

Orbifold Indices in Four Dimensions

Antonio Pittelli

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino,

Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino, Italy

INFN, Sezione di Torino, Via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy

March 20, 2024

Abstract

We introduce supersymmetric indices for four-dimensional gauge theories defined on $\mathcal{O} \times S^1$, where \mathcal{O} is a circle bundle over the weighted complex projective line informally known as spindle. Trivial fibrations yield a four-dimensional version of the spindle index, which we obtain by applying localization to partition functions of theories on the direct product of a spindle and a two-dimensional torus. Conversely, non-trivial fibrations lead to the branched lens index, which we compute by localizing theories on the direct product of a circle and a branched covering of the lens space, possibly endowed with conical singularities. The branched lens index encompasses the maximally refined four-dimensional lens index as a special case.

Contents

1	Introduction and Summary	2
2	Background Geometry	6
2.1	Supersymmetry on $\Sigma \times T^2$	6
2.2	Supersymmetry on $\Lambda \times S^1$	8
3	Localization	9
3.1	Four-Dimensional Spindle Index	10
3.2	Branched Lens Index	19

1 Introduction and Summary

Supersymmetric indices have found numerous compelling applications in both physics and mathematics, owing to their versatile and diverse equivalent formulations. For example, the index of a supersymmetric quantum system evolving over time on a manifold \mathcal{M} can be represented as a flavored Witten index, which is obtained by taking the trace computed over the corresponding Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}[\mathcal{M}]$ and weighting it by fugacities that encode the quantum numbers of the theory, along with $(-1)^F$, where F is the fermionic-number operator. This definition portrays the index as a tool for enumerating BPS states and categorizing them based on their representation within the symmetry algebra of the model. Consequently, it provides group-theoretical insights and deepens our understanding of the model's non-perturbative behavior, such as dynamical supersymmetry breaking [1] and hidden algebraic structures [2].

Moreover, the same object coincides with the index of a suitable transversally elliptic differential operator on $\mathcal{M} \times S^1$, which can be computed by means of equivariant integrals or fixed-point formulae [3–5], methods that have recently been applied also to supergravity theories [6–10]. This highlights the connection between supersymmetric indices, topological invariants and characteristic classes arising from the underlying geometry. Eventually, the supersymmetric index of a system quantized on \mathcal{M} can be expressed as the Euclidean path integral of the theory defined on $\mathcal{M} \times S^1$. This representation facilitates the computation via supersymmetric localization [11], an approach that has been successful across various scenarios, encompassing indices quantized on spheres [12–15], Riemann surfaces [16], manifolds with boundaries [17–19] and beyond [20–23]. These computations were crucial for validating gauge-gravity dualities [24–31].

Generalizing the aforementioned achievements, this paper presents orbifold indices for supersymmetric gauge theories formulated on $\mathcal{O} \times S^1$, with \mathcal{O} denoting a three-dimensional orbifold potentially endowed with conical singularities. This extends to four dimensions the partition functions of three-dimensional theories on $\Sigma \times S^1$ and Λ considered for the first time in [32, 33]. Singular orbifolds

are particularly important in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence, where they play the role of non-trivial saddle points of the gravitational path integral [34–52]. Our focus lies on maximally refined orbifold indices, thus we investigate cases where \mathcal{O} are circle bundles over a spindle $\Sigma = \mathbb{WCP}^1_{[n_+, n_-]}$, which preserve a $U(1)^3$ isometry on $\mathcal{O} \times S^1$. Previous studies explored the case of unrefined indices on circle fibrations over an orbifold Riemann surface [53]. If \mathcal{O} is a trivial circle fibration over Σ , the topology of \mathcal{O} is $\Sigma \times S^1$ and Euclidean path integrals of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories on topologically twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$ represent the four-dimensional uplift of the spindle index introduced in [32, 33]. On twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$ a chiral multiplet of R-charge r in a representation \mathfrak{R}_G of a gauge or flavour group G contributes to the orbifold index as

$$Z_{\Sigma \times T^2}^{\text{CM}} = \prod_{\rho \in \mathfrak{R}_G} e^{2\pi i \Psi^{\text{CM}}(\rho(\mathbf{m}), \rho(\gamma_G))} \frac{\Gamma_e\left(z^{-\rho} q^{\frac{1}{2}[1-\mathbf{b}(\rho(\mathbf{m}))]}; q, p\right)}{\Gamma_e\left(z^{-\rho} q^{\frac{1}{2}[1+\mathbf{b}(\rho(\mathbf{m}))]}; q, p\right)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the integers \mathbf{m} parametrize gauge or flavour fluxes in the co-root lattice $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , $z = e^{2\pi i \gamma_G}$ are fugacities for the gauge¹ or flavour symmetry G , the phase factor Ψ^{CM} is reported in the main text, $q = e^{2\pi i \omega}$ is the fugacity for the angular momentum on the spindle, $p = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ the fugacity for translations on the torus with modular parameter τ , Γ_e is the elliptic Gamma function and \mathbf{b} is the effective flux introduced in [32, 33], which is related to the degree of the line bundle² $L = \mathcal{O}(-\mathbf{m} - (r/2)(n_+ + n_-))$ on Σ via $\mathbf{b} = 1 + \text{deg}(L)$. Subsequently, $Z_{\Sigma \times T^2}^{\text{VM}}$ is obtained from $Z_{\Sigma \times T^2}^{\text{CM}}$ after setting $r = 2$ and $\mathfrak{R}_G = \text{adj}_G$. To ensure consistency, the result (1.1) was computed by using two distinct techniques, namely the equivariant index theorem on orbifolds [55, 56] and the eigenvalue pairing method. Both approaches yielded identical results, confirming the reliability of the analysis. The representation of partition functions on $\Sigma \times T^2$ in terms of a Witten index is

$$I_{\Sigma \times T^2} = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}[\Sigma \times S^1]} \left[(-1)^{\mathcal{F}} e^{-2\pi \mathcal{H}} \right] = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}[\Sigma \times S^1]} \left[(-1)^{\mathcal{F}} z_i^{-\mathcal{Q}_i} q^{\mathcal{J}} p^{-\mathcal{P}} \right], \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathcal{H}[\Sigma \times S^1]$ is the Hilbert space of the system on $\Sigma \times S^1$, \mathcal{H} is the Hamiltonian, $z_i = e^{-2\pi i \Phi_i}$ encode gauge, flavour and R-symmetry fugacities; \mathcal{J} is the generator of angular momentum on the spindle while \mathcal{P} generates translations on the torus. In particular, the effective R-symmetry fugacity emerging from the localization procedure is γ_R , satisfying the constraint

$$\gamma_R - \omega \frac{\chi_-}{4} - \tau \frac{n_1}{2} = \frac{n_2}{2}, \quad \chi_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{n_-} + \sigma \frac{1}{n_+}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$ for twist and anti-twist respectively and the integers n_1, n_2 are related to the periodicity of spinors on the torus. The calculation of path integrals on topologically twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$ naturally generalizes the results obtained in [14] and [15], where the partition functions of quantum field theories on topologically twisted $S^2 \times T^2$ were computed. These partition functions are intimately linked

¹In the partition function, fugacities corresponding to gauge symmetries are integrated over a complex contour \mathcal{C} fixed e.g. by the Jeffrey-Kirwan procedure [54].

²The product $r(n_+ + n_-)$ need be even for L to be well defined.

to the elliptic genus of $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$ gauge theories on T^2 , as discussed in [57, 58]. We anticipate similar connections between two-dimensional theories on the torus and four-dimensional theories on $\Sigma \times T^2$. Holographically, the partition functions of gauge theories on topologically twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$ should correspond to supergravity theories with a near-horizon geometry described by $\text{AdS}_3 \times \Sigma$ with R-symmetry twist, as discussed in [38].

On the other hand, if \mathcal{O} is a non-trivial circle bundle over Σ , it generally is a branched covering of the lens space, which we denote as \mathbb{A} . The orbifold \mathbb{A} is characterized by three positive integers (n_+, n_-, n) , where the first two encode the conical singularities of the spindle base, while the third labels the flux of the fibration. In particular, the branched lens space becomes a three-sphere if $n = 1$, whereas \mathbb{A} reduces to the smooth lens space $L(n, 1) \equiv S^3/\mathbb{Z}_n$ if $n_+ = n_- = 1$. Partition functions of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories on \mathbb{A} were calculated in [33], while in this article we undertake the computation of the Euclidean path integral of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories on $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$. In this case, the one-loop determinant of a chiral multiplet transforming in \mathfrak{R}_G is given by the following ratio of q -Pochhammer symbols:

$$Z_{\mathbb{A} \times S^1}^{\text{CM}} = \prod_{\rho \in \mathfrak{R}_G} e^{2\pi i \Psi^{\text{CM}}[\rho(\gamma_G), \rho(\mathfrak{h})]} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{i \in \mathbb{J}_+(j, \rho(\mathfrak{h}))} \left(q_1^{(1+j)/n} q_2^{(1+i)/n} z^{-\rho}; q_1, q_2 \right)_{\infty}}{\prod_{k \in \mathbb{J}_-(j, \rho(\mathfrak{h}))} \left(q_1^{k/n} q_2^{j/n} z^{\rho}; q_1, q_2 \right)_{\infty}}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathfrak{h} = 0, \dots, (n-1)$ is an integer in \mathbb{Z}_n , $q_{1,2} = e^{2\pi i \omega_{1,2}}$ are fugacities for the angular momentum on \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{J}_{\pm} are subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_{n_{\pm}}$, respectively. The fundamental degrees of freedom of gauge theories on $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$ are counted by the Witten index

$$I_{\mathbb{A} \times S^1} = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}[\mathbb{A}]} \left[(-1)^{\mathcal{F}} e^{-2\pi \mathcal{H}} \right] = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}[\mathbb{A}]} \left[(-1)^{\mathcal{F}} z_i^{-\mathcal{Q}_i} q_1^{-\frac{n_+}{n} \mathcal{J}_{\varphi} + t_+ \mathcal{J}_{\psi}} q_2^{-\frac{n_-}{n} \mathcal{J}_{\varphi} + t_- \mathcal{J}_{\psi}} \right], \quad (1.5)$$

with $\mathcal{H}[\mathbb{A}]$ being the Hilbert space of states quantized on \mathbb{A} , \mathcal{J}_{φ} generates rotation of the base of the fibration Σ and \mathcal{J}_{ψ} represents translations along the S^1 fiber. In the case of $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$ the effective R-symmetry fugacity fulfils

$$\gamma_R - \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2n} = \frac{n_3}{2}, \quad n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.6)$$

where the integer n_3 is even (odd) if Killing spinors are periodic (anti-periodic) on the circle.

Promising directions for future investigation encompass exploring the large- N limit of orbifold indices of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory with $SU(N)$ gauge group, as well as quiver gauge theories with holographic duals. In the context of $\Sigma \times T^2$, such a limit is expected to establish connections with BPS black strings in AdS_5 , extending previous findings pertaining to $S^2 \times T^2$ [14, 59–61]. Similarly, exploring the large- N limit of maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills on $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$ should reproduce the entropy of asymptotically AdS_5 black holes featuring an $\text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{A}$ near-horizon geometry.

This generalization to orbifolds builds upon prior investigations concerning the four-dimensional superconformal index [28–31, 62], with the constraints (1.3) and (1.6) potentially holding interpretations in terms of angular momenta and electromagnetic potential of the supergravity dual.

Another intriguing avenue involves the first-principles derivation of partition functions of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories on $\Sigma \times T^2$ with R-symmetry anti-twist on Σ , corresponding to the four-dimensional uplift of the anti-twisted spindle index in three dimensions. Building on [32], it may be conjectured that $Z_{\Sigma \times T^2}^{\text{CM}}|_{\text{anti-twist}}$ is given by the general expression

$$Z_{\Sigma \times T^2}^{\text{CM},(\sigma)} = \prod_{\rho \in \mathfrak{R}_G} e^{2\pi i \Psi^{\text{CM},(\sigma)}(\rho(\mathfrak{m}), \rho(\gamma_G))} \frac{\Gamma_e\left(z^{-\sigma\rho} q^{\frac{\sigma}{2}[1-\mathfrak{b}(\rho(\mathfrak{m}))]}; q, p\right)}{\Gamma_e\left(z^{-\rho} q^{\frac{1}{2}[1+\mathfrak{b}(\rho(\mathfrak{m}))]}; q, p\right)}, \quad (1.7)$$

upon setting $\sigma = -1$. The constraint fulfilled by the effective R-symmetry fugacity γ_R for an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric theory on $\Sigma \times T^2$ with either twist or anti-twist should be

$$\gamma_R - \omega \frac{\chi - \sigma}{4} - \tau \frac{n_1}{2} = \frac{n_2}{2}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.8)$$

with $\sigma = \pm 1$ corresponding to twisted and anti-twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$, respectively. According to the AdS/CFT duality, the large- N limit of (1.8) should relate to the supergravity solutions found and investigated in [?, 34, 38, 64]. However, we could not find solutions to the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Conformal Killing spinor equations on anti-twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$, in agreement with [63]. Although the gravitational duals of the direct product between a spindle and a torus have been extensively studied [34, 38, 64], a direct validation of (1.7) with $\sigma = -1$ through a localization computation starting from a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ rigid supersymmetric background on $\Sigma \times T^2$ with R-symmetry anti-twist on Σ , remains pending.

Eventually, the computation of the 1-loop determinant on $\Sigma \times T^2$ via index theorem inherently decomposes the result into distinct blocks, as delineated in (3.58) and (3.66). A similar phenomenon was observed in [32, 33]. A compelling avenue for further investigation involves probing the relationship between such blocks and partition functions on orbifolds with boundaries, such as $(D^2/\mathbb{Z}_n) \times (S^1)^{\times m}$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and D^2 being a disk or a hemisphere. This would provide the orbifold extension of holomorphic blocks [65].

Outline. In Section 2 we set up the background geometry by introducing a convenient line element on $\Sigma \times T^2$ and $\Lambda \times S^1$. Subsequently, we proceed to solve the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal Killing spinor equations on both geometries, finding that non-trivial $U(1)$ R-symmetry connections are necessary in order to preserve supersymmetry. Furthermore, we determine the background fields necessary for these conformal Killing spinors to satisfy the Killing spinor equations derived from the rigid limit of new minimal supergravity. In Section 3 we perform the computation of partition functions for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories, consisting of vector and chiral multiplets on $\Sigma \times T^2$ and $\Lambda \times S^1$, by employing supersymmetric localization. Especially, we evaluate one-loop determinants on topologically twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$ using two distinct methodologies: the method of eigenvalue pairing and the index theorem on orbifolds. We corroborate our conjecture for one-loop determinants on anti-twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$ by means of index formulae.

Acknowledgements. We thank Fabrizio Nieri, Dario Martelli and Alberto Zaffaroni for illuminating discussions and useful comments on a draft of this paper.

2 Background Geometry

2.1 Supersymmetry on $\Sigma \times T^2$

We now derive the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric background on topologically twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$. In analogy with [14] we employ

$$ds^2 = L^2 \left\{ f^2 d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta (d\varphi + \Omega_3 dx + \Omega_4 dy)^2 + \beta^2 \left[(dx + \tau_1 dy)^2 + \tau_2^2 dy^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

as a line element on $\Sigma \times T^2$, where $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ represent the latitude and the longitude on the spindle Σ , respectively; while $x, y \in [0, 2\pi)$ are coordinates on the two circles of the two-torus T^2 with modular parameter $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. The constant $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ encodes the dimension of T^2 in units of L , which is the equatorial radius of the spindle. The real parameters $\Omega_{3,4}$ will appear in the partition function in the form of the complex linear combination $\omega = \tau\Omega_3 - \Omega_4$, with $q = e^{2\pi i\omega}$ playing the role of fugacity for the angular momentum on Σ . The function $f = f(\theta)$ in (2.1) fulfils $f(0) = n_+$ and $f(\pi) = n_-$. Such behaviour is consistent with the tangent space of Σ being \mathbb{C}/n_{\pm} at the north and south pole of the spindle. Furthermore,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{g_{\Sigma}} R_{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n_-} + \frac{1}{n_+} = \chi_+ = \chi, \quad (2.2)$$

where χ is the Euler characteristic of the spindle $\Sigma = \mathbb{WCP}^1_{[n_+, n_-]}$. In the frame

$$\begin{aligned} e^1 &= Lf, \\ e^2 &= L \sin \theta (d\varphi + \Omega_3 dx + \Omega_4 dy), \\ e^3 &= L\beta(dx + \tau_1 dy), \\ e^4 &= L\beta\tau_2 dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

the spinors

$$\zeta_{\alpha} = k_{\zeta} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_2 \varphi + \alpha_3 x + \alpha_4 y)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha}, \quad \tilde{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}} = k_{\zeta} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_2 \varphi + \alpha_3 x + \alpha_4 y)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (2.4)$$

with k_{ζ} being a normalization and $\alpha_{2,3,4}$ constant phases, solve the Killing,

$$(\nabla_{\mu} - iA_{\mu})\zeta + iV_{\mu}\zeta + iV^{\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\zeta = 0, \quad (\nabla_{\mu} + iA_{\mu})\tilde{\zeta} - iV_{\mu}\tilde{\zeta} - iV^{\nu}\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\zeta} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

and the Conformal Killing spinor equations

$$(\nabla_{\mu} - iA_{\mu}^C)\zeta + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_{\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu}(\nabla_{\nu} - iA_{\nu}^C)\zeta = 0, \quad (\nabla_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^C)\tilde{\zeta} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu}\sigma^{\nu}(\nabla_{\nu} + iA_{\nu}^C)\tilde{\zeta} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

if the background fields

$$\begin{aligned}
A^C &= \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{12} + \alpha_2 d\varphi + \alpha_3 dx + \alpha_4 dy) , \\
V &= L\beta\kappa(dx + \tau dy) , \\
A &= A^C + \frac{3}{2}V ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

are turned on, where

$$\omega_{12} = -f^{-1} \cos\theta(d\varphi + \Omega_3 dx + \Omega_4 dy) , \tag{2.8}$$

is a non-trivial component of the spin-connection. The flux of the R-symmetry connection satisfies

$$\mathfrak{f}_R = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} dA = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n_-} + \frac{1}{n_+} \right) = \frac{\chi_+}{2} = \frac{\chi}{2} , \tag{2.9}$$

as it behooves the topological twist on Σ . If $\alpha_{3,4} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then ζ and $\tilde{\zeta}$ are (anti-)periodic along x, y . The background fields A^C and A are smooth in the northern patch of Σ if $\alpha_2 = 1/n_+$, which is the value making the components A_φ^C and A_φ vanish at $\theta = 0$. Analogously, A^C and A exhibit smoothness in the southern patch of Σ if $\alpha_2 = -1/n_-$. Out of $\zeta, \tilde{\zeta}$ and their Hermitean conjugate the bilinears

$$K^\mu = \zeta\sigma^\mu\tilde{\zeta} , \quad Y^\mu = \frac{\zeta\sigma^\mu\tilde{\zeta}^\dagger}{2\tilde{\zeta}^\dagger\tilde{\zeta}} , \quad \tilde{Y}^\mu = -\frac{\zeta^\dagger\sigma^\mu\tilde{\zeta}}{2\zeta^\dagger\zeta} , \quad \tilde{K}^\mu = \frac{\zeta^\dagger\sigma^\mu\tilde{\zeta}^\dagger}{4(\zeta^\dagger\zeta)(\tilde{\zeta}^\dagger\tilde{\zeta})} , \tag{2.10}$$

can be built, which allows to rewrite the supersymmetry transformation as a cohomological complex [14, 18]. For example, the Killing vector $K^\mu = \zeta\sigma^\mu\tilde{\zeta}$ reads

$$K = K^\mu\partial_\mu = k_0(\omega\partial_\varphi - \tau\partial_x + \partial_y) , \quad k_0 = \frac{ik_\zeta^2}{L\beta\tau_2} , \tag{2.11}$$

while $Y = Y^\mu\partial_\mu$ is

$$Y = -(2L)^{-1} e^{i(\alpha_2\varphi + \alpha_3x + \alpha_4y)} [f^{-1}\partial_\theta + i(\csc\theta)\partial_\varphi] . \tag{2.12}$$

The Lie derivatives of the Killing spinors along K are

$$\mathcal{L}_K\zeta = i\Phi_R\zeta , \quad \mathcal{L}_K\tilde{\zeta} = -i\Phi_R\tilde{\zeta} , \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$\Phi_R = \iota_K A = \frac{k_0}{2}(\omega\alpha_2 - \tau\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) . \tag{2.14}$$

2.2 Supersymmetry on $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$

We now derive the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ rigid supergravity background on twisted $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$. On $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$ we employ the line element

$$ds^2 = L^2 \left[f^2 d\vartheta^2 + b_1^2 \sin^2 \vartheta^2 \left(t_- d\varphi + \frac{n_- d\psi}{n} \right)^2 + b_2^2 \cos^2 \vartheta^2 \left(t_+ d\varphi + \frac{n_+ d\psi}{n} \right)^2 + \beta^2 dt^2 \right], \quad (2.15)$$

with $\vartheta \in [0, \pi/2]$ and $\varphi, \psi, t \in [0, 2\pi)$. The parameter β is the radius of S^1 ; the integers n, t_+, t_-, n_+, n_- fulfil $n \geq 1$, $\gcd(n_+, n_-) = 1$ and $(n_+ t_- - n_- t_+) = 1$; while b_1, b_2 are squashing parameters that induce a refinement of the partition function and the squashing function $f = f(\vartheta)$ satisfies $f(0) = b_1$ and $f(\pi/2) = b_2$. The line element (2.15) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= L^2 \left[f^2 d\vartheta^2 + h_{11} d\varphi^2 + h_{22} (A^{(1)} + d\psi)^2 + \beta^2 dt^2 \right], \\ h_{11} &= \frac{b_1^2 b_2^2 \sin^2(2\vartheta)}{4(n_-^2 b_1^2 \sin^2 \vartheta + n_+^2 b_2^2 \cos^2 \vartheta)}, \\ h_{22} &= n^{-2} (n_+^2 b_2^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + n_-^2 b_1^2 \sin^2 \vartheta), \\ A^{(1)} &= \frac{n(n_+ t_+ b_2^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + n_- t_- b_1^2 \sin^2 \vartheta)}{n_+^2 b_2^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + n_-^2 b_1^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} d\varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

with

$$ds^2|_{\Sigma} = L^2 (f^2 d\vartheta^2 + h_{11} d\varphi^2), \quad \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d\vartheta d\varphi \sqrt{g_{\Sigma}} R_{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n_-} + \frac{1}{n_+}, \quad (2.17)$$

manifestly expressing \mathbb{A} as a non-trivial circle fibration over a spindle Σ , with flux

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} dA^{(1)} = \frac{n t_-}{n_-} - \frac{n t_+}{n_+} = \frac{n}{n_+ n_-}. \quad (2.18)$$

The branched lens space \mathbb{A} displays genuine orbifold singularities whenever $\gcd(n t_+, n_+) \neq 1$ or $\gcd(n t_-, n_-) \neq 1$ [33]. We adopt the frame

$$\begin{aligned} e^1 &= L f, \\ e^2 &= L b_1 \sin \vartheta \left(t_- d\varphi + \frac{n_- d\psi}{n} \right), \\ e^3 &= L b_2 \cos \vartheta \left(t_+ d\varphi + \frac{n_+ d\psi}{n} \right), \\ e^4 &= L \beta dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where the spinors

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\alpha} &= k_{\zeta} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_2 \varphi + \alpha_3 \psi + \alpha_4 t)} \begin{pmatrix} -i \cos(\vartheta/2) \\ -\sin(\vartheta/2) \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha}, \\ \tilde{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= k_{\zeta} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_2 \varphi + \alpha_3 \psi + \alpha_4 t)} \begin{pmatrix} -i \sin(\vartheta/2) \\ \cos(\vartheta/2) \end{pmatrix}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

solve both the Killing and the Conformal Killing spinor equations with background fields

$$\begin{aligned}
A^C &= \left(\alpha_2 + \frac{t_+ b_2 - t_- b_1}{f} \right) \frac{d\varphi}{2} + \left(\alpha_3 + \frac{n_+ b_2 - n_- b_1}{f} \right) \frac{d\psi}{2} + \left(\alpha_4 - \frac{i\beta}{f} \right) \frac{dt}{2}, \\
V &= \frac{b_1 \sin^2 \vartheta (nt_- d\varphi + n_- d\psi) - b_2 \cos^2 \vartheta (nt_+ d\varphi + n_+ d\psi)}{nf} + \kappa K^\flat, \\
A &= A^C + \frac{3}{2} V,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where K^\flat is the 1-form dual to the Killing vector $K^\mu \partial_\mu = (\zeta \sigma^\mu \tilde{\zeta}) \partial_\mu$, which reads

$$K = K^\mu \partial_\mu = k_0 \left[i\beta \left(\frac{n_+}{b_1} + \frac{n_-}{b_2} \right) \partial_\varphi - i n \beta \left(\frac{t_+}{b_1} + \frac{t_-}{b_2} \right) \partial_\psi + \partial_t \right], \quad k_0 = \frac{k_\zeta^2}{L\beta}. \tag{2.22}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y = Y^\mu \partial_\mu &= e^{i(\alpha_2 \varphi + \alpha_3 \psi + \alpha_4 t)} \left\{ \frac{i\partial_\vartheta}{2Lf} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n_+ b_1^{-1} \cot \vartheta - n_- b_1^{-1} \tan \vartheta}{2L} \partial_\varphi + \frac{n(t_+ b_1^{-1} \cot \vartheta - t_- b_1^{-1} \tan \vartheta)}{2L} \partial_\psi \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

If $\alpha_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then ζ and $\tilde{\zeta}$ are (anti-)periodic along t . The 1-forms A^C and A are smooth on $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$ if $\alpha_2 = t_- - t_+$ and $\alpha_3 = (n_- - n_+)/n$, giving

$$\Phi_R = \iota_K A = \frac{k_0}{2} \left(\frac{i\beta}{b_1} + \frac{i\beta}{b_2} + \alpha_4 \right). \tag{2.24}$$

3 Localization

In this section we perform localization for vector and chiral multiplets on $\Sigma \times T^2$ and $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$. In general, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector multiplets contain a gauge field a_μ , chiral gauginos $\lambda_\alpha, \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ and an auxiliary field D , all in the adjoint representation of a gauge group G . The R-charges of the tuple $(a_\mu, \lambda, \tilde{\lambda}, D) \in \text{adj}_G$ are $(0, +1, -1, 0)$, respectively, with adj_G being the adjoint representation of the gauge group G . On the other hand, matter fields are encoded by $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral multiplets, which consist of a complex scalar ϕ , a Weyl spinor ψ_α and an auxiliary field F with R-charges $(r, r - 1, r - 2)$ and transforming in a representation \mathfrak{R}_G of G . We use the definitions and conventions reported in [23], where supersymmetry variations, covariant derivatives, reality conditions, Lagrangians and cohomological fields for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector and chiral multiplets on an arbitrary supersymmetric background were reported.

We now compute the partition function of gauge theories coupled to matter via supersymmetric localization [11]. We focus on Abelian gauge theories as the generalization to the non-Abelian case is straightforward. We start by deriving the supersymmetric locus solving the BPS equations; then, we will compute the 1-loop determinant of the fluctuations over the BPS locus.

3.1 Four-Dimensional Spindle Index

BPS locus. On the BPS locus we have $\lambda|_{\text{BPS}} = \tilde{\lambda}|_{\text{BPS}} = 0$ and $\delta\lambda|_{\text{BPS}} = \delta\tilde{\lambda}|_{\text{BPS}} = 0$. Let

$$a = \left(a_\varphi + \frac{\beta_2}{2}\right)d\varphi + \left(a_x + \frac{\beta_3}{2}\right)dx + \left(a_y + \frac{\beta_4}{2}\right)dy, \quad (3.1)$$

be the ansatz for the BPS gauge field a_μ of the vector multiplet, with $a_{\phi,x,y}$ being functions of θ only and $\beta_{2,3,4}$ being constant flat connections. We impose

$$a_\varphi(0) = \frac{\mathbf{m}_+}{n_+}, \quad a_\varphi(\pi) = \frac{\mathbf{m}_-}{n_-}, \quad (3.2)$$

so that the gauge flux through Σ is

$$f_G = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Sigma da = \frac{\mathbf{m}_-}{n_-} - \frac{\mathbf{m}_+}{n_+} = \frac{\mathbf{m}}{n_+n_-}, \quad \mathbf{m} = n_+\mathbf{m}_- - n_-\mathbf{m}_+, \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, $a|_\Sigma$ represents a $\mathcal{O}(-\mathbf{m}) = \mathcal{O}(n_-\mathbf{m}_+ - n_+\mathbf{m}_-)$ orbibundle. The BPS equations for a read

$$\iota_K(da) = \mathcal{L}_K a - d(\iota_K a) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where \mathcal{L}_K is the Lie derivative along the vector K . The equation above immediately implies

$$a_y = a_0 - \omega a_\varphi + \tau a_x, \quad (3.5)$$

where a_0 is a constant. Then, the BPS value of $\iota_K a$ is

$$\Phi_G = \iota_K a|_{\text{BPS}} = \frac{k_0}{2}(\omega\beta_2 - \tau\beta_3 + \beta_4 + 2a_0). \quad (3.6)$$

The other BPS equations determine the form of the auxiliary field D :

$$D|_{\text{BPS}} = 2iY^\mu \tilde{Y}^\nu f_{\mu\nu}|_{\text{BPS}} = \frac{\text{csc } \theta}{L^2 f} a'_\varphi(\theta). \quad (3.7)$$

As for the chiral-multiplet BPS locus, the vanishing of the supersymmetry variations $\psi|_{\text{BPS}} = \tilde{\psi}|_{\text{BPS}} = \delta\psi|_{\text{BPS}} = \delta\tilde{\psi}|_{\text{BPS}} = 0$ implies $\phi|_{\text{BPS}} = \tilde{\phi}|_{\text{BPS}} = 0$ for arbitrary values of $\Phi_{R,G}$.

One-loop determinant. We mostly deal with 1-loop determinants for chiral multiplets as those for the vector multiplet can be obtained from that of an adjoint chiral with R-charge $r = 2$. The 1-loop determinant is given by

$$Z_{1\text{-L}} = \frac{\det_{\ker L_Y} \delta^2}{\det_{\ker L_{\tilde{Y}}} \delta^2}, \quad (3.8)$$

where the differential operators $L_Y = Y^\mu D_\mu$, $L_{\tilde{Y}} = \tilde{Y}^\mu D_\mu$ are the contractions of the spinor bilinears Y and \tilde{Y} with the covariant derivative D_μ . Furthermore,

$$\delta^2 = 2i(\mathcal{L}_K - i\hat{q}_R \Phi_R - i\hat{q}_G \Phi_G), \quad (3.9)$$

with $\widehat{q}_{R,G}$ representing the charge operators for R-symmetry and gauge/flavor-symmetry, respectively, acting on a field X as $\widehat{q}_{R,G}X = q_{R,G}^X X$. Eigenfunctions of δ^2 in the kernel of $L_{\widehat{\gamma}}$ have the form

$$\Phi = e^{i(n_\varphi\varphi + n_x x + n_y y)} \Phi_0(\theta) , \quad (3.10)$$

with eigenvalue

$$\lambda_\Phi = 2 \left[k_0(-\omega n_\varphi + \tau n_x - n_y) + q_R^\Phi \Phi_R + q_G \Phi_G \right] , \quad (3.11)$$

and $\Phi_0(\theta)$ fixed by the differential equation

$$L_{\widehat{\gamma}} \Phi = 0 . \quad (3.12)$$

The function $\Phi_0(\theta)$ exhibits regularity at the north pole of Σ if it remains non-divergent at $\theta = 0$, a condition satisfied if

$$n_\varphi \leq q_R^\Phi \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{2} - \frac{1}{2n_+} \right) + q_G \left(\frac{\beta_2}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{m}_+}{n_+} \right) , \quad (3.13)$$

while non-singularity of $\Phi_0(\theta)$ at the south pole of Σ at $\theta = \pi$ requires

$$n_\varphi \geq q_R^\Phi \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \frac{1}{2n_-} \right) + q_G \left(\frac{\beta_2}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{m}_-}{n_-} \right) . \quad (3.14)$$

The field Φ has a twisted periodicity along ϕ consistent with that of the Killing spinors if

$$n_\varphi = n'_\varphi + q_R^\Phi \frac{\alpha_2}{2} , \quad n'_\varphi \in \mathbb{Z} . \quad (3.15)$$

Generalizing the latter to the gauge/flavour bundle yields

$$n_\varphi = i_\varphi + q_R^\Phi \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + q_G \frac{\beta_2}{2} , \quad i_\varphi \in \mathbb{Z} . \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\left\lfloor \frac{(q_R^\Phi/2) + q_G \mathbf{m}_-}{n_-} \right\rfloor \leq i_\varphi \leq \left\lceil \frac{-(q_R^\Phi/2) + q_G \mathbf{m}_+}{n_+} \right\rceil , \quad (3.17)$$

where $\lfloor \bullet \rfloor$ and $\lceil \bullet \rceil$ are the floor and the ceiling of \bullet , respectively. Regularity imposes no constraints on the Fourier modes on T^2 , n_x, n_y , which we take as integers: $n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given $q_G \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q_R^\Phi = r$ for a chiral-multiplet scalar, it is convenient to define the collective integers

$$\mathbf{p}_+ = q_G \mathbf{m}_+ - \sigma(r/2) , \quad \mathbf{p}_- = q_G \mathbf{m}_- + (r/2) , \quad (3.18)$$

giving

$$-\lfloor -\mathbf{p}_-/n_- \rfloor \leq i_\varphi \leq \lfloor \mathbf{p}_+/n_+ \rfloor . \quad (3.19)$$

If

$$[\mathbf{p}_+/n_+] + [-\mathbf{p}_-/n_-] \geq 0 , \quad (3.20)$$

then the modes Φ of R-charge r contribute to Z_{1-L} by

$$Z_\Phi = \prod_{i_\varphi = -[\mathbf{p}_-/n_-]}^{[\mathbf{p}_+/n_+]} \prod_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{-\omega i_\varphi + \tau n_x - n_y + \frac{r}{2}(-\tau\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + q_G \left(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \right)} . \quad (3.21)$$

By employing the linear change of variables

$$i_\varphi = -h_\varphi + [\mathbf{p}_+/n_+] , \quad 0 \leq h_\varphi \leq \mathbf{b} - 1 , \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$\mathbf{b} = 1 + \sigma \left[\sigma \frac{\mathbf{m} t_+ - (r/2)t_+}{n_+} \right] + \left[-\frac{\mathbf{m} t_- + (r/2)t_-}{n_-} \right] , \quad n_+ t_- - n_- t_+ = 1 , \quad t_\pm \in \mathbb{Z} , \quad (3.23)$$

was introduced in [32, 33]. We find

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\Phi &= \prod_{h_\varphi=0}^{\mathbf{b}-1} \prod_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\omega \left(h_\varphi - \left[\frac{\mathbf{p}_+}{n_+} \right] \right) + \tau n_x - n_y + \frac{r}{2}(-\tau\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + q_G \left(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \right) \right]^{-1} , \\ &= \prod_{h_\varphi=0}^{\mathbf{b}-1} \prod_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\omega \left(h_\varphi + q_i^\Phi \frac{f_i}{2} + \frac{\llbracket \mathbf{p}_+ \rrbracket_{n_+}}{n_+} \right) + \tau n_x - n_y - q_i^\Phi \gamma_i \right]^{-1} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

with $\llbracket \bullet \rrbracket_\diamond$ being the reminder of the integer division of \bullet by \diamond and

$$\begin{aligned} q_i^\Phi \gamma_i &= q_R^\Phi \gamma_R + q_G \gamma_G = r \gamma_R + q_G \gamma_G , \\ \gamma_R &= \omega \frac{\chi_-}{4} + \tau \frac{\alpha_3}{2} - \frac{\alpha_4}{2} , \\ \gamma_G &= \frac{\omega}{2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}_-}{n_-} + \frac{\mathbf{m}_+}{n_+} \right) + \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} - \frac{\beta_4}{2} - a_0 . \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

As

$$q_i^\Phi \frac{f_i}{2} + \frac{\llbracket \mathbf{p}_+ \rrbracket_{n_+}}{n_+} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}) , \quad \mathbf{c} = \frac{\llbracket -\mathbf{p}_- \rrbracket_{n_-}}{n_-} - \sigma \frac{\llbracket \sigma \mathbf{p}_+ \rrbracket_{n_+}}{n_+} , \quad (3.26)$$

we can write

$$Z_\Phi = \prod_{h_\varphi=0}^{\mathbf{b}-1} \prod_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \omega \left[h_\varphi + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}) \right] + \tau n_x - n_y - q_i^\Phi \gamma_i \right\}^{-1} . \quad (3.27)$$

Regularizing the latter³ yields

$$\begin{aligned} Z|_{\mathbf{b} \geq 1} &= e^{2\pi i \Psi} \prod_{j=0}^{\mathbf{b}-1} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\left(1 - z^{-1} q^{j+\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathbf{b})} p^n \right) \left(1 - z q^{-j-\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathbf{b})} p^{n+1} \right)} , \\ \Psi &= -\frac{\mathbf{b}}{24\tau} \left[2 + 12 q_i^\Phi \gamma_i (q_\ell^\Phi \gamma_\ell + 1 + \tau + \omega \mathbf{c}) + 2\tau(3 + \tau) + 6\omega \mathbf{c}(1 + \tau) + \omega^2(\mathbf{b}^2 - 1 + 3\mathbf{c}^2) \right] , \\ p &= e^{2\pi i \tau} , \quad q = e^{2\pi i \omega} , \quad z = q^{\mathbf{c}/2} e^{2\pi i q_i^\Phi \gamma_i} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

³See for instance Appendix A of [23] .

Analogously, eigenfunctions of δ^2 in the kernel of L_Y have the form

$$B = e^{i(\ell_\varphi \varphi + \ell_x x + \ell_y y)} B_0(\theta) , \quad (3.29)$$

with eigenvalue

$$\lambda_B = 2[k_0(-\omega \ell_\varphi + \tau \ell_x - \ell_y) + q_R^B \Phi_R + q_G \Phi_G] , \quad (3.30)$$

and $B_0(\theta)$ fixed by the differential equation

$$L_Y B = 0 , \quad (3.31)$$

Non-singularity of $B_0(\theta)$ at the north pole of Σ at $\theta = 0$ requires

$$\ell_\varphi \geq q_R^B \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{2} - \frac{1}{2n_+} \right) + q_G \left(\frac{\beta_2}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{m}_+}{n_+} \right) , \quad (3.32)$$

while non-singularity of $B_0(\theta)$ at the south pole of Σ at $\theta = \pi$ requires

$$\ell_\varphi \leq q_R^B \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \frac{1}{2n_-} \right) + q_G \left(\frac{\beta_2}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{m}_-}{n_-} \right) . \quad (3.33)$$

The field B has a twisted periodicity along ϕ consistent with those of the Killing spinors and of Φ if

$$\ell_\varphi = j_\varphi + q_R^B \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + q_G \frac{\beta_2}{2} , \quad j_\varphi \in \mathbb{Z} . \quad (3.34)$$

giving

$$\left\lceil \frac{-(q_R^B/2) + q_G \mathbf{m}_+}{n_+} \right\rceil \leq j_\varphi \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(q_R^B/2) + q_G \mathbf{m}_-}{n_-} \right\rfloor , \quad (3.35)$$

namely, for a Grassmann-odd scalar B of R-charge $q_R^B = (r - 2)$,

$$1 + \left\lfloor \frac{\mathbf{p}_+}{n_+} \right\rfloor = \left\lceil \frac{1 + \mathbf{p}_+}{n_+} \right\rceil \leq j_\varphi \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\mathbf{p}_- - 1}{n_-} \right\rfloor = -1 - \left\lceil -\frac{\mathbf{p}_-}{n_-} \right\rceil . \quad (3.36)$$

Regularity imposes no constraints on the Fourier modes on T^2 , ℓ_x, ℓ_y , which we take as integers: $\ell_x, \ell_y \in \mathbb{Z}$. If

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\mathbf{p}_+}{n_+} \right\rfloor + \left\lceil -\frac{\mathbf{p}_-}{n_-} \right\rceil \leq -2 , \quad \mathbf{b} \leq -1 , \quad (3.37)$$

then the modes B contribute to Z_{1-L} by

$$Z_B = \prod_{j_\varphi = \left\lceil \frac{1 + \mathbf{p}_+}{n_+} \right\rceil}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\mathbf{p}_- - 1}{n_-} \right\rfloor} \prod_{\ell_x, \ell_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[-\omega j_\varphi + \tau n_x - n_y + \frac{r-2}{2} (-\tau \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + q_G \left(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \right) \right] . \quad (3.38)$$

After the linear change of variables

$$j_\varphi = -k_\varphi + \lfloor (\mathfrak{p}_- - 1)/n_- \rfloor, \quad 0 \leq k_\varphi \leq -\mathfrak{b} - 1, \quad (3.39)$$

the 1-loop determinant reads

$$Z_B = \prod_{k_\varphi=0}^{-\mathfrak{b}-1} \prod_{\ell_x, \ell_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\omega \left(k_\varphi - q_i^B \frac{\mathfrak{f}_i}{2} + \frac{\llbracket \mathfrak{p}_- - 1 \rrbracket_{n_-}}{n_-} \right) + \tau \ell_x - \ell_y - q_i^B \gamma_i \right]. \quad (3.40)$$

Since

$$-q_i^B \frac{\mathfrak{f}_i}{2} + \frac{\llbracket \mathfrak{p}_- - 1 \rrbracket_{n_-}}{n_-} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{c} - \chi_-), \quad (3.41)$$

we can write

$$Z_B = \prod_{k_\varphi=0}^{-\mathfrak{b}-1} \prod_{\ell'_x, \ell'_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \omega \left[k_\varphi + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{c}) \right] + \tau \ell'_x - \ell'_y - q_i^\Phi \gamma_i \right\}. \quad (3.42)$$

After regularization we obtain

$$Z|_{\mathfrak{b} \leq -1} = e^{2\pi i \Psi} \prod_{j=0}^{-\mathfrak{b}-1} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 - z^{-1} q^{j + \frac{1}{2}(1+\mathfrak{b})} p^n \right) \left(1 - z q^{-j - \frac{1}{2}(1+\mathfrak{b})} p^{n+1} \right), \quad (3.43)$$

where Ψ is reported in (3.28). Finally, neither Φ nor B contributes to Z_{1-L} if $\mathfrak{b} = 0$, hence $Z|_{\mathfrak{b}=0} = 1$.

Index theorem on orbifolds. The 1-loop determinant on $\Sigma \times T^2$ can also be obtained from the equivariant index of the operator pairing the fundamental fields in the cohomological complex [11]. In the conventions of [14] such an operator is $L_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ and the index is calculated with respect to the equivariant action

$$g = \exp(-i\epsilon\delta^2) = g_\Sigma g_{T^2}, \quad g_\Sigma = \exp(-i\epsilon\delta^2|_\Sigma), \quad g_{T^2} = \exp(-i\epsilon\delta^2|_{T^2}), \quad (3.44)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2|_\Sigma &= 2ik_0 \omega \left(\partial_\varphi - iq_R^\Phi \frac{\alpha_2}{2} - iq_G \frac{\beta_2}{2} \right), \\ \delta^2|_{T^2} &= 2ik_0 \left[-\tau \partial_x + \partial_y - iq_R^\Phi \left(-\tau \frac{\alpha_3}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{2} \right) - iq_G \left(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

where the flat connections on Σ are those making the R-symmetry connection A and the gauge field a smooth in the northern and southern patches \mathcal{U}_\pm :

$$\alpha_2|_{\mathcal{U}_\pm} = \pm 1/n_\pm, \quad \beta_2|_{\mathcal{U}_\pm} = -2\mathfrak{m}_\pm/n_\pm, \quad (3.46)$$

giving, on a field $\Phi^{(r)}$ of R-charge r ,

$$g|_{\mathcal{U}_+} = e^{2\epsilon k_0 \omega \left(\partial_\varphi + i \frac{\mathfrak{p}_+}{n_+} \right)}, \quad g|_{\mathcal{U}_-} = e^{2\epsilon k_0 \omega \left(\partial_\varphi + i \frac{\mathfrak{p}_-}{n_-} \right)}. \quad (3.47)$$

The operator g_{T^2} acts freely, whereas g_{Σ} has fixed points at the north and the south pole of the spindle. The tangent space $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{n_+}$ in a neighbourhood of $\theta = 0$ is parametrized by a complex coordinate z_+ satisfying

$$z_+ = \theta \exp(i\varphi/n_+) , \quad z_+ \sim w_+ z_+ , \quad (3.48)$$

while the coordinate on the tangent space $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{n_-}$ in a neighbourhood of $\theta = \pi$ fulfils

$$z_- = (\pi - \theta) \exp(-i\varphi/n_-) , \quad z_- \sim w_-^{-1} z_- . \quad (3.49)$$

In such coordinates, the operator $L_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ in the northern and southern neighbourhoods \mathcal{U}_{\pm} of Σ reads

$$L_{\tilde{\varphi}}|_{\mathcal{U}_+} = -(n_+ L)^{-1} \partial_+ , \quad L_{\tilde{\varphi}}|_{\mathcal{U}_-} = (n_- L)^{-1} \partial_- , \quad (3.50)$$

where $\partial_{\pm} = \partial_{z_{\pm}}$. The equivariant action on coordinates in the northern patch of the spindle is

$$g|_{\mathcal{U}_+} \circ z_+ = q_+ z_+ , \quad q_+ = e^{-2i\epsilon k_0 \omega / n_+} , \quad \mathbf{q} = q_+^{n_+} = e^{-2i\epsilon k_0 \omega} , \quad (3.51)$$

while the equivariant action on fields reads

$$g|_{\mathcal{U}_+} \circ \Phi^{(r)} = q_+^{-p_+} \Phi^{(r)} , \quad (3.52)$$

and on 1-forms as

$$g|_{\mathcal{U}_+} \circ \partial_+ \Phi^{(r)} = q_+^{-1-p_+} \partial_+ \Phi^{(r)} . \quad (3.53)$$

The north-pole contribution to the g -equivariant index of $L_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ is then obtained by applying the orbifold projection used in [32, 33, 56] to

$$I_+ = \frac{q_+^{-p_+} - q_+^{-1-p_+}}{(1 - q_+)(1 - q_+^{-1})} = \frac{q_+^{-p_+}}{1 - q_+} . \quad (3.54)$$

This projection operates through the substitution $q_{\pm} \rightarrow q_{\pm} w_{\pm}^j$ followed by averaging over $j = 0, \dots, (n_{\pm} - 1)$, which results in

$$I_{\mathcal{U}_+} = \frac{1}{n_+} \sum_{j=0}^{n_+-1} \frac{w_+^{-j p_+} q_+^{-p_+}}{1 - w_+^j q_+} = \frac{\mathbf{q}^{\left(\lfloor p_+ \rfloor_{n_+} - p_+\right)/n_+}}{1 - \mathbf{q}} = \frac{\mathbf{q}^{-\lfloor p_+ / n_+ \rfloor}}{1 - \mathbf{q}} . \quad (3.55)$$

Including the free action g_{T^2} provides

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{U}_+ \times T^2} &= \sum_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2i\epsilon k_0 \left[\tau n_x - n_y + r \left(-\tau \frac{\alpha_3}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{2} \right) + q_G \left(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \right) \right]} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{-\lfloor p_+ / n_+ \rfloor}}{1 - \mathbf{q}} , \\ &= \sum_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-2i\epsilon k_0 \left[\omega(\ell - \lfloor p_+ / n_+ \rfloor) + \tau n_x - n_y + r \left(-\tau \frac{\alpha_3}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{2} \right) + q_G \left(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \right) \right]} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

As in e.g. (5.18) of [66], $I_{\mathcal{U}_+ \times T^2}$ translates into an infinite product as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_+ &= \prod_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\omega \left(\ell - \left\lfloor \frac{\mathbf{p}_+}{n_+} \right\rfloor \right) + \tau n_x - n_y + \frac{r}{2} (-\tau \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + q_G \left(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \right) \right]^{-1}, \\ &= \prod_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \omega \left[\ell + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}) \right] + \tau n_x - n_y - q_i^\Phi \gamma_i \right\}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

which after regularization becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z_+ &= \frac{e^{2\pi i \Psi_+}}{\left(z^{-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathbf{b})}; q, p \right)_\infty \left(z p q^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathbf{b})}; q^{-1}, p \right)_\infty} = e^{2\pi i \Psi_+} \Gamma_e \left(z^{-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathbf{b})}; q, p \right), \\ \Psi_+ &= -\frac{1}{48\tau\omega} [1 + 2q_i^\Phi \gamma_i + \tau + \omega(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b})] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 2\tau + 4q_i^\Phi \gamma_i (1 + q_i^\Phi \gamma_i + \tau) + 2\omega(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b}) (1 + 2q_i^\Phi \gamma_i + \tau) + \omega^2 [(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b})^2 - 1] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

On the other hand, the equivariant action acts on coordinates in the southern patch of Σ as

$$g|_{\mathcal{U}_-} \circ z_- = q_-^{-1} z_-, \quad q_- = e^{-2i\epsilon k_0 \omega / n_-}, \quad q_-^{n_-} = \mathbf{q}, \quad (3.59)$$

while the equivariant action on fields reads

$$g|_{\mathcal{U}_-} \circ \Phi^{(r)} = q_-^{-\mathbf{p}_-} \Phi^{(r)}, \quad (3.60)$$

and the equivariant action on 1-forms is

$$g|_{\mathcal{U}_-} \circ \partial_- \Phi^{(r)} = q_-^{1-\mathbf{p}_-} \partial_- \Phi^{(r)}. \quad (3.61)$$

Thus, given the auxiliary quantity

$$I_- = \frac{q_-^{-\mathbf{p}_-} - q_-^{1-\mathbf{p}_-}}{(1 - q_-)(1 - q_-^{-1})} = \frac{q_-^{-\mathbf{p}_-}}{1 - q_-^{-1}}, \quad (3.62)$$

the south-pole contribution to the index turns out to be

$$I_{\mathcal{U}_-} = \frac{1}{n_-} \sum_{j=0}^{n_- - 1} \frac{w_-^{-j \mathbf{p}_-} q_-^{-\mathbf{p}_-}}{1 - w_-^{-j} q_-^{-1}} = \frac{\mathbf{q}^{(-\lfloor -\mathbf{p}_- \rfloor_{n_+} - \mathbf{p}_-) / n_-}}{1 - \mathbf{q}^{-1}} = \frac{\mathbf{q}^{\lfloor -\mathbf{p}_- / n_- \rfloor}}{1 - \mathbf{q}^{-1}} = -\frac{\mathbf{q}^{1 + \lfloor -\mathbf{p}_- / n_- \rfloor}}{1 - \mathbf{q}}, \quad (3.63)$$

whose uplift to $\Sigma \times T^2$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{U}_- \times T^2} &= - \sum_{\ell_x, \ell_y \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2i\epsilon k_0 [\tau \ell_x - \ell_y + r(-\tau \frac{\alpha_3}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{2}) + q_G(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2})]} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{1 + \lfloor -\mathbf{p}_- / n_- \rfloor}}{1 - \mathbf{q}}, \\ &= - \sum_{\ell_x, \ell_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-2i\epsilon k_0 [\omega(j+1 + \lfloor -\frac{\mathbf{p}_-}{n_-} \rfloor) + \tau \ell_x - \ell_y + r(-\tau \frac{\alpha_3}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{2}) + q_G(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2})]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.64)$$

The infinite-product counterpart of $I_{\mathcal{U}_- \times T^2}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} Z_- &= \prod_{\ell_x, \ell_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\omega \left(j + 1 + \left\lfloor -\frac{\mathbf{p}_-}{n_-} \right\rfloor \right) + \tau \ell_x - \ell_y + \frac{r}{2} (-\tau \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + q_G \left(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \right) \right], \\ &= \prod_{\ell'_x, \ell'_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \omega \left[j + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c}) \right] + \tau \ell'_x - \ell'_y - q_i^\Phi \gamma_i \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

Regularizing the latter gives

$$\begin{aligned} Z_- &= e^{2\pi i \Psi_-} \left(z^{-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\mathbf{b})}; q, p \right)_\infty \left(z p q^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\mathbf{b})}; q^{-1}, p \right)_\infty = \frac{e^{2\pi i \Psi_-}}{\Gamma_e \left(z^{-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\mathbf{b})}; q, p \right)_\infty}, \\ \Psi_- &= \frac{1}{48\tau\omega} [1 + \tau + 2q_i^\Phi \gamma_i + \omega(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{b})] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 2\tau + 4q_i^\Phi \gamma_i (1 + q_i^\Phi \gamma_i + \tau) + 2\omega(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{b})(1 + 2q_i^\Phi \gamma_i + \tau) + \omega^2 [(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{b})^2 - 1] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.66)$$

The product of the blocks (3.58) and (3.66) provides

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1-L} &= Z_+ Z_- = e^{2\pi i \Psi} \frac{\Gamma_e \left(z^{-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathbf{b})}; q, p \right)}{\Gamma_e \left(z^{-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\mathbf{b})}; q, p \right)}, \\ \Psi &= \Psi_+ + \Psi_-, \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

where Ψ is written in (3.28). The function Z_{1-L} in (3.67), valid for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfies $Z_{1-L} = 1$ for $\mathbf{b} = 0$ and correctly matches Z_Φ and Z_B for $\mathbf{b} \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{b} \leq -1$, respectively. The 1-loop determinant above can be rewritten in terms of elliptic gamma functions, providing

$$Z_{1-L}^{\text{CM}} = \prod_{\rho \in \mathfrak{R}_G} e^{2\pi i \Psi(\rho(\mathbf{m}), \rho(\gamma_G))} \frac{\Gamma_e \left(z^{-\rho} q^{\frac{1}{2}[1-\mathbf{b}(\rho(\mathbf{m}))]}; q, p \right)}{\Gamma_e \left(z^{-\rho} q^{\frac{1}{2}[1+\mathbf{b}(\rho(\mathbf{m}))]}; q, p \right)}, \quad (3.68)$$

for a chiral multiplet in the representation \mathfrak{R}_G . The one-loop determinant for a vector multiplet in the adjoint of the gauge group G can be obtained from (3.68) by setting $r = 2$ and $\mathfrak{R}_G = \text{adj}_G$:

$$Z_{1-L}^{\text{VM}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \text{adj}_G} e^{2\pi i \Psi(\alpha(\mathbf{m}), \rho(\gamma_G))} \frac{\Gamma_e \left(z^{-\alpha} q^{\frac{1}{2}[1-\mathbf{b}(\alpha(\mathbf{m}))]}; q, p \right)}{\Gamma_e \left(z^{-\alpha} q^{\frac{1}{2}[1+\mathbf{b}(\alpha(\mathbf{m}))]}; q, p \right)} \Bigg|_{r=2}. \quad (3.69)$$

The orbifold index theorem also allows us to formulate an educated guess for partition functions on $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric $\Sigma \times T^2$ with R-symmetry anti-twist on Σ . Indeed, the equivariant index of the operator $L_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ on anti-twisted Σ is the sum of two contributions: one is the very $I_{\mathcal{U}_-}$ reported in (3.63), while the other is [32, 33]

$$\tilde{I}_{\mathcal{U}_+} = \frac{\mathbf{q}^{\lfloor -\mathbf{p}_+/n_+ \rfloor}}{1 - \mathbf{q}^{-1}} = -\frac{\mathbf{q}^{1 + \lfloor -\mathbf{p}_+/n_+ \rfloor}}{1 - \mathbf{q}}. \quad (3.70)$$

We can uplift $\tilde{I}_{\mathcal{U}_+}$ to $\tilde{I}_{\mathcal{U}_+ \times T^2}$ by including the free action on the torus given by g_{T^2} , which is supposed to be independent of the R-symmetry twist present on Σ . The result is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_{\mathcal{U}_+ \times T^2} &= \sum_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2i\epsilon k_0 [\tau n_x - n_y + r(-\tau \frac{\alpha_3}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{2}) + q_G(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2})]} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{\lfloor -\mathbf{p}_+ / n_+ \rfloor}}{1 - \mathbf{q}^{-1}}, \\ &= \sum_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-2i\epsilon k_0 [\omega(-\ell + \lfloor -\mathbf{p}_+ / n_+ \rfloor) + \tau n_x - n_y + r(-\tau \frac{\alpha_3}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{2}) + q_G(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2})]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

The latter becomes the following infinite product:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_+ &= \prod_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\omega \left(-\ell + \left\lfloor -\frac{\mathbf{p}_+}{n_+} \right\rfloor \right) + \tau n_x - n_y + \frac{r}{2}(-\tau \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + q_G \left(a_0 - \tau \frac{\beta_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_4}{2} \right) \right]^{-1}, \\ &= \prod_{n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \omega \left[\ell + \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}) \right] + \tau n_x - n_y + q_i^\Phi \gamma_i \right\}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.72)$$

where \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{c} are those introduced in [32, 33] with $\sigma = -1$. Moreover, in the expression $q_i^\Phi \gamma_i = r\gamma_R + q_G \gamma_G$ appearing in \tilde{Z}_+ , the fugacity γ_G is the one defined in (3.25), whereas γ_R is

$$\gamma_R = \omega \frac{\chi}{4} + \tau \frac{\alpha_3}{2} - \frac{\alpha_4}{2}, \quad \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.73)$$

in agreement with (1.8) for $\sigma = -1$. Regularizing the product we again find an elliptic Gamma function:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_+ &= \frac{e^{2\pi i \tilde{\Psi}_+}}{\left(z q^{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{b}-1)}; q, p \right)_\infty \left(z^{-1} p q^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathbf{b})}; q^{-1}, p \right)_\infty} = e^{2\pi i \tilde{\Psi}_+} \Gamma_e \left(z q^{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{b}-1)}; q, p \right), \\ \tilde{\Psi}_+ &= -\frac{1}{48\tau\omega} [1 - 2q_i^\Phi \gamma_i + \tau - \omega(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b})] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 2\tau - 4q_i^\Phi \gamma_i (1 - q_i^\Phi \gamma_i + \tau) - 2\omega(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b})(1 - 2q_i^\Phi \gamma_i + \tau) + \omega^2 [(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b})^2 - 1] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.74)$$

The object \tilde{Z}_+ in (3.74) is the building block encoding the north-pole contribution to Z_{1-L} in the case of anti-twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$, whereas Z_+ in (3.74) is the building block encoding the north-pole contribution to Z_{1-L} in the case of anti-twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$, whereas Z_+ in (3.58) is the north-pole block for topologically twisted $\Sigma \times T^2$. We can write a single expression for both Z_+ and \tilde{Z}_+ by making use of the parameter σ :

$$Z_+^{(\sigma)} = e^{2\pi i \Psi_+^{(\sigma)}} \Gamma_e \left(z^{-\sigma} q^{\frac{\sigma}{2}(1-\mathbf{b})}; q, p \right), \quad Z_+^{(+1)} = Z_+, \quad Z_+^{(-1)} = \tilde{Z}_+. \quad (3.75)$$

Multiplying (3.75) by the dual south-pole block (3.66) gives

$$Z_{1-L}^{(\sigma)} = Z_+^{(\sigma)} Z_- = e^{2\pi i \Psi^{(\sigma)}} \frac{\Gamma_e \left(z^{-\sigma} q^{\frac{\sigma}{2}(1-\mathbf{b})}; q, p \right)}{\Gamma_e \left(z^{-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\mathbf{b})}; q, p \right)}, \quad (3.76)$$

for the 1-loop determinant of a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral multiplet on $\Sigma \times T^2$ with any R-symmetry twist on Σ . This justifies the conjecture (1.7) for a chiral multiplet in an arbitrary representation \mathfrak{R}_G of a gauge or flavour group G . As usual, the one-loop determinant of a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector multiplet is obtained by setting $\mathfrak{R}_G = \text{adj}_G$ and $r = 2$.

3.2 Branched Lens Index

BPS locus. The gauge field

$$a = a_\varphi(\vartheta)d\varphi + \frac{h}{n}d\psi + a_t(\vartheta)dt, \quad h = 0, \dots, (n-1),$$

$$f_G = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} da = \frac{\mathbf{m}}{n_+ n_-}, \quad \exp\left(i \oint_{S_\psi^1} a\right) = e^{2\pi i h/n}, \quad \exp\left(i \oint_{S_t^1} a\right) \in U(1), \quad (3.77)$$

fulfils the BPS equations $\lambda|_{\text{BPS}} = \tilde{\lambda}|_{\text{BPS}} = \delta\lambda|_{\text{BPS}} = \delta\tilde{\lambda}|_{\text{BPS}} = 0$ if

$$a_t(\vartheta) = -i\beta(n_+ b_1^{-1} + n_- b_2^{-1})a_\varphi(\vartheta) + a_0, \quad a_0 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.78)$$

implying that $\Phi_G = \iota_K \mathcal{A}$ on the BPS locus reads

$$\Phi_G = \iota_K \mathcal{A}|_{\text{BPS}} = \frac{k_0}{L\beta} [a_0 - i\beta h(t_+ b_1^{-1} + t_- b_2^{-1})], \quad (3.79)$$

and that the profile of $D|_{\text{BPS}}$ is

$$D|_{\text{BPS}} = \frac{n_+ b_1^{-1} \cot \vartheta - n_- b_2^{-1} \tan \vartheta}{L^2 f} a'_\varphi(\vartheta). \quad (3.80)$$

Finally, for generic values of $\Phi_{R,G}$ the BPS equations governing the chiral multiplet, characterized by $\psi|_{\text{BPS}} = \tilde{\psi}|_{\text{BPS}} = \delta\psi|_{\text{BPS}} = \delta\tilde{\psi}|_{\text{BPS}} = 0$, are readily solved by the trivial configuration $\phi|_{\text{BPS}} = \tilde{\phi}|_{\text{BPS}} = F|_{\text{BPS}} = \tilde{F}|_{\text{BPS}} = 0$, mirroring the behavior observed on $\Sigma \times T^2$.

One-loop determinant. On $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$, the eigenfunctions $\Phi \in \ker L_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ are regular in the neighbourhoods of $\vartheta = 0$ and $\vartheta = \pi/2$ if

$$q_G \mathbf{m}_+ - n_+ n_\varphi + t_+(n n_\psi - q_G h) \geq 0, \\ q_G \mathbf{m}_- - n_- n_\varphi + t_-(n n_\psi - q_G h) \geq 0, \quad (3.81)$$

which are inequalities satisfied by

$$n_\varphi = t_-(q_G \mathbf{m}_+ - n \ell_1 - j_1) + t_+(-q_G \mathbf{m}_- + n \ell_2 + j_2), \\ n_\psi = n_+ \ell_2 - n_- \ell_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{q_G(h - \mathbf{m}) + n_+ j_2}{n} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n_- j_1}{n} \right\rfloor, \\ \llbracket n_- j_1 \rrbracket_n = \llbracket q_G(h - \mathbf{m}) + n_+ j_2 \rrbracket_n, \quad (3.82)$$

with $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_1, j_2 = 0, \dots, (n-1)$. Analogously, the eigenfunctions $B \in \ker L_Y$ are non-singular near $\vartheta = 0$ and $\vartheta = \pi/2$ if

$$-q_G \mathbf{m}_+ + n_+ m_\varphi + t_+(q_G h - n n_\psi) \geq 0, \\ -q_G \mathbf{m}_- + n_- m_\varphi + t_-(q_G h - n m_\psi) \geq 0, \quad (3.83)$$

which are inequalities satisfied by

$$\begin{aligned}
m_\varphi &= t_-(q_G \mathbf{m}_+ + n k_1 + i_1) - t_+(q_G \mathbf{m}_- + n k_2 + i_2), \\
m_\psi &= n_- k_1 - n_+ k_2 + \left\lfloor \frac{q_G(h - \mathbf{m}) + n_- i_1}{n} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n_+ i_2}{n} \right\rfloor, \\
\llbracket n_+ i_2 \rrbracket_n &= \llbracket q_G(h - \mathbf{m}) + n_- i_1 \rrbracket_n,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.84}$$

with $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i_1, i_2 = 0, \dots, (n-1)$. Altogether we have

$$Z_{1-L}^{\text{CM}} = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \prod_{n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}_+(j, \mathfrak{h})} [\omega_1(\ell_1 + \frac{i}{n}) + \omega_2(\ell_2 + \frac{i}{n}) + n_3 - q_G \gamma_G + (2-r)\gamma_R]}{\prod_{k \in \mathcal{J}_-(j, \mathfrak{h})} [\omega_1(\ell_1 + \frac{k}{n}) + \omega_2(\ell_2 + \frac{i}{n}) + n_3 + q_G \gamma_G + r\gamma_R]}, \tag{3.85}$$

with $\mathfrak{h} = \llbracket h - \mathbf{m} \rrbracket_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1,2} &= -in\beta b_{1,2}^{-1}, \\
\gamma_R &= \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2n} - \frac{\alpha_4}{2}, \quad \gamma_G = -\frac{\omega_1 \mathbf{m}_+ + \omega_2 \mathbf{m}_-}{2n} - a_0, \\
\mathcal{J}_\pm(j, \mathfrak{h}) &= \{j_0 = 0, \dots, (n-1) : \llbracket n_\pm j_0 \rrbracket_n = \llbracket q_G \mathfrak{h} + n_\mp j \rrbracket_n\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.86}$$

We can regularize the infinite product above by noticing that

$$P_3(u; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \prod_{n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\omega_1 n_1 + \omega_2 n_2 + n_3 + u}, \tag{3.87}$$

can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3(u; \omega_1, \omega_2) &= \prod_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{-1}{(\omega_1 n_1 + \omega_2 n_2 + n_3 + u)(-\omega_1 n_1 - \omega_2 n_2 + n_3 + 1 - u)}, \\
&= \prod_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{-1}{(-\omega_1 n_1 - \omega_2 n_2 + n_3 - u)(\omega_1 n_1 + \omega_2 n_2 + n_3 + 1 + u)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.88}$$

which can be written as a single object by introducing a sign $s = \pm 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3^{(s)}(u; \omega_1, \omega_2) &= \prod_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(s\omega_1 n_1 + s\omega_2 n_2 + n_3 + su)(-s\omega_1 n_1 - s\omega_2 n_2 + n_3 + 1 - su)}, \\
&= \Gamma_3(su | s\omega_1, s\omega_2, 1) \Gamma_3(1 - su | -s\omega_1, -s\omega_2, 1), \\
&= \frac{e^{-\pi i \zeta_3(0, su, |s\omega_1, s\omega_2)}}{(e^{2\pi i s u}; e^{2\pi i s \omega_1}, e^{2\pi i s \omega_2})},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.89}$$

where we used [67, 68]. In summary,

$$\prod_{n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\omega_1 n_1 + \omega_2 n_2 + n_3 + u} \rightarrow \frac{e^{-\pi i \zeta_3(0, su, |s\omega_1, s\omega_2)}}{(e^{2\pi i s u}; e^{2\pi i s \omega_1}, e^{2\pi i s \omega_2})}, \tag{3.90}$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{1-L}^{\text{CM}} &= \prod_{\rho \in \mathfrak{R}_G} e^{2\pi i \Psi^{\text{CM}}[\rho(\gamma_G), \rho(\mathfrak{h})]} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{i \in \mathbb{J}_+(j, \rho(\mathfrak{h}))} \left(q_1^{(1+j)/n} q_2^{(1+i)/n} z^{-\rho}; q_1, q_2 \right)_{\infty}}{\prod_{k \in \mathbb{J}_-(j, \rho(\mathfrak{h}))} \left(q_1^{k/n} q_2^{j/n} z^{\rho}; q_1, q_2 \right)_{\infty}}, \\
\Psi^{\text{CM}}[\rho(\gamma_G), \rho(\mathfrak{h})] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}_+(j, \rho(\mathfrak{h}))} \zeta_3 \left(0, \frac{\omega_1 j + \omega_2 i}{n} - \rho(\gamma_G) + (2-r)\gamma_R | \omega_1, \omega_2 \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{J}_-(j, \rho(\mathfrak{h}))} \zeta_3 \left(0, \frac{\omega_1 k + \omega_2 j}{n} + \rho(\gamma_G) + r\gamma_R | \omega_1, \omega_2 \right), \tag{3.91}
\end{aligned}$$

where we defined the fugacities

$$q_{1,2} = e^{2\pi i \omega_{1,2}}, \quad z = e^{2\pi i (q_G \gamma_G + r \gamma_R)}. \tag{3.92}$$

Correspondingly, the vector-multiplet one-loop determinant is

$$Z_{1-L}^{\text{VM}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \text{adj}_G} e^{2\pi i \Psi^{\text{CM}}[\alpha(\gamma_G), \alpha(\mathfrak{h})]} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{i \in \mathbb{J}_+(j, \alpha(\mathfrak{h}))} \left(q_1^{(1+j)/n} q_2^{(1+i)/n} z^{-\alpha}; q_1, q_2 \right)_{\infty}}{\prod_{k \in \mathbb{J}_-(j, \alpha(\mathfrak{h}))} \left(q_1^{k/n} q_2^{j/n} z^{\alpha}; q_1, q_2 \right)_{\infty}} \Bigg|_{r=2}. \tag{3.93}$$

References

- [1] E. Witten, *Constraints on Supersymmetry Breaking*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **202** (1982) 253.
- [2] C. Beem, M. Lemos, P. Liendo, W. Peelaers, L. Rastelli, and B. C. van Rees, *Infinite Chiral Symmetry in Four Dimensions*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **336** (2015), no. 3 1359–1433, [[arXiv:1312.5344](#)].
- [3] N. Drukker, T. Okuda, and F. Passerini, *Exact results for vortex loop operators in 3d supersymmetric theories*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 137, [[arXiv:1211.3409](#)].
- [4] V. Pestun, *Review of localization in geometry*, *J. Phys. A* **50** (2017), no. 44 443002, [[arXiv:1608.02954](#)].
- [5] R. Panerai, A. Pittelli, and K. Polydorou, *Topological Correlators and Surface Defects from Equivariant Cohomology*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 185, [[arXiv:2006.06692](#)].
- [6] P. Benetti Genolini, J. P. Gauntlett, and J. Sparks, *Equivariant Localization in Supergravity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** (2023), no. 12 121602, [[arXiv:2306.03868](#)].
- [7] P. Benetti Genolini, J. P. Gauntlett, and J. Sparks, *Localizing wrapped M5-branes and gravitational blocks*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023), no. 10 L101903, [[arXiv:2308.10933](#)].

- [8] P. Benetti Genolini, J. P. Gauntlett, and J. Sparks, *Equivariant localization for AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **02** (2024) 015, [[arXiv:2308.11701](#)].
- [9] D. Martelli and A. Zaffaroni, *Equivariant localization and holography*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **114** (2024), no. 1 15, [[arXiv:2306.03891](#)].
- [10] E. Colombo, F. Faedo, D. Martelli, and A. Zaffaroni, *Equivariant volume extremization and holography*, *JHEP* **01** (2024) 095, [[arXiv:2309.04425](#)].
- [11] V. Pestun, *Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **313** (2012) 71–129, [[arXiv:0712.2824](#)].
- [12] Y. Imamura and S. Yokoyama, *Index for three dimensional superconformal field theories with general R-charge assignments*, *JHEP* **04** (2011) 007, [[arXiv:1101.0557](#)].
- [13] A. Kapustin and B. Willett, *Generalized Superconformal Index for Three Dimensional Field Theories*, [arXiv:1106.2484](#).
- [14] C. Closset and I. Shamir, *The $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Chiral Multiplet on $T^2 \times S^2$ and Supersymmetric Localization*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 040, [[arXiv:1311.2430](#)].
- [15] F. Benini and A. Zaffaroni, *A topologically twisted index for three-dimensional supersymmetric theories*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 127, [[arXiv:1504.03698](#)].
- [16] F. Benini and A. Zaffaroni, *Supersymmetric partition functions on Riemann surfaces*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **96** (2017) 13–46, [[arXiv:1605.06120](#)].
- [17] Y. Yoshida and K. Sugiyama, *Localization of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric theories on $S^1 \times D^2$* , *PTEP* **2020** (2020), no. 11 113B02, [[arXiv:1409.6713](#)].
- [18] P. Longhi, F. Nieri, and A. Pittelli, *Localization of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories on $\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$* , *JHEP* **12** (2019) 147, [[arXiv:1906.02051](#)].
- [19] S. Crew, D. Zhang, and B. Zhao, *Boundaries & localisation with a topological twist*, *JHEP* **10** (2023) 093, [[arXiv:2306.16448](#)].
- [20] T. Nishioka and I. Yaakov, *Generalized indices for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories in four-dimensions*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 150, [[arXiv:1407.8520](#)].
- [21] J. R. David, E. Gava, R. K. Gupta, and K. Narain, *Localization on $AdS_2 \times S^1$* , *JHEP* **03** (2017) 050, [[arXiv:1609.07443](#)].
- [22] A. Pittelli, *Supersymmetric localization of refined chiral multiplets on topologically twisted $H^2 \times S^1$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **801** (2020) 135154, [[arXiv:1812.11151](#)].

- [23] D. Iannotti and A. Pittelli, *Twisted Index on Hyperbolic Four-Manifolds*, [arXiv:2307.11634](#).
- [24] F. Benini and N. Bobev, *Exact two-dimensional superconformal R-symmetry and c-extremization*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013), no. 6 061601, [[arXiv:1211.4030](#)].
- [25] F. Benini and N. Bobev, *Two-dimensional SCFTs from wrapped branes and c-extremization*, *JHEP* **06** (2013) 005, [[arXiv:1302.4451](#)].
- [26] F. Benini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, *Black hole microstates in AdS₄ from supersymmetric localization*, *JHEP* **05** (2016) 054, [[arXiv:1511.04085](#)].
- [27] F. Benini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, *Exact microstate counting for dyonic black holes in AdS₄*, *Phys. Lett. B* **771** (2017) 462–466, [[arXiv:1608.07294](#)].
- [28] A. Cabo-Bizet, D. Cassani, D. Martelli, and S. Murthy, *Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of supersymmetric AdS₅ black holes*, *JHEP* **10** (2019) 062, [[arXiv:1810.11442](#)].
- [29] F. Benini and E. Milan, *A Bethe Ansatz type formula for the superconformal index*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **376** (2020), no. 2 1413–1440, [[arXiv:1811.04107](#)].
- [30] F. Benini and E. Milan, *Black Holes in 4D $\mathcal{N}=4$ Super-Yang-Mills Field Theory*, *Phys. Rev. X* **10** (2020), no. 2 021037, [[arXiv:1812.09613](#)].
- [31] F. Benini, E. Colombo, S. Soltani, A. Zaffaroni, and Z. Zhang, *Superconformal indices at large N and the entropy of AdS₅ × SE₅ black holes*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **37** (2020), no. 21 215021, [[arXiv:2005.12308](#)].
- [32] M. Inglese, D. Martelli, and A. Pittelli, *The spindle index from localization*, *J. Phys. A* **57** (2024), no. 8 085401, [[arXiv:2303.14199](#)].
- [33] M. Inglese, D. Martelli, and A. Pittelli, *Supersymmetry and Localization on Three-Dimensional Orbifolds*, [arXiv:2312.17086](#).
- [34] P. Ferrero, J. P. Gauntlett, J. M. Pérez Ipiña, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *D3-Branes Wrapped on a Spindle*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021), no. 11 111601, [[arXiv:2011.10579](#)].
- [35] P. Ferrero, J. P. Gauntlett, J. M. P. Ipiña, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *Accelerating black holes and spinning spindles*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021), no. 4 046007, [[arXiv:2012.08530](#)].
- [36] P. Ferrero, J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *M5-branes wrapped on a spindle*, *JHEP* **11** (2021) 002, [[arXiv:2105.13344](#)].

- [37] D. Cassani, J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *Thermodynamics of accelerating and supersymmetric AdS_4 black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021), no. 8 086005, [[arXiv:2106.05571](#)].
- [38] P. Ferrero, J. P. Gauntlett, and J. Sparks, *Supersymmetric spindles*, *JHEP* **01** (2022) 102, [[arXiv:2112.01543](#)].
- [39] F. Faedo and D. Martelli, *D_4 -branes wrapped on a spindle*, *JHEP* **02** (2022) 101, [[arXiv:2111.13660](#)].
- [40] P. Ferrero, M. Inglese, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *Multicharge accelerating black holes and spinning spindles*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022), no. 12 126001, [[arXiv:2109.14625](#)].
- [41] K. C. M. Cheung, J. H. T. Fry, J. P. Gauntlett, and J. Sparks, *M_5 -branes wrapped on four-dimensional orbifolds*, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 082, [[arXiv:2204.02990](#)].
- [42] M. Suh, *Spindle black holes from mass-deformed ABJM*, [arXiv:2211.11782](#).
- [43] A. Boido, J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *Entropy Functions For Accelerating Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130** (2023), no. 9 091603, [[arXiv:2210.16069](#)].
- [44] A. Boido, J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *Gravitational Blocks, Spindles and GK Geometry*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **403** (2023), no. 2 917–1003, [[arXiv:2211.02662](#)].
- [45] F. Faedo, A. Fontanarossa, and D. Martelli, *Branes wrapped on orbifolds and their gravitational blocks*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **113** (2023), no. 3 51, [[arXiv:2210.16128](#)].
- [46] A. Amariti, N. Petri, and A. Segati, *$T^{1,1}$ truncation on the spindle*, *JHEP* **07** (2023) 087, [[arXiv:2304.03663](#)].
- [47] K. Hristov and M. Suh, *Spindle black holes in $AdS_4 \times SE_7$* , *JHEP* **10** (2023) 141, [[arXiv:2307.10378](#)].
- [48] A. Amariti, S. Mancani, D. Morgante, N. Petri, and A. Segati, *BBBW on the spindle*, [arXiv:2309.11362](#).
- [49] F. Faedo, A. Fontanarossa, and D. Martelli, *Branes wrapped on quadrilaterals*, [arXiv:2402.08724](#).
- [50] M. Boisvert and P. Ferrero, *A story of non-conformal branes: spindles, disks, circles and black holes*, [arXiv:2403.03989](#).
- [51] P. Ferrero, *D_6 branes wrapped on a spindle and $Y^{p,q}$ manifolds*, [arXiv:2403.03988](#).

- [52] N. T. Macpherson, P. Merrikin, and C. Nunez, *Marginally deformed AdS_5/CFT_4 and spindle-like orbifolds*, [arXiv:2403.02380](#).
- [53] C. Closset, H. Kim, and B. Willett, *Seifert fibering operators in 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories*, *JHEP* **11** (2018) 004, [[arXiv:1807.02328](#)].
- [54] L. C. Jeffrey and F. C. Kirwan, *Localization for nonabelian group actions*, 1994.
- [55] M. Vergne, *Equivariant index formulas for orbifolds*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **82** (1996), no. 3 637 – 652.
- [56] E. Meinrenken, *Symplectic surgery and the spinc–dirac operator*, *Advances in Mathematics* **134** (1998), no. 2 240–277.
- [57] E. Witten, *Elliptic Genera and Quantum Field Theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **109** (1987) 525.
- [58] F. Benini, R. Eager, K. Hori, and Y. Tachikawa, *Elliptic genera of two-dimensional $N=2$ gauge theories with rank-one gauge groups*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **104** (2014) 465–493, [[arXiv:1305.0533](#)].
- [59] S. M. Hosseini, A. Nedelin, and A. Zaffaroni, *The Cardy limit of the topologically twisted index and black strings in AdS_5* , *JHEP* **04** (2017) 014, [[arXiv:1611.09374](#)].
- [60] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, *Microstates of rotating AdS_5 strings*, *JHEP* **11** (2019) 090, [[arXiv:1909.08000](#)].
- [61] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, Y. Tachikawa, and A. Zaffaroni, *Anomalies, Black strings and the charged Cardy formula*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 167, [[arXiv:2006.08629](#)].
- [62] E. Colombo, *The large- N limit of 4d superconformal indices for general BPS charges*, *JHEP* **12** (2022) 013, [[arXiv:2110.01911](#)].
- [63] I. Arav, J. P. Gauntlett, M. M. Roberts, and C. Rosen, *Leigh-Strassler compactified on a spindle*, *JHEP* **10** (2022) 067, [[arXiv:2207.06427](#)].
- [64] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, *Rotating multi-charge spindles and their microstates*, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 182, [[arXiv:2104.11249](#)].
- [65] C. Beem, T. Dimofte, and S. Pasquetti, *Holomorphic Blocks in Three Dimensions*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 177, [[arXiv:1211.1986](#)].
- [66] B. Assel, D. Martelli, S. Murthy, and D. Yokoyama, *Localization of supersymmetric field theories on non-compact hyperbolic three-manifolds*, *JHEP* **03** (2017) 095, [[arXiv:1609.08071](#)].

- [67] G. Felder and A. Varchenko, *The elliptic gamma function and $sl(3, \mathbb{z}) \ltimes \mathbb{z}^3$* , *Advances in Mathematics* **156** (2000), no. 1 44–76.
- [68] E. Friedman and S. Ruijsenaars, *Shintani–barnes zeta and gamma functions*, *Advances in Mathematics* **187** (2004), no. 2 362–395.