

# Griffiths polynomials of Racah type

Nicolas Crampé<sup>1,2</sup>, Luc Frappat<sup>2</sup>, Julien Gaboriaud<sup>3</sup>, Eric Ragoucy<sup>2</sup>, Luc Vinet<sup>4,5</sup>, Meri Zaimi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut Denis-Poisson CNRS/UMR 7013 - Université de Tours - Université d'Orléans,  
Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France.

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique LAPTh,  
Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, F-74000 Annecy, France.

<sup>3</sup> Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan.

<sup>4</sup> Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, P.O. Box 6128,  
Centre-ville Station, Montréal (Québec), H3C 3J7, Canada.

<sup>5</sup> IVADO, Montréal (Québec), H2S 3H1, Canada.

## Abstract

Bivariate Griffiths polynomials of Racah type are constructed from univariate Racah polynomials. The bispectral properties of the former are deduced from simple properties of the latter. A duality relation and the orthogonality of these polynomials are provided. The domain of validity for the indices and variables of these polynomials is also determined. Particular limits on the parameters entering the polynomials allow to define several Griffiths polynomials of other types. One special limit connects them to the original Griffiths polynomials (of Krawtchouk type). Finally, a connection with the  $9j$  symbols is made.

**Keywords:** Orthogonal polynomials; Bivariate polynomials; Bispectral problem  
**MSC2020 database:** 33C80; 33C45; 16G60

**E-mail:** crampe1977@gmail.com, luc.frappat@lapth.cnrs.fr, julien.gaboriaud.57f@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp,  
eric.ragoucy@lapth.cnrs.fr, luc.vinet@umontreal.ca, meri.zaimi@umontreal.ca

# 1 Introduction

This paper is dedicated to the characterization of the bivariate Griffiths polynomials of Racah type that have arisen in the construction performed in [6], of the representations of the rank 2 Racah algebra. The orthogonality, duality and bispectral properties are derived directly from the explicit defining expressions. These polynomials are two-variable generalizations of the univariate Racah polynomials [36, 22] and have multivariate extensions. Although kindred to the bivariate (and multivariate) version of the Racah polynomials introduced by Tratnik [35], the Griffiths polynomials differ from the latter in that they are defined as convolutions of three instead of two univariate polynomials.

Our nomenclature is rooted in the story of the multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials. The univariate Krawtchouk polynomials [24] are orthogonal with respect to the binomial distribution. In 1971, Griffiths [16] introduced a multivariate version of these polynomials that are orthogonal, naturally, against the multinomial distribution (see also [10]). Their explicit expression in terms of Aomoto-Gel'fand series was subsequently provided in [28] out of considerations pertaining to association schemes and without reference to Griffiths. In 1991, as mentioned already, Tratnik introduced his multivariable analogs of the discrete families of the Askey tableau which include the Krawtchouk one [22]. The bispectral properties of all these Tratnik polynomials were established in [15]. With Griffiths' multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials initially unbeknownst to them, Hoare and Rahman rediscovered these functions in a probabilistic context [17] roughly 15 years ago. This generated a lot of interest and studies by Rahman and others to the extent that for a certain period of time, these mathematical entities were referred to as Rahman polynomials. In time their paternity was reattributed to Griffiths however, the connection, if any, between the Griffiths and Tratnik multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials remained obscure for a while. This was resolved through the algebraic interpretation given in [14] showing that the bivariate Krawtchouk polynomials of Griffiths arise as matrix elements of the rotation group  $SO(3)$  representation on energy eigenspaces of the three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator (see also [20] and [19] for algebraic interpretations). Knowing that matrices representing rotations about a single axis have univariate Krawtchouk polynomials as entries [27, 23] and remembering that in terms of Euler angles, a general three-dimensional rotation is given as a product of three rotations about single axes, one understands that the bivariate Griffiths polynomials will be expressed as a convolution of three univariate Krawtchouk polynomials. With this understanding it is easy to see that the Tratnik polynomials correspond, in the Krawtchouk case, to setting one Euler angle to zero. This yields bivariate polynomials that are the product of only two entangled univariate polynomials and that are orthogonal with respect to the same trinomial distribution. One thus arrives at the notion of Griffiths and Tratnik polynomials of any given type by extending this feature regarding their composition in terms of three or two univariate polynomials respectively, to families of polynomials other than the Krawtchouk one. This carries beyond the bivariate situation in natural ways. Interestingly, already in 1997, Zhedanov had also found on his own these bivariate Krawtchouk polynomials of Griffiths as  $9j$  symbols of the oscillator algebra [37]. The connection between this recoupling and the matrix element points of view is established in [7].

The Griffiths polynomials are of a recoupling coefficient nature. Arising typically as overlaps between representation bases, for instance in the tree method [31, 26], within a realization they can be found as connection coefficients for families of polynomials. The Griffiths polynomials of concern here, namely those of Racah type, are directly connected to  $9j$  coefficients as will be recalled below. They have indeed been studied and characterized in [13] as  $9j$  symbols of  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$  in the context of the generic superintegrable model on the 3-sphere. With these remarks we stress the differences between the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type and the multivariable Racah polynomials obtained by Stokman and van Diejen [32] from a truncation and  $q \rightarrow 1$  limit of the symmetric Koornwinder-Macdonald polynomials based on root systems.

Overall, the reader will find in this text, derivations of all the basic properties of the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type and of their Tratnik counterparts as well. Starting from the explicit expressions, their fundamental features including orthogonality and bispectrality, will be straightforwardly obtained from the corresponding properties of the univariate constituents following techniques put forward in

[4]. Novel contiguity relations will prove to be key ingredients. The paper will thus proceed as follows. Properties of the univariate polynomials are collected in the next section. Most are classical [22] except for the contiguity relations that were recently obtained in [6]. The reader should pay attention to the fact that for convenience a non-standard choice of normalization is made. Section 3 is dedicated to the Tranik polynomials of Racah type. Four propositions that are proved in the spirit indicated above cover in turn the orthogonality, duality, recurrence relations and difference equations of these polynomials. Section 4 is dedicated to the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type. Propositions bearing on these functions and similar to those of Section 3 are provided and proved. It should be noted that the Griffiths and Tranik polynomials have the same number of parameters. Relevant remarks relative to the polynomial character of the defining expression will be found. Owing to the nature of the higher recurrence relations, polynomiality is checked by initializing the recurrence from specific grid points. Attention is also paid to domains that might need to be further constrained when parameters are taken to be negative integers; the validity of the various properties are also confirmed in those instances. Finally, connections with the  $9j$  symbols are discussed. In Section 5, various limits are seen to give other Griffiths polynomials, many of hybrid type; when all the parameters tend to infinity the multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials of Griffiths are recovered. The paper concludes with further questions of interest that this study raises.

## 2 Properties of the univariate Racah polynomial

We first recall the basic properties of the one-variable Racah polynomials. These will be the tools that we will use to prove the orthogonality, duality and bispectrality of the bivariate Racah polynomials in the upcoming sections.

The Racah polynomials [36] are defined in terms of the generalized hypergeometric function as follows, for  $N$  a non-negative integer and  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$R_n(\lambda(x); \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = {}_4F_3 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, n + \alpha + \beta + 1, -x, x + \gamma + \delta + 1 \\ \alpha + 1, \beta + \delta + 1, \gamma + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\lambda(x) = x(x + \gamma + \delta + 1)$  and  $\alpha, \beta + \delta$  or  $\gamma$  is equal to  $-N - 1$ . The functions (2.1) are polynomials of degree  $n$  with respect to the variable  $\lambda(x)$ . In order to simplify the presentation, we shall use a normalization and a notation for the parameters that differ from the standard references:

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) &= \Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) R_n(x(x + c_1 + c_2 + 1); c_2, c_3, -N - 1, N + 1 + c_1 + c_2) \\ &= \Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) {}_4F_3 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, n + c_2 + c_3 + 1, -x, x + c_1 + c_2 + 1 \\ c_2 + 1, N + 2 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3, -N \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \binom{N}{n} (2n + c_2 + c_3 + 1) \frac{(c_2 + 1)_n (N + 2 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3)_n (c_1 + 1)_{N-n}}{(c_3 + 1)_n (c_2 + c_3 + n + 1)_{N+1}}. \quad (2.3)$$

To lighten the notation when there is no ambiguity, we shall write  $p_n(x; N) \equiv p_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$ . We will also use the notation  $c_{ij} = c_i + c_j$  and  $c_{ijk} = c_i + c_j + c_k$ , for  $i, j, k = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ .

### 2.1 Duality, orthogonality and bispectral properties

It is well-known that the Racah polynomials are solutions of a bispectral problem *i.e.* that they verify a recurrence relation and a difference equation [36, 22]. They are also self-dual and orthogonal. Let us recall these relations with the normalization used in this paper.

**Duality.** Using invariance of the hypergeometric functions, it is easily seen that the Racah polynomials satisfy the following duality relation, for  $n, x = 0, 1, \dots, N$ :

$$\Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) p_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) p_x(n; c_3, c_2, c_1; N). \quad (2.4)$$

**Orthogonality.** The orthogonality relation with the normalization chosen in this paper reads, for  $0 \leq n, m \leq N$ :

$$\sum_{x=0}^N \Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) p_n(x; N) p_m(x; N) = \delta_{n,m} \Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N). \quad (2.5)$$

**Recurrence relation.** The Racah polynomials satisfy the following three-term recurrence relation, for  $n, x = 0, 1, \dots, N$ :

$$\lambda(x) p_n(x; N) = C_{n+1}(N) p_{n+1}(x; N) - \Sigma_n(N) p_n(x; N) + A_{n-1}(N) p_{n-1}(x; N), \quad (2.6a)$$

where  $p_{-1}(x; N) = p_{N+1}(x; N) = 0$  by convention and

$$\lambda(x) \equiv \lambda(x; c_{12}) = x(x + c_{12} + 1), \quad (2.6b)$$

$$A_n(N) \equiv A_n(c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \frac{(n - N)(n + c_{123} + N + 2)(n + c_2 + 1)(n + c_{23} + 1)}{(2n + c_{23} + 1)(2n + c_{23} + 2)}, \quad (2.6c)$$

$$C_n(N) \equiv C_n(c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \frac{n(n - c_1 - N - 1)(n + c_{23} + N + 1)(n + c_3)}{(2n + c_{23})(2n + c_{23} + 1)}, \quad (2.6d)$$

$$\Sigma_n(N) = A_n(N) + C_n(N). \quad (2.6e)$$

**Difference equation.** The Racah polynomials obey the following second order difference equation, for  $n, x = 0, 1, \dots, N$ :

$$\mu_n p_n(x; N) = B(x; N) p_n(x + 1; N) - S(x; N) p_n(x; N) + D(x; N) p_n(x - 1; N), \quad (2.7a)$$

where

$$\mu_n \equiv \mu_n(c_{23}) = n(n + c_{23} + 1), \quad (2.7b)$$

$$B(x; N) \equiv B(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \frac{(x - N)(x + c_2 + 1)(x + c_{123} + N + 2)(x + c_{12} + 1)}{(2x + c_{12} + 1)(2x + c_{12} + 2)}, \quad (2.7c)$$

$$D(x; N) \equiv D(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \frac{x(x + c_1)(x - c_3 - N - 1)(x + c_{12} + N + 1)}{(2x + c_{12})(2x + c_{12} + 1)}, \quad (2.7d)$$

$$S(x; N) = B(x; N) + D(x; N). \quad (2.7e)$$

Note that, due to our choice of normalization for the Racah polynomials, the position of the coefficients  $A_n$  and  $C_n$  in the recurrence relation is inverted w.r.t. [22]. Since our normalization does not depend on the variable  $x$ , the difference equation is not affected.

## 2.2 Contiguity relations

In the upcoming proofs, we will make use of relations relating Racah polynomials of a given  $N$  to the ones with shifted parameter  $N \pm 1$ . These are called contiguity relations and have been proven in the Appendix of [6]. We recall them below in the normalization used in this paper. For convenience, we first introduce the function  $F(x; c_1, c_2)$ :

$$F(x; c_1, c_2) = \frac{(x + c_2 + 1)(x + c_{12} + 1)}{(2x + c_{12} + 1)(2x + c_{12} + 2)}. \quad (2.8)$$

**Contiguity recurrence relations.** The first relations relate various polynomials with shifted degree  $n$ . By analogy with the three-term recurrence relation, we call these the contiguity recurrence relations. These read as follows:

$$\lambda^\pm(x; N) p_n(x; N) = C_{n+1}^\pm(N) p_{n+1}(x; N \pm 1) - \Sigma_n^\pm(N) p_n(x; N \pm 1) + A_{n-1}^\pm(N) p_{n-1}(x; N \pm 1), \quad (2.9a)$$

where

$$\lambda^+(x; N) \equiv \lambda^+(x; c_{12}; N) = (x + c_{12} + N + 2)(x - N - 1), \quad (2.9b)$$

$$A_n^+(N) \equiv A_n^+(c_2, c_3; N) = -(n - N - 1)(n - N) F(n; c_3, c_2) \quad (2.9c)$$

$$C_n^+(N) \equiv C_n^+(c_2, c_3; N) = A_{-n-c_{23}-1}^+(N), \quad (2.9d)$$

$$\Sigma_n^+(N) = A_n^+(N) + C_n^+(N) + (N + 1)(N + 1 + c_3), \quad (2.9e)$$

$$\lambda^-(x; N) \equiv \lambda^-(x; c_{123}, c_3; N) = (x + c_{123} + N + 1)(x - N - c_3), \quad (2.9f)$$

$$A_n^-(N) \equiv A_n^-(c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -(n + c_{123} + N + 1)(n + c_{123} + N + 2) F(n; c_3, c_2), \quad (2.9g)$$

$$C_n^-(N) \equiv C_n^-(c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = A_{-n-c_{23}-1}^-(N), \quad (2.9h)$$

$$\Sigma_n^-(N) = A_n^-(N) + C_n^-(N) + (N + c_{12} + 1)(N + c_{123} + 1). \quad (2.9i)$$

**Contiguity difference equations.** The second set of relations relate various polynomials with shifted variable  $x$ . By analogy with the difference equation, we call these the contiguity difference equations. These read as follows:

$$\mu_n^\pm(N) p_n(x; N) = B^\pm(x; N) p_n(x + 1; N \pm 1) - S^\pm(x; N) p_n(x; N \pm 1) + D^\pm(x; N) p_n(x - 1; N \pm 1), \quad (2.10a)$$

where

$$\mu_n^+(N) \equiv \mu_n^+(c_{123}, c_1; N) = (n + c_{123} + N + 2)(n - N - 1 - c_1), \quad (2.10b)$$

$$B^+(x; N) \equiv B^+(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -(x + c_{123} + N + 2)(x + c_{123} + N + 3) F(x, c_1, c_2), \quad (2.10c)$$

$$D^+(x; N) \equiv D^+(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = B^+(-x - c_{12} - 1; N), \quad (2.10d)$$

$$S^+(x; N) = B^+(x; N) + D^+(x; N) + (c_{23} + N + 2)(c_{123} + N + 2), \quad (2.10e)$$

$$\mu_n^-(N) \equiv \mu_n^-(c_{23}; N) = (n - N)(n + c_{23} + N + 1), \quad (2.10f)$$

$$B^-(x; N) \equiv B^-(x; c_1, c_2; N) = -(x - N)(x - N + 1) F(x, c_1, c_2), \quad (2.10g)$$

$$D^-(x; N) \equiv D^-(x; c_1, c_2; N) = B^-(-x - c_{12} - 1; N), \quad (2.10h)$$

$$S^-(x; N) = B^-(x; N) + D^-(x; N) + N(c_1 + N). \quad (2.10i)$$

### 3 Tratnik polynomials of Racah type

A generalization of the Racah polynomials has been introduced in [35] and will be called the Tratnik polynomials of Racah type. These can be written as a product of two univariate Racah polynomials:

$$T_{i,j}(x, y) = p_i(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) p_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x), \quad (3.1)$$

for  $i, j$  non-negative integers such that  $i + j \leq N$  and where the parameters obey the constraint:

$$c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 = -2N - 3. \quad (3.2)$$

The notation  $T_{i,j}(x, y)$  is short for  $T_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$ . From the convention for the Racah polynomials, one gets:  $T_{-1,j}(x, y) = T_{i,-1}(x, y) = T_{i,N+1-i}(x, y) = 0$ . To keep it simple, when there are no ambiguities we will call these  $T_{i,j}(x, y)$  Tratnik polynomials.

Let us emphasize that we can multiply the previous expression of  $T_{i,j}(x, y)$  by any function of type  $f(i, j)$  or  $g(x, y)$ . Doing so, the relations satisfied by  $T_{i,j}(x, y)$  will still hold but with modified explicit expressions of their coefficients.

### 3.1 Connection with the historical notations

In [35], Tratnik introduced generalizations of the Racah polynomials in  $p$  variables. The bivariate case ( $p = 2$ ) reads as follows, with  $0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq -\gamma - 1$ :

$$R \left( \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \\ \eta, \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} x_1, x_2 \\ n_1, n_2 \end{matrix} \right) \propto (-x_2)_{n_1} (x_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)_{n_1} {}_4F_3 \left( \begin{matrix} -n_1, n_1 + \alpha_2 + \eta, -x_1, x_1 + \alpha_1 \\ \eta + 1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x_2, -x_2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \\ \times {}_4F_3 \left( \begin{matrix} -n_2, n_2 + 2n_1 + \eta + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -x_2 + n_1, x_2 + n_1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \\ 2n_1 + \eta + \alpha_2 + 1, n_1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \gamma - 1, n_1 + \gamma + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right). \quad (3.3)$$

The symbol  $\propto$  in the previous relation means equality up to functions of type  $f(n_1, n_2)$  or  $g(x_1, x_2)$ . In order to recover the previous expression for Tratnik polynomials in (3.1), let us write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= -N - 1, & x_1 &= y, & x_2 &= N - x, & n_1 &= j, & n_2 &= N - i - j, \\ \eta &= c_0, & \alpha_1 &= c_0 + c_3 + 1, & \alpha_2 &= c_4 + 1, & \alpha_3 &= c_1 + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and use Whipple's transformation formula (see *e.g.* relation (2.10.5) in [11]) twice to transform the hypergeometric function in the second line of (3.3).

### 3.2 Orthogonality and duality

We provide here the orthogonality relation satisfied by the Tratnik polynomials with our conventions.

**Proposition 3.1 (Orthogonality).** *The Tratnik polynomials satisfy the orthogonality relation*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x, y \leq N \\ x+y \leq N}} \Lambda(x; c_1, c_2; N) \Omega(y; c_4, c_0, c_3; N - x) T_{i,j}(x, y) T_{k,\ell}(x, y) \\ = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell} \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0; N) \Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j), \quad (3.5)$$

where the function  $\Omega$  is given by (2.3) and

$$\Lambda(x; c_1, c_2; N) = (-1)^x \binom{N}{x} (2x + c_{12} + 1) \frac{(c_2 + 1)_x}{(c_1 + 1)_x (x + c_{12} + 1)_{N+1}}. \quad (3.6)$$

*Proof.* Using the relation  $c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 = -2N - 3$ , one proves by direct computation that

$$\frac{\Lambda(x; c_1, c_2; N)}{\Lambda(j; c_4, c_0; N)} = \frac{\Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N - j)}{\Omega(j; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x)}. \quad (3.7)$$

One then uses this property to rewrite (3.5) as

$$\sum_{x=0}^N \sum_{y=0}^{N-x} \frac{\Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N - j) \Omega(y; c_4, c_0, c_3; N - x)}{\Omega(j; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) \Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j)} T_{i,j}(x, y) T_{k,\ell}(x, y) = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell}. \quad (3.8)$$

This last expression is easily checked by using the expression (3.1) of Tratnik polynomials in terms of the univariate polynomials and using their orthogonality relation (2.5).  $\square$

As was the case for Racah polynomials, the duality of the Tratnik polynomials can be expressed in terms of the normalization.

**Proposition 3.2 (Duality).** *The Tratnik polynomials obey the following duality relation for  $i, j, x, y$  non-negative integers such that  $i + j \leq N$  and  $x + y \leq N$ :*

$$\frac{T_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{\Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0; N)} = \frac{T_{y,x}(j, i; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1; N)}{\Omega(y; c_4, c_0, c_3; N - x) \Lambda(x; c_1, c_2; N)}. \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* This is a direct calculation by using the duality relation for the univariate Racah polynomials as well as equation (3.7).  $\square$

### 3.3 Bispectral properties

The bispectrality of these bivariate polynomials was established in [15]. This is summarized in the following propositions. To the best of our knowledge, the proofs provided below are new.

**Proposition 3.3 (Recurrence relations).** *The Tratnik polynomials, for  $x, y, i, j$  non-negative integers and  $x + y \leq N$ ,  $i + j \leq N$ , obey the following recurrence relations:*

$$\lambda(x; c_{12}) T_{i,j}(x, y) = C_{i+1}(c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) T_{i+1,j}(x, y) + A_{i-1}(c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) T_{i-1,j}(x, y) - \Sigma_i(c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) T_{i,j}(x, y), \quad (3.10)$$

where the coefficients  $A_i, C_i, \Sigma_i$  are given by (2.6c), (2.6d), (2.6e), and

$$\left( \lambda(y; c_{30}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_3 + 1)(c_0 + 1) \right) T_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} A_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} T_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}(x, y), \quad (3.11a)$$

with

$$A_{i,j}^{+,+} = -F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_4, c_0) C_i^-(c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j + 1), \quad (3.11b)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{0,+} = F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_4, c_0) \Sigma_i^-(c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j + 1), \quad (3.11c)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{-,+} = -F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_4, c_0) A_i^-(c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j + 1), \quad (3.11d)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{+,-} = -F(j; c_4, c_0) C_i^+(c_2, c_3; N - j - 1), \quad (3.11e)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{0,-} = F(j; c_4, c_0) \Sigma_i^+(c_2, c_3; N - j - 1), \quad (3.11f)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{-,-} = -F(j; c_4, c_0) A_i^+(c_2, c_3; N - j - 1), \quad (3.11g)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{+,0} = [F(j; c_4, c_0) + F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_4, c_0)] C_i(c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j), \quad (3.11h)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{0,0} = -[F(j; c_4, c_0) + F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_4, c_0)] \times [\Sigma_i(c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) + (N - j)^2 + (c_{123} + 2)(N - j) + \frac{1}{2}(c_3 + 1)(c_{123} + 1)], \quad (3.11i)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{-,0} = [F(j; c_4, c_0) + F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_4, c_0)] A_i(c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j), \quad (3.11j)$$

and  $F(x, c_1, c_2)$  defined in (2.8).

*Proof.* The equation (3.10) is a direct consequence of the recurrence relation (2.6) applied to the Racah polynomial  $p_i(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j)$  in  $T_{i,j}(x, y)$ .

To prove (3.11), we apply the recurrence relation to the second polynomial  $p_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x)$  such that the l.h.s. of (3.11a) becomes

$$p_i(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \left( C_{j+1}(c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) p_{j+1}(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) - [\Sigma_j(c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) - \frac{1}{2}(c_3 + 1)(c_0 + 1)] p_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) + A_{j-1}(c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) p_{j-1}(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) \right). \quad (3.12)$$

Then, remarking that

$$C_{j+1}(c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) = -F(-j - c_{04} - 2; c_4, c_0) \lambda^-(x; c_{123}, c_3; N - j), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\Sigma_j(c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) - \frac{1}{2}(c_3 + 1)(c_0 + 1)] &= -[F(j; c_4, c_0) + F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_4, c_0)] \\ &\times [\lambda(x; c_{12}) - (N - j)^2 - (c_{123} + 2)(N - j) - \frac{1}{2}(c_3 + 1)(c_{123} + 1)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

$$A_{j-1}(c_3, c_0, c_4, N - x) = -F(j - 1; c_4, c_0) \lambda^+(x; c_{12}; N - j), \quad (3.15)$$

we apply the recurrence relation (2.6) and the contiguity recurrence relation (2.9a) to the first polynomial  $p_i(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j)$ . This allows us to recognize Tratnik polynomials with shifted indices, and we obtain the r.h.s of (3.11a) with the coefficients given in (3.11b)–(3.11j).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4 (Difference equations).** *The Tratnik polynomials, for  $x, y, i, j$  non-negative integers and  $x + y \leq N$ ,  $i + j \leq N$ , satisfy the following two difference equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j(c_{04}) T_{i,j}(x, y) &= B(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) T_{i,j}(x, y + 1) + D(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) T_{i,j}(x, y - 1) \\ &\quad - S(c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) T_{i,j}(x, y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where the coefficients  $B, D, S$  are given by (2.7c), (2.7d), (2.7e), and

$$\left( \mu_i(c_{23}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_2 + 1)(c_3 + 1) \right) T_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} D^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}(x, y) T_{i,j}(x + \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon'), \quad (3.17a)$$

where

$$D^{+,+}(x, y) = -F(x; c_1, c_2) B^-(y; c_3, c_0; N - x), \quad (3.17b)$$

$$D^{+,0}(x, y) = F(x; c_1, c_2) S^-(y; c_3, c_0; N - x), \quad (3.17c)$$

$$D^{+,-}(x, y) = -F(x; c_1, c_2) D^-(y; c_3, c_0; N - x), \quad (3.17d)$$

$$D^{-,+}(x, y) = -F(-x - c_{12} - 1; c_1, c_2) B^+(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x), \quad (3.17e)$$

$$D^{-,0}(x, y) = F(-x - c_{12} - 1; c_1, c_2) S^+(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x), \quad (3.17f)$$

$$D^{-,-}(x, y) = -F(-x - c_{12} - 1; c_1, c_2) D^+(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x), \quad (3.17g)$$

$$D^{0,+}(x, y) = [F(x; c_1, c_2) + F(-x - c_{12} - 1; c_1, c_2)] B(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x), \quad (3.17h)$$

$$D^{0,0}(x, y) = -[F(x; c_1, c_2) + F(-x - c_{12} - 1; c_1, c_2)] \quad (3.17i)$$

$$\times [S(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) + (N - x)^2 + (c_{034} + 2)(N - x) + \frac{1}{2}(c_3 + 1)(c_{034} + 1)],$$

$$D^{0,-}(x, y) = [F(x; c_1, c_2) + F(-x - c_{12} - 1; c_1, c_2)] D(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x). \quad (3.17j)$$

*Proof.* The calculation proceeds along the same lines as what was done for Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

## 4 Griffiths polynomials of Racah type

The Griffiths polynomials of Racah type are defined as follows, for  $i, j$  non-negative integers such that  $i + j \leq N$ :

$$G_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{a=0}^M (-1)^a p_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) p_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - a) p_a(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N - y), \quad (4.1)$$

where  $M = \min(N - j, N - y)$  and  $c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 = -2N - 3$ . The function  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  is loosely called polynomial despite being a polynomial only up to a normalization. We discuss this point in detail in Subsection 4.4. The notation  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  is short for  $G_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$ . As for the Tratnik polynomials, we use the conventions  $G_{-1,j}(x, y) = G_{i,-1}(x, y) = G_{i,N+1-i}(x, y) = 0$ . Up to a normalization, these polynomials first appeared in [6] as the overlap coefficients between different representations of the rank 2 Racah algebra. They can be expressed in terms of the Tratnik polynomials:

$$G_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{a=0}^M (-1)^a T_{i,j}(a, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) p_a(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N - y) \quad (4.2)$$

$$= \sum_{a=0}^M (-1)^a p_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) T_{j,a}(y, x; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1; N). \quad (4.3)$$

In the above expressions, the dependence of the Tratnik polynomials on the parameters  $c_j$  is specified.



## 4.1 Orthogonality

**Proposition 4.1 (Orthogonality).** *The Griffiths polynomials of Racah type satisfy the following orthogonality relation:*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x, y \leq N \\ x+y \leq N}} \Lambda(y; c_3, c_0; N) \Omega(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y) G_{i,j}(x, y) G_{k,\ell}(x, y) \\ = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell} \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0; N) \Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j). \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* Using equation (3.7), we deduce that, for any  $a$ ,

$$\frac{\Lambda(y; c_3, c_0; N)}{\Lambda(j; c_4, c_0; N)} = \frac{\Lambda(y; c_3, c_0; N) \Lambda(a; c_1, c_2; N)}{\Lambda(j; c_4, c_0; N) \Lambda(a; c_1, c_2; N)} = \frac{\Omega(y; c_4, c_0, c_3; N-a) \Omega(a; c_3, c_2, c_1; N-j)}{\Omega(j; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-a) \Omega(a; c_4, c_2, c_1; N-y)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Then, using this property, the expression of the polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  in terms of the Racah ones (4.1) as well as the orthogonality relation for the Racah polynomials, the result is proven performing the same calculation as for the Tratnik polynomials.  $\square$

## 4.2 Duality

The Griffiths polynomials of Racah type obey the following duality relation for  $i, j, x, y$  non-negative integers such that  $i+j \leq N$  and  $x+y \leq N$ :

$$\frac{G_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{\Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0; N)} = \frac{G_{x,y}(i, j; c_1, c_2, c_4, c_3; N)}{\Omega(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y) \Lambda(y; c_3, c_0; N)}. \quad (4.6)$$

To prove (4.6), we use the duality relation for the Racah polynomials, as well as (4.5).

## 4.3 Bispectral property

The bispectrality of these bivariate polynomials was established in [6]. We recall it in the following propositions and provide new, elementary proofs of these statements.

**Proposition 4.2 (Recurrence relations).** *The Griffiths polynomials of Racah type satisfy the following two recurrence relations, for  $x, y, i, j$  non-negative integers and  $x+y \leq N$ ,  $i+j \leq N$ :*

$$\left( \lambda(y; c_{30}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_3+1)(c_0+1) \right) G_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} A_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) G_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}(x, y), \quad (4.7)$$

where the coefficients  $A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$  are given in (3.11), and

$$\left( \lambda(x; c_{42}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_2+1)(c_4+1) \right) G_{i,j}(x, y) \\ = \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} \left( A_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) - \Gamma_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} \right) G_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}(x, y), \quad (4.8a)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{i,j}^{+,+} = \Gamma_{i,j}^{-,+} = \Gamma_{i,j}^{+,-} = \Gamma_{i,j}^{-,-} = 0, \quad (4.8b)$$

$$\Gamma_{i,j}^{0,+} = C_j(c_1, c_0, c_4; N-i), \quad \Gamma_{i,j}^{0,-} = A_j(c_1, c_0, c_4; N-i), \quad (4.8c)$$

$$\Gamma_{i,j}^{+,-} = C_i(c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j), \quad \Gamma_{i,j}^{-,0} = A_i(c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j), \quad (4.8d)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{i,j}^{0,0} \equiv \Gamma_{i,j}^{0,0}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = -\Sigma_i(c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) + \Sigma_j(c_1, c_0, c_4; N-i) - \frac{1}{4}(c_3^2 - c_4^2) \\ + \left( i - N - \frac{1}{2}(c_4+1) \right) \left( i + \frac{1}{2}(c_{23} - c_{01}) \right) - \left( j - N - \frac{1}{2}(c_3+1) \right) \left( j + \frac{1}{2}(c_{04} - c_{12}) \right). \quad (4.8e)$$

*Proof.* The proof of (4.7) proceeds by writing the polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  in the form (4.2) and using the recurrence relation (3.11) of the Tratnik polynomials.

To prove (4.8), we rewrite the l.h.s. of (4.8a) using the expression (4.3) for the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type and use the recurrence relation (3.11) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^M \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon'=0, \pm 1} (-1)^a p_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) A_{j+\epsilon, a+\epsilon'}^{\epsilon, \epsilon'}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) T_{j+\epsilon, a+\epsilon'}(y, x; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1; N) \quad (4.9) \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon'=0, \pm 1} \sum_{a=\epsilon'}^{M+\epsilon'} (-1)^{a-\epsilon'} p_i(a-\epsilon'; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) A_{j+\epsilon, a}^{\epsilon, \epsilon'}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) T_{j+\epsilon, a}(y, x; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1; N). \end{aligned}$$

The r.h.s. of (4.9) can be transformed as

$$\sum_{\epsilon=0, \pm 1} \sum_{a=0}^{\overline{M}(\epsilon)} \sum_{\epsilon'=0, \pm 1} (-1)^{a-\epsilon'} p_i(a-\epsilon'; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) A_{j+\epsilon, a}^{\epsilon, \epsilon'}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) T_{j+\epsilon, a}(y, x; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1; N), \quad (4.10)$$

with  $\overline{M}(\epsilon) = \min(N-y, N-j-\epsilon)$ . To get to this formula, the boundaries of the  $a$  summation in the second line of (4.9) have been changed. It is easily checked that each of the boundary terms that have been added or removed are identically zero and thus have no contribution. For instance, when  $\epsilon' = -1$ , we can discard the contribution of  $a = -1$  since  $T_{j+\epsilon, -1}(y, x; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) = 0$ . Similarly, when  $\epsilon' = 1$ , we can add the term  $a = 0$  to the sum because  $A_{j+\epsilon, 0}^{\epsilon, +} = 0$ . A more complicated term when  $\epsilon' = -1$  and  $a = N-y$  leads to

$$\sum_{\epsilon=0, \pm 1} (-1)^{a-\epsilon'} p_i(a-\epsilon'; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) A_{j+\epsilon, a}^{\epsilon, \epsilon'}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) T_{j+\epsilon, a}(y, x; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1; N). \quad (4.11)$$

Using the contiguity relation (2.9), one readily checks that the above expression vanishes.

Next, one needs the following relations, proven in Appendix A, that are satisfied by the Racah polynomial for  $\epsilon = 0, \pm 1$  as well as  $0 \leq a \leq \min(N-y, N-j-\epsilon)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\epsilon'=0, \pm 1} (-1)^{\epsilon'} p_i(a-\epsilon'; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) A_{j+\epsilon, a}^{\epsilon, \epsilon'}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0, \pm 1} p_{i+\mu}(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j-\epsilon) \left( A_{i+\mu, j+\epsilon}^{\mu, \epsilon}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) - \Gamma_{i+\mu, j+\epsilon}^{\mu, \epsilon} \right), \quad (4.12) \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\Gamma_{i,j}^{\mu, \epsilon}$  are given in (4.8). Finally, we recognize in (4.10) the l.h.s. of relation (4.12), to conclude the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3 (Difference equations).** *The Griffiths polynomials of Racah type, for  $x, y, i, j$  non-negative integers and  $x+y \leq N$ ,  $i+j \leq N$  obey the following two difference equations:*

$$\left( \mu_j(c_{04}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_4+1)(c_0+1) \right) G_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon'=0, \pm 1} D^{\epsilon', \epsilon}(y, x; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) G_{i,j}(x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon'), \quad (4.13)$$

where the coefficients  $D^{\epsilon, \epsilon'}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$  are given in (3.17), and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mu_i(c_{23}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_2+1)(c_3+1) \right) G_{i,j}(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon'=0, \pm 1} \left( D^{\epsilon', \epsilon}(y, x; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) - \Psi^{\epsilon', \epsilon}(x, y) \right) G_{i,j}(x+\epsilon, y+\epsilon'), \quad (4.14a) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Psi^{+,+}(x, y) = \Psi^{-,+}(x, y) = \Psi^{+,-}(x, y) = \Psi^{-,-}(x, y) = 0, \quad (4.14b)$$

$$\Psi^{0,+}(x, y) = B(x; c_4, c_2, c_1, N - y), \quad \Psi^{0,-}(x, y) = D(x; c_4, c_2, c_1, N - y), \quad (4.14c)$$

$$\Psi^{+,0}(x, y) = B(y; c_3, c_0, c_1, N - x), \quad \Psi^{-,0}(x, y) = D(y; c_3, c_0, c_1, N - x), \quad (4.14d)$$

$$\Psi^{0,0}(x, y; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) = \Gamma_{x,y}^{0,0}(c_1, c_2, c_4, c_3). \quad (4.14e)$$

*Proof.* The proof can be done mimicking the one of Proposition 4.2. Alternatively, one can use the duality property (4.6) of the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type to obtain the result directly from the recurrence relations.  $\square$

#### 4.4 Polynomiality of the Griffiths polynomials

Using the recurrence relations (4.7)–(4.8), we shall show that, for  $0 \leq i, j, i + j \leq N$ ,

$$\bar{G}_{i,j}(x, y) = G_{i,N-i-j}(x, y)/G_{0,N}(x, y) \quad (4.15)$$

is a bivariate polynomial of total degree  $i + j$  in the variables  $\lambda(x, c_{42})$  and  $\lambda(y, c_{30})$ . Recall that  $\lambda(x; c)$  is defined by (2.6b).

The proof is done by recursion. Let us remark that  $\bar{G}_{0,0}(x, y) = 1$ . Using the two recurrence relations (4.7)–(4.8) for  $\bar{G}_{0,0}(x, y)$ , we get a system of two linear equations (with coefficients independent from  $x$  and  $y$ ) relating  $\bar{G}_{1,0}(x, y)$  and  $\bar{G}_{0,1}(x, y)$  to  $\lambda(x, c_{42})\bar{G}_{0,0}(x, y)$ ,  $\lambda(y, c_{30})\bar{G}_{0,0}(x, y)$  and  $\bar{G}_{0,0}(x, y)$ . This shows that  $\bar{G}_{1,0}(x, y)$  and  $\bar{G}_{0,1}(x, y)$  are polynomials of total degree 1 in  $\lambda(x, c_{42})$  and  $\lambda(y, c_{30})$ . Then, the same procedure applied first to  $\bar{G}_{0,1}(x, y)$  and then to  $\bar{G}_{1,0}(x, y)$  shows that  $\bar{G}_{0,2}(x, y)$ ,  $\bar{G}_{1,1}(x, y)$  and  $\bar{G}_{2,0}(x, y)$  are polynomials of total degree 2 in  $\lambda(x, c_{42})$  and  $\lambda(y, c_{30})$ . Iteratively, supposing that, for  $0 \leq n \leq N$  and  $i + j \leq n$ ,  $\bar{G}_{i,j}$  is of degree  $i + j$ , we show through the recurrence relations of  $\bar{G}_{0,n}$ ,  $\bar{G}_{1,n-1}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\bar{G}_{n,0}$  that  $\bar{G}_{k,\ell}$  with  $k + \ell = n + 1$  is a polynomial of degree  $k + \ell$ .

Alternatively, one may start by the explicit expression of  $\bar{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  which at first sight might look rational. However, rewriting expressions of the form  $1/(c + 1)_y$  as  $(c + 1 + y)_{N-y}/(c + 1)_N$  clarifies that  $\bar{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  is indeed a polynomial in  $x$  and  $y$ . The next step consists in remarking that  $\bar{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  is invariant under the transformations  $x \rightarrow -x - c_{42} - 1$  and  $y \rightarrow -y - c_{30} - 1$ , so that all  $\bar{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  are actually polynomials in  $\lambda(x, c_{42})$  and  $\lambda(y, c_{30})$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Instead of starting the recurrence at the grid point  $(0, N)$  as above, it is also possible to begin at  $(N, 0)$  in order to show that

$$G_{N-i-j,j}(x, y)/G_{N,0}(x, y) \quad (4.16)$$

is a polynomial of total degree  $i + j$  in the same variables. It is not however possible to start at the grid point  $(0, 0)$ , since the two recurrence relations (4.7)–(4.8) for  $G_{0,0}$  are insufficient to determine the three unknowns.  $\blacktriangle$

Using the explicit expression of the Griffiths polynomials, one obtains

$$G_{0,N}(x, y) = (-1)^N \frac{(c_0 + 1)_N (c_3 + 1)_y}{(c_{40} + N + 1)_N (c_0 + 1)_y}. \quad (4.17)$$

This expression allows to compute the orthogonality relation satisfied by  $\bar{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$ :

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x, y \leq N \\ x + y \leq N}} \bar{\Omega}(x, y) \bar{G}_{i,j}(x, y) \bar{G}_{k,\ell}(x, y) = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell} \left( \frac{(c_{40} + N + 1)_N}{(c_0 + 1)_N} \right)^2 \Lambda(N - i - j; c_4, c_0; N) \times \Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; i + j), \quad (4.18)$$

with  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega$  given in (3.6) and (2.3), and the weight

$$\bar{\Omega}(x, y) = (-1)^{x+y} \binom{N}{x, y} (c_1 + 1)_{N-x-y} \frac{(2x + c_{24} + 1)(c_2 + 1)_x}{(c_{24} + x + 1)_{N-y+1}(c_4 + 1)_x} \frac{(2y + c_{03} + 1)(c_3 + 1)_y}{(c_{03} + y + 1)_{N-x+1}(c_0 + 1)_y}. \quad (4.19)$$

**Remark 4.5.** The same method can be applied to the Tratnik polynomials  $T_{i,j}(x, y)$  studied in Section 3. However, since one of the recurrence relations involves three points only, the procedure can be applied when starting at the grid points  $(N, 0)$  or  $(0, 0)$ , but not at  $(0, N)$ .  $\blacktriangle$

## 4.5 Domains

Up to now, the pairs  $(i, j)$  and the variables  $(x, y)$  in the recurrence relations and difference equations of the Griffiths polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  have been restrained to non-negative integers such that  $i + j \leq N$  and  $x + y \leq N$ .

When a single parameter  $c_i$  is specialized to some negative integer values, the subspaces of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$  in which  $(i, j)$  and  $(x, y)$  take values respectively might have to be restrained further. We will refer to these subspaces of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$  as domains for  $(i, j)$  and  $(x, y)$ . The polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  can be defined on these restricted domains. Their recurrence relations and difference equations read as (4.7), (4.8), (4.13) and (4.14) for  $(i, j)$  and  $(x, y)$  inside the domains, with the convention that the polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  involving pairs  $(i, j)$  or  $(x, y)$  outside the domains and which appear in these equations are consistently set to zero.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . For each specialization listed below, one can consider a restricted set of polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  which satisfy the recurrence relations (4.7)–(4.8) and the difference equations (4.13)–(4.14) on restricted domains for  $(i, j)$  and  $(x, y)$ . In all cases,  $i, j, x, y$  are non-negative integers such that  $i + j \leq N$ ,  $x + y \leq N$ , and the domains for  $(i, j)$  and  $(x, y)$  defined by:*

$$\bullet \text{ if } c_0 = -k, \begin{cases} j < k, & y < k, & \text{with } G_{i,k}(x, y) = 0, \\ \text{or} \\ j \geq k, & y \geq k, & \text{with } G_{i,j}(x, k-1) = 0; \end{cases} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\bullet \text{ if } c_1 = -k, \begin{cases} i + j > N - k, & x + y > N - k, & \text{with } G_{i,N-k-i}(x, y) = 0, \\ \text{or} \\ i + j \leq N - k, & x + y \leq N - k, & \text{with } G_{i,j}(x, N - k - x + 1) = 0; \end{cases} \quad (4.21)$$

$$\bullet \text{ if } c_2 = -k, \begin{cases} i < k, & x < k, & \text{with } G_{k,j}(x, y) = 0, \\ \text{or} \\ i \geq k, & x \geq k, & \text{with } G_{i,j}(k-1, y) = 0; \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

$$\bullet \text{ if } c_3 = -k, \begin{cases} i < k, & y < k, & \text{with } G_{i,j}(x, k) = 0, \\ \text{or} \\ i \geq k, & y \geq k, & \text{with } G_{k-1,j}(x, y) = 0; \end{cases} \quad (4.23)$$

$$\bullet \text{ if } c_4 = -k, \begin{cases} j < k, & x < k, & \text{with } G_{i,j}(k, y) = 0, \\ \text{or} \\ j \geq k, & x \geq k, & \text{with } G_{i,k-1}(x, y) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

*Proof.* Let us examine in detail the case  $c_2 = -k$ .

First note that although there might be singularities in some of the hypergeometric functions appearing in  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$ , the limit is still well-defined by virtue of the normalization coefficients  $\Omega$ . Using the explicit expression (4.1), it can also be verified that  $G_{i,j}(x, y) = 0$  if  $i = k, k+1, \dots, N$  and  $x = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ . Indeed, if  $a < k$  in the sum of (4.1), there is no singularity in  $p_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j)$  but there is a coefficient  $(c_2 + 1)_i$  which is zero since  $i \geq k$ . Similarly, if  $a \geq k$  and since  $x < k$ , there is no singularity in  $p_a(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N-y)$  but there is a coefficient  $(c_2 + 1)_a$  which is zero.

Second, by examining the explicit expressions of the recurrence coefficients in (4.7) and (4.8), one finds that  $A_{k-1,j+\varepsilon'}^- = 0$  and  $\Gamma_{k-1,j+\varepsilon'}^{-,\varepsilon'} = 0$  for  $\varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1$  and any  $j$ . It follows from this that all

polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  with  $i \geq k$  can be constructed from  $G_{k, N-k}(x, y)$  using the recurrence relations, independently from those with  $i < k$ .

Third, the coefficients in the difference equations (4.13) and (4.14) are such that  $D^{\varepsilon', +}(y, k-1) = 0$  and  $\Psi^{\varepsilon', +}(k-1, y) = 0$  for  $\varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1$  and any  $y$ .

By combining all previous observations, one deduces that there are two possible ways of restraining the domains for  $(i, j)$  and  $(x, y)$ . The first option is to take  $i, x < k$ . Indeed, in this case one needs to impose  $G_{i,j}(x, y) = 0$  for  $i \geq k$ , since these polynomials might appear as terms with non-vanishing coefficients in the recurrence relations. Then, in order for the recurrence relations to hold with this convention for all pairs  $(i, j)$  in their restricted domain, one must restrict  $x < k$ , as the polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  are zero for  $i \geq k$  and  $x < k$ . In the difference equations, terms containing polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  with  $x \geq k$  do not appear because of the vanishing coefficients. The second option is to take  $i, x \geq k$ . In this case, the previous arguments concerning the recurrence relations and the difference equations are reversed, and one should impose  $G_{i,j}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x < k$ .

A similar analysis applies for the other parameters. We indicate below the vanishing of the polynomials and of the recurrence/difference coefficients.

When  $c_0 = -k$ , one finds that  $G_{i,j}(x, y) = 0$  for  $j \geq k$  and  $y < k$  using the polynomials  $p_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-a)$ . The coefficients  $A_{i+\varepsilon, k-1}^{\varepsilon, -}$ ,  $\Gamma_{i+\varepsilon, k-1}^{\varepsilon, -}$ ,  $D^{+, \varepsilon}(k-1, x)$  and  $\Psi^{+, \varepsilon}(x, k-1)$  vanish.

When  $c_3 = -k$ , one can use Whipple's transformation formula on  $p_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j)$  and  $p_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-a)$ , see equation (2.10.5) in [11], to show that  $G_{i,j}(x, y) = 0$  for  $i < k$  and  $y \geq k$ . The coefficients  $A_{k, j+\varepsilon'}^{+, \varepsilon'}$ ,  $\Gamma_{k, j+\varepsilon'}^{+, \varepsilon'}$ ,  $D^{-, \varepsilon}(k, x)$  and  $\Psi^{-, \varepsilon}(x, k)$  vanish.

When  $c_4 = -k$ , one can use again Whipple's transformation formula on  $p_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-a)$  and  $p_a(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N-y)$  to show that  $G_{i,j}(x, y) = 0$  for  $j < k$  and  $x \geq k$ . The coefficients  $A_{i+\varepsilon, k}^{\varepsilon, +}$ ,  $\Gamma_{i+\varepsilon, k}^{\varepsilon, +}$ ,  $D^{\varepsilon', -}(y, k)$  and  $\Psi^{\varepsilon', -}(k, y)$  vanish.

Finally, when  $c_1 = -k$ , applying Whipple's transformation formula on  $p_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j)$  and  $p_a(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N-y)$  shows that  $G_{i,j}(x, y) = 0$  for  $i+j \leq N-k$  and  $x+y > N-k$ . The coefficients  $A_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$ ,  $\Gamma_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$  vanish for the following values:  $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') = (+, 0), (0, +)$  and  $i+j = N-k$ ,  $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') = (+, +)$  and  $i+j = N-k, N-k-1$ . Moreover, the coefficients  $D^{\varepsilon', \varepsilon}(y, x)$  and  $\Psi^{\varepsilon', \varepsilon}(x, y)$  vanish for:  $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') = (-, 0), (0, -)$  and  $x+y = N-k+1$ ,  $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') = (-, -)$  and  $x+y = N-k+1, N-k+2$ .  $\square$

Proposition 4.6 indicates that the recurrence relations and difference equations still hold on a restricted domain even if a parameter  $c_i$  is a negative integer. The following proposition states that these polynomials are also orthogonal on the corresponding domains.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Consider the specializations listed in Proposition 4.6, and denote respectively by  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  the restricted domains of  $(i, j)$  and  $(x, y)$  given in (4.20)–(4.24). For each choice of domains, the restricted set of polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  with  $(i, j) \in \mathcal{D}_1$  satisfy the following orthogonality relation:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_2} \tilde{\Lambda}(y; c_3, c_0; N) \tilde{\Omega}(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y) G_{i,j}(x, y) G_{m,n}(x, y) \\ = \delta_{i,m} \delta_{j,n} \bar{\Lambda}(j; c_4, c_0; N) \bar{\Omega}(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j), \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where  $\tilde{\Omega}, \bar{\Omega}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}, \bar{\Lambda}$  are non-zero functions deduced from  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$  in equations (2.3) and (3.6) through the relations

$$\frac{\tilde{\Lambda}(y; c_3, c_0; N) \tilde{\Omega}(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y)}{\bar{\Lambda}(j; c_4, c_0; N) \bar{\Omega}(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j)} = \lim_{c_\varepsilon \rightarrow -k} \frac{\Lambda(y; c_3, c_0; N) \Omega(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y)}{\Lambda(j; c_4, c_0; N) \Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j)}. \quad (4.26)$$

*Proof.* Let us examine again in detail the case  $c_2 = -k$ . The orthogonality relation (4.4) still holds for the polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$ . It is seen from (2.3) that  $\Omega(n; c_1, -k, c_3; N) = 0$  for  $n \geq k$ , but otherwise for  $n < k$  this function does not vanish in general. It follows that for the set of polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  restricted by the condition  $i < k$ , the sum in (4.4) can be restrained to the pairs  $(x, y)$  which satisfy  $x < k$ . This yields the restrained orthogonality relation in the upper line of (4.22). Equation (4.4)

becomes trivial for the set of polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  restricted by  $i \geq k$ . Indeed, on the r.h.s. the function  $\Omega$  vanishes and on the l.h.s. we have either that  $G_{i,j}(x, y) = G_{m,n}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x < k$  (see proof of Proposition 4.6) or that the function  $\Omega$  vanishes for  $x \geq k$ . To obtain a non-trivial relation, one can restrain the sum to pairs  $(x, y)$  such that  $x \geq k$  and simplify the vanishing factors  $(c_2 + k)$  appearing in the functions  $\Omega$  both on the l.h.s. and on the r.h.s. This gives an orthogonality relation for the lower line of (4.22) with modified functions  $\tilde{\Omega} = \overline{\Omega}$  as in (4.26).

All other cases can be similarly treated by restraining the sum in (4.4) and by simplifying vanishing factors in the functions  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$ , when necessary, in order to obtain well-defined and non-trivial orthogonality relations.  $\square$

We have examined in this subsection restrictions on the domains when only one parameter is specialized. It is possible to consider other situations, for instance a combination of the specializations listed in Proposition 4.6, where more than one parameter takes a negative integer value in  $\{-1, -2, \dots, -N\}$  (not necessarily the same value for all specialized parameters). Restrictions on the domains can be determined using similar arguments as the ones in the proof of Proposition 4.6, but each situation requires its own analysis.

## 4.6 Connection with $9j$ symbols

An example of a situation where the parameters  $\{c_i\}_{i=0}^4$  simultaneously take negative integer values arises in the study of the  $9j$  symbols.

**Proposition 4.8.** *For  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$  and  $c_0$  negative integers obeying the constraint (3.2), and assuming that some additional constraints that are made explicit in the core of the proof are obeyed, the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type (4.1) are proportional to the  $9j$  symbol*

$$G_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) \propto \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} -\frac{1}{2}(c_2+1) & -\frac{1}{2}(c_4+1) & -x - \frac{1}{2}(c_{24}+2) \\ -\frac{1}{2}(c_3+1) & -\frac{1}{2}(c_0+1) & -y - \frac{1}{2}(c_{03}+2) \\ -i - \frac{1}{2}(c_{23}+2) & -j - \frac{1}{2}(c_{04}+2) & -\frac{1}{2}(c_1+1) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (4.27)$$

The symbol  $\propto$  in the above relation means equality up to a non-zero function of type  $f(i, j)g(x, y)$  which can be computed explicitly.

*Proof.* The  $9j$  symbols can be expressed in terms of  $6j$  symbols [21]

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\ j_3 & j_4 & j_{34} \\ j_{13} & j_{24} & j_0 \end{array} \right\} = \sum_{j_{234}} (-1)^{2j_{234}} (2j_{234} + 1) \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{24} & j_3 & j_{234} \\ j_1 & j_0 & j_{13} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{234} & j_2 & j_{34} \\ j_4 & j_3 & j_{24} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{34} & j_0 & j_{12} \\ j_1 & j_2 & j_{234} \end{array} \right\}, \quad (4.28)$$

where we have used symmetries of the  $6j$  symbol to rewrite them in a convenient way for what follows. Note that the  $6j$  symbol is equal to zero unless the so-called triangular conditions are obeyed [21]. Moreover,  $6j$  symbols are related to  ${}_4F_3$  generalized hypergeometric functions by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{123} & j_1 & j_{23} \\ j_2 & j_3 & j_{12} \end{array} \right\} = \mathcal{N}_{j_2, j_3, j_{12}}^{j_{123}, j_1, j_{23}} {}_4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} j_{12} - j_1 - j_2, -j_{12} - j_1 - j_2 - 1, j_{23} - j_2 - j_3, -j_{23} - j_2 - j_3 - 1 \\ -2j_2, j_{123} - j_1 - j_2 - j_3, -j_{123} - j_1 - j_2 - j_3 - 1 \end{array} \middle| 1 \right) \quad (4.29)$$

when the following additional constraints<sup>1</sup> on the entries of the  $6j$  symbol are obeyed [36]:

$$j_{123} + j_1 \geq j_2 + j_3 \quad \text{and} \quad j_{123} - j_1 \geq |j_2 - j_3|. \quad (4.30)$$

<sup>1</sup>Other connections with  ${}_4F_3$  functions can be found, depending on the type of additional inequalities one chooses, see relations (8–12) of Chapter 9.2.3 in [21] and Chapter II of [36] for more details on these relations.

The normalization is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{j_2, j_3, j_{12}}^{j_{123}, j_1, j_{23}} &= (-1)^{j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j_{123}} (2j_2)! (j_1 + j_2 + j_3 - j_{123})! (j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j_{123} + 1)! \\ &\quad \times \Delta(j_1, j_2, j_{12}) \Delta(j_{12}, j_3, j_{123}) \Delta(j_{23}, j_2, j_3) \Delta(j_{123}, j_1, j_{23}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

and the symbol  $\Delta(j_1, j_2, j_3)$  is defined as

$$\Delta(j_1, j_2, j_3) = \sqrt{\frac{(j_1 - j_2 + j_3)!}{(-j_1 + j_2 + j_3)! (j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + 1)! (j_1 + j_2 - j_3)!}}. \quad (4.32)$$

Assuming that the constraints (4.30) hold for each of the  $6j$  symbols appearing in (4.28) and replacing the summation over  $j_{234}$  by a summation over the variable  $a = -j_{234} - \frac{1}{2}(2 + c_{12})$ , we get the following constraints between the parameters  $\{c_i\}_{i=0}^4$ , the degree  $j$ , variable  $y$  and summation index  $a$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -j + N + 1 + c_{12} &\geq 0, & -2j - 1 - c_{04} + c_3 &\geq |c_1 - c_2|, \\ -a + N + 1 + c_{03} &\geq 0, & -2a - 1 - c_{12} + c_4 &\geq |c_0 - c_3|, \\ -y + N + 1 + c_{24} &\geq 0, & -2y - 1 - c_{03} + c_1 &\geq |c_2 - c_4|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Then, using the relation between  $6j$  symbols and hypergeometric functions above, we can show that the r.h.s. of (4.27) becomes the desired Griffiths polynomial of Racah type multiplied by a function of type  $f(i, j)g(x, y)$  which we do not write here. Note that upon setting  $c_j$ 's to negative integers, zeros appear in both the numerator and denominator of the proportionality coefficient of (4.27) but closer inspection shows that all zeros cancel under the limiting procedure.  $\square$

## 5 Limiting cases of Griffiths polynomials of Racah type

We now look for limits  $|c_j| \rightarrow \infty$  for some of the parameters of the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type while preserving the relation (3.2). This implies that at least 2  $c$ 's must tend to  $\pm\infty$ . After examining all possible combinations where 2, 3, 4 or 5  $c$ 's tend to infinity at the same speed (*i.e.*  $c_j = \sigma_j t$  with  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ), we find various cases of well-defined limits of the Griffiths polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$ , presented below. The Griffiths polynomials of Racah type are orthogonal and bispectral, and we checked that the same properties also hold for the limiting cases. The proof is done by considering the limit of relations (4.4), (4.7)–(4.8) and (4.13)–(4.14), possibly multiplied by an appropriate power of  $t$ . Surprisingly, only two types of limits lead to non-trivial, well-defined polynomials: when two or when all  $c$ 's tend to infinity.

To present the corresponding polynomials, we first recall the definition of the Hahn polynomials  $H_n(x; c_1, c_2, N)$  and dual Hahn polynomials  $\tilde{H}_n(x; c_1, c_2, N)$  [22]

$$H_n(x; c_1, c_2; N) = \binom{N}{n} (2n + c_1 + 1) \frac{(c_2 + 1)_n}{(c_1 + n + 1)_{N+1}} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} -x, -n, n + c_1 + 1 \\ c_2 + 1, -N \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right), \quad (5.1)$$

$$\tilde{H}_n(x; c_1, c_2; N) = \binom{N}{n} (c_2 + 1)_n {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, -x, x + c_1 + 1 \\ c_2 + 1, -N \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right), \quad (5.2)$$

as well as the Krawtchouk polynomial [22]

$$K_n(x; \mathbf{p}; N) = \binom{N}{n} \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}}{1 - \mathbf{p}} \right)^n {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, -x \\ -N \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} \right). \quad (5.3)$$

### 5.1 Two $c$ 's tend to infinity

Let us first consider a renormalized version of the Griffiths polynomials (4.1):

$$\tilde{G}_{i,j}(x, y) = \frac{(c_3 + 1)_{N-j}}{(c_4 + 1)_{N-y}} \sum_{a=0}^M (-1)^a p_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) p_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - a) p_a(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N - y). \quad (5.4)$$

**Griffiths polynomials of type dual Hahn – dual Hahn – Racah.** Shift the parameters  $c_0, c_3$  according to  $c_0 \mapsto c_0 - t$ ,  $c_3 \mapsto c_3 + t$  and perform the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The polynomials  $\tilde{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  tend to

$$\sum_{a=0}^M (-1)^{N+j} \frac{(c_1 + 1)_{N-j-i}}{(c_4 + 1)_{N-y} (c_4 + 1)_j} \tilde{H}_i(a; c_{12}, c_2; N - j) \quad (5.5)$$

$$\times \tilde{H}_j(y; c_{30}, c_{304} + N - a + 1; N - a) p_a(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N - y).$$

**Griffiths polynomials of type Racah – Hahn – Hahn.** Shift the parameters  $c_0, c_4$  according to  $c_0 \mapsto c_0 - t$ ,  $c_4 \mapsto c_4 + t$  and take the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The polynomials  $\tilde{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  tend to

$$\sum_{a=0}^M (-1)^{a+j} \frac{(c_3 + 1)_{N-j-a} (c_3 + 1)_{N-j}}{(c_1 + 1)_a} p_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \quad (5.6)$$

$$\times H_j(y; c_{04}, c_{304} + N - a + 1; N - a) H_a(x; c_{12}, c_2; N - y).$$

**Griffiths polynomials of type dual Hahn – Racah – Hahn.** Shift the parameters  $c_1, c_2$  according to  $c_1 \mapsto c_1 + t$ ,  $c_2 \mapsto c_2 - t$  and perform the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The polynomials  $\tilde{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  tend to

$$\sum_{a=0}^M (-1)^{N+i+j} \frac{(c_3 + 1)_{N-j} (c_4 + 1)_{N-y-a}}{(c_4 + 1)_{N-y} (c_3 + 1)_i} \tilde{H}_i(a; c_{12}, c_{123} + N - j + 1; N - j) \quad (5.7)$$

$$\times p_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - a) H_a(x; c_{12}, c_{124} + N - y + 1; N - y).$$

## 5.2 All $c$ 's tend to infinity: Griffiths polynomials (of Krawtchouk type)

Suppose now that all the parameters  $c_i$  scale as  $\sigma_i t$  in the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Once again, assume that the constraint (3.2) is fulfilled, which implies that  $\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \sigma_4 = 0$ . This limiting procedure relates the Racah polynomials to the Krawtchouk polynomials (5.3):

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p_n(x; t\sigma_i, t\sigma_j, t\sigma_k; N) = \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_j + \sigma_k} \right)^N K_n(x; \mathbf{p}_{ijk}; N), \quad \mathbf{p}_{ijk} = \frac{\sigma_j(\sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k)}{(\sigma_i + \sigma_j)(\sigma_j + \sigma_k)}. \quad (5.8)$$

Under the above parametrization, one obtains

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} G_{i,j}(x, y) = \left( \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2 + \sigma_3} \right)^{N-j} \left( \frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_0 + \sigma_4} \right)^N \left( \frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \right)^{N-y} \tilde{g}_{i,j}(x, y), \quad (5.9)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{a=0}^M \left( -\frac{\sigma_0 + \sigma_4}{\sigma_3} \right)^a K_i(a; \mathbf{p}_{123}; N - j) K_j(y; \mathbf{p}_{304}; N - a) K_a(x; \mathbf{p}_{421}; N - y). \quad (5.10)$$

The polynomials  $\tilde{g}_{i,j}(x, y)$  are proportional to the Griffiths polynomials (of Krawtchouk type) introduced in [16].

## 6 Outlook

In this paper, we have presented a straightforward characterization of the bivariate Griffiths polynomials of Racah type introduced in [6]. The approach should be extendable to multivariate polynomials.

The methods introduced here open the path to various further studies. For instance, in Section 4.5, specialization to negative integers for the individual  $c_j$ 's were performed to get restricted domains. The same kind of specialization could also be possible when considering sums of the form  $c_j + c_k$ . Moreover, in the limiting procedure studied in Section 5, we did not investigate the case when the speeds at which the parameters  $c_j$ 's go to infinity are different. This could lead to novel types of Griffiths polynomials.



One may also wonder if the approach works in the  $q$ -deformed case. Indeed, the Tratnik polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type are known and have been studied [12, 18]. However, the Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type have not been discovered yet. We believe that the methods developed in the present paper could inform this problem. Recall that the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type have been obtained in [6] through the construction of the representation of the rank 2 Racah algebra (see also [29] for a recent study of these representations). It is to be expected that constructing analogously the representations of the rank 2 Askey–Wilson algebra<sup>2</sup> will lead to the identification of the bivariate Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type. Eventually, the limit from these functions to  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials of two variables should provide a realization of the  $SU_q(3)$  quantum group representation basis, as already seen in [2] for the Tratnik polynomials of  $q$ -Krawtchouk type.

We have considered bivariate polynomials on finite grids but it should be possible to consider infinite grids with for example Wilson rather than Racah polynomials (see *e.g.* [34] for the Tratnik case).

Finally, we would like to undertake the classification of all bivariate polynomials that are solutions of a bispectral problem. This would correspond to a generalization of the Leonard theorem [25], which in the univariate case, led to the definition and the study of Leonard pairs [33]. This result would play an important role in the classification of the bivariate  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes which have just been defined in [3, 1]. The extension of the concept of Leonard pair to the bivariate case has been initiated in [20, 8] with the introduction of (factorized)  $A_2$ - or  $B_2$ - Leonard pairs. We intend to pursue these questions in the future.

**Acknowledgements:** N. Crampé is partially supported by the international research project AAPT of the CNRS. L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy are partially supported by Université Savoie Mont Blanc and Conseil Savoie Mont Blanc grant APOINT. E. Ragoucy is partially supported by the CRM-Simons Scholars-in-residence programm. J. Gaboriaud is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 22F21320 and 22KF0189. The research of L. Vinet is supported by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada. M. Zaimi holds an Alexander-Graham-Bell scholarship from the NSERC.

## A Proof of relation (4.12)

**The case  $\epsilon = -1$ .** First look at relation (4.12) for  $\epsilon = -1$ . Modify the expressions (3.11d), (3.11j) and (3.11g) of  $A_{j-1,a}^{-,+}$ ,  $A_{j-1,a}^{-,0}$  and  $A_{j-1,a}^{-,-}$  using the following relations which are proven by direct calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} F(-a - c_{12} - 1; c_1, c_2) A_{j-1}^-(c_3, c_0, c_4; N - a + 1) &= D^-(a; c_1, c_2; N - j + 1) F(j - 1; c_4, c_0), \\ [F(a; c_1, c_2) + F(-a - c_{12} - 1; c_1, c_2)] A_{j-1}(c_3, c_0, c_4; N - a) \\ &= [-S^-(a; c_1, c_2; N - j + 1) - \lambda^+(a; c_{12}; N - j)] F(j - 1; c_4, c_0), \\ F(a; c_1, c_2) A_{j-1}^+(c_0, c_4; N - a - 1) &= B^-(a; c_1, c_2; N - j + 1) F(j - 1; c_4, c_0). \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Then, the l.h.s. of (4.12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F(j - 1; c_4, c_0) \Big( &p_i(a - 1; N - j) D^-(a; c_1, c_2; N - j + 1) + p_i(a + 1; N - j) B^-(a; c_1, c_2; N - j + 1) \\ &+ p_i(a; N - j) (-S^-(a; c_1, c_2; N - j + 1) - \lambda^+(a; c_{12}; N - j)) \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

We recognize in (A.2) the sum of the r.h.s. of the contiguity difference equation (2.10a) and the l.h.s. of the contiguity recurrence relation (2.9a). Using both as well as

$$F(j; c_4, c_0) \mu_i^-(c_{23}; N - j) = -A_j(c_1, c_0, c_4; N - i), \tag{A.3}$$

we prove relation (4.12) for  $\epsilon = -1$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>See [30, 9, 5] for the definition of higher rank Askey–Wilson algebras.

**The case  $\epsilon = -1$ .** The proof follows the same lines as the case  $\epsilon = 1$ .

**The case  $\epsilon = 0$ .** The l.h.s. of (4.12) reads

$$p_i(x; N-j) A_{j,x}^{0,0}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) - [F(j; c_0, c_4) + F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_0, c_4)] \\ \times [p_i(x-1; N-j) D(x, c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) + p_i(x+1; N-j) B(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j)] , \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where we first used relations (3.11c), (3.11f) and then the equations

$$[F(x; c_2, c_1) + F(-x - c_{12} - 1; c_2, c_1)] B(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-x) = \Sigma_x^+(c_4, c_2, c_1, N-y-1) F(y; c_3, c_0) , \\ [F(x; c_2, c_1) + F(-x - c_{12} - 1; c_2, c_1)] D(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-x) \\ = \Sigma_x^-(c_4, c_2, c_1, N-y+1) F(-y - c_{03} - 1; c_3, c_0) . \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Using difference equation (2.7), expression (A.4) is rewritten as follows

$$p_i(x; N-j) \left( A_{j,x}^{0,0}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) - [F(j; c_0, c_4) + F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_0, c_4)] \right. \\ \left. \times [\mu_i(c_{23}) + S(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j)] \right) \\ = -p_i(x; N-j) [F(j; c_0, c_4) + F(-j - c_{04} - 1; c_0, c_4)] \\ \times \left( \lambda(x; c_{12}) + i(i + c_{23} + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(c_2 + 1)(c_{123} + 1) \right) . \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The last line is obtained by a straightforward computation. Finally, with the help of the recurrence relation (2.6), one gets the r.h.s. of (4.12). This ends the proof.

## References

- [1] E. Bannai, H. Kurihara, D. Zhao and Y. Zhu, *Multivariate P- and/or Q-polynomial association schemes*, arXiv:2305.00707.
- [2] G. Bergeron, E. Koelink and L. Vinet,  *$SU_q(3)$  corepresentations and bivariate  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. 60 (2019) 051701 and arXiv:1811.02065.
- [3] P.-A. Bernard, N. Crampe, L. Poulain d'Andecy, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi, *Bivariate P-polynomial association schemes*, Algebraic combinatorics and arXiv:2212.10824.
- [4] N. Crampe, L. Frappat, J. Gaboriaud, E. Ragoucy, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi,  *$\lambda$ -Griffiths polynomials: Bispectrality and biorthogonality*, arXiv:2311.03256.
- [5] N. Crampe, L. Frappat, L. Poulain d'Andecy and E. Ragoucy, *The higher-rank Askey–Wilson algebra and its braid group automorphisms*, SIGMA 19 (2023) 077 and arXiv:2303.17677.
- [6] N. Crampe, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, *Representations of the rank two Racah algebra and orthogonal multivariate polynomials*, Linear Algebra Appl. 664 (2023) 165–215 and arXiv:2206.01031.
- [7] N. Crampe, W. van de Vijver and L. Vinet, *Racah problems for the oscillator algebra, the Lie algebra  $sl(n)$ , and multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials*, Ann. Henri Poincaré 21 (2020) 3939–3971 and arXiv:1909.12643.
- [8] N. Crampe and M. Zaimi, *Factorized  $A_2$ -Leonard pair*, arXiv:2312.08312.
- [9] H. De Bie, H. De Clercq and W. van de Vijver, *The higher rank  $q$ -deformed Bannai–Ito and Askey–Wilson algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. 374 (2020) 277–316 and arXiv:1805.06642.

- [10] P. Diaconis and R. Griffiths, *An introduction to multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials and their applications*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference 154 (2014) 39–53 and [arXiv:1309.0112](#).
- [11] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Second Edition (Corrected and Extended). Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [12] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Some Systems of Multivariable Orthogonal  $q$ -Racah polynomials*, Ramanujan J. 13 (2007) 389–405 and [arXiv:math/0410250](#).
- [13] V.X. Genest and L. Vinet, *The Generic Superintegrable System on the 3-Sphere and the 9j Symbols of  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$* , SIGMA 10 (2014) 198 and [arXiv:1404.0876](#).
- [14] V.X. Genest, L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, *The multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials as matrix elements of the rotation group representations on oscillator states*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 (2013) 505203 and [arXiv:1306.4256](#).
- [15] J. Geronimo and P. Iliev, *Bispectrality of multivariable Racah-Wilson polynomials*, Constr. Approx. 31 (2010) 417–457 and [arXiv:0705.1469](#).
- [16] R. Griffiths, *Orthogonal polynomials on the multinomial distribution*, Austral. J. Statist. 13 (1971) 27–35, doi:10.1111/j.1467-842X.1971.tb01239.x; Corrigenda Austral. J. Statist. 14 (1972) 270, doi:10.1111/j.1467-842X.1972.tb00903.x.
- [17] M.R. Hoare and M. Rahman, *A probabilistic origin for a new class of bivariate polynomials*, SIGMA 4 (2008) 89–106 and [arXiv:0812.3879](#).
- [18] P. Iliev, *Bispectral commuting difference operator for multivariable Askey–Wilson polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011) 1577–1598. and [arXiv:0801.4939](#).
- [19] P. Iliev, *A Lie theoretic interpretation of multivariate hypergeometric polynomials*, Compositio Math. 148 (2012) 991–1002 and [arXiv:1101.1683](#).
- [20] P. Iliev and P. Terwilliger, *The Rahman polynomials and the Lie algebras  $sl_3(\mathbb{C})$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2012) 4225–4238 and [arXiv:1006.5062](#).
- [21] V.K. Khersonskii, A.N. Moskalev and D.A. Varshalovich, *Quantum theory of angular momentum*, World Scientific (1988), doi:10.1142/0270.
- [22] R. Koekoek, P.A. Lesky and R.F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their  $q$ -analogues*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (2010), doi:10.1007/978-3-642-05014-5.
- [23] T. Koornwinder, *Krawtchouk polynomials, a unification of two different group theoretic interpretations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 13 (1982) 1011–1023
- [24] M. Kravchuk, *Sur une généralisation des polynômes d’Hermite*, Comptes Rendus Mathématique (in French) 189 (1929) 620–622.
- [25] D. Leonard, *Orthogonal polynomials, duality, and association schemes*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 13 (1982) 656–663.
- [26] S. Lievens and J. Van der Jeugt,  *$3nj$ -coefficients of  $su(1,1)$  as connection coefficients between orthogonal polynomials in  $n$  variables*, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 3824–3849
- [27] A.F. Nikiforov, S.K. Suslov and V.B. Uvarov, *Classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable*, Springer, Berlin, 1991

- [28] H. Mizukawa and H. Tanaka,  $(n + 1, m + 1)$ -hypergeometric functions associated to character algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004) 2613–2618.
- [29] S. Post and S. Bertrand, *The Racah algebra of rank 2: Properties, symmetries and representation*, arXiv:2402.08944.
- [30] S. Post and A. Walter, *A higher rank extension of the Askey–Wilson algebra*, arXiv:1705.01860.
- [31] F. Scarabotti, *The tree method for multidimensional  $q$ -Hahn and  $q$ -Racah polynomials*, Ramanujan J. 25 (2011) 57–91 and arXiv:0912.4357.
- [32] J.F. van Diejen and J.V. Stokman, *Multivariable  $q$ -Racah polynomials*, Duke Math. J. 91 (1998) 89–136 and arXiv:q-alg/9607003.
- [33] P. Terwilliger, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other*, Linear Algebra Appl. 330 (2001) 149–203 and arXiv:math/0406555.
- [34] M.V. Tratnik, *Some multivariable orthogonal polynomials of the Askey tableau- continuous families*, J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 2065–2073, doi:10.1063/1.529228.
- [35] M.V. Tratnik, *Some multivariable orthogonal polynomials of the Askey tableau- discrete families*, J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 2337–2342, doi:10.1063/1.529158.
- [36] J. Wilson, *Hypergeometric series recurrence relations and some new orthogonal functions*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin (1978).
- [37] A. Zhedanov,  *$9j$ -symbols for the oscillator algebra and the Krawtchouk polynomials in two variables*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997) 8337.