq 3$.

A similar result is also known for $Aut(A_3)$ with $\kappa = 1$, see [BKY12b, Theorem 1.1].

9.5. Cotame automorphisms.

Definition 9.17 (*Cotame automorphisms*; cf. [EL19]). One says that $h \in Aut(\mathbb{A}^n) \setminus Aff_n$ is *cotame* if $\langle Aff_n, h \rangle \supseteq Tame_n$ and *topologically cotame* if $\overline{\langle Aff_n, h \rangle} \supseteq Tame_n$.

Theorem 9.18 ([Bod02], [Bod05, 1.4 and Theorem 1.8], [EL19]). For $n \ge 3$ any triangular $h \in \text{Jonq}_n \setminus \text{Aff}_n$ is cotame, while there is no triangular cotame $h \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2) \setminus \text{Aff}_2$.

The following theorem of Edo extends and refines the earlier results of Bodnarchuk ([Bod01, Thm. 3]) and Furter ([Fur15, Thm. D]); cf. also [FuPo18, Theorem 1.4].

Theorem 9.19 ([Edo18, Thm. 1.2]). For $n \ge 2$ any element $h \in Aut(\mathbb{A}^n) \setminus Aff_n$ is topologically cotame.

9.6. **Amalgamation.** By Jung-van der Kulk's Theorem 9.3, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is an amalgam of two subgroups along their intersection. A similar decomposition exists for the group $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{K}[x])$, see [Nag59], and for the group $\operatorname{Aut}^0(A_2)$ of augmentation-preserving automorphisms of the free associative algebra A_2 , see [SY98, Theorem 1.1].

It is unknown, however, whether a similar result holds for $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ when $n \geq 3$. For the tame subgroup $\operatorname{Tame}_3 \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_3)$ we have the following result.

Theorem 9.20 ([Wri15], see also [Wri17]). Tame₃ can be realized as a generalized amalgamated product of three subgroups amalgamated along pairwise intersections.

See [ANU20, Theorem 1] and the references therein for analogues of the Jung-van der Kulk's Theorem for the automorphism group of the free Lie algebra in three variables and the subgroup of tame automorphisms. Similar results are also known to hold for certain Aut-homogeneous affine surfaces among the so-called *Danilov-Gizatullin surfaces*.

Example 9.21 (Danilov-Gizatullin surfaces). These are smooth affine surfaces $X_d = F_n \setminus S_d$ where $\pi_n \colon F_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the *n*th (smooth projective) Hirzebruch ruled surface and the curve $S_d \subseteq F_n$ is an ample section of π_n with $S_d^2 = d > n$. Two such affine surfaces are isomorphic if and only if they share the same invariant d, see [GD77, Theorem 5.8.1]. The group Aut(X_d) has exactly $\lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ conjugacy classes of maximal tori of rank 1, see [FKZ07, Prop. 5.15]. The group Aut(X_d) is an amalgam of 2 nested ind-subgroups if d = 3 and of 3 nested ind-subgroups if d = 4, 5, see [GD77, Sections 5-8]. Assume that the base field \mathbb{K} is uncountable. Then Aut(X_d) is not an amalgam of any countable number of nested ind-groups, see [KPZ17, Corollary 5.6].

One can find similar results for other Aut-quasihomogeneous affine surfaces in [GD75, GD77], see also [KPZ17, Sect. 5].

9.7. Linearization of reductive group actions. Let G be a reductive linear algebraic group acting effectively on \mathbb{A}^n with a fixed point x. Then the tangent representation of G_x on $T_x X$ is faithful. Luna's Étale Slice Theorem [Lun73] guarantees a local linearization of the G_x -action near x. This justifies an expectation that any action of a reductive group on \mathbb{A}^n can be linearized, that is, is conjugate in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ to a linear action; see e.g. [Kam79, Conjecture 3.1] and [vdE00, Section 9.4]. However, the latter expectation turns out to be wrong as we will see below in 9.7.

The first results in this context are due to Białynicki-Birula who proved the following in [Bia66-67].

Theorem 9.22. A faithful action of a torus of dimension $\geq n-1$ on \mathbb{A}^n is linearizable. In particular, all maximal tori in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ are pairwise conjugate.

The latter fails for affine surfaces and affine threefolds, in general, see Examples 8.14 and 9.21.

It then took a long time to settle the next open problem, namely the linearizability of a \mathbb{G}_{m} -action on $\mathbb{A}^{3}_{\mathbb{C}}$. This was done in a series of fundamental papers of Koras and Russell, see e. g. [KoRu97], completed finally in [KKMLR97].

Theorem 9.23. Any action of \mathbb{G}_m on $\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$ is linearizable.

The proof uses a classification of certain Koras-Russell affine threefolds diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^6 and non-isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$, see [KML97].

Certain actions of codimension 2 tori can be linearized also in higher dimensions, see [KamRu82] for (a) and [KoRu89] for (b).

Theorem 9.24. Assume that the algebraic torus $T = (\mathbb{G}_m)^{n-2}$ acts effectively on \mathbb{A}^n . Then this action can be linearized provided one of the following holds:

- (a) The action is "unmixed," that is, no nontrivial character, together with its inverse, occur as weights of semi-invariant polynomials;
- (b) the fixed point set of T has positive dimension.

Next we look at the case of the affine plane \mathbb{A}^2 . Using the amalgamated product structure of Aut(\mathbb{A}^2) and a famous result of Serre's [Ser03, I.4.3.8] on subgroups of bounded length in an amalgamated product, one gets the following theorem.

Theorem 9.25 ([Kam79, Theorem 4.3]). An algebraic subgroup G of $Aut(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of either Aff_2 or $Jonq_2$. In particular, every reductive group action on \mathbb{A}^2 is linearizable.

In particular, any action of a finite group on \mathbb{A}^2 is conjugate to a linear action. This was first proven by geometric means by T. Igarashi (1975, unpublished), see also [Fur83, Theorem 2] and [Miy24, Theorem 2.4.6].

For actions of reductive groups we have the following consequence of Luna's Étale Slice Theorem, see [Lun73, III. Corollaire 2]; cf. also [BH85, Corollary 10.7].

Theorem 9.26. Let a reductive algebraic group G act on an affine variety X. Assume that every G-invariant function is constant and that G has a smooth fixed point in X. Then X is G-equivariantly isomorphic to a representation of G.

Applied to the actions on \mathbb{A}^n , this gives the following corollary.

Corollary 9.27 ([KrPo85, Proposition 5.1]). If a reductive group acts on \mathbb{A}^n with a dense orbit, then the action is linearizable.

For semisimple groups we have the following results, see [KrPo85] and [KrRu14] for n = 3 and [Pan84-86] for n = 4.

Theorem 9.28. For $n \leq 4$, any action of a semisimple algebraic group on \mathbb{A}^n is linearizable.

In the paper [KrSc92] Kraft and Schwarz study the linearization problem for reductive group actions on smooth acyclic affine varieties X assuming that the quotient X//G has dimension 1. It turns out that there is always a fixed point $x_0 \in X$ ([KrSc92, Theorem 1]) so that the tangent representation $V := T_{x_0}X$ is the candidate for the linearization. One of the main results is the following ([KrSc92, Theorem 5]).

Theorem 9.29. X is G-isomorphic to $V := T_{x_0}X$ in the following cases:

- (1) V is a semifree G-module;
- (2) G is a torus;
- (3) dim $V^{G^0} = 1;$
- (4) $\dim X < 3;$
- (5) G^0 is semisimple;
- (6) V is selfdual as a G^0 -module.

As a corollary one gets the next result, cf. [KrRu14, Theorem A].

Corollary 9.30. Every faithful action of a non-finite reductive group on \mathbb{A}^3 is linearizable.

As for linearizable finite group actions, Kraft and Procesi suggested a conjectural linearization procedure that uses the Reynolds operator. This linearization procedure works indeed in the case of involutions of \mathbb{A}^n acting with a fixed point, provided the associated Taylor decomposition of the Reynolds average is of bounded length, see [Jur90].

Counterexamples. The first examples of non-linearizable actions of connected reductive groups on \mathbb{A}^n are due to Schwarz [Sch89]. These are an $O_2(\mathbb{C})$ -action on $\mathbb{A}^4_{\mathbb{C}}$ and an $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -action on $\mathbb{A}^7_{\mathbb{C}}$. In a sense, the nonlinearizability is a general phenomenon.

Theorem 9.31 ([Kno91]). For any non-commutative connected reductive algebraic group G there exists a non-linearizable G-action on some affine space \mathbb{A}^n .

Many more examples are given in [KrSc92, VII.5. Examples].

All known examples of non-linearizable actions on \mathbb{A}^n are obtained from non-trivial algebraic *G*-vector bundles over *G*-modules, following an idea from [BH87], see also [Kra89], [KrSc92], [MP91] and [MMP91]. Indeed, by the Quillen-Suslin theorem, such a bundle is trivial when ignoring the *G*action. Hence, its total space is isomorphic to some \mathbb{A}^n . The induced *G*action on \mathbb{A}^n is non-linearizable due to the fact that our bundle is non-trivial in the category of *G*-vector bundles.

However, this method does not work for an abelian reductive group G. Indeed, in this case every G-vector bundle over a G-module is trivial, see [MMP96]. The following questions remain open, see e.g. [KrSc95] and [Mas03]:

Question 9.32. Is there a non-linearizable action of an abelian group on \mathbb{A}^n , for instance, of a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ on \mathbb{A}^n , $n \geq 3$ and of \mathbb{G}_m on \mathbb{A}^n , $n \geq 4$?

Notice that there is an example of Asanuma of a non-linearizable action of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{A}^{n}_{\mathbb{R}}$, see [Asa99].

There are several examples of non-linearizable actions of non-abelian finite groups on \mathbb{A}^n , for instance, of the dihedral groups D_{10} , D_{14} and of of the symmetric group S_3 on \mathbb{A}^4 , see [MJ94, Theorem 5.1] and [FM15]; cf. also [MP95], [MMP96], [Mas03]. It seems that no example of this kind is known for a finite group action on \mathbb{A}^3 , see e.g. [FM15]. See also [PeRa86] for the Lefschetz fixed-point formula in the context of finite group actions on affine varieties.

The linearization problem is closely related to the Abhyankar–Sathaye problem on linearization of embeddings $\mathbb{A}^k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ and on variables in polynomial rings. We send the reader e.g. to [DG15], [Kal09], [KrRu14], [vdEMV07] and the literature therein for further reading.

9.8. Solvable and unipotent ind-subgroups: triangulation. We start with the following result.

Proposition 9.33 ([FuKr18, Proposition 10.5.1]). Let $U \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be a commutative unipotent algebraic subgroup of dimension n. Assume that $\operatorname{Cent}_{\operatorname{Aut}(X)}(U) = U$. Then for any $x \in X$ the orbit map $U \to X$, $u \mapsto ux$, is an isomorphism. In particular, X is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^n .

The unipotent elements of the de Jonquières group Jonq_n form a solvable subgroup \mathcal{J}_n of derived length n, see [FuPo18, Lemma 3.2]. This subgroup is not nilpotent for n > 1; see Remark 6.3 above.

Definition 9.34. We say that a subgroup $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is *triangulable* if it is conjugate in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ to a subgroup of Jonq_n . It is said to be *stably triangulable* if $G \times \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{A}^k}$ is triangulable in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{n+k})$ for some $k \geq 0$.

By [Ren68] any unipotent subgroup of $Aut(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is triangulable, cf. Theorem 9.25. This is not any longer true in higher dimensions. However, the next theorem provides a large class of triangulable unipotent subgroups in any dimension.

Theorem 9.35 ([KrZa24, Theorem D]). Let $U \subseteq Aut(\mathbb{A}^n)$ be a nested unipotent subgroup. If U acts transitively on \mathbb{A}^n , then U is triangulable.

A similar result holds for Lie subalgebras of vector fields.

Theorem 9.36 ([Sku21, Theorem 6]). Let $L \subseteq \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n))$ be a Lie subalgebra that consists of locally nilpotent derivations. Assume that $\bigcap_{\partial \in L} \ker(\partial) = \mathbb{K}$. Then L is Ad-conjugate to a subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(\text{Jonq}_n)$ of triangular derivations.

The transitivity assumption in Theorem 9.35 is essential, as the following example shows.

Example 9.37. Consider the Nagata automorphism $\Phi = \exp(\sigma\partial)$, see subsection 9.2. It is contained in the \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroup $U = \exp(t\sigma\partial)$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{3})$. According to Bass [Bas84], U is not triangulable. Indeed, the fixed points set $(\mathbb{A}^{3})^{\mathbb{G}_{a}}$ is a hypersurface with an isolated singularity. However, the fixed point set of a triangulable \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroup is isomorphic to a cylinder $X \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ which cannot have an isolated singularity. Using the same idea one can construct non-triangulable \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroups of any $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{n}), n \geq 3$, see [Pop87].

According to Freudenburg [Fre17, Lemma 3.36], the Nagata-Bass \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroup U is not stably triangulable in Aut(\mathbb{A}^{4}). In fact, no \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroup of Bass-Popov type is stably triangulable, whatever is the number of additional variables.

Proposition 9.38 ([KrZa24, Proposition 1.4.1]). Consider a \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroup $U \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{n})$. Assume that the fixed point set $(\mathbb{A}^{n})^{U}$ is a hypersurface with an isolated singularity. Then U is not stably triangulable.

There is, however, the following positive result.

Theorem 9.39 ([Kal04]). Assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, and let a \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroup $U \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{3})$ acts freely on \mathbb{A}^{3} . Then U is conjugate in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{3})$ to a subgroup of translations. In particular, U is triangulable.

An analogue of the former assertion fails in \mathbb{A}^4 , see [Win90]. For further results in this direction, see e.g. the survey article [Kal09] and [GMM21] for \mathbb{G}_{a} -actions on affine varieties, [Fre17] and [vdEKC21] for \mathbb{G}_{a} -actions on affine spaces and Hilbert's 14th problem. See also [Pop17] and the references therein for (stably) birationally triangulable and non-triangulable actions of unipotent groups.

10. Tits' type alternative for automorphism groups

A group G is called *virtually solvable* resp., *virtually nilpotent*, etc. if G is a finite extension of a solvable resp. nilpotent, etc. group. The classical *Tits alternative* says that any linear group G, that is a subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, is either virtually solvable, or contains a free group \mathbb{F}_2 on two generators.

24

For finitely generated subgroups, the Tits alternative holds without any restriction on the base field.

There are several similar statements for automorphism groups. For instance, an analogue of the Tits alternative holds for $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}})$, see [Lam01, Theorem 2.4] or [Lam24, Proposition 20.17].

Notice that $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{K}})$ for an infinite field \mathbb{K} is not isomorphic to a linear group over any extension of \mathbb{K} , and the same holds for its de Jonqières subgroup Jonq₂, see [Cor17, Proposition 2.3 and Remark 2.4] and also [Mat23]. However, by [Mat23, Theorem A] the codimension 6 normal subgroup

$$G = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{K}}) \, | \, \varphi(0) = 0, \ d\varphi(0) = \operatorname{id} \}$$

of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{K}})$ is a linear group over a suitable field extension.

The unipotent triangular subgroup \mathcal{J}_n of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{K}})$ over an arbitrary field \mathbb{K} is a semidirect product of abelian groups, see [BNS12, Theorem 1]. For $n \geq 3$ this group is nonlinear (it cannot be represented by matrices over any field), see [Sos07, Theorem 2]. The same holds for the tame subgroup $\operatorname{Tame}_n = \operatorname{Tame}(\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{K}}))$ provided $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ and $n \geq 4$, see [RCS04]. Similar results are also true for the automorphism groups of free associative algebras, see *ibid*.

For the proof of the following theorem see [Can11] and [Ure21] for (a), [BFL14, Theorem C] for (b) and [LP22, Main Theorem] for (c). In Theorems 10.1 and 10.2 we assume that the base field \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

Theorem 10.1. The Tits alternative holds for the following groups:

- (a) the birational automorphism group of any compact complex Kähler surface;
- (b) $\operatorname{Tame}(\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{K})))$ (see Remark 9.8.3);
- (c) Tame₃ = Tame(Aut($\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{K}}$)).

In the case of algebraically generated groups of automorphisms, we have the following Tits' type alternatives.

Theorem 10.2 ([AZ22, Theorem 1.1] and [AZ23, Theorem 6.1]).

- (a) Let X be an affine algebraic surface over \mathbb{K} and $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be a subgroup generated by \mathbb{G}_{a} -subgroups U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k} . Then either G contains \mathbb{F}_{2} or G is a metabelian unipotent algebraic group.
- (b) Let X be a toric affine variety over \mathbb{K} and $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be a subgroup generated by Demazure root subgroups U_1, \ldots, U_k , see Definition 7.8. Then either G contains \mathbb{F}_2 or G is a unipotent algebraic group.

Similar results also hold for subgroups of Tame(Aut(SL(2, \mathbb{K}))) and Tame(Aut($\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{K}}$)), see [AZ23, Corollary 6.4].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Ivan Arzhantsev, Alexander Perepechko and Andriy Regeta for their comments and references. Our thanks also go to the anonymous referee for useful remarks.

References

[ANU20]	Alibek A. Alimbaev, Ruslan Zh. Nauryzbaev, and Ualbai U. Umirbaev, On
	the automorphisms of a free Lie algebra of rank 3 over an integral domain,
	Sib. Math. J. 61:1 (2020), 1–10.

- [And19] Rafael B. Andrist, Integrable generators of Lie algebras of vector fields on \mathbb{C}^n , Forum Math. 31 (2019), 943–950.
- [Arz18a] Ivan Arzhantsev, Infinite transitivity and special automorphisms, Ark. Mat. 56:1 (2018), 1–14.
- [Arz18b] Ivan Arzhantsev, *Gale duality and homogeneous toric varieties*, Comm. Algebra 46:8 (2018), 3539–3552.
- [Arz23] Ivan Arzhantsev, Automorphisms of algebraic varieties and infinite transitivity, St. Petersburg Math. J. 34:2 (2023), 143–178.
- [AFK⁺13a] Ivan Arzhantsev, Hubert Flenner, Shulim Kaliman, Frank Kutzschebauch, and Mikhail Zaidenberg, *Flexible varieties and automorphism groups*, Duke Math. J. 162:4 (2013), 767–823.
- [AFK⁺13b] Ivan Arzhantsev, Hubert Flenner, Shulim Kaliman, Frank Kutzschebauch, and Mikhail Zaidenberg, *Infinite transitivity on affine varieties*, In: Birational Geometry, Rational Curves, and Arithmetic. Fedor Bogomolov, Brendan Hassett, Yuri Tschinkel (eds.), 1–14. Springer-Verlag, New York e.a. 2013.
- [AG10] Ivan V. Arzhantsev and Sergei A. Gaifullin, Cox rings, semigroups and automorphisms of affine algebraic varieties, Sb. Math. 201:1 (2010), 1–21.
- [AG17] Ivan Arzhantsev and Sergey Gaifullin, *The automorphism group of a rigid* affine variety, Math. Nachr. 290:5–6 (2017), 662–671.
- [AGL24] Ivan Arzhantsev Sergey Gaifullin, and Viktor Lopatkin, On finitedimensional homogeneous Lie algebras of derivations of polynomial rings, arXiv:2408.05627 (2024).
- [AKZ12] Ivan Arzhantsev, Karine Kuyumzhiyan, and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Flag varieties, toric varieties, and suspensions: Three instances of infinite transitivity, Sb. Math. 203 (2012), 923–949.
- [AKZ19] Ivan Arzhantsev, Karine Kuyumzhiyan, and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Infinite transitivity, finite generation, and Demazure roots, Adv. Math. 351 (2019), 1–32.
- [APS14] Ivan Arzhantsev, Alexander Perepechko, and Hendrik Süss, *Infinite transitivity on universal torsors*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 89:3 (2014), 762–778.
- [AZ22] Ivan Arzhantsev and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Tits-type alternative for groups acting on toric affine varieties, Int. Math. Res. Not. 11 (2022), 8162–8195.
- [AZ23] Ivan Arzhantsev and Mikhail Zaidenberg, *Tits-type alternative for certain groups acting on algebraic surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 151:7 (2023), 2813–2829.
- [ArZa23] Ivan Arzhantsev and Yulia Zaitseva, Affine homogeneous varieties and suspensions, Res. Math. Sci. 11:2 (2024), article 27.
- [Asa99] Teruo Asanuma, Non-linearizable algebraic k*-actions on affine spaces, Invent. Math., 138:2 (1999), 281–306.
- [Bas84] Hyman Bass, A non-triangular action of \mathbb{G}_a on \mathbb{A}^3 , J. Pure Appl. Algebra 33 (1984), 1–5.
- [BH85] Hyman Bass and William J. Haboush, *Linearizing certain reductive group* actions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1985), 463–482.
- [BH87] Hyman Bass and William J. Haboush, Some equivariant K-theory of affine algebraic group actions, Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), no. 1-2, 181–217.
- [BL83] Hyman Bass and Alexander Lubotzky, Automorphisms of groups and of schemes of finite type, Israel J. Math. 44 (1983), 1–22.

- [BNS12] Valeriy G. Bardakov, Mikhail V. Neshchadim, and Yury V. Sosnovsky, Groups of triangular automorphisms of a free associative algebra and a polynomial algebra, J. Algebra 362 (2012), 201–220.
- [Bav14] Vladimir V. Bavula, The groups of automorphisms of the Lie algebras of triangular polynomial derivations, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218 (2014), 829– 851.
- [Bav17a] Vladimir V. Bavula, The group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra of derivations of a polynomial algebra, J. Algebra Appl. 16:5 (2017), 1750088.
- [Bav17b] Vladimir V. Bavula, The groups of automorphisms of the Lie algebras of polynomial vector fields with zero or constant divergence, Commun. Algebra 45:3 (2017), 1114–1133.
- [BKYE16] Alexei Belov-Kanel, Jie-Tai Yu, and Andrey Elishev, On the Zariski topology of automorphism groups of affine spaces and algebras, arXiv:1207.2045v6 (2016).
- [BKY12b] Alexei Belov-Kanel and Jie-Tai Yu, Stable tameness of automorphisms of $F\langle x, y, z \rangle$ fixing z, Sel. Math. New Ser. 18 (2012), 799–802.
- [BH03] Florian Berchtold and Jürgen Hausen, *Demushkin's Theorem in codimen*sion one, Math. Z. 244 (2003), 697–703.
- [BW00] Yuri Berest and George Wilson, Automorphisms and ideals of the Weyl algebra, Math. Ann. 318:1 (2000), 127–147.
- [BvdEW12] Joost Berson, Arno van den Essen, and David Wright, Stable tameness of two-dimensional polynomial automorphisms over a regular ring, Adv. Math. 230 (2012), 2176–2197.
- [BPZ24] Oksana Bezushchak, Anatoliy Petravchuk, and Efim Zelmanov, Automorphisms and derivations of affine commutative and PI-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 377:2 (2024), 1335–1356.
- [Bia66-67] Andrzej Białynicki-Birula, Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on kⁿ. I, II, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 14 (1966), 177–181; ibid. 15 (1967), 123–125.
- [BFL14] Cinzia Bisi, Jean-Philippe Furter, and Stéphane Lamy, The tame automorphism group of an affine quadric threefold acting on a square complex, J. Ec. polytech. Math. 1 (2014), 161–223.
- [BD15] Jérémy Blanc and Adrien Dubouloz, Affine surfaces with a huge group of automorphisms, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2 (2015), 422–459.
- [BG23] Viktoria Borovik and Sergey Gaifullin, *Isolated torus invariants and auto*morphism groups of rigid varieties, arXiv:2007.07882 (2023).
- [Bod01] Yuri Bodnarchuk, Some extreme properties of the affine group as an automorphisms group of the affine space, Contribution to General Algebra 13 (2001), 15–29.
- [Bod02] Yuri Bodnarchuk, Affine group as a subgroup of biregular transformation group of an affine space, (Ukrainian). NaUKMA Zap., Kyïv 20 (2002), 6–10.
 [Bod05] Yuri Bodnarchuk, On generators of the tame invertible polynomial maps
- *group*, Int. J. Algebra Comput. 15 (2005), 851–867.
- [Can11] Serge Cantat, Sur les groupes de transformations birationnelles des surfaces, Ann. Math. (2) 174:1 (2011), 299–340.
- [BG23] Viktoriia Borovik and Sergey Gaifullin, Isolated torus invariants and automorphism groups of rigid varieties, arXiv:2007.07882v2 (2023).
- [CL06] Serge Cantat and Stéphane Lamy, Groupes d'automorphismes polynomiaux du plan, Geom. Dedicata 123 (2006), 201–221.
- [CRX19] Serge Cantat, Andriy Regeta and Junyi Xie, Families of commuting automorphisms, and a characterization of the affine space, Amer. J. Math. 145:2 (2019), 413–434.
- [CPPZ21] Ivan Cheltsov, Jihun Park, Yuri Prokhorov, and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Cylinders in Fano varieties, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. 8 (2021), 39–105,

[ChDu23]	Mikhael Chitayat and Adrian Dubouloz, <i>The rigid Pham-Brieskorn three-folds</i> , arXiv:2312.07587 (2023).
[CD03]	Arjeh M. Cohen and Jan Draisma, From Lie algebras of vector fields to
	algebraic group actions, Transform. Groups 8:1 (2003), 51–68.
[Coh85]	Paul M. Cohn, Free rings and their relations, 2nd ed., Academic Press,
	London 1985.
[Cor17]	Yves Cornulier, Nonlinearity of some subgroups of the planar Cremona
L J	group, arXiv:1701.00275 (2017).
[Cze71-72]	Anastasia J. Czerniakiewicz, Automorphisms of a free associative algebra
[02012.1-]	of rank 2. I, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., I: 160 (1971), 393–401; II: 171
[D :10]	(1972), 309-315.
[Dai19]	Daniel Daigle, Locally nilpotent sets of derivations. In: Kuroda, S., On-
	oda, N., Freudenburg, G. (eds). Polynomial Rings and Affine Algebraic
	Geometry, 41–71. PRAAG 2018. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics &
	Statistics, vol 319, 2019. Springer, Cham.
[Dani89]	Włodzimierz Danielewski, On the cancellation problem and automorphism
L J	group of affine algebraic varieties, Unpublished preprint, 1989.
[Dan74]	Vladimir I. Danilov, Non-simplicity of the group of unimodular automor-
[]	phisms of an affine plane, Mat. Zametki 15 (1974), 289–293.
[Dom 99]	Aleksander S. Demushkin, Combinatorial invariance of toric singularities,
[Dem 82]	
	Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 2 (1982), 80–87.
$[D\acute{e}s06]$	Julie Déserti, On the group of polynomial automorphisms of the affine plane,
	J. Algebra 297:2 (2006), 584–599.
[Dub04]	Adrien Dubouloz, Completions of normal affine surfaces with a trivial
	Makar-Limanov invariant, Michigan Math. J. 52 (2004), 289–308.
[DMJP10]	Adrien Dubouloz, Lucy Moser-Jauslin, and Pierre-Marie Poloni, Inequiva-
. ,	lent embeddings of the Koras-Russell cubic 3-fold. Michigan Math. J. 59
	(2010), 679–694.
[DG15]	Amartya K Dutta and Neena Cupta The enimorphism theorem and its

- [DG15] Amartya K. Dutta and Neena Gupta, *The epimorphism theorem and its generalizations*, J. Algebra Appl. 14:9 (2015), 1540010.
- [Edo18] Eric Edo. Closed subgroups of the polynomial automorphism group containing the affine subgroup. Transform. Groups 23 (2018), 71–74.
- [EL19] Éric Edo and Drew Lewis, Co-tame polynomial automorphisms, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 29 (2019), 803–825.
- [EP15] Éric Edo and Pierre-Marie Poloni, On the closure of the tame automorphism group, Int. Math. Res. Not. 19 (2015), 9736–9750.
- [EGS23] Polina Evdokimova, Sergey Gaifullin, and Anton Shafarevich, *Rigid trinomial varieties*, arXiv:2307.06672 (2023).
- [Fie94] Karl-Heinz Fieseler, On complex affine surfaces with C⁺-action, Comment. Math. Helv. 69:1 (1994), 5−27.
- [FKZ07] Hubert Flenner, Shulim Kaliman, and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Completions of C^{*}-surfaces. In: Affine algebraic geometry, 149−200. Osaka Univ. Press, Osaka 2007.
- [FKZ09] Hubert Flenner, Shulim Kaliman, and Mikhail Zaidenberg, On the Danilov-Gizatullin isomorphism theorem, Enseign. Math. (2) 55:3-4 (2009), 275–283.
- [FKZ11] Hubert Flenner, Shulim Kaliman, and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Smooth affine surfaces with non-unique C^{*}-actions, J. Algebraic Geom. 20 (2011), 329− 398.
- [FKZ16] Hubert Flenner, Shulim Kaliman, and Mikhail Zaidenberg, The Gromov-Winkelmann theorem for flexible varieties, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 18:11 (2016), 2483–2510.
- [FZ05b] Hubert Flenner and Mikhail Zaidenberg, On the uniqueness of C^{*}-actions on affine surfaces, In: Affine Algebraic Geometry, Contemporary Mathematics Vol. 369, 97–111. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

28

HANSPETER KRAFT AND MIKHAIL ZAIDENBERG

- [Fre17] Gene Freudenburg, Algebraic Theory of Locally Nilpotent Derivations, 2nd edn. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 136. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups VII. Berlin: Springer, 2017. [FM15] Gene Freudenburg and Lucy Moser-Jauslin, A nonlinearizable action of S_3 on \mathbb{C}^4 , Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 52:1 (2002), 133–143. Jean-Philippe Furter, Polynomial composition rigidity and plane polynomial [Fur15] automorphisms, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 91 (2015), 180-202. [FuKr18] Jean-Philippe Furter and Hanspeter Kraft, On the geometry of automorphism groups of affine varieties, arXiv:1809.04175 (2018). [FuMa07] Jean-Philippe Furter and Stefan Maubach, Locally finite polynomial endomorphisms, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 211 (2007), 445-458. [FuPo18] Jean-Philippe Furter and Jean-Marie Poloni, On the maximality of the triangular subgroup, Ann. Inst. Fourier 68:1 (2018), 393-421. [Fur83] Mikio Furushima, Finite groups of polynomial automorphisms in \mathbb{C}^n . Tohoku Math. J. (2) 35 (1983), 415–424. [Giz71a] Marat Kh. Gizatullin, Affine surfaces that are quasihomogeneous with respect to an algebraic group, Math. USSR Izv. 5 (1971), 754–769. [Giz71b] Marat Kh. Gizatullin, Quasihomogeneous affine surfaces, Math. USSR Izv. 5(1971), 1057-1081.Marat Gizatullin, Two examples of affine homogeneous varieties, Eur. J. [Giz18] Math. 4:3 (2018), 1035–1064. [GD75]Marat Kh. Gizatullin and Vladimir I. Danilov, Automorphisms of affine surfaces I, Math. USSR Izv. 9:3 (1975), 493-534. [GD77] Marat Kh. Gizatullin and Vladimir I. Danilov, Automorphisms of affine surfaces II, Math. USSR Izv. 11:1 (1977), 51–98. [Gub98] Joseph Gubeladze, The isomorphism problem for commutative monoid rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 129 (1998), 35–65. [GMM21] Rajendra V. Gurjar, Kayo Masuda, and Masayoshi Miyanishi, Affine space fibrations, Studies in Mathematics vol. 79, De Gruyter, 2021. [Jel15] Zbigniew Jelonek, On the group of automorphisms of a quasi-affine variety, Math. Ann. 362:1-2 (2015), 569–578. [Jun42] Heinrich W. E. Jung, Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene, J. Reine Angew. Math. 184 (1942), 161–174. [Jur90] Jerzy Jurkiewicz, Linearizing some $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ actions on affine space, Compos. Math. 76:1-2 (1990), 243-245. Shulim Kaliman, Free \mathbb{C}^+ -actions on \mathbb{C}^3 are translations, Invent. Math. [Kal04] 156:1 (2004), 163-173. [Kal09] Shulim Kaliman, Actions of \mathbb{C}^* and \mathbb{C}^+ on affine algebraic varieties, in: Algebraic Geometry, Seattle 2005. D. Abramovich e.a. (eds.), 629-654. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure mathematics vol. 80, Part 2. Amer. Math. Soc. 2009. [KML97] Shulim Kaliman and Leonid Makar-Limanov, On Russell-Koras contractible threefolds, J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), 247–268. Shulim Kaliman, Mariusz Koras, Leonid Makar-Limanov, and Peter Rus-[KKMLR97] sell, \mathbb{C}^* -actions on \mathbb{C}^3 are linearizable, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1997), 63-71. Shulim Kaliman and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Affine modifications and affine [KZ99] hypersurfaces with a very transitive automorphism group, Transform. Groups 4 (1999), 53–95. [KZ00] Shulim Kaliman and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Miyanishi's characterization of the affine 3-space does not hold in higher dimensions, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 50 (2000), 1649–1669.
- [Kam75] Tatsuji Kambayashi, On the absence of nontrivial separable forms of the affine plane. J. Algebra 35 (1975), 449–456.

- [Kam79] Tatsuji Kambayashi, Automorphism group of a polynomial ring and algebraic group action on an affine space, J. Algebra, 60 (1979), 439–451.
- [KamRu82] Tatsuji Kambayashi and Peter Russell, On linearizing algebraic torus actions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 23:3 (1982), 243–250.
- [Kno91] Friedrich Knop, Nichtlinearisierbare Operationen halbeinfacher Gruppen auf affinen Räumen, Invent. Math. 105:1 (1991), 217–220.
- [KoRu89] Mariusz Koras and Peter Russell, Codimension 2 torus actions on affine nspace. In: Group actions and invariant theory, Proc. Conf., Montreal/Can. 1988. Canad. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. 10 (1989), 103–110.
- [KoRu97] Mariusz Koras and Peter Russell, Contractible threefolds and C^{*}-actions on C³, J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), 671−695.
- [KPZ17] Sergei Kovalenko, Alexander Perepechko and Mikhail Zaidenberg, On automorphism groups of affine surfaces. In: Algebraic varieties and automorphism groups, pp. 207–286. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 75, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [Kra89] Hanspeter Kraft, G-vector bundles and the Linearization Problem. In: Group Actions and Invariant Theory, CMS Conference Proceedings vol. 10, 111–123. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- [Kra96] Hanspeter Kraft, Challenging problems on affine n-space, Séminaire Bourbaki, Exp. No. 802, 5, Vol. 1994/95. Astérisque 237 (1996), 295–317.
- [Kra17] Hanspeter Kraft, Automorphism groups of affine varieties and a characterization of affine n-space, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 78 (2017), 171–186.
- [KrPo85] Hanspeter Kraft and Vladimir L. Popov, Semisimple group actions on the three-dimensional affine space are linear, Comment. Math. Helv. 60 (1985), 466–479.
- [KrRe17] Hanspeter Kraft and Andriy Regeta, Automorphisms of the Lie algebra of vector fields on affine n-space, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 19:5 (2017), 1577–1588.
- [KrRu14] Hanspeter Kraft and Peter Russell, *Families of group actions, generic isotriviality, and linearization*, Transform. Groups 19:3 (2014), 779–792.
- [KrSc92] Hanspeter Kraft and Gerald W. Schwarz, Reductive group actions with onedimensional quotient, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 76 (1992), 1–97.
- [KrSc95] Hanspeter Kraft and Gerald Schwarz, Finite automorphisms of affine Nspace. In: Essen, Arno van den (ed.), Automorphisms of affine spaces. Proceedings of the international conference on invertible polynomial maps, held in Curaçao, Netherlands Antilles, July 4-8, 1994. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. 55–66 (1995).
- [KrSt13] Hanspeter Kraft and Immanuel Stampfli, On automorphisms of the affine Cremona group, Ann. Inst. Fourier 63:3 (2013), 1137–1148.
- [KrZa24] Hanspeter Kraft and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Algebraically generated groups and their Lie algebras, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 109 (2024), 1–39.
- [Kul93] Viktor S. Kulikov, Generalized and local Jacobian problems, Izvestiya Mathematics 41:2 (1993), 351–365.
- [Kum02] Shrawan Kumar, Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 204, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- $\begin{array}{ll} [Lam01] \qquad & \mbox{Stéphane Lamy}, L'alternative \ de \ Tits \ pour \ {\rm Aut}[\mathbb{C}^2], \ {\rm J. \ Algebra \ 239:2} \ (2001), \\ & \ 413-437. \end{array}$
- [Lam24] Stéphane Lamy, *The Cremona group*. Preliminary version, July 27, 2024, available at: https://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/ slamy/blog/cremona.html
- [LP21] Stéphane Lamy and Piotr Przytycki, *Presqu'un immeuble pour le groupe des automorphismes modérés*, Ann. H. Lebesgue 4 (2021), 605–651.
- [LP22] Stéphane Lamy and Piotr Przytycki, *Tits alternative for the 3-dimensional tame automorphism group*, arXiv:2206.05611 (2022).

30

- [LV13] Stéphane Lamy and Stéphane Vénéreau, The tame and the wild automorphisms of an affine quadric threefold, J. Math. Soc. Japan 65:1 (2013), 299–320.
- [LRU23] Alvaro Liendo, Andriy Regeta, and Christian Urech, Characterization of affine surfaces with a torus action by their automorphism groups, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5) 24:1 (2023), 249–289.
- [LPU23] Anne Lonjou, Piotr Przytycki, and Christian Urech, *Finitely generated* subgroups of algebraic elements of plane Cremona groups are bounded, arXiv:2307.01334 (2023).
- [Lun73] Domingo Luna, *Slices étales*, Mémoires de la S. M. F. 33 (1973), 81–105.
- [ML70] Leonid G. Makar-Limanov, Automorphisms of a free algebra with two generators, Funct. Anal. Appl., 4:3 (1970), 262–264.
- [Mas03] Kayo Masuda, Nonlinearizable actions of dihedral groups on affine space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356:9 (2003), 3545–3556.
- [MMP91] Mikiyo Masuda, Lucy Moser-Jauslin, and Ted Petrie, Equivariant algebraic vector bundles over representations of reductive groups: Applications, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 88 (1991), 9065–9066.
- [MMP96] Mikiyo Masuda, Lucy Moser-Jauslin, and Ted Petrie, *The equivariant Serre* problem for abelian groups, Topology 35 (1996), 329–334.
- [MP91] Mikiyo Masuda and Ted Petrie, Equivariant algebraic vector bundles over representations of reductive groups: Theory, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 88 (1991), 9061–9064.
- [MP95] Mikiyo Masuda and Ted Petrie, *Stably trivial equivariant algebraic vector bundles*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1995), 687–714.

[Mat23] Olivier Mathieu, Linearity and nonlinearity of groups of polynomial automorphisms of the plane, J. Algebra 637 (2024), 47–89.

- [MP09] Stefan Maubach and Pierre-Marie Poloni, *The Nagata automorphism is shifted linearizable*, J. Algebra 321 (2009), 879–889.
- [Miy24] Masayoshi Miyanishi, Affine algebraic geometry: geometry of polynomial rings. Series on University Mathematics, vol. 11. World Scientific, New Jersey, 2024.
- [MJ94] Lucy Moser-Jauslin, Algebraic equivariant vector bundles and the linearity problem, in: Proc. Symp. in Pure Mathem. vol. 56, Part I, 1994, 355–364.
- [Nag59] Hirosi Nagao, On GL(2, K[x]), J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ. Ser. A 10 (1959), 117–121.
- [Nag72] Masayoshi Nagata, On automorphism group of k[x, y], Kinokuniya Book-Store Co., Ltd. Tokyo, Japan, 1972.
- [Pan84-86] Dmitri I. Panyushev, Semisimple automorphism groups of four-dimensional affine space, Math. USSR Izv. 23 (1984), 171–183. Correction: ibid. 27:3 (1986), 607–608.
- [Per24] Alexander Perepechko, Structure of connected nested automorphism groups, arXiv:2312.08359v2 (2024).
- [PR24] Alexander Perepechko and Andriy Regeta, Automorphism groups of affine varieties without non-algebraic elements, Proc. Amer.Math. Soc. 152 (2024), 2377–2383 (to appear).
- [PR23] Alexander Perepechko and Andriy Regeta, When is the automorphism group of an affine variety nested?, Transform. Groups 28:1 (2023), 401– 412.
- [PZ22] Alexander Perepechko and Mikhail Zaidenberg, Automorphism groups of rigid affine surfaces: the identity component, arXiv:2208.09738 (2022).
- [PeRa86] Ted Petrie and John D. Randall, *Finite-order algebraic automorphisms of affine varieties*, Comment. Math. Helv. 61:2 (1986), 203–221.

32	HANSPETER KRAFT AND MIKHAIL ZAIDENBERG	
----	--	--

- [Pop73] Vladimir L. Popov, Classification of affine algebraic surfaces that are quasihomogeneous with respect to an algebraic group, Math. USSR Izv. 7 (1973), 1039–1055 (1975).
- [Pop87] Vladimir L. Popov, On actions of \mathbb{G}_a on \mathbb{A}^n , in: Algebraic groups. Utrecht 1986, 237–242. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1271, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [Pop14] Vladimir L. Popov, On infinite dimensional algebraic transformation groups, Transform. Groups 19 (2014), 549–568.
- [Pop17] Vladimir L. Popov, Bass' triangulability problem, in: Algebraic varieties and automorphism groups, 425–441. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. vol. 75, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [Smi89] Martha K. Smith, Stably tame automorphisms, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 58 (1989), 209–212.
- [Ram64] Chakravarthi P. Ramanujam, A note on automorphism groups of algebraic varieties, Math. Ann. 156 (1964), 25–33.
- [RvS21] Andriy Regeta and Immanuel van Santen, Maximal commutative unipotent subgroups and a characterization of affine spherical varieties, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (to appear), arXiv:2112.04784 (2021).
- [Ren68] Rudolf Rentschler, Opérations du groupe additif sur le plan affine, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A 267 (1968), 384–387.
- [RCS04] Vitalij A. Roman'kov, Igor V. Chirkov, and Mikhail A. Shevelin, Nonlinearity of the automorphism groups of some free algebras, Siberian Math. J. 45:5 (2004), 974–977.
- [Rud86] Alexei N. Rudakov, Subalgebras and automorphisms of Lie algebras of Cartan type, Func. Anal. Appl. 20:1 (1986), 72–73.
- [Sch89] Gerald W. Schwarz, Exotic algebraic group actions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. Math. 309 (1989), 89–94.
- [Ser03] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Trees*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [Sha81] Igor R. Shafarevich, On some infinite-dimensional groups. II, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 45:1 (1981), 214–226.
- [Sha04] Igor R. Shafarevich, On the Group GL(2, K[t]), Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 246 (2004), 308–314.
- [SU04a] Ivan P. Shestakov and Ualbai U. Umirbaev, Poisson brackets and two generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004), 181–196.
- [SU04b] Ivan P. Shestakov and Ualbai U. Umirbaev, *Tame and wild automorphisms of rings of polynomials in three variables*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004), 197–227.
- [SZ24] Ivan Shestakov and Zerui Zhang, An Anick type wild automorphism of free Poisson algebras, arXiv:2407.04919 (2024).
- [SY98] Vladimir Shpilrain and Jie-Tai Yu, On generators of polynomial algebras in two commuting or non-commuting variables, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 132 (1998), 309–315.
- [Sku21] Alexander A. Skutin, Maximal Lie subalgebras among locally nilpotent derivations, Sb. Math. 212:2 (2021), 265–271.
- [Smi89] Martha K. Smith, Stably tame automorphisms, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 58:2 (1989), 209–212.
- [Sno89] Denis Snow, Unipotent actions on affine space, in: Topological Methods in Algebraic Transformation Groups (New Brunswick, NJ, 1988), 165–176.
 Progr. Math., vol. 80, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [Sos07] Yury V. Sosnovskii, The hypercentral structure of the group of unitriangular automorphisms of a polynomial algebra, Siberian Math. J. 48:3 (2007), 555-558.

- [Spo07] Stanisław Spodzieja, On the Nagata automorphism, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. XIV (2007), 131–136.
- [Sta13] Immanuel Stampfli, A note on automorphisms of the affine Cremona group, Math. Res. Lett. 20:6 (2013), 1177–1181.
- [Umi07] Ualbai U. Umirbaev, *The Anick automorphism of free associative algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 605 (2007), 165–178.
- [Ure21] Christian Urech, Subgroups of elliptic elements of the Cremona group, J. Reine Angew. Math. 770 (2021), 27–57.
- [UZ21] Christian Urech and Susanna Zimmermann, Continuous automorphisms of Cremona groups, Int. J. Math. 32:4 (2021), Article ID 2150019, 17 p.
- [vdE00] Arno van den Essen, Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 190, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2000.
- [vdEKC21] Arno van den Essen, Shigeru Kuroda, and Anthony J. Crachiola, Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture. New results from the beginning of the 21st Century, in: Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Cham, Switzerland, 2021.
- [vdEMV07] Arno van den Essen, Stefan Maubach, and Stephane Vénéreau, The special automorphism group of $R[t]/(t^m)[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ and coordinates of a subring of $R[t][x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 210 (2007), 141–146.
- [vdK53] Wouter van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) 1 (1953), 33–41.
- [Win90] Jörg Winkelmann, On free holomorphic \mathbb{C}_+ -actions on \mathbb{C}^n and homogeneous Stein manifolds, Math. Ann. 286:1-3 (1990), 593–612.
- [Wri15] David Wright, The generalized amalgamated product structure of the tame automorphism group in dimension three, Transform. Groups 20:1 (2015), 291–304.
- [Wri17] David Wright, Amalgamation and automorphism groups, in: Algebraic varieties and automorphism groups, 465–474. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. vol. 75, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [Zyg11] Jakub Zygadło, Remarks on a normal subgroup of GA_n , Comm. Algebra 39:6 (2011), 1992–1996.

DEPARTEMENT MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BASEL, SPIEGELGASSE 1, CH-4051 BASEL, SWITZERLAND Email address: hanspeter.kraft@unibas.ch

INSTITUT FOURIER, UMR 5582, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE ALPES, CS 40700, 38058 GRENOBLE CEDEX 9, FRANCE Email address: mikhail.zaidenberg@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr