

Minimal Ternary Linear Codes from Vectorial Functions

Yanjun Li, Haibin Kan, Fangfang Liu, Jie Peng, Lijing Zheng, and Zepeng Zhuo*

Abstract

The study on minimal linear codes has received great attention due to their significant applications in secret sharing schemes and secure two-party computation. Until now, numerous minimal linear codes have been discovered. However, to the best of our knowledge, no infinite family of minimal ternary linear codes was found from vectorial functions. In this paper, we present a necessary and sufficient condition for a large class of ternary linear codes from vectorial functions such that those codes are minimal. Based on that, we construct several minimal ternary linear codes with three-weight from vectorial regular plateaued functions, and determine their weight distributions. Moreover, we also give a necessary and sufficient condition for a large family of ternary linear codes from vectorial functions such that the codes are minimal and violate the AB condition simultaneously. According to this characterization, we find several minimal ternary linear codes violating the AB condition. Notably, our results show that our method can be applied to solve a problem on minimal linear codes proposed by Li et al.

Keywords: Minimal ternary linear code, vectorial function, three-weight, AB condition

1 Introduction

Linear codes with few weights are important in communication, consumer electronics and data storage system. As a special kind of linear codes, minimal linear codes have attracted enormous research attention because of their wide and significant applications in secret sharing schemes [4, 37] and secure two-party computation [5, 11].

In 1998, according to the ratio of the maximum and minimum nonzero Hamming weights in a linear code C , Ashikhmin and Barg [1] found a sufficient condition (which is called the AB condition in this paper) to judge whether C is minimal (see Lemma 2 of this paper). A number of linear codes satisfying the AB condition have been reported from the study of linear codes with few weights (see, e.g., [11, 12, 15, 19, 20, 21, 29, 30, 36, 41]). In [7], Cohen et al. gave the first sporadic example to show that the AB condition is not necessary for a linear code to be minimal. However, there is no infinite family of minimal linear codes violating the AB condition until Chang and Hyun's breakthrough in [6], where the first infinite family of such codes was found from the linear code

$$C_f = \left\{ c(\mu, \nu) = (\mu f(x) + \nu \cdot x)_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n*}} : \mu \in \mathbb{F}_p, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \right\}, \quad (1)$$

*Corresponding author

Y. Li is with Institute of Statistics and Applied Mathematics, Anhui University of Finance and Economics, Bengbu, Anhui 233030, China; and School of Computer Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China (yanjmath90@163.com).

H. Kan is with Shanghai Key Laboratory of Intelligent Information Processing, School of Computer Science, Fudan University; Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Blockchain, Shanghai Institute for Advanced Communication and Data Science, Shanghai 200433, China (Emails: hbkan@fudan.edu.cn).

F. Liu and Z. Zhuo are with School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, Anhui 235000, China (E-mails: 19810900401@163.com and zzp781021@sohu.com).

J. Peng is with Mathematics and Science College of Shanghai Normal University, Guilin Road #100, Shanghai 200234, China (E-mail: jpeng@shnu.edu.cn).

L. Zheng is with the School of Mathematics and Physics, University of South China, Hengyang, Hunan 421001, China (Email: zhenglijing817@163.com).

where f is a function from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p . Then for the minimality of the linear code C_f given in (1), when $p = 2$, by using the Walsh transform of Boolean functions, Ding et al. [10] gave a complete characterization (that is, they found a necessary and sufficient condition such that C_f is minimal). Based on that characterization, Ding et al. found three infinite families of minimal binary linear codes violating the AB condition. In [14], the authors studied the minimality of C_f for $p = 3$, and presented an infinite family of minimal ternary linear codes violating the AB condition. In [2], the authors studied the minimality of C_f for any odd prime p , and provided infinite families of minimal linear codes violating the AB condition.

Besides, under the construction of linear code C_f defined as in (1), many other minimal linear codes violating the AB condition were also discovered by selecting different kinds of functions f from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p . For instance, such linear codes were found from the functions f with $V(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n : f(x) = 0\}$ being a cutting blocking set [3, 26]; from characteristic functions [22, 31]; from Maiorana-McFarland functions [13, 28, 34, 38]; from weakly regular plateaued/bent functions [24, 35]; and from the direct sum functions [28, 39].

In [18], the authors generalized the construction of linear codes C_f given in (1) to the following construction:

$$C_F = \left\{ c(\mu, \nu) = (\mu \cdot F(x) + \nu \cdot x)_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n*}} : \mu \in \mathbb{F}_p^m, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where F is a function from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p^m , $m \geq 2$. Then when $p = 2$, by using the Walsh transform of vectorial Boolean functions, they gave a necessary and sufficient condition such that C_F is minimal and violates the AB condition. Based on that, they also obtained several infinite families of minimal binary linear codes with higher dimensions and violating the AB condition. By choosing some two-to-one functions F in Construction (2), Mesnager et al. [23] also presented several infinite families of minimal binary linear codes with few weights and flexible parameters. However, to the best of our knowledge, when $p \neq 2$, no infinite family of minimal linear codes was found from Construction (2).

In this paper, we study the case of $p = 3$ for Construction (2). We first completely determine the parameters (including the length, dimension and minimal distance) of the ternary linear codes C_F , and then present a necessary and sufficient condition such that C_F is minimal by using the Walsh transform of the functions $F : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^m$. Based on that, we find several minimal linear codes with three-weight and completely determine their weight distributions. Moreover, we also give a necessary and sufficient condition such that the ternary linear code C_F is minimal and violates the AB condition simultaneously, by analyzing the properties of the function $F = (f, G)$, where f is a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3 and G is a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} . According to this condition, we construct several minimal ternary linear codes violating the AB condition. We emphasize that the minimal ternary codes violating the AB condition obtained in this paper are valid for any positive integers $n \geq 6$ and $m \geq 2$ (without the restrictions for n even and $2 \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2}$ or $m = n + 1$, which are restricted in [18]), and hence one can use our method to answer the question given in Remark 12 of [18].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we fix some notations, recall some essential definitions, and introduce some necessary knowledge related to functions from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p and linear codes. In Section 3, we fully characterize the parameters and minimality of a large class of ternary linear codes. In Section 4, we present several minimal ternary linear codes with three-weight and completely determine their weight distributions. Section 5 gives a generic construction of minimal ternary linear codes violating the AB condition. Based on that, several minimal ternary linear codes violating the AB condition are constructed in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 summarizes the main contributions of this paper.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, n and m are two positive integers. For any set E , let $E^* = E \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\#E$ be the cardinality of E . For a complex number $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\xi|$ denotes the (complex) magnitude of

ξ . Let p be a prime number, \mathbb{F}_{p^n} be the finite field of p^n elements, and \mathbb{F}_p^n be the n -dimensional linear space over \mathbb{F}_p .

2.1 Functions and Walsh transform over \mathbb{F}_p^n

Let f be a function from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p . Then f can be uniquely determined by the following polynomial (called the algebraic normal form (ANF) of f):

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} a_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{j_i}, \quad a_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)} \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

The algebraic degree of f , denoted by $\deg(f)$, is defined as the maximum value $j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_n$ in the ANF of f such that $a_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)} \neq 0$. If $\deg(f) \leq 1$, then f is called affine.

The *direct and inverse Walsh transform* of a function $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ at the point $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ are defined, respectively, by

$$W_f(\nu) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{f(x) - \nu \cdot x} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^f(\nu) = \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} W_f(x) \zeta_p^{\nu \cdot x},$$

where $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p}$ denotes a primitive p -th complex root of unity, $\nu \cdot x$ is the standard inner product of ν and x in \mathbb{F}_p^n . The inverse Walsh transform shows that any function $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ can be recovered from its Walsh transforms.

By using the fact that $|\xi|^2 = \xi\bar{\xi}$ for any complex number ξ , and

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{\nu \cdot x} = \begin{cases} p^n, & \text{if } \nu = \mathbf{0}_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{0}_n$ is the zero vector of \mathbb{F}_p^n , we can deduce the following result.

Lemma 1. *Let f be a function from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p . Then it holds that*

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} W_f(\nu) \overline{W_f(\nu + \tau)} = \begin{cases} p^{2n}, & \text{if } \tau = \mathbf{0}_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1 is the so-called *Titsworth's theorem* when $p = 2$. The case $\tau = \mathbf{0}_n$ of Lemma 1, that is, $\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} |W_f(\nu)|^2 = p^{2n}$, is the well-known *Parseval's identity*. It implies that $\max_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} |W_f(\nu)| \geq p^{n/2}$. The equality holds if and only if $|W_f(\nu)| = p^{n/2}$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$. In this case, f is called *bent*.

Note that when $p = 2$, one has $\overline{W_f(\nu + \tau)} = W_f(\nu + \tau)$. Thus, by Lemma 1, f is affine if $W_f(\nu) \leq 0$ (or ≥ 0) for any $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ (see also Lemma 1 of [18]). When $p > 2$, we conjecture that f is affine if $\text{Re}(W_f(\nu)) \leq 0$ (or ≥ 0) for any $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$, where $\text{Re}(W_f(\nu))$ denotes the real part of the complex number $W_f(\nu)$. But we cannot prove this result, and so we invite the interested readers to attack.

Definition 1. *Let s be an integer with $0 \leq s \leq n$. A function f from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p is called *s-plateaued* if $|W_f(\nu)|^2 \in \{0, p^{n+s}\}$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$.*

According to Definition 1, it is easily seen that any bent function is 0-plateaued.

In [16, Theorem 2], Mesnager et al. [21] introduced the following definition of *weakly regular plateaued functions*.

Definition 2. *Let $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ be an *s-plateaued* function. If there is a complex number $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm\sqrt{-1}\}$ such that $W_f(\nu) \in \{0, \epsilon p^{\frac{n+s}{2}} \zeta_p^{g(\nu)}\}$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$, then f is called *weakly regular s-plateaued*, where g is a function from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p with $g(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \setminus S_f := \{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n : W_f(\nu) \neq 0\}$. In particular, f is said to be *regular* if $\epsilon = 1$.*

A mapping F from \mathbb{F}_p^n to \mathbb{F}_p^m is called an (n, m) -function. The function $\mu \cdot F$ is called the *component* of F in terms of $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_p^m$. The *algebraic degree* of F is defined to be the largest algebraic degree of its components. The *Walsh transform* of F at the point $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_p^m \times \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is defined by the Walsh transform of $\mu \cdot F$ at the point $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$, that is,

$$W_F(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta_p^{\mu \cdot F(x) - \nu \cdot x}.$$

Definition 3. An (n, m) -function F is said to be *vectorial plateaued* if $\mu \cdot F$ is plateaued for all $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m*}$, and F is said to be *vectorial s -plateaued* if $\mu \cdot F$ is s -plateaued for all $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m*}$. In particular, F is called *vectorial (weakly) regular s -plateaued* if $\mu \cdot F$ is (weakly) regular s -plateaued for all $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m*}$.

When $s = 0$, vectorial 0-plateaued functions $F : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^m$ are also called *vectorial bent* functions, which exist for $m \leq n$, and F is called a *planar* function if $n = m$. It is shown in [25] that vectorial regular bent functions only exist for $m \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

2.2 Minimal linear codes

Let $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$. The set $\text{suppt}(c) := \{1 \leq i \leq n : c_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^*\}$ is called the *support* of c , whose cardinality is called the (*Hamming*) *weight* of c , denoted by $\text{wt}(c)$, i.e., $\text{wt}(c) = \#\{1 \leq i \leq n : c_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^*\}$. A vector $c^{(1)} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is *covered* by a vector $c^{(2)} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ if $\text{suppt}(c^{(1)}) \subseteq \text{suppt}(c^{(2)})$. We denote it by $c^{(1)} \preceq c^{(2)}$.

A code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is called an $[n, k, d]$ linear code if it is a k -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_p^n with minimum nonzero Hamming weight d , where n , k and d are called the *length*, *dimension* and *minimal distance* of C , respectively. The *weight enumerator* of C is defined by $1 + A_1z + A_2z^2 + \dots + A_nz^n$, where A_i is the number of codewords in C whose weight is i . A code C is said to be a *t -weight code* if the number of nonzero A_i in the weight enumerator of C is equal to t .

A codeword $c \in C$ is called *minimal* if it only covers λc for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$. A linear code C is said to be *minimal* if every codeword in C is minimal. The following result is a sufficient condition for a linear code to be minimal.

Lemma 2. [1] Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a linear code. Let w_{\max} and w_{\min} be the maximum and minimum nonzero Hamming weights in C , respectively. If

$$\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} > \frac{p-1}{p}, \quad (4)$$

then C is minimal.

Condition (4) is called the *AB condition* in this paper, which is only a sufficient (but not necessary) condition for a linear code to be minimal. The following result provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a linear code to be minimal.

Theorem 1. [14] Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a linear code. Then C is minimal if and only if

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n*}} \text{wt}(\lambda c^{(1)} + c^{(2)}) \neq (p-1)\text{wt}(c^{(2)}) - \text{wt}(c^{(1)}), \quad (5)$$

for any \mathbb{F}_p -linear independent codewords $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)} \in C$.

Remark 1. When $p = 3$, Theorem 1 is reduced to that $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^n$ is minimal if and only if

$$\text{wt}(c^{(1)} + c^{(2)}) + \text{wt}(-c^{(1)} + c^{(2)}) \neq 2\text{wt}(c^{(2)}) - \text{wt}(c^{(1)}), \quad (6)$$

for any $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, c^{(1)} + c^{(2)}, c^{(1)} - c^{(2)} \in C^*$.

3 A generic construction of minimal ternary linear codes

In this section, we give a generic construction of ternary linear codes from vectorial functions, and determine its parameters and minimality.

Construction 1. Let F be a vectorial function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m . Define a linear code as follows:

$$C_F = \left\{ c(\mu, \nu) = (\mu \cdot F(x) + \nu \cdot x)_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^{n*}} : \mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^m, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n \right\}.$$

3.1 The parameters of C_F

We first determine the length and dimension of C_F in the following proposition.

Proposition 1. Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m such that $\mu \cdot F(x)$ is not linear for any $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}$. Then the linear code C_F generated by Construction 1 has length $3^n - 1$ and dimension $n + m$.

Proof. The proof is similar as that of [18, Proposition 1], we omit it here. \square

We now characterize the weight distribution of C_F .

Proposition 2. Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m with $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$. Let C_F be the ternary linear code generated by Construction 1. Then for any $c(\mu, \nu) \in C_F$, it holds that

$$\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mu = \mathbf{0}_m, \nu = \mathbf{0}_n, \\ 3^n - 3^{n-1}, & \text{if } \mu = \mathbf{0}_m, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{n*}, \\ 3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3} \text{Re}(W_F(\mu, -\nu)), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Re}(\xi)$ denotes the real part of the complex number ξ .

Proof. For any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^m \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$, the weight of $c(\mu, \nu) \in C_F$ is that

$$\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^{n*} : \mu \cdot F(x) + \nu \cdot x \neq 0\}.$$

Since $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$, we have

$$\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) = 3^n - T,$$

where $T = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n : \mu \cdot F(x) + \nu \cdot x = 0\}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_3} \zeta_3^{(\mu \cdot F(x) + \nu \cdot x)y} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \left(1 + \zeta_3^{\mu \cdot F(x) + \nu \cdot x} + \zeta_3^{-(\mu \cdot F(x) + \nu \cdot x)} \right) \\ &= 3^{n-1} + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(\zeta_3^{\mu \cdot F(x) + \nu \cdot x}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3} \text{Re} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \zeta_3^{\mu \cdot F(x) + \nu \cdot x} \right),$$

which is equal to 0 if $\mu = \mathbf{0}_m, \nu = \mathbf{0}_n$, equal to $3^n - 3^{n-1}$ if $\mu = \mathbf{0}_m, \nu \neq \mathbf{0}_n$, and equal to $3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3} \text{Re}(W_F(\mu, -\nu))$ otherwise. \square

Remark 2. Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m with $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$ and $\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \geq 0$. Then by Proposition 2, the minimal distance d of the code C_F in Construction 1 is that

$$d = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3} \max_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)). \quad (7)$$

Remark 3. When F is a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m such that $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$ and $\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \in \{0, N_1, N_2\}$ for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$, then by Proposition 2, the linear code C_F in Construction 1 is three-weight, whose weights are $3^n - 3^{n-1}$, $3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3}N_1$ and $3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3}N_2$, respectively. In particular, if F is a vectorial regular s -plateaued function, then C_F is three-weight, since in this case $\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \in \{0, 3^{(n+s)/2}, -\frac{3^{(n+s)/2}}{2}\}$ for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$.

Remark 4. Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m with $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$. If $\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \geq 0$, $\min_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \leq 0$, and

$$3 \max_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) - 2 \min_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \geq 3^n, \quad (8)$$

then by Lemma 2 and Proposition 2, the linear code C_F in Construction 1 does not satisfy the AB condition.

3.2 The minimality of C_F

In this subsection, we determine the minimality of the linear codes C_F .

Theorem 2. Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m such that $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$ and $\mu \cdot F$ is not affine for any $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}$. Then the linear code C_F in Construction 1 is minimal if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) For any $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}$, any pairwise distinct $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ with $\nu + \nu' + \nu'' = \mathbf{0}_n$, and any $\theta \in \{1, -2\}$, it holds that

$$\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu) + W_F(\mu, \nu') + \theta W_F(\mu, \nu'')) \neq 3^n; \quad (9)$$

- (2) For any $\mu, \mu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}$ with $\mu \neq \pm \mu'$, and any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$, it holds that

$$\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu) + W_F(\mu', \nu') + W_F(\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu') - 2W_F(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu')) \neq 3^n. \quad (10)$$

Proof. By assumption that $\mu \cdot F$ is not affine for any $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}$, it is easily seen that $c(\mu, \nu) \neq c(\mu', \nu')$ and $c(\mu, \nu) \pm c(\mu', \nu') = c(\mu \pm \mu', \nu \pm \nu')$ for any distinct $(\mu, \nu), (\mu', \nu') \in \mathbb{F}_3^m \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$. Then by Remark 1, we need to show

$$\text{wt}(c(\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu')) + \text{wt}(c(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu')) \neq 2\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) - \text{wt}(c(\mu', \nu')) \quad (11)$$

for any $(\mu, \nu), (\mu', \nu'), (\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu'), (\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu') \in \mathbb{F}_3^m \times \mathbb{F}_3^n \setminus \{(\mathbf{0}_m, \mathbf{0}_n)\}$. According to the relations of $\mu, \mu', \mu \pm \mu'$ with $\mathbf{0}_m$, we have to distinguish following six cases.

Case 1. $\mu = \mu' = \mathbf{0}_m$ and $\nu, \nu', \nu \pm \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^{n*}$. In this case, $\text{wt}(c(\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu')) + \text{wt}(c(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu')) = 2(3^n - 3^{n-1})$ and $2\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) - \text{wt}(c(\mu', \nu')) = 3^n - 3^{n-1}$ by Proposition 2, which shows that (11) holds.

Case 2. $\mu = \mathbf{0}_m, \mu' \neq \mathbf{0}_m$ and $\nu \neq \mathbf{0}_n$. In this case, $\text{wt}(c(\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu')) + \text{wt}(c(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu')) = 2(3^n - 3^{n-1}) - \frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(W_F(\mu', -(\nu + \nu')) + W_F(\mu', -\nu + \nu'))$, $2\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) - \text{wt}(c(\mu', \nu')) = 3^n - 3^{n-1} + \frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(W_F(\mu', -\nu'))$ by Proposition 2. Note that $\text{Re}(W_F(-a, -b)) = \text{Re}(W_F(a, b))$ for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_3^m \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$. Then (11) holds if and only if

$$\text{Re}(W_F(\mu', -(\nu + \nu')) + W_F(\mu', \nu - \nu') + W_F(\mu', -\nu')) \neq 3^n,$$

where $-(\nu + \nu'), \nu - \nu'$ and $-\nu'$ are pairwise distinct (since $\nu \neq \mathbf{0}_n$) satisfying $-(\nu + \nu') + \nu - \nu' + (-\nu') = \mathbf{0}_n$.

Case 3. $\mu \neq \mathbf{0}_m, \mu' = \mathbf{0}_m$ and $\nu' \neq \mathbf{0}_n$. In this case, $\text{wt}(c(\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu')) + \text{wt}(c(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu')) = 2(3^n - 3^{n-1}) - \frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, -(\nu + \nu')) + W_F(\mu, -\nu + \nu'))$, $2\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) - \text{wt}(c(\mu', \nu')) = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{4}{3}\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, -\nu))$ by Proposition 2. Then (11) holds if and only if

$$\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, -(\nu + \nu')) + W_F(\mu, -\nu + \nu') - 2W_F(\mu, -\nu)) \neq 3^n,$$

where $-(\nu + \nu'), -\nu + \nu'$ and $-\nu$ are pairwise distinct (since $\nu' \neq \mathbf{0}_n$) satisfying $-(\nu + \nu') + (-\nu + \nu') + (-\nu) = \mathbf{0}_n$.

Case 4. $\mu = \mu' \neq \mathbf{0}_m$ and $\nu \neq \nu'$. In this case, $\text{wt}(c(\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu')) + \text{wt}(c(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu')) = 2(3^n - 3^{n-1}) - \frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu + \nu'))$, $2\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) - \text{wt}(c(\mu', \nu')) = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(2W_F(\mu, -\nu) - W_F(\mu, -\nu'))$ by Proposition 2. Thus, (11) holds if and only if

$$\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu + \nu') + W_F(\mu, -\nu') - 2W_F(\mu, -\nu)) \neq 3^n,$$

where $\nu + \nu', -\nu$ and $-\nu'$ are pairwise distinct (since $\nu \neq \nu'$) satisfying $\nu + \nu' + (-\nu) + (-\nu') = \mathbf{0}_n$.

Case 5. $\mu = -\mu' \neq \mathbf{0}_m$ and $\nu \neq -\nu'$. In this case, $\text{wt}(c(\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu')) + \text{wt}(c(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu')) = 2(3^n - 3^{n-1}) - \frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu - \nu'))$, $2\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) - \text{wt}(c(\mu', \nu')) = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(2W_F(\mu, -\nu) - W_F(\mu, \nu'))$ by Proposition 2. Thus, (11) holds if and only if

$$\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu - \nu') + W_F(\mu, \nu') - 2W_F(\mu, -\nu)) \neq 3^n,$$

where $\nu - \nu', -\nu$ and ν' are pairwise distinct (since $\nu \neq -\nu'$) satisfying $\nu - \nu' + (-\nu) + (\nu') = \mathbf{0}_n$.

Case 6. $\mu \neq \mathbf{0}_m, \mu' \neq \mathbf{0}_m$ and $\mu \pm \mu' \neq \mathbf{0}_m$. In this case, $\text{wt}(c(\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu')) + \text{wt}(c(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu')) = 2(3^n - 3^{n-1}) - \frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(W_F(\mu + \mu', -(\nu + \nu')) + W_F(\mu - \mu', -\nu + \nu'))$, $2\text{wt}(c(\mu, \nu)) - \text{wt}(c(\mu', \nu')) = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3}\text{Re}(2W_F(\mu, -\nu) - W_F(\mu', -\nu'))$ by Proposition 2. Then (11) holds if and only if

$$\text{Re}(W_F(\mu + \mu', -(\nu + \nu')) + W_F(\mu - \mu', -\nu + \nu') + W_F(\mu', -\nu') - 2W_F(\mu, -\nu)) \neq 3^n.$$

Let $a = \mu + \mu', a' = \mu', b = -(\nu + \nu')$ and $b' = -\nu'$. Then we have $\mu - \mu' = a + a', \mu = a - a', -\nu + \nu' = b + b'$ and $-\nu = b - b'$, and thus the above relation becomes that

$$\text{Re}(W_F(a, b) + W_F(a + a', b + b') + W_F(a', b') - 2W_F(a - a', b - b')) \neq 3^n,$$

where $a, a', a \pm a' \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}$, since $\mu, \mu', \mu \pm \mu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}$.

Therefore, the result follows from the above six cases. \square

Remark 5. When $m = 1$, there do not exist $\mu, \mu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^*$ such that $\mu \pm \mu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^*$, and hence Condition (2) of Theorem 2 does not exist. In this case, Theorem 2 is reduced to [14, Theorem 14].

According to Theorem 2 and the fact that $\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \leq |\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu))| \leq |W_F(\mu, \nu)|$ and $|\text{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \pm \text{Re}(W_F(\mu', \nu'))| \leq |W_F(\mu, \nu)| + |W_F(\mu', \nu')|$ for any $(\mu, \nu), (\mu', \nu') \in \mathbb{F}_3^m \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$, it is easy to deduce the following result.

Corollary 1. Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m such that $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$ and $|W_F(\mu, \nu)| < \frac{3^n}{5}$ for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$. Then the linear code C_F in construction 1 is minimal.

4 Three-weight minimal ternary linear codes from vectorial regular s -plateaued functions

In this section, we present some three-weight minimal ternary linear codes by using certain vectorial regular s -plateaued functions. To this end, we first give the following characterization of three-weight minimal ternary linear codes.

Theorem 3. Let F be a vectorial function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m satisfying the following two conditions:

- (1) $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$;

(2) $Re(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \in \{0, N_1, N_2\}$ for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$ such that $\max\{|N_1|, |N_2|\} < \frac{3^n}{5}$, where N_1 and N_2 are two rational numbers.

Then the linear code C_F generated by Construction 1 is a three-weight minimal ternary linear code with parameters $[3^n - 1, n + m, d]$, where $d = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3} \max\{0, N_1, N_2\}$.

Proof. Note that Condition (2) of this theorem implies that $\mu \cdot F$ is not affine for any $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m*}$. Then by Proposition 1 and Remark 3, we obtain that C_F is a three-weight linear code with parameters $[3^n - 1, n + m, d]$ if $Re(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \in \{0, N_1, N_2\}$ for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$. By Corollary 1, we derive that C_F is minimal. \square

Applying Theorem 3 to vectorial regular s -plateaued functions, we deduce the following result.

Theorem 4. Let $n > 4$ and $0 \leq s \leq n-4$ be two integers with the same parity. Let $F : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^m$ be a vectorial regular s -plateaued function with $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$. Then the linear code C_F generated by Construction 1 is a three-weight minimal linear code with parameters $[3^n - 1, n + m, 3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}-1}]$. Moreover, the weight distribution of C_F is given in Table 1.

Table 1: Weight distribution of C_F in Theorem 4

Weight	Frequency
0	1
$3^n - 3^{n-1}$	$3^n - 1 + (3^m - 1)(3^n - 3^{n-s})$
$3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}-1}$	$(3^m - 1)(3^{n-s-1} + 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}} - 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}-1})$
$3^n - 3^{n-1} + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}-1}$	$2(3^m - 1)(3^{n-s-1} - 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}-1})$

Proof. Since F is a vectorial regular s -plateaued function, we have

$$W_F(\mu, \nu) \in \{0, 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}}, 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} \zeta_3, 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} \zeta_3^2\}$$

for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$, which implies that $Re(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \in \{0, 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}}, -3^{\frac{n+s}{2}}\}$ for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$, since $Re(\zeta_3) = Re(\zeta_3^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$. When $s \leq n-4$, we have $5 \times 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} \leq 5 \times 3^{n-2} < 3^n$. Then by Theorem 3, C_F is a three-weight minimal linear code with parameters $[3^n - 1, n + m, d]$, where $d = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - \frac{2}{3} \times 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}-1}$. Below, we determine the weight distribution of C_F .

Since $Re(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \in \{0, 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}}, -3^{\frac{n+s}{2}}\}$ for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$, we obtain from Proposition 2 that

$$wt(c(\mu, \nu)) \in T := \{0, d_0, d, d_1\}$$

for any $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n$, where $d_0 = 3^n - 3^{n-1}$, $d = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}-1}$, and $d_1 = 3^n - 3^{n-1} + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}-1}$. Therefore, we need to determine the frequencies of all elements in the set T , which are denoted by $\mathbf{f}(0)$, $\mathbf{f}(d_1)$, $\mathbf{f}(d)$ and $\mathbf{f}(d_1)$, respectively.

Let μ be a fixed element of \mathbb{F}_3^{m*} . Let $S_F := \{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n : W_F(\mu, \nu) \neq 0\}$. Then from Parseval's identity $\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} |W_F(\mu, \nu)|^2 = 3^{2n}$ and $|W_F(\mu, \nu)| = 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}}$ for any $\nu \in S_F$, we obtain that $\#S_F = 3^{n-s}$ and $\#S_F = 3^n - 3^{n-s}$, where $\overline{S_F} = \mathbb{F}_3^n \setminus S_F$. Let $S_F^{(i)} := \{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n : W_F(\mu, \nu) = 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} \zeta_3^i\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{F}_3$. Then we have

$$\#S_F^{(0)} + \#S_F^{(1)} + \#S_F^{(2)} = 3^{n-s}. \quad (12)$$

Besides, according to the inverse Walsh transform of $\mu \cdot F$, we have

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} W_F(\mu, \nu) = 3^n \zeta_3^{-\mu \cdot F(\mathbf{0}_n)} = 3^n, \quad (13)$$

which implies that

$$3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} (\#S_F^{(0)} + \#S_F^{(1)}\zeta_3 + \#S_F^{(2)}\zeta_3^2) = 3^n. \quad (14)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \#S_F^{(1)}\zeta_3 + \#S_F^{(2)}\zeta_3^2 &= \#S_F^{(1)}\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right) + \#S_F^{(2)}\left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\#S_F^{(1)} + \#S_F^{(2)}) + \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2}(\#S_F^{(1)} - \#S_F^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then from (14), we obtain that $\#S_F^{(1)} = \#S_F^{(2)}$ and

$$\#S_F^{(0)} - \#S_F^{(1)} = 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}}. \quad (15)$$

Combining (12) with (15), we derive that

$$\#S_F^{(0)} = 3^{n-s-1} + 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}} - 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \#S_F^{(1)} = \#S_F^{(2)} = 3^{n-s-1} - 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}-1}.$$

Therefore, we obtain from Proposition 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}(0) &= 1, \\ \mathbf{f}(d_0) &= 3^n - 1 + (3^m - 1)\#\overline{S_F} = 3^n - 1 + (3^m - 1)(3^n - 3^{n-s}), \\ \mathbf{f}(d) &= (3^m - 1)\#S_F^{(0)} = (3^m - 1)(3^{n-s-1} + 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}} - 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}-1}), \\ \mathbf{f}(d_1) &= (3^m - 1)(\#S_F^{(1)} + \#S_F^{(2)}) = 2(3^m - 1)(3^{n-s-1} - 3^{\frac{n-s}{2}-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

If $s = 0$ or $s = 1$, then we get the following two corollaries by Theorem 4.

Corollary 2. *Let $n > 4$ be an even positive integer and $1 \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Let $F : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^m$ be a vectorial regular bent function with $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$. Then the code C_F defined by Construction 1 is a three-weight minimal $[3^n - 1, n + m, 3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n}{2}-1}]$ linear code. Moreover, the weight distribution of C_F is given in Table 2*

Table 2: Weight distribution of C_F in Corollary 2

Weight	Frequency
0	1
$3^n - 3^{n-1}$	$3^n - 1$
$3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$	$(3^m - 1)(3^{n-1} + 3^{\frac{n}{2}} - 3^{\frac{n}{2}-1})$
$3^n - 3^{n-1} + 3^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$	$2(3^m - 1)(3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n}{2}-1})$

Corollary 3. *Let $n > 4$ be an odd positive integer. Let $F : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^m$ be a vectorial regular 1-plateaued function with $F(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_m$. Then the code C_F defined by Construction 1 is a three-weight minimal linear code with parameters $[3^n - 1, n + m, 3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n+1}{2}-1}]$. Moreover, the weight distribution of C_F is given in Table 3.*

Table 3: Weight distribution of C_F in Corollary 3

Weight	Frequency
0	1
$3^n - 3^{n-1}$	$3^n - 1 + (3^m - 1)(3^n - 3^{n-1})$
$3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n+1}{2}-1}$	$(3^m - 1)(3^{n-2} + 3^{\frac{n-1}{2}} - 3^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1})$
$3^n - 3^{n-1} + 3^{\frac{n+1}{2}-1}$	$2(3^m - 1)(3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1})$

Table 4: The parameters of some known three-weight ternary linear codes

Length	Dimension	Minimal distance	References
$\frac{3^{3n-1}-1}{2}$	$3n$	$3^{3n-2} - 3^{2n-2}$	[8, Theorem 16]
$3^n - 1$	$2n$	$2 \times 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$	[9, Theorems 4.3, 4.8]
$3^n - 1$	$2n$	$2 \times (3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n-1}{2}})$	[9, Theorem 5.2]
$3^{n-1} - 1$	n	$2 \times (3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n-3}{2}})$	[12, Theorem 1]
$\frac{3^{n-1}-1}{2}$	n	$3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$	[12, Corollary 3]
$3^n - 1$	$n+1$	$2 \times (3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+s-2}{2}})$ or $3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+s-2}{2}}$	[21, Theorem 3]
$3^n - 1$	$n+1$	$3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+s-1}{2}}$	[21, Theorem 4]
$3^{n-1} - 1$	n	$2 \times (3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n+s-3}{2}})$	[24, Theorem 1]
$3^{r-1} - 3^{\frac{r}{2}-1} + 3^{\frac{r}{2}} - 1$	$r(r \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1)$	$2 \times 3^{r-2}$	[27, Theorem 3.1]
$3^{r-1} + 3^{\frac{r-1}{2}}$	$r(r \geq \frac{n+1}{2})$	$2 \times 3^{r-2}$	[27, Theorem 3.2]
$3^{r-1} + 3^{\frac{r}{2}-1}$	$r(r \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1)$	$2 \times 3^{r-2}$	[27, Theorem 4.1]
$3^n - 1$	$n+1$	$3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+k-1}{2}}$	[28, Theorems 4, 5]
$3^{n-1} - 1$	n	$2 \times (3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n-3}{2}})$	[30, Theorem 16]
$\frac{3^{n-1}-1}{2}$	n	$3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$	[30, Corollary 18]
$3^{n-1} - 1$	n	$2 \times (3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n+e}{2}-2})$	[32, Theorem 1]
$3^{n-1} - 1$	n	$2 \times 3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n+e}{2}-2}$	[32, Theorem 2]
$3^n - 1$	n	$2^2 \times 3^{n-2}$	[33, Theorem 1]
$3^{n-1} - 1$	n	$2 \times (3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n-2}{2}})$ or $2 \times (3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n-3}{2}})$	[36, Theorem 2]
$\frac{3^{sk-1}}{2}, s = 2l + 1$	sk	$3^{sk-2} - 3^{(l+1)k-2}$	[40, Theorem 3]
$3^{n-1} - 1$	n	$2 \times (3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n-3}{2}})$	[41, Theorem 1]
$\frac{3^{n-1}-1}{2}$	n	$3^{n-2} - 3^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$	[41, Corollary 6]
$3^n - 1$	$n+m$	$3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}-1}$	Theorem 4
$3^n - 1$	$n+m$	$3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$	Corollary 2
$3^n - 1$	$n+m$	$3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n+1}{2}-1}$	Corollary 3

It is noted in Theorem 4 that $w_{\min} = 3^n - 3^{n-1} - 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}-1}$ and $w_{\max} = 3^n - 3^{n-1} + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}-1}$, which satisfy $\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} > \frac{2}{3}$ for any $n - s \geq 4$. Therefore, the minimal codes obtained from this section satisfy the AB condition.

In literatures, tremendous efforts (see, e.g., [8, 9, 12, 21, 24, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 36, 40, 41]) have been paid to find linear codes with few weights, because of their important applications in data storage systems, communication systems and consumer electronics. At the end of this section, we collect the parameters of some known three-weight ternary linear codes in Table 4, from which one can easily see that the dimensions and minimal distances of the ternary linear codes given by Theorems 4, Corollaries 2 and 3 are better than many of the others.

5 A generic construction of minimal ternary linear codes going against the AB condition

Similarly as that of Section V of [18], in this section, we shall exhibit a generic construction of minimal ternary linear codes going against the AB condition. We first define the following construction of ternary linear codes.

Construction 2. Let f be a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3 such that $f(\mathbf{0}_n) = 0$, $\max_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_f(\nu)) \geq 0$, $\min_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_f(\nu)) \leq 0$, and the following two conditions are fulfilled:

- $\text{Re}(W_f(\nu) + W_f(\nu') + \theta W_f(\nu'')) \neq 3^n$ for any pairwise distinct $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ with $\nu + \nu' + \nu'' = \mathbf{0}_n$, and for any $\theta \in \{1, -2\}$;
- $3 \max_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_f(\nu)) - 2 \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \text{Re}(W_f(\nu)) \geq 3^n$.

Let G be a function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} such that $G(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$, $\mu_1 f + \tilde{\mu} \cdot G$ is not affine for all

nonzero $\mu = (\mu_1, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathbb{F}_3 \times \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1}$, and the linear code C_G defined by

$$C_G = \left\{ c(\tilde{\mu}, \nu) = (\tilde{\mu} \cdot G(x) + \nu \cdot x)_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^{n*}} : (\tilde{\mu}, \nu) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \times \mathbb{F}_3^n \right\} \quad (16)$$

is minimal. Let F be the function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m defined as

$$F(x) = (f(x), G(x)), \quad (17)$$

and let C_F be the linear code generated by Construction 1.

Then we find some conditions such that the ternary linear code C_F generated by Construction 2 is minimal and violates the AB condition. Similarly as that of [18, Proposition 4], we need first to determine the Walsh transform of F .

Proposition 3. *Let F be the function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^m defined as in Construction 2. Then the Walsh transform of F at $\mu = (\mu_1, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathbb{F}_3 \times \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{(0, \mathbf{0}_{m-1})\}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ is given by*

$$W_F(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu), & \text{if } \mu_1 = 0, \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}, \\ W_f(\nu), & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1, \tilde{\mu} = \mathbf{0}_{m-1}, \\ W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu), & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1, \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}, \\ \overline{W_f(-\nu)}, & \text{if } \mu_1 = -1, \tilde{\mu} = \mathbf{0}_{m-1}, \\ \overline{W_{A_{2\tilde{\mu}}}(-\nu)}, & \text{if } \mu_1 = -1, \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}, \end{cases}$$

where $A_{\tilde{\mu}}(x) = f(x) + \tilde{\mu} \cdot G(x)$.

Proof. Recall that $F = (f, G)$ in Construction 2. For any $\mu = (\mu_1, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathbb{F}_3 \times \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{(0, \mathbf{0}_{m-1})\}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$, one has

$$W_F(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \zeta_3^{\mu \cdot F(x) - \nu \cdot x} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \zeta_3^{\mu_1 f(x) + \tilde{\mu} \cdot G(x) - \nu \cdot x}.$$

Then the result follows from the fact that $\zeta_3^{-j} = \overline{\zeta_3^j}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{F}_3$. □

From Proposition 3, we know that

$$\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \operatorname{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \geq \max_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \operatorname{Re}(W_f(\nu)) \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \operatorname{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \leq \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \operatorname{Re}(W_f(\nu)),$$

and hence according to Condition (b) of Construction 2, one has

$$3 \max_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \operatorname{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) - 2 \min_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \operatorname{Re}(W_F(\mu, \nu)) \geq 3^n.$$

Then by Remark 4, we deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 4. *The ternary linear code C_F generated by Construction 2 does not satisfy the AB condition.*

In the following theorem, we give a necessary and sufficient condition such that the ternary linear code C_F in Construction 2 is minimal.

Theorem 5. *The ternary linear code C_F defined by Construction 2 is minimal if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *For any $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_{m-1}\}$, any pairwise distinct $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ with $\nu + \nu' + \nu'' = \mathbf{0}_n$, and any $\theta \in \{1, -2\}$, it holds that*

$$\operatorname{Re}(W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu) + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu') + \theta W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu'')) \neq 3^n; \quad (18)$$

(2) For any $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_{m-1}\}$, any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$, and any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \in \{1, -2\}$ with one of them being -2 , it holds that

$$Re(\lambda_1 W_f(\nu) + \lambda_2 W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu') + \lambda_3 W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu + \nu') + \lambda_4 W_{A_{2\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu - \nu')) \neq 3^n; \quad (19)$$

(3) For any $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\mu}', \tilde{\mu} \pm \tilde{\mu}' \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_{m-1}\}$, any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$, and any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \in \{1, -2\}$ with one of them being -2 , it holds that

$$Re(\lambda_1 W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu) + \lambda_2 W_G(\tilde{\mu}', \nu') + \lambda_3 W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}+\tilde{\mu}'}}(\nu + \nu') + \lambda_4 W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}-\tilde{\mu}'}}(\nu - \nu')) \neq 3^n. \quad (20)$$

Proof. To proof this theorem, we need to check the two conditions of Theorem 2. We first verify the first condition of Theorem 2. For any $\mu = (\mu_1, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathbb{F}_3 \times \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{(0, \mathbf{0}_{m-1})\}$, any pairwise distinct $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ with $\nu + \nu' + \nu'' = \mathbf{0}_n$, and any $\theta \in \{1, -2\}$, let $\Delta = Re(W_F(\mu, \nu) + W_F(\mu, \nu') + \theta W_F(\mu, \nu''))$. Then by Proposition 3, one has

$$\Delta = \begin{cases} Re(W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu) + W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu') + \theta W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu'')), & \text{if } \mu = (0, \tilde{\mu}), \\ Re(W_f(\nu) + W_f(\nu') + \theta W_f(\nu'')), & \text{if } \mu = (1, \mathbf{0}_{m-1}), \\ Re(W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu) + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu') + \theta W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu'')), & \text{if } \mu = (1, \tilde{\mu}), \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}, \\ Re(W_f(-\nu) + W_f(-\nu') + \theta W_f(-\nu'')), & \text{if } \mu = (-1, \mathbf{0}_{m-1}), \\ Re(W_{A_{2\tilde{\mu}}}(-\nu) + W_{A_{2\tilde{\mu}}}(-\nu') + \theta W_{A_{2\tilde{\mu}}}(-\nu'')), & \text{if } \mu = (-1, \tilde{\mu}), \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}. \end{cases}$$

By Condition (a) of Construction 2, we have $\Delta \neq 3^n$ if $\mu = (1, \mathbf{0}_{m-1})$ and $\mu = (-1, \mathbf{0}_{m-1})$. By Theorem 2 and the minimality of C_G in Construction 2, we have $\Delta \neq 3^n$ if $\mu = (0, \tilde{\mu})$. Hence, for any $\mu = (\mu_1, \tilde{\mu}) \in \mathbb{F}_3 \times \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{(0, \mathbf{0}_{m-1})\}$, any pairwise distinct $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ with $\nu + \nu' + \nu'' = \mathbf{0}_n$, and any $\theta \in \{1, -2\}$, $\Delta \neq 3^n$ if and only if for any $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_{m-1}\}$, any pairwise distinct $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ with $\nu + \nu' + \nu'' = \mathbf{0}_n$, and any $\theta \in \{1, -2\}$, $Re(W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu) + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu') + \theta W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu'')) \neq 3^n$, that is, Condition (1) of this theorem holds.

Now we verify Condition (2) of Theorem 2. For any $\mu, \mu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}$ with $\mu \neq \pm \mu'$, and any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$, let $\Gamma = Re(W_F(\mu, \nu) + W_F(\mu', \nu') + W_F(\mu + \mu', \nu + \nu') - 2W_F(\mu - \mu', \nu - \nu'))$. Then by the relations of $\mu = (\mu_1, \tilde{\mu})$ and $\mu' = (\mu'_1, \tilde{\mu}')$ with $\mu, \mu', \mu + \mu', \mu - \mu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m*}$, the discussions can be divided into 33 cases, which are given in Table 5. Since the proof of each case is similar, we only give the detail proofs of the cases 1, 2 and 5.

Case 1. $\mu_1 = \mu'_1 = 0$, $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\mu}'$ and $\tilde{\mu} \pm \tilde{\mu}'$ are not $\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$. In this case, we obtain from Proposition 3 that

$$\Gamma = Re(W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu) + W_G(\tilde{\mu}', \nu') + W_G(\tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\mu}', \nu + \nu') - 2W_G(\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\mu}', \nu - \nu')),$$

which is not equal to 3^n for any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ by Theorem 2, since C_G is minimal.

Case 2. $\mu_1 = 0$, $\tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$, $\mu'_1 = 1$ and $\tilde{\mu}' = \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$. In this case, by Proposition 3, we have

$$\Gamma = Re(W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu) + W_f(\nu') + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu + \nu') - 2W_{A_{2\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu' - \nu)).$$

Let $a = \nu'$ and $a' = \nu$. Then $a + a' = \nu + \nu'$, $a - a' = \nu' - \nu$, and

$$\Gamma = Re(W_f(a) + W_G(\tilde{\mu}, a') + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(a + a') - 2W_{A_{2\tilde{\mu}}}(a - a')).$$

Hence in this case, $\Gamma \neq 3^n$ for any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ if and only if $Re(W_f(\nu) + W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu') + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu + \nu') - 2W_{A_{2\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu - \nu')) \neq 3^n$ for any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$. This corresponds to Condition (2) of this theorem with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$ and $\lambda_4 = -2$.

Case 5. $\mu_1 = 0$, $\tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$, $\mu'_1 = 1$, $\tilde{\mu}' \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$ and $\tilde{\mu} \pm \tilde{\mu}' \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$. In this case, by Proposition 3, we have

$$\Gamma = Re(W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu) + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}'}}(\nu') + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}+\tilde{\mu}'}}(\nu + \nu') - 2W_{A_{2(\tilde{\mu}-\tilde{\mu}')}}(\nu' - \nu)).$$

Let $a = \nu'$, $a' = \nu$. Then $a + a' = \nu + \nu'$ and $a - a' = \nu' - \nu$. Let $b = \tilde{\mu}'$, $b' = \tilde{\mu}$. Then $b + b' = \tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\mu}'$ and $b - b' = \tilde{\mu}' - \tilde{\mu} = 2(\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\mu}')$. Hence, we have

$$\Gamma = Re(W_{A_b}(a) + W_G(b', a') + W_{A_{b+b'}}(a + a') - 2W_{A_{b-b'}}(a - a')).$$

Therefore, in this case $\Gamma \neq 3^n$ for any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ if and only if $Re(W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu) + W_G(\tilde{\mu}', \nu') + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}+\tilde{\mu}'}}(\nu + \nu') - 2W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}-\tilde{\mu}'}}(\nu - \nu')) \neq 3^n$ for any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$. This corresponds to Condition (3) of this theorem with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$ and $\lambda_4 = -2$.

The other cases and the corresponding values of $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$ are given in Table 5, where Condition (3) of this theorem is determined by the cases 5, 9, 15, 18, 21, 27, 30 and 33 in Table 5; and Condition (2) of this theorem is determined by the other cases in Table 5.

The proof is then obtained from the above discussions. \square

Table 5: The cases of μ and μ' in the proof of Theorem 5

Cases	μ_1	$\tilde{\mu}$	μ'_1	$\tilde{\mu}'$	$\tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\mu}'$	$\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\mu}'$	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4
1	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-	-	-	-
2	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	1	-2
3	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	-2	1
4	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-2	1	1	1
5	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	1	-2
6	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	-2	1
7	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	1	-2
8	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-2	1	1	1
9	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	-2	1
10	1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	1	-2
11	1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	-2	1	1
12	1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	-2	1
13	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	-2	1
14	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-2	1	1	1
15	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	1	-2
16	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	-2	1	1
17	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	-2	1	1
18	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	-2	1	1
19	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	1	-2
20	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-2	1	1	1
21	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-2	1	1	1
22	-1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	-2	1
23	-1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	1	-2
24	-1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	-2	1	1
25	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	1	-2
26	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-2	1	1	1
27	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	0	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	-2	1
28	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	1	-2	1
29	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-2	1	1	1
30	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-2	1	1	1
31	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	-2	1	1
32	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	-2	1	1
33	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	-1	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	$\neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$	1	-2	1	1

Observe that though there are some symmetries in the conditions of Theorem 5, its proof is tedious (it discusses 33 cases). However, these discussions seem to be unavoidable, because this theorem is in fact a refined version of Theorem 2 (refine F to be $F = (f, G)$), and so one needs to verify Conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2, which naturally leads to the 33 discussions by Proposition 3. Therefore, Theorem 5 seems difficult to be extended to the case of $p \neq 3$.

Remark 6. Note that when $m = 2$, Condition (3) of Theorem 5 does not exist, and hence all the three conditions of Theorem 5 are reduced to the first two of them.

Remark 7. *It is very challenging to find a minimal ternary linear code violating the AB condition from Construction 2, because for that purpose, one has to find a function $f : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$ and a vectorial function $G : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1}$ such that the conditions of Construction 2 are satisfied (those conditions are difficult to satisfy, especially for Conditions (a) and (b) since they imply that the linear code C_f in (1) is minimal and violates the AB condition). Moreover, one has also to manage f and G such that the three conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied.*

According to the fact $\operatorname{Re}(z_1 + z_2) \leq |z_1| + |z_2|$ for any two complex numbers z_1, z_2 , Theorem 5 can be simplified to the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *The ternary linear code C_F generated by Construction 2 is minimal if the following condition is satisfied:*

$$\max_{\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_{m-1}\}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \left\{ |W_f(\nu)|, |W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu)|, |W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu)| \right\} < \frac{3^n}{5}. \quad (21)$$

6 Several minimal ternary linear codes violating the AB condition

In this section, we present several minimal ternary linear codes violating the AB condition according to Proposition 4 and Theorem 5. To this end, we need first to find a function f from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3 such that it satisfies the conditions of Construction 2. Note that there is a known such function in the literature (see the function (9) and Theorem 18 in [14]). But for that function, we cannot find a vectorial function G from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} such that the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied. So we give another f by using the following result.

Lemma 3. *Let ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 be three functions from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3 . Let*

$$f(x) = \phi_1(x) + (\phi_2 - \phi_1)(x)(\phi_3 - \phi_1)(x). \quad (22)$$

Then the Walsh transform of f at $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} W_f(\nu) = & \frac{1}{3} \left(W_{\phi_1}(\nu) + W_{\phi_2}(\nu) + W_{2(\phi_1+\phi_2)}(\nu) + W_{\phi_3}(\nu) + W_{2(\phi_1+\phi_3)}(\nu) \right. \\ & \left. + \zeta_3(W_{\phi_1+2\phi_2+\phi_3}(\nu) + W_{\phi_1+\phi_2+2\phi_3}(\nu)) + \zeta_3^2(W_{2\phi_1+\phi_2+\phi_3}(\nu) + W_{2(\phi_2+\phi_3)}(\nu)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $T_j := \{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n : \phi_2(x) - \phi_1(x) = j\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{F}_3$. Then the Walsh transform of f satisfies that

$$W_f(\nu) = \sum_{x \in T_0} \zeta_3^{\phi_1(x) - \nu \cdot x} + \sum_{x \in T_1} \zeta_3^{\phi_3(x) - \nu \cdot x} + \sum_{x \in T_2} \zeta_3^{2\phi_1(x) + 2\phi_3(x) - \nu \cdot x}.$$

Note that for any $j \in \mathbb{F}_3$ and any function $h : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, it holds that

$$\sum_{x \in T_j} h(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \frac{1 + \zeta_3^{3-j} \zeta_3^{(\phi_2 - \phi_1)(x)} + \zeta_3^{3-2j} \zeta_3^{2(\phi_2 - \phi_1)(x)}}{3} h(x).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in T_0} \zeta_3^{\phi_1(x) - \nu \cdot x} &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \left(\zeta_3^{\phi_1(x) - \nu \cdot x} + \zeta_3^{\phi_2(x) - \nu \cdot x} + \zeta_3^{2(\phi_1 + \phi_2)(x) - \nu \cdot x} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (W_{\phi_1}(\nu) + W_{\phi_2}(\nu) + W_{2(\phi_1 + \phi_2)}(\nu)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has

$$\sum_{x \in T_1} \zeta_3^{\phi_3(x) - \nu \cdot x} = \frac{1}{3} (W_{\phi_3}(\nu) + \zeta_3^2 W_{2\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3}(\nu) + \zeta_3 W_{\phi_1 + 2\phi_2 + \phi_3}(\nu)) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sum_{x \in T_2} \zeta_3^{2\phi_1(x) + 2\phi_3(x) - \nu \cdot x} = \frac{1}{3} (W_{2(\phi_1 + \phi_3)}(\nu) + \zeta_3 W_{\phi_1 + \phi_2 + 2\phi_3}(\nu) + \zeta_3^2 W_{2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3}(\nu)).$$

The result follows then from the above discussions. \square

For a generalized version of Lemma 3 on \mathbb{F}_2^n , the reader is referred to [17].

Lemma 4. *Let n and r be two integers with $n - r > 2$. Let a, b be two independent vectors of \mathbb{F}_3^n . Let E be an r -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_3^n and E^\perp denote the dual space of E , that is, $E^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n : x \cdot y = 0, \forall y \in E\}$. Let $1_E(x)$ be the indicator of E , that is, $1_E(x)$ equals 1 if $x \in E$, and equals 0 otherwise. Then the function f from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3 defined by*

$$f(x) = 1_E(x) + (a \cdot x)(b \cdot x) + 2$$

satisfies the conditions of Construction 2 if $a, b, a + b, a - b \notin E^\perp$.

Proof. Firstly, it is easily seen that $f(\mathbf{0}_n) = 0$. Now let $\phi_1(x) = 1_E(x) + 2$, $\phi_2(x) = \phi_1(x) + a \cdot x$ and $\phi_3(x) = \phi_1(x) + b \cdot x$. We have $f(x) = \phi_1(x) + (\phi_2 - \phi_1)(x)(\phi_3 - \phi_1)(x)$. Note that $W_{\phi(x) + a \cdot x}(\nu) = W_\phi(\nu - a)$ for any function $\phi : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$ and any $a, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$. Then by Lemma 3, we obtain that

$$W_f(\nu) = \frac{1}{3} \left(W_{\phi_1}(\nu) + W_{\phi_1}(\nu - a) + W_{\phi_1}(\nu - 2a) + W_{\phi_1}(\nu - b) + W_{\phi_1}(\nu - 2b) \right. \\ \left. + \zeta_3 (W_{\phi_1}(\nu - a - 2b) + W_{\phi_1}(\nu - 2a - b)) + \zeta_3^2 (W_{\phi_1}(\nu - a - b) + W_{\phi_1}(\nu - 2a - 2b)) \right). \quad (23)$$

In addition, the Walsh transform of ϕ_1 at $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ is that

$$W_{\phi_1}(\nu) = \zeta_3^2 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} \zeta_3^{1_E(x) - \nu \cdot x} = \zeta_3^2 (\zeta_3 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_3^{n*}} \zeta_3^{\nu \cdot x} + (\zeta_3 - 1) \sum_{x \in E^*} \zeta_3^{\nu \cdot x}).$$

Recall that E is an r -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_3^n . We have $\sum_{x \in E^*} \zeta_3^{\nu \cdot x}$ equals $\sum_{x \in E} \zeta_3^{\nu \cdot x} - 1 = -1$ if $\nu \notin E^\perp$, and equals $3^r - 1$ otherwise. Thus, we obtain that

$$W_{\phi_1}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 3^n \zeta_3^2 + 3^r - 3^r \zeta_3^2, & \text{if } \nu = \mathbf{0}_n, \\ 3^r - 3^r \zeta_3^2, & \text{if } \nu \in E^\perp \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_n\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Recall that $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ are independent. Now if $a, b, a + b, a - b \notin E^\perp$, then from (23) and (24), we obtain that

$$W_f(\nu) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} (3^n \zeta_3^2 + 3^r - 3^r \zeta_3^2), & \text{if } \nu \in \{\mathbf{0}_n, a, 2a, b, 2b\}, \\ \frac{1}{3} \zeta_3 (3^n \zeta_3^2 + 3^r - 3^r \zeta_3^2), & \text{if } \nu \in \{a + 2b, 2a + b\}, \\ \frac{1}{3} \zeta_3^2 (3^n \zeta_3^2 + 3^r - 3^r \zeta_3^2), & \text{if } \nu \in \{a + b, 2a + 2b\}, \\ \frac{1}{3} (3^r - 3^r \zeta_3^2), & \text{if } \nu \in (E^\perp \cup a + E^\perp \cup 2a + E^\perp \cup b + E^\perp \cup 2b + E^\perp) \setminus T, \\ \frac{1}{3} \zeta_3 (3^r - 3^r \zeta_3^2), & \text{if } \nu \in (a + 2b + E^\perp \cup 2a + b + E^\perp) \setminus T, \\ \frac{1}{3} \zeta_3^2 (3^r - 3^r \zeta_3^2), & \text{if } \nu \in (a + b + E^\perp \cup 2a + 2b + E^\perp) \setminus T, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where T is a 2-dimensional linear space of \mathbb{F}_3^n spanned by a and b , from which we derive that

$$Re(W_f(\nu)) = \begin{cases} -\frac{3^{n-1}}{2} + \frac{3^r}{2}, & \text{if } \nu \in \{\mathbf{0}_n, a, 2a, b, 2b\}, \\ 3^{n-1} - \frac{3^r}{2}, & \text{if } \nu \in \{a + 2b, 2a + b\}, \\ -\frac{3^{n-1}}{2}, & \text{if } \nu \in \{a + b, 2a + 2b\}, \\ \frac{3^r}{2}, & \text{if } \nu \in (E^\perp \cup a + E^\perp \cup 2a + E^\perp \cup b + E^\perp \cup 2b + E^\perp) \setminus T, \\ -\frac{3^r}{2}, & \text{if } \nu \in (a + 2b + E^\perp \cup 2a + b + E^\perp) \setminus T, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Then we have

$$3 \max_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} Re(W_f(\nu)) - 2 \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} Re(W_f(\nu)) = 3(3^{n-1} - \frac{3^r}{2}) - 2(-\frac{3^{n-1}}{2}) = 3^n + 3^{n-1} - \frac{3^{r+1}}{2},$$

which is greater than 3^n when $n - r > 2$. Thus, Condition (b) of Construction 2 is satisfied. Moreover, according to (25), one can also verify that

$$Re(W_f(\nu) + W_f(\nu') + \theta W_f(\nu'')) \neq 3^n$$

for any $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ and any $\theta \in \{1, -2\}$. Thus, Condition (a) of Construction 2 is also satisfied. This completes the proof. \square

In our proof, we also need the following result.

Lemma 5. *Let g be a regular s -plateaued function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3 such that $g(\mathbf{0}_n) = 0$. Let $\phi(x) = g(x) + 1_E(x) + 2$, where E is an r -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_3^n . Then for any $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$, it holds that*

$$|W_\phi(\nu)| \leq 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 2 \times 3^r.$$

Proof. For any $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$, it is easy to obtain that

$$W_\phi(\nu) = \zeta_3^2(W_g(\nu) + (\zeta_3 - 1) \sum_{x \in E} \zeta_3^{g(x) - \nu \cdot x}).$$

Since g is regular s -plateaued, we have $\zeta_3^2 W_g(\nu) \in \{0, 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} \zeta_3^{2+\tilde{g}(\nu)}\}$ for some function $\tilde{g} : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$ and for any $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$. Thus, $|\zeta_3^2 W_g(\nu)| \leq 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}}$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$. Since E is an r -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_3^n , we have $|(\zeta_3 - 1) \sum_{x \in E} \zeta_3^{g(x) - \nu \cdot x}| \leq 2 \times 3^r$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$. Combining them, we obtain the desired result. \square

Now we give the main result of this section.

Theorem 6. *Let $n > 6$ and $m \geq 2$ be two integers. Let E be an r -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_3^n with $n - r > 3$, and let $f(x) = 1_E(x) + (a \cdot x)(b \cdot x) + 2$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ are independent with $a, b, a + b, a - b \notin E^\perp$. Let $G : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1}$ be a vectorial regular s -plateaued function with $0 \leq s \leq n - 6$ and $G(\mathbf{0}_n) = \mathbf{0}_{m-1}$. Let $F = (f, G)$. Then the ternary linear code C_F generated by Construction 1 is a $[3^n - 1, n + m, 3^{n-1} + 3^{n-2} + 3^{r-1}]$ code violating the AB condition.*

Proof. From Lemma 4 and Theorem 4, we obtain that f and G satisfy all the conditions of Construction 2. From Proposition 4, we derive that C_F violates the AB condition. Below, we show that C_F is minimal by verifying the three conditions of Theorem 5.

For any $\mathbf{0}_{m-1} \neq \tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1}$, let $A_{\tilde{\mu}}(x) := f(x) + \tilde{\mu} \cdot G(x) = \phi_{\tilde{\mu}}(x) + (a \cdot x)(b \cdot x)$, where $\phi_{\tilde{\mu}}(x) = \tilde{\mu} \cdot G(x) + 1_E(x) + 2$. Since G is a vectorial regular s -plateaued function, by Lemma 5, we have

$$|W_{\phi_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu)| \leq 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 2 \times 3^r, \quad \forall \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{m-1}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n.$$

By (23), we have

$$|W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu)| \leq 3 \max_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n} |W_{\phi_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu)|, \quad \forall \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m}-1}.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$-3^{\frac{n+s}{2}+1} - 2 \times 3^{r+1} \leq \text{Re}(W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu)) \leq 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}+1} + 2 \times 3^{r+1}, \quad \forall \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m}-1}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n, \quad (26)$$

from which one easily derives that

$$\text{Re}(W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu) + W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu') + \theta W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu'')) \leq 4(3^{\frac{n+s}{2}+1} + 2 \times 3^{r+1}), \quad \forall \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m}-1}, \nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n, \theta \in \{1, -2\},$$

which is strictly less than 3^n if $n - r > 3$ and $0 \leq s \leq n - 6$, since in this case $4 \times 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}+1} \leq 12 \times 3^{n-3}$ and $8 \times 3^{r+1} \leq 8 \times 3^{n-3}$. Thus, Condition (1) of Theorem 5 is satisfied. Since G is a vectorial regular s -plateaued function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} , we have $|\text{Re}(W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu))| \leq 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}}$ for any $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m}-1}\}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$. In addition, according to (25) and (26), we have

$$|\text{Re}(W_f(\nu))| \leq 3^{n-1} - \frac{3^r}{2}, \quad |\text{Re}(W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu))| \leq 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}+1} + 2 \times 3^{r+1}, \quad \forall \tilde{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m}-1}, \nu \in \mathbb{F}_3^n.$$

Therefore, for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \in \{1, -2\}$ with one being -2 , any $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\mu}', \tilde{\mu} \pm \tilde{\mu}' \in \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m}-1}\}$, and any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Re}(\lambda_1 W_f(\nu) + \lambda_2 W_G(\tilde{\mu}, \nu') + \lambda_3 W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu + \nu') + \lambda_4 W_{A_{2\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu - \nu')) \\ & \leq 2(3^{n-1} - \frac{3^r}{2}) + 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 2(3^{\frac{n+s}{2}+1} + 2 \times 3^{r+1}) \\ & = 2 \times 3^{n-1} + 7 \times 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 11 \times 3^r, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Re}(\lambda_1 W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}}}(\nu) + \lambda_2 W_G(\tilde{\mu}', \nu') + \lambda_3 W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}+\tilde{\mu}'}}(\nu + \nu') + \lambda_4 W_{A_{\tilde{\mu}-\tilde{\mu}'}}(\nu - \nu')) \\ & \leq 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 4(3^{\frac{n+s}{2}+1} + 2 \times 3^{r+1}) \\ & = 13 \times 3^{\frac{n+s}{2}} + 24 \times 3^r. \end{aligned}$$

These two relations are strictly less than 3^n when $n - r \geq 4$ and $0 \leq s \leq n - 6$. This shows that Conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 5 are also satisfied, and hence C_F is a minimal ternary linear code violating the AB condition.

Finally, by Propositions 1 and 3, Remark 2, and Relations (25) and (26), we obtain that C_F is a $[3^n - 1, n + m, 3^{n-1} + 3^{n-2} + 3^{r-1}]$ code. The proof is finished. \square

Note that when $s = 0$ in Theorem 6, that is, G is a vectorial regular bent function from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3^{m-1} , the value of m should be less than or equal to $\frac{n}{2} + 1$, since vectorial regular bent functions exist only for $m - 1 \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Remark 8. In section VI of [18], the authors presented several infinite families of minimal binary linear codes violating the AB condition from some special vectorial Boolean functions $F : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^m$, where n is even and $2 \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ or $m = n + 1$. While for the other cases (including the case n odd and other values of m), the authors left them as an open question in Remark 12 of [18]. In this section, we also presented several minimal ternary linear codes violating the AB condition from some special vectorial functions $F : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^m$. In addition, our result (Theorem 6) does not have “restrictions” to the values of n and m . Therefore, similarly as this section, one can use our method to solve the left question in Remark 12 of [18].

Remark 9. Theorem 5 is a general result for finding new minimal ternary linear codes violating the AB condition. In this section, we have given an application of Theorem 5 by finding special functions f and G . It would be interesting if someone can give other applications of Theorem 5.

7 Concluding remarks

The main contributions of this paper are presented as follows:

- A necessary and sufficient condition for a large class of ternary linear codes to be minimal (Theorem 2).
- Several minimal ternary linear codes with three-weight and complete weight distribution (Theorem 4, Corollaries 2 and 3).
- A generic construction of ternary linear codes violating the AB condition from vectorial functions (Construction 2).
- A necessary and sufficient condition for the ternary linear codes in Construction 2 to be minimal (Theorem 5).
- Several minimal linear codes violating the AB condition (Theorem 6).

We highlight that, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first paper to construct minimal ternary linear codes from vectorial functions $F : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^m$. Moreover, the minimal ternary linear codes obtained from Theorem 6 are valid for any positive integers $n \geq 6$ and $m \geq 2$ (without any restriction for n even and $2 \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2}$ or $m = n + 1$, while those restrictions are required in Section VI of [18]). Hence, by using our method given in Section 6, one can solve the question raised in Remark 12 of [18].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant 2019YFB2101703; in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 62302001, 62372221, 61972258, 62272107 and U19A2066, in part by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant 2023M740714, in part by the Innovation Action Plan of Shanghai Science and Technology under Grants 20511102200 and 21511102200, in part by the Key Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province under Grant 2020B0101090001, in part by the Natural Science Foundation for the Higher Education Institutions of Anhui Province under Grant 2023AH050250.

References

- [1] A. Ashikhmin and A. Barg, “Minimal vectors in linear codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 2010-2017, Sep. 1998. 1, 4
- [2] D. Bartoli and M. Bonini, “Minimal linear codes in odd characteristic,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 4152-4155, Jul. 2019. 2
- [3] M. Bonini and M. Borello, “Minimal linear codes arising from blocking sets,” *J. Algebr. Combinatorics*, vol. 53, pp. 327-341, 2021. 2
- [4] C. Carlet, C. Ding, and J. Yuan, “Linear codes from perfect nonlinear mappings and their secret sharing schemes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 2089-2102, Jun. 2005. 1
- [5] H. Chabanne, G. Cohen, and A. Patey, “Towards secure two-party computation from the wire-tap channel,” in *Proc. ICISC*, in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 8565, H.-S. Lee and D.-G. Han, Eds. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2014, pp. 34-46. 1
- [6] S. Chang and J. Y. Hyun, “Linear codes from simplicial complexes,” *Des., Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 86, no. 10, pp. 2167-2181, Oct. 2018. 1

- [7] G. D. Cohen, S. Mesnager, and A. Patey, "On minimal and quasiminimal linear codes," in *Proc. IMA Int. Conf. Cryptogr. Coding*, M. Stam, Eds. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2013, pp. 85-98. [1](#)
- [8] C. Ding, "Linear codes from some 2-designs," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 3265-3275, Jun. 2015. [10](#)
- [9] C. Ding, Y. Gao, and Z. Zhou, "Five families of three-Weight ternary cyclic codes and their duals," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 7940-7946, 2013. [10](#)
- [10] C. Ding, Z. Heng, and Z. Zhou, "Minimal binary linear codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 6536-6545, Oct. 2018. [2](#)
- [11] C. Ding and J. Yuan, "Covering and secret sharing with linear codes," in *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 2731. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2003, pp. 11-25. [1](#)
- [12] K. Ding and C. Ding, "A class of two-weight and three-weight codes and their applications in secret sharing," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 5835-5842, Nov. 2015. [1](#), [10](#)
- [13] X. Du, R. Rodríguez, and H. Wu, "Infinite families of minimal binary codes via Krawtchouk polynomials", *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-023-01353-y> [2](#)
- [14] Z. Heng, C. Ding, and Z. Zhou, "Minimal linear codes over finite fields," *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 54, pp. 176-196, Nov. 2018. [2](#), [4](#), [7](#), [14](#)
- [15] Z. Heng and Q. Yue, "Two classes of two-weight linear codes," *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 38, pp. 72-92, 2016. [1](#)
- [16] J. Y. Hyun, J. Lee, and Y. Lee, "Explicit criteria for construction of plateaued functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 7555-7565, 2016. [3](#)
- [17] Y. Li, H. Kan, S. Mesnager, J. Peng, C.H. Tan, and L. Zheng, "Generic constructions of (Boolean and vectorial) bent functions and their consequences," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 2735-2751, 2022. [15](#)
- [18] Y. Li, J. Peng, H. Kan, and L. Zheng, "Minimal binary linear codes from vectorial Boolean functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 69, no. 5, pp. 2955-2968, 2023. [2](#), [3](#), [5](#), [10](#), [11](#), [17](#), [18](#)
- [19] G. Luo and X. Cao, "Five classes of optimal two-weight linear codes," *Cryptogr. Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1119-1135, 2018. [1](#)
- [20] S. Mesnager, "Linear codes with few weights from weakly regular bent functions based on a generic construction," *Cryptogr. Commun.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 71-84, Jan. 2017. [1](#)
- [21] S. Mesnager, F. Özbudak, and A. Sinak, "Linear codes from weakly regular plateaued functions and their secret sharing schemes," *Des., Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 87, pp. 463-480, 2019. [1](#), [3](#), [10](#)
- [22] S. Mesnager, Y. Qi, H. Ru, and C. Tang, "Minimal linear codes from characteristic functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 66, no. 9, pp. 5404-5413, Sep. 2020. [2](#)
- [23] S. Mesnager, L. Qian, X. Cao, and Mu Yuan, "Several families of binary minimal linear codes from two-to-one functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 69, no. 5, pp. 3285-3301, 2023. [2](#)
- [24] S. Mesnager and A. Sinak, "Several classes of minimal linear codes with few weights from weakly regular plateaued functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 2296-2310, 2020. [2](#), [10](#)

- [25] K. Nyberg, “Perfect nonlinear S-boxes,” in *Advances in Cryptology-EUROCRYPT* (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), vol. 547. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1991, pp. 378-385. [4](#)
- [26] E. Pasalic, R. Rodríguez, F. Zhang, and Y. Wei, “Several classes of minimal binary linear codes violating the Aschikhmin-Barg bound,” *Cryptogr. Commun.*, vol. 13, pp. 637-659, 2021. [2](#)
- [27] R. M. Pelen. “Three weight ternary linear codes from non-weakly regular bent functions,” *Advances in Mathematics of Communications*, 2022, doi: 10.3934/amc.2022020. [10](#)
- [28] R. Rodríguez, E. Pasalic, F. Zhang, and Y. Wei, “Minimal p -ary codes via the direct sum of functions, non-covering permutations and subspaces of derivatives,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 2023, doi: 10.1109/TIT.2023.3338577. [2](#), [10](#)
- [29] M. Shi, Y. Guan, and P. Solé, “Two new families of two-weight codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 63, no. 10, pp. 6240-6246, 2017. [1](#)
- [30] C. Tang, N. Li, Y. Qi, Z. Zhou, and T. Helleseth, “Linear codes with two or three weights from weakly regular bent functions,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1166-1176, Mar. 2016. [1](#), [10](#)
- [31] R. Tao, T. Feng, and W. Li, “A construction of minimal linear codes from partial difference sets,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 3724-3734, 2021. [2](#)
- [32] Y. Xia and C. Li, “Three-weight ternary linear codes from a family of power functions,” *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 46, pp. 17-37, 2017. [10](#)
- [33] G. Xu and L. Qu, “Three classes of minimal linear codes over the finite fields of odd characteristic,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 65, no. 11, pp. 7067-7078, 2019. [10](#)
- [34] G. Xu, L. Qu and X. Cao, “Minimal linear codes from Maiorana-McFarland functions,” *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 65, 2020, Art. no. 101688. [2](#)
- [35] G. Xu, L. Qu, and G. Luo, “Minimal linear codes from weakly regular bent functions,” *Cryptogr. Commun.*, vol. 14, pp. 415-431, 2022. [2](#)
- [36] S. Yang and Z. Yao, “Complete weight enumerators of a family of three-weight linear codes,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 82, pp. 663-674, 2017. [1](#), [10](#)
- [37] J. Yuan and C. Ding, “Secret sharing schemes from three classes of linear codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 206-212, Jan. 2006. [1](#)
- [38] F. Zhang, E. Pasalic, R. Rodríguez, and Y. Wei, “Wide minimal binary linear codes from the general Maiorana-McFarland class,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 89, pp. 1485-1507, 2021. [2](#)
- [39] F. Zhang, E. Pasalic, R. Rodríguez, and Y. Wei, “Minimal binary linear codes: a general framework based on bent concatenation,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 90, pp. 1289-1318, 2022. [2](#)
- [40] Z. Zhou, “Three-weight ternary linear codes from a family of cyclic difference sets,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 86, pp. 2513-2523, 2018. [10](#)
- [41] Z. Zhou, N. Li, C. Fan, and T. Helleseth, “Linear codes with two or three weights from quadratic bent functions,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 81, no. 2, pp. 283-295, 2016. [1](#), [10](#)