

Irreducibility and Rigidity in Digital Images

Laurence Boxer *

Abstract

We study some properties of irreducible and rigid digital images.

Key words and phrases: digital topology, digital image, freezing set, reducible, rigid

MSC: 54B20, 54C35

1 Introduction

The properties of irreducibility and rigidity in digital images were introduced in [12] and have been studied in subsequent papers, including [9, 4, 6, 7]. In the current work, we study implications of these properties for Cartesian products, wedges, and cold and freezing sets.

2 Preliminaries

We use \mathbb{N} for the set of natural numbers, \mathbb{Z} for the set of integers, and $\#X$ for the number of distinct members of X .

We typically denote a (binary) digital image as (X, κ) , where $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and κ represents an adjacency relation of pairs of points in X . Thus, (X, κ) is a graph, in which members of X may be thought of as black points, and members of $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus X$ as white points, of a picture of some “real world” object or scene.

2.1 Adjacencies

This section is largely quoted or paraphrased from [5].

Let $u, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq u \leq n$. A digital image X that satisfies $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in X$ are c_u -adjacent if and only if

- $x \neq y$, and

*Department of Computer and Information Sciences, Niagara University, NY 14109, USA
and
Department of Computer Science and Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo
email: boxer@niagara.edu

- for at most u indices i , $|x_i - y_i| = 1$, and
- for all indices j such that $|x_j - y_j| \neq 1$, we have $x_j = y_j$.

The c_u adjacencies are the adjacencies most used in digital topology, especially c_1 and c_n .

In low dimensions, it is also common to denote a c_u adjacency by the number of points that can have this adjacency with a given point in \mathbb{Z}^n . E.g.,

- For subsets of \mathbb{Z}^1 , c_1 -adjacency is 2-adjacency.
- For subsets of \mathbb{Z}^2 , c_1 -adjacency is 4-adjacency and c_2 -adjacency is 8-adjacency.
- For subsets of \mathbb{Z}^3 , c_1 -adjacency is 6-adjacency, c_2 -adjacency is 18-adjacency, and c_3 -adjacency is 26-adjacency.

We use the notations $y \leftrightarrow_\kappa x$, or, when the adjacency κ can be assumed, $y \leftrightarrow x$, to mean x and y are κ -adjacent. The notations $y \rightleftharpoons_\kappa x$, or, when κ can be assumed, $y \rightleftharpoons x$, mean either $y = x$ or $y \leftrightarrow_\kappa x$.

A sequence $P = \{y_i\}_{i=0}^m$ in a digital image (X, κ) is a κ -path from $a \in X$ to $b \in X$ if $a = y_0$, $b = y_m$, and $y_i \rightleftharpoons_\kappa y_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i < m$.

X is κ -connected [15], or *connected* when κ is understood, if for every pair of points $a, b \in X$ there exists a κ -path in X from a to b .

A (*digital*) κ -closed curve is a path $S = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{m-1}$ such that $s_0 \leftrightarrow_\kappa s_{m-1}$, and $i \neq j$ implies $s_i \neq s_j$. If also $0 \leq i < m$ implies

$$N(S, x_i, \kappa) = \{x_{(i-1) \bmod m}, x_{(i+1) \bmod m}\}$$

then S is a (*digital*) κ -simple closed curve.

2.2 Digitally continuous functions

This section is largely quoted or paraphrased from [5].

Digital continuity is defined to preserve connectedness, as at Definition 2.1 below. By using adjacency as our standard of “closeness,” we get Theorem 2.2 below.

Definition 2.1. [2] (generalizing a definition of [15]) Let (X, κ) and (Y, λ) be digital images. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is (κ, λ) -continuous if for every κ -connected $A \subset X$ we have that $f(A)$ is a λ -connected subset of Y .

When $X \cup Y \subset (Z^n, \kappa)$, we use the abbreviation κ -continuous for (κ, κ) -continuous.

When the adjacency relations are understood, we will simply say that f is continuous. Continuity can be expressed in terms of adjacency of points:

Theorem 2.2. [15, 2] A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if $x \leftrightarrow x'$ in X implies $f(x) \rightleftharpoons f(x')$.

See also [10, 11], where similar notions are referred to as *immersions*, *gradually varied operators*, and *gradually varied mappings*.

A digital *isomorphism* (called *homeomorphism* in [1]) is a (κ, λ) -continuous surjection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ is (λ, κ) -continuous.

A homotopy between continuous functions may be thought of as a continuous deformation of one of the functions into the other over a finite time period.

Definition 2.3. ([2]; see also [13]) Let X and Y be digital images. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be (κ, κ') -continuous functions. Suppose there is a positive integer m and a function $F : X \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$ such that

- for all $x \in X$, $F(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $F(x, m) = g(x)$;
- for all $x \in X$, the induced function $F_x : [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$F_x(t) = F(x, t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is $(2, \kappa')$ -continuous. That is, $F_x(t)$ is a path in Y .

- for all $t \in [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the induced function $F_t : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$F_t(x) = F(x, t) \text{ for all } x \in X$$

is (κ, κ') -continuous.

Then F is a digital (κ, κ') -homotopy between f and g , and f and g are digitally (κ, κ') -homotopic in Y . \square

Theorem 2.4. [3] Let S be a simple closed κ -curve and let $H : S \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow S$ be a (κ, κ) -homotopy between an isomorphism H_0 and $H_m = f$, where $f(S) \neq S$. Then $\#S = 4$.

The literature uses *path* polymorphically: a (c_1, κ) -continuous function $f : [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$ is a κ -path if $f([0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a κ -path from $f(0)$ to $f(m)$ as described above.

We use id_X to denote the *identity function*, $\text{id}_X(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$.

Given a digital image (X, κ) , we denote by $C(X, \kappa)$ the set of κ -continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow X$.

Given $f \in C(X, \kappa)$, a *fixed point* of f is a point $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = x$. $\text{Fix}(f)$ will denote the set of fixed points of f . We say f is a *retraction*, and the set $Y = f(X)$ is a *retract* of X , if $f|_Y = \text{id}_Y$; thus, $Y = \text{Fix}(f)$.

Definition 2.5. [4] Let (X, κ) be a digital image. We say $A \subset X$ is a *freezing set* for X if given $g \in C(X, \kappa)$, $A \subset \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $g = \text{id}_X$. A freezing set A is *minimal* if no proper subset of A is a freezing set for (X, κ) .

The following elementary assertion was noted in [4].

Lemma 2.6. Let (X, κ) be a connected digital image for which A is a freezing set. If $A \subset A' \subset X$, then A' is a freezing set for (X, κ) .

Let $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, where each $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each index i , the *projection map* (onto the i^{th} coordinate) $p_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $p_i(x) = x_i$.




Figure 1: [8] Example of a rigid digital image - a wedge of digital simple closed curves

2.3 Tools for determining fixed point sets

Theorem 2.7. [4] *Let A be a freezing set for the digital image (X, κ) and let $F : (X, \kappa) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ be an isomorphism. Then $F(A)$ is a freezing set for (Y, λ) .*

Proposition 2.8. [9] *Let (X, κ) be a digital image and $f \in C(X, \kappa)$. Suppose $x, x' \in \text{Fix}(f)$ are such that there is a unique shortest κ -path P in X from x to x' . Then $P \subset \text{Fix}(f)$.*

The following lemma may be understood as saying that if q and q' are adjacent with q in a given direction from q' , and if f pulls q further in that direction, then f also pulls q' in that direction.

Lemma 2.9. [4] *Let $(X, c_u) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a digital image, $1 \leq u \leq n$. Let $q, q' \in X$ be such that $q \leftrightarrow_{c_u} q'$. Let $f \in C(X, c_u)$.*

1. *If $p_i(f(q)) < p_i(q) < p_i(q')$ then $p_i(f(q')) < p_i(q')$.*
2. *If $p_i(f(q)) > p_i(q) > p_i(q')$ then $p_i(f(q')) > p_i(q')$.*

The following is an extension of Lemma 2.9 showing that a continuous function can pull a digital arc that is monotone with respect to a given coordinate i in the direction of monotonicity.

2.4 Irreducible and Rigid Images

Definition 2.10. [12] *A finite image X is reducible when it is homotopy equivalent to an image of fewer points. Otherwise, we say X is irreducible.*

Lemma 2.11. [12] *A finite image X is reducible if and only if id_X is homotopic to a nonsurjective map.*

Lemma 2.12. [12] *A finite image X is reducible if and only if id_X is homotopic in one step to a nonsurjective map.*

Definition 2.13. [12] *We say an image X is rigid if the only map homotopic to id_X is id_X .*

Figure 1 shows an example of a rigid digital image.

Proposition 2.14. [12] *A finite rigid digital image is irreducible.*

That the converse of Proposition 2.14 is not generally valid, is shown by the following example.

Example 2.15. [12] *A digital simple closed curve is irreducible but not rigid.*

3 Products

Theorem 3.1. [9] *Let (X_i, κ_i) be a digital image, $1 \leq i \leq v$. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^v X_i$. If $(X, NP_v(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_v))$ is rigid, then each (X_i, κ_i) is rigid.*

At Corollary 3.3 below, we obtain an analogous result for irreducible digital images.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X_i, κ_i) be a digital image, $1 \leq i \leq v$. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^v X_i$. If for some j , (X_j, κ_j) is reducible, then $(X, NP_v(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_v))$ is reducible.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.11, there is a κ_j -homotopy $H_j : X_j \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X_j$ from id_{X_j} to a nonsurjective map $f_j : X_j \rightarrow X_j$. For $i \neq j$, let $H_i : X_i \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X_i$ be the trivial homotopy $H_i(x_i, t) = x_i$. Then $H : X \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$, given by

$$H(x_1, \dots, x_v, t) = (H_1(x_1, t), \dots, H_v(x_v, t))$$

is an $NP_v(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_v)$ -homotopy from id_X to a nonsurjective map. The assertion follows from Lemma 2.11. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2, we have the following.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X_i, κ_i) be a digital image, $1 \leq i \leq v$. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^v X_i$. If $(X, NP_v(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_v))$ is irreducible, then each (X_i, κ_i) is irreducible.*

4 Wedges

Let $X \cup Y \subset (\mathbb{Z}^n, \kappa)$ such that there is a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $X \cap Y = \{x_0\}$. Suppose $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and $x \simeq_{\kappa} y$ imply $x_0 \in \{x, y\}$. Then $X' = X \cup Y$ is the $(\kappa-)$ wedge of X and Y , denoted $X' = X \vee Y$. We call x_0 the *wedge point* of X' .

In this section, we explore the preservation of irreducibility and of rigidity by the wedge construction.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(X, \kappa) = (X_0, \kappa) \vee (X_1, \kappa)$ where x_0 is the wedge point. The function $r : X \rightarrow X_0$ given by*

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in X_0; \\ x_0 & \text{if } x \notin X_0, \end{cases}$$

is κ -continuous and is a κ -retraction.

Proof. Elementary and left to the reader. \square

We have the following.

Theorem 4.2. [9] *Let $(X, \kappa) = (X_0, \kappa) \vee (X_1, \kappa)$ where x_0 is the wedge point. Suppose $\#X_0 > 1$ and $\#X_1 > 1$. Suppose (X_0, κ) and (X_1, κ) are both connected. If X_0 and X_1 are both rigid, then X is rigid.*

We obtain a similar result for the property of irreducibility in the following.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(X, \kappa) = (X_0, \kappa) \vee (X_1, \kappa)$ where x_0 is the wedge point, i.e., $\{x_0\} = X_0 \cap X_1$. Suppose $\#X_0 > 1$ and $\#X_1 > 1$. If X_0 and X_1 are both irreducible, then X is irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose otherwise. Then there is a digital homotopy $H : X \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$ between id_X and a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow X$ such that f is not a surjection. Without loss of generality, there exists $y \in X_0$ such that $y \notin f(X)$.

Let R be the retraction of Lemma 4.1. Then $R \circ H : X_0 \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X_0$ is a κ -homotopy from id_{X_0} to $R \circ f|_{X_0}$, and $y \notin R \circ f(X)|_{X_0}$. By Lemma 2.11, this is contrary to the assumption that X_0 is irreducible. The assertion follows. \square

The converse of Theorem 4.3 is not generally valid, as shown by Example 3.11 of [9].

Proposition 4.4. (Corollary 3.13 of [12]) *A digital simple closed curve of at least 5 points is irreducible but not rigid.*

For the following Theorems 4.5 and 4.6, we have

- $(X, \kappa) = (Y, \kappa) \vee (S, \kappa)$, where $\#Y > 1$, (Y, κ) is irreducible or rigid, and (S, κ) is a digital simple closed curve of at least 5 points.
- $S = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a circular listing of the members of S , where $s_0 = x_0$.
- Functions $R, R_1 : X \rightarrow X$ are given by

$$R(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x_0 & \text{if } x \in Y; \\ x & \text{if } x \in S, \end{array} \right\}, \quad R_1(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x_0 & \text{if } x \in S; \\ x & \text{if } x \in Y \end{array} \right\}.$$

- Given a homotopy $H : X \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$ from id_X to $f \in C(X, \kappa)$, let $G : S \times [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow S$ be given by

$$G(s, t) = R(H(s, t))$$

and let $G_1 : Y \times [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Y$ be given by

$$G_1(x, t) = R_1(H(x, t)).$$

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(X, \kappa) = (Y, \kappa) \vee (S, \kappa)$, where $\#Y > 1$, (Y, κ) is irreducible, and (S, κ) is a digital simple closed curve of at least 5 points. Then (X, κ) is irreducible.*

Proof. Let x_0 be the wedge point of X . We argue by contradiction. Suppose $f \in C(X, \kappa)$ such that $f(X) \neq X$ and there is a homotopy $H : X \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$ from id_X to f . Let

$$t_0 = \min\{t \in [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \mid H(X \times \{t\}) \neq X\}.$$

Then there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $x_1 \notin H(X \times \{t_0\})$.

- We must have $x_1 \neq x_0$, for otherwise we would have $H(X \times \{t_0\})$ disconnected.
- If $x_1 \in Y \setminus \{x_0\}$, then G_1 is a homotopy from id_Y to a non-surjective function, contrary to the assumption that Y is irreducible.
- If $x_1 \in S \setminus \{x_0\}$, then G is a homotopy from id_S to a non-surjective function, contrary to Proposition 4.4.

Since the cases above exhaust the possibilities and each yields a contradiction, the assertion follows. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $(X, \kappa) = (Y, \kappa) \vee (S, \kappa)$, where Y is finite and $\#Y > 1$, (Y, κ) is rigid, and (S, κ) is a digital simple closed curve of at least 5 points. Then (X, κ) is rigid.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose $f \in C(X, \kappa)$ such that $f \neq \text{id}_X$ and there is a homotopy $H : X \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$ from id_X to f . By Lemma 2.12, we may assume $m = 1$.

Let x_0 be the wedge point, i.e., $\{x_0\} = Y \cap S$, where $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ is a circular ordering of the distinct members of S . Consider the following cases.

- $f(x_0) = H(x_0, 1) \in Y \setminus \{x_0\}$. Then we must have $H(x_1, 1) = x_0$ or $H(x_n, 1) = x_0$; without loss of generality, assume the former.

By Lemma 4.1, R is a retraction of X to S . We have

$$R(f(x_0)) = x_0 = R(f(x_1)) \tag{1}$$

Then G is a homotopy from id_S to a map that is non-injective, hence non-surjective; this is impossible by Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 2.11.

- $f(x_0) = H(x_0, 1) \in S \setminus \{x_0\}$.

By Lemma 4.1, R_1 is a retraction. Since Y is connected and has more than 1 point, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $y \leftrightarrow x_0$. However, y is not adjacent to any member of S other than x_0 . Therefore, $H(y, 1) \in Y$. Hence

$$x_0 \leftrightarrow H(x_0, 1) \leftrightarrow H(y, 1) = x_0$$

and

$$G_1(x_0, 1) = R_1(H(x_0, 1)) = x_0 = R_1(H(y, 1)) \tag{2}$$

Then G_1 is a homotopy from id_Y to a map that, by (2), is not id_Y . This is impossible, since Y is rigid.

- $f(s) = H(s, 1) \in Y \setminus \{x_0\}$ for some $s \in S \setminus \{x_0\}$. This is impossible, as the only member of S that is within 1 step of $Y \setminus \{x_0\}$ is x_0 .
- $f(y) = H(y, 1) \in S \setminus \{x_0\}$ for some $y \in Y \setminus \{x_0\}$. This is impossible, as the only member of Y that is within 1 step of $S \setminus \{x_0\}$ is x_0 .
- $f(s_i) = H(s_i, 1) = s_j$ for some indices satisfying $i \neq j$. Without loss of generality, $i < j$. Then by continuity of the homotopy, $j = (i+1) \bmod n$. Consider the digital arc $P = \{s_k\}_{k=0}^i$. Since we must have $\#f(P) \leq \#P$, it follows that $f(P) \subset S$. On the other hand, $f(x_0) = f(s_0) \simeq s_0$. It follows that $f(x_0) = s_1 \in S \setminus \{x_0\}$, which, we saw above, is impossible.
- $f(y) = H(y, 1) \in Y \setminus \{y\}$ for some $y \in Y \setminus \{x_0\}$. Then G_1 is a homotopy from id_Y to a nonidentity function on Y ; this is impossible, since Y is rigid.

The hypotheses of the cases listed above exhaust all possibilities. Since each case yields a contradiction, we must have $f = \text{id}_X$. Thus (X, κ) is rigid. \square

5 Cold and freezing sets

Let (X, κ) be a digital image. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 0$. We say $f \in C(X, \kappa)$ is an n -map [7] if $x \in X$ implies there is a κ -path in X of length at most n from x to $f(x)$.

The following was observed in the proof of Proposition 2.20 of [7].

Lemma 5.1. *Let (X, κ) be a digital image. Let $f \in C(X, \kappa)$ be a 1-map. Then f is κ -homotopic to id .*

Proposition 5.2. [7] *Let (X, κ) be a connected rigid digital image. Then the only 1-map in $C(X, \kappa)$ is id_X .*

Theorem 5.3. [4] *Let (X, κ) be a connected rigid digital image. Then $A \subset X$ is a freezing set for (X, κ) if and only if A is a cold set for (X, κ) .*

The converse of Theorem 5.3 is not generally valid, as the following shows.

Example 5.4. Let $X = [0, 2]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then (X, c_1) is not rigid. However, each cold set for (X, c_1) is freezing.

Proof. It is easily seen that (X, c_1) is not rigid. It is easily seen that $A_1 = \{0, 2\}$ and X are cold sets that are freezing. We show there are no other cold sets by showing A_1 is contained in any cold set A for (X, c_1) .

Suppose $0 \notin A$. Then the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x = 0; \\ x & \text{if } x \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

satisfies $f \in C(X, c_1)$, $f|_A = \text{id}_A$, and $0 \not\equiv_{c_1} f(0)$. Thus A is not cold.

Similarly, if $2 \notin A$ then A is not cold. Thus $A_1 \subset A$. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Let (X, κ) be a digital image. Then X is rigid if and only if the only 1-map in $C(X, \kappa)$ is id_X .*

Proof. If X is rigid, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that the only 1-map in $C(X, \kappa)$ is id_X .

Suppose the only 1-map in $C(X, \kappa)$ is id_X . Let $H : X \times [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$ be a homotopy from id_X to $g \in C(X, \kappa)$. We argue by induction to show each induced map $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$ is id_X .

Clearly $H_0 = \text{id}_X$. Suppose $H_k = \text{id}_X$ for some k , $0 \leq k < m$. Then the continuity properties of the homotopy H imply H_{k+1} is a 1-map. By Proposition 5.2, $H_{k+1} = \text{id}_X$. This completes the induction.

Hence $g = H_m = \text{id}_X$. This shows X is rigid. \square

6 Further remarks

We have studied implications of the properties of irreducibility and rigidity in digital images for Cartesian products, wedges, and cold and freezing sets.

References

- [1] L. Boxer, Digitally continuous functions, *Pattern Recognition Letters* 15 (8) (1994), 833-839
- [2] L. Boxer, A classical construction for the digital fundamental group, *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision* 10 (1999), 51-62.
- [3] L. Boxer, Continuous maps on digital simple closed curves, *Applied Mathematics* 1 (2010), 377-386.
- [4] L. Boxer, Fixed point sets in digital topology, 2, *Applied General Topology* 21(1) (2020), 111-133
- [5] L. Boxer, Freezing sets for arbitrary digital dimension, *Mathematics* 10 (13) (2022), 2291.
- [6] L. Boxer, Cold and freezing sets in the digital plane, *Topology Proceedings* 61 (2023), 155 - 182
- [7] L. Boxer, Limiting sets in digital topology, *Note di Matematica* 43 (2) (2023), 27 - 47
- [8] L. Boxer and P.C. Staecker, Remarks on fixed point assertions in digital topology, *Applied General Topology* 20 (1) (2019), 135-153.
- [9] L. Boxer and P.C. Staecker, Fixed point sets in digital topology, 1, *Applied General Topology* 21 (1) (2020), 87-110
- [10] L. Chen, Gradually varied surfaces and its optimal uniform approximation, *SPIE Proceedings* 2182 (1994), 300-307.

- [11] L. Chen, *Discrete Surfaces and Manifolds*, Scientific Practical Computing, Rockville, MD, 2004
- [12] J. Haarmann, M.P. Murphy, C.S. Peters, and P.C. Staecker, Homotopy equivalence in finite digital images, *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision* 53 (2015), 288-302.
- [13] E. Khalimsky, Motion, deformation, and homotopy in finite spaces, in *Proceedings IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 1987, 227-234.
- [14] A. Rosenfeld, Digital topology, *The American Mathematical Monthly* 86 (8) (1979), 621 - 630
- [15] A. Rosenfeld, 'Continuous' functions on digital pictures, *Pattern Recognition Letters* 4, 1986, 177 - 184