

Primal-dual interior-point algorithm for linearly constrained convex optimization based on a parametric algebraic transformation

Aicha Kraria

Laboratory of Fundamental and Numerical Mathematics,
Department of Mathematics,
Setif 1 University-Ferhat Abbas, Algeria
aicha.kraria@univ-setif.dz

Bachir Merikhi

Laboratory of Fundamental and Numerical Mathematics,
Department of Mathematics,
Setif 1 University-Ferhat Abbas, Algeria

Djamel Benterki

Laboratory of Fundamental and Numerical Mathematics,
Department of Mathematics,
Setif 1 University-Ferhat Abbas, Algeria

Abstract

In this paper, we present an interior point algorithm with a full-Newton step for solving a linearly constrained convex optimization problem, in which we propose a generalization of the work of Kheirfam and Nasrollahi [19], that consists in determining the descent directions through a parametric algebraic transformation.

The work concludes with a complete study of the convergence of the algorithm and its complexity, where we show that the obtained algorithm achieves a polynomial complexity bounds.

Keywords: Linearly constrained convex optimization, Primal-dual interior point method, Algebraic transformation, Descent direction.

1 Introduction

Interior point methods (IPMs) are among the most widely used and efficient methods for solving optimization problems. They first appeared in the 1950s, but developed and spread after the publication of Karmarkar's paper in 1984 [16], in which he proposed an interior point algorithm of potential reduction type with polynomial complexity and very efficient for linear programming (LP). In the beginning of 1990's, a new class of interior point methods called the central path method appeared. This method of primal-dual type has a good theoretical and numerical behaviour, which has encouraged researchers to publish multiple studies on this method, in linear programming, convex quadratic programming, semidefinite programming and even for nonlinear programming.

To determine the descent directions of interior point methods, there are two main methods. One is based on kernel functions and requires two types of iterations, inner iterations and outer iterations (e.g. [5, 20, 7, 8]). The other method was proposed by Darvay [9] and is based on an equivalent algebraic transformation on the equation that defines the central path. This method has become widely used and so far a lot of

research has been carried out on it. In 2003, Darvay [10] adopted this method where he proposed an interior point algorithm for (LP) based on the function $\psi(t) = \sqrt{t}$, and conducted a theoretical study on the convergence and complexity of the proposed algorithm. Subsequently, many researchers extended this work to various mathematical programs. The first extension from (LP) to convex quadratic programming was carried out in 2006 by Achache [1]. Then, in 2008, Zhang et al. [29] extended this method to linearly constrained convex optimization (LCCO), where he showed that his algorithm had a polynomial complexity, namely $O(\sqrt{n} \log \frac{n}{\epsilon})$.

In their papers, Wang and Bai [26], Bai et al. [6], Wang [25], Mansouri and Pirhaji [21] and Asadi and Mansouri [3] presented interior point algorithms based on the equivalent algebraic transformation technique to solve second-order cone optimization problem, convex quadratic semidefinite optimization problem, monotone linear complementarity problem over symmetric cones, monotone linear complementarity problems and $P_*(\kappa)$ horizontal linear complementarity problems, respectively, using the function $\psi(t) = \sqrt{t}$.

In 2016, Darvay et al. [11] proposed a new interior point algorithm in which they applied a new function $\psi(t) = t - \sqrt{t}$ in the equivalent algebraic transformation technique. Later, Kheirfam [18] and Darvay and Rigó [12] generalized this work to semidefinite optimization and symmetric optimization, respectively. Furthermore, several other interior point algorithms based mainly on Darvay's technique have been proposed using different functions, (see e.g. [22, 23, 17, 2, 4, 14, 15, 28, 13, 27]).

Recently, Kheirfam and Nasrollahi [19] enriched the analysis given by Darvay [10], using the integer powers of the square root function. In this paper, we extend the work of Kheirfam and Nasrollahi [19] to (LCCO), in which we present lemmas that summarize our studies of convergence and complexity of the proposed algorithm, where we search for the integer that gives the best algorithmic complexity.

This paper is divided into five sections: in section 2, we present the central path method for (LCCO). In section 3, we extend Darvay's technique for determining descent directions to (LCCO), and we give the corresponding primal-dual algorithm. In section 4, through some obtained results, we show the strict feasibility of all iterations, the convergence and the polynomial algorithmic complexity of the proposed algorithm. Finally, in section 5 we give a general conclusion.

2 Central path method for (LCCO)

In this work, we are interested in the following convex optimization problem:

$$\begin{cases} \min f(x), \\ Ax = b, \\ x \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{P})$$

and its dual:

$$\begin{cases} \max b^T y + f(x) - x^T \nabla f(x), \\ A^T y + z = \nabla f(x), \\ z \geq 0, y \in R^m, \end{cases} \quad (\text{D})$$

where $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ is a convex and twice differentiable function, $A \in R^{m \times n}$ is a full rank matrix ($\text{rank}(A) = m < n$) and $b \in R^m$.

In the following, we assume that the sets of strictly feasible solutions of (P) and (D) are non-empty, i.e., there are $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, such that:

$$\begin{aligned} A\bar{x} &= b, \quad \bar{x} > 0, \\ A^T \bar{y} + \bar{z} &= \nabla f(\bar{x}), \quad \bar{z} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is convex then, solving both problems (P) and (D) amounts to solving the following system, which is obtained by the optimality conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= b, & x &\geq 0, \\ A^T y + z &= \nabla f(x), & z &\geq 0, \\ xz &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $xz = (x_1 z_1, x_2 z_2, \dots, x_n z_n)^T$.

To solve this system, we use the central path method, which consists in replacing the last equation of system (1) by the equation $xz = \mu e_n$, where μ is a positive number and e_n is a vector of R^n in which all its elements are 1. Thus, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= b, & x &> 0, \\ A^T y + z &= \nabla f(x), & z &> 0, \\ xz &= \mu e_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

System (2) has a unique solution $(x(\mu), y(\mu), z(\mu))$ for each $\mu > 0$, this solution is called the μ -center [24]. The set of all μ -center forms the central path of (P) and (D). If $\mu \rightarrow 0$, then $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} (x(\mu), y(\mu), z(\mu)) = (x^*, y^*, z^*)$, i.e., the central path converges to the optimal solutions of (P) and (D).

Finally, system (2) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= b, & x &> 0, \\ A^T y + z &= \nabla f(x), & z &> 0, \\ w^2 &= e_n, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $w = \sqrt{\frac{xz}{\mu}}$.

3 Darvay's technique for determining descent directions

In this section, we use Darvay's technique [10] to determine the descent directions, where we replace the third equation in system (3) by the equation $\psi(w^2) = \psi(e_n)$, where $\psi :]0, +\infty[\rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ is an invertible differentiable function, so we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= b, & x &> 0, \\ A^T y + z &= \nabla f(x), & z &> 0, \\ \psi(w^2) &= \psi(e_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By applying Newton's method, we obtain the Newton's directions, which are solutions of system (5):

$$\begin{aligned} A\Delta x &= 0, \\ A^T \Delta y + \Delta z &= \nabla^2 f(x) \Delta x, \\ z\Delta x + x\Delta z &= \frac{\mu(\psi(e_n) - \psi(w^2))}{\psi'(w^2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and the new iteration of full Newton step is given by:

$$(x_+, y_+, z_+) = (x, y, z) + (\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z).$$

Now, we introduce the directions:

$$d_x = \frac{w\Delta x}{x}, \quad d_z = \frac{w\Delta z}{z},$$

then, system (5) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{A}d_x &= 0, \\ \bar{A}^T \Delta_y + d_z &= Bd_x, \\ d_x + d_z &= p_w,\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{A} &= \frac{1}{\mu} A \left[\text{diag} \left(\frac{x}{w} \right) \right], \quad B = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\text{diag} \left(\frac{x}{w} \right) \right] \nabla^2 f(x) \left[\text{diag} \left(\frac{x}{w} \right) \right], \\ p_w &= \frac{\psi(e_n) - \psi(w^2)}{w\psi'(w^2)},\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

such that $\frac{x}{w} = \left(\frac{x_1}{w_1}, \frac{x_2}{w_2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{w_n} \right)^T$.

In the following, and inspired by the work of Kheirfam and Nasrollahi [19], we propose the function $\psi(t) = t^{\frac{r}{2}}$, where $t > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ for solving (LCCO) problem, which gives:

$$p_w = \frac{2e_n - 2w^r}{rw^{r-1}}, \quad w > 0.\tag{8}$$

From this vector, we introduce a proximity measure $\Gamma : R_{++}^n \rightarrow R_+$ defined by:

$$\Gamma(x, z, \mu) = \Gamma(w) = \frac{\|p_w\|}{2} = \frac{1}{r} \left\| \frac{e_n - w^r}{w^{r-1}} \right\|,\tag{9}$$

where $R_{++}^n = \{x \in R^n : x > 0\}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

3.1 Primal-dual interior-point algorithm for (LCCO)

Algorithm 1 Primal-dual algorithm for (LCCO)

Input

An accuracy parameter $\epsilon > 0$;

An update parameter $0 < \theta < 1$, $\left(\theta = \frac{1}{e^{2r}\sqrt{n}}, r \in \mathbb{N}^* \right)$;

A barrier parameter $\mu^{(0)} = \frac{(x^{(0)})^T z^{(0)}}{n}$;

An initial point $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)})$, such that $\Gamma(x^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, \mu^{(0)}) < \gamma = \frac{1}{e^r}$;

begin

$x := x^{(0)}$; $y := y^{(0)}$; $z := z^{(0)}$; $\mu := \mu^{(0)}$; $k := 0$;

While $x^T z > \epsilon$ **do**

$\mu := (1 - \theta)\mu$;

Calculate $(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z)$ from systems (5) and (6), then put:

$x := x + \Delta x$;

$y := y + \Delta y$;

$z := z + \Delta z$; $k := k + 1$;

end;

end.

In order to facilitate the analysis of algorithm 1, we introduce the following notation: $q_w = d_x - d_z$, then:

$$\|p_w\|^2 = \|d_x\|^2 + \|d_z\|^2 + 2d_x^T d_z = \|q_w\|^2 + 4d_x^T d_z.\tag{10}$$

Remark 1. Let $(d_x, \Delta y, d_z)$ be the solution of system (6). We have:

$$d_x^T d_z = d_x^T B d_x \geq 0,\tag{11}$$

because B is a positive semidefinite matrix.

From (10) and (11), we deduce that:

$$\|p_w\| \geq \|q_w\|. \quad (12)$$

4 Theoretical results

In this section, we present our analysis of convergence and complexity of algorithm 1, using the function $\psi(t) = t^{\frac{r}{2}}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Lemma 1. *If $\Gamma(x, z, \mu) < 1$ then the new iterations x_+ and z_+ are strictly feasible.*

Proof. Let $x_+(\alpha), z_+(\alpha)$ be two vectors defined by:

$$x_+(\alpha) = x + \alpha\Delta x, \quad z_+(\alpha) = z + \alpha\Delta z,$$

such that $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu}x_+(\alpha)z_+(\alpha) &= \frac{xz}{\mu} + \alpha \frac{(x\Delta z + z\Delta x)}{\mu} + \alpha^2 \frac{\Delta x\Delta z}{\mu}, \\ &= w^2 + \alpha w(d_x + d_z) + \alpha^2 d_x d_z, \\ &= w^2 + \alpha w(d_x + d_z) + \alpha^2 \left(\frac{p_w^2 - q_w^2}{4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (6), we have:

$$\frac{1}{\mu}x_+(\alpha)z_+(\alpha) = (1 - \alpha)w^2 + \alpha(w^2 + wp_w) + \alpha^2 \left(\frac{p_w^2}{4} - \frac{q_w^2}{4} \right). \quad (13)$$

Using (8), we obtain:

$$w^2 + wp_w = e_n + \frac{(r-2)w^{r+1} + 2w - rw^{r-1}}{rw^{r-1}}. \quad (14)$$

As $w > 0$, then after studying the function $\frac{(r-2)w^{r+1} + 2w - rw^{r-1}}{rw^{r-1}}$ for each component of the vector w , we show easily that for all $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$w^2 + wp_w \geq e_n - \frac{p_w^2}{4}, \quad (15)$$

this gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu}x_+(\alpha)z_+(\alpha) &\geq (1 - \alpha)w^2 + \alpha \left(e_n - \frac{p_w^2}{4} \right) + \alpha^2 \left(\frac{p_w^2}{4} - \frac{q_w^2}{4} \right) \\ &= (1 - \alpha)w^2 + \alpha \left[e_n - \left((1 - \alpha)\frac{p_w^2}{4} + \alpha\frac{q_w^2}{4} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Now, we will prove that $e_n - \left((1 - \alpha)\frac{p_w^2}{4} + \alpha\frac{q_w^2}{4} \right) > 0$. In fact, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (1 - \alpha)\frac{p_w^2}{4} + \alpha\frac{q_w^2}{4} \right\|_{\infty} &\leq (1 - \alpha)\frac{\|p_w\|_{\infty}^2}{4} + \alpha\frac{\|q_w\|_{\infty}^2}{4}, \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha)\frac{\|p_w\|^2}{4} + \alpha\frac{\|q_w\|^2}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and from (12), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (1 - \alpha) \frac{p_w^2}{4} + \alpha \frac{q_w^2}{4} \right\|_{\infty} &\leq (1 - \alpha) \frac{\|p_w\|^2}{4} + \alpha \frac{\|p_w\|^2}{4}, \\ &= \frac{\|p_w\|^2}{4} = \Gamma^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if $\Gamma(x, z, \mu) < 1$, then $\left\| (1 - \alpha) \frac{p_w^2}{4} + \alpha \frac{q_w^2}{4} \right\|_{\infty} < 1$, which means that $e_n - \left((1 - \alpha) \frac{p_w^2}{4} + \alpha \frac{q_w^2}{4} \right) > 0$, then from (16), we conclude that:

$$x_+(\alpha)z_+(\alpha) > 0.$$

As $x_+(\alpha), z_+(\alpha)$ are continuous functions, so they do not change sign on the interval $[0, 1]$ and since $x_+(0) = x > 0, z_+(0) = z > 0$ then this implies that $x_+(1) = x + \Delta x = x_+ > 0, z_+(1) = z + \Delta z = z_+ > 0$, which proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 2. Let $w > 0$ and $w_+ = \sqrt{\frac{x_+z_+}{\mu}}$, then:

$$w_+ \geq \sqrt{1 - \Gamma^2} e_n,$$

where Γ is defined in (9).

Proof. When we take $\alpha = 1$ in (13), we obtain:

$$w_+^2 = \frac{x_+z_+}{\mu} = w^2 + wp_w + \frac{p_w^2}{4} - \frac{q_w^2}{4},$$

and using (15), we find:

$$w_+^2 \geq e_n - \frac{q_w^2}{4},$$

this implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} (w_+)_i^2 &\geq 1 - \frac{\|q_w\|_{\infty}^2}{4}, \quad \forall i = 1 : n, \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\|q_w\|^2}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and from (12), we deduce:

$$(w_+)_i^2 \geq 1 - \frac{\|p_w\|^2}{4} = 1 - \Gamma^2, \quad \forall i = 1 : n.$$

Finally, we conclude that:

$$w_+ \geq \sqrt{1 - \Gamma^2} e_n. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3. [11]

Let's consider the decreasing function $f_2 :]d, +\infty[\rightarrow R_+^*$, such that $d > 0$. If we take the vector $w \in R_+^n$, where $\min(w) = \min_i(w_i) \geq d$, then:

$$\|f_2(w)(e_n - w^2)\| \leq f_2(\min(w))\|e_n - w^2\| \leq f_2(d)\|e_n - w^2\|.$$

Lemma 4. If $\Gamma = \Gamma(x, z, \mu) < \frac{1}{e^r}$, then:

$$\Gamma(x_+, z_+, \mu) \leq \frac{e^r \left(e^{r^2} - (e^{2r} - 1)^{\frac{r}{2}} \right) \left((r-1)^2 + 1 \right)}{r(e^{2r} - 1)^{\frac{r-1}{2}}} \Gamma^2,$$

this means that the proximity measure decreases quadratically.

Proof. From (9), we have:

$$\Gamma(x_+, z_+, \mu) = \frac{1}{r} \left\| \frac{(e_n - w_+^r)}{w_+^{r-1}(e_n - w_+^2)} (e_n - w_+^2) \right\|.$$

At the beginning, we calculate the expression $(e_n - w_+^2)$ using (13).

$$\begin{aligned} e_n - w_+^2 &= e_n - \frac{x_+ z_+}{\mu}, \\ &= e_n - \left((w^2 + wp_w) + \frac{p_w^2}{4} - \frac{q_w^2}{4} \right), \end{aligned}$$

from (14), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} e_n - w_+^2 &= e_n - \left(e_n + \frac{(r-2)w^{r+1} + 2w - rw^{r-1}}{rw^{r-1}} + \frac{p_w^2}{4} - \frac{q_w^2}{4} \right), \\ &= \frac{q_w^2}{4} - \frac{p_w^2}{4} \left(\frac{4((r-2)w^{r+1} + 2w - rw^{r-1})}{rw^{r-1}p_w^2} + e_n \right), \\ &= \frac{q_w^2}{4} - \frac{p_w^2}{4} \left(\frac{(r-1)^2 w^{2r} + (2r-2)w^r - r^2 w^{2r-2} + e_n}{(e_n - w^r)^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We can easily prove that:

$$0 \leq \frac{(r-1)^2 w^{2r} + (2r-2)w^r - r^2 w^{2r-2} + e_n}{(e_n - w^r)^2} \leq (r-1)^2 e_n, \quad (18)$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $w > 0$ and $w \neq e_n$.

Using (17) and (18), we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_n - w_+^2\| &= \left\| \frac{q_w^2}{4} - \frac{p_w^2}{4} \left(\frac{(r-1)^2 w^{2r} + (2r-2)w^r - r^2 w^{2r-2} + e_n}{(e_n - w^r)^2} \right) \right\|, \\ &\leq \frac{\|q_w^2\|}{4} + \left\| \frac{p_w^2}{4} \left(\frac{(r-1)^2 w^{2r} + (2r-2)w^r - r^2 w^{2r-2} + e_n}{(e_n - w^r)^2} \right) \right\|, \\ &\leq \frac{\|q_w\|^2}{4} + (r-1)^2 \frac{\|p_w\|^2}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and from (12), we can write:

$$\|e_n - w_+^2\| \leq \frac{\|p_w\|^2}{4} + (r-1)^2 \frac{\|p_w\|^2}{4}.$$

Finally, and by using (9), we find:

$$\|e_n - w_+^2\| \leq ((r-1)^2 + 1) \Gamma^2. \quad (19)$$

Now, we take the function $g_1(t) = \frac{(1-t^r)}{t^{r-1}(1-t^2)}$, $t > 0, t \neq 1$.

After studying the variations of the function g_1 , we find that $g_1'(t) \leq 0, \forall t > 0, t \neq 1$,

which means that g_1 is a decreasing function.
Using this, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(x_+, z_+, \mu) &= \frac{1}{r} \|g_1(w_+)(e_n - w_+^2)\|, \\ &\leq \frac{g_1(\sqrt{1 - \Gamma^2})}{r} \|(e_n - w_+^2)\|,\end{aligned}$$

and as $\Gamma < \frac{1}{e^r}$, so $\sqrt{1 - \Gamma^2} > \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{e^{2r}}}$, then, using this and (19), we obtain:

$$\delta(x_+, z_+, \mu) \leq \frac{g_1\left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{e^{2r}}}\right)}{r} ((r-1)^2 + 1)\Gamma^2,$$

thus, we conclude:

$$\Gamma(x_+, z_+, \mu) \leq \frac{e^r \left(e^{r^2} - (e^{2r} - 1)^{\frac{r}{2}}\right) ((r-1)^2 + 1)}{r(e^{2r} - 1)^{\frac{r-1}{2}}} \Gamma^2.$$

□

Lemma 5. *If $\Gamma(x, z, \mu) < \frac{1}{e^r}$, then the duality gap verifies the following inequality for all $n \in N^*$:*

$$(x_+)^T z_+ \leq \mu \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right).$$

Proof. From (13), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(x_+)^T z_+ &= \mu \sum_{i=1}^n (w_+)_i^2, \\ &= \mu \sum_{i=1}^n \left((w^2 + wp_w)_i + \frac{(p_w^2)_i}{4} - \frac{(q_w^2)_i}{4} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Using (14), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}w^2 + wp_w &= e_n + \frac{(r-2)w^{r+1} + 2w - rw^{r-1}}{rw^{r-1}}, \\ &= e_n + \frac{p_w^2}{4} \left(\frac{r(r-2)w^{2r} + 2rw^r - r^2w^{2r-2}}{(e_n - w^r)^2} \right).\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to prove that: $\frac{r(r-2)w^{2r} + 2rw^r - r^2w^{2r-2}}{(e_n - w^r)^2} \leq r(r-2)e_n$, this implies that:

$$w^2 + wp_w \leq e_n + r(r-2)\frac{p_w^2}{4}.$$

Using this and (20), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(x_+)^T z_+ &\leq \mu \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 + r(r-2)\frac{(p_w^2)_i}{4} + \frac{(p_w^2)_i}{4} - \frac{(q_w^2)_i}{4} \right), \\ &= \mu \left(n + (r-1)^2 \frac{\|p_w\|^2}{4} - \frac{\|q_w\|^2}{4} \right), \\ &\leq \mu \left(n + (r-1)^2 \Gamma^2 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma < \frac{1}{e^r}$, then:

$$(x_+)^T z_+ \leq \mu \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right).$$

□

Lemma 6. *If $\Gamma(x, z, \mu) < \gamma = \frac{1}{e^r}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{e^{2r}\sqrt{n}}$, then:*

$$\Gamma(x_+, z_+, \mu_+) < \frac{1}{e^r},$$

for all $r \in N^*$.

Proof. We assume that $\bar{w} = \sqrt{\frac{x_+ z_+}{\mu_+}}$, then:

$$\bar{w} = \sqrt{\frac{x_+ z_+}{(1-\theta)\mu}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\theta}} w_+ > 0, \quad (0 < \theta < 1).$$

From (9), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\bar{w}) &= \frac{\|p_{\bar{w}}\|}{2}, \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \left\| \frac{e_n - \bar{w}^r}{\bar{w}^{r-1}(e_n - \bar{w}^2)} (e_n - \bar{w}^2) \right\|, \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \|g_1(\bar{w})(e_n - \bar{w}^2)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

In Lemma 4, we showed that $g_1(t)$ is a decreasing function for all $t > 0, t \neq 1$, so using this result and Lemma 3, we obtain:

$$\Gamma(\bar{w}) \leq \frac{1}{r} g_1(\min \bar{w}) \|e_n - \bar{w}^2\|,$$

and since

$$\bar{w} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\theta}} w_+,$$

then, from Lemma 2, we can write:

$$\bar{w} \geq \frac{\sqrt{1-\Gamma^2}}{\sqrt{1-\theta}} e_n,$$

which is equivalent to:

$$\min(\bar{w}) \geq \frac{\sqrt{1-\Gamma^2}}{\sqrt{1-\theta}},$$

this implies that:

$$\Gamma(\bar{w}) \leq \frac{1}{r} g_1 \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\Gamma^2}}{\sqrt{1-\theta}} \right) \left\| e_n - \frac{1}{1-\theta} w_+^2 \right\|,$$

from (19), we obtain:

$$\Gamma(\bar{w}) \leq \frac{1}{r(1-\theta)} g_1 \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\Gamma^2}}{\sqrt{1-\theta}} \right) \left(\theta \sqrt{n} + ((r-1)^2 + 1) \Gamma^2 \right). \tag{22}$$

We will now discuss three cases, depending on the value of r , using the values $\gamma = \frac{1}{e^r}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{e^{2r}\sqrt{n}}$.

Case 1: $r = 1$

In this case we have $\Gamma < \frac{1}{e}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{e^2\sqrt{n}}$, so

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{1-\Gamma^2} > \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{e^2}} = a_1, \\ \sqrt{1-\theta} > \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{e^2}} = a_1, \text{ for all } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

this gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\bar{w}) &\leq \frac{1}{(1-\theta)} g_1\left(\frac{a_1}{\sqrt{1-\theta}}\right) (\theta\sqrt{n} + \Gamma^2), \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\theta}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\theta} + a_1}\right) (\theta\sqrt{n} + \Gamma^2), \\ &< \frac{1}{a_1} \left(\frac{1}{2a_1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{e^2} + \frac{1}{e^2}\right), \\ &< \frac{1}{e}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first case, we proved that if $\Gamma(x, z, \mu) < \frac{1}{e}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{e^2\sqrt{n}}$, then $\Gamma(x_+, z_+, \mu_+) = \Gamma(\bar{w}) < \frac{1}{e}$.

Case 2: $r = 2$

We have: $\Gamma < \frac{1}{e^2}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{e^4\sqrt{n}}$, so

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{1-\Gamma^2} > \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{e^4}} = a_2, \\ \sqrt{1-\theta} > \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{e^4}} = a_2, \text{ for all } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

this yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\bar{w}) &\leq \frac{1}{2(1-\theta)} g_1\left(\frac{a_2}{\sqrt{1-\theta}}\right) (\theta\sqrt{n} + 2\Gamma^2), \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-\theta}} \left(\frac{1}{a_2}\right) (\theta\sqrt{n} + 2\Gamma^2), \\ &< \frac{1}{2a_2} \left(\frac{1}{a_2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{e^4} + \frac{2}{e^4}\right), \\ &< \frac{1}{e^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also in this case, we proved that if $\Gamma(x, z, \mu) < \frac{1}{e^2}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{e^4\sqrt{n}}$, then $\Gamma(x_+, z_+, \mu_+) = \Gamma(\bar{w}) < \frac{1}{e^2}$.

Case 3: $r \geq 3$

Now, we take $\Gamma(x, z, \mu) < \frac{1}{e^r}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{e^{2r}\sqrt{n}}$, such that $r \geq 3$, so we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{1-\Gamma^2} > \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{e^{2r}}} \geq \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{e^6}} = a_3, \\ \sqrt{1-\theta} > \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{e^{2r}}} = \frac{\sqrt{e^{2r}-1}}{e^r}, \text{ for all } n > 1, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

because $\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{e^{2r}}}$ is an increasing function for all $r \geq 3$. From this and (22) we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\bar{w}) &\leq \frac{1}{r(1-\theta)} g_1\left(\frac{a_3}{\sqrt{1-\theta}}\right) \left((r-1)^2 + 1 \right) \Gamma^2 + \theta \sqrt{n} \\ &< \frac{1}{3\sqrt{1-\theta}} \left(\frac{(1-\theta)^{\frac{r}{2}} - a_3^r}{a_3^{r-1}((1-\theta) - a_3^2)} \right) \left(\frac{(r-1)^2 + 1}{e^{2r}} + \frac{1}{e^{2r}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The function $g_2(\sqrt{1-\theta}) = \frac{(1-\theta)^{\frac{r}{2}} - a_3^r}{a_3^{r-1}((1-\theta) - a_3^2)}$ is an increasing function for all $\sqrt{1-\theta} <$

1. This results in:

$$\Gamma(\bar{w}) < \frac{1}{3\sqrt{1-\theta}} \left(\frac{1 - a_3^r}{a_3^{r-1}(1 - a_3^2)} \right) \left(\frac{(r-1)^2 + 1}{e^{2r}} + \frac{1}{e^{2r}} \right),$$

using (23), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\bar{w}) &< \frac{e^r}{3\sqrt{e^{2r}-1}} \left(\frac{1 - a_3^r}{a_3^{r-1}(1 - a_3^2)} \right) \left(\frac{(r-1)^2 + 1}{e^{2r}} + \frac{1}{e^{2r}} \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{e^r} \left(\frac{e^r}{3\sqrt{e^{2r}-1}} \left(\frac{1 - a_3^r}{a_3^{r-1}(1 - a_3^2)} \right) \left(\frac{(r-1)^2 + 1}{e^r} + \frac{1}{e^r} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

After some algebraic calculations, we find that:

$$\frac{e^r}{3\sqrt{e^{2r}-1}} < 1$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1 - a_3^r}{a_3^{r-1}(1 - a_3^2)} \right) \left(\frac{(r-1)^2 + 1}{e^r} + \frac{1}{e^r} \right) < 1,$$

which means that:

$$\Gamma(\bar{w}) < \frac{1}{e^r}.$$

Finally, the last case asserts that if $r \geq 3$, $\Gamma(x, z, \mu) < \frac{1}{e^r}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{e^{2r}\sqrt{n}}$, then

$\Gamma(x_+, z_+, \mu_+) < \frac{1}{e^r}$, this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 7. Let $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)})$ be a strictly primal-dual feasible initial point, $\Gamma(x^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, \mu^{(0)}) < \frac{1}{e^r}$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\mu^{(0)} = \frac{(x^{(0)})^T z^{(0)}}{n}$, $0 < \theta < 1$ and $x^{(k+1)}, z^{(k+1)}$ are the vectors obtained after $k+1$ iterations. If

$$k \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{\theta} \log \frac{\mu^{(0)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right)}{\epsilon} \right\rceil,$$

then $(x^{(k+1)})^T z^{(k+1)} \leq \epsilon$, for all $r \in N^*$.

Proof. From Lemma 5, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{(k+1)})^T z^{(k+1)} &\leq \mu^{(k)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right), \\ &= (1-\theta)^k \mu^{(0)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

because $\mu^{(k)} = (1-\theta)^k \mu^{(0)}$. This implies that to get

$$(x^{(k+1)})^T z^{(k+1)} \leq \epsilon$$

it is sufficient to verify that:

$$(1-\theta)^k \mu^{(0)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right) \leq \epsilon.$$

This last inequality is satisfied if

$$\begin{aligned} \log(1-\theta)^k + \log \mu^{(0)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right) &\leq \log \epsilon, \\ \Leftrightarrow -k \log(1-\theta) &\geq \log \frac{\mu^{(0)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right)}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we know that $-\log(1-\theta) \geq \theta, \forall 0 < \theta < 1$, so $-k \log(1-\theta) \geq k\theta$, and therefore:

$$-k \log(1-\theta) \geq \log \frac{\mu^{(0)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right)}{\epsilon},$$

if

$$k\theta \geq \log \frac{\mu^{(0)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right)}{\epsilon},$$

hence

$$k \geq \frac{1}{\theta} \log \frac{\mu^{(0)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right)}{\epsilon}.$$

□

Theorem 1. If we take $\theta = \frac{1}{e^{2r}\sqrt{n}}$, the algorithm 1 converges to the optimal solution of (P) and (D) after

$$\left[e^{2r} \sqrt{n} \log \frac{\mu^{(0)} \left(n + \frac{(r-1)^2}{e^{2r}} \right)}{\epsilon} \right]$$

iterations.

Proof. We replace θ by $\frac{1}{e^{2r}\sqrt{n}}$ in Lemma 7, we obtain the result. □

5 General conclusion

In this paper, we have successfully extended the work of Kheirfam and Nasrollahi [19] to (LCCO), by proposing a class of directions based on the parametric function $\psi(t) = t^{\frac{r}{2}}$, where $r \in N^*$. We have also demonstrated the convergence of the proposed algorithm and the theoretical polynomial complexity. Finally, we found that the best complexity is given for $r = 1$, i.e., when $\psi(t) = \sqrt{t}$.

References

- [1] M. Achache. A new primal-dual path-following method for convex quadratic programming. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 25:97–110, 2006.
- [2] M. Achache. A weighted full-newton step primal-dual interior point algorithm for convex quadratic optimization. *Statistics, Optimization and Information Computing*, 2(1):21–32, 2014.
- [3] S. Asadi and H. Mansouri. Polynomial interior-point algorithm for $p_*(\kappa)$ horizontal linear complementarity problems. *Numerical Algorithms*, 63(2):385–398, 2013.
- [4] S. Asadi, H. Mansouri, and Z. Darvay. An infeasible full-nt step ipm for horizontal linear complementarity problem over cartesian product of symmetric cones. *Optimization*, 66(2):225–250, 2017.
- [5] Y. Q. Bai, M. El Ghami, and C. Roos. A comparative study of kernel functions for primal-dual interior-point algorithms in linear optimization. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 15(1):101–128, 2004.
- [6] Y.-Q. Bai, F. Wang, and X. Luo. A polynomial-time interior-point algorithm for convex quadratic semidefinite optimization. *RAIRO-Operations Research*, 44(3):251–265, 2010.
- [7] M. Bouafia, D. Benterki, and A. Yassine. Complexity analysis of interior point methods for linear programming based on a parameterized kernel function. *RAIRO-Operations Research*, 50(4-5):935–949, 2016.
- [8] N. Boudjellal, H. Roumili, and D. Benterki. Complexity analysis of interior point methods for convex quadratic programming based on a parameterized kernel function. *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática*, 40:1–16, 2022.
- [9] Z. Darvay. A new algorithm for solving self-dual linear optimization problems. *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai, Series Informatica*, 47(1):15–26, 2002.
- [10] Z. Darvay. New interior point algorithms in linear programming. *Advanced Modeling and Optimization*, 5(1):51–92, 2003.
- [11] Z. Darvay, I. M. Papp, and P. R. Takács. Complexity analysis of a full-newton step interior-point method for linear optimization. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, 73:27–42, 2016.
- [12] Z. Darvay and P. R. Rigó. New interior-point algorithm for symmetric optimization based on a positive-asymptotic barrier function. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 39(15):1705–1726, 2018.
- [13] Z. Darvay and P. R. Rigó. Interior-point algorithm for symmetric cone horizontal linear complementarity problems based on a new class of algebraically equivalent transformations. *Optimization Letters*, 18:615–634, 2024.

- [14] Z. Darvay and P. R. Takács. New method for determining search directions for interior-point algorithms in linear optimization. *Optimization Letters*, 12:1099–1116, 2018.
- [15] M. Haddou, T. Migot, and J. Omer. A generalized direction in interior point method for monotone linear complementarity problems. *Optimization Letters*, 13:35–53, 2019.
- [16] N. Karmarkar. A new polynomial-time algorithm for linear programming. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 302–311, 1984.
- [17] B. Kheirfam. A new infeasible interior-point method based on darvay’s technique for symmetric optimization. *Annals of Operations Research*, 211:209–224, 2013.
- [18] B. Kheirfam. New complexity analysis of a full nesterov–todd step interior-point method for semidefinite optimization. *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*, 10(04):1750070, 2017.
- [19] B. Kheirfam and A. Nasrollahi. A full-newton step interior-point method based on a class of specific algebra transformation. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 163(4):325–337, 2018.
- [20] X. Li and M. Zhang. Interior-point algorithm for linear optimization based on a new trigonometric kernel function. *Operations Research Letters*, 43(5):471–475, 2015.
- [21] H. Mansouri and M. Pirhaji. A polynomial interior-point algorithm for monotone linear complementarity problems. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 157:451–461, 2013.
- [22] H. Mansouri, T. Siyavash, and M. Zangiabadi. A path-following infeasible interior-point algorithm for semidefinite programming. *Iranian Journal of Operations Research*, 3(1):11–30, 2012.
- [23] H. Roumili and Z. Kebbiche. A weighted target-following algorithm for linearly constrained convex optimization. *International Journal of Open Problems in Computer Science and Mathematics*, 5(4):25–33, 2012.
- [24] G. Sonnevend. An “analytical centre” for polyhedrons and new classes of global algorithms for linear (smooth, convex) programming. In A. Prékopa, J. Szelezsáan, and B. Strazicky, editors, *System Modelling and Optimization*, pages 866–875. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1986.
- [25] G. Q. Wang. A new polynomial interior-point algorithm for the monotone linear complementarity problem over symmetric cones with full nt-steps. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Operational Research*, 29(02):1250015, 2012.
- [26] G.-Q. Wang and Y.-Q. Bai. A primal-dual interior-point algorithm for second-order cone optimization with full nesterov–todd step. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 215(3):1047–1061, 2009.
- [27] B. Zaoui, D. Benterki, and S. Khelladi. New efficient descent direction of a primal-dual path-following algorithm for linear programming. *Statistics, Optimization and Information Computing*, 2024.
- [28] B. Zaoui, D. Benterki, A. Kraria, and H. Raouache. Interior-point algorithm for linear programming based on a new descent direction. *RAIRO-Operations Research*, 57(5):2473–2491, 2023.

- [29] M. Zhang, Y.-Q. Bai, and G.-Q. Wang. A new primal-dual path-following interior-point algorithm for linearly constrained convex optimization. *Journal of Shanghai University (English Edition)*, 12(6):475–480, 2008.