

THE INTERTWINING PROPERTY FOR LAGUERRE PROCESSES WITH A FIXED PARAMETER

ALEXANDER I. BUFETOV

Steklov Mathematical Institute of RAS, Moscow, Russia, Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow, Russia, CNRS Institut de Mathématiques de Marseille, France

YOSUKE KAWAMOTO

Graduate school of environmental, life, natural science and technology, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan

ABSTRACT. We investigate the intertwining of Laguerre processes of parameter α in different dimensions. We introduce a Feller kernel that depends on α and intertwines the α -Laguerre process in $N + 1$ dimensions and that in N dimensions. When α is a non-negative integer, the new kernel is interpreted in terms of the conditional distribution of the squared singular values: if the singular values of a unitarily invariant random matrix of order $(N + \alpha + 1) \times (N + 1)$ are fixed, then the those of its $(N + \alpha) \times N$ truncation matrix are given by the new kernel.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Intertwining relations for the Laguerre processes. This note is devoted to intertwining relations for stochastic processes arising in random matrix theory. More precisely, we set the Weyl chamber $W^N = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N; x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_N\}$, and for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{N+1}) \in W^{N+1}$, we introduce the set

$$W^{N,N+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in W^N; x_1 \leq y_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq y_N \leq x_{N+1}\}.$$

For $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in W^N$, let

$$\Delta_N(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (y_j - y_i)$$

be the Vandermonde determinant. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{W}^{N+1} := \{\mathbf{x} \in W^{N+1}; x_1 < \dots < x_{N+1}\}$, let $\Lambda_N^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$ be a probability measure on W^N given by

$$(1.1) \quad \Lambda_N^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = N! \cdot \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_{N+1}(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{1}_{W^{N,N+1}(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Then, Λ_N^{N+1} defines a Feller kernel $W^{N+1} \dashrightarrow W^N$ [4, Lemma 2.5].

E-mail addresses: bufetov@mi.ras.ru, y-kawamoto@okayama-u.ac.jp.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60B20, 60J60.

Key words and phrases. random matrices, intertwining relation, interacting Brownian motions.

Let T_t^N be the Markov semigroup associated with a diffusion on W^N . We say T_t^N and T_t^{N+1} are intertwined by Λ_N^{N+1} if the relation

$$T_t^{N+1}\Lambda_N^{N+1} = \Lambda_N^{N+1}T_t^N$$

holds for any $t \geq 0$. Typical diffusions intertwined by Λ_N^{N+1} include the non-intersecting Brownian motions, also known as the Dyson Brownian motion for $\beta = 2$, and its Ornstein-Uhlenbeck counterpart [26] (see [18, 25] for general inverse temperature β). The diffusions introduced in [4], that leave the Hua-Pickrell measures invariant, are also intertwined by Λ_N^{N+1} .

In this paper, we focus on the intertwining relations concerning Laguerre processes. For a real number $\alpha > -1$, we consider a diffusion on $[0, \infty)$ associated with the infinitesimal operator

$$(1.2) \quad L_{\alpha,x} := L_\alpha := x \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (-x + 1 + \alpha) \frac{d}{dx}$$

with the following boundary conditions (see, for example, [16] for a detailed discussion of boundary conditions): the point ∞ is a natural boundary, the origin is an entrance boundary for $\alpha \geq 0$ and a regular boundary for $-1 < \alpha < 0$, in which case we impose the reflecting boundary condition.

Let $p_{\alpha,t}(x, y) := p_\alpha(t, x, y)$ be the transition density of the diffusion associated with L_α . Note that $p_{\alpha,t}$ is the transition function of the solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \sqrt{2X_t}dB_t + (-X_t + \alpha + 1)dt.$$

We define the non-negative Weyl chamber as $W_{\geq}^N = W^N \cap [0, \infty)^N$ and let $\mathring{W}_{\geq}^N := \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in W_{\geq}^N; 0 < x_1 < \dots < x_N\}$. One can directly check that the Vandermonde determinant $\Delta_N(\mathbf{x})$ is an eigenfunction of the second-order operator $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} L_{\alpha, x_i}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda^N = -N(N-1)/2$ [21]. For $(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N \times W_{\geq}^N$, consider the Karlin-McGregor transition density of N particles L_α -diffusions h-transformed by $\Delta_N(\mathbf{x})$:

$$\mathbf{p}_{\alpha,t}^N(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \mathbf{p}_\alpha^N(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \exp(-\lambda^N t) \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_N(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{i,j=1}^N p_{\alpha,t}(x_i, y_j).$$

Then, \mathbf{p}_α^N is the transition density of the non-colliding system of N particles L_α -diffusions, which we call the Laguerre process. By definition, analysis of the Laguerre processes comes down to that of $p_{\alpha,t}$. To compute the transition density of L_α , we will use the following two h-transformations:

$$(1.3) \quad (\hat{m}_\alpha) \circ \hat{L}_\alpha \circ (\hat{m}_\alpha)^{-1} = -1 + L_{\alpha+1}$$

$$(1.4) \quad x^{-\alpha} \circ L_{-\alpha} \circ x^\alpha = -\alpha + L_\alpha.$$

Here, $\hat{L}_\alpha = x(d^2/dx^2) + (x - \alpha)(d/dx)$ is the Siegmund dual of L_α and $\hat{m}_\alpha(x) = e^x x^{-\alpha-1}$ is its speed measure (see Section 3). Both of the two equations are key to deriving the main result Theorem 1.1.

The non-colliding Laguerre process is also obtained as a solution to the stochastic differential equation. Actually, by the same computation for h-transformation as in [22], the Laguerre process satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad dX_t^i = \sqrt{2X_t^i}dB_t^i + \left(-X_t^i + \alpha + 1 + \sum_{j \neq i}^N \frac{2X_t^i}{X_t^i - X_t^j} \right) dt, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N,$$

where $\{B_t^i\}_{i=1}^N$ is the N -dimensional Brownian motion. This stochastic differential equation has a unique strong solution for any $\mathbf{x} \in W_{\geq}^N$ [19, Theorem 2.2] (we will describe this fact in Lemma 3.5 for readers convenience).

The significance of the Laguerre process arises from the random matrix theory. Specifically, let m_α^N be a probability measure on W_{\geq}^N given by

$$(1.6) \quad m_\alpha^N(d\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z_{N,\alpha}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{k=1}^N x_k^\alpha e^{-x_k} d\mathbf{x},$$

which is referred to as the Laguerre ensemble. The ensemble (1.6) gives the distribution of the squared singular values of $(N + \alpha) \times N$ complex Wishart matrix, also known as the Laguerre unitary ensembles. The Laguerre process of parameter α leave m_α^N invariant. Additionally, it is worth noting that the stochastic differential equation (1.5) is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck counterpart of the non-colliding squared Bessel processes, which is deeply investigated in the context of random matrix theory [20]. Actually, the non-colliding squared Bessel process describes the squared singular values process of a rectangular matrices whose entries are independent complex Brownian motions [9, 22].

Let $\{T_{\alpha,t}^N\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the Markovian semigroup associated with \mathbf{p}_α^N . In Lemma 3.5, we establish the shifted intertwining relation for Λ_N^{N+1} in the sense that

$$(1.7) \quad T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_N^{N+1} = \Lambda_N^{N+1} T_{\alpha+1,t}^N.$$

Shifted intertwining here means that the parameters of Laguerre processes in the left and right hand sides are different. Remark that a similar shifted intertwining relation is known [5, Section 3.7]: the relation (1.7) is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck counterpart of their result (see also [2] for general β). The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new Feller kernel depending on α that intertwines $T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1}$ and $T_{\alpha,t}^N$ with the same parameter α .

We use the Pochhammer symbol $(x)_n = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$. Suppose $\alpha > -1$. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^{N+1}$, define $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$ as a measure on W_{\geq}^N given by

$$(1.8) \quad \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = N!(\alpha+1)_N \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_{N+1}(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{k=1}^N \left(\mathbf{1}_{[x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}]}(y_k) \int_{x_k \vee y_k}^{x_{k+1} \wedge y_{k+1}} \frac{y_k^\alpha}{z^{\alpha+1}} dz \right) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Here, we use the symbol $x_0 = 0$ and $y_{N+1} = \infty$ for notational convenience. While the definition of (1.8) is valid for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^{N+1}$, we will see in Section 2.2 that $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}$ is extended to a Feller kernel $W_{\geq}^{N+1} \dashrightarrow W_{\geq}^N$. It is important to emphasise that (1.8) is formulated for real parameter α . In the particular case when α is a non-negative integer, the kernel $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}$ can be interpreted in terms of the radial parts of random matrices. Actually, we shall see in Theorem 1.2 that the kernel $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$ provides the radial parts distribution of a truncation of unitary invariant matrix whose radial parts correspond with $\mathbf{x} \in W_{\geq}^{N+1}$.

Setting $C_\infty(W_{\geq}^N)$ to be the set of all continuous functions on W_{\geq}^N vanishing at infinity, now we state our first main result.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\alpha > -1$. Then, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in C_\infty(W_{\geq}^N)$, and $t \geq 0$, we have the intertwining relation

$$(1.9) \quad T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} f = \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} T_{\alpha,t}^N f.$$

In contrast to (1.7), the parameters of the left and right hand sides in (1.9) are the same. Naturally, we find that a sequence of α -Laguerre processes $\{T_\alpha^N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is coherent with kernels $\{\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Intertwining relations allow one to construct a limit process on the boundary of branching graphs corresponding to a coherent family of finite-dimensional processes. Borodin and Olshanski [7] first introduced the method of intertwiners, constructing a Feller process on the boundary of the Gelfand-Tsetlin graph, which describes the branching of irreducible representations of the chain of unitary groups. Borodin and

Olshanski [8, 24] and Cuenca [13] applied this approach for branching graphs related to other groups. For the background on the intertwining property, see “The Gelfand-Tsetlin graph and Markov processes” by Olshanski (arXiv:1404.3646). In their frameworks, the state spaces of finite-dimensional processes are discrete. Assiotis [4] applied the method of intertwiners in cases where processes in finite dimensions are continuous. The coherent family coming from the intertwining relation (1.9) also yields a limit process on a boundary as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and this will be done in the sequel to this note.

1.2. Interpretation of Markov kernels in terms of radial parts of random matrices. Hereafter in this subsection, α is supposed to be a non-negative integer. In this case, the Markov kernel $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}$ has the following interpretation in the context of random matrix theory.

Let $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ be the space of $m \times n$ matrices with complex entries, and for brevity write $M_n(\mathbb{C}) = M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$. Introduce the following subsets $H_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{U}(n) \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$: $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of Hermite matrices of order n , and $\mathbb{U}(n)$ is the space of unitary matrices of order n .

For $m_1 \geq m_2, n_1 \geq n_2$, let $\pi_{m_2, n_2}^{m_1, n_1} : M_{m_1, n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{m_2, n_2}(\mathbb{C})$ be the natural projection sending an $m_1 \times n_1$ matrix to its upper left $m_2 \times n_2$ corner. We employ the expression $\pi_{m_2, n_2}^{m_1}$ in place of $\pi_{m_2, n_2}^{m_1, n_1}$ if $m_1 = n_1$, and use a similar symbol for $m_2 = n_2$.

We define a map $\mathbf{eval}_n : H_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow W^n$ as

$$\mathbf{eval}_n(X) = (\lambda_1(X), \dots, \lambda_n(X)),$$

where $(\lambda_i(X))_{i=1}^n$ is the eigenvalues of X arranged in non-decreasing order. Furthermore, define the radial part $\mathbf{rad}_n : M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow W_{\geq}^n$ as $\mathbf{rad}_n(X) = \mathbf{eval}_n(X^*X)$. Let a probability measure $P_{\mathbf{eval}_n}^n[X]$ on W_{\geq}^n be the distribution of the eigenvalues of a random matrix $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Similarly, let a probability measure $P_{\mathbf{rad}_n}^n[X]$ on W_{\geq}^n denote the distribution of the radial part of a random matrix $X \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $U_{N+1} \in \mathbb{U}(N+1)$ be a Haar distributed random matrix and let $\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_{N+1})$ be the square matrix of order $N+1$ with deterministic diagonal elements x_1, \dots, x_{N+1} . Then, it is well known that $\Lambda_N^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$ is given by the formula

$$\Lambda_N^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) = P_{\mathbf{eval}_N}^N[\pi_N^{N+1}(U_{N+1}^* \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_{N+1}) U_{N+1})]$$

valid for any $\mathbf{x} \in W^{N+1}$ [6, Proposition 4.2]. Hence, if a random matrix $X_{N+1} \in H_{N+1}(\mathbb{C})$ is $\mathbb{U}(N+1)$ -invariant by conjugation in the sense that $U_{N+1}^* X_{N+1} U_{N+1} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_{N+1}$ for any unitary matrix $U_{N+1} \in \mathbb{U}(N+1)$, then we have the relation

$$(1.10) \quad P_{\mathbf{eval}_N}^{N+1}[X_{N+1}] \Lambda_N^{N+1} = P_{\mathbf{eval}_N}^N[\pi_N^{N+1}(X_{N+1})].$$

The next theorem gives a similar expression for the Markov kernel $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}$, and consequently, a relation between the radial parts of a unitary invariant rectangular matrix and its truncation. A random matrix $X_{m,n} \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be $\mathbb{U}(m) \times \mathbb{U}(n)$ -invariant if $X_{m,n} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} V_m X_{m,n} U_n$ for any fixed unitary matrices $V_m \in \mathbb{U}(m), U_n \in \mathbb{U}(n)$.

Theorem 1.2. Let α be a non-negative integer. For a $\mathbb{U}(N+\alpha+1) \times \mathbb{U}(N+1)$ -invariant random matrix $X_{N+\alpha+1, N+1} \in M_{N+\alpha+1, N+1}(\mathbb{C})$, let $X_{N+\alpha, N} = \pi_{N+\alpha, N}^{N+\alpha+1, N+1}(X_{N+\alpha+1, N+1})$ be its truncation. We then have

$$P_{\mathbf{rad}_N}^{N+1}[X_{N+\alpha+1, N+1}] \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1} = P_{\mathbf{rad}_N}^N[X_{N+\alpha, N}].$$

Corollary 1.3. Let $V_{N+\alpha+1} \in \mathbb{U}(N + \alpha + 1)$ and $U_{N+1} \in \mathbb{U}(N + 1)$ be Haar distributed independent random matrices and $D_{N+\alpha+1, N+1} \in M_{N+\alpha+1, N+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a deterministic matrix given by

$$D_{N+\alpha+1, N+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{diag}(\sqrt{x_1}, \dots, \sqrt{x_{N+1}}) \\ \mathbf{0}_{\alpha \times (N+1)} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{N+1}) \in W_{\geq}^{N+1}.$$

Then, the Markov kernel $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y})$ is the same as

$$P_{\text{rad}}^N [\pi_{N+\alpha, N}^{N+\alpha+1, N+1}(V_{N+\alpha+1} D_{N+\alpha+1, N+1} U_{N+1})].$$

Proof. Since $V_{N+\alpha+1} D_{N+\alpha+1, N+1} U_{N+1}$ is a $\mathbb{U}(N + \alpha + 1) \times \mathbb{U}(N + 1)$ -invariant random matrix and $P_{\text{rad}}^{N+1}[V_{N+\alpha+1} D_{N+\alpha+1, N+1} U_{N+1}] = \delta_{\mathbf{x}}$ holds, Theorem 1.2 implies the statement of this corollary. \square

The Laguerre ensemble m_{α}^N defined in (1.6) is identical to the distribution of radial parts of the $(N + \alpha) \times N$ complex Wishart matrix (see, for example, [17]). Hence, the relation

$$(1.11) \quad m_{\alpha}^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1} = m_{\alpha}^N$$

immediately follows from Theorem 1.2 for non-negative integer α . The equation (1.11) for general α will be shown in Section 4 from the result of the intertwining relation for the Laguerre processes.

1.3. Organisation of this paper. The present paper is organised as follows. Section 2 is devoted to examine the new kernel $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}$. In particular, we present useful expressions of $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}$, and show its Feller property. In Section 3, we will show two shifted intertwining relations, which lead to Theorem 1.1. We give the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 4.

2. FELLER KERNELS

2.1. Kernels and semigroups. We first recall concepts of kernels and semigroups on the Euclidean spaces (see, for instance, [7, section 2] for a more comprehensive discussion). Let E and E' be Borel subsets of Euclidean spaces. Consider a function $\Lambda(x, A)$, where $x \in E$ and A is a Borel subset of E' . We say that Λ is a Markov kernel from E to E' , denoted as $\Lambda : E \dashrightarrow E'$, if the following two conditions hold:

- $\Lambda(x, \cdot)$ is a Borel probability measure on E' for any $x \in E$.
- $\Lambda(\cdot, A)$ is a Borel function on E for any Borel subset $A \in E'$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E')$ denote the Banach spaces of \mathbb{R} -valued bounded measurable functions with the sup-norm on E and E' , respectively. Then, a Markov kernel $\Lambda : E \dashrightarrow E'$ defines a linear operator $\mathcal{B}(E') \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ as $(\Lambda f)(x) = \int_{E'} \Lambda(x, dy) f(y)$. Let $C_{\infty}(E)$ be the set of all continuous functions on E vanishing at infinity. We say a Markov kernel $\Lambda : E \dashrightarrow E'$ is Feller if the induced map $\Lambda : \mathcal{B}(E') \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ satisfies $\Lambda(C_{\infty}(E')) \subset C_{\infty}(E)$.

The symbols $\mathcal{M}_p(E)$ and $\mathcal{M}_p(E')$ are used to represent the set of all of probability measures on E and E' , respectively. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_p(E)$, we set $\mu\Lambda(\cdot) = \int_E \Lambda(x, \cdot) \mu(dx) \in \mathcal{M}_p(E')$. Thus, a Markov kernel $\Lambda : E \dashrightarrow E'$ induces a linear map $\mathcal{M}_p(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_p(E')$.

A Markov semigroup on E is, by definition, a family of Markov kernels $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on E such that $T_0 = 1$ and $T_t T_s = T_{t+s}$. This semigroup induces a semigroup on $\mathcal{B}(E)$. A Markov semigroup on E is said to be Feller-Dynkin if the following two conditions hold:

- The induced map $T_t : \mathcal{B}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ satisfies $T_t(C_{\infty}(E)) \subset C_{\infty}(E)$ for any $t \geq 0$.
- The function $t \rightarrow T_t$ is strongly continuous in the sense that relation $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t f = f$ in $C_{\infty}(E)$ holds for any $f \in C_{\infty}(E)$.

We note that Feller-Dynkin semigroups are often called simply Feller. To avoid confusion, we distinguish between these two in this paper. For us, the Feller property means just the property of mapping continuous functions vanishing at infinity into itself; Feller-Dynkin is used if, in addition to this, the strong continuity holds.

2.2. Feller property of the Markov kernels $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}$. In this section, by the Feller property of Markov kernels we simply mean that the Markov operator maps continuous functions vanishing at infinity into itself. The Feller property of $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}$ is demonstrated in Proposition 2.5, which shall be used to establish Theorem 1.1.

The explicit expression of $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}$ given by (1.8) is not convenient for computation. We decompose $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}$ into two simpler kernels, Λ_N^{N+1} given in (1.1) and $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N$ introduced below. This decomposition proves to be useful for establishing the Feller property and proving Theorem 1.1.

For $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in W_{\geq}^N$, we set

$$W_{\geq}^{N,N}(\mathbf{z}) = \{\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in W_{\geq}^N; 0 \leq y_1 \leq z_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_N \leq z_N\}.$$

For $\mathbf{z} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$, we introduce a kernel $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N(\mathbf{z}, d\mathbf{y})$ on W_{\geq}^N by setting

$$(2.1) \quad \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N(\mathbf{z}, d\mathbf{y}) = (\alpha + 1)_N \left(\prod_{k=1}^N \frac{y_k^\alpha}{z_k^{\alpha+1}} \right) \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_N(\mathbf{z})} \mathbf{1}_{W_{\geq}^{N,N}(\mathbf{z})}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Hereafter in this subsection, and notably in the proof of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we set $z_0 = 0$ and $z_0^n = 0$ for notational convenience.

Lemma 2.1. If $\alpha > -1$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$, then $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N(\mathbf{z}, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on W_{\geq}^N .

Proof. The following computation yields the statement of this lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_{\geq}^{N,N}(\mathbf{z})} \left(\prod_{k=1}^N y_k^\alpha \right) \Delta_N(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} &= \int_{z_0}^{z_1} dy_1 \int_{z_1}^{z_2} dy_2 \dots \int_{z_{N-1}}^{z_N} dy_N \det_{i,j=1}^N [y_i^{j-1+\alpha}] \\ &= \det_{i,j=1}^N \left[\int_{z_{i-1}}^{z_i} y^{j-1+\alpha} dy \right] \\ &= \frac{\left(\prod_{k=1}^N z_k^{\alpha+1} \right) \Delta_N(\mathbf{z})}{(\alpha + 1)_N}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality results from successive addition of row i to $i + 1$ starting from $i = 1$. □

The explicit density (2.1) gives the definition of $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N(\mathbf{z}, d\mathbf{y})$ for $\mathbf{z} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$. For $\mathbf{z} \in W_{\geq}^N$, the transition kernel $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N(\mathbf{z}, d\mathbf{y})$ is defined by taking the weak limit verified in the following lemma. For $\mathbf{z} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$, we write down $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f$ explicitly as

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f(\mathbf{z}) = (\alpha + 1)_N \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \dots \int_{z_{N-1}}^{z_N} \left(\prod_{k=1}^N \frac{y_k^\alpha}{z_k^{\alpha+1}} \right) \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_N(\mathbf{z})} f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Note that $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f$ can be defined only on \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N at this stage.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha > -1$. Then, for any bounded continuous function $f \in C_b(W_{\geq}^N)$, the function $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f$ is continuous on \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N . Furthermore, it can be continuously extended to W_{\geq}^N .

Proof. The continuity on \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N immediately follows from (2.2) by the bounded convergence theorem since the integrand has no singularities. We will show that, for any $\mathbf{z} \in W_{\geq}^N \setminus \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$ and a sequence $\mathbf{z}^n = (z_1^n, \dots, z_N^n) \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$ satisfying $\mathbf{z} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{z}^n$, there exists a limit of $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N f(\mathbf{z}^n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and it is independent of a choice of an approximating sequence $\{\mathbf{z}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We first assume that a limit point $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$ satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad z_0 < \dots < z_{L-1} < z_L = \dots = z_M < z_{M+1} < \dots < z_N$$

for some $0 \leq L < M \leq N$. Denote $a = z_L = \dots = z_M$ (remark that $L = 0$ implies $a = 0$ because of our notation).

We set $\mathbf{y}_L^M = (y_1, \dots, y_L, y_{M+1}, \dots, y_N) \in W_{\geq}^{N-M+L}$ and $\mathbf{y}_L^M(a) = (y_1, \dots, y_L, a, \dots, a, y_{M+1}, \dots, y_N) \in W_{\geq}^N$. By a straightforward computation similar to in Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{z_L^n}^{z_{L+1}^n} \dots \int_{z_{M-1}^n}^{z_M^n} \left(\prod_{k=L+1}^M y_k^\alpha \right) \Delta_N(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{k=L+1}^M dy_k \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=L+1}^M (z_k^n)^{\alpha+1} \right) \Delta_{M-L}(z_{L+1}^n, \dots, z_M^n) H(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}^n), \end{aligned}$$

where H is a continuous function. Hence, the kernel G given by the formula

$$G(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}^n) := \int_{z_L^n}^{z_{L+1}^n} \dots \int_{z_{M-1}^n}^{z_M^n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^N \frac{y_k^\alpha}{(z_k^n)^{\alpha+1}} \right) \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_N(\mathbf{z}^n)} \prod_{k=1}^M dy_k$$

has no singularity in \mathbf{z}^n and quantifiers on L, M , the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}^n)$ exists by (2.3). Because of (2.3) and the continuity of f on W_{\geq}^N , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in W_{\geq}^{N, N}(\mathbf{z}^n)} |f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{y}_L^M(a))| < \varepsilon.$$

We write

$$F(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}^n) = \int_{z_L^n}^{z_{L+1}^n} \dots \int_{z_{M-1}^n}^{z_M^n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^N \frac{y_k^\alpha}{(z_k^n)^{\alpha+1}} \right) \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_N(\mathbf{z}^n)} f(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{k=L+1}^M dy_k.$$

A direct computation using inequality (2.4) gives

$$|F(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}^n) - G(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}^n) f(\mathbf{y}_L^M(a))| \leq G(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}^n) \varepsilon,$$

which implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}^n) = G(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}) f(\mathbf{y}_L^M(a))$. Furthermore, by the same argument for F with the dominated convergence theorem applied to the integral

$$\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N f(\mathbf{z}^n) = \int_{z_0^n}^{z_1^n} \dots \int_{z_{L-1}^n}^{z_L^n} \int_{z_M^n}^{z_{M+1}^n} \dots \int_{z_{N-1}^n}^{z_N^n} F(\mathbf{y}_L^M, \mathbf{z}^n) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq L \\ M+1 \leq k \leq N}} dy_k,$$

we obtain the existence of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N f(\mathbf{z}^n)$, and the limit is clearly independent of the specific choice of sequence $\{\mathbf{z}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Thus, we have extended $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N f(\mathbf{z})$ continuously to the points satisfying (2.3). The proof of the general case is the same. \square

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $\alpha > -1$. Then, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^{N+1}$, we have

$$\Lambda_{\alpha, N+1}^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = \Lambda_{\alpha, N+1}^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}).$$

Proof. From (1.1) and (2.1), a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \Lambda_N^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{z}) \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N(\mathbf{z}, d\mathbf{y}) \\
&= N!(\alpha + 1)_N \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_{N+1}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{y} \int \left(\prod_{k=1}^N \frac{y_k^\alpha}{z_k^{\alpha+1}} \right) \mathbf{1}_{W^{N, N+1}(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}_{W_{\geq}^{N, N}}(\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{z} \\
&= N!(\alpha + 1)_N \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_{N+1}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{y} \prod_{k=1}^N \left(\int_{x_k \vee y_k}^{x_{k+1} \wedge y_{k+1}} \frac{y_k^\alpha}{z^{\alpha+1}} dz \mathbf{1}_{\{x_k \vee y_k \leq x_{k+1} \wedge y_{k+1}\}} \right) \\
&= \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y})
\end{aligned}$$

by the definition (1.8). □

Take $\mathbf{x} \in W_{\geq}^{N+1}$ and $\mathbf{x}^n \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^{N+1}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}^n = \mathbf{x}$. Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_N^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}^n, \cdot) = \Lambda_N^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$$

weakly [4, Lemma 2.5]. Therefore, for any $f \in C_b(W_{\geq}^N)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_N^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N f(\mathbf{x}^n) = \Lambda_N^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.3, the function $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1} f$ can be continuously extended to W_{\geq}^N . Thus, we can define the kernel $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}$ on W_{\geq}^N . Accordingly, the decomposition in Lemma 2.3 is extended as follows:

Proposition 2.4. Suppose $\alpha > -1$. Then, for any $\mathbf{x} \in W_{\geq}^{N+1}$, we have

$$\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = \Lambda_N^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}).$$

Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 imply that $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N$ is a Markov kernel $W_{\geq}^N \dashrightarrow W_{\geq}^N$. Recall that Λ_N^{N+1} is Markov. Therefore, $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}$ is also a Markov kernel $W_{\geq}^{N+1} \dashrightarrow W_{\geq}^N$ from Proposition 2.4. We now show that $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1}$ are Feller kernels.

Proposition 2.5. Suppose $\alpha > -1$. Then, the following (i) and (ii) hold.

- (i) $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N f \in C_\infty(W_{\geq}^N)$ for any $f \in C_\infty(W_{\geq}^N)$.
- (ii) $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^{N+1} f \in C_\infty(W_{\geq}^{N+1})$ for any $f \in C_\infty(W_{\geq}^N)$.

Proof. The statement (ii) immediately follows from (i) and Proposition 2.4 because

$$(2.5) \quad \Lambda_N^{N+1} f \in C_\infty(W^{N+1})$$

holds for any $f \in C_\infty(W^N)$ [4, Lemma 2.5]. We proceed to the proof of (i). The continuity of $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N f$ on W_{\geq}^N is proved in Lemma 2.2, and to establish (i) it remains to show the relation

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N f(\mathbf{z}^n) = 0$$

for any sequence $\{\mathbf{z}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_{\geq}^N$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{z}^n = \infty$.

Suppose $\mathbf{z} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$. Applying the mean value theorem to integrals for y_N, \dots, y_2 successively, we see that there exists $\mathbf{w} = (w_2, \dots, w_N) \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^{N-1}$ satisfying

$$(2.7) \quad z_1 < w_2 < z_2 < \dots < z_{N-1} < w_N < z_N$$

such that

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} \dots \int_{z_{N-1}}^{z_N} \left(\prod_{k=1}^N y_k^\alpha \right) \Delta_N(\mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{k=2}^N dy_k = y_1^\alpha \left(\prod_{k=2}^N w_k^\alpha \right) \Delta_N(y_1, \mathbf{w}) f(y_1, \mathbf{w}) \prod_{k=2}^N (z_k - z_{k-1}).$$

Thereby, we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad |\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f(\mathbf{z})| \leq (\alpha + 1)_N \frac{\left(\prod_{k=2}^N w_k^\alpha\right) \prod_{k=2}^N (z_k - z_{k-1})}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^N z_k^{\alpha+1}\right) \Delta_N(\mathbf{z})} \int_0^{z_1} y^\alpha \Delta_N(y, \mathbf{w}) |f(y, \mathbf{w})| dy.$$

From (2.7), it holds that

$$\Delta_N(y, \mathbf{w}) \leq \Delta_{N-1}(\mathbf{w}) \prod_{k=2}^N w_k$$

for $y \leq z_1$. Combining this with (2.8) yields

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f(\mathbf{z})| &\leq (\alpha + 1)_N \frac{\left(\prod_{k=2}^N w_k^{\alpha+1}\right) \prod_{k=2}^N (z_k - z_{k-1}) \Delta_{N-1}(\mathbf{w})}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^N z_k^{\alpha+1}\right) \Delta_N(\mathbf{z})} \int_0^{z_1} y^\alpha |f(y, \mathbf{w})| dy \\ &= (\alpha + 1)_N \left(\prod_{k=2}^N \frac{w_k}{z_k}\right)^{\alpha+1} \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq N \\ j \neq i+1}} \frac{w_j - w_{i+1}}{z_j - z_i}\right) \frac{\int_0^{z_1} y^\alpha |f(y, \mathbf{w})| dy}{z_1^{\alpha+1}} \\ &< (\alpha + 1)_N \frac{w_N - w_{N-1}}{z_N - z_{N-2}} \frac{\int_0^{z_1} y^\alpha |f(y, \mathbf{w})| dy}{z_1^{\alpha+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last inequality results from (2.7). Actually, $(\prod_{k=2}^N w_k/z_k)^{\alpha+1} < 1$ holds since $w_k < z_k$ and $\alpha > -1$, and

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{w_j - w_{i+1}}{z_j - z_i} < 1$$

also holds from interlace relation $z_i < w_{i+1} < w_j < z_j$ for $i + 1 < j$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists p such that $|f(y, \mathbf{w})| < \varepsilon$ for $w_N > p$. Then, if $w_N > p$, the inequalities (2.9) and (2.10) yield

$$|\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f(\mathbf{z})| < (\alpha + 1)_N \frac{\int_0^{z_1} y^\alpha \varepsilon dy}{z_1^{\alpha+1}} = \varepsilon(\alpha + 2)_N.$$

On the other hand, if $w_N \leq p$, then (2.9) with $z_{N-2} < w_N$ implies the inequality

$$|\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f(\mathbf{z})| \leq (\alpha + 1)_N \frac{p}{z_N - p} \|f\| \frac{\int_0^{z_1} y^\alpha dy}{z_1^{\alpha+1}} = (\alpha + 2)_N \|f\| \frac{p}{z_N - p},$$

where $\|f\|$ is the sup-norm on W_{\geq}^N . In either case, we then see $|\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f(\mathbf{z})|$ is sufficiently small for sufficiently large $z_N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, observing that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{z}^n = \infty$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_N^n = \infty$, we have proved (2.6) if $\mathbf{z}^n \in \dot{W}_{\geq}^N$. The relation (2.6) for $\mathbf{z}^n \in W_{\geq}^N$ follows from the continuity of $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} f$ on W_{\geq}^N by Lemma 2.2. The proof of (i), and hence of Proposition 2.5 is complete. \square

3. INTERTWINING FOR THE LAGUERRE PROCESSES

3.1. The Laguerre processes. Here we collect some facts about Laguerre processes. We first recall the following fact introduced in Section 1, although we will not use this result in this paper.

Lemma 3.1. The stochastic differential equation (1.5) has a unique strong solution for any starting point $\mathbf{x} \in W_{\geq}^N$.

Proof. Because the stochastic differential equation (1.5) becomes

$$dX_t^i = \sqrt{2X_t^i} dB_t^i + \left(-X_t^i + \alpha + N + \sum_{j \neq i}^N \frac{X_t^i + X_t^j}{X_t^i - X_t^j} \right) dt,$$

by an easy computation, the strong uniqueness results from [19, Theorem 2.2] by taking $H_{ij}(x, y) = x + y$, $\sigma_i(x) = \sqrt{2x}$, and $b_i(x) = -x + \alpha + N$ (see also [19, Corollary 6.4]). \square

We next quote the Feller-Dynkin property of the Laguerre process.

Lemma 3.2. [3, Proposition 1.7] The semigroup $T_{\alpha,t}^N$ is Feller-Dynkin in the sense that, for any $f \in C_{\infty}(W_{\geq}^N)$, we have $T_{\alpha,t}^N f \in C_{\infty}(W_{\geq}^N)$ for any $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_{\alpha,t}^N f = f$.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We consider the operator L_{α} in (1.2) for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. When $\alpha \leq -1$, the origin is an exit boundary. Let \hat{L}_{α} be the Siegmund dual operator of L_{α} , that is,

$$\hat{L}_{\alpha} = x \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (x - \alpha) \frac{d}{dx}.$$

Here, the origin is an exit boundary for $\alpha \geq 0$, a regular absorbing boundary for $-1 < \alpha < 0$, and an entrance boundary for $\alpha \leq -1$. The speed measure for \hat{L}_{α} is given by $\hat{m}_{\alpha}(x) = e^x x^{-\alpha-1}$. Here, we recall that the scale function s and the speed measure m for $a(x)(d^2/dx^2) + b(x)(d/dx)$ are given by

$$s'(x) = \exp\left(-\int_c^x \frac{b(y)}{a(y)} dy\right), \quad m(x) = \frac{1}{s'(x)a(x)}$$

We need two types of Doob's h-transformation.

Lemma 3.3. (i) For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad e^t \hat{p}_{\alpha,t}(x, y) \frac{(\hat{m}_{\alpha}(y))^{-1}}{(\hat{m}_{\alpha}(x))^{-1}} = p_{\alpha+1,t}(x, y)$$

(ii) For any $\alpha > -1$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad e^{\alpha t} p_{-\alpha,t}(x, y) \frac{y^{\alpha}}{x^{\alpha}} = p_{\alpha,t}(x, y).$$

Proof. We see that a positive function $(\hat{m}_{\alpha}(x))^{-1} = e^{-x} x^{\alpha+1}$ is an eigenfunction of \hat{L}_{α} with eigenvalue -1 . Then, we see (3.1) from a straightforward calculation, which implies (3.1). Furthermore, note that a positive function x^{α} is an eigenfunction of $L_{-\alpha}$ with eigenvalue $-\alpha$. A direct computation yields (1.4), which implies (3.2) \square

We define positive kernels $\hat{\Lambda}_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} : W_{\geq}^{N+1} \dashrightarrow W_{\geq}^N$ and $\hat{\Lambda}_{\alpha,N}^N : W_{\geq}^N \dashrightarrow W_{\geq}^N$ by the formulas

$$\hat{\Lambda}_{\alpha,N}^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{1}_{W^{N,N+1}(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{k=1}^N \hat{m}_{\alpha}(y_k) d\mathbf{y}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{\alpha,N}^N(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{1}_{W_{\geq}^{N,N}(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{k=1}^N \hat{m}_{\alpha}(y_k) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Introduce sub-Markov Karlin-MacGregor semigroups by the formulas

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,t}^N(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = \det_{i,j=1}^N [p_{\alpha,t}(x_i, y_j)] d\mathbf{y}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha,t}^N(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = \det_{i,j=1}^N [\hat{p}_{\alpha,t}(x_i, y_j)] d\mathbf{y}.$$

The semigroups $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,t}^N, \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha,t}^N$ are not necessarily Markov. Indeed, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,t}^N$ is associated with N -particles L_α diffusion killed when their paths intersect, and similarly $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha,t}^N$ for \hat{L}_α diffusion.

Lemma 3.4. [5, (13.26)] Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the following two equations hold for any $t > 0$:

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,t}^N \hat{\Lambda}_{\alpha,N}^N = \hat{\Lambda}_{\alpha,N}^N \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha,t}^N$$

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \hat{\Lambda}_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} = \hat{\Lambda}_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha,t}^N$$

We first show the shifted intertwining relation (1.7) using the same technique as in [4, Theorem 5.1].

Lemma 3.5. Let $\alpha > -1$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in C_\infty(W_{\geq}^N)$, and $t \geq 0$, we have

$$T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_N^{N+1} f = \Lambda_N^{N+1} T_{\alpha+1,t}^N f.$$

Proof. Since this conclusion is clear for $t = 0$, we assume $t > 0$. Furthermore, we first consider the case $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$. Recall $\lambda^N = -N(N-1)/2$. Multiplying both sides of (3.4) by

$$e^{-\lambda^{N+1}t} \frac{N! \Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_{N+1}(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{k=1}^N (\hat{m}_\alpha(y_k))^{-1},$$

we see that the left hand side becomes

$$\left(\int \frac{N! \Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_{N+1}(\mathbf{z})} \mathbf{p}_{\alpha,t}^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \mathbf{1}_{W^{N,N+1}(\mathbf{z})}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{z} \right) d\mathbf{y} = (T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_N^{N+1})(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}).$$

On the other hand, the right hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int \mathbf{1}_{W^{N,N+1}(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{z}) \det_{i,j=1}^N [p_{\alpha+1,t}(z_i, y_j)] e^{-\lambda^N t} \frac{N! \Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_{N+1}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{z} \right) d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \left(\int \mathbf{1}_{W^{N,N+1}(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{p}_{\alpha+1,t}^N(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) \frac{N! \Delta_N(\mathbf{z})}{\Delta_{N+1}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{z} \right) d\mathbf{y} \\ &= (\Lambda_N^{N+1} T_{\alpha+1,t}^N)(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the fact $\lambda^{N+1} = N(-1) + \lambda^N$ and (3.1). Combining these, we obtain

$$T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_N^{N+1}(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = \Lambda_N^{N+1} T_{\alpha+1,t}^N(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}),$$

which implies $T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_N^{N+1} f(\mathbf{x}) = \Lambda_N^{N+1} T_{\alpha+1,t}^N f(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$. We can extend this for $\mathbf{x} \in W_{\geq}^N$ because of the Feller property (2.5) and Lemma 3.2. Thus we finish the proof. \square

The Laguerre processes $T_{\alpha+1,t}^N$ and $T_{\alpha,t}^N$ satisfy another shifted intertwining relation through $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N$ as follows.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\alpha > -1$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in C_\infty(W_{\geq}^N)$, and $t \geq 0$, we have

$$T_{\alpha+1,t}^N \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N f = \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N T_{\alpha,t}^N f.$$

Proof. We can suppose $t > 0$, and we consider the case $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$ first. Let $\mathbf{1}_{N,\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})$ denote the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{W_{\geq}^{N,N}(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{y})$. Then, by the definition of $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N$ in (2.1), the equality

$$(3.5) \quad T_{\alpha+1,t}^N \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) = \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N T_{\alpha,t}^N(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y})$$

is equivalent to the relation

$$(3.6) \quad \int d\mathbf{z} \mathbf{1}_{N,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{y}) \det_{i,j=1}^N [p_{\alpha+1,t}(x_i, z_j)] \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{x_k^{\alpha+1}}{z_k^{\alpha+1}} = \int d\mathbf{z} \mathbf{1}_{N,\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{z}) \det_{i,j=1}^N [p_{\alpha,t}(z_i, y_j)] \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{z_k^\alpha}{y_k^\alpha}.$$

We obtain (3.6) by the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\mathbf{z} \mathbf{1}_{N,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{y}) \det_{i,j=1}^N [p_{\alpha+1,t}(x_i, z_j)] \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{x_k^{\alpha+1}}{z_k^{\alpha+1}} \\ &= e^{(\alpha+1)Nt} \int d\mathbf{z} \mathbf{1}_{N,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{y}) \det_{i,j=1}^N [p_{-\alpha-1,t}(x_i, z_j)] && \text{from (3.2)} \\ &= e^{(\alpha+1)Nt} \int d\mathbf{z} \mathbf{1}_{N,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{y}) \det_{i,j=1}^N [\hat{p}_{-\alpha-1,t}(z_i, y_j)] \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{m}_{-\alpha-1}(z_k)}{\hat{m}_{-\alpha-1}(y_k)} && \text{from (3.3)} \\ &= e^{\alpha Nt} \int d\mathbf{z} \mathbf{1}_{N,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{y}) \det_{i,j=1}^N [p_{-\alpha,t}(z_i, y_j)] && \text{from (3.1)} \\ &= \int d\mathbf{z} \mathbf{1}_{N,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{y}) \det_{i,j=1}^N [p_{\alpha,t}(z_i, y_j)] \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{z_k^\alpha}{y_k^\alpha} && \text{from (3.2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved (3.5) for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathring{W}_{\geq}^N$. From the Feller properties established in Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 3.2, we can extend (3.5) to all $\mathbf{x} \in W_{\geq}^N$, which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.7. It would be interesting to establish a direct conceptual connection between the intertwining property and the Gibbs property studied by Benigni, Hu and Wu (“Determinantal structures for Bessel fields”, arXiv:2109.09292). In particular, Lemma 3.3 in their work is a result for the non-colliding square Bessel processes similar to Lemma 3.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 From Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, we have

$$T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N = \Lambda_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} T_{\alpha,t}^N \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N = \Lambda_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N T_{\alpha,t}^N.$$

Therefore, the equality $\Lambda_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N = \Lambda_{\alpha,t}^{N+1}$ established in Proposition 2.4 concludes the statement of this theorem. \square

Corollary 3.8. For any $\alpha > -1$, we have (1.11).

Proof. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that m_α^N is the unique invariant measure with respect to $T_{\alpha,t}^N$ [3, Remark 1.11]. In other words, if a probability measure m on W_{\geq}^N satisfies $m = m T_{\alpha,t}^N$, then m is identical to m_α^N . Now, using the invariance of m_α^{N+1} with respect to $T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1}$ and Theorem 1.1, we have

$$m_\alpha^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} = m_\alpha^{N+1} T_{\alpha,t}^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} = m_\alpha^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} T_{\alpha,t}^N.$$

Thus, we obtain $m_\alpha^{N+1} \Lambda_{\alpha,N}^{N+1} = m_\alpha^N$. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, α is supposed to be a non-negative integer. We begin by explaining an interpretation, established in [23], of the measure $\Lambda_{\alpha,N}^N$ defined in (2.1) in the context of random matrix theory. Recall that $P_{\text{rad}}^n[X]$ denotes the distribution of the radial part of a random matrix $X \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ (cf. [10, 11, 12]).

Lemma 4.1. Assume that α is a non-negative integer. Let $V_{N+\alpha+1} \in \mathbb{U}(N+\alpha+1)$ be a Haar distributed unitary matrix. Then, for any $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in W_{\geq}^N$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N(\mathbf{z}, \cdot) = P_{\text{rad}}^N[\pi_{N+\alpha, N}^{N+\alpha+1}(V_{N+\alpha+1})\text{diag}(\sqrt{z_1}, \dots, \sqrt{z_N})].$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show (4.1) for $\mathbf{z} \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{\geq}^N$. Applying [23, Theorem 2.1] for the setting $(m, l, n, \nu) = (N+\alpha+1, N, N, \alpha)$, we have the following result: for $\mathbf{z} \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{\geq}^N$, there exists a probability density of

$$P_{\text{rad}}^N(\pi_{N+\alpha, N}^{N+\alpha+1}(V_{N+\alpha+1})\text{diag}(\sqrt{z_1}, \dots, \sqrt{z_N}))$$

on $[0, \infty)^N$, and it is proportional to

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^N \frac{y_k^\alpha}{z_k^{\alpha+1}} \right) \frac{\Delta_N(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta_N(\mathbf{z})} \det_{i,j=1}^N [(z_i - y_j)_+^0].$$

Thus, noting that $\det_{i,j=1}^N [(z_i - y_j)_+^0] = \det_{i,j=1}^N [\mathbf{1}_{z_i - y_j \geq 0}] = \mathbf{1}_{X_{\geq}^{N, N}(\mathbf{z})}(\mathbf{y})$ for $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \in W_{\geq}^N$, we obtain (4.1) for $\mathbf{z} \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{\geq}^N$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Setting $X_{N+\alpha+1, N} = \pi_{N+\alpha+1, N}^{N+\alpha+1, N+1}(X_{N+\alpha+1, N+1})$, we have

$$\pi_N^{N+1}(X_{N+\alpha+1, N+1}^* X_{N+\alpha+1, N+1}) = X_{N+\alpha+1, N}^* X_{N+\alpha+1, N}.$$

Furthermore, because the Hermitian matrix $X_{N+\alpha+1, N+1}^* X_{N+\alpha+1, N+1}$ is $\mathbb{U}(N+1)$ -invariant by conjugation, (1.10) yields

$$(4.2) \quad P_{\text{rad}}^{N+1}[X_{N+\alpha+1, N+1}] \Lambda_N^{N+1} = P_{\text{rad}}^N[X_{N+\alpha+1, N}].$$

For a random variable (z_1, \dots, z_N) distributed as $P_{\text{rad}}^N[X_{N+\alpha+1, N}]$, we set

$$D_{N+\alpha+1, N} = \begin{bmatrix} D_N \\ \mathbf{0}_{(\alpha+1) \times N} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_N = \text{diag}(\sqrt{z_1}, \dots, \sqrt{z_N}).$$

Let $U_N \in \mathbb{U}(N)$ and $V_{N+\alpha+1} \in \mathbb{U}(N+\alpha+1)$ be Haar distributed random matrices such that $D_{N+\alpha+1, N}, U_N$, and $V_{N+\alpha+1}$ are independent. Then, by a similar reason as in [15, Lemma 2.4], we have

$$(4.3) \quad X_{N+\alpha+1, N} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} V_{N+\alpha+1} D_{N+\alpha+1, N} U_N.$$

Actually, we can write $X_{N+\alpha+1, N} = V D_{N+\alpha+1, N} U$ with $U \in \mathbb{U}(N)$ and $V \in \mathbb{U}(N+\alpha+1)$. Because $X_{N+\alpha+1, N}$ is $\mathbb{U}(N+\alpha+1) \times \mathbb{U}(N)$ -invariant, $X_{N+\alpha+1, N}$ has the same distribution as $(\tilde{V} V) D_{N+\alpha+1, N} (U \tilde{U})$, where $\tilde{U} \in \mathbb{U}(N)$ and $\tilde{V} \in \mathbb{U}(N+\alpha+1)$ are Haar distributed random matrices independent of $X_{N+\alpha+1, N}$. The Haar measure being invariant by multiplication, we obtain (4.3).

A straightforward computation with (4.3) yields

$$X_{N+\alpha, N} = \pi_{N+\alpha, N}^{N+\alpha+1, N}(X_{N+\alpha+1, N}) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \pi_{N+\alpha, N}^{N+\alpha+1}(V_{N+\alpha+1}) D_N U_N.$$

Therefore, using this with the expression of $\Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N$ as (4.1), we have

$$(4.4) \quad P_{\text{rad}}^N[X_{N+\alpha, N}] = P_{\text{rad}}^N[\pi_{N+\alpha, N}^{N+\alpha+1}(V_{N+\alpha+1}) D_N U_N] = P_{\text{rad}}^N[X_{N+\alpha+1, N}] \Lambda_{\alpha, N}^N.$$

Collecting (4.2) and (4.4) with Proposition 2.4, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Remark 4.2. If $X_{N+\alpha+1, N}$ is the Wishart matrix, then the relation (4.4) follows from [15, Proposition 4.8]. Similar results for generalised Wishart matrices are obtained in [14]. See also [1] and ‘‘Matrix models for multilevel Heckman-Opdam and multivariate Bessel measures’’ by Sun (arXiv:1609.09096).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

K.Y. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K13812.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adler, M., van Moerbeke, P., Wang, D.: Random matrix minor processes related to percolation theory, *Random Matrices Theory Appl.* **2** (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010326313500081>
- [2] Assiotis, T.: Intertwinings for General β -Laguerre and β -Jacobi Processes, *J. Theor. Probab.* **32**, 1880–1891 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10959-018-0842-0>
- [3] Assiotis, T.: On a gateway between the Laguerre process and dynamics on partitions, *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **16**, 1055–1076 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.30757/ALEA.v16-38>
- [4] Assiotis, T.: Hua-Pickrell diffusions and Feller processes on the boundary of the graph of spectra, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **56**, No. 2, 1251–1283 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1214/19-AIHP1001>
- [5] Assiotis, T., O’Connell, N., Warren, J.: Interlacing Diffusions, in *Séminaire de Probabilités L. Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **2252**, Springer (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28535-7_13
- [6] Baryshnikov, Y.: GUEs and queues, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **119**, 256–274 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00008760>
- [7] Borodin, A., Olshanski, G.: Markov processes on the path space of the Gelfand-Tsetlin graph and on its boundary, *J. Funct. Anal.* **263**, 248–303 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2012.03.018>
- [8] Borodin, A., Olshanski, G.: Markov dynamics on the Thoma cone: A model of time dependent determinantal processes with infinitely many particles. *Electron. J. Probab.* **13** (75), 1–43 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1214/EJP.v18-2729>
- [9] Bru, M. F.: Wishart process, *J. Theor. Probab.* **3**, 725–751 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01259552>
- [10] Bufetov, A. I.: Infinite determinantal measures and the ergodic decomposition of infinite Pickrell measures. I. Construction of infinite determinantal measures, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **79** (6), 18–64 (2015); English transl., *Izv. Math.* **79** (6), 1111–1156 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1070/IM2015v079n06ABEH002775>
- [11] Bufetov, A. I.: Infinite determinantal measures and the ergodic decomposition of infinite Pickrell measures. II. Convergence of infinite determinantal measures, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **80** (2), 16–32 (2016); English transl., *Izv. Math.* **80** (2), 299–315 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1070/IM8384>
- [12] Bufetov, A. I.: Infinite determinantal measures and the ergodic decomposition of infinite Pickrell measures. III. The infinite Bessel process as the limit of the radial parts of finite-dimensional projections of infinite Pickrell measures, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **80** (6), 43–64 (2016); English transl., *Izv. Math.* **80** (6), 1035–1056 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1070/im8385>
- [13] Cuenca, C.: Markov processes on the duals to infinite-dimensional classical lie groups, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **54**, No.3, 1359–1407 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1214/17-AIHP842>
- [14] Dieker, A. B., Warren, J.: On the largest-eigenvalue process for generalized Wishart random matrices, *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **6**, 369–376 (2009).
- [15] Defosseux, M.: Orbit measures, random matrix theory and interlaced determinantal processes, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **46**, No.1, 209–249 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1214/09-AIHP314>
- [16] Ethier, S., Kurtz, T.: *Markov Processes Characterization and Convergence*, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, Wiley, 1986. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470316658>
- [17] Forrester, P. J.: *Log-gases and Random Matrices*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, Princeton University Press, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400835416>
- [18] Gorin, V., Shkolnikov, M.: Multilevel Dyson Brownian motions via Jack polynomials. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **163**, 413–463 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-014-0596-2>
- [19] Graczyk, P., Małecki, J.: Strong solutions of non-colliding particle systems, *Electron. J. Probab.* **19**, 1–21 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1214/EJP.v19-38422>
- [20] Katori, M., Tanemura, H.: Noncolliding Squared Bessel processes, *J. Stat. Phys.* **142**, 592–615 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0117-y>
- [21] König, W.: Orthogonal polynomial ensembles in probability theory *Probab. Surveys* **2**, 385–447 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1214/154957805100000177>
- [22] König, W., O’Connell, N.: Eigenvalues of the Laguerre process as non-colliding squared Bessel process, *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **6**, 107–114 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1214/ECP.v6-1040>

- [23] Kieburg, M., Kuijlaars, A. B. J., Stivigny D.: Singular value statistics of matrix products with truncated unitary matrices, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2016**, 3392–3424 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnv242>
- [24] Olshanski. G.: Markov dynamics on the dual object to the infinite-dimensional unitary group, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **91**, 373–394 (2016).
- [25] Ramanan, K., Shkolnikov, M.: Intertwinings of β -Dyson Brownian Motions of Different Dimensions, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **54** (2), 1152–1163 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1214/17-AIHP835>
- [26] Warren, J.: Dyson’s Brownian motions, intertwining and interlacing, *Electron. J. Probab.* **12** 573–590 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1214/EJP.v12-406>