

# ITERATED MONODROMY GROUP WITH NON-MARTINGALE FIXED-POINT PROCESS

JIANFEI HE AND ZHENG ZHU

ABSTRACT. We construct families of rational functions  $f : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  over a perfect field  $k$  with non-martingale fixed-point processes. Then for any normal variety  $X$  over  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ , we give conditions on  $f : X \rightarrow X$  to guarantee that the associated fixed-point process is a martingale. This work extends the previous work of Bridy, Jones, Kelsey, and Lodge [7] on martingale conditions and answers their question on the existence of a non-martingale fixed-point process associated with the iterated monodromy group of a rational function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Arboreal Galois representations, which study the action of the absolute Galois group of a global field on trees of iterated pre-images under rational functions, have recently noticed a recent growth in interest. The study dates to the foundational work of R. W. K. Odoni ([19, 20, 21]) in the 1980s, and followed by recent works. For example, see [3, 4, 7, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. For a good introduction to this area, see the survey [12] written by R. Jones.

Consider a rational function  $f : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  over a perfect field  $k$  and let  $t$  be an indeterminant. Let  $f^n = f \circ \cdots \circ f$  be the  $n$ -th iterate of  $f$  and consider the Galois group of the rational function  $f^n(x) - t$ , denoted by

$$G_n := \text{Gal}(K_n/k(t)).$$

Here  $K_n$  is the splitting field of  $f^n(x) - t$  over  $k(t)$ . The pre-image tree  $X^*$  associated with  $f^n$  is an infinite complete  $d$ -ary tree whose set of vertices on the  $n$ -th level is  $f^{-n}(t)$ . Let  $X_n$  denote the  $n$ -th level of the tree  $X^*$ , we have

$$X^* = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-n}(t).$$

---

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY 14608.

*E-mail addresses:* jhe22@ur.rochester.edu, zzhu32@ur.rochester.edu.

On the other hand, we may also identify  $X^*$  as a set of all finite words over an alphabet set  $X$  with  $d$  letters (the root of  $X^*$  is the empty word). The  $n$ -th level  $X_n$  contains all words  $x_1x_2 \dots x_n$  of length  $n$ . The group  $\text{Aut}(X^*)$  is profinite, which is the inverse limit of the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X_n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X_2) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X_1),$$

where the homomorphisms are given by restriction of actions on the tree.

The profinite iterated monodromy group  $G(f)$  of  $f$  over  $k(t)$  is the inverse limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of the Galois group  $G_n$ , i.e.

$$G(f)/k(t) := \varprojlim G_n.$$

The action of  $G(f)$  is faithful, so we have an embedding

$$G(f)/k(t) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(X^*) = [\text{S}_d]^\infty.$$

The profinite iterated monodromy group has been studied by a group of people in various cases. For instance, see [2, 3, 7, 6, 13, 23].

We define the fixed point proportion of  $G_n$  to be

$$\text{FPP}(G_n) = \frac{\#\{g \in G_n : g \text{ fixes at least one element in } X_n\}}{\#G_n}.$$

Note that the sequence  $\{\text{FPP}(G_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is non-increasing and each element is non-negative, so it converges. We define the fixed point proportion of  $G$  to be

$$\text{FPP}(G(f)) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{FPP}(G_n).$$

FPP relates to many arithmetic problems, in particular, if  $K_n \cap \bar{k} = k$ , then we have

$$\frac{f^n(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p))}{p+1} \approx \text{FPP}(G_n)$$

as in [17, Section 5]. As a consequence, Juul, Kurlberg, Madhu and Tucker [17, Theorem 5.3] proved that the proportion of periodic points of a rational function  $f$  is bounded above by  $\text{FPP}(G_n)$  with the assumption that  $k$  is algebraically closed in every  $K_n$ . In particular, the proportion of periodic points of  $f$  is 0 if  $\text{FPP}(G(f)) = 0$ . Bridy, Jones, Kelsey, and Lodge [7] also proved similar results for PCF maps.

In light of this, we study the fixed-point proportion of iterated Galois groups associated with  $f$ . We recall the fixed-point process introduced by Rafe Jones in [12, 13]: Let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(X^*)$ . For each  $g \in G$ , let  $\text{Fix}(g) = \{v \in X^n : g(v) = v\}$ . Define the fixed-point process  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  on  $G$  by taking

$$Y_n(g) = \#\text{Fix}(\pi_n(g))$$

where  $\pi_n : G \rightarrow G_n$  is the restriction map.

After rephrasing the problem in terms of stochastic process, we note that  $\text{FPP}(G) = 0$  is equivalent to the statement that almost all sequences  $Y_1(g), Y_2(g), \dots$  are eventually 0. Rafe Jones pointed out that one way to show that the fixed-point process converges for almost all  $G$  is to show that it is a martingale. Then the result follows by the celebrated martingale convergence theorem [9, section 12.3] as each  $Y_i(g)$  takes nonnegative values. For a good introduction to fixed-point process and martingale, see [12, section 4].

In [7], the authors showed that a wide range of rational functions have martingale fixed-point processes. Then it is natural to ask if there is a rational function  $f$  such that the fixed-point process associated with  $G(f)$  is not a martingale.

We first recall the definition of a martingale and the martingale convergence theorem in the sense of probability theory.

**Definition 1.1.** *We say that a stochastic process  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  is a **martingale**, if for all  $n \geq 2$  and any  $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$E(Y_n | Y_1 = t_1, Y_2 = t_2, \dots, Y_{n-1} = t_{n-1}) = t_{n-1}$$

*provided*

$$\text{Prob}(Y_1 = t_1, Y_2 = t_2, \dots, Y_{n-1} = t_{n-1}) > 0.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** [9, Theorem 12.3.7] *Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be a martingale. If each random variable  $Y_i$  takes non-negative values, then the sequence  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  converges almost surely.*

In section 4, we give a construction of rational functions  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  of even degree  $m$  such that the fixed-point process associated with  $G(f)$  fails to be a martingale at the second level. More precisely, we will show that  $E(Y_2 | Y_1 = d) > d$  in section 3.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For every even integer  $m \geq 4$ , there exist families of rational functions  $f(x)$  of degree  $m$  that are associated with a non-martingale fixed-point process.*

Our construction gives non-Galois rational functions whose Galois closures are function fields of genus 0 projective curves. Conversely, under this assumption, we can show that every rational function whose fixed-point process does not become a martingale on the second level is of even degree  $m$  with  $\text{Gal}(K_1/k(t)) \cong D_m$ . (see Theorem 4.4)

More generally, we study the fixed point process of recurrent group actions on a complete tree  $X^*$  over an alphabet set  $X$  with  $d$  letters, not necessarily from a rational function (see Definition 3.2 for a recurrent

group action). Fix a non-prime integer  $d$ , is there any recurrent group  $G$  acting on  $X^*$  with a non-martingale fixed-point process?

We will see that many non-martingale examples do not come from rational functions. In section 3, we construct pattern groups of depth 2 which fail to satisfy equation (1) above. Then the recurrent groups generated by these pattern groups have non-martingale fixed-point processes.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose that there is a transitive permutation group  $G$  of degree  $d$  that contains a normal transitive subgroup  $N_1$  of index  $p$  and a normal intransitive subgroup  $N_2$  of index  $p$ . Then there exists a pattern group  $\mathcal{P}$  of depth 2 such that  $G_{\mathcal{P}}$  has a non-martingale fixed-point process.*

Inspired by the wreath product condition [17, Theorem 3.1], we give conditions to guarantee that the fixed-point process associated with  $G(f)$  is a martingale. It is interesting to see if these conditions can be generalized to higher dimensions. In section 5 we give an example to show that [17, Theorem 3.1] cannot be generalized to higher dimensions directly, while the martingale conditions can be generalized to higher dimensions as in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5** (Theorem 5.3). *Consider  $\mathbb{P}_k^N$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Let  $X$  be a normal variety of  $\mathbb{P}_k^N$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a finite, generically étale  $k$ -morphism of degree  $d$  and  $f^n$  be the  $n$ -th iterate of that map. Let  $f_p$  denote the critical locus of  $f$ , which is a set of primes  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $X$  that ramify under  $f$ . there is a subset  $S \subseteq f_p$  such that the following hold:*

- (1) *For any  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \in f_p$ , and  $m, r \leq N$ , we have  $f^m(\mathfrak{p}) \neq f^r(\mathfrak{q})$  unless either (i)  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$  and  $m = r$  or (ii)  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{q}$  and  $m \leq r$ .*
- (2) *The group  $G_1$  is generated by the ramification groups of the  $f(\mathfrak{p})$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ , that is*

$$\left\langle \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \bigcup_{\substack{f^{(m)}=f(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ in} \\ K_1/f^*(K(X))}} I(\mathfrak{m}|f(\mathfrak{p})) \right\rangle = G_1$$

*Then fixed-point process associated with  $G(f)$  is a martingale.*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups acting on the finite sets  $\{1, \dots, l\}$  and  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  respectively. We denote the **wreath product** of  $G$  by*

$H$  as  $G[H]$ . Every element  $\sigma \in G[H]$  is of the form

$$\sigma = (g; h_1, \dots, h_m)$$

where  $g \in G$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_m \in H$ . We define the action of  $\sigma$  on  $\{1, \dots, l\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$  as

$$\sigma(i, j) = (g(i), h_i(j)).$$

The multiplication rule is given by

$$\sigma\tau = (g; h_1, \dots, h_m)(x; y_1, \dots, y_m) = (gx; h_1y_{g(1)}, \dots, h_my_{g(m)}),$$

where  $\sigma, \tau \in G[H]$ .

We define the  **$n$ -th wreath power** of  $G$  by  $[G]^1 = G$  and  $[G]^n = [G]^{n-1}[G]$ . It has a natural action on  $\{1, \dots, l\}^n$ .

The following Burnside's Lemma is well-known and we will provide a proof for the Coset Burnside's Lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** (Burnside's Lemma). *Let a group  $G$  act on a set  $X$ , then*

$$\frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \#X^g = \text{number of orbits of } G \text{ in } X.$$

Here  $X^g = \{x \in X \mid gx = x\}$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Coset Burnside's Lemma). *For a group  $G$  acts on a set  $X$ ,  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Then if  $H$  acts transitively on  $X$ , then for any coset  $gH$  of  $H$ , we have*

$$\frac{1}{\#H} \sum_{a \in gH} \#X^a = 1.$$

*Proof.* For any element  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , let  $a \cdot \text{St}_x$  denote the subset of  $H$  such that  $ax = y$ . As  $H$  acts transitively, such element  $a$  exists. And for any  $a^{-1}$  send  $y$  to  $x$ ,  $aa^{-1}$  is in  $\text{St}_x$ , thus  $a^{-1}$  is also in  $a \cdot \text{St}_x$ .

Let us denote the subset of  $H$  which sends  $x$  to  $y$  by  $H_{(x,y)}$ . We see that  $\#H_{(x,y)} = \#\text{St}_x$ . Consider if  $g$  send  $x_1$  in  $X$  to  $x_2$ , then in  $gH$ ,  $x_1$  is fixed only by the subset  $H_{(x_1,x_2)}$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{a \in gH} \#X^a = \sum_{x \in X} \#H_{(x,gx)} = \sum_{x \in X} \#\text{St}_x = \#H.$$

□

We will use Abhyankar's lemma frequently to compute ramification indices.

**Lemma 2.4** (Abhyankar's Lemma, [24, Theorem 3.9.1]). *Let  $k'/k$  be a finite separable extension of function fields. Suppose that  $k' = k_1k_2$  is the compositum of two intermediate fields  $k \subseteq k_1, k \subseteq k_2$ . Let  $p'$  be a place in  $k'$  lying above a place  $p$  in  $k$ , and set  $p_i = p' \cap k_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Assume tame ramifications, then*

$$e(\mathfrak{p}'|\mathfrak{p}) = \text{lcm}\{e(\mathfrak{p}_1|\mathfrak{p}), e(\mathfrak{p}_2|\mathfrak{p})\}.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not ramify in  $F$ . Then  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})$  acts trivially on  $F$  and  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}) = I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}')$ .*

*Proof.* As  $M$  is a Galois extension of  $L$  and  $F$  is an intermediate field, there is a group  $G \subset \text{Gal}(M/L)$  such that  $F = M^G$ . By definition, the inertia group  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(M/L)$  fixes the residue field  $\mathcal{O}_L \bmod \mathfrak{q}$  and the inertia group  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}')$  as a subgroup of  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$  also fix  $\mathcal{O}_L \bmod \mathfrak{q}$ . That means  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}') = I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}) \cap G$ .

Also, as  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not ramify in  $F$ , the ramification index  $e(\mathfrak{p}'|\mathfrak{p})=1$ , that means  $e(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}) = e(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}')e(\mathfrak{p}'|\mathfrak{p}) = e(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}')$ , or we can say  $\#I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}) = \#I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}')$ . Then we need to show that  $F$  is in the fixed field of  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})$ .

If  $F$  is not in that fixed field, there exists an element  $\sigma$  in  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})$  such that  $\sigma$  does not fix  $F$ . Thus  $\sigma$  is not in the group  $G$  and  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}') = I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}) \cap G$  is a proper subgroup of  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})$ . Therefore,  $\#I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}) \neq \#I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}')$  is a contradiction.

That means  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})$  acts trivially on  $F$ . That also means  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}) \leq G$ , thus  $I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}') = I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}) \cap G = I(\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p})$  as we desired.  $\square$

**Definition 2.6.** *The monodromy group of  $f : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  of degree  $d$  over a field  $k$  is the Galois group of  $f(x) - t$  over  $k$ . We say that a transitive subgroup  $G$  of  $S_d$  is primitive if  $G$  preserves no non-trivial partition of  $\{1, \dots, d\}$ .*

*We say a field extension is primitive if the Galois group  $G$  corresponds to a field with no non-trivial proper subfields. Under the Galois correspondence, it is equivalent to  $G$  acting primitively.*

The following lemma is well-known.

**Lemma 2.7.** *A rational function  $f \in k(x)$  is indecomposable if and only if the monodromy group of  $f$  is primitive. And every non-trivial normal subgroup of a primitive group is transitive.*

The following cycle lemma allows us to determine the Galois group of a rational function  $f$  by its ramification type.

**Lemma 2.8** (Cycle Lemma[1]). *Let  $k$  be a function field over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let  $L$  be a finite extension of  $k$  and  $M$  be its Galois closure. Let  $p$  be a place of  $k$  and let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_r$*

be the places of  $L$  lying over  $p$ . Let  $e_i = e(Q_i|p)$  be the ramification indices. Then  $\text{Gal}(M/k)$  contains a permutation which is a product of  $r$  disjoint cycles of length  $e_1, \dots, e_r$ .

### 3. GROUP ACTIONS ON TREES

In this section, we give a general construction of recurrent group actions that fail to be a martingale on the second level. We need the following definitions.

**Definition 3.1.** For every automorphism  $g \in \text{Aut}(X^*)$  and every  $v \in X^*$ , the **section** of  $g$  at  $v$ , denoted by  $g_v$ , is the unique automorphism such that

$$g(vx) = g(v)g_v(x), \quad \forall x \in X^*$$

We are now ready to define a recurrent group action.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(X^*)$ . Denote by  $G_v = \text{St}_G(v)|_{X_v}$  the subgroup of  $G$  of elements which fix vertex  $v \in X^*$  with the action restricted only to the subtree  $X_v$ . We have a group homomorphism

$$\phi_v : \text{St}_G(v) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X), \quad g \mapsto g_v.$$

We say that  $G$  is **recurrent** if  $G$  acts transitively on  $X^*$  and  $\phi_v(\text{St}_G(v)) = G$  for all  $v \in X^*$ . It is well-known that every iterated monodromy group is recurrent, see [18].

Next, we introduce the definition of a pattern group which will be essential in our non-martingale construction. For a more detailed discussion on pattern group, see [5].

**Definition 3.3.** A subgroup  $\mathcal{P} \leq \text{Aut}(X_n)$  is called a **pattern group** of depth  $n$  if every section  $g_v$  has the same action on  $\text{Aut}(X_n)$  as some element in  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e.,  $g_v|_{X_n} \in \mathcal{P}$ . We call

$$G_{\mathcal{P}} = \{g \in \text{Aut}(X^*) : g_v|_{X_n} \in \mathcal{P} \text{ for every } v \in X^*\}$$

the **recurrent group of finite type** given by the pattern group  $\mathcal{P}$ .

In particular, if  $\mathcal{P} \leq S_d$ , then the infinite wreath product  $[\mathcal{P}]^\infty$  is an example of recurrent group of finite type given by the pattern group  $\mathcal{P}$  of depth 1. Conversely, every recurrent group of finite type given by patterns of depth 1 is of this form.

It is easy to see that every  $G_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X^*)$ , hence a profinite group. A very good introduction to recurrent groups with applications to dynamics and fractal geometry can be found in the monograph [18] of Nekrashevych.

We recall the definition of martingale in the sense of probability theory.

**Definition 3.4.** We say that a stochastic process  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  is a **martingale**, if for all  $n \geq 2$  and any  $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$E(Y_n | Y_1 = t_1, Y_2 = t_2, \dots, Y_{n-1} = t_{n-1}) = t_{n-1}$$

provided

$$\text{Prob}(Y_1 = t_1, Y_2 = t_2, \dots, Y_{n-1} = t_{n-1}) > 0.$$

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $G$  be a transitive group acting on  $m$  letters  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  and  $H$  be a group acting on  $n$  letters  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ . Consider the wreath product  $G[H]$  and the restriction map  $\pi : G[H] \rightarrow G$ . We say that  $G[H]$  has the **average fixed point lifting property** if for each  $g \in G$ , the average number of fixed points for lifts of  $g$  to  $G[H]$  on  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \times \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  is equal to the number of fixed points of  $g$  on  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ , i.e.

$$(1) \quad \#\text{Fix}(g) = \frac{1}{\#\pi^{-1}(g)} \sum_{\pi(g')=g} \#\text{Fix}(g'),$$

**Lemma 3.6.** We use the same notation as in Definition 3.5. If  $H$  is a primitive group, then  $G[H]$  has the average fixed point lifting property unless  $\#G[H] = \#G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $N$  denote the kernel of  $\pi$  whose elements are of the form  $(e; h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)$ , where  $h_i \in H$ . Then for each factor of  $N$ , the projection onto that factor is a normal subgroup of  $H$ . By Lemma 2.7, it acts either transitively or trivially on each subtree  $a_i^*$ . When it acts transitively, coset Burnside's lemma implies that the average number of fixed points for lifts of  $g$  on  $\pi^{-1}(a_j)$  is equal to 1, where  $a_j$  is a fixed point of  $g$ . Therefore, equation 1 holds for all  $g \in G$ , i.e.,  $G[H]$  has the average fixed point lifting property. When it is trivial, we have  $\#G[H] = \#G$ .  $\square$

Bridy, Jones, Kelsey, and Lodge proved the following condition of a martingale fixed-point process.

**Theorem 3.7** ([7, Theorem 5.7]). Let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(X^*)$ . Let  $H_n$  be the kernel of the restriction map  $\text{res}_n : G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1}$ . The fixed-point process for  $G$  is a martingale if and only if for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $v \in X_{n-1}$ , the subgroup  $H_n$  acts transitively on the level one subtree  $v^* = \{vx : x \in X\}$ .

We show that the fixed-point process of  $G$  being a martingale is equivalent to  $G_n$  having the average fixed-point lifting property for all  $n \geq 1$ .



**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(X^*)$ . The group  $G_n$  has the average fixed-point lifting property for all  $n \geq 1$  if and only if the fixed-point process for  $G$  is a martingale.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the fixed-point process for  $G$  is a martingale, then by Theorem 3.7,  $H_n$  acts transitively on  $v^*$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $v \in X_{n-1}$ . Now fix a vertex  $v \in X_{n-1}$ , by coset Burnside's lemma, we have

$$\sum_{g \in \tau H_n} \#\text{Fix}(g)|_{v^*} = \#H_n.$$

Note that we have fixed points on  $v^*$  only if  $v$  is fixed by  $\tau$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{\#H_n} \sum_{g \in \tau H_n} \#\text{Fix}(g) = \frac{1}{\#H_n} \sum_{v: \tau(v)=v} \sum_{g \in \tau H_n} \#\text{Fix}(g)|_{v^*} = \#\text{Fix}(\text{res}_n(g)).$$

This shows that  $G_n$  has the average fixed-point lifting property for all  $n \geq 1$ .

On the other hand, suppose that the fixed-point process for  $G$  is not a martingale. Again by Theorem 3.7,  $H_n$  acts non-transitively on  $v^*$  for some  $v \in X_{n-1}$ . Burnside's lemma implies that

$$\frac{1}{\#H_n} \sum_{g \in H_n} \#\text{Fix}(g)|_{v^*} > 1,$$

as  $H_n$  has more than one orbit in  $v^*$ . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{\#H_n} \sum_{g \in \tau H_n} \#\text{Fix}(g) = \frac{1}{\#H_n} \sum_{v: \tau(v)=v} \sum_{g \in \tau H_n} \#\text{Fix}(g)|_{v^*} > \#\text{Fix}(\text{res}_n(g)).$$

Therefore,  $G_n$  does not have the average fixed-point lifting property.  $\square$

**Pattern Group Construction.** We are ready to construct pattern groups of depth 2 with non-martingale fixed-point process. First, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.9** ([8, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $d$  be an integer that has a prime factor  $p$  such that  $p \mid \phi(d)$ . Then there is a transitive permutation group  $G$  of degree  $d$  that contains a normal transitive subgroup  $N_1$  of index  $p$  and a normal intransitive subgroup  $N_2$  of index  $p$ . In particular, there is an isomorphism  $\sigma : G/N_1 \rightarrow G/N_2$ .*

Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $d$  as above, we construct a pattern group  $\mathcal{P}$  of depth 2 that fails to be a martingale, then  $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ , the recurrent group of finite type given by  $\mathcal{P}$ , also fails to be a martingale.

Consider the group isomorphism  $\sigma : G/N_1 \rightarrow G/N_2$ . It sends  $N_1$  to  $N_2$  and non-trivial cosets of  $N_1$  to nontrivial cosets of  $N_2$ . All elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  are of the form

$$(aN_1; \sigma(a)N_2, \dots, \sigma(a)N_2),$$

where  $a \in G$ . In particular, we have  $(N_1; N_2, \dots, N_2)$ .

It follows from the construction that the kernel  $H_2$  of the restriction map  $\text{res}_2 : G_2 \rightarrow G_1$  acts the same as  $N_2$  over each vertex on  $X_1$ . To see  $\mathcal{P}$  has a non-martingale fixed-point process, we notice that on average a lift of  $e$  has more than  $d$  fixed points, i.e.,

$$d = \#\text{Fix}(e) < \frac{1}{\#\text{res}_2^{-1}(g)} \sum_{\text{res}_2(g)=e} \#\text{Fix}(g) = \frac{d}{\#N_2} \sum_{g \in N_2} \#\text{Fix}(g),$$

violating equation (1), as  $N_2$  is intransitive.

It remains to show that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a subgroup of  $G \wr G$ . It is closed under multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} & (aN_1; \sigma(a)N_2, \dots, \sigma(a)N_2) (bN_1; \sigma(b)N_2, \dots, \sigma(b)N_2) \\ &= (abN_1; \sigma(ab)N_2, \dots, \sigma(ab)N_2) \in \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned}$$

and inverse exists: for each element  $(ag; \sigma(a)g_1, \dots, \sigma(a)g_d) \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $h_i = g_{ag(i)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (ag; \sigma(a)g_1, \dots, \sigma(a)g_d) ((ag)^{-1}; (\sigma(a)h_1)^{-1}, \dots, (\sigma(a)h_d)^{-1}) \\ &= (e; e, \dots, e), \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that  $\mathcal{P}$  is recurrent. It is sufficient to show that for each  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , we have

$$aN_1 \cap \text{St}_{\mathcal{P}}(i) \neq \emptyset.$$

Indeed, for each  $g \in aN_1 \cap \text{St}_{\mathcal{P}}(i)$ , we have  $g_i = \phi_i(g) \in \sigma(a)N_2$ . Hence

$$\phi_i(\text{St}_{\mathcal{P}}(i)) = \bigcup_{a \in G} \sigma(a)N_2 = G.$$

Now we show that the claim holds. Since  $N_1$  is transitive, there exists  $b \in aN_1$  such that  $b(j) = i$  for some vertex  $j$ . And there exists  $h \in N_1$  such that  $h(i) = j$ , hence  $bh(i) = b(j) = i$ . Let  $g = bh$ , then  $g \in bN_1 \cap \text{St}_{\mathcal{P}}(i)$ . Since  $N_1$  is a normal subgroup, we have

$$b^{-1}bN_1b = N_1b = bN_1.$$

Thus, we have  $b^{-1}gb \in bN_1 \cap \text{St}_{\mathcal{P}}(j)$ .

Therefore,  $G_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a recurrent subgroup of  $[G]^{\infty}$  with non-martingale fixed-point process.  $\square$

**Example.** All examples of non-martingale pattern groups that we know come from dihedral groups and metacyclic groups.

- (1) The simplest possible example comes from the degree 4 dihedral group  $D_4$ . Note that  $N_1 = \langle r \rangle = \langle (1\,2\,3\,4) \rangle$  and  $N_2 = \langle (1\,3), (2\,4) \rangle$  are transitive and intransitive normal subgroups of  $D_4$  of index 2, respectively. Then the recurrent group  $G_{\mathcal{P}}$  of finite type generated by

$$\mathcal{P} = (N_1; N_2, N_2, N_2, N_2) \cup ((1\,3)N_1; rN_2, rN_2, rN_2, rN_2)$$

has a non-martingale fixed-point process.

- (2) For  $p \geq 3$ , let  $G = \langle r, f \rangle$  be a metacyclic group. Then  $N_1 = \langle r \rangle$  and  $N_2 = \langle f, r^p \rangle$  are transitive and intransitive normal subgroups of index  $p$ , respectively. All elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  are of the form

$$(f^i N_1; r^i N_2, \dots, r^i N_2),$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq p$ . In particular, we have  $(N_1; N_2, \dots, N_2)$ .

#### 4. NON-MARTINGALE ITERATED MONODROMY GROUPS

In this section, we first give conditions that ensure that the fixed-point process of a rational function is a martingale. This is inspired by the work of Juul, Kurlberg, Madhu and Tucker [17, Theorem 5.3]. We then give a construction of rational functions with non-martingale fixed-point processes.

In light of Theorem 3.9, we will start our construction by looking for Galois group  $G$  with non-trivial transitive subgroups and intransitive normal subgroups of the same index. The smallest possible example is the dihedral group  $D_4$  of order 8, where

$$N_2 = \{e, (1\,3), (2\,4), (1\,3)(2\,4)\}$$

is an intransitive normal subgroup of  $D_4$ , while  $N_1 = \langle r \rangle$  is a transitive normal subgroup of the same index.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The only proper normal subgroups of  $D_d$  are the subgroups of  $\langle r \rangle$  when  $d$  is odd. The only additional proper normal subgroups of  $D_d$  when  $d$  is even are  $\langle r^2, s \rangle$  and  $\langle r^2, rs \rangle$ .*

We note that  $N_1 = \langle r^2, rs \rangle$  is a transitive normal subgroup of  $D_d$  of index 2 and  $N_2 = \langle r^2, s \rangle$  is an intransitive normal subgroup of  $D_d$  of the same index.

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 3.6.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Consider a sequence  $\{f_i\}_i$  of indecomposable rational functions. Let  $L_n$  be the Galois closure for  $f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_n$  and let  $H_n$*

be its Galois group over  $k(t)$ . Then each  $H_n$  has the average fixed point lifting property unless  $L_n = L_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $N_n$  denote the kernel of the restriction map  $\text{res}_n : H_n \rightarrow H_{n-1}$ . Note that the elements in  $N_n$  are of the form  $(e; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$ , where each factor  $g_i$  is an element of a primitive group that acts on each subtree over  $X_{n-1}$ . Since  $N_n$  is a normal subgroup of  $L_n$ , it acts either transitively or trivially on each subtree over  $X_{n-1}$  by Lemma 2.7. When  $N_n$  acts transitively,  $H_n$  has the average fixed point lifting property by Lemma 3.6. When  $N_n$  is trivial, we have  $L_n = L_{n-1}$ .  $\square$

For the rest of the paper, we will denote by  $K_n$  the splitting field of  $\phi^n$ . When  $\phi$  has a decomposition  $\phi = f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_n$ , we denote by  $L_{mn+r}$  the splitting field of  $\phi^m \circ \dots \circ f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_r$  for  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . In particular, if  $\phi = f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_n$

To construct rational functions whose associated iterated monodromy group has a non-martingale fixed-point process, we focus on decomposable rational functions with geometric monodromy group  $D_n$  when  $n \geq 4$  is even. We start with the  $D_4$  construction in detail.

**Example.** Let  $d = 4$ . For  $a, b \in k$ ,

$$\phi(x) = \frac{ax^4 - ax^2 + a + b}{ax^4 - ax^2 - \frac{a}{2} - b}$$


gives a one-parameter family of degree 4 rational functions with non-martingale fixed-point processes. It is a one-parameter family since it is invariant under scaling  $a, b$  by a constant. We will also show that this family contains infinitely many PCF maps later.

The construction is presented as follows: consider a degree 4 polynomial  $f = x^4 - x^2 + 1$ . We can decompose it as a composition of two quadratic polynomials  $g_1$  and  $h$ , where  $g_1(x) = x^2 + x + 1$  and  $h(x) = x^2 - 1$ . Note that  $f$  has critical points  $\{0, \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \infty\}$ , where  $\infty$  is totally ramified,  $\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$  has ramification type 2-2, and 0 has ramification type 2-1-1 with unramified points  $\pm 1$ . Post-composing a Möbius transformation

$$r(x) = \frac{ax + b}{ax - \frac{3}{2}a - b} \quad (3a \neq -4b, a \neq 0)$$

so that

$$\begin{cases} r(f(\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})) = -1 \\ r(\infty) = 1 \end{cases},$$

FIGURE 1. Ramification Portraits of  $g \circ \psi$ .

we get a 1-parameter family of degree 4 rational functions

$$\phi = r \circ f = \frac{ax^4 - ax^2 + a + b}{ax^4 - ax^2 - \frac{a}{2} - b} = g \circ h,$$

where

$$g = r \circ g_1 = \frac{ax^2 + ax + a + b}{ax^2 + ax - \frac{a}{2} - b}.$$

In particular, by fixing the critical point 0 (let  $a + b = 0$ ), we get a PCF rational function

$$\psi = \frac{2x^4 - 2x^2}{2x^4 - 2x^2 + 1}.$$

In fact, we can get infinitely many PCF rational functions by controlling the forward orbit of 0.

From the fact that  $\phi$  is decomposable, and its ramification type, we know that its Galois closure  $L_2$  has degree 8 over  $\bar{k}$  with  $\text{Gal}(\phi(x) - t/\bar{k}) = D_4$ . Let  $\pm u_1$  and  $\pm u_2$  denote the roots of  $\phi$  in its splitting field. Both  $k(u_1)$  and  $k(u_2)$  have degree 4 over  $k$ . The place corresponding to  $\infty$  is totally ramified in both extensions  $k(u_1)/k$  and  $k(u_2)/k$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\infty$  has ramification type 4-4 in  $L_2$ . Similarly for the places corresponding to  $\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ , they have ramification index 2 in both intermediate extensions. Then by Lemma 2.4, the ramification type of their critical value is 2-2-2-2 in  $L_2$ . Since  $L_2$  is Galois, we see that the only possible ramification type of the critical value of 0 in  $L_2$  is 2-2-2-2 by counting degrees.

By Riemann-Hurwitz,

$$g(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 - 2d + \sum_{a \in \phi^{-1}(0)} e_\phi(a) \right) = 0$$




FIGURE 2. We get a level 4 tree by decomposing  $\phi$  as  $g \circ h$ .

we see that  $\tilde{\phi} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  is a finite separable morphism of smooth projective curves of genus 0, where  $K_1 = k(\mathcal{C})$  is the function field of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Now we show that its fixed-point process is not a martingale. By the nature of composition, we decompose our 4-ary tree of level two into a binary tree of level 4 (see figure 2). By computing the ramification portrait of  $g \circ \phi$  explicitly (we draw the ramification portrait for  $g \circ \psi$  in figure 1 for simplicity), we see that  $L_3$  has the same ramification type as  $K_1$ . Therefore,  $L_3 = K_1$ . Thus, there is no element  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $\sigma(w_1) = -w_1$ . Then the kernel  $H$  acts intransitively on the subtree  $u_{1*}$ . Therefore, the fixed-point process is not a martingale.

It is interesting to know if the profinite iterated monodromy groups of the rational functions in the construction are recurrent group  $G_{\mathcal{P}}$  of finite type generated by some pattern group  $\mathcal{P}$ . We make the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 4.3.** *Let  $f \in k(x)$  be a non-PCF rational function, then  $G(f)/k$  is a recurrent group of finite type generated by some pattern group  $\mathcal{P}$  of depth  $d$ , where  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Gal}(K_d/k(x))$ .*

Next, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3 by generalizing the degree 4 construction above to all even degrees.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $m$  be an even integer. Let  $g(x)$  be a quadratic polynomial with two distinct roots  $t_1, t_2$ . Denote its critical points by  $a, \infty$ . We have two cases:

- (1) When  $m/2$  is odd: let  $h(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $m/2$  such that

$$\begin{cases} h(x) = t_i \text{ has } \lfloor m/2 \rfloor \text{ double roots for each } i, \\ h(x) = a \text{ has distinct simple roots.} \end{cases}$$

- (2) When  $m/2$  is even: let  $h(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $m/2$  such that

$$\begin{cases} h(x) = t_1 \text{ has } m/2 \text{ double roots,} \\ h(x) = t_2 \text{ has } \frac{m}{4} - 1 \text{ double roots and two simple roots,} \\ h(x) = a \text{ has distinct simple roots.} \end{cases}$$

Considering the graph of  $h(x)$ , there exists infinitely many such  $h(x)$  for each  $g(x)$ . Let

$$f(x) = \frac{c}{g \circ h(x)},$$

where  $c$  is any non-zero constant. Note that  $\infty$  is always totally ramified over 0; the condition  $h(x) = a$  guarantees that  $f$  has ramification type  $[2, \dots, 2]$  over  $g(a)$ ; the remaining conditions guarantee that  $f$  has ramification type  $[2, \dots, 2, 1, 1]$  over  $\infty$ . Let  $r$  be a Möbius transformation that sends 0 and  $g(a)$  to the two simple roots over  $\infty$ . Then  $\phi = r \circ f$  is a desired rational function of degree  $m$  with  $\text{Gal}(k(\phi^{-1}(t))/\bar{k}(t)) = D_m$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\phi \circ g$  has the same ramification type  $[m], [2, \dots, 2], [2, \dots, 2]$  as the Galois closure  $K_1$ . By the cycle lemma, we have  $\text{Gal}(k((g \circ \phi)^{-1}(t))/\bar{k}(t)) = D_m$ . Hence  $L_3 = K_1$ , then the kernel  $H$  of the restriction map

$$\pi_n : \text{Gal}(k((g \circ \phi)^{-1}(t))/\bar{k}(t)) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k((\phi)^{-1}(t))/\bar{k}(t))$$

is trivial, hence acts trivially on each sub-tree. Therefore, the associated fixed-point process is not a martingale.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Assume that the genus of the splitting field associated to  $\phi$  is 0, then every rational function whose fixed-point process fails to be a martingale is of degree  $m$  with  $\text{Gal}(K_1/k(t)) \cong D_m$  for some even integer  $m$ .*

The following classical result due to Klein is key to our proof.

**Lemma 4.5.** *All finite subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$  are*

- (1) cyclic group  $C_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .
- (2) dihedral group  $D_n$  of order  $2n$  for  $n \geq 2$ .
- (3)  $A_4$
- (4)  $S_4$

(5)  $A_5$

*Proof of Theorem 4.4.* We begin the proof by showing that if  $\text{Gal}(\phi(x) - t/\bar{k}(t)) = D_n$  for  $n$  odd, then the fixed-point process associated with  $\phi$  is a martingale.

Let  $n$  be odd. Suppose that  $\text{Gal}(\phi(x) - t/\bar{k}(t)) = D_n$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the group elements fix  $i$  are the identity  $e$  and a reflection that fixes  $i$ . Let  $H_i$  denote the subgroup that fixes  $i$  at the first level. Let  $K$  be the subgroup that is the identity on the first level. Then  $|H_i| = 2|K|$ . Consider the restriction map  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(i^*)$ , we have  $[\pi(H_i) : \pi(K)] \leq 2$ . Since  $G$  is recurrent, we know  $\pi(H_i) \cong D_n$ . Hence  $\pi(K) = D_n$  or  $\langle r \rangle$ , both of them are transitive subgroups of  $D_n$ . Hence it satisfies the criterion of being a martingale.

To finish the proof, we exclude (1), (3), (4), (5) from lemma 4.5. It is well known that  $A_5$  is simple and  $A_4$ , and  $S_4$  do not have non-trivial intransitive normal subgroups, so their associated fixed-point processes are automatically martingale. Therefore, by the lemma and the claim presented above, we see that the only choices come from even dihedral groups  $D_n$  and  $C_n$ . However,  $C_n$  is the smallest transitive group of degree  $n$ , it does not contain any proper transitive subgroup. Therefore, all possible examples come from even dihedral groups  $D_n$  of order  $2n$  for  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that our construction gives rational functions with  $g(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ . There might exist more rational functions with a non-martingale fixed-point process if we drop the assumption that  $g(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ . However, to prove that such rational functions exist, we will need a new method.

## 5. MARTINGALE FIXED POINT PROCESS IN ARBITRARY DIMENSIONS

In this section, we give conditions on  $f$  such that its fixed point process is a martingale in arbitrary dimensions. This is inspired by the conditions of the wreath product [17, Theorem 3.1].

We begin with some notation. Consider  $\mathbb{P}_k^N$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Let  $X$  be a normal variety of  $\mathbb{P}_k^N$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a finite, generically étale  $k$ -morphism of degree  $d$  and  $f^n$  be the  $n$ -th iterate of that map. Then we have the induced map  $f^* : K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ , and we may also define  $(f^n)^*$ . Since  $f$  is generically étale, the extension  $f^*(K(X))$  over  $K(X)$  is separable. Let  $K_1$  be the Galois closure of  $f^*(K(X))$  over  $K(X)$ , and similarly  $K_n$  be the Galois closure of  $(f^n)^*(K(X))$  over  $K(X)$ .






FIGURE 3. Tree of cosets

Before we state the theorem, we first give an example to show that [17, Theorem 3.1] cannot be generalized to higher dimensions directly.

**Example.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \times \mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1 \times \mathbb{P}_k^1$  be a split morphism over an algebraically closed field  $k$  given by  $\phi(x, y) = (\phi_1(x), \phi_2(y))$ , where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are disjoint rational functions from  $\mathbb{P}^1$  to itself. This induces a field extension  $M$  of  $k(t, u)$  generated by all the solutions of  $\phi_1(x) = t$  and  $\phi_2(y) = u$ . Let  $L_1 = k(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)$  and  $L_2 = k(u, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_e)$ , where  $z_i$ 's are the roots of  $\phi_1(x) = t$  and  $w_j$ 's are the roots of  $\phi_2(x) = u$ .

As  $(L_1 \cdot k(u)) \cap (L_2 \cdot k(t)) = k(t, u)$  and  $(L_1 \cdot k(u)) \cdot (L_2 \cdot k(t)) = M$ , we have  $\text{Gal}(M/k(t, u)) = \text{Gal}(L_1/k(t)) \times \text{Gal}(L_2/k(t))$ . This is always true when  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are disjoint.

Let  $G = \text{Gal}(M/k(t, u))$ ,  $H_1 = \text{Gal}(L_1/k(t))$  and  $H_2 = \text{Gal}(L_2/k(t))$ . Suppose  $\phi$  satisfies the assumptions of [17, Theorem 3.1],  $\phi^n$  is still a split map in the form of  $(\phi_1^n, \phi_2^n)(x, y) = (\phi_1^n(x), \phi_2^n(y))$ , hence we have  $G_n = [H_1]^n \times [H_2]^n \neq [G]^n$  unless one of  $H_1$  or  $H_2$  is trivial.

Let  $G_n$  denote the Galois group of  $K_n$ . Let  $H_n$  be the subgroup of  $G_n$  that fixes  $K_{n-1}$ . In particular, we have  $H_1 = G_1$ . Here, we use the same idea as in [10, Section 4], we may view  $G_{n+1}$  as a group of permutations of the set  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is the set of the left cosets of  $H_{n+1}$  in  $G_{n+1}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{G_1\}$  and  $\#\mathcal{A}_1 = d$ . That means we can replace the tree of roots in the previous sections with the tree of the cosets of  $H_n$  as in Figure 3. Here, we still have  $G_n$  acting on  $X_n$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $V, W$  be normal schemes and let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a finite, separable morphism. We say that a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $W$  ramifies under  $\phi$  if  $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_r^{e_r}$  for some  $e_i > 1$ , where  $B$  is the integral closure of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $f_p$  denote the critical locus of  $f : X \rightarrow X$ , which is a set of primes  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $X$  that ramify under  $f$ .

Use the same notation as in the previous sections, let  $Y_1(g), Y_2(g), \dots$  be the fixed point process of  $g \in G$ , where the sample space of each random variable  $Y_i(g)$  is a set of non-negative integers. We give conditions to guarantee a martingale fixed point process in arbitrary dimensions.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and suppose that there is a subset  $S \subseteq f_p$  such that the following hold:*

- (1) *For any  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \in f_p$ , and  $m, r \leq N$ , we have  $f^m(\mathfrak{p}) \neq f^r(\mathfrak{q})$  unless either (i)  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$  and  $m = r$  or (ii)  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{q}$  and  $m \leq r$ .*
- (2) *The group  $G_1$  is generated by the ramification groups of the  $f(\mathfrak{p})$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ , that is*

$$\left\langle \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \bigcup_{\substack{f^{(m)}=f(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ in} \\ K_1/f^*(K(X))}} I(\mathfrak{m}|f(\mathfrak{p})) \right\rangle = G_1$$

*Then fixed-point process associated with  $G(f)$  is a martingale.*

**Remark.** Compared with the conditions of being a wreath product in [17, Theorem 3.1], Theorem 5.3 allows for an additional situation (ii). Pink [22] studied quadratic rational functions with critical orbits that satisfied our conditions and showed that the associated iterated monodromy groups are not wreath products.

We may introduce the following Lemma as a generalization of [17, Lemma 3.4] to higher dimensions.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $n < N$ , every prime of  $X$  that is ramified under  $f^n$  is of the form  $f^j(\mathfrak{q})$ , for some prime  $\mathfrak{q} \in f_p$  and some  $j \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly true for  $n = 1$ , to prove the lemma by induction, we claim that it is true for the case of  $n - 1$ .

Consider the field extension  $L \subseteq M \subseteq F$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be any prime in  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , it follows from  $e(\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_L) = e(\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_M) \cdot e(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_M|\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_L)$  that a prime ramifies in  $F$  only when it ramifies in  $M$  or the prime lying over it in  $M$  ramifies in  $F$ . For a prime  $\mathfrak{q}'$  ramifies under  $f^n$ , we have either  $\mathfrak{q}' \in f_p$  or some prime  $\mathfrak{q}''$  lying over  $\mathfrak{q}'$  ramifies under  $f^{n-1}$ . In the second case,  $\mathfrak{q}'$  can be written as  $f(\mathfrak{q}'')$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}''$  is of the form  $f^j(\mathfrak{q})$  for some prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  in  $f_p$  and some  $j \leq n - 1$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{q}'$  must have the form  $f^j(\mathfrak{q})$ , for some prime  $\mathfrak{q} \in f_p$  and some  $j \leq n$  as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.3.* Consider the Galois group  $G' \cong G_1$  as a subgroup of  $G_{n+1}$  acting on the subtree  $\omega^*$  of level one rooted at  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ . Let  $L'_\omega/L_\omega$  be the field extension associated with  $G'$ . By condition (2),  $G'$  is generated by the inertia groups.

Then we must have some prime  $\mathfrak{q}'$  corresponding to a generator of  $G'$ . Lemma 5.4 implies that  $\mathfrak{q}'$  must be in the form of  $f^r(\mathfrak{q})$ , where  $\mathfrak{q} \in f_p$  and  $r \leq n-1$ . Let  $I$  be the group generated by the ramification groups over  $\mathfrak{q}'$ , that is:

$$\langle \{I(\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q}') \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ extends } \mathfrak{q}' \text{ in } L'_\omega\} \rangle.$$

Then  $I = G'$ . Let  $Z$  be the variety as the Galois closure of  $X$  induced by  $K_n/K(X)$ . See [10, section 3] for more details on this construction. We need to show that  $\mathfrak{q}'$  does not ramify in  $Z$ .

By condition (1), for any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $S$ ,  $f^n(\mathfrak{p}) \neq f^r(\mathfrak{q})$ . That means  $\mathfrak{q}'$  cannot have the form  $f^n(\mathfrak{q})$ , but a prime that is ramified in  $Z$  should be in that form, and thus  $\mathfrak{q}'$  does not ramify in  $Z$  as we want. That means  $G'$  is a group contained in  $G_{n+1}$  that acts trivially on  $K_n$ . For any of the previous  $\mathfrak{q}'$ , consider the prime  $\mathfrak{q}'_1$  extends  $\mathfrak{q}'$  in  $L'_\omega$ , the prime  $\mathfrak{q}'_2$  in  $K_n$  that extends  $\mathfrak{q}'$ , and the prime  $\mathfrak{q}''$  in  $K_{n+1}$  that extends  $\mathfrak{q}'_2$ . As  $\mathfrak{q}''$  also extends  $\mathfrak{q}'_1$  and  $e(\mathfrak{q}'_2|\mathfrak{q}') = 1$ , also notice  $L'_\omega \cdot K_n \subseteq K_{n+1}$ , applying Lemma 2.4, we get a surjective restriction map from  $I(\mathfrak{q}''|\mathfrak{q}')$  to  $I(\mathfrak{q}'_1|\mathfrak{q}')$ .

And as  $\mathfrak{q}'$  is unramified in  $K_n$ , by our condition and Lemma 2.5,  $I(\mathfrak{q}''|\mathfrak{q}') = I(\mathfrak{q}''|\mathfrak{q}'_2)$  generates  $H_{n+1}$ , which means  $I = G'$  is the group when  $H_{n+1}$  is restricted to the actions only on  $\omega*$  and fix all other branches on the  $n$ -th level of the tree. We have  $G_1$  acts transitively on the elements of  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . As the restriction map is surjective,  $G'$  also acts transitively when it restricts to the action on each subtree on  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . Therefore, the result follows from Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 5.3, we have*

$$\text{Prob}(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n, \dots \text{ is eventually constant}) = 1.$$

The following example shows that the fixed point process being a martingale does not necessarily imply that  $G_n = [G_1]^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Example.** Let  $k$  be a number field, consider any degree  $d$  Chebyshev polynomial in  $k[x]$ . Let  $G_n$  be its corresponding  $n$ -th iterated Galois Group and  $H_n$  be the subgroup fix all roots in  $n$ -th level as above. By [13, Proposition 1.2],  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{FPP}(G_n) \neq 0$ . Hence  $G_n$  is not a  $n$ -th wreath product of  $G_1$ .

On the other hand,  $H_n$  is generated by the ramification group over the point at infinity, which has degree  $d^n$  and acts transitively on each subtree at the  $n$ -th level. That means it can induce a martingale as above.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are extremely grateful to our advisor Thomas Tucker for guiding us into this fascinating arithmetic world. We are deeply indebted to his enlightening discussions during the preparation of this paper and careful proofreading of the first draft. The second author would also like to thank Juan Rivera-Letelier and Dinesh Thakur for their wonderful lectures which triggered his interest in both arithmetic geometry and dynamical systems.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Shreeram S. Abhyankar. Alternating group coverings of the affine line for characteristic greater than two. *Math. Ann.*, 296(1):63–68, 1993.
- [2] Faseeh Ahmad, Robert L. Benedetto, Jennifer Cain, Gregory Carroll, and Lily Fang. The arithmetic basilica: a quadratic PCF arboreal Galois group. *J. Number Theory*, 238:842–868, 2022.
- [3] Robert L. Benedetto, Xander Faber, Benjamin Hutz, Jamie Juul, and Yu Yasufuku. A large arboreal Galois representation for a cubic postcritically finite polynomial. *Res. Number Theory*, 3:Paper No. 29, 21, 2017.
- [4] Robert L. Benedetto and Jamie Juul. Odoni’s conjecture for number fields. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 51(2):237–250, 2019.
- [5] Ievgen V. Bondarenko and Igor O. Samoilovych. On finite generation of self-similar groups of finite type. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 23(1):69–79, 2013.
- [6] Andrew Bridy, John R. Doyle, Dragos Ghioca, Liang-Chung Hsia, and Thomas J. Tucker. Finite index theorems for iterated Galois groups of unicritical polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(1):733–752, 2021.
- [7] Andrew Bridy, Rafe Jones, Gregory Kelsey, and Russell Lodge. Iterated monodromy groups of rational functions and periodic points over finite fields, 2022.
- [8] Arda Demirhan, Jacob Miller, Yixu Qiu, Thomas J. Tucker, and Zheng Zhu. Transitive and non-transitive subgroups of permutation groups. *Involve*. (to appear).
- [9] Geoffrey R. Grimmett and David R. Stirzaker. *Probability and random processes*. Oxford University Press, New York, third edition, 2001.
- [10] Robert M. Guralnick, Thomas J. Tucker, and Michael E. Zieve. Exceptional Covers and Bijections on Rational Points. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2007, 01 2007. rnm004.
- [11] Rafe Jones. The density of prime divisors in the arithmetic dynamics of quadratic polynomials. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 78(2):523–544, 2008.
- [12] Rafe Jones. Galois representations from pre-image trees: an arboreal survey. *Publications mathématiques de Besançon. Algèbre et théorie des nombres*, pages 107–136, 2013.
- [13] Rafe Jones. Fixed-point-free elements of iterated monodromy groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(3):2023–2049, 2015.
- [14] Rafe Jones and Michelle Manes. Galois theory of quadratic rational functions. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 89(1):173–213, 2014.
- [15] J. Juul. Iterates of generic polynomials and generic rational functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371(2):809–831, 2019.

- [16] Jamie Juul. The image size of iterated rational maps over finite fields. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (5):3362–3388, 2021.
- [17] Jamie Juul, Pär Kurlberg, Kalyani Madhu, and Tom J. Tucker. Wreath products and proportions of periodic points. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (13):3944–3969, 2016.
- [18] Volodymyr Nekrashevych. *Self-similar groups*, volume 117 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [19] R. W. K. Odoni. The Galois theory of iterates and composites of polynomials. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 51(3):385–414, 1985.
- [20] R. W. K. Odoni. On the prime divisors of the sequence  $w_{n+1} = 1 + w_1 \cdots w_n$ . *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 32(1):1–11, 1985.
- [21] R. W. K. Odoni. Realising wreath products of cyclic groups as Galois groups. *Mathematika*, 35(1):101–113, 1988.
- [22] Richard Pink. Profinite iterated monodromy groups arising from quadratic morphisms with infinite postcritical orbits, 2013.
- [23] Richard Pink. Profinite iterated monodromy groups arising from quadratic polynomials, 2013.
- [24] Henning Stichtenoth. *Algebraic function fields and codes*, volume 254 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2009.