

The Gersten conjecture for p -adic étale Tate twists and the p -adic cycle class map

Morten Lüdgers

Abstract

We prove the Gersten conjecture for p -adic étale Tate twists for a smooth scheme X in mixed characteristic. Furthermore, we revisit the cycle class map from thickened zero-cycles on the special fiber of X to étale cohomology with coefficients in p -adic étale Tate twists previously studied in [13]. The approach we use in this article is more conceptual and works in greater generality.

1 INTRODUCTION

Let A be a discrete valuation ring with local parameter π , perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and fraction field K of characteristic zero. Let $S = \text{Spec}(A)$ and X/S be a smooth scheme of dimension d . Let $\mathcal{T}_r(n) \in D^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r)$ denote the p -adic étale Tate twists defined by Sato in [19]. Let $\epsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Nis}}$ be the natural morphism of sites. The first main result of this article is the following:

Theorem 1.1. (*Thm. 4.3*) *Assume that S is henselian. The complex of sheaves*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R^q \epsilon_* \mathcal{T}_r(n) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} i_{*,x} H_x^q(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} i_{*,x} H_x^{q+1}(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \\ &\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(d)}} i_{*,x} H_x^{q+d}(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact in the Nisnevich topology.

This theorem complements other results on the Gersten conjecture for smooth schemes in mixed characteristic. Gillet-Levine prove it for K -theory [9], Geisser for motivic cohomology [8], Schmidt-Strunk [22] and the author [14] for étale cohomology with finite coefficients away from the residue characteristic. For $q \leq n$ our theorem can also be deduced from Geisser's results for motivic cohomology and his results on the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in mixed characteristic. The approach of this article just uses properties of p -adic étale Tate twists. The key observation is the following. One cannot use the formalism of a cohomology theory with duality combined with Quillen's trick as in [3, 14] since there is no Poincaré duality in all weights for p -adic étale Tate twists. Therefore, one has to use Gabber's approach [7, 4], the main ingredients of which are a geometric presentation lemma, generalised to mixed characteristic by Schmidt-Strunk [21], and strict \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance or the projective bundle formula. While p -adic étale Tate twists are not strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, they satisfy the projective bundle formula.

Our second main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.2. (*Thm. 5.2*) *Let the notation be as above but let X/S be smooth projective and d be the relative dimension of X over S . Assume that k is finite. Let X_k be the special fiber of X (in the following also denoted by X_1) and denote the inclusion by $i : X_k \rightarrow X$. Let $X_n = X \times_A A/\pi^n$. Then for $q \in \{0, 1\}$ there are isomorphisms*

$$H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-q}(X_k, i^* \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d,X}^M/p^r) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_n H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-q}(X_k, \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d,X_n}^M/p^r) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-q}(X_k, i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)).$$

This was proved for $q = 0$ and $A = W(k)$ and assuming that the k is sufficiently large in [13, Prop. 1.4] and can be considered to be a generalisation of higher-dimensional unramified class field theory over finite fields. The proof we give in this article is more conceptual and works more generally. One of the key ingredients is the calculation of the top cohomology of $\mathcal{T}_r(n)$, which also enters in the proof of Theorem 1.1, and the Kato conjectures.

Acknowledgement. The author acknowledges support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through the Collaborative Research Centre TRR 326 *Geometry and Arithmetic of Uniformized Structures*, project number 444845124.

2 p -ADIC ÉTALE TATE TWISTS

Let A be a discrete valuation ring with perfect residue field of characteristic $p > 0$ and fraction field K of characteristic zero. Let X be a regular semistable scheme which is flat of finite type over $S = \text{Spec } A$. Let Y be the special fiber and X_K the generic fiber with inclusions

$$X_K \xrightarrow{j} X \xleftarrow{i} Y.$$

In [19], Sato constructs a pair $(\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X, t')$, with $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X \in D^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$ unique up to unique isomorphism, fitting into a distinguished triangle of the form

$$i_*\nu_{Y,r}^{n-1}[-n-1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X \xrightarrow{t'} \tau_{\leq n} Rj_*\mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow i_*\nu_{Y,r}^{n-1}[-n].$$

The complexes $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X$ are called *p -adic étale Tate twists*. If X is smooth over S , then $\nu_{Y,r}^{n-1} = W_r\Omega_{Y,\log}^{n-1}$. In the smooth case the objects $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X$ were first defined by Schneider [23] and extensively studied by Geisser [8]. In the following we list the properties of p -adic étale Tate twists which we need for the Gersten conjecture. For more details see [8] and [19].

- (i) There is an isomorphism $t : j^*\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X \simeq \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n}$.
- (ii) $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X$ is concentrated in $[0, n]$, i.e., the q -th cohomology sheaf is zero unless $0 \leq q \leq n$.
- (iii) For a locally closed regular subscheme $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ of characteristic p and of codimension $c (\geq 1)$, there is a Gysin isomorphism $W_r\Omega_{Z,\log}^{n-c}[-n-c] \xrightarrow{\cong} \tau_{\leq n+c} Ri^!\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X$ in $D^b(Z_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$.
- (iv) There is a unique morphism $\mathfrak{T}_r(m)_X \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_r(m+n)_X$ in $D^-(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$ that extends the natural isomorphism $\mu_{p^r}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n} \simeq \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes m+n}$ on $X[1/p]$.
- (v) Let Z be another scheme which is flat of finite type over S and for which the objects $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_Z$ are defined. Let $f : Z \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of schemes and let $\psi : Z[1/p] \rightarrow X[1/p]$ be the induced morphism. Then there is a unique morphism

$$f^*\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_r(n)_Z$$

in $D^b(Z_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$ that extends the natural isomorphism $\psi^*\mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n} \simeq \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n}$ on $Z[1/p]$. We denote the induced map on cohomology by

$$f^* : H^q(X, \mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X) \rightarrow H^q(Z, f^*\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X) \rightarrow H^q(Z, \mathfrak{T}_r(n)_Z).$$

Assume that f is proper, and put $c := \dim(X) - \dim(Z)$. Then there is a unique morphism

$$Rf_*\mathfrak{T}_r(n-c)_Z[-2c] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X$$

in $D^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$ that extends the trace morphism $R\psi_*\mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n-c}[-2c] \rightarrow \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n}$ on $X[1/p]$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be as above and $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ a regular closed subscheme of characteristic p and of codimension $c (\geq 1)$. There are isomorphisms*

$$H_Z^{n+c}(X, \mathfrak{T}_r(n)) \cong H^{n-c}(Z, W_r\Omega_{Z,\log}^{n-c}[-(n-c)]).$$

Proof. Consider the Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$E_2^{u,v} = H^u(Z, R^v i^! \mathfrak{T}_r(n)) \implies R^{v+u}(\Gamma_Z \circ i^!) \mathfrak{T}_r(n) =: H_Z^{v+u}(X, \mathfrak{T}_r(n)).$$

By purity (property (iii) above), this spectral sequence looks as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} n+c+1 & & H^0(Z, R^1 i^! \mathfrak{T}_r(n)) & & \dots & & \\ & & & & & & \\ n+c & & H^0(Z, W_r \Omega_{Z, \log}^{n-c}) & & H^1(Z, W_r \Omega_{Z, \log}^{n-c}) & & \dots \\ & & & \searrow & & & \\ n+c-1 & & 0 & & 0 & & \rightarrow 0 \quad \dots \end{array}$$

This implies that $H^0(Z, W_r \Omega_{Z, \log}^{n-c}) \cong H_Z^{n+c}(X, \mathfrak{T}_r(n))$. □

The projective bundle formula

Let E be a vector bundle of rank $a+1$ on X and $f: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the associated projective bundle. Let $\mathcal{O}(1)_E$ be the tautological invertible sheaf on $\mathbb{P}(E)$. By [19, 4.5.1] there is a distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{T}_r(1)_{\mathbb{P}(E)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}^\times \xrightarrow{\times p^r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_r(1)_{\mathbb{P}(E)}[1]$$

in $D^b(\mathbb{P}(E)_{\text{ét}})$ and we denote the image of $\mathcal{O}(1)_E$ under the induced map

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathcal{O}^\times) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathcal{T}_r(1)_{\mathbb{P}(E)})$$

by ξ . The composition

$$\mathcal{T}_r(n-q)_X[-2q] \xrightarrow{f^*} Rf_* \mathcal{T}_r(n-q)_{\mathbb{P}(E)}[-2q] \xrightarrow{-\cup \xi^q} Rf_* \mathcal{T}_r(n)_{\mathbb{P}(E)},$$

$0 \leq q \leq a$, induces a canonical morphism

$$\gamma_E: \bigoplus_{q=0}^a \mathcal{T}_r(n-q)_X[-2q] \rightarrow Rf_* \mathcal{T}_r(n)_{\mathbb{P}(E)}$$

in $D^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r)$.

Proposition 2.2. [20, Thm. 4.1] γ_E is an isomorphism for all n .

For a more general statement concerning syntomic cohomology see also [2, Thm. 9.1.1].

3 GENERAL REDUCTIONS

Let A be a discrete valuation ring with local parameter π , perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and fraction field K of characteristic zero and $S = \text{Spec}(A)$. Let \mathcal{S}_S be the category of regular semistable schemes which are flat of finite type over $S = \text{Spec} A$. Let \mathcal{P}_S be the category of pairs (X, Z) , where $X \in \mathcal{S}_S$ and Z is a closed subset of X . A morphism $f: (X', Z') \rightarrow (X, Z)$ in \mathcal{P}_S consists of a morphism $f: X' \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{S}_S such that $f^{-1}(Z) \subset Z'$. We define a cohomology theory with supports by the contravariant functor

$$(X, Z) \mapsto H_Z^*(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

from \mathcal{P}_S to the category of abelian groups. For any triple $Z \subset Y \subset X$ with Z, Y closed in X there is a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_Z^*(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H_Y^*(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H_{Y-Z}^*(X-Z, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H_Z^{*+1}(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \dots$$

([19, Sec. 1.9]). Furthermore, we have excision: let $Z \subset X$ and $Z' \subset X'$ be closed subschemes and $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ be an étale morphism such that the restriction of π to Z' is an isomorphism and $\pi(X' - Z') \subset X - Z$, then

$$H_Z^*(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{Z'}^*(X', \pi^* \mathcal{T}_r(n)).$$

In the following let $\tau \in \{\text{Zar}, \text{Nis}\}$ denote the Zariski or Nisnevich topology. Let $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}_r(n))$ be the sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto H^*(U, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ for the τ -topology on X .

Definition 3.1. (1) Let $X \in \mathcal{S}_S$. We let

$$Z^p(X) := \{Z \subset X \mid Z \text{ closed, } \text{codim}_X(Z) \geq p\}.$$

If X is clear from the context, then we also write Z^p instead of $Z^p(X)$. Let Z^p/Z^{p+1} denote the ordered set of pairs $(Z, Z') \in Z^p \times Z^{p+1}$ such that $Z' \subset Z$, with the ordering $(Z, Z') \geq (Z_1, Z'_1)$ if $Z \supset Z_1$ and $Z' \supset Z'_1$.

(2) For the τ -topology on X , we define $\mathcal{H}_{Z^p}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n))$ to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \varinjlim_{Z \in Z^p(U)} H_Z^q(U, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{Z^p/Z^{p+1}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n))$ to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \varinjlim_{(Z, Z') \in Z^p(U)/Z^{p+1}(U)} H_{Z-Z'}^q(U - Z', \mathcal{T}_r(n)).$$

(3) Let

$$H_x^q(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) := \varinjlim_{U \ni x} H_{\{x\} \cap U}^q(U, \mathcal{T}_r(n)),$$

where the colimit is taken over all open subschemes U in X containing x .

The complex in

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R^q \epsilon_* \mathcal{T}_r(n) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} i_{*,x} H_x^q(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} i_{*,x} H_x^{q+1}(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \\ &\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(d)}} i_{*,x} H_x^{q+d}(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact in the τ -topology if the natural map

$$(*) : \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+1}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^p}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

is zero for all $p, q, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, assuming $(*) = 0$ for all $p, q, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^p/Z^{p+1}}^{q-1}(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+1}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{H}_{Z^p}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^p/Z^{p+1}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+1}/Z^{p+2}}^{q-1}(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+2}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+1}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+1}/Z^{p+2}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

splice together as follows:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^p/Z^{p+1}}^{q-1}(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+1}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+1}/Z^{p+2}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+2}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

If T_1, \dots, T_r are pairwise disjoint closed subsets of X , then $\bigoplus_i H_{T_i}^q(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \cong H_{\bigcup T_i}^q(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ (see [4, Lemma 1.2.1]). Therefore there are isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H}_{Z^p/Z^{p+1}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in Z^p/Z^{p+1}} i_{*,x} H_x^q(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

The following definition is used in applications to show that $(*)$ is zero.

Definition 3.2. Let $X \in \mathcal{S}_S$ and $x \in X$ a point. We say that $\mathcal{T}_r(n)$ is effaceable at x if the following condition is satisfied: Given $p \geq 0$, for any affine τ -neighbourhood W of x and any closed subscheme $Z \in Z^{p+1}(W)$ there exists a τ -neighbourhood $f : U \rightarrow W$ of x and a closed subscheme $Z' \in Z^p(U)$ containing $f^{-1}(Z)$ and x such that the composition

$$H_Z^*(W, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H_{f^{-1}(Z)}^*(U, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H_{Z'}^*(U, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

is zero.

4 PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We fix the following notation. Let A be a discrete valuation ring with local parameter π , perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and fraction field K of characteristic zero and $S = \text{Spec}(A)$.

In order to prove our main theorem, the Gersten conjecture for p -adic étale Tate twists, we closely follow Gabber's strategy [7] as exposed in [4]. A key ingredient is the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let V be a smooth S -scheme, $p : \mathbb{A}_V^1 \rightarrow V$ and $\tilde{p} : \mathbb{P}_V^1 \rightarrow V$ the natural projections. Let $j : \mathbb{A}_V^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_V^1$ be the inclusion and $s_\infty : V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_V^1$ the section of \tilde{p} at infinity. Let F be a closed subset of V . Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathbb{A}_F^1}^q(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & & \\ \uparrow j^* & \swarrow p^* & \\ H_{\mathbb{P}_F^1}^q(\mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & & H_F^q(V, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \\ & \searrow s_\infty^* & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 there is an isomorphism $H_{\mathbb{P}_F^1}^q(\mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \cong H_F^{q-2}(V, \mathcal{T}_r(n-1)) \oplus H_F^q(V, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$. Since the restrictions $j^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ and $s_\infty^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ are trivial, this implies that $j^*|_{H_F^{q-2}(V, \mathcal{T}_r(n-1))} = 0$ and $s_\infty^*|_{H_F^{q-2}(V, \mathcal{T}_r(n-1))} = 0$ and therefore that the diagram commutes. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let V be a smooth S -scheme, F' a closed subset of V and F a closed subset of \mathbb{A}_F^1 such that the projection $f : F' \rightarrow F$ is finite. Diagrammatically:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F' & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_F^1 & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_V^1 \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ & & F & \hookrightarrow & V \end{array}$$

Then the natural map

$$H_{F'}^q(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{A}_F^1}^q(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

is zero.

Proof. Let $k : \mathbb{P}_V^1 - F' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_V^1$ be the open immersion. Since $s_\infty(V) \cap F' = \emptyset$ as f is finite, s_∞ can be factorised into $s_\infty = k \circ s'$. The statement now follows from the following commutative diagram in which the isomorphism on the left is due to excision, the middle triangle commutes by Lemma 4.1 and the lower horizontal composition is part of the localisation exact sequence and therefore zero.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H_{F'}^q(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{A}_F^1}^q(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & & \\
\uparrow \cong & & \uparrow j^* & \swarrow p^* & \\
H_{F'}^q(\mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{P}_F^1}^q(\mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_F^q(V, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \\
& & & \nearrow s_\infty^* & \nwarrow s'^* \\
& & & & H_{\mathbb{P}_F^1 - F'}^q(\mathbb{P}_V^1 - F', \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \\
& & & \xleftarrow{k^*} &
\end{array}$$

□

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that S is henselian. Let X/S be a smooth scheme of dimension d and let $\epsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Nis}}$ be the natural morphism of sites. The complex of sheaves*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow R^q \epsilon_* \mathcal{T}_r(n) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} i_{*,x} H_x^q(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} i_{*,x} H_x^{q+1}(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \\
&\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(d)}} i_{*,x} H_x^{q+d}(X, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

is exact in the Nisnevich topology.

Proof. We need to show that the natural map

$$(*) : \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+1}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^p}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

is zero in the Nisnevich topology for all $p, q, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We show $(*) = 0$ on stalks. Let $x \in X$. We first assume that k is infinite. Let W be an affine Nisnevich neighbourhood of x and $Z \in Z^{p+1}(W)$ and assume that $Z_k \neq W_k$, Z_k and W_k being the special fibers of Z and W respectively. Then by [21, Thm. 2.4] we can find a Nisnevich neighbourhood $f : U \rightarrow W$ of x and an étale morphism

$$\rho = (\psi, \nu) : U \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{A}_S^1 \subset \mathbb{A}_S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{A}_S^1$$

with the following properties: Let $Z' := f^{-1}(Z)$, $F := \psi(Z)$, $Z'' := \psi^{-1}(F)$ and $F' := \rho(Z')$. Then the map $F' \rightarrow F$ is finite and (U, Z') is a Nisnevich neighbourhood of (\mathbb{A}_V^1, F') . Therefore the left vertical morphism in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{Z'}^q(U, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{Z''}^q(U, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \\
\uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \\
H_{F'}^q(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{A}_F^1}^q(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathcal{T}_r(n))
\end{array}$$

is an isomorphism by excision and the lower horizontal morphism is zero by Proposition 4.2. This implies that the composition

$$H_Z^*(W, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H_{f^{-1}(Z)}^*(U, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H_{Z''}^*(U, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

is zero.

We therefore get that $(*) : \mathcal{H}_{Z^{p+1}}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^p}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n))$ is zero for all $p > 0$ and it remains to show that

$$(*) : \mathcal{H}_{Z^1}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^0}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

is zero. This map fits into the exact sequence $\mathcal{H}_{Z^1}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^0}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^0/Z^1}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n))$. By definition $\mathcal{H}_{Z^0}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n))_x \cong H^q(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ and $\mathcal{H}_{Z^0/Z^1}^q(n)_x \cong H^q(K(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h), \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ and we may assume that $x \in X_k$. We can therefore also show that the composition $H^q(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H^q((\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h)_\eta, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow$

$H^q(K(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h), \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ is injective, where η is the generic point of the special fiber of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h)$. The map $H^q(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H^q((\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h)_\eta, \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ is obtained by localising the exact sequence $\mathcal{H}_{Z^1-\eta}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^0}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{Z^0/(Z^1-\eta)}^q(\mathcal{T}_r(n))$ at x and by what we showed above, the first map in this sequence of sheaves is zero. The map $H^q((\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h)_\eta, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H^q(K(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h), \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ is injective by the following proposition.

The reduction of the case in which the residue field of S is finite to the above case can be achieved by a standard norm argument. For this we refer to [4, Proof of Thm. 2.2.7] and [15, Proof of Prop. 1.9]. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let X and S be as above and let $x \in X$ a point of the special fiber. Then the canonical map*

$$H^q(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H^q(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathcal{T}_r(n))$$

is injective for all $q \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $Y_x = \text{Spec}((\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h)/\pi)$. Then $c = \text{codim}_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h)}(Y_x) = 1$ and we consider the long exact localisation sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow H_{Y_x}^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \longrightarrow \\ & H_{Y_x}^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \longrightarrow H^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \longrightarrow H^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \longrightarrow \\ & H_{Y_x}^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \longrightarrow H^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \longrightarrow H^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

First note that $H_{Y_x}^m(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) = 0$ for $m \leq n$ since by definition the natural map $H^m(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H^m(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ is an isomorphism for $m < n$ and $H^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ is injective. By Proposition 2.1, we have that $H_{Y_x}^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \cong W_r\Omega_{Y_x, \log}^{n-1}$ and by [15, Thm. 1.7] we have an isomorphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{K}^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h)/p^r & \longrightarrow & K^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h[\frac{1}{\pi}])/p^r & \longrightarrow & W_r\Omega_{Y_x, \log}^{n-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathcal{T}_r(n)) & \longrightarrow & W_r\Omega_{Y_x, \log}^{n-1} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

implying that $H^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathcal{T}_r(n))$ is injective. Finally, by Theorem 5.1

$$H^m(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h, \mathcal{T}_r(n)) = 0$$

for $m > n + 1$. \square

Remark 4.5. The relationship with [22] is the following: Strunk-Schmidt in *loc. cit.* use strict \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance in order to obtain Proposition 4.1 and therefore their results just work for such theories. P -adic étale Tate twists are not strict \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant and we therefore use that they have a projective bundle formula in order to prove the required commutativity.

5 THE p -ADIC CYCLE CLASS MAP

We fix the following notation. Let A be a discrete valuation ring with local parameter π , perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and fraction field K of characteristic zero. Let $S = \text{Spec}(A)$. Let

$X = \text{Spec}(B)$ be a smooth S -scheme. Let $I = (\pi)$ define the special fiber or be $I = \mathfrak{m}_B$ the maximal ideal of B , and B^h be the henselisation of B along I . Let $i : \text{Spec } B/I \rightarrow \text{Spec } B^h$ be the inclusion.

By Gabber's affine analogue of proper base change [6, Thm. 1]¹, the restriction map

$$H^s(\text{Spec } B^h, \mathfrak{T}_r(n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^s(\text{Spec } B/I, i^*\mathfrak{T}_r(n))$$

is an isomorphism for all s . For the following theorem see also [1, Sec. 5] and [18, Thm. 3.7]. In the proof we closely follow the proof given in [18, Thm. 3.7].

Theorem 5.1. *Let the notation be as above. Then $R\epsilon_* i^*\mathfrak{T}_r(n) \in D((\text{Spec } B/I)_{\text{Nis}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r)$ is concentrated in degree $[0, n+1]$. Furthermore,*

$$H^{n+1}(\text{Spec } B^h, \mathfrak{T}_r(n)) \cong H^1(\text{Spec } B/I, W_r\Omega_{\log}^n).$$

Proof. The first statement follows from Property (ii) of $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)$ and the fact that the p -cohomological dimension of an affine noetherian scheme of characteristic p is ≤ 1 . We turn to the second statement. By the above, it suffices to show that

$$H^{n+1}(\text{Spec } B/I, i^*\mathfrak{T}_r(n)) \cong H^1(\text{Spec } B/I, W_r\Omega_{\log}^n).$$

The commutative diagram of distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} i^*\mathfrak{T}_r(n)_X & \longrightarrow & i^*\tau_{\leq n}Rj_*\mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & W_r\Omega_{B/I\log}^{n-1}[-n] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ FM_r^n & \longrightarrow & i^*R^n j_*\mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & W_r\Omega_{B/I\log}^{n-1}[-n] \end{array}$$

in which FM_r^n is defined to be the kernel of the lower right horizontal map, gives an isomorphism

$$H^{n+1}(\text{Spec } B/I, i^*\mathfrak{T}_r(n)) \cong H^1(\text{Spec } B/I, FM_r^n).$$

The unit filtration gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U^1 M_r^n \rightarrow FM_r^n \rightarrow W_r\Omega_{\log}^n \rightarrow 0$$

(see [19, Thm. 3.4.2]). If $r = 1$, then $U^1 M_r^n$ is a coherent module given in terms of differential forms and therefore its higher cohomology groups vanish on the affine scheme $\text{Spec } B$. This implies that

$$H^{n+1}(\text{Spec } B/I, i^*\mathfrak{T}_1(n)) \cong H^1(\text{Spec } B/I, FM_1^n) \cong H^1(\text{Spec } B/I, W_1\Omega_{\log}^n).$$

The case $r > 1$ follows by induction and the five-lemma from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{n+1}(\text{Spec } B/I, i^*\mathfrak{T}_r(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^{n+1}(\text{Spec } B/I, i^*\mathfrak{T}_{r+1}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^{n+1}(\text{Spec } B/I, i^*\mathfrak{T}_1(n)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & H^1(\text{Spec } B/I, W_r\Omega_{\log}^n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\text{Spec } B/I, W_{r+1}\Omega_{\log}^n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\text{Spec } B/I, W_1\Omega_{\log}^n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The upper sequence is exact by [19, Prop. 4.3.1] and the vanishing of $H^{n+2}(\text{Spec } B/I, i^*\mathfrak{T}_1(n))$. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that k is finite. Let X be a smooth projective S -scheme with special fiber X_k (in the following also denoted by X_1). We denote the inclusion of the special fiber by $i : X_k \rightarrow X$ and set $X_n = X \times_A A/\pi^n$. Let d be the relative dimension of X over S . Then for $q \in \{0, 1\}$ there are isomorphisms*

$$H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-q}(X_k, i^*\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d,X}^M/p^r) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_n H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-q}(X_k, \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d,X_n}^M/p^r) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-q}(X_k, i^*\mathcal{T}_r(d)).$$

¹If $I = \mathfrak{m}_B$, then this is easier since then the map $B^h \rightarrow B/I$ induces an equivalence between the categories of finite étale B^h -algebras and finite étale B/I -algebras and any étale cover of B^h has a refinement by a finite étale cover. The statement then follows from ([16, III.3.3])

Proof. In the following we denote the change of sites $X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Nis}}$ as well as the change of sites $(X_k)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow (X_k)_{\text{Nis}}$ by ϵ . We first note that the Galois symbol map $i^* \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d, X, \text{Nis}}^M / p^r \rightarrow i^* \mathcal{H}^d(\mathcal{T}_r(d)_{X, \text{Nis}})$ factorises through $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d, X_n, \text{Nis}}^M / p^r$ for n large enough. Via a norm argument one first reduces this statement to the case in which the residue field is large and therefore the improved Milnor K -theory coincides with ordinary Milnor K -theory. This case can be deduced from the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow 1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_n}^\times \rightarrow 0$, which induces the unit filtration on Milnor K -theory, noticing that in the Nisnevich topology the group $(1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_X) / p^r = 0$ for n large enough by Hensel's lemma [5, II, Lem. 2]. Indeed, the kernel of the restriction map $i^* \mathcal{K}_{d, X}^M \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{d, X_n}^M$ is locally generated by elements of the form $\{1 + \pi^n a, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1}\}$ with f_1, \dots, f_{d-1} units [11, Lemma 1.3.1]. This implies the first isomorphism of the theorem (without any restriction on q). Next we consider the Leray spectral sequence

$$H_{\text{Nis}}^p(X_k, R^q \epsilon_* (i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)_X)) \implies H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(X_k, i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)).$$

By [8, Prop. 2.2(b)] we have that $R^q \epsilon_* (i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)_X) \cong i^* R^q \epsilon_* (\mathcal{T}_r(d)_X)$. By [15] the map $i^* \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d, X}^M / p^r \rightarrow i^* R^d \epsilon_* (\mathcal{T}_r(d)_X)$ is an isomorphism and by Theorem 5.1 we know that $R^{d+1} \epsilon_* (i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)_X) = R^1 \epsilon_* W_r \Omega_{\log}^d$ and $R^q \epsilon_* (i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)_X) = 0$ for $q > d + 1$. This implies the existence of an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-3}(X_k, R^1 \epsilon_* W_r \Omega_{\log}^d) &\rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-1}(X_k, i^* \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d, X}^M / p^r) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-1}(X_k, i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)) \rightarrow \\ H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-2}(X_k, R^1 \epsilon_* W_r \Omega_{\log}^d) &\rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^d(X_k, i^* \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d, X}^M / p^r) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{2d}(X_k, i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)) \rightarrow \\ H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-1}(X_k, R^1 \epsilon_* W_r \Omega_{\log}^d) &\rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{2d+1}(X_k, i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)) \rightarrow \\ H^d(X_k, R^1 \epsilon_* W_r \Omega_{\log}^d) &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Kato conjectures say that $H^q(X_k, R^1 \epsilon_* W_r \Omega_{\log}^d) = 0$ for $q < d$ and isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}/p for $q = d$. By [10, Thm. 0.3] this holds for $d - 4 \leq q \leq d$ which implies the theorem. \square

Let $q = 0, 1$. Theorem 5.2 implies that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-q}(X, \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d, X}^M / p^r) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{/p^r}^d} \varprojlim_n & H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-q}(X_k, \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d, X_n}^M / p^r) \\ \text{cl}_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{cl}_{X_k} \\ H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-q}(X, \mathcal{T}_r(d)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{\text{ét}}^{2d-q}(X_k, i^* \mathcal{T}_r(d)) \end{array}$$

in which the bottom map is an isomorphism by étale proper base change and cl_{X_k} is an isomorphism by theorem 5.2. Assuming the Gersten conjecture for Milnor K -theory, there is an isomorphism

$$CH^d(X, q, \mathbb{Z}/p^r) \cong H_{\text{Nis}}^{d-q}(X, \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{d, X}^M / p^r)$$

for $q \in \{0, 1\}$. It is an interesting question to ask if the maps $\text{res}_{/p^r}^d$ and cl_X are isomorphisms (see [13], [17] for the surjectivity and [12, Conj. 10.1] for the original question in the case $q = 0$).

REFERENCES

- [1] ANTIEAU, B., MATHEW, A., MORROW, M., AND NIKOLAUS, T. On the Beilinson fiber square. *Duke Math. J.* 171, 18 (2022), 3707–3806.
- [2] BHATT, B., AND LURIE, J. Absolute prismatic cohomology. *arXiv:2201.06120* (2022).
- [3] BLOCH, S., AND OGUS, A. Gersten's conjecture and the homology of schemes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 7 (1974), 181–201 (1975).
- [4] COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE, J.-L., HOOBLER, R. T., AND KAHN, B. The Bloch-Ogus-Gabber theorem. In *Algebraic K-theory (Toronto, ON, 1996)*, vol. 16 of *Fields Inst. Commun.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 31–94.

- [5] ELKIK, R. Solutions d'équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 6 (1973), 553–603 (1974).
- [6] GABBER, O. K -theory of Henselian local rings and Henselian pairs. In *Algebraic K-theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry. Proceedings of the US-Italy joint seminar, held June 18-24, 1989 at Santa Margherita Ligure, Italy, with support from the National Science Foundation and Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1992, pp. 59–70.
- [7] GABBER, O. Gersten's conjecture for some complexes of vanishing cycles. *Manuscr. Math.* 85, 3-4 (1994), 323–343.
- [8] GEISSER, T. Motivic cohomology over Dedekind rings. *Math. Z.* 248, 4 (2004), 773–794.
- [9] GILLET, H., AND LEVINE, M. The relative form of Gersten's conjecture over a discrete valuation ring: the smooth case. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 46, 1 (1987), 59–71.
- [10] JANNSEN, U., AND SAITO, S. Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology over finite fields. *Preprint*.
- [11] KATO, K., AND SAITO, S. Global class field theory of arithmetic schemes. In *Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983)*, vol. 55 of *Contemp. Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 255–331.
- [12] KERZ, M., ESNAULT, H., AND WITTENBERG, O. A restriction isomorphism for cycles of relative dimension zero. *Camb. J. Math.* 4, 2 (2016), 163–196.
- [13] LÜDERS, M. Algebraization for zero-cycles and the p -adic cycle class map. *Mathematical Research Letters* 26, 2 (2019), 557–585.
- [14] LÜDERS, M. Bloch-Ogus theory for semi-stable schemes in mixed characteristic. *arXiv:2201.11553* (2021).
- [15] LÜDERS, M., AND MORROW, M. Milnor K -theory of p -adic rings. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 796 (2023), 69–116.
- [16] MILNE, J. S. Values of zeta functions of varieties over finite fields. *Am. J. Math.* 108 (1986), 297–360.
- [17] SAITO, S., AND SATO, K. Zero-cycles on varieties over p -adic fields and Brauer groups. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 47, 3 (2014), 505–537.
- [18] SAKAGAITO, M. On étale hypercohomology of henselian regular local rings with values in p -adic étale Tate twists. *arXiv:2002.04797* (2023).
- [19] SATO, K. p -adic étale Tate twists and arithmetic duality. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 40, 4 (2007), 519–588. With an appendix by Kei Hagihara.
- [20] SATO, K. Cycle classes for p -adic étale Tate twists and the image of p -adic regulators. *Doc. Math.* 18 (2013), 177–247.
- [21] SCHMIDT, J., AND STRUNK, F. Stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity over Dedekind schemes. *Ann. K-Theory* 3, 2 (2018), 331–367.
- [22] SCHMIDT, J., AND STRUNK, F. A Bloch-Ogus theorem for henselian local rings in mixed characteristic. *Math. Z.* 303, 4 (2023), 24. Id/No 82.
- [23] SCHNEIDER, P. p -adic points of motives. In *Motives. Proceedings of the summer research conference on motives, held at the University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA, July 20-August 2, 1991*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1994, pp. 225–249.

The Gersten conjecture for p -adic étale Tate twists

Morten Lüders
Universität Heidelberg
Mathematisches Institut
Im Neuenheimer Feld 205
69120 Heidelberg
Germany
`mlueders@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de`