SIMPLIFICATIONS OF LAX PAIRS FOR DIFFERENTIAL-DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS BY GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS AND (DOUBLY) MODIFIED INTEGRABLE EQUATIONS

SERGEI IGONIN

Center of Integrable Systems, P.G. Demidov Yaroslavl State University, Yaroslavl, Russia

ABSTRACT. Matrix differential-difference Lax pairs play an essential role in the theory of integrable nonlinear differential-difference equations. We present sufficient conditions which allow one to simplify such a Lax pair by matrix gauge transformations. Furthermore, we describe a procedure for such a simplification and present applications of it to constructing new integrable equations connected by (non-invertible) discrete substitutions of Miura type to known equations with Lax pairs.

Suppose that one has three (possibly multicomponent) equations E, E_1 , E_2 , a (Miura-type) discrete substitution from E_1 to E, and a discrete substitution from E_2 to E_1 . Then E_1 and E_2 can be called a modified version of E and a doubly modified version of E, respectively. We demonstrate how the abovementioned procedure helps (in the considered examples) to construct modified and doubly modified versions of a given equation possessing a Lax pair satisfying certain conditions.

The considered examples include scalar equations of Itoh–Narita–Bogoyavlensky type and 2-component equations related to the Toda lattice. We present several new integrable equations connected by new discrete substitutions of Miura type to known equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to a study of relations between matrix differential-difference Lax pairs, gauge transformations, and (non-invertible) discrete substitutions of Miura type (also called discrete Miura-type transformations), which play essential roles in the theory of integrable (nonlinear) differential-difference equations. Such equations occupy a prominent place in the modern theory of integrable systems and are presently the subject of intensive study. In particular, such equations arise as as discretizations of integrable PDEs and as chains associated with Darboux transformations of PDEs (see, e.g., [10, 15, 16] and references therein).

As explained in Section 2, we consider an evolution differential-difference equation for a vector-function u = u(n, t), where

- n is an integer variable,
- t is a real or complex variable,
- u = u(n, t) takes values in the space \mathbb{C}^D for some positive integer D.

Matrix Lax pairs (MLPs) for such equations are defined in Definition 1, which describes also an action of the group of (matrix) gauge transformations on the set of MLPs of a given differential-difference equation. As explained in Definition 1,

- in a MLP (**M**, **U**) the matrix-valued function **M** is called the S-part of the MLP,
- two MLPs of a given equation are *gauge equivalent* if one MLP is obtained from the other by means of a gauge transformation.

E-mail address: s-igonin@yandex.ru.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37K60, 37K35.

Key words and phrases. Integrable differential-difference equations, matrix Lax pairs, gauge transformations, discrete substitutions of Miura-type, Itoh–Narita–Bogoyavlensky type equations, Toda lattice.

In Section 3 we present sufficient conditions which allow one to simplify a given MLP by gauge transformations and describe a procedure for such a simplification. The words

"to simplify a given MLP by gauge transformations"

mean that, applying suitable gauge transformations to a given MLP satisfying certain conditions, we eliminate the dependence on u_k for some values of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the S-part of the MLP. (See Remark 3 for more details.) Here u_k denotes the vector-function $u_k(n,t) = u(n+k,t)$.

It is well known that Miura-type transformations (MTs) belong to the most important tools in the theories of partial differential, difference and differential-difference equations [21, 19, 28, 7, 8, 9, 18, 16, 23, 24, 25, 27]. MTs for partial differential equations are also called differential substitutions [23, 24], while MTs for differential-difference equations are sometimes called discrete substitutions [27].

Suppose that we have three (possibly multicomponent) equations E, E_1 , E_2 , a MT from E_1 to E, and a MT from E_2 to E_1 . Then one can say that

- E_1 is a modified version of E,
- E_2 is a modified version of E_1 and is a doubly modified version of E.

Precise definitions are given in Section 2.

When one classifies a certain class of integrable equations with two independent variables, one usually gets several basic equations such that all the other equations from the class under consideration can be obtained from the basic ones by applying MTs and are modified or doubly modified versions of the basic ones (see, e.g., [19, 28, 7, 8, 9, 18, 16]). Therefore, it is important to develop methods to construct MTs as well as modified and doubly modified versions for a given integrable equation.

In Sections 4, 5 we demonstrate how the above-mentioned procedure to simplify MLPs helps (in the considered examples) to construct modified and doubly modified versions of a given equation possessing a MLP satisfying certain conditions. This allows one to derive new integrable equations connected by new MTs to known equations.

The considered examples include scalar equations of Itoh–Narita–Bogoyavlensky type (in Section 4) and 2-component equations related to the Toda lattice (in Section 5). The obtained integrable equations (40), (49), (57) and MTs (41), (42), (50), (58) are new, to our knowledge.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries, including conventions, notation, basic notions, and terminology. Section 3 describes sufficient conditions which allow one to simplify a MLP by gauge transformations and a procedure for such a simplification. Sections 4, 5 contain examples of applications of this procedure, as outlined above. Section 6 summarizes the results of this paper, compares them with the results of [2], and suggests some open problems for further research.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper we use the following notation and conventions.

- MLP = matrix Lax pair.
- MT = Miura-type transformation. We study discrete MTs, which are also called discrete substitutions.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ are the sets of nonnegative and positive integers, respectively.
- Each considered function is assumed to be analytic on its domain of definition. In particular, this holds for meromorphic functions. By our convention, the poles of a meromorphic function do not belong to its domain of definition, thus such a function is analytic on its domain of definition.
- Unless otherwise specified, scalar variables and functions are supposed to be C-valued.
- n is an integer variable, while t is a real or complex variable.
- For any function w = w(n, t) and each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by w_{ℓ} the function $w_{\ell}(n, t) = w(n + \ell, t)$. In particular, $w_0 = w$.
- Matrix-valued functions are sometimes called simply matrices.

Fix $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \leq b$. We consider an evolution differential-difference equation of the form

(1)
$$u_t = F(u_a, u_{a+1}, \dots, u_b)$$

for a *D*-component vector-function $u = (u^1(n, t), \dots, u^D(n, t))$, where

- F is a D-component vector-function $F = (F^1, \dots, F^D)$,
- $u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u)$ and $u_\ell = u_\ell(n,t) = u(n+\ell,t)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

One has $u_{\ell} = (u_{\ell}^1, \dots, u_{\ell}^D)$, where $u_{\ell}^{\xi}(n, t) = u^{\xi}(n + \ell, t)$ for $\xi = 1, \dots, D$.

Equation (1) is equivalent to the following infinite collection of differential equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u(n,t)) = F(u(n+a,t), u(n+a+1,t), \dots, u(n+b,t)), \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In components equation (1) reads

(2)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u^i) = F^i(u_a^{\xi}, u_{a+1}^{\xi}, \dots, u_b^{\xi}), \qquad i = 1, \dots, D,$$

which implies

(3)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(u_{\ell}^{i} \right) = F^{i}(u_{a+\ell}^{\xi}, u_{a+1+\ell}^{\xi}, \dots, u_{b+\ell}^{\xi}), \qquad i = 1, \dots, D, \qquad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We use the formal theory of differential-difference equations, where one regards

(4)
$$u_{\ell} = (u_{\ell}^1, \dots, u_{\ell}^D), \qquad \ell \in \mathbb{Z},$$

as independent quantities, which are called *dynamical variables*. We consider functions of the dynamical variables (4). In this paper, the notation of the type $h = h(u_{\ell}, ...)$ means that a function h depends on a finite number of the variables u_{ℓ}^{ξ} for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\xi = 1, ..., D$. The notation of the type $h = h(u_{\alpha}, ..., u_{\beta})$ or $h = h(u_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha+1}, ..., u_{\beta})$ for some integers $\alpha \leq \beta$ means

The notation of the type $h = h(u_{\alpha}, \ldots, u_{\beta})$ or $h = h(u_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha+1}, \ldots, u_{\beta})$ for some integers $\alpha \leq \beta$ means that h may depend on u_{ℓ}^{ξ} for $\ell = \alpha, \ldots, \beta$ and $\xi = 1, \ldots, D$.

We denote by S the *shift operator* with respect to the variable n. For any function g = g(n, t) one has the function S(g) such that S(g)(n, t) = g(n + 1, t). For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the kth power S^k of the operator S and the formula $S^k(g)(n, t) = g(n + k, t)$.

Since u_{ℓ} corresponds to $u(n+\ell, t)$, the operator \mathbb{S} and its powers \mathbb{S}^k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ act on functions of u_{ℓ} as follows

(5)
$$\mathbb{S}(u_{\ell}) = u_{\ell+1}, \qquad \mathbb{S}^k(u_{\ell}) = u_{\ell+k}, \qquad \mathbb{S}^k\big(h(u_{\ell},\dots)\big) = h(\mathbb{S}^k(u_{\ell}),\dots).$$

That is, applying \mathbb{S}^k to a function $h = h(u_\ell, ...)$, we replace u_ℓ^{ξ} by $u_{\ell+k}^{\xi}$ in h for all ℓ, ξ .

The total derivative operator \mathbb{D}_t corresponding to (1) acts on functions of the variables $u_\ell = (u_\ell^1, \ldots, u_\ell^D)$ as follows

(6)
$$\mathbb{D}_t \big(h(u_\ell, \dots) \big) = \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ i=1,\dots,D}} \mathbb{S}^\ell(F^i) \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_\ell^i},$$

where F^i are the components of the vector-function $F = (F^1, \ldots, F^D)$ from (1). Formula (6) is explained by equations (3) and the chain rule for the derivative with respect to t. Formula (6) implies the relation $\mathbb{D}_t(\mathbb{S}(g)) = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_t(g))$ for any function $g = g(u_\ell, \ldots)$.

Definition 1. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda)$ and $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda)$ be $m \times m$ matrix-valued functions depending on the variables u_{ℓ} and a complex parameter λ . Suppose that \mathbf{M} is invertible (i.e., \mathbf{M} takes values in the group $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbb{C})$ of invertible $m \times m$ matrices) and one has

(7)
$$\mathbb{D}_t(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{U})\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{U},$$

where \mathbb{D}_t is given by (6). Then the pair (**M**, **U**) is called a *matrix Lax pair* (MLP) for equation (1). Equation (7) implies that the auxiliary linear system

(8)
$$S(\Phi) = \mathbf{M}\Phi,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Phi) = \mathbf{U}\Phi$$

is compatible modulo (1). Here $\Phi = \Phi(n, t, \lambda)$ is an invertible m \times m matrix-valued function.

We say that the matrix $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \dots, \lambda)$ is the S-part of the MLP (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{U}).

Then for any invertible $m \times m$ matrix $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda)$ the matrices

(9)
$$\hat{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbb{D}_t(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1} + \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1}$$

form a MLP for equation (1) as well. The MLP (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{U}) is gauge equivalent to the MLP (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{U}) and is obtained from (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{U}) by means of the gauge transformation \mathbf{g} .

Such gauge transformations \mathbf{g} form a group with respect to the multiplication of matrices. Formulas (9) determine an action of the group of gauge transformations on the set of MLPs of a given equation (1).

Remark 1. Definition 1 says that, in any MLP $(\mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda), \mathbf{U}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda))$, the matrix $\mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda)$ is required to be invertible. However, in some examples of MLPs $(\mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda), \mathbf{U}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda))$, it may happen that the matrix $\mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda)$ is invertible for almost all (but not all) values of u_{ℓ} and λ . The exceptional points $(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda)$ where the matrix $\mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda)$ is singular are excluded from consideration in this paper.

More precisely, the situation is as follows. There are integers $p \leq q$ such that

$$\mathbf{M}(u_{\ell},\ldots,\lambda)=\mathbf{M}(u_p,u_{p+1},\ldots,u_q,\lambda).$$

Recall that $u_{\ell} = (u_{\ell}^1, \ldots, u_{\ell}^D)$ is a *D*-dimensional vector for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, and λ is a scalar parameter. We assume that the m × m matrix-valued function $\mathbf{M}(u_p, u_{p+1}, \ldots, u_q, \lambda)$ is defined and is invertible on an open subset \mathbb{W} of the space $\mathbb{C}^{(q-p+1)D+1}$ with the following coordinates

 $u_{\ell}^{\xi}, \qquad \lambda, \qquad \ell = p, p+1, \dots, q, \qquad \xi = 1, \dots, D.$

Similarly to (1), let $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$, and consider another differential-difference equation

(10)
$$v_t = \tilde{F}(v_{\tilde{a}}, v_{\tilde{a}+1}, \dots, v_{\tilde{b}})$$

for a *D*-component vector-function $v = (v^1(n, t), \ldots, v^D(n, t))$. One has the corresponding dynamical variables $v_{\ell} = (v_{\ell}^1, \ldots, v_{\ell}^D)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly to (5), (6), the operators S and \mathbb{D}_t act on functions of the variables $v_{\ell} = (v_{\ell}^1, \ldots, v_{\ell}^D)$ as follows

$$\mathbb{S}(v_{\ell}) = v_{\ell+1}, \qquad \mathbb{S}^{k}(v_{\ell}) = v_{\ell+k}, \qquad \mathbb{S}^{k}(h(v_{\ell}, \dots)) = h(\mathbb{S}^{k}(v_{\ell}), \dots), \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$
$$\mathbb{D}_{t}(h(v_{\ell}, \dots)) = \sum_{\ell, i} \mathbb{S}^{\ell}(\tilde{F}^{i}) \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_{\ell}^{i}},$$

where \tilde{F}^i are the components of the vector-function $\tilde{F} = (\tilde{F}^1, \dots, \tilde{F}^D)$ from (10).

Definition 2. A *Miura-type transformation* (MT) from equation (10) to equation (1) is given by a formula of the type

(11)
$$u = \varphi(v_{\ell}, \dots)$$

(where a *D*-component vector-function φ depends on a finite number of the variables $v_{\ell} = (v_{\ell}^1, \ldots, v_{\ell}^D)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,) such that if v = v(n, t) obeys (10) then u = u(n, t) given by (11) obeys (1).

More precisely, in order to be a MT from (10) to (1), formula (11) must satisfy equations (13) explained below. In components (11) reads

(12)
$$u^{i} = \varphi^{i}(v^{\xi}_{\ell}, \dots), \qquad i = 1, \dots, D,$$

where φ^i are the components of the vector-function $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \ldots, \varphi^D)$ from (11). If we substitute the right-hand side of (12) in place of u^i in (2), we obtain

(13)
$$\mathbb{D}_t\left(\varphi^i(v_\ell^{\xi},\dots)\right) = F^i\left(\mathbb{S}^a(\varphi^{\xi}), \mathbb{S}^{a+1}(\varphi^{\xi}),\dots,\mathbb{S}^b(\varphi^{\xi})\right), \qquad i = 1,\dots, D,$$

which must be an identity in the variables v_{ℓ}^{ξ} .

Let $s, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \leq m$, be such that the function φ in (11) is of the form $\varphi = \varphi(v_s, v_{s+1}, \ldots, v_m)$ (i.e., φ may depend only on $v_s, v_{s+1}, \ldots, v_m$) and depends nontrivially on v_s, v_m . Then the number m - s is said to be the *order* of the MT (11).

If (10) and (1) are connected by a MT (11), then equation (10) can be called a *modified version* of equation (1). This notion is nontrivial when the transformation (11) is non-invertible, which includes all the examples considered in this paper.

Furthermore, let $\hat{a}, \hat{b} \in \mathbb{Z}, \hat{a} \leq \hat{b}$, and consider another differential-difference equation

(14)
$$w_t = F(w_{\hat{a}}, w_{\hat{a}+1}, \dots, w_{\hat{b}})$$

for a D-component vector-function $w = (w^1(n, t), \dots, w^D(n, t))$. Suppose that there is a MT

(15)
$$v = \psi(w_{\ell}, \dots)$$

from equation (14) to equation (10). In this case, since (14) is connected to (1) by the composition of the two MTs (15) and (11), one can say that equation (14) is a *doubly modified version* of equation (1).

Remark 2. The paper [2] presents a method to derive MTs from matrix Lax pairs (\mathbf{M} , \mathbf{U}) in the case when $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(u_0, \lambda)$ depends only on u_0, λ and satisfies certain conditions. Some ideas behind the method of [2] are inspired by a result of V.G. Drinfeld and V.V. Sokolov on MTs for the partial differential Korteweg–de Vries equation [5].

In [2, 15], matrix Lax pairs for differential-difference equations are called Darboux–Lax representations, since many of them arise from Darboux transformations of PDEs (see, e.g., [15]).

3. SIMPLIFICATIONS OF MATRIX LAX PAIRS BY GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS

According to Definition 1, in a MLP (**M**, **U**) the matrix-valued function **M** may depend on any finite number of the variables $u_{\ell} = (u_{\ell}^1, \ldots, u_{\ell}^D), \ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a parameter λ . For any fixed integers ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_D , we can relabel

(16)
$$u^1 := u^1_{\ell_1}, \dots, u^D := u^D_{\ell_D}$$

Relabeling (16) means that in equation (1) we make the following invertible change of variables

$$u^{1}(n,t) \mapsto u^{1}(n+\ell_{1},t), \quad \dots \quad , \quad u^{D}(n,t) \mapsto u^{D}(n+\ell_{D},t).$$

After a suitable relabeling of this type, we can assume that **M** is of the form $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(u_0, \ldots, u_k, \lambda)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (that is, we can assume that **M** does not depend on u_s for negative integers s).

As discussed in Remark 3 below (using the terminology introduced in Definitions 3, 4), Theorem 1 gives sufficient conditions which allow one to simplify a MLP by gauge transformations.

Theorem 1. Let $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Consider an $m \times m$ matrix-valued function $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k, \lambda)$, where $u_{\ell} = (u_{\ell}^1, \ldots, u_{\ell}^D)$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Suppose that

(17)
$$\forall i, j = 1, \dots, D \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \left(\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \right) = 0.$$

Then there is an m×m matrix gauge transformation $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}(u_0, \ldots, u_{k-1}, \lambda)$ such that the matrix-valued function

(18)
$$\hat{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1}$$

is of the form $\hat{\mathbf{M}} = \hat{\mathbf{M}}(u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}, \lambda)$. Thus, applying this gauge transformation, we eliminate the dependence on $u_k = (u_k^1, \dots, u_k^D)$.

Proof. Recall that u_{ℓ} are *D*-dimensional vectors and λ is a scalar parameter. According to Remark 1, the matrix-valued function $\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k, \lambda)$ is defined and is invertible on an open subset \mathbb{W} of $\mathbb{C}^{(k+1)D+1}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume the set \mathbb{W} to be connected. (If \mathbb{W} is not connected, then one can repeat the arguments presented below for each connected component of \mathbb{W} separately.)

Fix a constant vector $a_0 = (a_0^1, \ldots, a_0^D) \in \mathbb{C}^D$ and substitute $u_0 = a_0$ in $\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k, \lambda)$. The vector a_0 is chosen so that $\mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k, \lambda)$ is defined and is invertible.

We set

(19)
$$\mathbf{g}(u_0,\ldots,u_{k-1},\lambda) = \mathbb{S}^{-1}\Big(\Big(\mathbf{M}(a_0,u_1,\ldots,u_k,\lambda)\Big)^{-1}\Big).$$

Then, since $\mathbb{S}(\mathbf{g})(u_1,\ldots,u_k,\lambda) = \mathbf{M}(a_0,u_1,\ldots,u_k,\lambda)^{-1}$, for the matrix-valued function (18) one has

(20)
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) = \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{g})(u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1}(u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}, \lambda) =$$
$$= \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1}(u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}, \lambda).$$

Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, D\}$. Set $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{g}^{-1}(u_0, \ldots, u_{k-1}, \lambda)$. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j}(\mathbf{G}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j}(\mathbf{g}^{-1}(u_0, \ldots, u_{k-1}, \lambda)) = 0$, from (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} (\hat{\mathbf{M}}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \Big(\mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{G} = \\ & = \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \Big(\mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) + \\ & + \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \Big(\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{G} = \\ & = \Big(- \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \Big(\mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) + \\ & + \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \Big(\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{G} = \\ & = \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \cdot \Big(- \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \Big(\mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} + \\ & + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \Big(\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{G} = \\ & = \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{G} = \\ & = \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{G} = \\ & = \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{G} = \\ & = \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{G} + \\ & = \mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \Big) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \cdot \mathbf{G} \Big) \Big) \Big\}$$

where

(22)
$$L^{j}(u_{0}, u_{1}, \dots, u_{k}, \lambda) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k}^{j}} \left(\mathbf{M}(a_{0}, u_{1}, \dots, u_{k}, \lambda) \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}(a_{0}, u_{1}, \dots, u_{k}, \lambda)^{-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k}^{j}} \left(\mathbf{M}(u_{0}, u_{1}, \dots, u_{k}, \lambda) \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_{0}, u_{1}, \dots, u_{k}, \lambda)^{-1}.$$

From (22) and (17) it follows that

(23)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_0^i} \left(L^j(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \left(\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda)^{-1} \right) = 0$$
$$\forall i = 1, \dots, D,$$

(24)
$$L^{j}(a_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}, \lambda) = 0.$$

Equations (23), (24) imply that the matrix-valued function $L^{j}(u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}, \lambda)$ is identically zero. Substituting $L^{j}(u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}, \lambda) = 0$ in (21), one obtains

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^j} \left(\hat{\mathbf{M}}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \lambda) \right) = 0 \qquad \forall j = 1, \dots, D$$

Therefore, the matrix-valued function (18) is of the form $\mathbf{\hat{M}} = \mathbf{\hat{M}}(u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}, \lambda)$.

Motivated by the result of Theorem 1, we give Definitions 3, 4 and discuss this result in Remark 3 below.

Definition 3. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. A MLP $(\mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda), \mathbf{U}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda))$ is said to be of order $\leq p$ if its S-part $\mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda)$ is of the form $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(u_0, \ldots, u_p, \lambda)$. In other words, a MLP (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{U}) is of order $\leq p$ if

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, D$$
 $\forall \ell_1 < 0$ $\forall \ell_2 > p$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i_{\ell_1}}(\mathbf{M}) = 0,$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i_{\ell_2}}(\mathbf{M}) = 0.$

Definition 4. Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. A MLP $(\mathbf{M}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda), \mathbf{U}(u_{\ell}, \ldots, \lambda))$ is said to be *q*-flat if it is of order $\leq q$ and its S-part $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(u_0, \ldots, u_q, \lambda)$ satisfies

(25)
$$\forall i, j = 1, \dots, D$$
 $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_0^i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_q^j} (\mathbf{M}) \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1} \right) = 0.$

Note that for q = k equation (25) coincides with equation (17) from Theorem 1.

Remark 3. Theorem 1 implies the following. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If a MLP is k-flat, then, applying to it a suitable gauge transformation, we obtain a gauge equivalent MLP of order $\leq k-1$. Similarly, if the obtained gauge equivalent MLP is (k-1)-flat (when $k \geq 2$), then, applying to it another gauge transformation, one gets a gauge equivalent MLP of order $\leq k-2$. If this MLP is (k-2)-flat (when $k \geq 3$), one can use the same procedure again, recursively. We repeat this procedure as many times as possible, and the result is discussed below.

Thus, starting from a k-flat MLP (\mathbf{M} , \mathbf{U}) with the S-part $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(u_0, \ldots, u_k, \lambda)$ and applying several gauge transformations recursively, we can derive a gauge equivalent MLP ($\check{\mathbf{M}}$, $\check{\mathbf{U}}$) of order $\leq p$ with some nonnegative integer p < k and the S-part $\check{\mathbf{M}} = \check{\mathbf{M}}(u_0, \ldots, u_p, \lambda)$. Therefore, the described procedure allows us to simplify the initial MLP (\mathbf{M} , \mathbf{U}) in the sense that we eliminate the dependence on u_{p+1}, \ldots, u_k in the S-part.

Thus, Theorem 1 gives sufficient conditions which allow one to simplify (in the above-mentioned sense) a MLP by applying gauge transformations to it.

4. INTEGRABLE EQUATIONS OF ITOH-NARITA-BOGOYAVLENSKY TYPE

Let D = 1. Consider the Itoh–Narita–Bogoyavlensky (INB) equation [3, 14, 22]

(26)
$$z_t = z(z_2 + z_1 - z_{-1} - z_{-2})$$

for a scalar function z = z(n, t). Fix a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider the modified INB equation

(27)
$$u_t = u(u - \alpha)(u_2u_1 - u_{-1}u_{-2})$$

for a scalar function u = u(n, t). It is known (see, e.g., [1, 4] and references therein) that, for any solution u = u(n, t) of equation (27), the function

$$(28) z = u_2 u_1 (u_0 - \alpha)$$

satisfies the INB equation (26). More precisely, formula (28) determines a MT of order 2 from equation (27) to equation (26).

Also, it is known that equation (26) possesses the MLP

(29)
$$\mathbf{M}^{\text{INB}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -z_0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{U}^{\text{INB}} = \begin{pmatrix} z_{-2} + z_{-1} & 0 & \lambda \\ -\lambda z_0 & z_{-1} + z_0 & \lambda^2 \\ -\lambda^2 z_0 & -\lambda z_1 & \lambda^3 + z_0 + z_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting (28) in (29), one obtains the following MLP for equation (27)

(30)
$$\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, u_2, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ (\alpha - u_0)u_1u_2 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(31) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} (u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, \Lambda) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} (31) \\ \lambda(\alpha - u_0)u_1u_2 \\ \lambda^2(\alpha - u_0)u_1u_2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \lambda(\alpha - u_1)u_2u_3 \\ \lambda^3 - \alpha u_1u_2 + u_0u_1u_2 - \alpha u_2u_3 + u_1u_2u_3 \\ \lambda^3 - \alpha u_1u_2 + u_0u_1u_2 - \alpha u_2u_3 + u_1u_2u_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix-valued function (30) satisfies equations (17), (25) with k = q = 2, D = 1, $u_{\ell}^1 = u_{\ell}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, this MLP is 2-flat (in the sense of Definition 4), and we can apply Theorem 1 with k = 2.

In order to use formula (19) in the case k = 2, we need to choose a constant $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, substitute $u_0 = a_0$ in $\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, u_2, \lambda)$ given by (30), and consider the inverse matrix

(32)
$$\left(\mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, u_2, \lambda)\right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ (\alpha - a_0)u_1u_2 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\lambda}{(\alpha - a_0)u_1u_2} & \frac{1}{(\alpha - a_0)u_1u_2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can choose for a_0 any value such that (32) is well defined. In order to make formula (32) as simple as possible, we take $a_0 = \alpha + 1$.

According to (19) for k = 2 and $a_0 = \alpha + 1$, we consider the gauge transformation

(33)
$$\mathbf{g}(u_0, u_1, \lambda) = \mathbb{S}^{-1} \left(\left(\mathbf{M}(\alpha + 1, u_1, u_2, \lambda) \right)^{-1} \right) = \mathbb{S}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\lambda}{u_1 u_2} & \frac{-1}{u_1 u_2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\lambda}{u_0 u_1} & \frac{-1}{u_0 u_1} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying the gauge transformation (33) to the MLP (30), (31), one gets the following gauge equivalent MLP

(34)
$$\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(u_0, u_1, \lambda) = \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_0 - \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -u_0 u_1 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\tilde{\mathbf{U}}(u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, u_2, \lambda) = \mathbb{D}_t(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1} + \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1} =$$

Here \mathbb{D}_t is the total derivative operator corresponding to equation (27). That is, for any function $h = h(u_\ell, \dots)$ depending on a finite number of the variables u_ℓ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$\mathbb{D}_t(h) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{S}^\ell \left(u_0(u_0 - \alpha)(u_2u_1 - u_{-1}u_{-2}) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_\ell}.$$

In agreement with the proof of Theorem 1 in the case k = 2, since (34) is obtained from (30) by means of the gauge transformation (33) constructed by formula (19), the matrix-valued function (34) depends only on u_0 , u_1 , λ , in contrast to the matrix-valued function (30) depending on u_0 , u_1 , u_2 , λ .

The matrix-valued function (34) satisfies equations (17), (25) with k = q = 1, D = 1, $u_{\ell}^1 = u_{\ell}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the MLP (34), (35) is 1-flat, and we can apply Theorem 1 with k = 1 to (34).

Now we are going to use formula (19) in the case k = 1 with **M** replaced by $\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \lambda)$ given by (34). To this end, one needs to choose a constant $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, substitute $u_0 = a_0$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(u_0, u_1, \lambda)$, and consider the inverse matrix

(36)
$$(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(a_0, u_1, \lambda))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_0 - \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 u_1 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\lambda}{a_0 u_1} & -\frac{1}{a_0 u_1} \\ \frac{1}{a_0 - \alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can choose for a_0 any value such that (36) is well defined. Suppose that $\alpha \neq 1$. (The case $\alpha = 1$ is discussed separately in Remark 4 below.) Assuming $\alpha \neq 1$, we take $a_0 = 1$.

Now we derive a gauge transformation $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0, \lambda)$ by means of formula (19) with $k = 1, a_0 = 1, \tilde{\mathbf{M}}(a_0, u_1, \lambda)$. That is,

(37)
$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0, \lambda) = \mathbb{S}^{-1} \left(\left(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(1, u_1, \lambda) \right)^{-1} \right) = \mathbb{S}^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \frac{\lambda}{u_1} & -\frac{1}{u_1} \\ \frac{1}{1-\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \frac{\lambda}{u_0} & -\frac{1}{u_0} \\ \frac{1}{1-\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Applying the gauge transformation (37) to the MLP (34), (35), we obtain the following gauge equivalent MLP

(38)
$$\check{\mathbf{M}}(u_{0},\lambda) = \mathbb{S}(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{M}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\alpha-1)u_{0} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha-u_{0}}{\alpha-1}\\ -u_{0} & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\check{\mathbf{U}}(u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_{0}, u_{1}, \lambda) = \mathbb{D}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^{-1} + \tilde{\mathbf{g}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^{-1} =$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} (39) & -\frac{\alpha u_{-2}u_{-1} - \alpha u_{0}u_{1} + u_{-2}u_{0}u_{-1} + u_{0}u_{1}u_{-1}}{\alpha-1} & 0 & -\lambda u_{-1}(\alpha-u_{-2})\\ -\frac{\lambda u_{0}(\alpha-u_{-1})}{\alpha-1} & -u_{-1}(\alpha u_{-2} + \alpha u_{0} - u_{0}u_{-2} - u_{0}u_{1}) & \frac{\lambda^{2}(\alpha-u_{-1})}{\alpha-1}\\ -\lambda^{2}u_{0} & (\alpha-1)\lambda u_{0}u_{1} & -\alpha u_{-1}u_{0} - \alpha u_{0}u_{1} + \lambda^{3} + u_{-2}u_{-1}u_{0} + u_{-1}u_{0}u_{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 4. In the above computation we assumed $\alpha \neq 1$, which allowed us to take $a_0 = 1$. If $\alpha = 1$ then one can take $a_0 = 2$ and proceed in a similar fashion.

Thus, using the procedure described in Remark 3 and Theorem 1, we have simplified the MLP (30), (31) by means of gauge transformations and got the gauge equivalent MLP (38), (39). Its S-part (38) depends only on u_0 , λ , in contrast to (30) depending on u_0 , u_1 , u_2 , λ .

As mentioned in Remark 2, the paper [2] presents a method to derive MTs from a MLP in the case when its S-part depends only on u_0 , λ and satisfies certain conditions.

It can be shown that this method of [2] is applicable to the obtained MLP (38), (39) for the modified INB equation (27). In this way one can derive several modified versions of equation (27) which are connected to (27) by MTs. In particular, for any fixed constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ one can obtain the following modified version

of (27)

(45)

(40)
$$v_t = \frac{\alpha^4 (\alpha^2 v - c^2) (\alpha + cv) (\alpha v_{-1}v + c) (\alpha vv_1 + c) A(v_{-2}, v_{-1}, v_1, v_2)}{(\alpha^3 v_{-2}v_{-1}v + \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 cv_{-2} + \alpha^2 cv_{-1} + \alpha^2 cv - c^3) B(v_{-1}, v, v_1, v_2)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} A(v_{-2}, v_{-1}, v_1, v_2) &= \alpha^3 v_{-2} v_{-1} - \alpha^3 v_1 v_2 + \alpha^2 c v_{-2} v_{-1} v_1 + \\ &+ \alpha^2 c v_{-2} v_{-1} v_2 - \alpha^2 c v_{-2} v_1 v_2 - \alpha^2 c v_{-1} v_1 v_2 - \alpha c^2 v_{-1} + \alpha c^2 v_1 + \alpha c^2 v_2 - \alpha c^2 v_{-2} - c^3 v_{-2} v_{-1} + c^3 v_1 v_2, \\ B(v_{-1}, v, v_1, v_2) &= \\ &= (\alpha^3 v_{-1} v v_1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 c v_{-1} + \alpha^2 c v_1 + \alpha^2 c v_1 - c^3) (\alpha^3 v v_1 v_2 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 c v_1 + \alpha^2 c v_2 - c^3) \end{aligned}$$

such that equation (40) is connected to (27) by the MT

(41)
$$u = \frac{\alpha(\alpha v v_1 + c)(\alpha^2 v_2 - c^2)}{\alpha^3 v v_1 v_2 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 c v_1 + \alpha^2 c v_2 - c^3}$$

Substituting (41) in (38), (39), one obtains a λ -dependent matrix Lax pair for (40). Therefore, equation (40) is integrable in the sense that (40) possesses a nontrivial matrix Lax pair with parameter λ and is connected by the MT (41) to the well-known integrable modified INB equation (27).

A derivation of (40) and (41) from the MLP (38), (39) by the method of [2] is discussed in Remark 6 below. Equation (40) is connected to the INB equation (26) by the composition of the two MTs (28), (41). Therefore, (40) can be regarded as a doubly modified version of the INB equation.

a> *i*

Computing the composition of the MTs (28), (41), we get the following MT of order 4

$$(42) \qquad z = -\frac{\alpha^4 (\alpha + cv_0)(\alpha + cv_1)(\alpha v_1 v_2 + c)(\alpha^2 v_3 - c^2)(\alpha v_2 v_3 + c)(\alpha^2 v_4 - c^2)}{(\alpha^3 v_0 v_1 v_2 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 cv_0 + \alpha^2 cv_1 + \alpha^2 cv_2 - c^3) H(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)}, H(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = \\ = (\alpha^3 v_1 v_2 v_3 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 cv_1 + \alpha^2 cv_2 + \alpha^2 cv_3 - c^3)(\alpha^3 v_2 v_3 v_4 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 cv_2 + \alpha^2 cv_3 + \alpha^2 cv_4 - c^3)$$

from equation (40) to the INB equation (26).

4.7

Remark 5. MTs of order 2 over the INB equation (26) were studied in [8]. The MT (41) of order 2 does not appear in [8], since (41) is over the modified INB equation (27).

The MT (42) is of order 4 and does not appear in [8] either.

Some MTs of order 2 over equation (27) are presented in [20, 26], but (41) does not appear in [20, 26].

Remark 6. According to Definition 1, we can consider the auxiliary linear system

(43)
$$\begin{split} \mathbb{S}(\Phi) &= \hat{\mathbf{M}}(u_0, \lambda) \Phi, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Phi) &= \check{\mathbf{U}}(u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, \lambda) \Phi \end{split}$$

corresponding to the MLP (38), (39). Here $\Phi = \Phi(n, t, \lambda)$ is an invertible 2 × 2 matrix-valued function.

Following ideas of [2], consider the Taylor expansion with respect to λ

(44)
$$\Phi = \Phi^0 + \lambda \Phi^1 + O(\lambda^2),$$

where $\Phi^0 = \Phi^0(n,t)$ and $\Phi^1 = \Phi^1(n,t)$ are 2 × 2 matrix-valued functions, and substitute (44) in (43), which yields

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{S}(\Phi^0) + \lambda \mathbb{S}(\Phi^1) &= \check{\mathbf{M}}(u_0, \lambda) \cdot (\Phi^0 + \lambda \Phi^1) \qquad \text{modulo } O(\lambda^2), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Phi^0) + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Phi^1) &= \check{\mathbf{U}}(u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, \lambda) \cdot (\Phi^0 + \lambda \Phi^1) \qquad \text{modulo } O(\lambda^2). \end{split}$$

Using the method of [2], one can derive equation (40) and the MT (41) as a certain reduction of the matrix system (45). A detailed derivation of (40) and (41) from (45) by the method of [2] will be presented in a different publication.

5. Integrable equations related to the Toda lattice

Consider the Toda lattice equation

(46)
$$\gamma_{tt} = \exp(\gamma_1 - \gamma) - \exp(\gamma - \gamma_{-1}), \qquad \gamma_1 = \gamma(n+1,t), \qquad \gamma_{-1} = \gamma(n-1,t),$$

for a scalar function $\gamma = \gamma(n, t)$. Following [6, 17], we consider the functions $s^1(n, t) = \exp(\gamma - \gamma_{-1})$ and $s^2(n, t) = \gamma_t$. Then (46) implies

(47)
$$\begin{cases} s_t^1 = s^1(s^2 - s_{-1}^2), \\ s_t^2 = s_1^1 - s^1. \end{cases}$$

The 2-component equation (47) is sometimes called the Toda lattice written in the Flaschka–Manakov coordinates.

It is known (see, e.g., [15]) that the following matrices form a MLP for (47)

(48)
$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + s^2 & s^1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -s^1 \\ 1 & \lambda + s^2_{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using known methods to derive MTs from a given MLP (see, e.g., [2] and references therein), from the MLP (48) one can obtain the following. Fix a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. The 2-component equation

(49)
$$\begin{cases} u_t^1 = \frac{u_0^1 H^1(u_{-1}^1, u_{-1}^2, u_0^1, u_0^2, u_1^1, u_1^2, \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{(u_{-1}^1 - u_{-1}^2)(u_0^1 - u_0^2)}, \\ u_t^2 = -\frac{u_0^2 H^2(u_{-1}^1, u_{-1}^2, u_0^1, u_0^2, u_1^1, u_1^2, \boldsymbol{\alpha})}{(u_{-1}^1 - u_{-1}^2)(u_0^2 - u_0^1)}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} H^{1}(u_{-1}^{1}, u_{-1}^{2}, u_{0}^{1}, u_{0}^{2}, u_{1}^{1}, u_{1}^{2}, \mathbf{\alpha}) &= -\mathbf{\alpha} u_{-1}^{2} u_{0}^{1} + \mathbf{\alpha} u_{-1}^{1} u_{0}^{2} + u_{-1}^{2} (u_{0}^{1})^{2} - 2u_{-1}^{2} u_{0}^{2} u_{0}^{1} + \\ &\quad + u_{-1}^{2} (u_{0}^{2})^{2} - u_{-1}^{1} u_{1}^{1} u_{0}^{2} + u_{1}^{1} u_{-1}^{2} u_{0}^{2} + u_{-1}^{1} u_{0}^{2} u_{1}^{2} - u_{-1}^{2} u_{0}^{2} u_{1}^{2}, \\ H^{2}(u_{-1}^{1}, u_{-1}^{2}, u_{0}^{1}, u_{0}^{2}, u_{1}^{1}, u_{1}^{2}, \mathbf{\alpha}) &= -\mathbf{\alpha} u_{-1}^{2} u_{0}^{1} + \mathbf{\alpha} u_{-1}^{1} u_{0}^{2} + u_{-1}^{1} (u_{0}^{1})^{2} - u_{-1}^{1} u_{0}^{1} u_{0}^{1} + \\ &\quad + u_{1}^{1} u_{-1}^{2} u_{0}^{1} - 2u_{-1}^{1} u_{0}^{2} u_{0}^{1} + u_{-1}^{1} u_{1}^{2} u_{0}^{1} - u_{-1}^{2} u_{1}^{2} u_{0}^{1} + u_{-1}^{1} (u_{0}^{2})^{2}, \end{split}$$

is connected to (47) by the MT

(50)
$$\begin{cases} s^{1} = \frac{u_{0}^{1}u_{0}^{2}(u_{1}^{1} - u_{1}^{2} - \alpha)}{u_{0}^{1} - u_{0}^{2}}, \\ s^{2} = \frac{\alpha u_{0}^{2} - u_{1}^{1}u_{0}^{1} + u_{0}^{2}u_{1}^{2}}{u_{0}^{1} - u_{0}^{2}}. \end{cases}$$

In this section we use the notation (4) with D = 2. That is, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $u_{\ell} = (u_{\ell}^1, u_{\ell}^2)$. Substituting (50) in (48), one obtains the following MLP for equation (49)

(51)
$$\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \frac{\alpha u_0^2 - u_1^1 u_0^1 + u_0^2 u_1^2}{u_0^1 - u_0^2} & \frac{u_0^1 u_0^2 (u_1^1 - u_1^2 - \alpha)}{u_0^1 - u_0^2} \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(52)
$$\mathbf{U}(u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{u_0^1 u_0^2 (u_1^2 + \alpha - u_1^1)}{u_0^1 - u_0^2} \\ 1 & \lambda + \frac{\alpha u_{-1}^2 - u_0^1 u_{-1}^1 + u_{-1}^2 u_0^2}{u_{-1}^1 - u_{-1}^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix-valued function (51) satisfies equations (17), (25) with k = q = 1, D = 2. Therefore, this MLP is 1-flat (in the sense of Definition 4), and we can apply Theorem 1 with k = 1.

In order to use formula (19) in the case k = 1, we need to choose a constant vector $a_0 = (a_0^1, a_0^2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, substitute $u_0^1 = a_0^1$, $u_0^2 = a_0^2$ in $\mathbf{M}(u_0, u_1, \lambda)$ given by (51), and consider the inverse matrix

$$\left(\mathbf{M}(a_0, u_1, \lambda) \right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \frac{\alpha a_0^2 - u_1^1 a_0^1 + a_0^2 u_1^2}{a_0^1 - a_0^2} & \frac{a_0^1 a_0^2 (u_1^1 - u_1^2 - \alpha)}{a_0^1 - a_0^2} \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \frac{a_0^2 - a_0^1}{a_0^1 a_0^2 (\alpha - u_1^1 + u_1^2)} & \frac{\lambda (a_0^2 - a_0^1) + u_1^1 a_0^1 - \alpha a_0^2 - a_0^2 u_1^2}{a_0^1 a_0^2 (\alpha - u_1^1 + u_1^2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

One can choose for $a_0 = (a_0^1, a_0^2)$ any value such that (53) is well defined. We take $a_0^1 = 2$, $a_0^2 = 1$. According to (19) for k = 1 and $a_0 = (2, 1)$, we consider the gauge transformation

$$\mathbf{g}(u_0,\lambda) = \mathbb{S}^{-1} \left(\left(\mathbf{M}(a_0,u_1,\lambda) \right)^{-1} \right) = \mathbb{S}^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ \frac{-1}{2(\alpha-u_1^1+u_1^2)} & \frac{-\lambda+2u_1^1-\alpha-u_1^2}{2(\alpha-u_1^1+u_1^2)} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ \frac{-1}{2(\alpha-u_0^1+u_0^2)} & \frac{-\lambda+2u_0^1-\alpha-u_0^2}{2(\alpha-u_0^1+u_0^2)} \end{array} \right).$$

Below we use the total derivative operator \mathbb{D}_t corresponding to equation (49). Applying the gauge transformation (54) to the MLP (51), (52), one gets the following gauge equivalent MLP

(55)
$$\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(u_{0},\lambda) = \mathbb{S}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \alpha - 2u_{0}^{1} + u_{0}^{2} & -2(\alpha - u_{0}^{1} + u_{0}^{2}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \alpha - 2u_{0}^{1} + 2u_{0}^{2} - \frac{(\lambda + \alpha)u_{0}^{2}}{u_{0}^{1} - u_{0}^{2}}) & \frac{(u_{0}^{1} - 2u_{0}^{2})(-\alpha + u_{0}^{1} - u_{0}^{2})}{u_{0}^{1} - u_{0}^{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\tilde{\mathbf{U}}(u_{-1}, u_{0}, \lambda) = \mathbb{D}_{t}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1} + \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1} = \\ \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{(u_{-1}^{1} - 2u_{-1}^{2})(\alpha - u_{0}^{1} + u_{0}^{2})}{u_{-1}^{1} - u_{-1}^{2}} & 2(\alpha - u_{0}^{1} + u_{0}^{2}) \\ u_{0}^{1} - u_{0}^{2} + \frac{(\lambda + \alpha)(2u_{-1}^{2} - u_{-1}^{1})}{2(u_{-1}^{1} - u_{-1}^{2})} & \lambda + \alpha - \frac{(2u_{-1}^{1} - u_{-1}^{2})(u_{0}^{1} - u_{0}^{2})}{u_{-1}^{1} - u_{-1}^{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, applying the procedure discussed in Remark 3, we have simplified the MLP (51), (52) by the gauge transformation (54) and obtained the gauge equivalent MLP (55), (56). The S-part (55) of the obtained MLP depends only on u_0 , λ , unlike the matrix (51) depending on u_0 , u_1 , λ .

As discussed in Remark 2, the paper [2] describes a method to derive MTs from a MLP with S-part depending only on u_0 , λ and satisfying certain conditions. This method is applicable to the obtained MLP (55), (56) for equation (49), where α is a fixed constant. In this way one can derive several modified versions of equation (49) which are connected to (49) by MTs. Since in the case of arbitrary α the corresponding formulas are rather cumbersome, below we consider the case $\alpha = 0$.

The matrix-valued functions (55), (56) with $\alpha = 0$ form a MLP for equation (49) with $\alpha = 0$. From this MLP one can derive the following. The 2-component equation

(57)
$$\begin{cases} w_t^1 = -\frac{w_0^1(w_0^1+1)(w_0^1w_1^1+w_1^2w_1^1+w_1^1-w_0^1w_1^2)}{w_0^1w_1^1w_0^2+w_1^1w_0^2-w_0^1w_1^2w_0^2+w_1^1w_1^2w_0^2-w_0^1w_1^2-w_0^1w_1^1w_1^2},\\ w_t^2 = \frac{P(w_{-1}^1,w_0^1,w_0^2,w_1^1,w_1^2)}{(w_{-1}^1-w_0^1)(w_0^1w_1^1w_0^2+w_1^1w_0^2-w_0^1w_1^2w_0^2+w_1^1w_1^2w_0^2-w_0^1w_1^2-w_0^1w_1^1w_1^2)}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} w^{1} &= w^{1}(n,t), \qquad w^{2} = w^{2}(n,t), \\ P(w_{-1}^{1},w_{0}^{1},w_{0}^{2},w_{1}^{1},w_{1}^{2}) &= -w_{-1}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}(w_{0}^{1})^{2} + w_{-1}^{1}w_{1}^{2}(w_{0}^{1})^{2} + w_{-1}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{1}^{2}(w_{0}^{1})^{2} + w_{-1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}w_{1}^{2}(w_{0}^{1})^{2} + w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}w_{1}^{2}(w_{0}^{1})^{2} + w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}w_{1}^{2}(w_{0}^{1})^{2} + w_{1}^{2}(w_{0}^{1})^{2} - 2w_{-1}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}w_{0}^{1} - 2w_{-1}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}w_{1}^{2}w_{0}^{1} - w_{-1}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2} - w_{-1}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}w_{1}^{2}, \end{split}$$

is connected to equation (49) with $\alpha = 0$ by the MT

$$\begin{cases} u^{1} = \frac{w_{0}^{1}(w_{1}^{1}+1)(w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{1}+w_{1}^{2}w_{1}^{1}+w_{1}^{1}-w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{2})}{(w_{1}^{1}-w_{0}^{1})(-w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}-w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}+w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{2}w_{0}^{2}-w_{1}^{1}w_{1}^{2}w_{0}^{2}+w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{2}+w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{1}^{2})},\\ u^{2} = \frac{(w_{0}^{1}+1)w_{1}^{1}(w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{1}+w_{1}^{2}w_{1}^{1}+w_{1}^{1}-w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{2})}{(w_{1}^{1}-w_{0}^{1})(-w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}-w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{0}^{2}+w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{2}w_{0}^{2}-w_{1}^{1}w_{1}^{2}w_{0}^{2}+w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{2}+w_{0}^{1}w_{1}^{1}w_{1}^{2})}.\end{cases}$$

Hence equation (57) can be viewed as a modified version of equation (49) with $\alpha = 0$.

Equation (57) is connected to equation (47) by the composition of the two MTs (58) and (50) with $\alpha = 0$. Therefore, (57) can be regarded as a doubly modified version of equation (47).

Substituting (58) in the matrix-valued functions (55), (56) with $\alpha = 0$, we derive a λ -dependent matrix Lax pair for (57). Hence equation (57) is integrable in the sense that it has a nontrivial matrix Lax pair with parameter λ and is connected to the known integrable equation (47) by the composition of the two MTs discussed above.

A detailed derivation of (57) and (58) from the MLP (55), (56) with $\alpha = 0$ by the method of [2] will be presented in a different publication.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have presented sufficient conditions which allow one to simplify a given MLP by gauge transformations and described a procedure for such a simplification. Furthermore, we have demonstrated how this procedure helps (in the considered examples) to construct modified and doubly modified versions with MTs for a given differential-difference equation possessing a MLP satisfying certain conditions.

This has allowed us to derive new integrable equations connected by new MTs to known equations. In particular, we have obtained new integrable equations (40), (49), (57) and new MTs (41), (42), (50), (58).

The results of this paper considerably extend the ones of [2], since the paper [2] (where MLPs are called Darboux-Lax representations) does not contain any analog of our Theorem 1. Furthermore, the obtained integrable equations (40), (49), (57) and MTs (41), (42), (50), (58) do not appear in [2].

Motivated by the results of the present paper, we suggest the following open problems for further research.

Open problem 1. As shown in Sections 3, 4, 5, our results on simplifications of differential-difference matrix Lax pairs (satisfying certain conditions) by gauge transformations are based on a detailed study of the action of the gauge transformations group on the set of MLPs of a given differential-difference equation and allow us to construct new MTs for some differential-difference equations. It would be interesting to obtain analogous results in the case of (1+1)-dimensional partial differential equations (PDEs). In this direction one can use results of [12, 13] about the gauge transformations group action on matrix Lax pairs (also called zero-curvature representations) for (1+1)-dimensional PDEs and constructions of MTs from some zero-curvature representations of such PDEs [5, 11].

Open problem 2. In this paper we consider functions depending on dynamical variables (e.g. u_{ℓ} or v_{ℓ} , $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,) and, possibly, on some parameters. This means that we study the *autonomous case*. It would be interesting to extend the obtained results to the *non-autonomous case*, where (in addition to dependence on dynamical variables and parameters) explicit dependence on the independent variables n, t is allowed.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Rustem Garifullin and Maxim Pavlov for useful discussions.

The results in Section 3 were obtained with support from the grant No. 21-71-30011 of the Russian Science Foundation, https://rscf.ru/en/project/21-71-30011/.

The research presented in Sections 4, 5 was funded by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Russia (Agreement on allocation of subsidy No. 075-02-2024-1442 for the Regional Mathematical Center "Center of Integrable Systems" of Yaroslavl State University).

References

- [1] V.E. Adler and V.V. Postnikov. On discrete 2D integrable equations of higher order. J. Phys. A 47 (2014), 045206.
- [2] G. Berkeley and S. Igonin. Miura-type transformations for lattice equations and Lie group actions associated with Darboux-Lax representations. J. Phys. A 49 (2016), 275201. arXiv:1512.09123
- [3] O.I. Bogoyavlensky. Integrable discretizations of the KdV equation. *Physics Letters A* **134** (1988), 34–38.
- [4] O.I. Bogoyavlenskii. The Lax representation with a spectral parameter for certain dynamical systems. Math. USSR Izvestiya 32 (1989), 245–268.
- [5] V.G. Drinfeld and V.V. Sokolov. On equations related to the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Soviet Math. Dokl. 32 (1985), 361–365.
- [6] H. Flaschka. The Toda lattice. I. Existence of integrals. Phys. Rev. B (3) 9 (1974), 1924–1925.
- [7] R.N. Garifullin, R.I. Yamilov, and D. Levi. Classification of five-point differential-difference equations II. J. Phys. A 51 (2018), 065204.
- [8] R.N. Garifullin and R.I. Yamilov. Integrable Modifications of the Ito-Narita-Bogoyavlensky Equation. SIGMA 15 (2019), 062.
- [9] B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani, C. Scimiterna, and R. Willox. Miura transformations and the various guises of integrable lattice equations. J. Phys. A 44 (2011), 152004.
- [10] J. Hietarinta, N. Joshi, and F.W. Nijhoff. Discrete systems and integrability. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [11] S.A. Igonin. Miura type transformations and homogeneous spaces. J. Phys. A 38 (2005), 4433–4446.
- [12] S. Igonin. Higher jet prolongation Lie algebras and Bäcklund transformations for (1+1)-dimensional PDEs. https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.2199
- [13] S. Igonin and G. Manno. Lie algebras responsible for zero-curvature representations of scalar evolution equations. J. Geom. Phys. 138 (2019), 297–316.
- [14] Y. Itoh. An H-theorem for a system of competing species. Proc. Japan Acad. 51 (1975), 374–379.
- [15] F. Khanizadeh, A.V. Mikhailov, and Jing Ping Wang. Darboux transformations and recursion operators for differentialdifference equations. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* 177 (2013), 1606–1654.
- [16] D. Levi, P. Winternitz, and R.I. Yamilov. Continuous symmetries and integrability of discrete equations. CRM Monograph Series 38. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [17] S.V. Manakov. Complete integrability and stochastization of discrete dynamical systems. Soviet Physics JETP 40 (1975), 269–274.
- [18] A.G. Meshkov and M.Ju. Balakhnev. Two-field integrable evolutionary systems of the third order and their differential substitutions. SIGMA 4 (2008), Paper 018, 29 pp.
- [19] A.V. Mikhailov, A.B. Shabat, and V.V. Sokolov. The symmetry approach to classification of integrable equations. In: What is integrability?, edited by V. E. Zakharov, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [20] A.V. Mikhailov and P. Xenitidis. Second Order Integrability Conditions for Difference Equations: An Integrable Equation. Lett. Math. Phys. 40 (2014), 431–450.
- [21] R. Miura. Korteweg de Vries equation and generalizations. I. A remarkable explicit nonlinear transformation. J. Mathematical Phys. 9 (1968), 1202–1204.
- [22] K. Narita. Soliton solution to extended Volterra equation. J. Phys. Soc. Japan 51 (1982), 1682–1685.
- [23] V.V. Sokolov. On the symmetries of evolution equations. Russian Math. Surveys 43 (1988), 165–204.
- [24] S.Ya. Startsev. Hyperbolic equations admitting differential substitutions. Theoret. and Math. Phys. 127 (2001), 460– 470.
- [25] Yu.B. Suris. The Problem of Integrable Discretization: Hamiltonian Approach. Progress in Mathematics 219. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.
- [26] P. Xenitidis. Determining the symmetries of difference equations. Proceedings A 474 (2018), 20180340.
- [27] R.I. Yamilov. Construction scheme for discrete Miura transformations. J. Phys. A 27 (1994), 6839–6851.
- [28] R. Yamilov. Symmetries as integrability criteria for differential difference equations. J. Phys. A **39** (2006), R541–R623.