

On categorification of Stokes coefficients in Chern-Simons theory

Sergei Gukov^{1,2} and Pavel Putrov³

¹*Merkin Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*

²*Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 10 Burlington Rd, Dublin, Ireland*

³*ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, Trieste 34151, Italy*

Abstract

We consider a finite-dimensional oscillatory integral which provides a “finite-dimensional model” for analytically continued $SU(2)$ Chern-Simons theory on closed 3-manifolds that are described by plumbing trees. This model allows an efficient description of Stokes phenomenon for perturbative expansions in Chern-Simons theory around classical solutions – $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ flat connections. Moreover, the Stokes coefficients can be categorified, i.e. promoted to graded vector spaces, in terms of this finite-dimensional model. At least naively, the categorification gives BPS spectrum of 5d maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory on the 3-manifold times a line with appropriate boundary conditions. We also comment on necessity of taking into account “flat connections at infinity” to capture Stokes phenomenon for certain 3-manifolds.

Contents

1	Introduction and summary	2
2	Stokes coefficients for plumbed 3-manifolds.	3
2.1	Review of resurgence and Stokes phenomenon in Chern-Simons theory	3
2.2	Review of plumbed 3-manifolds	10
2.3	Information from a single thimble	11
2.4	A finite-dimensional model	13
2.5	Weakly negative-definite plumbings	14
2.6	A concrete example	25
2.7	General tree plumbings	28
2.7.1	Resolving degeneracy of CS values	28
2.7.2	Non-weakly-negative-definite plumbings	30
2.7.3	Higher-genus plumbings	35
2.7.4	Non-trivial torsion in homology	40
2.8	Relation to \hat{Z}	41
2.9	Behavior under cutting and gluing (surgery) operations	44

3 Flat connections at infinity	48
3.1 Surgeries on knots	49
4 Categorification	54
4.1 Categorification of the finite-dimensional model	55
4.1.1 Example 1	60
4.1.2 Example 2	61
4.2 Related perspectives	64
5 Hemisphere partition function interpretation	68
5.1 B-twist with A-type boundary conditions	68
5.2 A-twist with B-type boundary conditions	70
A Borel transform technicalities	72
B Another derivation of the finite-dimensional integral representation	72

1 Introduction and summary

Homological invariants of knots and 3-manifolds play an important role in the low-dimensional topology. Not only do they often provide strong 3-dimensional invariants, but due to their functoriality properties they can be of use in 4-dimensional topology. From the physics point of view, homological invariants can be usually interpreted as the BPS spectrum (Q -cohomology) of certain twisted theories, where the knot / 3-manifold plays the role of a part of the space, with the time being transversal to it [1–3]. One of the most well-known homological invariant of knots is the Khovanov homology [4] (and its higher-rank generalization [5]). The important examples of homological invariants of 3-manifolds are Heegaard Floer [6], Monopole Floer [7], Instanton Floer [8], and Embedded Contact Homology [9], which are all closely related. These homology theories also have versions for 3-manifolds with a knot.

The homological invariants can be understood as a categorification of numerical integer-valued invariants, which are recovered by the Euler characteristic of the homology. For example, the (\mathbb{Z}^2 -graded) Khovanov homology categorifies the Jones polynomial (with one of the gradings corresponding to powers of the variable in the polynomial) and Instanton Floer homology categorifies the Casson invariant.

In this paper we consider a physically-motivated homology theory of a 3-manifold Y that categorifies Stokes coefficients in analytically continued $SU(2)$ Chern-Simons (CS) theory on 3-manifolds. The Stokes coefficients are integers that describe the wall-crossing of the Borel resummations of perturbative expansions in CS theory around different flat $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connections on Y . Informally they can be also understood as counts of gradient flows between flat connections with respect to the real part of the Chern-Simons functional (multiplied by an extra phase) on the space of all $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connections [10]. The gradient flow equations are known to be equivalent to 4-dimensional Kapustin-Witten equations on $Y \times \mathbb{R}$. Solutions of Kapustin-Witten equations, in turn, can be understood as the \mathbb{R} -invariant solutions of 5d Haydys-Witten equations on $Y \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ [3, 11]. (Note, this is different from 5d Haydys-Witten

equations on $Y \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ or 6d fivebrane theory on $Y \times T^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.) Therefore, at least naively, the categorification of the Stokes coefficients can be provided by the Floer-type homology based on counting solutions of Haydys-Witten equations on $Y \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Physically, it is given by the BPS spectrum of the 5d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ Super-Yang-Mills theory topologically twisted along Y .

Alternatively, considering a Heegaard splitting of the 3-manifold along a Riemann surface Σ , one can consider a Floer-type theory based on counting solutions to 3d Fueter equations with the complex symplectic target being the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ character variety of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ [12, 13]. Physically it should correspond to the BPS spectrum of an A-twisted 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sigma-model with the aforementioned target. However, both of these approaches neither have been made mathematically rigorous, nor allow systematic calculations at the level of rigor of theoretical physics.

In this work we consider a special class of 3-manifolds – plumbed (or graph), for which we formulate a different approach to categorification of Stokes coefficients. It is based on the existence of a finite-dimensional oscillatory integral that provides an analytic continuation of the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev (WRT) invariant of Y with respect to the level parameter. It therefore captures at least some sector¹ in the analytically-continued CS theory on Y . We refer to this integral as the “finite-dimensional model” of the analytically continued CS theory. The categorification can be described combinatorially in terms of the data defining the finite-dimensional oscillatory integral. It can be understood as the Floer homology of pairs of Lagrangian submanifolds in the space of integration.

During our analysis, we find that in some examples, in order to fully capture the Stokes phenomenon, it is not sufficient to consider perturbative expansions around the ordinary $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ flat connections. One has to also take into account certain “flat connections at infinity”, which are not part of the standard moduli space $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(Y), SL(2, \mathbb{C}))/SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first provide a brief review of resurgence theory and Stokes phenomenon in analytically continued Chern-Simons theory. We then describe the finite-dimensional model of the analytically continued CS theory on plumbed 3-manifold and show how to systematically calculate Stokes coefficients from it. In Section 3 we point out the necessity of consideration of “flat connections at infinity” to capture the Stokes phenomenon in CS theory on certain 3-manifolds. In Section 4 we go into the details of categorification of the Stokes coefficients in Chern-Simons theory. In Section 5 we provide an interpretation of the finite-dimensional model for plumbed 3-manifold as a hemisphere partition function of a 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ quantum field theory preserving A -type of B -type supersymmetry.

2 Stokes coefficients for plumbed 3-manifolds.

2.1 Review of resurgence and Stokes phenomenon in Chern-Simons theory

Consider a closed oriented connected 3-manifold Y . In the analytically continued Chern-Simons theory [10, 14] one formally considers integrals over middle-dimensional

¹The precise meaning of this is explained in Section 2.3.

contours Γ in the space $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of complex connection 1-forms $A \in \Omega^1(Y) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on a principle $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ bundle over Y modulo gauge transformations isotopic to identity:

$$I^\Gamma(k) = \int_\Gamma \mathcal{D}[A] e^{2\pi i k \text{CS}([A])} \quad (1)$$

where $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is a complex parameter – complexified level of Chern-Simons theory. The Chern-Simons functional,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CS} : \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ [A] &\longmapsto \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_Y \text{Ad}A + \frac{2}{3} A^3, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

is considered as a holomorphic function on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{D}[A]$ is the natural “holomorphic volume form” on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. The quotient is performed only over gauge transformations isotopic to identity so that the Chern-Simons functional CS is a well-defined function on the quotient with values in \mathbb{C} , and not just in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} . Moreover, it is often beneficial to consider the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ principle bundle over Y to be framed at a point $* \in Y$, so that the action of the group of the gauge transformations (consisting of smooth maps $f : Y \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $f|_* = 1$) is free and the resulting quotient space does not have singularities (nor needs to be considered as a stack). We will follow this approach by default. The space $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is simply connected and has a free action by the group of all gauge transformations modulo gauge transformations isotopic to identity, which can be identified with integers $\pi_3(SL(2, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The action, if denoted by $(\cdot)^{(n)} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $\text{CS}([A]^{(n)}) = \text{CS}([A]) + n$.

The contour Γ should be chosen such that $\exp 2\pi i k \text{CS}[A]$ vanishes as A tends to infinity along Γ . Without loss of generality, one can assume a stronger condition – that $ik\text{CS}[A]$ tends to $-\infty$ along the real axis, as A goes to infinity along the contour. Because of the holomorphicity of the integrand, $I_\Gamma(k)$ depends on the class of Γ in the appropriate relative homology group, which formally can be written as $H_{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \text{CS}^{-1}(i\infty/k); \mathbb{Z})$. For a generic value of $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ this group of homology classes of admissible contours of integration, which we denote by \mathfrak{G} , has a natural basis given by Lefschetz thimbles for the holomorphic function $\text{CS} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. To be precise, one needs a generalized version of the standard Lefschetz thimbles that takes into account that the function is not Morse. This is ordinarily the case for the Chern-Simons functional on a 3-manifold. The critical set is the space of flat connections modulo isotopic to identity gauge transformations of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ bundle framed at a point is the following²:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \supset \text{Crit CS} \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(Y), SL(2, \mathbb{C})) \times \mathbb{Z} \quad (3)$$

so that the free \mathbb{Z} action on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ acts on the \mathbb{Z} -component by integral shifts. Therefore, unless $\pi_1(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^N$, the critical set contains non-isolated points.

In the case when the function is Morse and critical points are isolated or, more generally, the function is Morse-Bott and each connected component of the critical set has a form of the total space of the cotangent bundle over a compact real manifold, there is a single

²As we will see in Section 3, in general, to capture completely the Stokes phenomenon, one needs to complete $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by including certain connections at infinity that have finite values of CS. This may result in having extra components in the critical set.

Lefschetz thimble associated with each connected component. In general, however, one may need to consider multiple (generalized) Lefschetz thimbles associated with a single connected component. Following the convention of [15] we use blackboard bold Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, \vartheta, \dots$ for the indices labeling different Lefschetz thimbles. In the case when the function is Morse-Bott, for a connected component $C \subset \text{Crit CS} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, one has a Lefschetz thimble for each free generator of $H_{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} C}(C)$. They can be defined as the union of steepest descent flows with respect to $\text{Re}(2\pi i k \text{CS})$ starting from a middle-dimensional compact cycle in C . Since CS is shifted by a constant under the free action of \mathbb{Z} on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, this action induces a free action of \mathbb{Z} on Crit CS such that each critical point is taken into a different connected component. One can choose the basis Lefschetz thimbles in a way that respects this \mathbb{Z} -action, i.e. so that we have an induced free \mathbb{Z} action on the set of all Lefschetz thimbles. Following again the convention of [15], we use a non-bold Greek letter (e.g. α) to denote the \mathbb{Z} -orbits of Lefschetz thimbles (e.g. α). Note that although the number of thimbles is infinite, the number of orbits is finite (assuming Y has a finitely represented fundamental group).

One can consider an integral over a particular thimble:

$$I^\alpha(k) = \int_{\alpha} \mathcal{D}[A] e^{2\pi i k \text{CS}([A])}. \quad (4)$$

Because Lefschetz thimbles generate (over integers) all admissible contours of integration, an integral over an arbitrary contour can for generic value of k be expressed as an integral linear combination of $I^\alpha(k)$. However, since the Lefschetz thimbles depend on $\arg k$, the coefficients of the decomposition may jump as one changes k . This is known as the Stokes phenomenon, and is the main focus of this paper.

Note that although the functional integrals in (1) and (4) are not mathematically well-defined, the perturbative expansion of (4) at $k \rightarrow \infty$ is nevertheless well-defined in many cases. In general it is expected to have the following form [16, 17] similar to that of Chern-Simons with compact gauge group [18] (the same when the thimble is associated with a cycle homologous to a one contained in the subspace of $SU(2)$ connections in Crit CS):

$$I^\alpha(k) \stackrel{\text{asympt}}{\cong} e^{2\pi i k \text{CS}_\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_n^{(\alpha)}}{k^{n+\delta_\alpha}}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5)$$

where CS_α is the value of the Chern-Simons functional on any connection from the connected component Crit CS with which the thimble α is associated. The shift δ_α is expected to be a half-integer, and under certain assumptions is explicitly given by the formula [19–22] $\delta_\alpha = (\dim H^0(Y, d + A) - \dim H^1(Y, d + A))/2$ where A is a connection 1-form from the connected component of the critical set. Here and below we assume that indeed $\delta_\alpha \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and that $a_0^{(\alpha)} \neq 0$ (which, unless all $a_n^{(\alpha)}$ simultaneously vanish, can always be achieved by choosing an appropriate δ_α). Note that δ_α and the coefficients $a_n^{(\alpha)}$ depend only on orbit α , but not on a particular lift $\alpha \in \alpha$.

Under certain assumptions on the properties of the connected component of the critical set, one can define the coefficients $a_n^{(\alpha)}$ independently in terms of certain finite-dimensional integrals over Y corresponding to Feynman graphs of the perturbative expansion in

Chern-Simons theory [23–26]. For the trivial flat connection one can also define the coefficients via Ohtsuki invariant [27]. Assuming we are in a setting where $a_n^{(\alpha)}$ can be mathematically defined, we then can define the formal power series in $1/k$:

$$I_{\text{pert}}^\alpha(k) := e^{2\pi i k \text{CS}_\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_n^{(\alpha)}}{k^{n+\delta_\alpha}}, \quad (6)$$

without a direct use of mathematically ill-defined functional integrals. The series are in general divergent for any k and make sense only as formal power series.

To deal with the divergence, consider the Borel transform of the series (6) defined as

$$B^\alpha(\xi) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-2\pi i)^{n+\delta_\alpha} a_n^{(\alpha)} (\xi - \text{CS}_\alpha)^{n+\delta_\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(n + \delta_\alpha)} \quad (7)$$

where the powers of $(-2\pi i)$ were included for later convenience. Conjecturally, the series $B_\alpha(\xi)$ has a finite radius of convergence and can be analytically continued to a cover of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\text{CS}_\beta\}_\beta$. That is, it can have singularities (including branch points) only at other critical values of the Chern-Simons functional.

The series (6) then can be recovered as the asymptotic expansion of a generalization of a Laplace transform of $B^\alpha(\xi)$:

$$I_{\text{pert}}^\alpha(k) \stackrel{\text{asympt}}{\cong} \int_{\text{CS}_\alpha}^{\infty} d\xi B^\alpha(\xi) e^{2\pi i k \xi} \quad (8)$$

where the integral is taken over any contour starting from CS_α and going to infinity in the direction where $e^{2\pi i k \xi} \rightarrow 0$, avoiding the possible singularities at $\xi_* = \text{CS}_\beta$ for some other β . Note that when $\delta_\alpha \leq 0$ the integral must be regularized. There is a standard way to do it, by considering instead a Hankel-type contour going around the original contour and modifying $B^\alpha(\xi)$ appropriately (cf. [15]):

$$B^\alpha(\xi) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{B}^\alpha(\xi) := \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n^{(\alpha)} (-2\pi i)^{n+\delta_\alpha} (\xi - \text{CS}_\alpha)^{n+\delta_\alpha-1} \cdot \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\Gamma(n+\delta_\alpha)}, & \delta_\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{\log(\xi - \text{CS}_\alpha)}{2\pi i \Gamma(n+\delta_\alpha)}, & \delta_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, n + \delta_\alpha \geq 1, \\ \frac{(-1)^{n+\delta_\alpha} (-n-\delta_\alpha)!}{2\pi i}, & \delta_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, n + \delta_\alpha \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

For a generic k , there is a canonical choice of the contour: the straight ray determined by the condition $-ik(\xi - \text{CS}_\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_+$. For finite-dimensional oscillatory integrals, the integral over such a contour recovers the value of the original integral over the corresponding Lefschetz thimble [28]. Therefore one can use it to *define*

$$I^\alpha(k) := \int_{\text{CS}_\alpha + \frac{i}{k} \mathbb{R}_+} d\xi B^\alpha(\xi) e^{2\pi i k \xi} \quad (10)$$




Figure 1: The black ray originating at $\xi = \text{CS}_\alpha$ shows the contour in (10) corresponding to the Lefschetz thimble, valid for the case $\delta_\alpha \geq 0$. The blue contour shows the Hankel-type contour needed instead in the case $\delta_\alpha < 0$, with $B^\alpha(\xi)$ replaced by $\tilde{B}^\alpha(\xi)$ in the integrand. The red crosses mark singularities at critical values of the Chern-Simons functional.

without directly using an ill-defined functional integration as in (4). Here again if $\delta_\alpha < 0$, the integral should be modified appropriately: the contour should be changed to the Hankel contour surrounding the original integration ray $\text{CS}_\alpha + \frac{i}{k}\mathbb{R}_+$ and $B^\alpha(\xi)$ should be replaced by $\tilde{B}^\alpha(\xi)$ (see Figure 1).

Conjecturally, the singularity structure of $B^\alpha(\xi)$ has the following form:

$$B^\alpha(\xi) \stackrel{\xi \rightarrow \xi_*}{\sim} \sum_{\beta: \text{CS}_\beta = \xi_*} m_\beta^\alpha \tilde{B}^\beta(\xi) + \text{regular}. \quad (11)$$

The coefficients of the singular part, m_β^α , known as Stokes coefficients, is conjecturally an integer. They can be formally interpreted as the monodromy coefficients of the middle-dimensional cycles in the holomorphic fibration $\text{CS} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Namely, assume the Morse-Bott situation. Then, as described before, each thimble α corresponds to a compact middle-dimensional cycle in Crit CS . For $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ in the vicinity of the critical value $\text{CS}_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ one then consider a middle dimensional cycle Ξ^α in the fiber $\text{CS}^{-1}(\xi)$ which degenerates to the cycle in Crit CS corresponding to α , as $\xi \rightarrow \text{CS}_\alpha$. Then one can first transport Ξ^α from the vicinity of $\text{CS}_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ to the vicinity of ξ_* , and then transport it along a small circle surrounding ξ_* . The latter part of the process will result in a monodromy of the corresponding homology classes:

$$[\Xi^\alpha] \mapsto [\Xi^\alpha] + \sum_{\beta: \text{CS}_\beta = \xi_*} m_\beta^\alpha [\Xi^\beta]. \quad (12)$$

The coefficients m_β^α in principle depend on the homotopy class of the path in the ξ -plane from CS_α to ξ_* along which the analytic continuation of $B^\alpha(\xi)$ was performed. The naive




Figure 2: The path of analytic continuation in the ξ -plane from the neighborhood of CS_α to the neighborhood of CS_β , in the case when there are other critical values of the Chern-Simons functional (shown as red crosses) on the straight line connecting CS_α with CS_β .

canonical prescription is to do it along a straight line. However, if there are singularities along this line this prescription has to be refined: a choice from which side we “dodge” the singularities along the path has to be made.

There is, in a sense, a canonical choice of the path: to go from CS_α to ξ_* along a segment infinitesimally shifted to the right (with respect to the direction of movement) from the straight segment connecting CS_α with ξ_* (see Figure 2).

This prescription is in agreement with the standard interpretation of the Stokes coefficients as the coefficients describing the jumps of the integrals over the Lefschetz thimbles occurring when $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ passes through a “wall” determined by the condition $k(CS_\beta - CS_\alpha) \in i\mathbb{R}_+$. Namely, from (10) and (11) it follows that:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I^\alpha(k e^{i\epsilon}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \left(I^\alpha(k e^{i\epsilon}) + \sum_{\beta: k(CS_\beta - CS_\alpha) \in i\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}} m_\beta^\alpha I^\beta(k e^{i\epsilon}) \right). \quad (13)$$

Assuming the conjectures mentioned above are true, and using the described “canonical” prescription for m_β^α , we can then define the following \tilde{q} -series labeled by a pair of \mathbb{Z} -orbits of thimbles:

$$I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q}) := \sum_{\beta \in \beta} m_\beta^\alpha \tilde{q}^{CS_\alpha - CS_\beta}, \quad \in \tilde{q}^{\Delta_\alpha^\beta} \mathbb{Z}[[\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}^{-1}]], \quad (14)$$

where α is any lift of α . The overall power shift $\Delta_\alpha^\beta \in [0, 1)$ is such that $\Delta_\alpha^\beta = CS_\alpha - CS_\beta \pmod{1}$. Note that the result of the summation in the right-hand side of (14) is independent of the choice of α . This is because m_β^α is invariant under simultaneous action of \mathbb{Z} on α and β . To take into account the possibility of coinciding orbits (i.e. $\alpha = \beta$), we introduce the

“self-monodromy” coefficient:

$$m_{\alpha}^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} 1, & \delta_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ -1, & \delta_{\alpha} \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Note that so far \tilde{q} has appeared as just a formal variable in the definition of formal power series (14). However, as we will see shortly, when one considers a “total monodromy”, or equivalently “total jump” of Lefschetz thimbles, it is natural to identify $\tilde{q} = e^{-2\pi ik}$. Let us start with $I^{\alpha}(k)$ for some generic value of k . It is locally an analytic function in k and can be analytically continued. Consider its analytic continuation with $\arg(1/k)$ starting from ϵ and increasing to $\pi + \epsilon$ (for some sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$). Applying the formula (13) for all the rays in the walls in the corresponding sector in the k -plane, the analytic continuation can be related to a linear combination of Lefschetz thimbles (cf. [29–32]):

$$I^{\alpha}(k) \longmapsto \sum_{\beta} {}^{+}m_{\beta}^{\alpha} I^{\beta}(k) \quad (16)$$

with some integer coefficients ${}^{+}m_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ (assuming that the infinite sum over β is convergent). Then defining

$$\tilde{I}^{\alpha}(k) := e^{-2\pi ik \text{CS}_{\alpha}} I^{\alpha}(k), \quad (17)$$

one can rewrite (16) in terms of series (14) and a *finite* sum over the orbits:

$$\tilde{I}^{\alpha}(k) \longmapsto \sum_{\beta} {}^{+}I_{\beta}^{\alpha}(e^{-2\pi ik}) \tilde{I}^{\beta}(k), \quad (18)$$

where

$${}^{+}I_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\tilde{q}) := \sum_{\beta \in \beta} {}^{+}m_{\beta}^{\alpha} \tilde{q}^{\text{CS}_{\alpha} - \text{CS}_{\beta}}. \quad (19)$$

By construction, ${}^{+}I_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\tilde{q})$ are series in non-negative powers of \tilde{q} , as $\text{Re CS}_{\alpha} > \text{Re CS}_{\beta}$ for non-zero terms. Therefore it is natural to expect series to be convergent for $|\tilde{q}| < 1$, which indeed happens in all the known examples.

Similarly, one can consider analytic continuation with $\arg(1/k)$ starting from $\pi + \epsilon$ and increasing to $2\pi + \epsilon$:

$$I^{\alpha}(k) \longmapsto \sum_{\beta} {}^{-}m_{\beta}^{\alpha} I^{\beta}(k) \quad (20)$$

with some integer coefficients ${}^{-}m_{\beta}^{\alpha}$. As before, one can rewrite (16) as a sum over the orbits:

$$\tilde{I}^{\alpha}(k) \longmapsto \sum_{\beta} {}^{-}I_{\beta}^{\alpha}(e^{-2\pi ik}) \tilde{I}^{\beta}(k), \quad (21)$$

where

$${}^{-}I_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\tilde{q}) := \sum_{\beta \in \beta} {}^{-}m_{\beta}^{\alpha} \tilde{q}^{\text{CS}_{\alpha} - \text{CS}_{\beta}}. \quad (22)$$

By construction, ${}^{-}I_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\tilde{q})$ are series in non-positive powers of \tilde{q} and it is natural to expect them to converge for $|\tilde{q}| > 1$. As we will see later, in the case of weakly negative-definite plumbed

manifolds the Stokes jump happens across a single wall $k \in -i\mathbb{R}_+$. Therefore in this case $^-I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q}) \equiv 0$ and $^+I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q}) \equiv I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q})$ (up to a constant self-monodromy term for $\beta = \alpha$, which is not included in $^+I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q})$).

The goal of the rest of the Section 2 is to provide an explicit computation algorithm for $I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q})$ in the case of plumbed 3-manifolds.

Before we proceed, let us also note that as explained in [10], one can interpret m_β^α as the count of solutions of Kapusin-Witten equations on $Y \times \mathbb{R}$, which are known to be equivalent to gradient flow equations of CS functional in the space of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connections on Y . More precisely, assuming that $B^\alpha(\xi)$ has no singularities inside the straight interval connecting CS_α and CS_β , the coefficient m_β^α can be interpreted as counting flows starting from the compact middle-dimensional cycle corresponding to α and terminating at a certain (in general, non-compact) middle-dimensional cycle in Crit CS which is “dual” in a certain sense to the cycle corresponding to β . In the case when the cycle β is in the connected component which has a form of the total space of the cotangent bundle over a compact real manifold, β itself must be homologous to the base of the fibration, and the dual cycle can be chosen to be a fiber at some point.

2.2 Review of plumbed 3-manifolds

In this section we provide a brief review of *plumbed manifolds* and fix notations relevant to the rest of the paper. A plumbed manifold is a 3-manifold associated with a *plumbing graph* Γ , a graph with a certain additional data [33]. We restrict our attention to the case when the plumbing graph is a tree and the plumbing is *orientable* and *closed* (in the terminology of [33]), although the latter condition will be relaxed at some point later in the paper. In this case, to each vertex i of the graph one assigns a non-negative integer $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and an arbitrary integer $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Figure 5). The manifold Y_Γ that one associates with the graph can be constructed from basic building blocks associated with the vertices V . Namely, to each vertex $i \in V$ one associates a circle fibration over a genus g_i Riemann surface Σ_i with Euler class f_i . An edge between a pair of vertices i, j then corresponds to the following operation. First, for each of the two fibrations, one removes the restriction of the bundle to a sufficiently small disk in the base (the disks corresponding to different edges should not overlap). This creates a torus boundary in the total space for each of the two fibrations. Then one glues them along the boundaries with the map that identifies the boundary circle in the base of one fibration with that in the fiber of the other, and vice-versa.

Some basic topological invariants of the resulting closed 3-manifold Y_Γ can be expressed in terms of the $|V| \times |V|$ matrix B which off the diagonal coincides with the adjacency matrix of the graph Γ and on the diagonal is given by $B_{ii} = f_i$.

In particular, the 1st homology group of the 3-manifold is given by

$$H_1(Y_\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}^{2\sum_i g_i} \oplus \text{Coker } B. \quad (23)$$

The manifold is a rational homology sphere (i.e. $b_1 = 0$) if and only if all the fibrations are over spheres (i.e. $g_i = 0, \forall i$) and $\det B \neq 0$. When all the genera are zero, the manifold also admits a simple representation in terms of Dehn surgery on a framed link associated with the plumbing graph in a natural way (see Figure 4). The link has $|V(\Gamma)|$ components. For a




Figure 3: An example of a plumbing graph.




Figure 4: Dehn surgery diagram corresponding to the plumbing graph shown in Figure 3, with $g_i = 0, \forall i$.

vertex $i \in V(\Gamma)$ of the graph one associates a copy of an unknot with framing f_i . A pair of unknots associated with a pair of vertices forms a Hopf link if the vertices are connected by an edge and forms an unlink otherwise.

Of course, as in the case of Dehn surgery representation of a 3-manifold, different plumbing graphs can produce diffeomorphic 3-manifolds. The analogue of the 3-dimensional Kirby moves are the so-called Neumann moves on the plumbing graphs, listed in [33]. A pair of plumbings Γ and Γ' produce diffeomorphic manifolds if and only if they can be related by a sequence of Neumann moves.

2.3 Information from a single thimble

Assume we have only the knowledge of the contribution of the “zero” Lefschetz thimble $I^{\omega_0}(k)$ corresponding to the trivial flat connection with zero value of the Chern-Simons functional.³ Instead of ω_0 one could also consider any other distinguished thimble, the general analysis in

³A reader mostly interested in computational aspects can skip this subsection.

this subsection would remain the same. One could ask a question: what information about the monodromy coefficients m_{β}^{α} and other $I^{\alpha}(k)$ can be recovered from just $I^{\omega_0}(k)$?

The answer, which is rather tautological, can be formulated as follows. As was reviewed in Section 2.1, the space $\mathfrak{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ of all admissible contours is a free abelian group generated by the Lefschetz thimbles α . In addition, it is equipped with:

1. Direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{G} = \bigoplus_{S \in \text{CritVal CS}} \mathfrak{G}_S \quad (24)$$

indexed by the set CritVal CS of the critical values of the Chern-Simons functional, so that a Lefschetz thimble $\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}_{\text{CS}_{\alpha}}$.

2. The free \mathbb{Z} -action on \mathfrak{G} that shifts the components:

$$n : \mathfrak{G}_S \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{G}_{S+n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (25)$$

and acts on the thimbles as was described in Section 2.1: $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^{(n)}$.

3. For each critical value $S \in \text{CritVal CS}$ there is a Stokes automorphism of the group \mathfrak{G} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_S : \quad \mathfrak{G} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}, \\ \mathfrak{G}_{S'} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{S'} \oplus \mathfrak{G}_S, \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \alpha + \sum_{\beta: \text{CS}_{\beta}=S} m_{\beta}^{\alpha} \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Let $\mathfrak{G}^0 \subset \mathfrak{G}$ be the minimal subgroup containing the zero Lefschetz thimble ω_0 and closed under the action of all Stokes automorphisms \mathcal{S}_S , and projections on the components \mathfrak{G}_S . (The latter condition is actually redundant.) Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$ be the orbit of \mathfrak{G}^0 under \mathbb{Z} -action. Since \mathfrak{G} was a free abelian group, the subgroups $\mathfrak{G}^0 \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0 \subset \mathfrak{G}$ are also free abelian. We can choose a basis in $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$ which contains ω_0 and respects both the decomposition (24) and the \mathbb{Z} -action so that we have an induced free \mathbb{Z} -action on the basis elements of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$. We denote the basis in the subgroup $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0 \subset \mathfrak{G}$ by λ, μ, ν, \dots to emphasize that it is in general different from the basis elements in \mathfrak{G} which we denote by $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$

By construction, we have an induced action of the Stokes automorphisms on $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_S : \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0 &\longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0, \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \lambda + \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{G}_S^0} m_{\mu}^{\lambda} \mu. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

More explicitly, if the basis in $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$ are expressed through the basis in \mathfrak{G} as $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \alpha$, the coefficients m_{μ}^{λ} can be determined from the original Stokes coefficients m_{β}^{α} by the following linear system of equations:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}_S} C_{\alpha}^{\lambda} m_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{G}_S^0} m_{\mu}^{\lambda} C_{\beta}^{\mu}. \quad (28)$$

By construction of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$, the solution for m_{μ}^{λ} exists and is unique.

Then, starting from $I^{\omega_0}(k)$, and applying Stokes jumps and the \mathbb{Z} -action realized as multiplication by $e^{2\pi ik}$, one can recover all $I^\lambda(k) \equiv \sum_\alpha C_\alpha^\lambda I^\alpha(k)$ and m_μ^λ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$.

Although in simple cases one has $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0 = \mathfrak{G}$, as we will see later in the paper, there are indications that this is not true in general, cf. “phantom saddles” in [34]. However, it is still plausible that always $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0 \cap \mathfrak{G}_S \neq 0$, $\forall S$. That is, all critical values of the Chern-Simons functional can be detected from just $I^{\omega_0}(k)$. Based on the current evidence, one can also conjecture that a contour $\Gamma_{SU(2)}$ for which (1) in the limit $k \rightarrow r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ recovers the WRT invariant $\tau_r(Y)$ of the 3-manifold can be chosen to be inside this subgroup: $\Gamma_{SU(2)} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$.

2.4 A finite-dimensional model

In this section we argue that for a plumbed manifold one can find a “finite-dimensional model” for the functional integral (1) of the complex Chern-Simons theory. In particular, for the integral over the Lefschetz thimble of the lift ω_0 of the trivial flat connection α_0 with $\text{CS}_\alpha = 0$, we obtain

$$I^{\omega_0}(k) = c k^{a/2} e^{\frac{2\pi ib}{k}} \int_{\gamma^0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n} d^n v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} \quad (29)$$

where $R(v)$ is a k -independent meromorphic function in $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, given explicitly as a composition of elementary functions, $S(v)$ is a k -independent homogeneous quadratic polynomial, and a, b, c are k -independent constants: a is an integer, and b is a rational number. The contour γ^0 is the Lefschetz thimble for the holomorphic fibration $S : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with a unique Morse critical point at the origin $v = 0$. Using this expression one can then obtain analytically the Stokes coefficients in the group $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$ which is generated by all Stokes automorphisms and \mathbb{Z} -action starting from ω_0 , as explained in Section 2.3.

The basic idea behind this is the following. The integral

$$\int_{\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^n} d^n v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} \quad (30)$$

has its own free abelian group \mathfrak{G}_{fd} generated by admissible contours γ . As we describe explicitly in what follows, one can choose a basis for this group analogous to the Lefschetz thimble basis in the sense that applying a Borel transform to the $1/k$ asymptotic expansion of the integral over such a contour and then applying directional Laplace transform to it recovers exactly the original integral, cf. (10). Such contours will then exhibit Stokes jump phenomenon. Similarly to $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$ considered in Section 2.3, one can then consider the minimal subgroup $\mathfrak{G}_{\text{fd}}^0 \subset \mathfrak{G}_{\text{fd}}$ which contains γ^0 and is closed under Stokes jumps. As reviewed in Section 2.1, the Stokes jumps are completely determined by the structure of branchpoints of the Borel transform of the perturbative expansion, which is not affected by the coefficient in front of the integral in (29), see Appendix A. It then follows that one must have $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0 \cong \mathfrak{G}_{\text{fd}}^0$, equivariantly with respect to the Stokes automorphisms. That is, $\mathcal{S}_S : \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0$ can be identified with the Stokes automorphisms of $\mathfrak{G}_{\text{fd}}^0$. The latter can be determined by analysis of the finite-dimensional integrals (30). Applying the \mathbb{Z} -action, one can then determine the Stokes automorphism action on $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}^0 \subset \mathfrak{G}$, the minimal subgroup containing the Lefschetz

thimble \mathfrak{a}_0 associated to the trivial flat connection, and closed under Stokes automorphisms and \mathbb{Z} -action.

Note, however, that it is *not true* that $\mathfrak{G}_{\text{fd}} \cong \mathfrak{G}$. Moreover, there is no \mathbb{Z} -action on \mathfrak{G}_{fd} . Yet, in general there are pairs of elements from $\mathfrak{G}_{\text{fd}}^0 \cong \mathfrak{G}^0$ that can be transformed into one another by the action of a certain $\pm n \in \mathbb{Z}$. And, as we shall see below, in simple examples one can have

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\text{fd}}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathfrak{G}_{\text{fd}}^0 \cong \mathfrak{G}^0.$$

Where $\mathfrak{G}_{\text{fd}}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is the subgroup of \mathfrak{G}_{fd} invariant under \mathbb{Z}_2 action corresponding to the symmetry $v \mapsto -v$ in the integral. However, in general \mathfrak{G}_{fd} cannot be identified with any subgroup of \mathfrak{G} .

2.5 Weakly negative-definite plumbings

Consider a 3-manifold Y associated to a plumbing tree Γ , as in Section 2.2. For simplicity, we initially assume that all circle fibrations associated with the vertices of the plumbing graph are of genus zero: $g_i = 0$ and, moreover that $|\det B| = 1$. In particular, this implies that Y is an integer homology sphere.

Let $H, L \subset V$ be subsets of vertices of degrees > 2 and < 2 respectively. We refer to them as *high-valency* and *low-valency* vertices respectively. Furthermore, let

$$C := (B^{-1})_{HH}, \quad (31)$$

$$D := (B^{-1})_{HL}, \quad (32)$$

$$A := (B^{-1})_{LL}, \quad (33)$$

be the corresponding blocks in the matrix B^{-1} , the inverse to the linking matrix. Another initial assumption we make is that C is negative-definite. The plumbings satisfying this condition are called *weakly negative-definite* [35]. By σ and b_+ we denote, respectively, the signature and the number of positive eigenvalues of B .

Other technical assumptions will be introduced at later stages, and in Section 2.7 we explain how various assumptions can be relaxed.

Define a sequence of half-integer numbers $F_{i,\ell} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $i \in V$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ as coefficients of the following symmetric expansion (a formal Laurent series in z given by the half-sum of the expansions at $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$):

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{i,n} z^n = \frac{1}{2} (z - 1/z)^{2-\deg(i)} \Big|_{\text{expansion at } z=0} + \frac{1}{2} (z - 1/z)^{2-\deg(i)} \Big|_{\text{expansion at } z=\infty}. \quad (34)$$

The following formula for the WRT invariant [18,36] $\tau_r(Y)$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ was conjectured in [37] (generalizing [38–46]) and later proved in [47,48]:

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{r}} \sin \frac{\pi}{r} \tau_r(Y) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} Z(r - i\epsilon), \quad (35)$$

where for $\text{Im } k < 0$, with $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$ ($|q| < 0$),

$$Z(k) := \frac{(-1)^{b_+} q^{\frac{3\sigma - \text{Tr } B}{4}}}{2\sqrt{2k}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^V} \prod_{i \in V} F_{i,n_i} q^{-\frac{n^T B^{-1} n}{4}}, \quad (36)$$

and, to emphasize the distinction between continuous (complex) and discrete (integer) values of the “level” we denote the former by k and the latter by r .

In [35, 37] it was argued that $Z(k)$ is invariant under a subset of Neumann moves on the plumbing graphs that preserve the above-mentioned conditions on the plumbings.⁴ Here we assume that the WRT invariant $\tau_r(Y)$ has a standard normalization such that $\tau_r(S^3) = 1$. The prefactor on the left-hand side of (35) modifies it in such a way that it equals to 1 for $Y = S^2 \times S^1$ instead. This is a more natural normalization from the point of view of identifying the left-hand side of (35) with the value of the corresponding 3d WRT TQFT and also naively interpreting it as the value of the functional integral in level r Chern-Simons theory. Therefore one can interpret $Z(k)$ as a certain analytic continuation of the WRT invariant in the TQFT normalization, with respect to the level. As we show below, it also has the expected properties of an analytic continuation in the sense of [10, 14], which is supposed to be realized by a functional integral of the form (1) over a special (intrinsically non-unique) contour designed to recover WRT invariant in the limit when k tends to an integer.

Next, we show that $Z(k)$ can be rewritten in the integral form a la (30). In what follows \propto is used to denote equality up to a factor of the form $c \cdot k^{\frac{a}{2}} \cdot q^{\frac{2\pi ib}{k}}$ for some k -independent constants a, b, c , with non-zero c , integral a and rational b . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(k) &\propto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^V} \prod_{i \in V} F_{i, n_i} q^{-\frac{n^T B^{-1} n}{4}} = \\
&\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}^L} \prod_{I \in H} F_{I, m_I} \prod_{a \in L} s_a q^{-\frac{m^T C m}{4} - \frac{m^T D s}{2} - \frac{s^T A s}{4}} = \\
&\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}^L} \prod_{I \in H} F_{I, m_I} \prod_{a \in L} s_a q^{-\frac{(m + C^{-1} D s)^T C (m + C^{-1} D s)}{4} - \frac{s^T (A - D^T C^{-1} D) s}{4}} \propto \\
&\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}^L} \prod_{I \in H} F_{I, m_I} \prod_{a \in L} s_a q^{-\frac{(m + C^{-1} D s)^T C (m + C^{-1} D s)}{4}} \propto \\
&\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}^L} \prod_{I \in H} c_{I, m_I} \prod_{a \in L} s_a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|H|}} dv^{|H|} e^{\pi i (m + C^{-1} D s)^T v} e^{2\pi i k \frac{v^T C^{-1} v}{4}} \propto \\
&\int_{\gamma} dv^{|H|} \frac{\prod_{a \in L} \sin \pi (v^T C^{-1} D)_a}{\prod_{I \in H} (\sin \pi v_I)^{\deg(I) - 2}} e^{2\pi i k \frac{v^T C^{-1} v}{4}}. \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us elaborate on the steps in this chain of relations. To transition from the first line to the second, we use the fact that $F_{I, \ell} = \delta_{\ell, 0}$ and $F_{I, \ell} = \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}} s \delta_{\ell, s}$ for vertices of degree 2 and 1, respectively. Therefore, the sum over \mathbb{Z}^V can be reduced to a sum over \mathbb{Z}^H . The transition from the second to the third line is simply a completion of squares with respect to $m \in \mathbb{Z}^H$.

⁴Note that this does not immediately imply that $Z(k)$ is the same for any pair of plumbings Γ and Γ' that satisfy the specified conditions and realize the same 3-manifold up to a diffeomorphism, as in principle there can be a sequence on Neumann moves relating Γ and Γ' that contained intermediate plumbings that do not satisfy these conditions. See [49] for a related discussion.




Figure 5: Left: the contour γ_I in the v_I -plane, such that $\gamma = \times_{I \in H} \gamma_I$ in (37). Right: a homologically equivalent contour. The red crosses schematically denote the positions poles in v_I of the integrand in (37), located potentially at integer values.

To arrive to the fourth line we then use the fact that the matrix

$$A - D^T C^{-1} D \quad (38)$$

is diagonal⁵. This implies that the term $s^T(A - D^T C^{-1} D)s$ is actually independent of s . The expression in the fifth line is related to the one on the fourth line via term-by-term Gaussian integration. Finally, to obtain the final expression, one exchanges the infinite summation over m with the integration. In order to do that, one first needs to deform the contour of integration to ensure the absolute convergence of the sum over m inside the integral. Namely, for each integration variable v_I one needs to shift integration contour \mathbb{R} in positive (resp. negative) imaginary direction to make convergent the part of the sum over n_I corresponding to the expansion at $z = 0$ (resp. at $z = \infty$) in (34). The deformation preserves the Cartesian product structure of the contour, i.e. the new contour of integration $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^H$ is still a product of contours inside complex v_I -planes: $\gamma = \times_{I \in H} \gamma_I$. The contours γ_I are shown in Figure 5 on the left.

Thus, as claimed in (30), we obtain an expression for $Z(k)$ representing an analytically continued partition function of Chern-Simons theory, with $n = |H|$,

$$R(v) = \frac{\prod_{a \in L} \sin \pi (v^T C^{-1} D)_a}{\prod_{I \in H} (\sin \pi v_I)^{\deg(I)-2}}, \quad (39)$$

⁵We thank Cagri Karakurt for explaining the proof of this property.




Figure 6: A high-valency vertex $h(a)$ associated to a low-valency vertex a in a plumbing graph.

and

$$S(v) = \frac{1}{4} v^T C^{-1} v. \quad (40)$$

Alternatively, one could arrive at this final result by directly manipulating the integral representation for $Z(k)$ from [37], see Appendix B.

The arguments of the sines in the numerator of (39) can be made more explicit:

$$(v^T C^{-1} D)_a = \frac{v_{h(a)}}{P_a}, \quad P_a \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (41)$$

where $h(a) \in H$ is the high-valency vertex most adjacent to the low-valency vertex $a \in L$, as illustrated in Figure 6. In other words, a and $h(a)$ are connected by a sequence of edges (a, i_1) , (i_2, i_3) , ..., $(i_s, h(a))$ such that all vertices in this chain have valency two, i.e. $\deg(i_j) = 2, \forall j$. The integer number P_a then can be determined as the numerator of the following continuous fraction corresponding to the framings in the sequence of vertices between a and $h(a)$:

$$f_a - \frac{1}{f_{i_1} - \frac{\dots}{f_{i_2} - \frac{\dots}{\dots - \frac{1}{f_{i_s}}}}} = -\frac{P_a}{Q_a}. \quad (42)$$

As usual, the integers P_a and Q_a are assumed to be coprime. This allows to write a neater expression for $R(v)$:

$$R(v) = \frac{\prod_{a \in L} \sin \frac{\pi v_{h(a)}}{P_a}}{\prod_{I \in H} (\sin \pi v_I)^{\deg(I)-2}}. \quad (43)$$

When $k \notin -i\mathbb{R}_+$, the contours γ_I can be further deformed into contours shown on the left panel of Figure 5. After such a deformation, the contour can then be decomposed into a sum of the ‘‘Lefschetz thimble’’ contours of the finite-dimensional model. The latter can be explicitly classified as follows. Consider a subset $H' \subset H$ of the high-valency vertices and let $H'' := H \setminus H'$ be its complement. We have the corresponding block decomposition of the matrix $C^{-1} \in GL(\mathbb{Q}^H)$:

$$C^{-1} = \begin{matrix} & H' & H'' \\ \begin{matrix} H' \\ H'' \end{matrix} & \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{A}_{(H')} & \mathcal{D}_{(H')}^T \\ \mathcal{D}_{(H')} & \mathcal{C}_{(H')} \end{array} \right) & \end{matrix}. \quad (44)$$




Figure 7: A factor $\gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ in the Cartesian product decomposition of a basis “Lefschetz thimble” contour $\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} = \times_{I \in H} \gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ corresponding to a subset $H' \subset H$ and $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{H'}$, such that \mathfrak{n}_I is a pole in v_I of $R(v)$ (43). Left: the case with $I \in H'$. Center: generic case when $I \notin H'$, with $v_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ not being at a pole of $R(v)$. Right: a special case when $v_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ coincides with a pole of $R(v)$.

A ‘‘Lefschetz thimble’’ contour $\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$, labeled by $H' \subset H$ and an $|H'|$ -tuple of integers⁶ $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{H'}$, can be defined as the cartesian product of contours $\gamma_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$ in complex planes of variables v_I , $I \in H'$, shown in Figure 7. For $I \in H'$ the contour $\gamma_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$ is a small circle going around a pole at $v_I = \mathfrak{n}_I$. The integration over such factors then results in taking corresponding residues:

$$\int_{\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|}v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} = \int_{\times_{I \in H''} \gamma_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H''|}v'' R^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}(v'', k) e^{2\pi i k S^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}(v'')} \quad (45)$$

where

$$S^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}(v'') := S(v)|_{v_I = \mathfrak{n}_I, I \in H'} = \frac{1}{4} v''^T \mathcal{A}_{(H')} v'' + \frac{1}{2} v''^T \mathcal{D}_{(H')} \mathfrak{n} + \frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{n}^T \mathcal{A}_{(H')} \mathfrak{n} \quad (46)$$

is a quadratic — in general, non-homogeneous — function in $v'' \in \mathbb{C}^{H''}$ obtained from $S(v)$ by restricting it to $v_I = \mathfrak{n}_I$, $I \in H'$, and

$$R^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}(v'', k) := e^{-2\pi i k S^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}(v'')} \operatorname{Res}_{\{v_I = \mathfrak{n}_I\}_{I \in H'}} R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} \quad (47)$$

is a meromorphic function in v'' which is polynomial in k . In the remaining directions $v_I'' \equiv v_I$, $I \in H''$ we then take $\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$ to be the standard Lefschetz thimble contour, which (due to the fact that $C < 0$) is a product of straight lines $\gamma_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$ with slopes determined by k (see Figure 7) and passing through the extremum of $S^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}(v'')$ at

$$v'' = v^{(H',\mathfrak{n})} := -\mathcal{A}_{(H')}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{(H')} \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Q}^{H''}. \quad (48)$$

The corresponding critical value of the quadratic function $S^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}(v'')$ is

$$S_*^{(H',\mathfrak{n})} := \frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{n}^T \left(\mathcal{A}_{(H')} - \mathcal{D}_{(H')}^T \mathcal{C}_{(H')}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{(H')} \right) \mathfrak{n}. \quad (49)$$

Note that since $C^{-1} < 0$, the quadratic form in the above expression is also negative-definite. The $k \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotics of the integral over $\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$ then can be obtained by expanding $R^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}(v'', k)$ in (45) in series in $(v'' - v^{(H',\mathfrak{n})})$ around the extremum. The result is either identically zero, or of the following form:

$$\int_{\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|}v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} = e^{2\pi i k S_*^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_n^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}}{k^{n + \delta_{(H',\mathfrak{n})}}} \quad (50)$$

for some $\delta_{(H',\mathfrak{n})} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $a_0^{(H',\mathfrak{n})} \neq 0$. The former case means that the contour $\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$ for such a particular pair $(H', \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{H'})$ is actually trivial and can be ignored. By construction, the exact integral over $\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$ can be recovered by performing the Borel resummation along a

⁶When $H' = \emptyset$ we omit \mathfrak{n} from the pair (H', \mathfrak{n}) and write simply (\emptyset) , e.g. $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$.

ray as in Figure 1. It is also clear that the contours $\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$ generate all admissible contours, and, in particular, include the original contour of integration shown in Figure 5.

If the plumbing graph is such⁷ that $|h^{-1}(I)| \geq \deg(I) - 2$, the meromorphic function has no poles at $v_I = 0$. In that case one can assume $\mathfrak{n}_I \neq 0, \forall I \in H'$. Then, due to the non-degeneracy of the quadratic form in (49), the only pair $(H', \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{H'})$ that has the vanishing critical value of the action, i.e. $S_*^{(H',\mathfrak{n})} = 0$, is (\emptyset) . Assume it is also true that the only flat connection with zero value of the Chern-Simons functional on the plumbed 3-manifold Y is the trivial flat connection, and that the asymptotic expansion conjecture for the WRT invariant holds. It then follows that $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$ thimble in the finite-dimensional model corresponds to the thimble associated to the lift ω_0 of the trivial flat connection α_0 with $\text{CS}_\alpha = 0$, as was anticipated in (29):

$$I^{\omega_0}(k) \propto \int_{\gamma^{(\emptyset)}} d^{|H|}v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)}, \quad (51)$$

where “ \propto ” has the same meaning as before. Since both the contour $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$ in the finite-dimensional model and the trivial flat connection Lefschetz thimble in Chern-Simons theory are very distinguished contours it is tempting to conjecture that this relation holds even if the condition $|h^{-1}(I)| \geq \deg(I) - 2$ on the graph is not satisfied. When $|H| = 1$, i.e. there is a single high-valency vertex, the plumbed manifold is a Seifert fibration over the sphere. The formula (51) then becomes the formula provided by Lawrence and Rozansky in [50] (see also [51, 52]).

This implies that Stokes phenomena in the finite-dimensional model (i.e. jumps of the thimble contours $\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$ as one changes $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$) capture the Stokes phenomena *at least* in the minimal subgroup of all admissible contours in Chern-Simons theory that contains the Lefschetz thimble of the trivial flat connection and is closed under the monodromies in the Borel plane and the \mathbb{Z} -action (large gauge transformations). See Section 2.3 for details. In simple cases this subgroup contains all admissible contours.

The Stokes jumps in the finite-dimensional model can be combinatorially described as follows. Consider a finite dimensional “thimble” contour $\gamma^{(H,\mathfrak{n})}$ (Figure 7). As one increases $\arg \frac{1}{k}$, the circle factors $\gamma_I^{(H,\mathfrak{n})}$ always remain the same. The line factors, however, rotate counter-clockwise, with half the rate of the rotation of k . As k makes a full (clockwise) rotation, the lines in the v_I -planes make a half counter-clockwise turn, illustrated in Figure 8. As k passes through the ray of the negative imaginary direction, the line overlaps with the real axis and absorbs the small circle contours going around poles, with positive/negative signs depending on whether the poles were above/below the line along the real axis.

The action of the global monodromy on the finite-dimensional “thimbles” can be written as follows:

$$\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})} \equiv \prod_{I \in H} \gamma_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})} \longmapsto \prod_{I \in H'} \gamma_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})} \times \prod_{I \in H''} \left(-\gamma_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})} + \sum_{\ell_I \geq v_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}} \sigma_I^{(\ell_I)} - \sum_{\ell_I < v_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}} \sigma_I^{(\ell_I)} \right) \quad (52)$$

where $\sigma_I^{(\ell_I)}$ is a small circle contour in v_I -plane around a pole at $v_I = \ell_I \in \mathbb{Z}$. After applying

⁷See Figure (6) to recall the definition of $h : L \rightarrow H$, the map from low- to high-valency vertices.




Figure 8: Monodromy of a factor $\gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, $I \in H''$, in the Cartesian product decomposition of a basis “Lefschetz thimble” contour $\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} = \times_{I \in H} \gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, as $\arg(1/k)$ increases by 2π . The line $\gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ rotates by π and absorbs the contours $\sigma_I^{(\ell_I)}$ encircling poles at ℓ_I with plus or minus sign, depending on whether the pole was above or below the line.

the distributive law to all the $I \in H''$ factors, the right-hand side of (52) can be expressed as a linear combination of the contours of the form

$$\prod_{I \in H \setminus K} \gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \times \prod_{I \in K} \sigma_I^{(\ell_I)} \quad (53)$$

for a subset $K \subset H'' \subset H$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^K$. Such a product, in general, is not equal to one of the thimble contours, as the “line factors” are not necessarily positioned at extrema. However, it can be transformed into a linear combination of the thimble contours, by applying recursively the following homological equivalence:

$$\prod_{I \in H \setminus K} \gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \times \prod_{I \in K \subset H''} \sigma_I^{(\ell_I)} \simeq \prod_{I \in H' \cup K} \gamma_I^{(H' \cup K, \mathfrak{n} \oplus \ell)} \times \prod_{I \in H'' \setminus K} \left(\gamma_I^{(H' \cup K, \mathfrak{n} \oplus \ell)} \pm \sum_{\substack{\ell'_I \text{ is between} \\ v_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \text{ and } v_I^{(H' \cup K, \mathfrak{n} \oplus \ell)}}} \sigma_I^{(\ell'_I)} \right) \quad (54)$$

with the plus sign in front of the sum when $v_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} < v_I^{(H' \cup K, \mathfrak{n} \oplus \ell)}$ and minus otherwise. The sum over integer ℓ'_I includes the lower bound (if it coincides with the pole, as in the scenario shown in Figure 7 on the right) but not the upper bound. The transformation (54) is realized by interpreting the circle contours $\sigma_I^{(\ell_I)}$ on the left-hand side as the thimble factors $\gamma_I^{(\tilde{H}', \tilde{\mathfrak{n}})}$, $I \in \tilde{H}'$ for a new pair $(\tilde{H}', \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) = (H' \cup K, \mathfrak{n} \oplus \ell)$ and then shifting the line factors $\gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, $I \in H'' \setminus K = \tilde{H}''$ accordingly to $\gamma_I^{(\tilde{H}', \tilde{\mathfrak{n}})}$, at the cost of absorption of new circle contours $\sigma_I^{(\ell'_I)}$, as shown in the Figure 9. The recursion must stop after a finite number of steps (at most $|H|$), since each transformation makes the subset H'' smaller (as $\tilde{H}'' = H'' \setminus K$).

Applying such transformation rules to the right-hand side of (52) one obtains at the end the total monodromy transformation purely in terms of thimbles:

$$\gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \longmapsto \sum_{(K, \mathfrak{m})} M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \gamma_I^{(K, \mathfrak{m})} \quad (55)$$

with $M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \in \mathbb{Z}$ determined by the algorithmic procedure described above. It is clear that $M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ can only be non-zero if $H' \subset K$ with $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \dots \in \mathbb{Z}^K = \mathbb{Z}^{H'} \oplus \dots$

Now, let us assume that in Chern-Simons theory there is a single thimble \mathfrak{a} for a given critical value $\text{CS}_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathbb{C}$ of the Chern-Simons functional (as we earlier assumed for the zero CS value and the thimble \mathfrak{a}_0 associated to the trivial flat connection). The modifications required to avoid such assumptions will be discussed in Section 2.7. We can then use the critical CS values to label different thimbles in Chern-Simons theory: $\mathfrak{a} \equiv (\text{CS}_{\mathfrak{a}})$.

Then, similarly to (29), we have:

$$\frac{N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}}{2} I^{(S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})})}(k) \propto \int_{\gamma^{(H, \mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|} v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)}, \quad H' \neq \emptyset, \quad (56)$$




Figure 9: Shifting a line contour factor $\gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ to $\gamma_I^{(\tilde{H}', \tilde{\mathfrak{n}})}$, for $H' \subset \tilde{H}'$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \dots \in \mathbb{Z}^{\tilde{H}'}$.

with some multiplicity factors $N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, assuming the same proportionality coefficient as in (51). The factor $1/2$ on the left-hand side of (56) is introduced to take into account the redundancy

$$\int_{\gamma^{(H, \mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|} v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} \equiv \int_{\gamma^{(H, -\mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|} v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)}, \quad H' \neq \emptyset, \quad (57)$$

due to the symmetry $v \leftrightarrow -v$ of the integrand. The symmetry has its origin in the \mathbb{Z}_2 Weyl group of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ algebra.

Since the monodromies both in the finite-dimensional model (55) and in the infinite-dimensional setting of Chern-Simons theory (13) are integers, the multiplicities $N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ in the relation (56) must be rational numbers. In simple, generic enough cases one can actually expect that $N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \in \mathbb{Z}$, with at least one being ± 1 among all $N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ for fixed CS value $S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \bmod 1$. Then, up to an overall (depending only on the CS value modulo 1) sign, one can determine the multiplicities from the leading coefficients of the perturbative expansions (50) in the finite-dimensional model as follows:

$$N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})} = \frac{a_0^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}}{\pm a_0^{(H'_0, \mathfrak{n}_0)}} \quad (58)$$

where (H'_0, \mathfrak{n}_0) minimizes $|a_0^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}|$ for all (H', \mathfrak{n}) with fixed $S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \bmod 1$.

More generally, without any knowledge of the perturbative expansions in CS theory around non-trivial flat connections, one can fix the multiplicities $N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ as follows⁸. Up to a rational

⁸Alternatively, one can proceed as described in Section 2.7.

factor depending only on CS value modulo 1, we still have (58). By rescaling $I(S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})})$ by factors depending only on $S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \bmod 1$ (which implies a rescaling of the corresponding multiplicities by the inverse factor), one can then achieve that

$$\frac{N_{(H' \cup \{h\}, \mathfrak{n} \oplus m)}}{N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall (H', \mathfrak{n}), h \in H, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (59)$$

recursively, starting with $N_{(\emptyset)} = 1$ and increasing H' by a single element. At each step the rescaling is required to be “minimal” in the sense that any further rescaling $I^{(H' \cup \{h\}, \mathfrak{n} \oplus m)} \rightarrow p \cdot I^{(H' \cup \{h\}, \mathfrak{n} \oplus m)}$, $N_{(H' \cup \{h\}, \mathfrak{n} \oplus m)} \rightarrow N_{(H' \cup \{h\}, \mathfrak{n} \oplus m)}/p$ for an integer $p \neq \pm 1$ depending only on $S_*^{(H' \cup \{h\}, \mathfrak{n} \oplus m)} \bmod 1$ violates this condition. Then, starting with the “naive” multiplicities given by (58) and applying recursively the rescaling procedure dictated by the normalization conditions (59) one determines $N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, up to an overall sign depending only on CS value modulo 1. The sign ambiguity can be fixed, non-canonically, by choosing the sign in (58) such that $\arg(\pm a_0^{(H'_0, \mathfrak{n}_0)}) \in [0, \pi)$. By construction, we have

$$\frac{N_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}}{N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall K \supset H, \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \dots \in \mathbb{Z}^K. \quad (60)$$

The monodromy coefficients in CS theory can be related to the ones in the finite-dimensional model as follows:

$$m_{(S')}^{(S)} = (-1)^{|H|-1} \sum_{(K, \mathfrak{m}): S_*^{(K, \mathfrak{m})} = S'} M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \cdot \frac{N_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}}{N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} \times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & H' = \emptyset, K \neq \emptyset, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

where $S = S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ and the sign $(-1)^{|H|-1}$ takes into account the monodromy of the proportionality coefficient in (51) and (56), which contains $k^{\frac{|H|-1}{2}}$. With the algorithmic procedures to determine $M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ and $N_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ this gives us a method to calculate the monodromy coefficients in CS theory (with Lefschetz thimbles possibly replaced by their multiples, with factors depending only on the CS value modulo 1).

The expected resurgence structure in CS theory reviewed in Section 2.1 implies certain non-trivial properties of the entries on the right-hand side of (61). First of all, the result should be independent of the choice of (H', \mathfrak{n}) that gives $S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$. Second, we must have the invariance under \mathbb{Z} -action (large gauge transformations):

$$m_{(S'+f)}^{(S+f)} = m_{(S')}^{(S)}, \quad f \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (62)$$

so that, in particular, one has a well-defined \tilde{q} -series (14):

$$I_{(S' \bmod 1)}^{(S \bmod 1)}(\tilde{q}) = \sum_{S' \bmod 1 \text{ is fixed}} m_{(S')}^{(S)} \tilde{q}^{S-S'}. \quad (63)$$

We verified in many examples that these properties are indeed satisfied. A nice exercise for future work would be to prove this as a general Theorem in the class of plumbings considered here (and their generalizations described in Section 2.7).




Figure 10: An example of a weakly negative-definite plumbed integer homology sphere. The variables $v_{1,2}$ corresponding to the high-valency vertices are shown in blue and labeled with v_1 and v_2 .

2.6 A concrete example

Consider the plumbing shown in Figure 10. The linking matrix, with its block decomposition corresponding to high- and low-valency vertices is the following:

$$B = \begin{array}{c} H \\ L \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cccc} & & H & & L & & & \\ & & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ & H & \hline & & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ & L & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{array} \right). \quad (64)$$

Its inverse is

$$B^{-1} = \begin{array}{c} H \\ L \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cccc} & & H & & L & & & \\ & & -78 & -90 & 39 & -26 & -30 & 18 \\ & & -90 & -105 & 45 & -30 & -35 & 21 \\ & H & \hline & & 39 & 45 & -19 & 13 & 15 & -9 \\ & & -26 & -30 & 13 & -9 & -10 & 6 \\ & L & -30 & -35 & 15 & -10 & -12 & 7 \\ & & 18 & 21 & -9 & 6 & 7 & -4 \end{array} \right) \quad (65)$$

with the blocks given by the matrices C , D , D^T and A (33-31). With the labeling of high-valency vertices by 1, 2 as in Figure (10), the meromorphic function (43) in the integrand of the finite-dimensional model reads

$$R(v) = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi v_1}{3}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi v_1}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi v_2}{5}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi v_2}{3}\right)}{\sin(\pi v_1) \sin(\pi v_2)}. \quad (66)$$

The action (40) is

$$S(v) = -\frac{7v_1^2}{24} + \frac{v_2 v_1}{2} - \frac{13v_2^2}{60}. \quad (67)$$

The sets of critical values of the action $S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \bmod 1$ corresponding to different subsets $H' \subset H$ are the following:

$$H' = \emptyset : \quad S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} = 0 \bmod 1, \quad (68)$$

$$H' = \{1\} : S_*^{(H',n)} \in \left\{ \frac{23}{312}, \frac{95}{312}, \frac{191}{312}, \frac{263}{312}, \frac{287}{312}, \frac{311}{312} \right\} \pmod{1}, \quad (69)$$

$$H' = \{2\} : S_*^{(H',n)} \in \left\{ \frac{59}{420}, \frac{26}{105}, \frac{131}{420}, \frac{41}{105}, \frac{59}{105}, \frac{251}{420}, \frac{299}{420}, \frac{311}{420}, \frac{89}{105}, \frac{101}{105}, \frac{104}{105}, \frac{419}{420} \right\} \pmod{1}, \quad (70)$$

$$H' = \{1, 2\} : S_*^{(H',n)} \in \left\{ \frac{29}{120}, \frac{71}{120}, \frac{101}{120}, \frac{119}{120} \right\} \pmod{1}. \quad (71)$$

Applying the algorithms described in Section 2.4 we can obtain the monodromy coefficients $M_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)}$ of the finite dimensional model and the multiplicities $N_{(H',n)}$, all equal to ± 1 in this case. Using the relation (61) we then can obtain the generating function of the the Stokes coefficients (63). The non-trivial ones are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\left(\frac{23}{312}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{23}{312}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^6 - \tilde{q}^{12} + \tilde{q}^{29} + \tilde{q}^{41} + \tilde{q}^{47} + \tilde{q}^{62} - \tilde{q}^{96} - \tilde{q}^{117} - \tilde{q}^{127} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{95}{312}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{95}{312}} (-\tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^8 - \tilde{q}^{10} + \tilde{q}^{33} + \tilde{q}^{37} + \tilde{q}^{52} + \tilde{q}^{57} - \tilde{q}^{103} - \tilde{q}^{110} - \tilde{q}^{135} - \tilde{q}^{143} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{191}{312}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{191}{312}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^5 + \tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^{15} - \tilde{q}^{26} - \tilde{q}^{43} - \tilde{q}^{46} - \tilde{q}^{68} + \tilde{q}^{90} + \tilde{q}^{120} + \tilde{q}^{125} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{263}{312}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{263}{312}} (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^{12} + \tilde{q}^{17} - \tilde{q}^{24} + \tilde{q}^{31} + \tilde{q}^{61} - \tilde{q}^{72} + \tilde{q}^{86} - \tilde{q}^{99} - \tilde{q}^{149} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{287}{312}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{287}{312}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^4 + \tilde{q}^8 + \tilde{q}^{18} - \tilde{q}^{23} - \tilde{q}^{39} - \tilde{q}^{51} - \tilde{q}^{74} + \tilde{q}^{84} + \tilde{q}^{113} + \tilde{q}^{133} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{311}{312}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{311}{312}} (-\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^{10} - \tilde{q}^{20} + \tilde{q}^{21} - \tilde{q}^{35} - \tilde{q}^{56} + \tilde{q}^{78} - \tilde{q}^{80} + \tilde{q}^{106} + \tilde{q}^{141} + \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\left(\frac{59}{420}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{59}{420}} (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^9 - \tilde{q}^{15} - \tilde{q}^{19} + \tilde{q}^{35} + \tilde{q}^{41} + \tilde{q}^{53} - \tilde{q}^{87} + \tilde{q}^{125} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{26}{105}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{26}{105}} (\tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^{10} - \tilde{q}^{14} - \tilde{q}^{26} + \tilde{q}^{27} + \tilde{q}^{43} + \tilde{q}^{51} - \tilde{q}^{74} + \tilde{q}^{142} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{131}{420}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{131}{420}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^7 - \tilde{q}^{11} - \tilde{q}^{13} + \tilde{q}^{45} + \tilde{q}^{49} + \tilde{q}^{59} + \tilde{q}^{89} - \tilde{q}^{123} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{41}{105}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{41}{105}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{18} + \tilde{q}^{37} - \tilde{q}^{66} - \tilde{q}^{81} - \tilde{q}^{90} + \tilde{q}^{122} + \tilde{q}^{133} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{59}{105}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{59}{105}} (\tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^4 + \tilde{q}^7 + \tilde{q}^{19} - \tilde{q}^{36} - \tilde{q}^{60} - \tilde{q}^{71} - \tilde{q}^{76} + \tilde{q}^{140} + \tilde{q}^{147} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{251}{420}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{251}{420}} (-\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^5 + \tilde{q}^{17} + \tilde{q}^{23} - \tilde{q}^{31} - \tilde{q}^{39} - \tilde{q}^{67} + \tilde{q}^{93} - \tilde{q}^{119} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{299}{420}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{299}{420}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^9 + \tilde{q}^{25} - \tilde{q}^{29} + \tilde{q}^{55} + \tilde{q}^{77} + \tilde{q}^{95} - \tilde{q}^{117} - \tilde{q}^{139} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{311}{420}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{311}{420}} (-\tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^6 - \tilde{q}^{26} + \tilde{q}^{28} + \tilde{q}^{64} + \tilde{q}^{72} + \tilde{q}^{84} - \tilde{q}^{130} - \tilde{q}^{146} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{89}{105}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{89}{105}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^{10} + \tilde{q}^{21} - \tilde{q}^{34} + \tilde{q}^{53} + \tilde{q}^{85} + \tilde{q}^{98} - \tilde{q}^{114} - \tilde{q}^{129} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{101}{105}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{101}{105}} (-\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^{14} + \tilde{q}^{22} - \tilde{q}^{33} - \tilde{q}^{45} - \tilde{q}^{65} + \tilde{q}^{102} - \tilde{q}^{110} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{104}{105}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{104}{105}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^9 + \tilde{q}^{12} + \tilde{q}^{17} - \tilde{q}^{40} - \tilde{q}^{49} - \tilde{q}^{56} - \tilde{q}^{104} + \tilde{q}^{108} + \dots) \\ I_{\left(\frac{419}{420}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{419}{420}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{13} + \tilde{q}^{47} - \tilde{q}^{69} - \tilde{q}^{79} - \tilde{q}^{105} + \tilde{q}^{107} + \tilde{q}^{137} + \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\left(\frac{29}{120}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{29}{120}} (\tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^{10} - \tilde{q}^{21} - \tilde{q}^{27} - \tilde{q}^{32} - \tilde{q}^{37} - \tilde{q}^{43} - \tilde{q}^{50} - \tilde{q}^{57} + \tilde{q}^{61} - \tilde{q}^{64} + \tilde{q}^{66} + \tilde{q}^{74} + \tilde{q}^{79} \\
&\quad + \tilde{q}^{85} + \tilde{q}^{90} + \tilde{q}^{92} + \tilde{q}^{103} + \tilde{q}^{106} + \tilde{q}^{110} + \tilde{q}^{120} - \tilde{q}^{122} + \tilde{q}^{126} - \tilde{q}^{133} + \tilde{q}^{143} - \tilde{q}^{147} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{71}{120}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{71}{120}} (-\tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{15} + \tilde{q}^{26} + \tilde{q}^{31} + \tilde{q}^{36} + \tilde{q}^{39} + \tilde{q}^{43} + \tilde{q}^{44} + \tilde{q}^{50} - \tilde{q}^{77} - \tilde{q}^{84} - \tilde{q}^{85} - \tilde{q}^{90} - \tilde{q}^{93} \\
&\quad - \tilde{q}^{97} - \tilde{q}^{99} - \tilde{q}^{106} - 2\tilde{q}^{113} - \tilde{q}^{120} - \tilde{q}^{127} - \tilde{q}^{137} + \tilde{q}^{144} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{101}{120}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{101}{120}} (\tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^{14} - \tilde{q}^{17} + \tilde{q}^{19} - \tilde{q}^{21} + \tilde{q}^{23} - \tilde{q}^{31} - \tilde{q}^{42} - \tilde{q}^{48} - \tilde{q}^{53} + \tilde{q}^{56} - \tilde{q}^{57} - \tilde{q}^{64} \\
&\quad + \tilde{q}^{72} + \tilde{q}^{80} + \tilde{q}^{94} + \tilde{q}^{99} + \tilde{q}^{103} + \tilde{q}^{104} + \tilde{q}^{114} + \tilde{q}^{116} + \tilde{q}^{117} + \tilde{q}^{126} + \tilde{q}^{129} + \tilde{q}^{136} - \tilde{q}^{141} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{119}{120}\right)}^{(0)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{119}{120}} (\tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^{11} + \tilde{q}^{13} - \tilde{q}^{19} + \tilde{q}^{20} - \tilde{q}^{33} + \tilde{q}^{35} + \tilde{q}^{57} + \tilde{q}^{62} - \tilde{q}^{63} + \tilde{q}^{69} - \tilde{q}^{78} \\
&\quad + \tilde{q}^{80} - \tilde{q}^{89} - \tilde{q}^{94} - \tilde{q}^{106} - \tilde{q}^{107} - \tilde{q}^{116} + \tilde{q}^{118} - \tilde{q}^{123} - \tilde{q}^{126} + \tilde{q}^{134} - \tilde{q}^{137} - \tilde{q}^{148} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\left(\frac{23}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{131}{780}} (-\tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^7 - \tilde{q}^9 - \tilde{q}^{45} + \tilde{q}^{53} + \tilde{q}^{121} + \tilde{q}^{129} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{23}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{101}{195}} (\tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^{10} - \tilde{q}^{35} + \tilde{q}^{66} - \tilde{q}^{119} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{23}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{599}{780}} (-\tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^{16} + \tilde{q}^{30} + \tilde{q}^{34} - \tilde{q}^{68} - \tilde{q}^{74} - \tilde{q}^{102} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{23}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{179}{195}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^{17} - \tilde{q}^{20} - \tilde{q}^{29} + \tilde{q}^{76} + \tilde{q}^{93} + \tilde{q}^{100} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\left(\frac{95}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{49}{780}} (-1 + \tilde{q}^{12} + \tilde{q}^{24} + \tilde{q}^{34} - \tilde{q}^{66} - \tilde{q}^{82} - \tilde{q}^{110} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{95}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{56}{195}} (\tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^{20} - \tilde{q}^{24} - \tilde{q}^{40} + \tilde{q}^{59} + \tilde{q}^{83} + \tilde{q}^{91} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{95}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{419}{780}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^7 + \tilde{q}^{29} - \tilde{q}^{75} + \tilde{q}^{131} + \tilde{q}^{141} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{95}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{134}{195}} (\tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^5 + \tilde{q}^{13} - \tilde{q}^{46} + \tilde{q}^{53} - \tilde{q}^{110} - \tilde{q}^{142} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\left(\frac{191}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{289}{780}} (1 + \tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^{16} - \tilde{q}^{38} + \tilde{q}^{60} - \tilde{q}^{98} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{191}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{4}{195}} (-1 - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^7 + \tilde{q}^{23} - \tilde{q}^{84} + \tilde{q}^{128} + \tilde{q}^{143} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{191}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{179}{780}} (\tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{19} - \tilde{q}^{25} - \tilde{q}^{47} + \tilde{q}^{51} + \tilde{q}^{81} + \tilde{q}^{93} - \tilde{q}^{139} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{191}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{74}{195}} (-\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^9 + \tilde{q}^{30} + \tilde{q}^{41} - \tilde{q}^{58} - \tilde{q}^{73} - \tilde{q}^{122} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\left(\frac{263}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{469}{780}} (-\tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^7 - \tilde{q}^{13} - \tilde{q}^{31} + \tilde{q}^{69} + \tilde{q}^{105} + \tilde{q}^{125} + \tilde{q}^{145} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{263}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{49}{195}} (1 + \tilde{q}^5 + \tilde{q}^{17} - \tilde{q}^{48} + \tilde{q}^{49} - \tilde{q}^{96} - \tilde{q}^{136} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{263}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{1}{780}} (-1 + \tilde{q}^8 + \tilde{q}^{22} + \tilde{q}^{42} - \tilde{q}^{56} - \tilde{q}^{86} - \tilde{q}^{124} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{263}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{29}{195}} (-\tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^{11} - \tilde{q}^{18} - \tilde{q}^{26} + \tilde{q}^{79} + \tilde{q}^{95} + \tilde{q}^{114} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\left(\frac{287}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{529}{780}} (-1 - \tilde{q}^{14} - \tilde{q}^{20} - \tilde{q}^{22} + \tilde{q}^{84} + \tilde{q}^{88} + \tilde{q}^{102} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{287}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{64}{195}} (-1 + \tilde{q}^{11} + \tilde{q}^{27} + \tilde{q}^{35} - \tilde{q}^{64} - \tilde{q}^{76} - \tilde{q}^{112} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{287}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{61}{780}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^{13} - \tilde{q}^{41} + \tilde{q}^{57} - \tilde{q}^{107} - \tilde{q}^{149} + \dots) \\
I_{\left(\frac{287}{312}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{14}{195}} (-\tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^6 - \tilde{q}^{10} - \tilde{q}^{38} + \tilde{q}^{61} + \tilde{q}^{117} + \tilde{q}^{133} + \tilde{q}^{138} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\binom{311}{120}}^{(311)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{589}{780}} (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{35} - \tilde{q}^{47} + \tilde{q}^{49} + \tilde{q}^{63} + \tilde{q}^{133} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{311}{71}}^{(311)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{79}{195}} (-\tilde{q}^7 + \tilde{q}^{14} + \tilde{q}^{30} - \tilde{q}^{43} + \tilde{q}^{54} - \tilde{q}^{71} - \tilde{q}^{103} + \tilde{q}^{126} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{311}{101}}^{(311)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{121}{780}} (-1 + \tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^{10} - \tilde{q}^{18} + \tilde{q}^{94} - \tilde{q}^{116} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{311}{119}}^{(311)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{195}} (-1 - \tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^{21} + \tilde{q}^{32} - \tilde{q}^{69} + \tilde{q}^{88} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\binom{59}{120}}^{(59)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{143}{168}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^9 + \tilde{q}^{14} + \tilde{q}^{23} + \tilde{q}^{26} + \tilde{q}^{38} - \tilde{q}^{48} - \tilde{q}^{64} - \tilde{q}^{69} - \tilde{q}^{88} + \tilde{q}^{103} + \tilde{q}^{126} + \tilde{q}^{133} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{26}{105}}^{(26)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{168}} (-1 + \tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{10} + \tilde{q}^{11} - \tilde{q}^{18} - \tilde{q}^{30} + \tilde{q}^{41} - \tilde{q}^{43} + \tilde{q}^{56} + \tilde{q}^{76} - \tilde{q}^{93} + \tilde{q}^{96} - \tilde{q}^{115} - \tilde{q}^{143} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{131}{71}}^{(131)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{47}{168}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^{17} + \tilde{q}^{21} + \tilde{q}^{27} + \tilde{q}^{32} - \tilde{q}^{54} - \tilde{q}^{61} - \tilde{q}^{71} - \tilde{q}^{79} + \tilde{q}^{112} + \tilde{q}^{122} + \tilde{q}^{136} + \tilde{q}^{147} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{41}{105}}^{(41)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{25}{168}} (-1 - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^8 + \tilde{q}^{13} + \tilde{q}^{22} + \tilde{q}^{25} + \tilde{q}^{37} - \tilde{q}^{47} - \tilde{q}^{63} - \tilde{q}^{68} - \tilde{q}^{87} + \tilde{q}^{102} + \tilde{q}^{125} + \tilde{q}^{132} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{59}{105}}^{(59)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{47}{168}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^{17} + \tilde{q}^{21} + \tilde{q}^{27} + \tilde{q}^{32} - \tilde{q}^{54} - \tilde{q}^{61} - \tilde{q}^{71} - \tilde{q}^{79} + \tilde{q}^{112} + \tilde{q}^{122} + \tilde{q}^{136} + \tilde{q}^{147} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{101}{120}}^{(101)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{168}} (-1 + \tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{10} + \tilde{q}^{11} - \tilde{q}^{18} - \tilde{q}^{30} + \tilde{q}^{41} - \tilde{q}^{43} + \tilde{q}^{56} + \tilde{q}^{76} - \tilde{q}^{93} + \tilde{q}^{96} - \tilde{q}^{115} - \tilde{q}^{143} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{251}{120}}^{(251)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{47}{168}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^{17} + \tilde{q}^{21} + \tilde{q}^{27} + \tilde{q}^{32} - \tilde{q}^{54} - \tilde{q}^{61} - \tilde{q}^{71} - \tilde{q}^{79} + \tilde{q}^{112} + \tilde{q}^{122} + \tilde{q}^{136} + \tilde{q}^{147} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{119}{120}}^{(119)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{47}{168}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^{17} + \tilde{q}^{21} + \tilde{q}^{27} + \tilde{q}^{32} - \tilde{q}^{54} - \tilde{q}^{61} - \tilde{q}^{71} - \tilde{q}^{79} + \tilde{q}^{112} + \tilde{q}^{122} + \tilde{q}^{136} + \tilde{q}^{147} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{311}{71}}^{(311)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{25}{168}} (-1 - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^8 + \tilde{q}^{13} + \tilde{q}^{22} + \tilde{q}^{25} + \tilde{q}^{37} - \tilde{q}^{47} - \tilde{q}^{63} - \tilde{q}^{68} - \tilde{q}^{87} + \tilde{q}^{102} + \tilde{q}^{125} + \tilde{q}^{132} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{39}{105}}^{(39)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{168}} (-1 + \tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{10} + \tilde{q}^{11} - \tilde{q}^{18} - \tilde{q}^{30} + \tilde{q}^{41} - \tilde{q}^{43} + \tilde{q}^{56} + \tilde{q}^{76} - \tilde{q}^{93} + \tilde{q}^{96} - \tilde{q}^{115} - \tilde{q}^{143} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{101}{105}}^{(101)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{121}{168}} (-1 - \tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^5 + \tilde{q}^{16} + \tilde{q}^{20} + \tilde{q}^{26} + \tilde{q}^{31} - \tilde{q}^{53} - \tilde{q}^{60} - \tilde{q}^{70} - \tilde{q}^{78} + \tilde{q}^{111} + \tilde{q}^{121} + \tilde{q}^{135} + \tilde{q}^{146} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{101}{120}}^{(101)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{25}{168}} (-1 - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^8 + \tilde{q}^{13} + \tilde{q}^{22} + \tilde{q}^{25} + \tilde{q}^{37} - \tilde{q}^{47} - \tilde{q}^{63} - \tilde{q}^{68} - \tilde{q}^{87} + \tilde{q}^{102} + \tilde{q}^{125} + \tilde{q}^{132} + \dots) \\
I_{\binom{419}{120}}^{(419)} &= \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{168}} (-1 + \tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{10} + \tilde{q}^{11} - \tilde{q}^{18} - \tilde{q}^{30} + \tilde{q}^{41} - \tilde{q}^{43} + \tilde{q}^{56} + \tilde{q}^{76} - \tilde{q}^{93} + \tilde{q}^{96} - \tilde{q}^{115} - \tilde{q}^{143} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

with $I_{(S \bmod 1)}^{(S \bmod 1)} = \pm 1$ and the rest equal to zero.

2.7 General tree plumbings

In this section we comment on modifications that are required in order to relax various simplifying assumptions made in Section 2.

2.7.1 Resolving degeneracy of CS values

Without the assumption that in CS theory there is a single thimble for each critical value of CS functional, the relation (56) between the thimble in CS theory and the finite-dimensional model should be generalized to a non-trivial linear relation as follows. We still assume that the distinguished CS thimble associated with the trivial flat connection corresponds to the thimble $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$ in the finite-dimensional model, as in (51). Consider all \mathbb{Z} -orbits α of the CS thimbles generated by the monodromies starting from the thimble associated with the trivial flat connection (see Section 2.3 for details). We can label them by critical values of the CS functional modulo 1 and an extra index i , running over a finite set: $\alpha \equiv (\text{CS}_\alpha \bmod 1, i)$.

Fix $(S \bmod 1) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$. For each finite-dimensional thimble $\gamma^{(H', n)}$ ($H' \neq \emptyset$) such that $(S_*^{(H', n)} \bmod 1) = (S \bmod 1)$ consider the corresponding perturbative expansion, with the

dependence on the action factored out:

$$2 e^{-2\pi i k S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} \int_{\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|} v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_n^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}}{k^{n + \delta_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}}} \quad (82)$$

where, as in (56), we introduced a factor of 2 to take into account a 2-fold redundancy due to \mathbb{Z}_2 Weyl symmetry. For a generic enough plumbing, all integral combinations of such expansions are realized by the thimbles in the subgroup generated by the monodromies starting from the thimble $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$. In what follows we assume this to be the case.

Since there is a finite number of \mathbb{Z} -orbits of thimbles in CS theory, the abelian subgroup of formal power series $\mathbb{C}[[k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, k^{\frac{1}{2}}]]$ generated by all perturbative expansions (82) should be of finite rank. We can choose a basis of this subgroup to be $\tilde{I}^{(S \bmod 1, i)}(k)$, defined as in equation (17). Then

$$\sum_i N_{(S \bmod 1, i)}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \tilde{I}^{(S \bmod 1, i)}(k) \propto 2 e^{-2\pi i k S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} \int_{\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|} v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)}, \quad (83)$$

for some $N_{(S \bmod 1, i)}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The proportionality factor is the same as in (51). By construction, the relation can be inverted (over integers), so that we have:

$$\tilde{I}^{(S \bmod 1, i)}(k) \propto 2 \sum_{\substack{(H', \mathfrak{n}): \\ S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} = S \bmod 1}} T_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}^{(S \bmod 1, i)} e^{-2\pi i k S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} \int_{\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|} v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)}, \quad (84)$$

for some⁹ (non-unique) $T_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}^{(S \bmod 1, i)} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The generating function for the monodromy coefficients in CS theory then can be expressed through the Stokes coefficients of the finite-dimensional model as follows:

$$I_{(S' \bmod 1, j)}^{(S \bmod 1, i)}(\tilde{q}) = (-1)^{|H|-1} \times \sum_{\substack{(H', \mathfrak{n}): S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} = S \bmod 1 \\ (K, \mathfrak{m})}} T_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}^{(S \bmod 1, i)} M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} N_{(S', j)}^{(K, \mathfrak{m})} \tilde{q}_{S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} - S_*^{(K, \mathfrak{m})}} \times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & H' = \emptyset, K \neq \emptyset \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}, \quad (85)$$

⁹In practice, the coefficients $N_{(S \bmod 1, i)}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ and $T_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}^{(S \bmod 1, i)}$ can be obtained as follows. First, assuming some truncation ($\|\mathfrak{n}\| < \Lambda \gg 1$) of the set of the tuples (that should correspond to lifts in CS theory), one finds a basis $J^{(S \bmod 1, i)}(k)$ over \mathbb{Q} of the vector subspace in $\mathbb{C}[[k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, k^{\frac{1}{2}}]]$ generated by the series (82). This can be done by choosing any maximal subset of the series linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , using one of the integral relations algorithms (such as PSLQ or LLL). A basis over \mathbb{Q} satisfies a relation of the form (83) but with some rational coefficients $\tilde{N}_{(S \bmod 1, I)}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \in \mathbb{Q}$ instead. Let d be their common denominator. Consider then Hermite decomposition of the integral rectangular matrix (with the number of rows \gg number of columns) $d\tilde{N} = UR$ where U is a square integral unimodular and R is rectangular integral upper triangular. Then the basis $\tilde{I}^{(S, i)}(k)$ over the integers can be obtained as the left block of RJ/d . The coefficients N in the relations (83) is given by the left block of U and the coefficients T in (84) are given by the upper block of U^{-1} .

where the sign $(-1)^{|H|-1}$, as in (61), takes into account the monodromy of the proportionality coefficient in (83), which contains $k^{\frac{|H|-1}{2}}$.

2.7.2 Non-weakly-negative-definite plumbings

Consider now the situation when the plumbing is not weakly negative-definite, i.e. the condition $C < 0$ is not satisfied. The case when $C > 0$ can be related to the previously considered one by $k \rightarrow -k$ and therefore is already covered by the previous analysis. More generally, if $\det C \neq 0$ we conjecture that the relation (51) still holds, with the finite-dimensional contour $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$ now being the Lefschetz thimble in $\mathbb{C}^{|H|}$ with respect to $S(v)$, which is given by the same formula (40) as before. However, now $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$ is not given by a Cartesian product of contours in individual v_I -planes. One can similarly consider contours associated to other pairs $(H' \subset H, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{H'})$:

$$\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} = \left(\prod_{I \in H'} \gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \right) \times \gamma_{H''}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \quad (86)$$

where $\gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, $I \in H'$ is, as before, a circle contour in the v_I -plane (see Figure 7), and $\gamma_{H''}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \subset \mathbb{C}^{|H''|}$ is the Lefschetz thimble in the space of all v_J , $J \in H'' \equiv H \setminus H'$ integration variables with respect to the restricted action (46). Since the restricted action is quadratic, the thimble is still an affine subspace $\cong \mathbb{R}^{|H''|} \subset \mathbb{C}^{|H''|}$, however it is not simply a product of lines in the individual v_I -planes. Therefore, the calculation of monodromies becomes more involved, as it cannot be reduced to the calculation of monodromies of contours in individual v_I -planes. Moreover, unlike before, the Stokes jumps will happen both at $k \in i\mathbb{R}_+$ and $k \in -i\mathbb{R}_+$ rays, so that both ${}^+I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q})$ and ${}^-I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q})$ (defined at the end of Section 2.1) are non-trivial, with $I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q}) = {}^+I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q}) + {}^-I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q}) + m_\alpha^\alpha \delta_\beta^\alpha$. It is still, in principle, possible to calculate monodromy coefficients in terms of intersection numbers, as explained in Section 4.1 for the weakly negative-definite case $C < 0$.

Alternatively, one can analyze directly the singularities of the Borel transforms $B^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}(\xi)$ of the perturbative expansions of the integrals along finite-dimensional thimble contours $\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$. We have

$$\int_{\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|}v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} = \int_{S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} + \frac{i}{k}\mathbb{R}_+} d\xi e^{2\pi i k \xi} B^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}(\xi) \quad (87)$$

where

$$B^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}(\xi) = \int_{\Omega^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \subset \{S(v)=\xi\} \setminus \{\text{poles of } R(v)\}} \frac{d^{|H|}v}{d\xi} R(v) \quad (88)$$

and $\Omega^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ is a compact contour of real dimension $|H|-1$ inside the quadric $\{S(v) = \xi\}$ which, in turn, belongs to $\mathbb{C}^{|H|}$ with the hyperplanes at the poles of $R(v)$ removed. The contour is chosen such that the equality (87) holds. In any case, since we are only interested in the subgroup of thimbles generated by the monodromies starting from $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$ (that corresponds to

the trivial flat connection in CS theory), in principle it suffices to describe $\Omega^{(\emptyset)}$. Assuming that there are no poles at $v_I = 0$, $\Omega^{(\emptyset)}$ can be defined in the vicinity of $\xi = 0$ as the standard vanishing contour. It then can be analytically continued to the rest of the ξ -plane. The monodromy coefficients can be deduced using (11) and analyzing the behavior of the compact contours $\Omega^{(H',n)}$ as one varies ξ . We will follow this approach in one of the examples considered later in this section.

The case when $\det C = 0$ (still assuming that $|\det B| = 1$) can be dealt as follows. For a moment, we continue to assume that $C < 0$. Starting as in (37), we have:

$$Z(k) \propto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^V} \prod_{i \in V} F_{i,n_i} q^{-\frac{n^T B^{-1} n}{4}} \propto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^V} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|V|}} d^{|V|} u \prod_{I \in V} F_{I,n_I} e^{\frac{\pi i k}{2} u^T B u} e^{\pi i u^T n} \propto \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} d^{|V|} u \tilde{R}(u) e^{2\pi i k \tilde{S}(u)} \quad (89)$$

where now $\tilde{S}(u)$ and $\tilde{R}(u)$ are respectively holomorphic and meromorphic functions on the affine space $\mathbb{C}^{|V|}$ with the variables corresponding to all vertices V of the plumbing graph, not just the subset $H \subset V$:

$$\tilde{S}(u) = \frac{1}{4} u^T B u, \quad (90)$$

$$\tilde{R}(u) = \prod_{i \in V} (\sin \pi u_i)^{2 - \deg(i)}. \quad (91)$$

The integration contour $\tilde{\gamma} \subset \mathbb{C}^{|V|}$ is similar to γ in (37). It is a Cartesian product

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \times_{i \in V} \tilde{\gamma}_i \quad (92)$$

of the contours in u_I -planes corresponding to the vertices of the plumbing graph. For high-valency vertices $I \in H$ the contours are the same as before: $\tilde{\gamma}_I = \gamma_I$ (see Figure 5, left panel), and for other vertices $i \in V \setminus H$ they are simply real lines: $\tilde{\gamma}_i = \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

This gives an alternative finite-dimensional model in a larger space. In particular, similarly to (51) we have the following expression for CS thimble \mathfrak{w}_0 corresponding to the trivial flat connection and the thimble $\tilde{\gamma}^{(\emptyset)}$ with respect to $\tilde{S}(u)$:

$$I^{\mathfrak{w}_0}(k) \propto \int_{\tilde{\gamma}^{(\emptyset)}} d^{|V|} u \tilde{R}(u) e^{2\pi i k \tilde{S}(u)}. \quad (93)$$

Even if the condition $C < 0$ does not hold, the right-hand side of (93) makes sense and is well defined for arbitrary B , with $|\det B| = 1$, provided that $\tilde{\gamma}^{(\emptyset)}$ is still defined as the Lefschetz thimble with respect to $\tilde{S}(u)$. We then conjecture that the relation (93) holds in general.

A strongly indefinite example

Consider an example of a *strongly indefinite* plumbing (i.e. not weakly definite) shown in Figure 11. The linking matrix, with its block decomposition corresponding to high- and

variables $(v_1, v_2) \rightarrow (s, t)$ with

$$s = \left(\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{105}} \right) v_2 - \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} v_1, \quad (98)$$

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} v_1 + \left(-\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{105}} \right) v_2, \quad (99)$$

transforms the conic equation into $st = 4\xi$. For $\xi \neq 0$ one can then globally parametrize the conic by $x \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with $s = 2\sqrt{\xi}x$ and $t = 2\sqrt{\xi}/x$. In terms of these, the original variables $v_{1,2}$ are expressed as follows:

$$v_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\sqrt{\xi} \left(-((\sqrt{2} + 6\sqrt{7})x^2) + \sqrt{2} - 6\sqrt{7} \right)}{x}, \quad (100)$$

$$v_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{105}\sqrt{\xi}(x^2 + 1)}{x}. \quad (101)$$

The vanishing contour can be described in the neighborhood of $\xi = 0$ as the unit circle: $\Omega^{(\emptyset)} = \{|x| = 1\}$. It is unambiguously defined in this way, as (96) does not have poles in the vicinity of $v_1 = 0, v_2 = 0$. The positions of singularities of $R(v)$ in the x -plane can be obtained by solving in x one of the equations (101), with $v_I = \mathfrak{n}_I \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ being a pole of $R(v)$. With a generic value of ξ , there are two distinct solutions for a fixed $v_1 = \mathfrak{n}_1$ or $v_2 = \mathfrak{n}_2$. All the singularities tend to either ∞ or 0 in the x -plane as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

This provides an explicit description of the Borel transform $B^{(\emptyset)}(\xi)$ in terms of the integral (88) in the vicinity of $\xi = 0$. In terms of the parametrization by x , the integration measure is simply $dv_1 \wedge dv_2/d\xi \propto dx/x$, with a ξ -independent numerical factor. As one analytically continues $B^{(\emptyset)}(\xi)$ away from $\xi = 0$, the positions of the singularities move around in the x -plane and can eventually reach the contour $|x| = 1$. When a singularity reaches the contour, this, by itself does not lead to a singularity of $B^{(\emptyset)}(\xi)$, as one can deform the contour of integration away from it. The function $B^{(\emptyset)}(\xi)$ can become singular, however, when the contour is “pinched” between two singularities. There are 3 cases, illustrated in Figure 12:

- when the two colliding singularities correspond to two different solutions of $v_1 = \mathfrak{n}_1$ on the quadric,
- when they correspond to two different solutions of $v_2 = \mathfrak{n}_2$, and
- when one of them corresponds to a solutions of $v_1 = \mathfrak{n}_1$ and the other to a solution of $v_2 = \mathfrak{n}_2$.

Note that singularities corresponding to solutions of $v_I = \mathfrak{n}_I$ and $v_I = \mathfrak{n}'_I$ for *different* \mathfrak{n}_I and \mathfrak{n}'_I (with a fixed $I = 1, 2$) can never collide because v_I is a well-defined function on the quadric. Such a classification of singularities is in direct correspondence with the classification of “Lefschetz thimble” contours in the finite-dimensional model by the pairs $(H' \subset H, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{H'})$ considered before.




Figure 12: Description of the singularities of $B^{(\emptyset)}(\xi)$ of different types corresponding to different subsets $H' \subset H$ in terms of “pinching” of the contour $\Omega^{(\emptyset)}$ in the quadric $S(v) = \xi$ by the singularities of $R(v)$ at $v_I = \mathfrak{n}_I \in \mathbb{Z}$, in the case of 2 high-valency vertices ($H = \{1, 2\}$).

The values of ξ for which the collisions of singularities happen are given by the corresponding critical values $S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ explicitly given by the formula (49). When two singularities collide, they do not necessarily pinch the contour $\Omega^{(\emptyset)}$. For $H' = \{1\}$ we have

$$S_{*}^{\{1, \mathfrak{n}_1\}} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}_1^2}{600} \quad (102)$$

so that the singularities of $B^{(\emptyset)}$ can occur only on the positive real axis in the ξ -plane. A more detailed analysis shows that they are non-trivial for the following critical values of the action modulo 1:

$$H' = \{1\} : S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \in \left\{ \frac{1}{600}, \frac{1}{24}, \frac{49}{600}, \frac{121}{600}, \frac{169}{600}, \frac{241}{600}, \frac{289}{600}, \frac{361}{600}, \frac{409}{600}, \frac{481}{600}, \frac{529}{600} \right\} \pmod{1}. \quad (103)$$

Unlike in the previously considered example, we will only list the generating function for Stokes coefficients between the trivial flat connection and one of the flat connections in the above class:

$$I_{\left(\frac{1}{600}\right)}^{(0)}(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{q}^{-\frac{1}{600}} (1 - \tilde{q}^{-4} - \tilde{q}^{-17} + \tilde{q}^{-37} - \tilde{q}^{-38} + \tilde{q}^{-66} + \dots). \quad (104)$$

Similarly, for $H' = \{2\}$ we have

$$S_{*}^{\{2, \mathfrak{n}\}} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}_2^2}{420}. \quad (105)$$

The corresponding singularities of $B^{(\emptyset)}$ again occur only on the positive real axis in the ξ -plane. The critical values of the action modulo 1 are the following:

$$H' = \{2\} : S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \in \left\{ \frac{1}{420}, \frac{1}{105}, \frac{4}{105}, \frac{16}{105}, \frac{109}{420}, \frac{121}{420}, \frac{169}{420}, \frac{46}{105}, \frac{64}{105}, \frac{289}{420}, \frac{79}{105}, \frac{361}{420} \right\} \pmod{1}. \quad (106)$$

We give the following example of a generating function for Stokes coefficients between the trivial flat connection and one of the flat connections in the above class:

$$I_{\left(\frac{1}{420}\right)}^{(0)}(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{q}^{-\frac{1}{420}} \left(-1 - \tilde{q}^{-2} - \tilde{q}^{-4} + \tilde{q}^{-12} - \tilde{q}^{-46} + \tilde{q}^{-68} + \tilde{q}^{-78} + \dots \right). \quad (107)$$

For $H' = \{1, 2\}$ we have

$$S^{\{1,2\},n} = -\frac{5n_2^2}{24} + \frac{n_1 n_2}{2} - \frac{25n_1^2}{84}. \quad (108)$$

Due to the indefiniteness of this quadratic form, collisions of this type occur both on negative and positive real axes in ξ -plane. Moreover, an infinite number of collisions can happen simultaneously. This is because (108), if considered as a Diophantine equation on n_1, n_2 , with the fixed left-hand side, has an infinite number of solutions in general. However, only a finite number of colliding pairs can actually pinch a contour¹⁰.

The set of critical values of the action modulo 1 is the following:

$$H' = \{1, 2\} : S_*^{(H',n)} \in \left\{ \frac{5}{168}, \frac{47}{168}, \frac{101}{168}, \frac{125}{168}, \frac{143}{168}, \frac{167}{168} \right\} \pmod{1}. \quad (109)$$

A detailed analysis shows that the contour pinching actually occurs only on the negative real axis in the ξ -plane. We give the following example of a generating function for Stokes coefficients:

$$I_{\left(\frac{5}{168}\right)}^{(0)}(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{q}^{-\frac{5}{168}} \left(-\tilde{q}^7 + \tilde{q}^{20} + \tilde{q}^{29} + \tilde{q}^{35} - \tilde{q}^{52} - \tilde{q}^{60} + \dots \right). \quad (110)$$

The singularities of $B^{(H',n)}(\xi)$ for $H' = \{1\}$ (similarly $H' = \{2\}$) can be analyzed in a similar way, by analyzing pinching of the corresponding contour $\Omega^{\{1\},n_1}$ on the quadric, which is a difference of small circle contours surrounding the two solutions to $v_1 = n_1$. However, one can also proceed with the same analysis as for weakly negative plumbings because the thimble contours $\gamma^{\{1\},n_1}$ factorize as before (since a 1-dimensional non-degenerate quadratic form is always definite). We present the following example of a generating function for the Stokes coefficients:

$$I_{\left(\frac{1}{168}\right)}^{\left(\frac{600}{5}\right)}(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{600} - \frac{5}{168}} \left(-\tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^7 + \tilde{q}^{25} + \tilde{q}^{35} + \dots \right). \quad (111)$$

2.7.3 Higher-genus plumbings

Now consider the case of plumbings with general values of $g_i \geq 0$, $i \in V$ associated with the vertices. We conjecture that (89) generalizes directly with the same $\tilde{S}(u)$ as in (90), while $\tilde{R}(u)$ in (91) is modified as follows:

$$\tilde{R}(u) = \prod_{i \in V} (\sin \pi u_i)^{2 - \deg(i) - 2g_i}. \quad (112)$$

¹⁰This is different from what happens for the q -series $\hat{Z}(q)$ [37] associated to plumbings, where the infinite number of solutions to an analogous Diophantine equation does lead to an infinite number of contributions to a coefficient for given power of q , rendering $\hat{Z}(q)$ ill-defined (without an additional regularization). See [49].

This is motivated by the direct generalization of the naive analytic continuation of the WRT invariant for $g_i = 0$ plumblings considered in [37] to the case of $g_i \geq 0$. Such a generalization leads to the relation as in (35)–(36), except that the coefficients F_{i,n_i} are now defined as follows:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{i,n} z^n = \frac{1}{2} (z - 1/z)^{2 - \deg(i) - 2g_i} \Big|_{\text{expansion at } z=0} + \frac{1}{2} (z - 1/z)^{2 - \deg(i) - 2g_i} \Big|_{\text{expansion at } z=\infty}. \quad (113)$$

When $g_i = 0$ for all low-valency vertices $i \in V \setminus H$, one can consider a generalization of the “small” version of the finite-dimensional model, where the integration is performed only over the variables corresponding to high-valency vertices. Namely, we conjecture that in this case (51) holds with the same $S(v)$ as before but with $R(v)$ replaced by

$$R(v) = \frac{\prod_{a \in L} \sin \frac{\pi v h(a)}{P_a}}{\prod_{I \in H} (\sin \pi v_I)^{2g_I + \deg(I) - 2}}. \quad (114)$$

When $|H| = 1$, i.e. there is a single high-valency vertex $I \in H$, the plumbed manifold is a Seifert fibration over a genus g_I surface. The formula (51) with $R(v)$ as in (114) then becomes the formula obtained by Blau and Thompson via localization [43] (see also [53] for an alternative approach via splicing).

Examples with $b_1 > 0$

Let us elaborate on the family of examples encountered above, which provide a simple yet instructive supply of examples with $b_1(Y) > 0$. Namely, let Y be a Seifert fibration over genus- g surface Σ_g without singular fibers. For a degree- p circle bundle over Σ_g , the 3-manifold corresponds to the plumbing graph consisting of a single vertex with label $[p, g]$. The fundamental group of Y fits into the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow 1 \quad (115)$$

and can be explicitly described in terms of generators $(a_i, b_i)_{i=1, \dots, g}$, h and relations

$$\prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] = h^p, \quad [a_i, h] = 1, \quad [b_i, h] = 1. \quad (116)$$

The simplest members of this family are degree $p = \pm 1$ fibrations. In these cases, the above relations specialize to the following:

$$\prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] = h^{\pm 1}, \quad [a_i, h] = 1, \quad [b_i, h] = 1. \quad (117)$$

We are interested in homomorphisms from this group into $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ (or, more generally, into $G_{\mathbb{C}}$). To avoid clutter, and with a small abuse of notations, we denote $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ holonomies by the same letters as the generators of $\pi_1(Y)$. Then, for any $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ representation (i.e.

both reducible and irreducible flat connections) one can show that $h \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is central. In the reducible case $h = 1$ follows from the first relation above, whereas in the irreducible case it follows from the last two relations that $h = \pm 1$, where both choices of sign are allowed, for a given p . Then, we are only left with the first relation in (117) which has a purely 2-dimensional interpretation in terms of gauge fields on Σ_g . In other words, we conclude

$$\mathcal{M}(Y) = \mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g) \sqcup \mathcal{M}_-(\Sigma_g) \quad (118)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_\pm(\Sigma_g) := \left\{ a_i, b_j \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] = \pm 1 \right\} / \sim. \quad (119)$$

These two moduli spaces, labeled by “+” and “-”, respectively, can be understood as moduli spaces of complex flat connections on Σ_g with one ramification point or, equivalently, as moduli spaces of rank-2 Higgs bundles on Σ_g of even (resp. odd) degree [54]. Both components, $\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g)$ and $\mathcal{M}_-(\Sigma_g)$, are non-compact because they are parametrized by holonomies valued in a non-compact group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ or, in the language of Higgs bundles, because the norm of the Higgs field is unbounded. Both have real dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_\pm(\Sigma_g) = 12g - 12 \quad (120)$$

when $g > 1$. The second component, $\mathcal{M}_-(\Sigma_g)$, is smooth and its topology was studied by Hitchin in loc. cit. via the celebrated circle action on Higgs bundles; it has Betti numbers b_i ranging from $i = 0$ to the middle dimension of the moduli space, $i = 6g - 6$. The latter is exactly what we are interested in since it provides a bound on the number of independent Lefschetz thimbles. Specifically, according to [54], the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{M}_-(\Sigma_g)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{6g-6} t^i b_i(\mathcal{M}_-(\Sigma_g)) &= \frac{(1+t^3)^{2g}}{(1-t^2)(1-t^4)} - \frac{t^{4g-4}}{4(1-t^2)(1-t^4)} \left((1+t^2)^2(1+t)^{2g} - (1+t)^4(1-t)^{2g} \right) \\ &\quad - (g-1)t^{4g-3} \frac{(1+t)^{2g-2}}{1-t} + 2^{2g-1} t^{4g-4} \left((1+t)^{2g-2} - (1-t)^{2g-2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

For the middle-dimensional homology it gives

$$b_{6g-6}(\mathcal{M}_-(\Sigma_g)) = g. \quad (122)$$

On the other hand, the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g)$ requires more care (and depends on the precise definition of b_i) since this component of the moduli space is not smooth. Following [55], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{6g-6} t^i b_i(\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g)) &= P(\text{Bun}_{SL(2)}(\Sigma_g)) - t^{4g-4} + \frac{t^{2g+2}(1+t)^{2g}}{(1-t^2)(1-t^4)} + \frac{t^{4g-4}(1-t)^{2g}}{4(1+t^2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{4g-4}(1+t)^{2g}}{2(1-t^2)} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{2gt}{1+t} + \frac{1}{t^2-1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (2^{2g} - 1) t^{4g-2} \left((1+t)^{2g-2} (1-t)^{2g-2} - 2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

where the first term is the Poincaré polynomial of the moduli space of semistable rank 2 bundles, $\text{Bun}_{SL(2)}(\Sigma_g)$. Note, while $\text{Bun}_{SL(2)}(\Sigma_g)$ is compact and has real dimension $6g - 6$, the non-compact moduli space $\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g)$ has top non-zero betti number $b_{6g-4}(\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g)) \neq 0$, slightly above the middle dimension, which is also in contrast to (121). The Poincaré polynomial of $\text{Bun}_{SL(2)}(\Sigma_g)$ is given by the celebrated the Harder-Narasimhan formula

$$P(\text{Bun}_{SL(2)}(\Sigma_g)) = \frac{(1+t^3)^{2g} - t^{2g}(1+t)^{2g}}{(1-t^2)(1-t^4)}. \quad (124)$$

This latter expression contributes +1 to the Betti number $b_{6g-6}(\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g))$ for any value of $g > 1$. On the other hand, the rest of the formula (123) produces a contribution that grows very fast with the genus. Below we list the first few values for low genus:

g	2	3	4	5	6	7	...
$b_{6g-6}(\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g))$	2	381	3829	28649	184281	1081285	...

Numerically, we find that this growth is exponential, with the following rate

$$b_{6g-6}(\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g)) \simeq (4.72656\dots)^g. \quad (125)$$

This growth is much faster than (122) and dominates

$$b_{6g-6}(\mathcal{M}(Y)) = b_{6g-6}(\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g)) + b_{6g-6}(\mathcal{M}_-(\Sigma_g)) \quad (126)$$

for this class of 3-manifolds. As we will see shortly this provides a much larger set of potential Lefschetz thimbles than can be seen from the Borel plane for the perturbative expansion around the trivial flat connection. That is, not all the thimbles of complex Chern-Simons theory are in the subgroup generated by the thimble corresponding to the trivial flat connection in the sense described in Section 2.3.

To compare with the Borel plane analysis, for concreteness let us focus on the case $g > 1$ and $p = -1$. We have (with $v \equiv v_1$)

$$S(v) = -\frac{v^2}{4}, \quad (127)$$

$$R(v) = \frac{1}{(\sin \pi v)^{2g-2}}. \quad (128)$$

We have two types of non-trivial contours in the v -plane: $\gamma(\emptyset)$, the straight line Lefschetz thimble contour dodging the pole at $v = 0$ (it does not matter from which side, as the residue at this pole is zero) and the circle contours $\gamma(\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\})$, $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ going around the poles at $v = \mathfrak{n}$. For the second type, the perturbative expansion is *finite* and has the following form:

$$\int_{\gamma(\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\})} dv R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} = e^{2\pi i k S_*^{(\{1\}, \mathfrak{n})}} (\mathcal{A}_{g-1}(k) \mathfrak{n}^{2g-3} + \mathcal{A}_{g-2}(k) \mathfrak{n}^{2g-5} + \dots + \mathcal{A}_1(k) \mathfrak{n}) \quad (129)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_i(k)$ are polynomials in k of degree $g + i - 2$ and $S_*^{(\{1\}, \mathfrak{n})} = -\mathfrak{n}^2/4$. Modulo 1, there are just two distinct critical values of the action: $-1/4 \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$ realized for $\gamma(\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\})$ with \mathfrak{n} odd,

and $0 \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$ realized for $\gamma^{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}}$ with \mathfrak{n} even as well as for $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$. On the other hand, the integration variable v can be identified with the holonomy of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connection over the circle fiber [43], with $v = \mathfrak{n} = 0 \pmod{2}$ corresponding to $h = 1$, and $v = \mathfrak{n} = 1 \pmod{2}$ corresponding to $h = -1$. In other words, the two components in (118) correspond to the different Chern-Simons values, respectively 0 and $-\frac{1}{4}$, modulo 1.

Following the general method described in Section 2.7.1, we can choose the basis of perturbative expansions in Chern-Simons theory (in the subgroup generated by Stokes jumps starting from the trivial flat connection, see Section 2.3) $\tilde{I}^{(0,i)}(k)$, $i = 0, \dots, g-1$ and $\tilde{I}^{(-\frac{1}{4}, i)}(k)$, $i = 1, \dots, g-1$ such that

$$\tilde{I}^{(0,0)}(k) \propto \int_{\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|} v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)}, \quad (130)$$

i.e.

$$N_{(\emptyset)}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} = \begin{cases} 1, & (H', \mathfrak{n}) = (\emptyset), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (131)$$

in (83) and other fixed by taking

$$N_{(0,1)}^{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}, \quad N_{(0,2)}^{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}^3 - 4\mathfrak{n}}{48}, \quad N_{(0,3)}^{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}^5 - 20\mathfrak{n}^3 + 64\mathfrak{n}}{3840}, \quad \dots \quad (132)$$

for even \mathfrak{n} and

$$N_{(0,1)}^{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}} = \mathfrak{n}, \quad N_{(0,2)}^{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}^3 - \mathfrak{n}}{24}, \quad N_{(0,3)}^{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}^5 - 10\mathfrak{n}^3 + 9\mathfrak{n}}{1920}, \quad \dots \quad (133)$$

for odd \mathfrak{n} . One can then take

$$T_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}^{(\emptyset)} = \begin{cases} 1, & (H', \mathfrak{n}) = (\emptyset), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (134)$$

in (84). The monodromy coefficients in the finite dimensional model are the following:

$$M_{(\emptyset)}^{(\emptyset)} = -1, \quad (135)$$

$$M_{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}}^{(\emptyset)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathfrak{n} \geq 0, \\ -1, & \mathfrak{n} < 0, \end{cases} \quad (136)$$

$$M_{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}}^{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{m}\}} = 0. \quad (137)$$

From (85) we then get the following non-zero generating functions of Stokes coefficients:

$$I_{(0,0)}^{(0,0)}(\tilde{q}) = -1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{q}], \quad (138)$$

$$I_{(0,i)}^{(0,0)}(\tilde{q}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{n} > 0 \\ \mathfrak{n} \text{ even}}} N_{(0,i)}^{\{\{1\}, \mathfrak{n}\}} \tilde{q}^{\frac{\mathfrak{n}^2}{4}} \in \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{q}], \quad i = 1, \dots, g-1, \quad (139)$$

and

$$I_{(-\frac{1}{4}, i)}^{(0,0)}(\tilde{q}) = \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ n \text{ odd}}} N_{(0,i)}^{\{\{1\}, n\}} \tilde{q}^{\frac{n^2}{4}} \in \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{q}], \quad i = 1, \dots, g-1. \quad (140)$$

In particular, the first non-trivial example is $g = 2$. In this case, both $b_{6g-6}(\mathcal{M}_+(\Sigma_g))$ and $b_{6g-6}(\mathcal{M}_-(\Sigma_g))$ are equal to 2. In the former case this agrees with the number of non-zero generating functions of Stokes coefficients:

$$I_{(0,0)}^{(0,0)}(\tilde{q}) = -1, \quad (141)$$

$$I_{(0,1)}^{(0,0)}(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{q} + 2\tilde{q}^4 + 3\tilde{q}^9 + 4\tilde{q}^{16} + 5\tilde{q}^{25} + 6\tilde{q}^{36} + 7\tilde{q}^{49} + 8\tilde{q}^{64} + 9\tilde{q}^{81} + \dots \quad (142)$$

whereas in the latter case we find only one non-trivial \tilde{q} -series from the Borel plane:

$$I_{(-\frac{1}{4}, 1)}^{(0,0)}(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{4}} (1 + 3\tilde{q}^2 + 5\tilde{q}^6 + 7\tilde{q}^{12} + 9\tilde{q}^{20} + 11\tilde{q}^{30} + 13\tilde{q}^{42} + 15\tilde{q}^{56} + 17\tilde{q}^{72} + \dots). \quad (143)$$

This illustrates that, in general, not all homology classes are involved in Stokes jumps of the thimble for the trivial flat connection.

2.7.4 Non-trivial torsion in homology

Finally, consider yet another generalization to the case when $|\det B| > 1$ and, therefore, there is a non-trivial torsion in homology: $\text{Tor } H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^V / B\mathbb{Z}^V \equiv \text{Coker } B$. The conjecture of [37] about the analytic continuation of the WRT invariant for plumbed 3-manifolds was already formulated in this general case. It states that (35) holds in this more general case with

$$Z(k) := \frac{(-1)^{b_+} q^{\frac{3\sigma - \text{Tr } B}{4}}}{2\sqrt{2k} |\text{Tor } H_1(Y)|} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^V / B\mathbb{Z}^V} e^{-2\pi i k a^T B^{-1} a} Z_a(k), \quad (144)$$

where

$$Z_a(k) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^V} \prod_{i \in V} F_{i, n_i} q^{-\frac{n^T B^{-1} n}{4}} e^{-2\pi i a^T B^{-1} n}, \quad (145)$$

and the coefficients F_{i, n_i} are given by the same expression as before. Repeating the transformations in (37) and identifying $a^T B^{-1} a$ with the CS functional of a connected component of an abelian flat connection

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \pi_0 \text{Hom}(H_1(Y), U(1)) / \{\pm 1\} &\cong \text{Hom}(\text{Tor } H_1(Y), U(1)) / \{\pm 1\} \\ &\cong \text{Tor } H_1(Y) / \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^V / B\mathbb{Z}^V / \{\pm 1\} \end{aligned} \quad (146)$$

one obtains a generalization of (93) to the CS thimbles ω_a corresponding to abelian flat connections in the case of non-trivial torsion in homology:

$$I^{\omega_a}(k) \propto \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_a} d^{|V|} u \tilde{R}(u) e^{2\pi i k (\tilde{S}(u) + u^T a)} \quad (147)$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_a$ is the Lefschetz thimble with respect to $\tilde{S}(u) + u^T a$ (cf. [43, 50–53] for the case of Seifert manifolds).

2.8 Relation to \widehat{Z}

In this section we comment further on the relationship between \widehat{Z} -invariants of plumbed 3-manifolds introduced in [37] and Lefschetz thimble integrals. We will again restrict ourselves to the case of an integer homology sphere. In this case there is a single q -series invariant \widehat{Z} (more generally there is a family of q -series labeled by Spin^c -structures on the 3-manifold). Up to a simple factor, it is the same as the analytic continuation (36) of the WRT invariant:

$$\widehat{Z}(k) := (-1)^{b_+} q^{\frac{3\sigma - \text{Tr } B}{4}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^V} \prod_{i \in V} F_{i, n_i} q^{-\frac{n^T B^{-1} n}{4}}. \quad (148)$$

As was shown in Section 2.5, formula (37), it is given by an integral over the contour γ that factorizes into the contours shown in Figure 5:

$$\widehat{Z}(q) \propto \int_{\gamma} d^{|H|} v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)}. \quad (149)$$

As before, \propto means equality up to a factor of the form $c k^{\frac{a}{2}} q^b$. The contour can be expressed as a linear combination (with coefficients in $2^{-|H|} \mathbb{Z}$) of the “thimble” contours $\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ by applying transformations (54). Using the relations (83) between the thimble integrals in the finite-dimensional and Chern-Simons theory, one then can obtain the relation of the following form:

$$\widehat{Z}(q) \propto \sum_{\alpha} \widehat{m}_{\alpha} I^{\alpha}(k), \quad (150)$$

with certain coefficients¹¹ $\widehat{m}_{\alpha} \in 2^{-|H|+1} \mathbb{Z}$. Using (17) instead, one can rewrite (150) as a *finite* sum over the \mathbb{Z} -orbits of the CS thimbles:

$$\widehat{Z}(q) \propto \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha}(\tilde{q}) \tilde{I}^{\alpha}(k), \quad (151)$$

with $\tilde{q} = e^{-2\pi i k}$ and

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}(\tilde{q}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n} \in \alpha} \widehat{m}_{\alpha} \tilde{q}^{-\text{CS}_{\alpha}} \in \tilde{q}^{\{\text{CS}_{\alpha}\}} \mathbb{Z}[[\tilde{q}]]. \quad (152)$$

As a specific example, consider again the plumbing from Figure 10. As before, we will label the \mathbb{Z} -orbits by the corresponding values of Chern-Simons functional modulo 1. We have:

$$\widehat{Z}(q) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2 - q + q^2 + q^4 - q^5 + q^6 - 3q^7 - q^8 + q^{10} - q^{12} - 2q^{13} + \dots) \quad (153)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\left(\frac{23}{312}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{23}{312}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^6 - \tilde{q}^{12} + \tilde{q}^{29} + \tilde{q}^{41} + \tilde{q}^{47} + \tilde{q}^{62} - \tilde{q}^{96} - \tilde{q}^{117} - \tilde{q}^{127} + \dots) \\ S_{\left(\frac{95}{312}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{95}{312}} (-\tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^8 - \tilde{q}^{10} + \tilde{q}^{33} + \tilde{q}^{37} + \tilde{q}^{52} + \tilde{q}^{57} - \tilde{q}^{103} - \tilde{q}^{110} - \tilde{q}^{135} - \tilde{q}^{143} + \dots) \\ S_{\left(\frac{191}{312}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{191}{312}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^5 + \tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^{15} - \tilde{q}^{26} - \tilde{q}^{43} - \tilde{q}^{46} - \tilde{q}^{68} + \tilde{q}^{90} + \tilde{q}^{120} + \tilde{q}^{125} + \dots) \\ S_{\left(\frac{263}{312}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{263}{312}} (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^{12} + \tilde{q}^{17} - \tilde{q}^{24} + \tilde{q}^{31} + \tilde{q}^{61} - \tilde{q}^{72} + \tilde{q}^{86} - \tilde{q}^{99} - \tilde{q}^{149} + \dots) \\ S_{\left(\frac{287}{312}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{287}{312}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^4 + \tilde{q}^8 + \tilde{q}^{18} - \tilde{q}^{23} - \tilde{q}^{39} - \tilde{q}^{51} - \tilde{q}^{74} + \tilde{q}^{84} + \tilde{q}^{113} + \tilde{q}^{133} + \dots) \\ S_{\left(\frac{311}{312}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{311}{312}} (-\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^{10} - \tilde{q}^{20} + \tilde{q}^{21} - \tilde{q}^{35} - \tilde{q}^{56} + \tilde{q}^{78} - \tilde{q}^{80} + \tilde{q}^{106} + \tilde{q}^{141} + \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (154)$$

¹¹The coefficients are multiples of $2 \times 2^{-|H|}$ because the integrand is an even function of v .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{59}{420}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{59}{420}} (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^9 - \tilde{q}^{15} - \tilde{q}^{19} + \tilde{q}^{35} + \tilde{q}^{41} + \tilde{q}^{53} - \tilde{q}^{87} + \tilde{q}^{125} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{26}{105}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{26}{105}} (\tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^{10} - \tilde{q}^{14} - \tilde{q}^{26} + \tilde{q}^{27} + \tilde{q}^{43} + \tilde{q}^{51} - \tilde{q}^{74} + \tilde{q}^{142} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{131}{420}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{131}{420}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^7 - \tilde{q}^{11} - \tilde{q}^{13} + \tilde{q}^{45} + \tilde{q}^{49} + \tilde{q}^{59} + \tilde{q}^{89} - \tilde{q}^{123} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{41}{105}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{41}{105}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{18} + \tilde{q}^{37} - \tilde{q}^{66} - \tilde{q}^{81} - \tilde{q}^{90} + \tilde{q}^{122} + \tilde{q}^{133} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{59}{105}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{59}{105}} (\tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^4 + \tilde{q}^7 + \tilde{q}^{19} - \tilde{q}^{36} - \tilde{q}^{60} - \tilde{q}^{71} - \tilde{q}^{76} + \tilde{q}^{140} + \tilde{q}^{147} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{251}{420}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{251}{420}} (-\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^5 + \tilde{q}^{17} + \tilde{q}^{23} - \tilde{q}^{31} - \tilde{q}^{39} - \tilde{q}^{67} + \tilde{q}^{93} - \tilde{q}^{119} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{299}{420}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{299}{420}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^9 + \tilde{q}^{25} - \tilde{q}^{29} + \tilde{q}^{55} + \tilde{q}^{77} + \tilde{q}^{95} - \tilde{q}^{117} - \tilde{q}^{139} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{311}{420}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{311}{420}} (-\tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^4 - \tilde{q}^6 - \tilde{q}^{26} + \tilde{q}^{28} + \tilde{q}^{64} + \tilde{q}^{72} + \tilde{q}^{84} - \tilde{q}^{130} - \tilde{q}^{146} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{89}{105}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{89}{105}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 - \tilde{q}^{10} + \tilde{q}^{21} - \tilde{q}^{34} + \tilde{q}^{53} + \tilde{q}^{85} + \tilde{q}^{98} - \tilde{q}^{114} - \tilde{q}^{129} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{101}{105}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{101}{105}} (-\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^{14} + \tilde{q}^{22} - \tilde{q}^{33} - \tilde{q}^{45} - \tilde{q}^{65} + \tilde{q}^{102} - \tilde{q}^{110} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{104}{105}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{104}{105}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^9 + \tilde{q}^{12} + \tilde{q}^{17} - \tilde{q}^{40} - \tilde{q}^{49} - \tilde{q}^{56} - \tilde{q}^{104} + \tilde{q}^{108} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{419}{420}\right)} &= -\tilde{q}^{-\frac{419}{420}} (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^{13} + \tilde{q}^{47} - \tilde{q}^{69} - \tilde{q}^{79} - \tilde{q}^{105} + \tilde{q}^{107} + \tilde{q}^{137} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{155}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{29}{120}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{29}{120}} (2\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^6 + \tilde{q}^7 - 3\tilde{q}^8 - \tilde{q}^9 + \tilde{q}^{11} - \tilde{q}^{13} - 2\tilde{q}^{14} - \tilde{q}^{17} - \tilde{q}^{18} + \tilde{q}^{19} - \tilde{q}^{20} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{71}{120}\right)} &= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{q}^{-\frac{71}{120}} (-3\tilde{q} - 3\tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^3 - 2\tilde{q}^4 + \tilde{q}^5 + 2\tilde{q}^7 + 4\tilde{q}^8 - 2\tilde{q}^9 + 2\tilde{q}^{10} + 2\tilde{q}^{11} + 3\tilde{q}^{12} - 2\tilde{q}^{13} - 2\tilde{q}^{14} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{101}{120}\right)} &= \tilde{q}^{-\frac{101}{120}} (\tilde{q} + 2\tilde{q}^3 - \tilde{q}^4 + \tilde{q}^5 - \tilde{q}^6 - \tilde{q}^7 + \tilde{q}^8 - 2\tilde{q}^{10} - 2\tilde{q}^{12} - \tilde{q}^{13} - \tilde{q}^{14} + \tilde{q}^{15} - \tilde{q}^{17} - \tilde{q}^{19} + \tilde{q}^{20} + \dots) \\
\mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{119}{120}\right)} &= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{q}^{-\frac{119}{120}} (-\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}^2 - 3\tilde{q}^4 + 4\tilde{q}^5 + 2\tilde{q}^6 - 4\tilde{q}^7 + \tilde{q}^8 + \tilde{q}^9 + 2\tilde{q}^{11} + 2\tilde{q}^{12} - 2\tilde{q}^{13} + 4\tilde{q}^{14} + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

where we have grouped \mathcal{S}_α according to the subsets $\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\} \subset H$ to associated to flat connections α . We see that, up to a sign, $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\tilde{q}) = I_{\alpha^0}^{\alpha_0}(\tilde{q})$ for flat connections α with corresponding subsets $H' \subset H$ consisting of a single element (i.e. $|H'| = 1$) and that there exists a certain flat connection α_* (with $\text{CS}_{\alpha_*} = 29/120 \pmod{1}$) with $H' = H$, such that up to a sign and a power of q ,

$$\widehat{Z}(q) \propto \mathcal{S}_{\alpha_*}(q). \tag{157}$$

We claim that those are general features. It has been previously conjectured (and proved in certain cases) [56–61] that $\widehat{Z}(q)$ for plumbed manifolds is a (component of a vector-valued) holomorphic quantum modular form of depth $|H|$. We can interpret (151) as the modular S -transformation of such a holomorphic quantum modular form, so that \mathcal{S}_α appearing in the right-hand side are holomorphic quantum modular forms of depth $|H'|$, where $H' \subset H$ is the subset to which the flat connection is associated.

As was previously explained, the structure of the Stokes phenomenon is such that the “thimble” contours $\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ of the finite-dimensional model can only jump by the contours $\gamma^{(K, \mathfrak{m})}$ such that $K \supset H'$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \dots \in \mathbb{Z}^K \supset \mathbb{Z}^{H'}$. Since the jumps occur due to flows between the flat connections, this gives the structure of flows schematically depicted in Figure 13. One can identify the number of “stages” in the cascading structure of the flows with the depth of the quantum modular form plus one. When $|H| = 1$, the plumbing manifold is Seifert-fibered. In this case the conditions $|H'| = 1$ and $H' = H$ are equivalent so that there exists α such that simultaneously $\widehat{Z}(q) \propto \mathcal{S}_{\alpha_*}(q) \propto I_{\alpha_*}^{\alpha_0}(q)$. This was already observed in [15].

One can also consider a version of $\widehat{Z}(q)$ invariant, where instead of considering a symmetrized expansion as in (34) for $I \in H$, one uses the expansion in a particular “chamber”,




Figure 13: The cascading structure of flows. Abelian flat connections are at the top of the cascade. For a generic plumbing (so that for each $0 \leq |H'| \leq |H|$ there exists $\gamma^{(H',n)}$, such that the integral over it is non-zero) the number stages in the cascade is $|H| + 1$.

labeled by $\mathbf{c} \in \{\pm 1\}^H$:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{I,n}^{\mathbf{c}} z^n = (z - 1/z)^{2 - \deg(I)} \Big|_{\substack{\text{expansion at} \\ z^{\mathbf{c}I} \rightarrow 0}}, \quad I \in H. \quad (158)$$

One then proceeds as before, with

$$2\sqrt{2k} Z^{\mathbf{c}}(k) = \widehat{Z}^{\mathbf{c}}(q) := (-1)^{b_+} q^{\frac{3\sigma - \text{Tr} B}{4}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^V} \prod_{i \in V} F_{i,n_i}^{\mathbf{c}} q^{-\frac{n^T B^{-1} n}{4}}. \quad (159)$$

The original \widehat{Z} then can be recovered as

$$\widehat{Z}(q) = \frac{1}{2^{|H|}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \{\pm 1\}^H} \widehat{Z}^{\mathbf{c}}(q). \quad (160)$$

Note that one has identically $\widehat{Z}^{\mathbf{c}} = \widehat{Z}^{-\mathbf{c}}$, therefore generically there are $2^{|H|-1}$ linearly independent q -series $\widehat{Z}^{\mathbf{c}}(q)$. At least for H -shaped plumbings, the relation (35) to WRT invariant is satisfied with $Z(k)$ replaced with $Z^{\mathbf{c}}(k)$, as follows from the analysis in [59], and both $\widehat{Z}^{\mathbf{c}}(q)$ are depth two quantum modular forms [57].

The sequence of equalities (37) can be repeated with the final expression being the same but with the contour of integration $\gamma^{\mathbf{c}} = \times_{I \in H} \gamma_I^{\mathbf{c}}$, where $\gamma_I^{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbb{R} - i\mathbf{c}_I \epsilon$. The factors can be similarly deformed, as shown in Figure 14 for $\mathbf{c}_I = +1$ case. Proceeding as before one then can obtain the analogue of (151) for a particular chamber:

$$\widehat{Z}^{\mathbf{c}}(q) \propto \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{c}}(\tilde{q}) \tilde{I}^{\alpha}(k). \quad (161)$$

For the same example, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Z}^{++}(q) &\propto \mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{29}{120}\right)}^{++}(q) = \\ &q^{-\frac{29}{120}} (4q - 2q^2 + 2q^3 + 2q^5 - 2q^6 + 2q^7 - 6q^8 - 2q^9 + q^{10} + 2q^{11} - 2q^{13} - 4q^{14} + \dots), \end{aligned} \quad (162)$$




Figure 14: Left: the contour γ_I^c in the v_I -plane corresponding to a chamber $\mathfrak{c} \in \{\pm 1\}^H$ with $\mathfrak{c}_I = +1$. Right: its deformation.

$$\widehat{Z}^{+-}(q) \propto \mathcal{S}_{\left(\frac{29}{120}\right)}^{+-}(q) = q^{-\frac{29}{120}} (-q^{10} + q^{27} + q^{37} + q^{43} + q^{57} - q^{66} - q^{74} - q^{90} + \dots). \quad (163)$$

Finally, let us note that the coefficients \widehat{m}_α (and their chamber analogues \widehat{m}_α^c) can be categorized similarly to the Stokes coefficients m_β^α as done in Section 4.1. As for the Stokes coefficients, the first step is expressing \widehat{m}_α via the intersection numbers (between γ and the contours $\widehat{\gamma}^{(H',n)}$ dual to the thimble contours). The categorification then will be provided by an appropriate version of Lagrangian Floer homology. Assuming the relation (157) this then provides a categorification for $\widehat{Z}(q)$ itself.

2.9 Behavior under cutting and gluing (surgery) operations

For future generalizations of this work, it is important to understand how the invariants $I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q})$ and $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\tilde{q})$ behave under cutting and gluing (surgery) operations. A large class of plumbed 3-manifolds considered above provides a partial answer to this question, on which I can build generalizations to arbitrary 3-manifolds in the future work.

Since the physics motivation for this work involves a setup with a partial topological twist along Y , one can *a priori* expect surgery formulae for $I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q})$ and $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\tilde{q})$. However, such formulae may not have a simple form, as it happens e.g. in the case of Casson or Seiberg-Witten invariants of 3-manifolds. In particular, there are no *a priori* reasons to expect that either $I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q})$ or $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(\tilde{q})$ come from a 3d TQFT in a sense of Atiyah. In fact, even the set of complex flat connections on Y that labels these invariants behaves in a rather non-trivial way under cutting and gluing.




Figure 15: The plumbing that realizes f -surgery on $(2, 2\ell + 1)$ torus knot. In particular, for the trefoil ($\ell = 1$) we have no $[-2, 0]$ vertices on the right leg.

Certain plumbed 3-manifolds can be realized as knot surgeries. For a 3-manifold given by the f -surgery on a knot K , one can obtain the term $Z_0(k)$ in (144) corresponding to the trivial flat connection by applying the linear “Laplace transform” to the two-variable series $F_K(x; q)$ considered in [35]:

$$Z_0(k) = F_K(x; q)(x - 1/x) \Big|_{x^n \rightarrow q^{-\frac{n^2}{4}}} . \quad (164)$$

In fact, if there is a plumbing realization for an arbitrary f , this relation can be used to determine $F_K(x; q)$ uniquely.

For example, the f -surgery on $(2, 2\ell + 1)$ torus knot $\forall f \in \mathbb{Z}$ is equivalent to the plumbed 3-manifold shown in Figure 15. Consider the case $f = -1$ so that the 3-manifold is an integer homology sphere. Then we have $Z_0(k) = \widehat{Z}(q)$. As was pointed out in Section 2.8 when $|H| = 1$, we have

$$F_K(x; q)(x - 1/x) \Big|_{x^n \rightarrow q^{\frac{n^2}{4}}} = \widehat{Z}(q) \propto I_{\alpha_*}^{\alpha_0}(q) \quad (165)$$

for a particular complex flat connection α_* with $|H'| = 1$. We observe that in this family of examples, $I_{\beta}^{\alpha_0}(\tilde{q})$ for other $\beta = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ with $|H'| = 1$ can also be expressed via $F_K^{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}(x; \tilde{q})$ (up to a finite number of terms):

$$I_{\beta}^{\alpha_0}(\tilde{q}) \propto F_K^{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}(x; \tilde{q})(x^{2\mu_3} - x^{-2\mu_3}) \Big|_{x^n \rightarrow \tilde{q}^{\frac{n^2}{4}}} \quad (166)$$

for some $\mu_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that, although the set of values of μ_3 is infinite, they produce only a finite collection of \tilde{q} -series (166) up to an overall power of \tilde{q} . In general, for a start-shaped plumbing graph with n legs (called “leaves” in the splicing terminology [53]) the meaningful values of μ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ that produce independent \tilde{q} -series are controlled by the denominators of the continued fraction expansions associated with legs (leaves) of the graph. In the present class of surgeries on $(2, 2\ell + 1)$ torus knots, we have $\mu_1 = 1$ and $\mu_2 = 1, \dots, \ell$.

For the trefoil knot, $K = \mathbf{3}_1$, there is only one knot complement invariant $F_K^{(1,1)}(x, \tilde{q})$ obtained by *not* integrating the vertex¹² with framing $f - 2(2\ell + 1)$ in Figure 15:

$$F_{\mathbf{3}_1}^{(1,1)}(x, \tilde{q}) = \dots + x - \tilde{q}x^5 - \tilde{q}^2x^7 + \tilde{q}^5x^{11} + \tilde{q}^7x^{13} - \tilde{q}^{12}x^{17} - \tilde{q}^{15}x^{19} + \dots \quad (167)$$

¹²In [35] such “empty vertex” was denoted by \circ .

Although we only display terms with positive powers of x one can consider the *symmetric completion* of this series as in [35]; this is what one set of ellipses on the right-hand side of (167) indicate. In the context of Z invariants, such symmetric completion is natural since it restores the gauge, i.e. the invariance under \mathbb{Z}_2 Weyl symmetry of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. In the present context, this is less critical and one can also work with the asymmetric version of (167) which contains only positive powers of x . Both versions, symmetric and asymmetric, produce the same result for surgeries via the surgery formula (166).

For the next case, $\ell = 2$, i.e. for the $(2, 5)$ torus knot $K = \mathbf{5}_1$, there are two choices of flat connections (Wilson lines) associated with a longer leg of the plumbing graph on Figure 15. Up to an overall power of \tilde{q} , the corresponding \tilde{q} -series look like

$$F_{\mathbf{5}_1}^{(1,1)}(x, \tilde{q}) = \dots + \tilde{q}x^3 - \tilde{q}^2x^7 - \tilde{q}^5x^{13} + \tilde{q}^8x^{17} + \tilde{q}^{14}x^{23} - \tilde{q}^{19}x^{27} - \tilde{q}^{28}x^{33} + \dots \quad (168)$$

and

$$F_{\mathbf{5}_1}^{(1,2)}(x, \tilde{q}) = \dots + \tilde{q}^2x - \tilde{q}^4x^9 - \tilde{q}^5x^{11} + \tilde{q}^{11}x^{19} + \tilde{q}^{13}x^{21} - \tilde{q}^{23}x^{29} - \tilde{q}^{26}x^{31} + \dots \quad (169)$$

In line with the earlier remarks, both (167) and (168) coincide with $\widehat{Z}(S^3 \setminus K)$ for these knots, providing further support to the observation that the complex flat connection α_* with $(\mu_1, \mu_2) = (1, 1)$ plays a special role. It would be interesting to study this further and demystify this chain of coincidences.

On the other hand, the series (169) is new in a sense that it does not coincide with any of the \widehat{Z} invariants associated with the knot $\mathbf{5}_1$. To see this, and also as a step toward the interpretation of (169), we can consider the ‘‘classical limit’’ $q \rightarrow 1$. In agreement with [35], both (167) and (168) in the classical limit approach the inverse Alexander polynomial or, more precisely, $\frac{x-x^{-1}}{\Delta_K(x^2)}$, where the Alexander polynomial for a (m, n) torus knot is given by the well known expression:

$$\Delta_{T_{m,n}}(x) = \frac{(x^{mn} - 1)(x - 1)}{(x^m - 1)(x^n - 1)}. \quad (170)$$

And for (169) the limit has a similar form, in particular also has the Alexander polynomial in the denominator,

$$\lim_{\tilde{q} \rightarrow 1} F_{\mathbf{5}_1}^{(1,2)}(x, \tilde{q}) = \frac{x^{-3} - x^{-1} + x - x^3}{\Delta_{\mathbf{5}_1}(x^2)} \quad (171)$$

but the numerator is different: $x - x^{-1}$ is replaced by $x^{-3} - x^{-1} + x - x^3 = -\frac{x^4 - x^{-4}}{x + x^{-1}}$. Continuing to larger values of ℓ we see that the pattern persists. Namely, for $(2, 2\ell + 1)$ torus knots we have

$$\lim_{\tilde{q} \rightarrow 1} F_{T_{2,2\ell+1}}^{(1,\mu_2)}(x, \tilde{q}) = \frac{x^{-2\mu_2} - x^{2\mu_2}}{(x + x^{-1}) \Delta_{T_{2,2\ell+1}}(x^2)}. \quad (172)$$

For example, it is easy to verify that it holds true for the next example in this family, the case of $\ell = 3$:

$$F_{\mathbf{7}_1}^{(1,3)}(x, \tilde{q}) = \dots + \tilde{q}^4x - \tilde{q}^7x^{13} - \tilde{q}^8x^{15} + \tilde{q}^{17}x^{27} + \tilde{q}^{19}x^{29} - \tilde{q}^{34}x^{41} - \tilde{q}^{37}x^{43} + \dots \quad (173)$$

This, in turn, provides further support to the identification between complex flat connections on Y and line operators in 3d theory $T[Y]$. Both correspond to topological boundary conditions in $T[Y]$ on $S^1 \times \Sigma$ and, therefore, are objects of $\text{MTC}[Y]$. In the class of examples considered here, they correspond to inserting x -dependent factors

$$x^{2\mu} - x^{-2\mu} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{line operators in } T[Y]. \quad (174)$$

Depending on the conventions, this factor sometimes can be written as $x^\mu - x^{-\mu}$; with this choice of conventions half-integer powers of x appear in $F_K(x; q)$ and in the integral formulae for plumbed manifolds, while some aspects can be more natural, e.g. the denominators in (171)–(172) would involve $\Delta_K(x)$ rather than $\Delta_K(x^2)$.

An alternative way to produce invariants for knot complements is via the regularity in $\frac{1}{r}$ for the invariants of $-\frac{1}{r}$ (“small”) surgeries. As will be discussed in more detail further below, *some* information about complex flat connections on $Y = S^3_{-1/r}(K)$ can be conveniently read off from the A-polynomial of the knot K . For the example, for the trefoil knot, $K = \mathbf{3}_1$, the A-polynomial looks like

$$A(x, y) = (y - 1)(y + x^{-6}). \quad (175)$$

Complex flat connections on a $-\frac{1}{r}$ surgery correspond to the intersection points with $y = x^{1/r}$, modulo the quotient by the Weyl symmetry $(x, y) \sim (x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ and discarding $(x, y) = (-1, -1)$. In our example, from the abelian branch of the A-polynomial, $y - 1 = 0$, we quickly see that there is only one solution: the trivial flat connection with $(x, y) = (1, 1)$. But from the non-abelian branch we have

$$x^{-6 - \frac{1}{r}} = -1. \quad (176)$$

Orbits of the Weyl symmetry can be characterized e.g. by solutions with $\text{Im}(y) < 0$. We quickly find a total of $3r$ irreducible complex flat connections:

$$(x, y) = ((-1)^r \omega^{nr}, -\omega^n), \quad \omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{6r+1}}, \quad (177)$$

with $n = 1, \dots, 3r$. Using a plumbing description of $Y = S^3_{-1/r}(\mathbf{3}_1)$ we obtain

$$I_{\alpha_*}^{\alpha_0}(S^3_{-1/r}(\mathbf{3}_1)) = \Phi_{36r+6}^{(6r-5)}(\tilde{q}) - \Phi_{36r+6}^{(6r+7)}(\tilde{q}) \quad (178)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_p^{(a)}(\tilde{q}) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_p^{(a)}(n) \tilde{q}^{\frac{n^2}{4p}} \in \tilde{q}^{\frac{a^2}{4p}} \mathbb{Z}[[\tilde{q}]], \\ \phi_p^{(a)}(n) &= \begin{cases} (-1)^n, & n \equiv \pm a \pmod{p}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (179)$$

It is a non-trivial fact that (178) can be expressed as

$$I_{\alpha_*}^{\alpha_0}(S^3_{-1/r}(\mathbf{3}_1)) = \mathcal{L}_{1/r} \left[(x^{\frac{1}{r}} - x^{-\frac{1}{r}}) F_{\mathbf{3}_1}^{(1,1)}(x, \tilde{q}) \right] \quad (180)$$

with the above $F_{\mathbf{3}_1}^{(1,1)}(x, \tilde{q})$, thus providing yet another way to determine $F_{\mathbf{3}_1}^{(1,1)}(x, \tilde{q})$. In other words, it says that the behavior in $1/r$ exhibits regularity that can be encoded in the x -dependence of this two-variable series.

To summarize, even though we do not expect I_β^α to form a TQFT — after all, there isn't even a set of TQFT rules for the set of labels α and β — we find a lot of structure and regularity with respect to cutting and gluing at least for some α and β . Therefore, a natural problem for future work is to generalize these surgery formulae to more general classes of complex flat connections and to other types of knots and links.

3 Flat connections at infinity

We devote this section to a peculiar phenomenon that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been observed in the literature on Chern-Simons theory. The phenomenon can only arise in complex Chern-Simons theory, where moduli spaces are non-compact and have infinite asymptotic ends. What can happen is that flat connections at such infinite distance regions may still have finite action and, more importantly, show up on the Borel plane¹³.

We should note from the outset that there can be various formulations of the problem where such “flat connections at infinity” do not contribute and do not play a role. For example, they are not visible to the sheaf-theoretic model for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Floer homology introduced by Abouzaid and Manolescu [62]. In our computations of the Stokes coefficients, though, such flat connections at infinity do show up and do play a role.

One implication of the discussion below is that it provides a counterexample to the folklore belief — sometimes stated as a conjecture — that the only singularities on the Borel plane in Chern-Simons theory correspond to complex flat connections.

Definition: We call a complex flat connection A_∞ a *flat connection at infinity* if there exists a family, $\{A_{1/\epsilon}\}$, of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -valued connections on Y parametrized by ϵ , such that the following two conditions are satisfied:

- all components of the curvature of $A_{1/\epsilon}$ approach zero as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, while this limit is singular for $A_{1/\epsilon}$ itself, and
- the values $\text{CS}(A_{1/\epsilon})$ have a well-defined limit, denoted $\text{CS}(A_\infty)$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Here, $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ can go to ∞ either continuously or via an infinite discrete set of values. In other words, in this definition we allow the infinite family $\{A_{1/\epsilon}\}$ to be either discrete or continuous.

Since, unlike its curvature, the gauge connection is not a gauge-invariant object, this requires some care. In particular, the presence of $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ terms in the gauge connection might not mean that it is necessarily singular if one could remove these terms by a gauge transformation. However, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ such gauge transformations would require larger and larger elements of the

¹³A simple toy model for this phenomenon can be provided by a finite-dimensional integral $\int dx dy dz e^{ikS(x,y,z)}$ with $S(x, y, z) = y^2/2 - xyz + z - z^2/2$. The function S (if considered as $\mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$) has a unique critical point at $x = y = 0, z = 1$ with the critical value $1/2$. However, the Borel transform of the perturbative expansion around it has also a singularity corresponding to the critical value 0 . Its origin is the critical point at infinity with $z = 0, y = \epsilon, x = 1/\epsilon, S = \epsilon^2/2$, in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

complex gauge group, which in the limit would mean that all components of A_∞ can be made finite by an infinite gauge transformation. In practice, we will see that $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -valued holonomies of $A_{1/\epsilon}$ contain unipotent elements proportional to ϵ^{-1} . For example, when $Y = S_p^3(K)$ is presented as a surgery on a knot K , one can consider a family of connections, such that the holonomy of the meridian is unipotent ($\epsilon \neq 0$):

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (181)$$

Below, we use this ansatz to search for flat connections at infinity for various knots and for $p = 0, \pm 1$. Note, (181) implies that the diagonal part of the meridian holonomy is $x = 1$. And, from the surgery relation $y = x^p$ we learn that the diagonal part of the longitude holonomy is also $y = 1$ for $p = \pm 1$. Therefore, a simple necessary criterion for $Y = S_{\pm 1}^3(K)$ to admit a flat connection at infinity of the form (181) is that the non-abelian part of the A-polynomial of the knot K satisfies

$$A_K^{\text{irred}}(x, y)|_{x=1, y=1} = 0. \quad (182)$$

By inspection, we find that the condition (182) is rarely satisfied among low-crossing prime knots, but is fairly common for composite knots or prime knots with larger crossing numbers.

While flat connections at infinity are a very interesting phenomenon, we have no other method of identifying them besides a direct search in each case. Just like the Borel plane can serve as a useful indicator of flat connections at infinity, it would be helpful to develop new variants of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Casson invariant that count flat connections at infinity as well,¹⁴ something akin to the expression for the ordinary Casson invariant $\lambda(Y)$ in terms of the plumbing data:

$$-\frac{24}{|H|} \lambda(Y) = \sum_j e_j + 3s + \sum_j (2 - \delta_j)(B^{-1})_{jj}. \quad (183)$$

Note, only when the group is complex, this subtle phenomenon is possible. It is also directly related to the inherent non-compactness of moduli spaces for surfaces and 3-manifolds with $b_1(Y) > 0$.

3.1 Surgeries on knots

To describe complex flat connections, including flat connections at infinity, we need a fairly explicit description of $\pi_1(Y)$. And, if Y is a result of a surgery on a knot (or link) K , it means that we need the fundamental group of the knot complement as a starting point. The Wirtinger presentation of $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ associates generators to arcs in the planar diagram of K and relations to crossings. For a general knot or link K , this quickly becomes intractable as the crossing number of K grows. However, for particular families of knots and links $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ may still have a small number of generators and a small number of relations; as the complexity of K grows within such a family, it affects the length of relations, but not their number.

This is precisely what happens in families of twist knots and torus knots from which we build some of the examples below.

¹⁴See e.g. [2, 62–64] for prior work, though none of these variants accounts for flat connections at infinity.

The granny knot

Based on the observation summarized below (182) it is natural to start with composite knots. In general, under the connected sum operation,

$$K = K_1 \# K_2 \quad (184)$$

the meridians of K_1 and K_2 are identified, whereas the longitude of K is a product of the longitudes of K_1 and K_2 . As a result, it follows that the A-polynomial of K is divisible by $A(K_1)A(K_2)/(x-1) = (x-1)A_{K_1}^{\text{irred}}A_{K_2}^{\text{irred}}$. In particular, A_K^{irred} is divisible by $A_{K_1}^{\text{irred}}A_{K_2}^{\text{irred}}$.

The simplest examples of such knots are connected sums of left- and right-handed trefoil, namely the square (reef) knot $\mathbf{3}_1^r \# \mathbf{3}_1^\ell$ and the granny knot $\mathbf{3}_1^r \# \mathbf{3}_1^r$. We have $A_{\mathbf{3}_1^r}^{\text{irred}} = y + x^{-6}$ and $A_{\mathbf{3}_1^\ell}^{\text{irred}} = y + x^6$, cf. (175). One can easily see that the suitable products of these factors divide the A-polynomial of the square knot

$$A_{\mathbf{3}_1^r \# \mathbf{3}_1^\ell}^{\text{irred}} = (y-1)(y+x^6)(y+x^{-6}) \quad (185)$$

and the A-polynomial of the granny knot

$$A_{\mathbf{3}_1^r \# \mathbf{3}_1^r}^{\text{irred}} = (y+x^{-6})^2(y-x^{-12}). \quad (186)$$

We see that both meet the condition (182). In fact, one can understand in detail how this happens. Both $\mathbf{3}_1^r$ and $\mathbf{3}_1^\ell$ have polynomial factors $A^{\text{irred}}(x, y)$ that vanish at $(x, y) = (1, -1)$,


$$A^{\text{irred}}(x, y)|_{x=1, y=-1} = 0. \quad (187)$$

Since the longitudes are multiplied under the operation of connected sum, whereas meridians are identified, the condition (187) at the level of constituent knot is sufficient for the condition (182) at the level of the connected sum. This suggests that, in the case of composite knots (184), one strategy for searching for flat connections at infinity could be based on finding flat connections at infinity for the constituents, with the meridian and longitude holonomies of the form


$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & * \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (188)$$

where the choice of sign has to be the same for both K_1 and K_2 .

As we already mentioned earlier, surgeries on torus knots and on their connected sums can be represented by plumbing graphs. For example, small surgeries on the granny knot, $S_{-1/r}^3(\mathbf{3}_1 \# \mathbf{3}_1)$, have the plumbing graph



In particular, $S_{-1}^3(\mathbf{3}_1\#\mathbf{3}_1)$ is equivalent to the following plumbed manifold



The Borel plane for this example shows singularities at Chern-Simons values $\xi_* = -1/12 \pmod 1$ that do not correspond to a flat connection in the traditional sense, with finite values of its holonomies. Rather, it can be obtained as a limit of non-flat complex connections on $S_{-1}^3(\mathbf{3}_1\#\mathbf{3}_1)$ with -1 diagonal values of the trefoil longitude in (188). In the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ the connection becomes flat, but since some elements of the holonomies go to infinity, as $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, we call these flat connections at infinity.

In the case of $S_{-1}^3(\mathbf{3}_1\#\mathbf{3}_1)$ there is only one such flat connection at infinity. However, this example can be generalized in a number of different ways. For instance, one can consider surgeries on (184) where K_i , $i = 1, 2$, are torus knots or twist knots. The latter case will be considered in more detail later, while here we comment on the splicing of torus knots. As was noted earlier, they can be represented by plumbing graphs with trivalent vertices. According to the result of [65], a surgery on a composite knot $K = T_{m_1, n_1} \# T_{m_2, n_2}$ gives a Seifert manifold if and only if the surgery is integral, with the coefficient

$$p = m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2.$$

Moreover, in this case the Seifert manifold has four singular fibers of order m_1 , n_1 , m_2 , and n_2 . This agrees with (and can be understood via) the above splicing of the torus knots. For example, a generalization of 1-surgery to a connected sum of arbitrary torus knots has vertex labeled by $p - (m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2) = 1 - (m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2)$ in the middle. When this number vanishes, by the standard Kirby-Neumann move, we can pull the two trivalent vertices of the H-shaped graph together, to form a valency-4 vertex. (See the lower panel of Figure 10 in [66].) Under this move, the framing coefficients a_1 and a_2 of the two trivalent vertices add up to $a_1 + a_2$, which becomes the label of the new valency-4 vertex.

Connected sums of twist knots

The trefoil knot $\mathbf{3}_1$ is the first non-trivial knot in an infinite family of twist knots K_n . (Namely, $\mathbf{3}_1 = K_1$.) What makes this family particularly interesting for our analysis here is that the knot group has a very simple presentation.

From the Wirtinger presentation one finds that the knot group $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K_n)$ is generated by two elements, a and b , which satisfy

$$(ba^{-1}b^{-1}a)^n a = b(ba^{-1}b^{-1}a)^n \quad (191)$$

for K_n , and

$$(ab^{-1}a^{-1}b)^n a = b(ab^{-1}a^{-1}b)^n \quad (192)$$

for \bar{K}_{-n} . In either case, $\mathcal{X} = a$ is the meridian and the longitude in the former case is $\mathcal{Y} = (ab^{-1}a^{-1}b)^n(ba^{-1}b^{-1}a)^n$ and in the latter case is $\mathcal{Y} = (ba^{-1}b^{-1}a)^n(ab^{-1}a^{-1}b)^n$. We look for solutions with

$$\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (193)$$

such that the longitude holonomy \mathcal{Y} contains terms linear in ϵ^{-1} . For all n , i.e. for the entire family of twist knots, the solutions are of the form

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ \frac{c}{\epsilon} & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & * \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (194)$$

where “*” represent expressions regular in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This form of the ansatz is motivated by looking at the A-polynomials of twist knots. Recall, that depending on which of the conditions (182) or (187) is satisfied for a given knot, it makes sense to search for solutions (188) with diagonal values of the longitude equal to +1 or -1, respectively. (When both conditions are satisfied, there can be solutions of both types; we will see such an example below.)




Figure 16: Twist knots K_p .

In particular, in the above we already analyzed the trefoil knot and observed that its A-polynomial satisfies (187) but not (182). The same is true for other examples of twist knots, e.g. for the figure-8 knot $\mathbf{4}_1 = K_{-1}$ and for the tweeny knot $\mathbf{5}_2 = K_2$. These knots have A-polynomials

$$A_{\mathbf{4}_1}^{\text{irred}}(x, y) = -x^4 + (1 - x^2 - 2x^4 - x^6 + x^8)y - x^4y^2 \quad (195)$$

and

$$A_{\mathbf{5}_2}^{\text{irred}}(x, y) = x^{14} + (x^4 - x^6 + 2x^{10} + 2x^{12} - x^{14})y + (-1 + 2x^2 + 2x^4 - x^8 + x^{10})y^2 + y^3 \quad (196)$$

respectively. And, it is easy to verify that they satisfy the condition (187) but not (182). Therefore, to search for flat connections at infinity, we use the ansatz (188) with longitude

diagonal elements -1 . Indeed, we find that there are multiple such solutions, with the total number of solutions growing linearly with $|n|$ for twist knots K_n . Specifically, for the figure-8 knot $K_{-1} = \mathbf{4}_1$ we find two Galois conjugate solutions of the form (193)–(194). For the $K_2 = \mathbf{5}_2$ knot, there are three such solutions with values of the constant C in (194) given by roots of the cubic polynomial

$$1 + 2C + C^2 + C^3 = 0. \quad (197)$$

And for the twist knot $K_{-2} = \mathbf{6}_1$ we find a total of 4 solutions of the form (193)–(194). Therefore, by taking a connected sum of two twist knots, $K_m \# K_n$, and performing ± 1 surgery,

$$S_{\pm 1}^3(K_m \# K_n) \quad (198)$$

we can construct a large infinite family of 3-manifolds that have many flat connections at infinity. Specifically, for a twist knot K_n the number of solutions described here is expected to be $2n - 1$ (resp. $2|n|$) for positive (resp. negative) values of n , and the total number of flat connections at infinity is a product of two such numbers, one for each knot in (198).

Surgery on the pretzel knot $P(-2, 3, 7)$

In all of the above examples we found flat connections at infinity on 3-manifolds produced via ± 1 surgeries on composite knots. This invites an obvious question:

Question: Is there a prime knot K , such that some surgery on K admits flat connections at infinity?

Below we answer this question in the affirmative by explicitly constructing flat connections at infinity on a surgery on the pretzel knot $P(-2, 3, 7) = \mathbf{12n242}$. The irreducible component of the A-polynomial for this knot has the form

$$A_{\mathbf{12n242}}^{\text{irred}}(x, y) = 1 - x^{16}y + 2x^{18}y - x^{20}y - 2x^{36}y^2 - x^{38}y^2 + x^{72}y^4 + 2x^{74}y^4 + x^{90}y^5 - 2x^{92}y^5 + x^{94}y^5 - x^{110}y^6. \quad (199)$$

It is easy to verify that it satisfies *both* conditions (182) and (187). This means that we should expect solutions of the form

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & * \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (200)$$

with both $+1$ and -1 diagonal values of the longitude holonomy. In order to construct the explicit solutions we need to write down the knot group.

Although the knot $P(-2, 3, 7) = \mathbf{12n242}$ is pretty complicated in terms of the crossing number, its fundamental group has the same structure as that of twist knots: it has two generators and only one relation. Denoting the generators by a and b , the relation is

$$a^2 b^{-1} a^2 = b^2 a b^2. \quad (201)$$

In these notations, the meridian and the longitude are

$$x = a^{-1}b^2, \tag{202}$$

$$y = ab^{-1}a^2b^{-1}a^2. \tag{203}$$

As before, we look for solutions to the knot group relation where x and y are both upper triangular (200) and some of the matrix elements of a or b involve ϵ^{-1} and, therefore, diverge in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The solutions that have -1 on the diagonal of the longitude holonomy can be used to produce flat connections at infinity for surgery on the connected sums, just like in the case of twist knots. We find 3 such solutions for the pretzel knot $P(-2, 3, 7)$.

On the other hand, the solutions with both x and y unipotent are more interesting; they can be used to produce flat connections at infinity for surgeries on the pretzel knot $P(-2, 3, 7)$ itself. We find a total of 4 such solutions for the pretzel knot $P(-2, 3, 7) = \mathbf{12n242}$.

It would be interesting to find flat connections at infinity for surgeries on other prime knots, especially with smaller crossing number. By exhaustive search through the list of prime knots with low crossing number, we find that no prime knots with 8 crossings or less satisfy (182). This means that there are no ± 1 surgeries on low-crossing prime knots that have flat connections at infinity, at least constructed via the method described here. It still does not rule out a possibility that flat connections at infinity exist on surgeries on such knots with other surgery coefficients, and it would be interesting to look for them. Of particular interest are 0-surgeries that play a special role in complex Chern-Simons theory and in applications to low-dimensional topology (see e.g. [34] for a recent discussion) and it would be extremely helpful to study flat connections at infinity for 0-surgeries on knots. Although at present we do not find any flat connections at infinity on $S_0^3(K)$ for prime knots with 5 crossings or less, we hope to continue this search in the future work.

Among knots with larger crossing number we found that the likelihood of (182) grows with the crossing number. In particular, this condition is obeyed for the following prime knots

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9}_{22}, \mathbf{9}_{25}, \mathbf{9}_{29}, \mathbf{9}_{36}, \mathbf{9}_{37}, \mathbf{9}_{38}, \mathbf{9}_{41}, \mathbf{9}_{42}, \mathbf{9}_{43}, \mathbf{9}_{44}, \mathbf{9}_{45}, \mathbf{9}_{47}, \\ & \mathbf{9}_{48}, \mathbf{9}_{49}, \mathbf{10}_{46}, \mathbf{10}_{47}, \mathbf{10}_{48}, \mathbf{10}_{54}, \mathbf{10}_{61}, \mathbf{10}_{124}, \mathbf{10}_{125}, \mathbf{10}_{126}, \\ & \mathbf{10}_{127}, \mathbf{10}_{128}, \mathbf{10}_{129}, \mathbf{10}_{130}, \mathbf{10}_{131}, \mathbf{10}_{132}, \mathbf{10}_{133}, \mathbf{10}_{134}, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{204}$$

It would be interesting to study more systematically which of these knots admit flat connections at infinity after ± 1 surgery.

4 Categorification

In this section we consider a somewhat naive categorification of the Stokes coefficients for plumbed 3-manifolds in terms of the finite-dimensional model presented in Section 2.5. The basic idea is to categorify the Stokes/monodromy coefficients of the finite-dimensional model (55) via a version of the Fukaya-Seidel category associated to the finite-dimensional integral (30). By categorifying the relation (61), this provides some categorification of the Stokes coefficients m_{β}^{α} and, therefore, of their generating \tilde{q} -series $I_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\tilde{q})$.

It is tempting to conjecture that this categorification should agree with the categorification provided by the hypothetical “Fukaya-Seidel category of CS functional” or, maybe, a more realistic Fueter 1-category of the pair of holomorphic Lagrangians in the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ character variety of a surface corresponding to a Heegaard splitting of the 3-manifold. However, we do not have any direct evidence for it, mainly because concrete computations in the infinite-dimensional setting of Chern-Simons theory or in the Fueter category are currently unavailable. There is only some indirect evidence that somewhat favors this possibility: the invariance of the cateforified Stokes coefficients under the \mathbb{Z} -action — as in (62) for the decategorified version — and the invariance under the Kirby-Neumann moves on the plumbings. Put differently, the categorification proposed in this Section provides *some* homological invariant for a certain class of plumbed 3-manifolds.

Perhaps we should emphasize that it is actually not possible to have a complete equivalence between the Fukaya-Seidel category associated with the finite-dimensional model and that of Chern-Simons functional already on the level of objects. This can be already seen from the fact that, as explained in Section 2.3, only a certain subset of Lefschetz thimbles in Chern-Simons theory is reproduced in the finite-dimensional model. Moreover, a Lefschetz thimble in Chern-Simons theory may correspond to a disjoint union of “thimble” contours in the finite-dimensional model (which, in the case $|H| > 1$, are not related simply by the \mathbb{Z}_2 action $v \rightarrow -v$).

4.1 Categorification of the finite-dimensional model

In our approach to the categorification of the Stokes coefficients both the resulting homology and the underlying complex are considered to be 2-periodic, i.e. the homological grading is \mathbb{Z}_2 . We leave the question of whether it can be canonically lifted to a \mathbb{Z} -graded version out of the scope of this paper. Note that to have a well-defined *absolute* \mathbb{Z} -grading in the Floer homology, one has to specify an additional structure on the Lagrangian submanifolds, namely their *graded lifts* [67, 68]. In our setting Lagrangian submanifolds appear as integration contours and thus a priori only have orientation with no additional structure. This only allows us to define a \mathbb{Z}_2 absolute grading. We also cannot use consistently a *relative* \mathbb{Z} -grading of Floer homology for a pair of Lagrangians in the finite-dimensional model, because in general (and as we will see explicitly in some examples) the categorification of the Stokes coefficients of Chern-Simons theory is obtained by taking a direct sum of Floer homologies of different pairs of Lagrangians. A good news is that the reduction to the 2-periodic version does not lead to infinite-dimensional vector spaces (for a categorification of a given Stokes coefficient). The categorification of the entire \tilde{q} -series $I_\beta^\alpha(\tilde{q})$ is then given by $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector spaces.

The first step of the categorification is the interpretation of the monodromy coefficients $M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ of the finite-dimensional model in terms of signed counts of intersection numbers between pairs of Lagrangians in the space of v_I variables associated to the high-valency vertices:

$$\mathcal{V} := \{v_I \in \mathbb{C}, I \in H \mid v_I \text{ is not a pole/zero of } R(v)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{|H|}. \quad (205)$$

The interpretation will be given by a certain generalized version of the Picard-Lefschetz formula, cf. [10, 69, 70]. The reason for excluding also zeros and not just poles in (205) is




Figure 17: Factors $\lambda_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ (violet), $\check{\lambda}_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}^I$ (orange), and $\hat{\lambda}_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}^I$ (magenta) in the Cartesian product decomposition of contours $\lambda^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, $\check{\lambda}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, and $\hat{\lambda}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, respectively. Here, $\check{\lambda}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ is the contour dual to $\lambda^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ for which the asymptotic slope is infinitesimally shifted in the negative direction relative to $\lambda^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$. The contour $\hat{\lambda}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ is a copy of $\check{\lambda}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ with a rotation in the opposite direction. Top left: the case of $I \in H'$, $\mathfrak{n}_I \geq 0$. Bottom left: the case of $I \in H'$, $\mathfrak{n}_I < 0$. Right: the case of $I \notin H'$ (assuming $v_I = 0$ is not a pole of $R(v)$; otherwise, the contour should be infinitesimally shifted in the negative real direction).

that one can interpret $d^{|H|}v R(v)$ as a holomorphic volume form on \mathcal{V} , see Section 5.2 for more details. In what follows we work under the same assumptions as in Section 2. In particular, we assume the plumbing to be weakly negative-definite.

The relation between the monodromy coefficients and intersection numbers can be seen clearly in terms of the basis in the space of admissible contours which is slightly different from the ‘‘Lefschetz thimble’’ basis $\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ considered in Section 2. We recall that, with our convention, admissible contours $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{|H|}$ are such that when v goes to infinity along the contour, we have $ikS(v) \rightarrow -\infty$ along the real axis. This condition is essentially the same as the condition for a Lagrangian to be ‘‘admissible’’ in the formulation of the Fukaya-Seidel category associated to a holomorphic fibration $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (with S playing the role of the superpotential, ordinarily denoted by W) in terms of the infinitesimal Fukaya category of the total space. The categorification of intersection numbers between the Lagrangians will then be provided by the corresponding Hom-spaces in a version of infinitesimal Fukaya category of \mathcal{V} . We return to the details of Fukaya categories in Section 4.2. Here we provide a purely

combinatorial description of the categorification, where such details do not play a role. It should be also possible to reformulate the categorification in terms of (partially) wrapped Fukaya category of \mathcal{V} or in terms of the original Seidel's formulation of the Fukaya-Seidel category as the Fukaya category of a generic fiber of $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; although we will not attempt to do it in this paper, we present some initial steps in Section 4.2.

We also require the contours of integration to have boundary only at infinity of \mathcal{V} (but not at the poles of $R(v)$). The new basis $\lambda^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ that we wish to use here (shown in violet in Figure 17) is also indexed by pairs $(H' \subset H, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{H'})$. As was the case for the basis $\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, it has a Cartesian product decomposition

$$\lambda^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} = \bigotimes_{I \in H} \lambda_I^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \quad (206)$$

with factors being essentially the same as for $\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, except that all line factors are required to pass through the origins of v_I -planes. The effect of the global monodromy (corresponding the increase of $\arg \frac{1}{k}$ by 2π) on the factors of the λ -contours is also the same as the one for the γ -contours (shown in Figure 8). However, in the present case, in order to express the result in terms of the λ -contours, no further shifts (shown in Figure 9) are needed.

In order to obtain the same monodromy result in terms of the intersection theory, consider first the dual basis $\check{\lambda}_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$, in the sense that

$$(\lambda^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}, \check{\lambda}_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}) = \delta_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{n})} \quad (207)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the intersection pairing, symmetric or skewsymmetric depending on the parity of the dimension. The dual basis is a basis in the space of the contours, dual to the space of the admissible contours. Those are the contours that satisfy an infinitesimally rotated condition at infinity: $ikS \rightarrow -\infty e^{i\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon > 0$ and are instead *relative* to the poles of $R(v)$, i.e. they are allowed to end on them (with the circle contours now becoming contractible). The dual contours $\check{\lambda}_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ can also be decomposed into Cartesian products with factors either being lines (dual to lines) or rays originating from poles (dual to circles), as shown in Figure 17 in orange. Note that the contours dual to γ -contours do not have such a simple factorization and have a more complicated description. This is the reason for using the λ -contours instead of the γ -contours.

One can then consider the deformations $\hat{\lambda}_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ of the dual contours $\check{\lambda}_{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$ corresponding to the infinitesimal rotation at infinity in the opposite direction. Namely, at infinity the deformed contours should satisfy the condition $ikS \rightarrow -\infty e^{i\epsilon}$. They are shown in Figure 17 in magenta. The analogue of the Picard-Lefschetz formula for the monodromy transformation then reads:

$$\Gamma \mapsto \sum_{(K, \mathfrak{m})} (\Gamma, \hat{\lambda}_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}) \lambda^{(K, \mathfrak{m})}. \quad (208)$$

It is easy to see explicitly that the formula also applies to the λ -contours, i.e. works with $\Gamma = \lambda^{(H', \mathfrak{n})}$.

It is, of course, possible to express the λ -contours through the γ -contours:

$$\lambda^{(K, \mathfrak{m})} = \sum_{(L, \mathfrak{p})} E_{(L, \mathfrak{p})}^{(K, \mathfrak{m})} \gamma^{(L, \mathfrak{p})}, \quad (209)$$

with some integers $E_{(L,\mathbb{P})}^{(K,m)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ that can be algorithmically determined by applying the shifts as in Figure 9. Namely, one can start by interpreting the line factors $\lambda_I^{(K,m)}$, $I \in H \setminus K$ as the line factors $\gamma_I^{(\emptyset)}$ and the circle factors $\lambda_I^{(K,m)}$, $I \in K$ simply as the circle contours $\sigma_I^{(m_I)}$. Then one can apply the transformations (54) recursively until the result is a linear combination of γ -contours. The monodromy transformation formula (208) then can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma \mapsto \sum_{(L,\mathbb{P})} \left(\Gamma, \sum_{(K,m)} E_{(L,\mathbb{P})}^{(K,m)} \hat{\lambda}_{(K,m)} \right) \gamma^{(L,\mathbb{P})}. \quad (210)$$

In this form we can interpret

$$\sum_{(K,m)} E_{(L,\mathbb{P})}^{(K,m)} \hat{\lambda}_{(K,m)} = \hat{\gamma}_{(K,m)} \quad (211)$$

as the γ -analogs of the $\hat{\lambda}$ -contours.

From (210) it follows that the monodromy coefficients (55) of the γ -contours can be expressed as:

$$M_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)} = \left(\gamma^{(H',n)}, \sum_{(L,\mathbb{P})} E_{(K,m)}^{(L,\mathbb{P})} \hat{\lambda}_{(L,\mathbb{P})} \right). \quad (212)$$

This, apart from providing an expression suitable for categorification in terms of Fukaya category, also provides an alternative way to calculate the monodromy coefficients.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)} = {}_0\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)} \oplus {}_1\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)}$ be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded complex of vector spaces (over \mathbb{C}) generated by the intersection points $\gamma^{(H',n)} \cap \hat{\lambda}_{(L,\mathbb{P})}$ for all possible (L,\mathbb{P}) counted with multiplicities $E_{(K,m)}^{(L,\mathbb{P})} \in \mathbb{Z}$. More informally one can say that $\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)}$ is generated by $\gamma^{(H',n)} \cap \hat{\gamma}_{(K,m)}$. The grading of a generator is 0 if the intersection point (taking into account the multiplicity) contributes with a positive sign into (212) and is 1 otherwise. The boundary morphism on the complex is the usual Fukaya boundary operator, given by counting holomorphic ‘bigons’ with two edges lying on $\gamma^{(H',n)}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_{(L,\mathbb{P})}$, respectively. Denote by $H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)})$ the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded homology.

By construction we have

$$M_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)} = \dim H_0(\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)}) - \dim H_1(\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)}) = \dim {}_0\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)} - \dim {}_1\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)}. \quad (213)$$

Then one can propose a categorification of the CS monodromy coefficients $m_{(S')}^{(S)}$ by the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(S)}$ obtained by categorifying the relation (61) in the most naive way. In the case when $H' \neq \emptyset$, we have:

$$\mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(S)} := \bigoplus_{(K,m): S_*^{(K,m)} = S'} H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(K,m)}^{(H',n)})[|H| - 1] \otimes \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{\frac{N_{(K,m)}}{N_{(H',n)}}}, & \frac{N_{(K,m)}}{N_{(H',n)}} \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{C}^{-\frac{N_{(K,m)}}{N_{(H',n)}}}[1], & \frac{N_{(K,m)}}{N_{(H',n)}} < 0, \end{cases} \quad (214)$$

where (H', \mathfrak{m}) is such that $S = S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{m})}$ and $[n]$ denotes the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading shift (with a copy of \mathbb{C} assumed to have grading zero by default). Note that by construction $N_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}/N_{(H', \mathfrak{m})} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Section 2). In the case $H' = \emptyset$ (with $S = 0$), one has to take into account the 2-fold overcounting due to the Weyl symmetry (which in the decategorified version (61) has been dealt with by introducing the factor 1/2):

$$\mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(0)} := \bigoplus_{\substack{(K, \mathfrak{m}): S_*^{(K, \mathfrak{m})} = S' \\ \text{single representative} \\ \text{w.r.t. } (K, \mathfrak{m}) \sim (K, -\mathfrak{m})}} H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(\emptyset)}) [|H| - 1] \otimes \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{N_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}}, & N_{(K, \mathfrak{m})} \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{C}^{-N_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}}[1], & N_{(K, \mathfrak{m})} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (215)$$

These vector spaces then can be combined into $(\mathbb{Z} + S - S') \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector spaces that categorify the \tilde{q} -series $I_{(S' \bmod 1)}^{(S \bmod 1)}(\tilde{q})$ (63):

$$\bigoplus_{S' \bmod 1 \text{ is fixed}} \mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(S)} [|S - S'|], \quad (216)$$

where $[\dots]$ denotes the grading corresponding to the \tilde{q} generating variable. Note that in order for $\mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(S)}$ to be well-defined, the total vector space in the right-hand-side of (214), up to an isomorphism, should not depend on the particular choice of (H', \mathfrak{m}) such that $S = S_*^{(H', \mathfrak{m})}$. And for (216) to be a function of S and S' only modulo 1, we must have $\mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(S)} \cong \mathcal{H}_{(S'+f)}^{(S+f)}$, $f \in \mathbb{Z}$. We do not provide a proof of these properties, but we have checked in many examples that they do hold.

The isomorphism class of the doubly-graded vector spaces (216) can be incoded in the respective Poincaré series

$$\mathcal{P}_{(S' \bmod 1)}^{(S \bmod 1)}(\tilde{q}, t) := \sum_{S': S' \bmod 1 \text{ is fixed}} \left(\dim_0 \mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(S)} + t \dim_1 \mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(S)} \right) \tilde{q}^{S-S'} \in \tilde{q}^{S-S'} \mathbb{Z}[[\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}^{-1}]] / (1 - t^2) \quad (217)$$

such that

$$I_{(S' \bmod 1)}^{(S \bmod 1)}(\tilde{q}) = \mathcal{P}_{(S' \bmod 1)}^{(S \bmod 1)}(\tilde{q}, -1). \quad (218)$$

We observe that the homology categorifying an individual monodromy coefficient in the finite-dimensional model turns out to be always concentrated in a single degree, that is:

$$H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{m})}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{m})}}, & M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{m})} \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{C}^{-M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{m})}}[1], & M_{(K, \mathfrak{m})}^{(H', \mathfrak{m})} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (219)$$

Again, we do not provide a general proof of this statement. Nevertheless, as we will see in some examples below, the same is not always true for the vector spaces (214) categorifying the monodromy coefficients in CS.

Let us comment briefly on the topological invariance, i.e. the invariance under the Neumann moves [33]. If we restrict ourselves to the moves that keep the plumbing of the

considered type (weakly negative-definite), we only need to consider blow-up/blow-down moves and 0-chain absorption/extrusion moves (R1 and R3 in loc. cit.). We can also then exclude 0-chain extrusions that increase the number of high-valency vertices, as it makes the matrix C non-invertible. The inverse moves, 0-chain absorptions that decrease the number of high-valency vertices, therefore can be also ignored. Moves that do not introduce or remove high-valency vertices keep $S(v)$ and $R(v)$ unchanged.

It is then left to verify a blow-up move that creates a new high-valency vertex. This move introduces a single new variable associated with the new high-valency vertex. The function $R(v)$ is kept the same (and depends on the old variables only), but $S(v)$ is replaced with $S'(v') = S(v) + u^2$, where $u \in \mathbb{C}$ is a certain linear combination of the new variables $v' \in \mathbb{C}^{|H|+1}$ (which includes the new variable with a non-zero coefficient). In terms of the variables $(v, u) \in \mathbb{C}^{|H|+1}$ the set of γ -contours (“Lefschetz thimbles”) in the new finite-dimensional model is in a canonical one-to-one correspondence with the γ -contours in the old model. Namely, the new γ -contours are given by the products of the old γ -contours with the straight-line contour in the u -plane passing through the origin. The same holds for the λ -contours and their duals. Moreover, the factors of the γ - and λ -contours in the u -plane always have a single intersection point (with multiplicity -1). It is then immediate to see that all the homology groups will remain the same, including the grading (the grading shift by $|H| - 1$ in (214) takes care of the flip of signs of the intersection numbers).

4.1.1 Example 1

Let us first return to the example of the plumbing manifold depicted in Figure 10 considered in Section 2.6. For the monodromy coefficients between pairs of Lefschetz thimbles in CS corresponding to the finite-dimensional thimbles labeled by (H', \mathfrak{n}) and (K, \mathfrak{m}) , such K has only one more element than H' , the categorification does not provide any additional information. In this case the vector spaces $\mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(S)}$ categorifying individual coefficients are concentrated in a single degree, as the sums in (214)–(215) consist of a single term. For example,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\left(\frac{23}{312}\right)}^{(0)}(\tilde{q}, t) = \tilde{q}^{-\frac{23}{312}} (t\tilde{q} + t\tilde{q}^4 + t\tilde{q}^6 + t\tilde{q}^{12} + \tilde{q}^{29} + \tilde{q}^{41} + \tilde{q}^{47} + \tilde{q}^{62} + t\tilde{q}^{96} + t\tilde{q}^{117} + t\tilde{q}^{127} + \dots). \quad (220)$$

The vector space corresponding to each power of \tilde{q} is generated by a single intersection point, with a trivial boundary operator. The intersection point generating the vector space corresponding to the $t\tilde{q}^1$ term is illustrated in Figure 18.

In general, this is not the case: the boundary operator acting on the intersection points is non-trivial and the categorification of the coefficients of \tilde{q} -series is not concentrated in a




Figure 18: A single point in the intersection of $\gamma^{(\emptyset)}$ with $\hat{\gamma}^{\{1\}, -17} = \hat{\lambda}^{\{1\}, -17}$ generating one-dimensional space $\mathcal{H}_{(1-\frac{23}{312})}^{(0)} = H_*(\mathcal{C}_{\{1\}, -17}^{(\emptyset)})[1] = \mathcal{C}_{\{1\}, -17}^{(\emptyset)}[1] \cong \mathbb{C}[1]$ corresponding to the $t\tilde{q}^1$ term in the Poincaré series (220).

single \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree. For example, for the same 3-manifold we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\left(\frac{119}{120}\right)}^{(0)}(\tilde{q}, t) = & \\ & \tilde{q}^{-\frac{119}{120}} (\tilde{q}^2 + t\tilde{q}^3 + t\tilde{q}^{11} + \tilde{q}^{13} + t\tilde{q}^{19} + \tilde{q}^{20} + t\tilde{q}^{33} + \tilde{q}^{35} + (1+t)\tilde{q}^{42} \\ & + \tilde{q}^{57} + \tilde{q}^{62} + t\tilde{q}^{63} + \tilde{q}^{69} + t\tilde{q}^{78} + \tilde{q}^{80} + t\tilde{q}^{89} + t\tilde{q}^{94} + t\tilde{q}^{106} + t\tilde{q}^{107} \\ & + t\tilde{q}^{116} + \tilde{q}^{118} + t\tilde{q}^{123} + t\tilde{q}^{126} + \tilde{q}^{134} + t\tilde{q}^{137} + t\tilde{q}^{148} + \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (221)$$

The intersection points generating the complexes relevant for the $t\tilde{q}^3$ term are illustrated in Figure 19.

4.1.2 Example 2

To illustrate the independence of various choices made in the process of defining the vector spaces (216) consider a slightly more involved example of plumbing, shown in Figure 20. The 3-manifold can be equivalently realized as $-1/2$ -surgery on the connected sum of a trefoil and $T(4, 3)$ torus knot, much as in the examples of Section 3.

Consider Poincaré series of the vector space categorifying Stokes coefficients of jumps of the CS theory thimble with critical CS value $\frac{311}{312} \bmod 1$ by thimbles with CS values $\frac{5}{16} \bmod 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\left(\frac{5}{16}\right)}^{\left(\frac{311}{312}\right)}(\tilde{q}, t) = & \\ & \tilde{q}^{\frac{427}{624}} (\tilde{q} + t\tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^3 + \tilde{q}^4 + t\tilde{q}^8 + \tilde{q}^9 + t\tilde{q}^{13} + \tilde{q}^{15} + 2\tilde{q}^{16} + 2t\tilde{q}^{18} + (1+t)\tilde{q}^{19} + \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (222)$$

The vector space corresponding to the \tilde{q}^3 term can be realized as $\mathcal{H}_{\left(-\frac{1}{16}\right)}^{\left(-\frac{1}{312}\right)}$ or as $\mathcal{H}_{\left(-\frac{91}{16}\right)}^{\left(-\frac{625}{312}\right)}$. In fact, there is an infinite number of ways to realize it. In the first case, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\left(-\frac{1}{16}\right)}^{\left(-\frac{1}{312}\right)} = H_*(\mathcal{C}_{\{1,2,3\}, (-1, -1, -1)})[1] \oplus H_*(\mathcal{C}_{\{1,2,3\}, (-1, -1, -23)})[1] \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus 0. \quad (223)$$

The three intersection points that generate the relevant complexes are shown in Figure 21. In the second case, we have an isomorphic result:




Figure 19: The two complexes contributing to the vector space $\mathcal{H}_{(3-\frac{119}{120})}^{(0)} = H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(\{1,2\},(-25,-29))}^{(\emptyset)})[1] \oplus H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(\{1,2\},(-7,-11))}^{(\emptyset)})[1] \cong \mathbb{C}[1] \oplus 0$ corresponding to the $t\tilde{q}^3$ term in the Poincaré series (221). The complexes are generated by $\gamma^{(\emptyset)} \cap \hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2\},(-25,-29))}$ and $\gamma^{(\emptyset)} \cap \hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2\},(-7,-11))}$ respectively, where $\hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2\},(-25,-29))} = \hat{\lambda}_{(\{1,2\},(-25,-29))}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2\},(-7,-11))} = \hat{\lambda}_{(\{1,2\},(-7,-11))} - \hat{\lambda}_{(\{2\},-11)}$. The first complex, $\mathcal{C}_{(\{1,2\},(-25,-29))}^{(\emptyset)}$ consists of a single intersection point, with a trivial boundary operator. In the second complex, $\mathcal{C}_{(\{1,2\},(-7,-11))}^{(\emptyset)}$, using that $\hat{\lambda}_{(\{1,2\},(-7,-11))}^2 = \hat{\lambda}_{(\{2\},-11)}^2$, one can combine the contours in the first plane together, as shown on the bottom. The shaded region depicts a holomorphic disk resulting in the boundary operator relating the two intersection points, rendering the homology trivial.




Figure 20: An example of a weakly negative-definite plumbed integer homology sphere. The variables $v_{1,2,3}$ corresponding to the high-valency vertices are shown in blue.




Figure 21: The two complexes contributing to the vector space $\mathcal{H}_{(-\frac{1}{16})}^{(-\frac{1}{312})} = H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(\{1,2,3\},(-1,-1,-1))}^{(\{1\},-1)})[1] \oplus H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(\{1,2,3\},(-1,-1,-23))}^{(\{1\},-1)})[1] \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus 0$ corresponding to the \tilde{q}^3 term in the Poincaré series (222). The complexes are generated by $\gamma^{(\{1\},-1)} \cap \hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2,3\},(-1,-1,-1))}$ and $\gamma^{(\{1\},-1)} \cap \hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2,3\},(-1,-1,-23))}$ respectively. For the first complex, we have $\hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2,3\},(-1,-1,-1))} = \hat{\lambda}_{(\{1,2,3\},(-1,-1,-1))} - \hat{\lambda}_{(\{1,2\},(-1,-1))} - \hat{\lambda}_{(\{2,3\},(-1,-1))} + \hat{\lambda}_{(\{2\},-1)}$ where only the second term has a non-trivial intersection with $\gamma^{(\{1\},-1)}$. For the second complex, we have $\hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2,3\},(-1,-1,-23))} = \hat{\lambda}_{(\{1,2,3\},(-1,-1,-23))} - \hat{\lambda}_{(\{1,3\},(-1,-23))} - \hat{\lambda}_{(\{2,3\},(-1,-23))} + \hat{\lambda}_{(\{3\},-23)}$ where only the first two terms have a non-trivial intersection with $\gamma^{(\{1\},-1)}$. The shaded region represents a holomorphic disk resulting in the boundary operator relating the two intersection points, which is responsible for the homology of the second complex being trivial.




Figure 22: The complex contributing to the vector space $\mathcal{H}_{\left(-\frac{625}{312}, -\frac{91}{16}\right)} = H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(\{1,2,3\}, (-25, -3, -47))}^{\{1\}, -25}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ corresponding to the \tilde{q}^3 term in the Poincaré series (222). The complex is generated by $\gamma^{\{1\}, -25} \cap \hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2,3\}, (-25, -3, -47))}$, with $\hat{\gamma}_{(\{1,2,3\}, (-25, -3, -47))} = \hat{\lambda}_{(\{1,2,3\}, (-25, -3, -47))} - \hat{\lambda}_{(\{1,3\}, (-25, -47))}$ where only the second term has a non-trivial intersection with $\gamma^{\{1\}, -25}$.

$$\mathcal{H}_{\left(-\frac{625}{312}, -\frac{91}{16}\right)} = H_*(\mathcal{C}_{(\{1,2,3\}, (-25, -3, -47))}^{\{1\}, -25})[1] \cong \mathbb{C}. \quad (224)$$

The single intersection point that generates the relevant complexes is shown in Figure 22.

4.2 Related perspectives

Although Fukaya categories are an active research area, their mathematical formulation is reasonably well developed when the target space is smooth and compact. In applications to Chern-Simons theory, even if we replace the infinite-dimensional space of complex gauge connections by a finite-dimensional model, the non-compactness (and, depending on the model, singularities) still requires attention and leads to alternative variants of the Fukaya category.

In the above we considered a finite-dimensional model based on the non-compact target space \mathcal{V} and the potential function $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. There are several mathematical ways to approach this problem. First, one can study it directly [71, 72], as a Landau-Ginzburg model of a pair (\mathcal{V}, S) . Objects of the resulting category $\text{FS}(\mathcal{V}, S)$ are supported on Lagrangian submanifolds in \mathcal{V} that, outside of a compact subset, under S project to $\frac{1}{ik}\mathbb{R}_+ + \text{const} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Alternatively, one can encode the information about the potential function S in the “stop”:

$$\mathfrak{f} := S^{-1}(-\infty) \quad (225)$$

and consider a Fukaya category of \mathcal{V} where Lagrangian submanifolds are required to have a certain asymptotic behavior relative to the stop \mathfrak{f} . This also involves choices that lead to different versions of what one means by the “Fukaya category of $(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f})$.” When Lagrangian submanifolds are required to avoid the stop [73, 74] we obtain a suitable partially wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f})$, whereas in the infinitesimal Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}_{\text{inf}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f})$ Lagrangian submanifolds are required to tend to the stop at infinity [75].¹⁵ A categorification of the finite-dimensional model proposed in section 4.1 was essentially based on the infinitesimal

¹⁵In mirror symmetry, a choice of stop roughly corresponds to a choice of the compactification in the mirror B-model.

Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}_{\text{inf}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f})$. In this subsection, we describe its alternative formulations, including $\text{FS}(\mathcal{V}, S)$ and $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f})$, which are all expected to be closely related:

$$\text{FS}(\mathcal{V}, S) \subseteq \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f}) \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{\text{inf}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f}). \quad (226)$$

In addition, following Seidel, one can consider the directed category of vanishing cycles, i.e. the Fukaya category of a smooth fiber of $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. (See also [76, 77].) This version works best in the setting of Lefschetz fibrations with a given choice of Lefschetz thimbles, which luckily happens to be the case of our interest.

In the partially wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f})$, the Hom-space between two Lagrangians L_1 and L_2 that avoid \mathfrak{f} is defined to be the limit of the symplectic Floer homology of (L_1^+, L_2) , where L_1^+ is obtained from L_1 by applying a “positive” Hamiltonian isotopy. Equivalently, one can apply a negative Hamiltonian isotopy to L_2 , or apply both transformations to L_1 and L_2 . For example, with this definition it is easy to see that self-Homs of a Lagrangian object $L = \{\mathbb{R} \times \text{pt}\}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ with a linear potential give $\text{Hom}(L, L) = \mathbb{C}[x]$, in agreement with mirror symmetry that relates it to the category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{C} . See Figure 23.




Figure 23: An illustration of wrapping in a simple example of $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. A stop $\mathfrak{f} = \bullet$ is shown in red. The core is shown in green and the cocore $L = \{\mathbb{R} \times \text{pt}\}$ is shown in blue. The wrapped Fukaya category is generated by L and, to compute morphisms, one needs to wrap L until it runs to a stop (as shown in magenta).

This simple example provides a good opportunity to illustrate an important difference between $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{inf}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{f})$ that we swept under the rug so far. Starting on the mirror side, there is clearly a difference between $\text{Coh}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\text{Coh}_{\text{cpct}}(\mathbb{C})$. Mirror symmetry relates these two versions of the category of coherent sheaves to $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}^*, x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{inf}}(\mathbb{C}^*, x)$, respectively. Therefore, depending on the needs of a given problem, one must select the appropriate version accordingly. The former version, $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}^*, x)$, is useful for accommodating infinite-dimensional spaces of morphisms that require infinitely many intersections.

When the target space is a Weinstein (exact symplectic) manifold, the partially wrapped Fukaya category is generated by cocores. And, in the presence of stops, one must also include linking disks (that can be thought of as cocores transverse to the cores that end on stops), so that altogether the partially wrapped Fukaya category is generated by cocores and linking disks [78, 79]. Stop removal corresponds to the quotient by cocores / linking disks.¹⁶

¹⁶Linking disks play an important role in knot-quiver correspondence [80], the geometry of which may have multiple connections to the subject of the present paper. We also point out that wrapped Fukaya categories of the type similar to the one studied here appear in recent works [81] and [82] on bordered Heegaard Floer homology. It would be interesting to explore all such connections further.

We remind that a core (Lagrangian skeleton) of a Weinstein manifold \mathcal{V} is the union of stable manifolds for the flow of the associated Liouville vector field ξ , where $\iota_\xi\omega = \theta$ is a Liouville form associated with the symplectic form ω :

$$\text{Skel}(\mathcal{V}, \theta) = \text{core}_\xi(\mathcal{V}). \quad (227)$$

Its tubular neighborhood encodes the symplectic topology of the ambient exact symplectic manifold. Locally, the Hamiltonian H can be defined as the half distance squared from $\text{core}_\xi(\mathcal{V})$, and the retraction $\pi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{core}_\xi(\mathcal{V})$, induced by the metric gradient of H , has smooth Lagrangian fibers over generic points of $\text{core}_\xi(\mathcal{V})$. The non-compact ends of the skeleton play the role of stops since they represent directions along which wrapping is not allowed. Therefore, a natural generalization of a skeleton (core) of (\mathcal{V}, θ) equipped with a stop \mathfrak{f} is the union with the “conicalization” of the stop:

$$\text{core}_\xi(\mathcal{V}) \cup \{x \in \mathcal{V} \mid \phi_\xi^t(x) \in \mathfrak{f} \text{ for some } t\} \quad (228)$$

and admissible Lagrangian submanifolds must avoid it outside a compact set. Here, ϕ_ξ^t denotes a finite- t transformation generated by vector field ξ .




Figure 24: Another illustration of the core (shown in green) and cocores (shown in blue) for a 3-punctured sphere.

Note, in this general framework, a stop can be either a Weinstein hypersurface $F \subset \partial_\infty\mathcal{V}$ or a Legendrian submanifold $\Lambda \subset \partial_\infty\mathcal{V}$, cf. [83]. The relation between these two versions is

$$\Lambda = \text{core}_\xi(F) \quad (229)$$

and the following equivalence holds [79]:

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{V}, F) \cong \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{V}, \text{core}(F)). \quad (230)$$

Thanks to this equivalence, we can use the two versions of the “stop” interchangeably, sometimes referring to a Legendrian submanifold of the contact manifold $(\partial_\infty\mathcal{V}, \theta)$ and sometimes to a Weinstein hypersurface at infinity in \mathcal{V} .




Figure 25: The core (shown in green) and cocores (shown in blue and magenta) for a complex v -plane with an infinite set of punctures (points removed).

For a generic point x that belongs to mid-dimensional strata of $\text{core}_\xi(\mathcal{V})$ or, more generally, to (228), the cocore is

$$U_x := \{y \in \mathcal{V} \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \phi_v^t(y) = x\}. \quad (231)$$

In the simple example above, illustrated in Figure 23, $\{0\} \times S^1$ is the core of $T^*S^1 = \mathbb{R} \times S^1$, and $L = \mathbb{R} \times \{\text{pt}\}$ is the cocore. A more interesting example of a 3-punctured sphere is shown in Figure 24. Here, the core consists of three components (two circles connected by an interval), and there are three corresponding cocores. This example can be generalized further to a sphere (or a complex line \mathbb{C}) with an infinite set of points removed, say at all integer values, $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. This generalization can be viewed as a limit of the wrapped Fukaya categories studied in [84] and describes a model very close to our needs; it supports an infinite collection of cocores illustrated in Figure 25.

An important property of the partially wrapped Fukaya category is that it is multiplicative under the product operation on target spaces (equipped with stops). This has direct relevance to our target space \mathcal{V} defined as the product over high-valency vertices, with a collection of planes removed:

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ v_I \in \mathbb{C}, I \in H \mid v_I \text{ is not a pole/zero of } R(v) = \frac{\prod_{a \in L} \sin \frac{\pi v_h(a)}{P_a}}{\prod_{I \in H} (\sin \pi v_I)^{\deg(I)-2}} \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{|H|}.$$

Due to this factorization structure, the Fukaya category for the problem at hand is generated

by products of Lagrangian objects in each v_I -plane, making them easy to classify. This, in turn, leads to the product structure in (206), modeling $\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})} = \times_{I \in H} \gamma_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$. Moreover, our target space \mathcal{V} comes equipped with a quadratic potential function $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which determines the stop(s) and the behavior of Lagrangians at infinity. If \mathcal{V} was a single complex plane parametrized by v , there would be two stops restricting the wrapping of Lagrangian objects. With multiple v_I 's, the stop is connected, but still plays a similar role, restricting the wrapping and determining the directionality of Lagrangians in a way that agrees with what was illustrated in Figure 17.

Comparing to Figure 17, it is easy to see that cocores in Figure 25 indeed correspond to basic building blocks of the purely combinatorial model: $\lambda_I^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$, $\check{\lambda}_{(H',\mathfrak{n})}^I$, and $\hat{\lambda}_{(H',\mathfrak{n})}^I$. There are a few delicate points, though, that one should keep in mind. One subtlety is whether Hom-spaces $\mathcal{H}_{(S')}^{(S)}$ should be finite-dimensional or not when $S = S'$. From the perspective of the wrapped Fukaya category, infinite-dimensional spaces are more natural because the only stops are at infinity and nothing prevents wrapping near boundary components at finite values of v_I . Another subtle point is the grading assignment, cf. [77, 84].

5 Hemisphere partition function interpretation

In this section we show how one can formally interpret the integrals

$$\int_{\gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}} d^{|H|}v R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} \quad (232)$$

of finite-dimensional model, that appeared in Section 2, as a hemisphere partition function of 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ theories [85], both B-twisted with A-type boundary conditions and A-twisted with B-type boundary conditions. We also comment on why the two interpretations *should not* be understood as mirror to each other. We provide interpretations using the notations of [85, 86] and point out similarities (and differences) to other candidates for finite-dimensional models where $\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}(G_{\mathbb{C}}, Y)$ is realized as (part of) the critical set, $\text{Crit}(W)$, of a superpotential function $W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

5.1 B-twist with A-type boundary conditions

Up to a simple overall factor (a power of the hemisphere radius and the UV cutoff parameter), the integral (232) can be interpreted as the partition function preserving $B_{(+)}^{\frac{\arg k}{2}}$ -type supersymmetry on a hemisphere of radius $r = |k|$ of Landau-Ginzburg model with the target (205) equipped with the holomorphic volume form $\Omega = d^{|H|}v R(v)$ and the superpotential $W(v) = -S(v)$. The boundary condition should be considered as A-type, given by the Lagrangian submanifold $L_+ = \gamma^{(H',\mathfrak{n})}$.

As usual, the fact that A-type boundary conditions appear in the B-model comes from the topological twist and has to do with the curvature of the underlying geometry, namely the hemisphere in our problem at hand. If we instead consider a cylinder $S^1 \times [0, 1]$ with a flat metric, the topological twist will have the same effect in all parts of the geometry and we




Figure 26: Two-dimensional topological theory realization of the Stokes coefficients.

instead obtain A-type supersymmetry preserved in the bulk and at the boundary. If, moreover, we choose the boundary conditions labeled by complex flat connections (including, possibly, flat connections at infinity), then this setup, illustrated in Figure 26, will be precisely a physical realization of (14). This A-model setup has a natural categorification, which corresponds to replacing S^1 with \mathbb{R} and leads to the categorification of the Stokes coefficients proposed in section 4.1. In other words, it is the A-model on a strip, $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ with target space (205) and the superpotential $S : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, much like the prototypical examples considered in [86].




Figure 27: Three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory on $T^2 \times [0, 1]$ with a partial A-model twist along $S^1 \times [0, 1]$.

It is natural to ask how this physical setup compares to other finite-dimensional models, in particular to the effective 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory $T[Y]$ on S^1 or to 6d $(0, 2)$ theory on $S^1 \times Y$. While the latter two are equivalent, and lead to a 2d theory with $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ supersymmetry very similar¹⁷ to the one discussed here, they are *not* the same as the A-model studied in this paper. One crucial difference is that the low-energy fields in 3d-3d correspondence (or in its 6d origin) are essentially holonomies of the complex flat connection in a surgery presentation of Y (cf. Section 3), or in a Heegaard decomposition of Y , or in other ways of constructing Y . In the simplest non-trivial case of $G_{\mathbb{C}} = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, this leads to various finite-dimensional models of complex (a.k.a. analytically continued) Chern-Simons theory where the target space X contains numerous \mathbb{C}^* factors, which play an important role in reproducing generalized symmetries of the 6d theory on Y and in other aspects of 3d-3d correspondence [87]. In these models, X comes equipped with a potential function W such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}(G_{\mathbb{C}}, Y) \subsetneq \text{Crit}(W). \quad (233)$$

Although our target space here looks similar, with \mathbb{C} factors instead of \mathbb{C}^* , there is no simple relation between spaces \mathcal{V} and X , in particular we can not say that one is a cover of the other.

¹⁷ In particular, the space of vacua of the A-twisted theory $T[Y]$, denoted $K^0(\text{MTC}[Y])$ in [46], also has a basis indexed by α, β , etc. that includes complex flat connections at infinity.

One reason is that, modulo \mathbb{Z} action, an isolated flat connection corresponds to a *single* point in multiplicative (or, K-theoretic) target spaces X that arise in 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories or other string constructions, whereas in general it corresponds to *multiple* points in the finite-dimensional model considered here, based on the target space \mathcal{V} . There is also no localization argument (for $|H| > 1$) that could justify the reduction of the infinite-dimensional gauge theory model to the finite-dimensional model with target \mathcal{V} .

Nevertheless, as explained in Section 2, the Stokes coefficients in the finite-dimensional model of this paper should agree with those in infinite-dimensional QFT setting(s). It is also possible that the A-model considered here produces the same categorification of the Stokes coefficients as 3d theory $T[Y]$ on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times [0, 1]$ with two boundary conditions labeled by α and β . The fact that the space of vacua in (233) is strictly larger than the space of complex flat connections may, in fact, be favorable for accomplishing the equivalence with the A-model of this paper and, in particular, recovering flat connections at infinity (see Section 3 and footnote 17).

5.2 A-twist with B-type boundary conditions

The basic idea that we will follow is to interpret (232) as a partition function on a hemisphere preserving A-type supersymmetry of a GLSM with gauge group $U(1)^H$, so that the integration variables v_I are interpreted as vacuum expectation values of scalars in the vector multiplets. This strategy is frequently used in other applications of 3d-3d correspondence. Then, various factors in $R(v)$, given explicitly by (114), are interpreted as the contributions from charged matter multiplets in the bulk and the boundary Chan-Paton factor. The “action” $S(v)$ plays the role of the twisted superpotential. The boundary condition should be considered to be of B-type. The choice of the contour of integration $\gamma^{(H', \mathfrak{m})}$ can be interpreted as the choice of the boundary conditions on the vector multiplets. However, it is more natural to have instead dependence only on the choice of boundary Chan-Paton vector space, and keep the integration contour fixed, similarly to what was considered in [85]. In our setting we can recast the contour dependence into the dependence on the Chan-Paton factor only when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Such a restriction is not natural from the point of view of analytically continued Chern-Simons theory. Moreover, the resulting Chan-Paton vector spaces depend on the value of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. All this makes the interpretation of the GLSM as a mirror of the LG model considered in Section 5.1 somewhat problematic.

To make the statements above more explicit, consider the case of weakly negative-definite plumbings. In this case, the contours factorize into the contours shown in Figure 7. In each v_I -plane consider straight-line contours

$$\rho_I^{(\mathfrak{m}_I)} = -\frac{1}{2} + \mathfrak{m}_I + \frac{1}{\sqrt{ik}}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_I \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (234)$$

The difference between a pair of such contours is a disjoint union of the contours encircling the poles of $R(v)$ that lie on the real axis between the two contours (cf. Figure 9). Therefore any thimble contour $\gamma_I^{(H', \mathfrak{m})}$ can be realized as an integral linear combination of the contours

$$\rho^{(\mathfrak{m})} = \bigtimes_{I \in H} \rho^{(\mathfrak{m}_I)}. \quad (235)$$

It is then enough to consider integrals over such contours. By a shift of the integration variables they all can be written as an integral over the contour $\rho^{(0)}$:

$$\int_{\rho^{(\mathfrak{m})}} R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} = \int_{\rho^{(0)}} R(v + \mathfrak{m}) e^{2\pi i k S(v + \mathfrak{m})}. \quad (236)$$

Next, choose $b_I \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $I \in H$, such that

$$\frac{b_{h(a)}}{P_a} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall a \in L \quad \text{and} \quad C_{IJ}^{-1} b_J \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall I, J \in H, \quad (237)$$

and assume $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. After a change of integration variables $v_I = 2irb_I\sigma_I$, where $r > 0$ will play the role of the hemisphere radius, the integral (236), up to a k - and \mathfrak{m} -independent constant, can be written in the following form:

$$\int_{\substack{\times \\ I \in H}} d^{|H|} \sigma \frac{e^{2\pi i k r^2 \sum_{I,J} \sigma_I \sigma_J C_{IJ}^{-1} b_I b_J}}{\prod_I (\sinh 2\pi r b_I \sigma_I)^{\deg(I) - 2 + 2g_I}} \left(\sum_i e^{2\pi i r \mathfrak{q}_i^T \sigma} e^{\pi i \mathfrak{r}_i} \right) \quad (238)$$

for some $\mathfrak{q}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^H$, $\mathfrak{r}_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ (possibly repeating). The integral (238) then can be interpreted as a hemisphere partition function of GLSM, such that for each high-valency vertex $I \in H$ we have $U(1)$ gauge group and $\deg(I) - 2 + 2g_I$ hypermultiplets with charge b_I under this group. The model has twisted superpotential

$$\widetilde{W}(\sigma) = kr \sum_{I,J} \sigma_I \sigma_J C_{IJ}^{-1} b_I b_J \quad (239)$$

and the Chan-Paton vector space consisting of the states with $U(1)^H$ and R-symmetry charges \mathfrak{q}_i and \mathfrak{r}_i respectively.

Apart from the issues mentioned earlier, we see a few more. First, the overall coefficient in the twisted superpotential $\widetilde{W}(\sigma)$ depends on the radius. Another important issue is that increasing the genus g_I contributes two ordinary (\mathbb{C}^2 valued) hypermultiplets, whereas from compactification of 6d theory on Y we expect $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ valued hypermultiplets [87]. The overall number of scalar 0-modes agrees, but the distinction between periodic (circle-valued) and non-compact (\mathbb{R} -valued) scalars is crucial for reproducing correctly the generalized global symmetries of the 3d theory $T[Y]$. This suggests that one should consider K-theoretic versions of the partition functions and moduli spaces.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Mohammed Abouzaid, Mina Aganagic, Denis Auroux, Joel Beimler, Pierrick Bousseau, Francesco Costantino, Ovidiu Costin, Gerald V. Dunne, Francesca Ferrari, Sheel Ganatra, Vasily Golyshev, Cagri Karakurt, Ludmil Katzarkov, William Mistegård, Du Pei, Mauricio Romo, Paul Seidel, Vivek Shende, Josef Svoboda, and Peng Zhou for useful discussions. The work of SG is supported in part by a Simons Collaboration Grant on New

Structures in Low-Dimensional Topology, by the NSF grant DMS-2245099, and by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics, under Award No. DE-SC0011632.

A Borel transform technicalities

In this section we provide a short argument of why an overall coefficient of the form

$$c k^{a/2} e^{\frac{2\pi i b}{k}}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (240)$$

in front of an oscillatory integral of the form (30) does not affect the structure of the Stokes phenomenon and the Stokes coefficients in particular.

First note that multiplication by $k^{a/2}$ for positive (negative) even $a \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ is equivalent to applying $2|a|$ -th derivative (integration) to the Borel transforms of the perturbative expansions. This does not affect the structure of singularities (11).

Thus we can focus on the case of $a = -1/2$. The whole coefficient itself then can be realized as an oscillatory integral of the form

$$\int dx e^{2\pi i \sqrt{b} x} e^{-\pi i k x^2/2}. \quad (241)$$

One then can combine the corresponding complex plane of the x integration variable with the $\mathbb{C}^{|H|}$ integration space of the v_I variables, as considered in Section 2. The $R(v)$ and $S(v)$ functions will be modified accordingly:

$$R(v) \longrightarrow R(v, x) := R(v) e^{2\pi i \sqrt{b} x}, \quad S(v) \longrightarrow S(v, x) := S(v) - x^2/4. \quad (242)$$

In particular the new function $R(x, v)$ has the same poles as $R(v)$. The critical points of the new $S(v, x)$ (with v possibly restricted to the poles of $R(v)$) are simply the critical points of $S(v)$ in the space of v_I variables with $x = 0$. The new ‘‘Lefschetz thimble contours’’ are the Cartesian products of the thimble contours in the space of v_I variables, same as before, and a line $\frac{1}{\sqrt{ik}}\mathbb{R}$ in the x -plane. Since $R(x, v)$ is holomorphic in x , it is clear the new finite-dimensional oscillatory integral has the same structure of the Stokes jumps as before.

B Another derivation of the finite-dimensional integral representation

In this section we present a slightly alternative derivation of the final expression (37). Such a derivation, although being more involved, exhibits a direct relation between the integration variables v_I and the variables z_I in the integral representation of the \hat{Z} invariants of plumbed 3-manifolds of [37]. We will restrict again ourselves to the case of weakly negative-definite plumblings that are integer homology spheres and use the same notations for the plumbing graph data as in Section 2.

We now start with the following expression for $Z(k) \propto \widehat{Z}(q)$ ($q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$) [37]:

$$Z(k) \propto \sum_{\pm} \int_{|z_i|=1 \pm \epsilon} \prod_{i \in V} \frac{dz_i}{z_i} (z_i - 1/z_i)^{2-\deg(i)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^V} q^{-\frac{n^T B^{-1} n}{4}} \prod_{i \in V} z_i^{n_i} \quad (243)$$

where the signs \pm are summed over independently for each integration variable. The integral over z_i for $i \in V \setminus H$ can be easily performed¹⁸:

$$Z(k) \propto \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}^L} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \prod_{a \in L} s_a \sum_{\pm} \int_{|z_I|=1 \pm \epsilon} \prod_{I \in H} \frac{dz_I}{z_I} (z_I - 1/z_I)^{2-\deg(I)} \times \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} q^{-\frac{m^T C m}{4} - \frac{m^T D s}{2} - \frac{s^T A s}{4}} \prod_{I \in H} z_I^{m_I}. \quad (244)$$

Next, we make the change of integration variables $z_I = \exp \pi i v_I$:

$$Z(k) \propto \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}^L} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \prod_{a \in L} s_a q^{-\frac{s^T A s}{4}} \sum_{\pm} \int_{v_I \in [0, 2] \pm i\epsilon} \prod_{I \in H} dv_I (\sin \pi v_I)^{2-\deg(I)} \times \Theta \left(-\frac{1}{2k} C; \frac{1}{2} v - \frac{1}{2k} D s \right) \quad (245)$$

where we have used the general notion of theta-function defined for a symmetric $N \times N$ matrix \mathcal{T} with $\text{Im } \mathcal{T} > 0$ and a complex vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^N$:

$$\Theta(\mathcal{T}; y) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} e^{\pi i m^T \mathcal{T} m + 2\pi i m^T y}. \quad (246)$$

It has the following modular transformation property:

$$\Theta(\mathcal{T}; y) = (\det \mathcal{T})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\pi i y^T \mathcal{T} y} \Theta(-\mathcal{T}^{-1}; \mathcal{T}^{-1} y), \quad (247)$$

up to a \mathcal{T} - and y -independent constant. Using it, we can rewrite (245) as follows:

$$Z(k) \propto \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}^L} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \prod_{a \in L} s_a q^{-\frac{s^T A s}{4}} \sum_{\pm} \int_{v_I \in [0, 2] \pm i\epsilon} \prod_{I \in H} dv_I (\sin \pi v_I)^{2-\deg(I)} \times e^{2\pi i k (v/2 - Ds/2k)^T C^{-1} (v/2 - Ds/2k)} \Theta(2k C^{-1}; k C^{-1} v - C^{-1} D s). \quad (248)$$

As in Section 2.5 we can use the fact that the matrix $A - D^T C^{-1} D$ is diagonal to write the

¹⁸One can skip this first step and proceed similarly with the following steps to obtain instead (89) as the final expression.

expression in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(k) &\propto \sum_{s \in \{\pm 1\}^L} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \prod_{a \in L} s_a \sum_{\pm} \int_{v_I \in [0,2]_{\pm i\epsilon}} \prod_{I \in H} dv_I (\sin \pi v_I)^{2-\deg(I)} \times \\
&\quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} e^{\frac{\pi i k}{2} (v-2m)^T C^{-1} (v-2m)} e^{-\pi i (v-2m)^T C^{-1} D s} \\
&\propto \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \sum_{\pm} \int_{v_I \in [0,2]_{\pm i\epsilon}} \prod_{I \in H} dv_I \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} R(v-2m) e^{2\pi i k S(v-2m)}. \quad (249)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can recast the sum over $m \in \mathbb{Z}^H$ into a sum over shifts of the interval integration contours:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(k) &\propto \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} \sum_{\pm} \int_{v_I \in [0,2]_{-2m \pm i\epsilon}} \prod_{I \in H} dv_I \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)} = \\
&= \sum_{\pm} \int_{v_I \in \mathbb{R}_{\pm i\epsilon}} \prod_{I \in H} dv_I \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^H} R(v) e^{2\pi i k S(v)}. \quad (250)
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] S. Gukov, A.S. Schwarz and C. Vafa, *Khovanov-Rozansky homology and topological strings*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **74** (2005) 53 [[hep-th/0412243](#)].
- [2] S. Gukov, *Gauge theory and knot homologies*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **55** (2007) 473 [[0706.2369](#)].
- [3] E. Witten, *Fivebranes and knots*, *Quantum Topology* **3** (2011) 1.
- [4] M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **101** (2000) 359 .
- [5] M. Khovanov and L. Rozansky, *Matrix factorizations and link homology*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **199** (2008) 1.
- [6] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Holomorphic disks and topological invariants for closed three-manifolds*, *Annals of Mathematics* (2004) 1027.
- [7] P. Kronheimer and T. Mrowka, *Monopoles and Three-Manifolds*, New Mathematical Monographs, Cambridge University Press (2007).
- [8] A. Floer, *An instanton-invariant for 3-manifolds*, *Communications in mathematical physics* **118** (1988) 215.

- [9] M. Hutchings, *The embedded contact homology index revisited, New perspectives and challenges in symplectic field theory* **49** (2009) 263.
- [10] E. Witten, *Analytic Continuation Of Chern-Simons Theory, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.* **50** (2011) 347 [[1001.2933](#)].
- [11] A. Haydys, *Fukaya-Seidel category and gauge theory, J. Sympl. Geom.* **13** (2015) 151 [[1010.2353](#)].
- [12] A. Doan and S. Rezkikov, *Holomorphic Floer theory and the Fueter equation, arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.12047* (2022) .
- [13] P. Bousseau, *Holomorphic Floer theory and Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, [2210.17001](#).
- [14] M. Kontsevich, “Resurgence from the path integral perspective (Perimeter Institute, 2012); Exponential integrals (SCGP and at IHES, 2014 and 2015); Resurgence and wall-crossing via complexified path integral (TFC Sendai, 2016).”.
- [15] S. Gukov, M. Marino and P. Putrov, *Resurgence in complex Chern-Simons theory*, [1605.07615](#).
- [16] S. Gukov, *Three-dimensional quantum gravity, Chern-Simons theory, and the A polynomial, Commun. Math. Phys.* **255** (2005) 577 [[hep-th/0306165](#)].
- [17] T. Dimofte, S. Gukov, J. Lenells and D. Zagier, *Exact Results for Perturbative Chern-Simons Theory with Complex Gauge Group, Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **3** (2009) 363 [[0903.2472](#)].
- [18] E. Witten, *Quantum Field Theory and the Jones Polynomial, Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 351.
- [19] D.S. Freed and R.E. Gompf, *Computer calculation of Witten’s three manifold invariant, Commun. Math. Phys.* **141** (1991) 79.
- [20] L.C. Jeffrey, *Chern-Simons-Witten invariants of lens spaces and torus bundles, and the semiclassical approximation, Commun. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992) 563.
- [21] T. Ohtsuki et al., *Problems on invariants of knots and 3-manifolds, Geom. Topol. Monogr* **4** (2002) 377.
- [22] S. Gukov and H. Murakami, *SL(2,C) Chern-Simons theory and the asymptotic behavior of the colored Jones polynomial, Lett. Math. Phys.* **86** (2008) 79 [[math/0608324](#)].
- [23] S. Axelrod and I.M. Singer, *Chern-Simons perturbation theory*, in *International Conference on Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics*, pp. 3–45, 1991 [[hep-th/9110056](#)].
- [24] S. Axelrod and I.M. Singer, *Chern-Simons perturbation theory. II, J. Diff. Geom.* **39** (1994) 173 [[hep-th/9304087](#)].

- [25] M. Kontsevich, *Feynman diagrams and low-dimensional topology*, in *First European Congress of Mathematics Paris, July 6–10, 1992: Vol. II: Invited Lectures (Part 2)*, pp. 97–121, Springer, 1994.
- [26] T.D. Dimofte and S. Garoufalidis, *The Quantum content of the gluing equations*, *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2013) 1253 [[1202.6268](#)].
- [27] T. Ohtsuki, *A polynomial invariant of rational homology 3-spheres*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **123** (1996) 241.
- [28] F. Pham, *Vanishing homologies and the n variable saddlepoint method*, in *Singularities, Part 2 (Arcata, Calif., 1981)*, vol. 40 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pp. 319–333, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1983), [DOI](#).
- [29] S. Garoufalidis, J. Gu and M. Marino, *The Resurgent Structure of Quantum Knot Invariants*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **386** (2021) 469 [[2007.10190](#)].
- [30] S. Garoufalidis, J. Gu and M. Marino, *Peacock patterns and resurgence in complex Chern-Simons theory*, [2012.00062](#).
- [31] S. Garoufalidis, J. Gu, M. Marino and C. Wheeler, *Resurgence of Chern-Simons theory at the trivial flat connection*, [2111.04763](#).
- [32] C. Wheeler, *Quantum modularity for a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold*, [2308.03265](#).
- [33] W.D. Neumann, *A calculus for plumbing applied to the topology of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **268** (1981) 299.
- [34] O. Costin, G.V. Dunne, A. Gruen and S. Gukov, *Going to the Other Side via the Resurgent Bridge*, [2310.12317](#).
- [35] S. Gukov and C. Manolescu, *A two-variable series for knot complements*, *Quantum Topol.* **12** (2021) 1 [[1904.06057](#)].
- [36] N. Reshetikhin and V.G. Turaev, *Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **103** (1991) 547.
- [37] S. Gukov, D. Pei, P. Putrov and C. Vafa, *BPS spectra and 3-manifold invariants*, *J. Knot Theor. Ramifications* **29** (2020) 2040003 [[1701.06567](#)].
- [38] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, *M theory and topological strings. 1.*, [hep-th/9809187](#).
- [39] R. Lawrence and D. Zagier, *Modular forms and quantum invariants of 3-manifolds*, *Asian Journal of Mathematics* **3** (1999) 93.
- [40] S. de Haro and M. Tierz, *Brownian motion, Chern-Simons theory, and 2-D Yang-Mills*, *Phys. Lett. B* **601** (2004) 201 [[hep-th/0406093](#)].

- [41] S. de Haro, *Chern-Simons theory, 2d Yang-Mills, and Lie algebra wanderers*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **730** (2005) 312 [[hep-th/0412110](#)].
- [42] K. Hikami, *On the quantum invariant for the Brieskorn homology spheres*, *International Journal of Mathematics* **16** (2005) 661.
- [43] M. Blau and G. Thompson, *Chern-Simons theory on S^1 -bundles: Abelianisation and q -deformed Yang-Mills theory*, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 003 [[hep-th/0601068](#)].
- [44] K. Hikami, *On the quantum invariants for the spherical Seifert manifolds*, *Communications in mathematical physics* **268** (2006) 285.
- [45] K. Hikami, *Decomposition of Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant: linking pairing and modular forms*, *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math* **50** (2011) 131.
- [46] S. Gukov, P. Putrov and C. Vafa, *Fivebranes and 3-manifold homology*, *JHEP* **07** (2017) 071 [[1602.05302](#)].
- [47] Y. Murakami, *A proof of a conjecture of Gukov-Pei-Putrov-Vafa*, [2302.13526](#).
- [48] Y. Murakami and Y. Terashima, *Homological blocks with simple Lie algebras and Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants*, [2308.04010](#).
- [49] S.J. Ri, *Refined and Generalized \widehat{Z} Invariants for Plumbed 3-Manifolds*, *SIGMA* **19** (2023) 011 [[2205.08197](#)].
- [50] R. Lawrence and L. Rozansky, *Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev Invariants of Seifert Manifolds*, *Communications in mathematical physics* **205** (1999) 287.
- [51] M. Marino, *Chern-Simons theory, matrix integrals, and perturbative three manifold invariants*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253** (2004) 25 [[hep-th/0207096](#)].
- [52] C. Beasley and E. Witten, *Non-Abelian localization for Chern-Simons theory*, *J. Diff. Geom.* **70** (2005) 183 [[hep-th/0503126](#)].
- [53] S. Gukov, L. Katzarkov and J. Svoboda, *\widehat{Z}_b for plumbed manifolds and splice diagrams*, [2304.00699](#).
- [54] N.J. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **55** (1987) 59.
- [55] G.D. Daskalopoulos, R.A. Wentworth and G. Wilkin, *Cohomology of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ character varieties of surface groups and the action of the Torelli group*, *Asian J. Math.* **14** (2010) 359.
- [56] M.C.N. Cheng, S. Chun, F. Ferrari, S. Gukov and S.M. Harrison, *3d Modularity*, *JHEP* **10** (2019) 010 [[1809.10148](#)].
- [57] K. Bringmann, K. Mahlborg and A. Milas, *Higher depth quantum modular forms and plumbed 3-manifolds*, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **110** (2020) 2675.

- [58] M.C.N. Cheng, F. Ferrari and G. Sgroi, *Three-Manifold Quantum Invariants and Mock Theta Functions*, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **378** (2019) 20180439 [[1912.07997](#)].
- [59] A. Mori and Y. Murakami, *Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev Invariants, Homological Blocks, and Quantum Modular Forms for Unimodular Plumbing H-Graphs*, *SIGMA* **18** (2022) 034 [[2110.10958](#)].
- [60] M.C.N. Cheng, S. Chun, B. Feigin, F. Ferrari, S. Gukov, S.M. Harrison et al., *3-Manifolds and VOA Characters*, [2201.04640](#).
- [61] M.C.N. Cheng, I. Coman, D. Passaro and G. Sgroi, *Quantum Modular \widehat{Z}^G -Invariants*, [2304.03934](#).
- [62] M. Abouzaid and C. Manolescu, *A sheaf-theoretic model for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Floer homology*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **22** (2020) 3641.
- [63] C.L. Curtis, *An intersection theory count of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -representations of the fundamental group of a 3-manifold*, *Topology* **40** (2001) 773.
- [64] H.U. Boden and C.L. Curtis, *Splicing and the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Casson invariant*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008) 2615.
- [65] J. Kalliongis and C.M. Tsau, *Seifert fibered surgery manifolds of composite knots*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108** (1990) 1047.
- [66] A. Gadde, S. Gukov and P. Putrov, *Fivebranes and 4-manifolds*, *Prog. Math.* **319** (2016) 155 [[1306.4320](#)].
- [67] M. Kontsevich, *Homological algebra of mirror symmetry*, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians: August 3–11, 1994 Zürich, Switzerland*, pp. 120–139, Springer, 1995.
- [68] P. Seidel, *Graded lagrangian submanifolds*, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* **128** (2000) 103.
- [69] C. Clemens, *Picard-Lefschetz theorem for families of nonsingular algebraic varieties acquiring ordinary singularities*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **136** (1969) 93.
- [70] A. Landman, *On the Picard-Lefschetz transformation for algebraic manifolds acquiring general singularities*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **181** (1973) 89.
- [71] M. Kontsevich, *Lectures at ENS Paris*, in *Set of notes taken by J. Bellaïche, J.-F. Dat, I. Marin, G. Racinet and H. Randriambololona.*, 1998.
- [72] P. Seidel, *More about vanishing cycles and mutation*, in *Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000)*, pp. 429–465, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ (2001).
- [73] Z. Sylvan, *On partially wrapped Fukaya categories*, *J. Topol.* **12** (2019) 372.

- [74] S. Ganatra, J. Pardon and V. Shende, *Covariantly functorial wrapped Floer theory on Liouville sectors*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **131** (2020) 73.
- [75] D. Nadler and E. Zaslow, *Constructible sheaves and the Fukaya category*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (2009) 233.
- [76] M. Abouzaid and D. Auroux, *Homological mirror symmetry for hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$* , [2111.06543](#).
- [77] D. Auroux, *Speculations on homological mirror symmetry for hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$* , in *Surveys in differential geometry 2017. Celebrating the 50th anniversary of the Journal of Differential Geometry*, vol. 22 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pp. 1–47, Int. Press, Somerville, MA (2018).
- [78] B. Chantraine, G. Dimitroglou Rizell, P. Ghiggini and R. Golovko, *Geometric generation of the wrapped Fukaya category of Weinstein manifolds and sectors*, [1712.09126](#).
- [79] S. Ganatra, J. Pardon and V. Shende, *Sectorial descent for wrapped Fukaya categories*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **37** (2024) 499.
- [80] T. Ekhholm, P. Kucharski and P. Longhi, *Multi-cover skeins, quivers, and 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ dualities*, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 018 [[1910.06193](#)].
- [81] A.D. Laudă, A.M. Licata and A. Manion, *From hypertoric geometry to bordered Floer homology via the $m = 1$ amplituhedron*, [2009.03981](#).
- [82] R. Lipshitz, P.S. Ozsváth and D.P. Thurston, *A bordered HF- algebra for the torus*, [2108.12488](#).
- [83] T. Ekhholm and Y. Lekili, *Duality between Lagrangian and Legendrian invariants*, *Geom. Topol.* **27** (2023) 2049.
- [84] M. Abouzaid, D. Auroux, A.I. Efimov, L. Katzarkov and D. Orlov, *Homological mirror symmetry for punctured spheres*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26** (2013) 1051.
- [85] K. Hori and M. Romo, *Exact Results In Two-Dimensional (2,2) Supersymmetric Gauge Theories With Boundary*, [1308.2438](#).
- [86] K. Hori, A. Iqbal and C. Vafa, *D-branes and mirror symmetry*, [hep-th/0005247](#).
- [87] S. Chun, S. Gukov, S. Park and N. Sopenko, *3d-3d correspondence for mapping tori*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 152 [[1911.08456](#)].