

The effect of Coulomb assisted hopping on STM signal: extended two site Hubbard model analysis

Garry Goldstein¹

¹*garrygoldsteinwinnipeg@gmail.com*

In this work we study STM signal in the presence of Coulomb assisted hopping. We perform an extended two site Hubbard model analysis between the atom on the tip and the atom in the sample nearest to each other. We show that in the presence of Coulomb assisted hopping the STM signal depends on several spectral functions thereby complicating its interpretation. Furthermore in the broadband tip limit there are now three different competing rates for the total current (instead of one for the usual two site Hubbard model analysis). We find an exact (within the Fermi golden rule - that is in the limit of weak coupling between tip and sample) an expression for the current as a function of the bias voltage. As an example we apply our calculations to the case of free fermions with a uniform density of states. Even in this simple case there are non-trivial corrections where the dI/dV (the rate of change of the current with respect to bias voltage) is not uniform as a two site (non-extended) Hubbard model analysis would predict.

I. INTRODUCTION

Tunneling spectroscopy is a method which allows one to access the spectral functions of the sample [1, 2]. Since its invention, by Binnig et al in 1982 [3, 4], the Scanning Tunneling Microscope (STM), has revolutionized the field of experimental surface and materials studies [2]. At its core Scanning Tunneling Microscopy (STM) is based on the idea that an atom of the tip is close enough to the sample that electron tunneling is possible between the tip and the sample [1, 2] through the classically forbidden region. In a STM experiment the probe tip is brought, using piezo-electronics, to within a fraction of a nanometer of the sample surface [2] allowing for tunneling. By applying a bias voltage between the tip and the sample a current is generated as electrons tunnel from the atom of the tip closest to the sample into the sample or in the opposite direction depending on the sign of the bias voltage. This has so far been analyzed within the regular (not extended) two site Hubbard model context for the two atoms [1, 2] and the current as a function of bias voltage was obtained [1, 2]. Indeed most of the literature [1, 2] deals only with a Hubbard model analysis of the system where an onsite Coulomb term U is kept and a tunneling term t is then added - because of the overlap of the wave functions of the tip and the sample - with no further two site extended Hubbard model terms considered so far as far as the author is aware. Here we wish to extend this STM analysis further by considering the extended two site Hubbard model between the tip atom and the nearest sample atom [5–8]. We find that for the two site extended Hubbard model (with a single relevant spinful orbital $\{c_\sigma^\dagger(0), c_\sigma(0)\}$ for the sample atom and a single relevant spinful orbital $\{\psi_\sigma^\dagger(0), \psi_\sigma(0)\}$ for the tip atom closest to it [5]) many additional terms that lead to current between the tip and the sample. We compute the current as a function of external voltage \mathcal{V} in Eq. (9) in the broad band tip limit. The current now depends on three unknown parameters $\Gamma_{1/2/3}$ (instead of one parameter as in previous STM analysis [1, 2] [2]) which

are associated with properties of the tip and therefore are hard to compute but can be included phenomenologically [1, 2]. As an application of this type of calculation we consider the case when the sample is a free fermions with a uniform density of states. Already in that simple case there are non-trivial corrections to the STM current (see Eq. (28) where the $\frac{\partial I}{\partial V}$ (the rate of change of bias current with respect to voltage) depends explicitly on the bias voltage due to additional current terms we computed.

II. EXTENDED HUBBARD MODEL REVIEW

We consider the extended two site Hubbard model [5–8]. The Hamiltonian is well know and given by [5–8]:

$$H_{pair} = H_{Hub} + H_t + H_V + H_F + H_X + H_Y \quad (1)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{Hub} &= H_\psi (\{\psi_\sigma^\dagger(0), \psi_\sigma(0)\}) + H_c (\{c_\sigma^\dagger(0), c_\sigma(0)\}) \\ H_t &= \sum_\sigma [t\psi_\sigma^\dagger(0) c_\sigma(0) + t^* c_\sigma^\dagger(0) \psi_\sigma(0)] \\ H_V &= V \sum_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \psi_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(0) \psi_{\sigma_1}(0) c_{\sigma_2}^\dagger(0) c_{\sigma_2}(0) \\ H_F &= -2F \left(\mathbf{S}_\psi \cdot \mathbf{S}_c - \frac{1}{4} n_\psi n_c \right) \\ H_\Omega &= \sum_\sigma [\Omega \psi_\sigma^\dagger(0) c_\sigma(0) n_{\psi\bar{\sigma}}(0) + \Omega^* c_\sigma^\dagger(0) \psi_\sigma(0) n_{\psi\bar{\sigma}}(0)] \\ H_{\tilde{\Omega}} &= \sum_\sigma [\tilde{\Omega} \psi_\sigma^\dagger(0) c_\sigma(0) n_{c\bar{\sigma}}(0) + \tilde{\Omega}^* c_\sigma^\dagger(0) \psi_\sigma(0) n_{c\bar{\sigma}}(0)] \\ H_Y &= Y \sum [\psi_\uparrow^\dagger(0) \psi_\downarrow^\dagger(0) c_\downarrow(0) c_\uparrow(0) + h.c.] \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Here $H_\psi (\{\psi_\sigma^\dagger(0), \psi_\sigma(0)\})$ is the Hamiltonian for the tip and $H_c (\{c_\sigma^\dagger(0), c_\sigma(0)\})$ is the Hamiltonian for the sample and \mathbf{S} and n refer to spin and electron number

respectively. The expressions for Ω , $\tilde{\Omega}$, t , V , F and Y are well known in terms of the single particle basis wave func-

tions and the single particle Hamiltonian and Coulomb interactions [5]. Indeed we now introduce the following generating integral [5]:

$$V(c/\psi, c/\psi, c/\psi, c/\psi) = \int d^d \mathbf{r}_1 d^d \mathbf{r}_2 \varphi_{c/\psi}^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \varphi_{c/\psi}(\mathbf{r}_1) \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \varphi_{c/\psi}^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \varphi_{c/\psi}(\mathbf{r}_2) \quad (3)$$

Where $\varphi_{c/\psi}$ is the single particle wavefunction for the tip atom or the sample atom respectively (for this calculation we are ignoring spin orbit coupling and just focusing on two sites with a single orbital). Now we see that [5]

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V(c, c, \psi, \psi) \\ F &= V(c, \psi, \psi, c) \\ \Omega &= V(\psi, c, c, c) \\ \tilde{\Omega} &= V(\psi, \psi, \psi, c) \\ Y &= V(\psi, c, \psi, c) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and t is the usual tunneling [1, 2]. Because of the exponential suppression of tunneling with separation between the particles (say within the WKB approximation [9]) we have that

$$F, Y \ll \Omega, \tilde{\Omega}, t, V \quad (5)$$

as both involve the tunneling of two electrons through the forbidden region between the sample and the tip and will be neglected (see [5] and Eq. (4)). Furthermore H_V does not lead to current between the sample and the tip, to leading order, and therefore will also be dropped. In this work we will focus on the Hamiltonian in Eq. (6) which has H_Ω and $H_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ on top of H_t (previously considered in the literature [1, 2]).

III. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

Consider an STM tip in tunneling proximity to a sample. We have that the Hamiltonian is given by [1, 5]:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_\psi (\{\psi_\sigma^\dagger(x), \psi_\sigma(x)\}) + H_c (\{c_\sigma^\dagger(x), c_\sigma(x)\}) \\ &+ H_t + H_\Omega + H_{\tilde{\Omega}} - e\mathcal{V}N_\psi \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here we have now included also the rest of the atoms of the tip and the rest of the atoms of the sample. Here \mathcal{V} is an external applied voltage and N_ψ is the total number of electrons in the tip. We now introduce

$$\begin{aligned} G_{O_1 O_2}^R(\omega) &= i \int d\tau \exp(i\omega\tau) \theta(\tau) \langle [O_1(\tau), O_2(0)] \rangle \\ G_{O_1 O_2}^R(\omega) &= i \int d\tau \exp(i\omega\tau) \theta(-\tau) \langle [O_1(\tau), O_2(0)] \rangle \\ A_{O_1 O_2}(\omega) &= -i [G_{O_1 O_2}^A(\omega) - G_{O_1 O_2}^R(\omega)] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here $A_{O_1 O_2}(\omega)$ is the spectral function for O_1 and O_2 . We now introduce:

$$\begin{aligned} O_\psi^\dagger &= n_{\psi\downarrow}(0) \psi_\uparrow(0), O_c^\dagger = n_{c\downarrow}(0) c_\uparrow(0) \\ O_\psi^\uparrow &= n_{\psi\uparrow}(0) \psi_\downarrow(0), O_c^\uparrow = n_{c\uparrow}(0) c_\downarrow(0) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Where n is the density of electrons. Then the STM current is given by (see Section IV below):

$$I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \quad (9)$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -\frac{1}{\pi} e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega [n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) - n_F(\omega)] \times \\ &\times [A_{c_\uparrow^\dagger c_\uparrow}(\omega) + A_{c_\downarrow^\dagger c_\downarrow}(\omega)] \Gamma_1 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= -\frac{1}{\pi} e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega [n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) - n_F(\omega)] \times \\ &\times 2\text{Re} [A_{O_c^\dagger c_\uparrow}(\omega) + A_{O_c^\dagger c_\downarrow}(\omega)] \Gamma_2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= -\frac{1}{\pi} e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega [n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) - n_F(\omega)] \\ &\times [A_{O_c^\dagger c_\uparrow}(\omega) + A_{O_c^\dagger c_\downarrow}(\omega)] \Gamma_3 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\Gamma_{1/2/3}$ are related to the physics of the tip. This is our main result which we derive in Section IV below.

IV. MAIN CALCULATION: FERMI GOLDEN RULE FOR STM CURRENT IN THE PRESENCE OF COULOMB ASSISTED TUNNELING

We will be closely following [1]. Now we have that the current is given by:

$$I = -e [P_{\psi \rightarrow c} - P_{c \rightarrow \psi}] \quad (13)$$

Where $P_{\psi \rightarrow c}$ is the probability of transition between the tip and the sample and $P_{c \rightarrow \psi}$ is the probability of transition between the sample and the tip. Now by Fermi's

golden rule we have that [1, 9]:

$$P_{\psi \rightarrow c} = 2\pi \sum_{|\lambda\rangle|\lambda'\rangle|\xi\rangle|\xi'\rangle} \delta(E_\xi + E_{\xi'} - E_\lambda - E_{\lambda'}) p_\lambda p_{\lambda'} \times \\ \times \left| \langle \xi | \langle \xi' | \sum_{\sigma} \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(0) c_{\sigma}(0) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \left[t + \Omega n_{\psi\bar{\sigma}}(0) + \tilde{\Omega} n_{c\bar{\sigma}}(0) \right] |\lambda\rangle |\lambda'\rangle \right|^2 \quad (14)$$

Where $|\lambda\rangle$ and $|\xi\rangle$ are exact eigenstates of H_c while $|\lambda'\rangle$ and $|\xi'\rangle$ are exact eigenstates of H_ψ and we have used Fermi's golden rule 1 [1], 9 [9] and $\bar{\sigma}$ is the opposite spin state of σ and $p_\lambda, p_{\lambda'}$ are Boltzmann weights. Therefore we have that:

$$P_{\psi \rightarrow c} = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \sum_{|\lambda\rangle|\lambda'\rangle} p_\lambda p_{\lambda'} \langle \lambda | \langle \lambda' | \\ \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) c_{\uparrow}(0) \left[t + \Omega n_{\psi\downarrow}(0) + \tilde{\Omega} n_{c\downarrow}(0) \right] \times \\ \times \exp(iH_\psi t) \exp(iH_c t) \times \\ \times \left[t^* + \Omega^* n_{\psi\downarrow}(0) + \tilde{\Omega}^* n_{c\downarrow}(0) \right] c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(0) \times \\ \times \exp(-iH_\psi t) \exp(-iH_c t) |\lambda\rangle |\lambda'\rangle + \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \downarrow \quad (15)$$

here we have Fourier transformed the delta function in Eq. (14). This can further be simplified by going to the Heisenberg picture [1] as:

$$P_{\psi \rightarrow c} = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \sum_{|\lambda\rangle|\lambda'\rangle} p_\lambda p_{\lambda'} \langle \lambda | \langle \lambda' | \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) c_{\uparrow}(0) \times \\ \times \left[t + \Omega n_{\psi\downarrow}(0) + \tilde{\Omega} n_{c\downarrow}(0) \right] \times \\ \times \left[t^* + \Omega^* n_{\psi\downarrow}(\tau, 0) + \tilde{\Omega}^* n_{c\downarrow}(\tau, 0) \right] \times \\ \times c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) |\lambda\rangle |\lambda'\rangle + \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \downarrow \quad (16)$$

We now expand all the terms to obtain $P_{\psi \rightarrow c} =$

$$= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \left[|t|^2 \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle \right. \\ + t\Omega^* \langle n_{\psi\downarrow}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle \\ + t^*\Omega \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) n_{\psi\downarrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle \\ + t\tilde{\Omega}^* \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) n_{c\downarrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \\ + t^*\tilde{\Omega} \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle n_{c\downarrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle \\ + \Omega\tilde{\Omega}^* \langle n_{\psi\downarrow}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) n_{c\downarrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \\ + \Omega^*\tilde{\Omega} \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) n_{\psi\downarrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle n_{c\downarrow}(\tau, 0) c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle \\ + |\Omega|^2 \langle n_{\psi\downarrow}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) n_{\psi\downarrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle \\ + |\tilde{\Omega}|^2 \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle n_{c\downarrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) n_{c\downarrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \left. \right] \\ + \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \downarrow \quad (17)$$

Where we have expanded all the terms in Eq. (16). As such we have that [1]:

$$P_{\psi \rightarrow c} = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \times \\ \left[|t|^2 \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle + \right. \\ + t\Omega^* \langle O_{\psi}^{\dagger} \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle + \\ + t^*\Omega \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) O_{\psi}(\tau) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle + \\ + t\tilde{\Omega}^* \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) O_c^{\dagger}(\tau) \rangle + \\ + t^*\tilde{\Omega} \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle O_c c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle + \\ + \Omega\tilde{\Omega}^* \langle O_{\psi}^{\dagger} \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) O_c^{\dagger}(\tau) \rangle + \\ + \Omega^*\tilde{\Omega} \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) O_{\psi} \rangle \langle O_c c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle + \\ + |\Omega|^2 \langle O_{\psi}^{\dagger} O_{\psi} \rangle \langle c_{\uparrow}(0) c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\tau, 0) \rangle \\ + |\tilde{\Omega}|^2 \langle \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\uparrow}(\tau, 0) \rangle \langle O_c O_c^{\dagger} \rangle \left. \right] + \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \downarrow \quad (18)$$

Where we have used Eq. (8). To simplify the tedious notation because we can focus only on one spin component we can drop the spin index. As such:

$$P_{\psi \rightarrow c} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[|t|^2 G_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}^{<}(\omega) G_{c^{\dagger}c}^{>}(\omega) \right. \\ + t\Omega^* G_{O^{\dagger}\psi}^{<}(\omega) G_{c^{\dagger}c}^{>}(\omega) + t^*\Omega G_{\psi^{\dagger}O}^{<}(\omega) G_{c^{\dagger}c}^{>}(\omega) \\ + t\tilde{\Omega}^* G_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}^{<}(\omega) G_{O^{\dagger}c}^{>}(\omega) + t^*\tilde{\Omega} G_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}^{<}(\omega) G_{c^{\dagger}O}^{>}(\omega) \\ + \Omega\tilde{\Omega}^* G_{O^{\dagger}\psi}^{<}(\omega) G_{O^{\dagger}c}^{>}(\omega) + \Omega^*\tilde{\Omega} G_{\psi^{\dagger}O}^{<}(\omega) G_{c^{\dagger}O}^{>}(\omega) \\ + |\Omega|^2 G_{O^{\dagger}O}^{<}(\omega) G_{c^{\dagger}c}^{>}(\omega) + |\tilde{\Omega}|^2 G_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}^{<}(\omega) G_{O^{\dagger}O}^{>}(\omega) \left. \right] \\ + \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \downarrow \quad (19)$$

Now we use the relationship [1]:

$$G^{>}(\omega) = A(\omega) (1 - n_F(\omega)) \\ G^{<}(\omega) = A(\omega) n_F(\omega) \quad (20)$$

Where $A(\omega)$ is defined by Eq. (7) and n_F is the Fermi function. As such we have that [1]:

$$P_{\psi \rightarrow c} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[|t|^2 A_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}c}(\omega) \right. \\ + t\Omega^* A_{O^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}c}(\omega) + t^*\Omega A_{\psi^{\dagger}O}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}c}(\omega) \\ + t\tilde{\Omega}^* A_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{O^{\dagger}c}(\omega) + t^*\tilde{\Omega} A_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}O}(\omega) \\ + \Omega\tilde{\Omega}^* A_{O^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{O^{\dagger}c}(\omega) + \Omega^*\tilde{\Omega} A_{\psi^{\dagger}O}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}O}(\omega) \\ + |\Omega|^2 A_{O^{\dagger}O}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}c}(\omega) + |\tilde{\Omega}|^2 A_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{O^{\dagger}O}(\omega) \left. \right] \times \\ \times n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) (1 - n_F(\omega)) + \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \downarrow \quad (21)$$

Similarly we can now write [1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{c \rightarrow \psi} &= 2\pi \sum_{|\lambda\rangle|\lambda'\rangle|\xi\rangle|\xi'\rangle} \delta(E_\xi + E_{\xi'} - E_\lambda - E_{\lambda'}) p_\lambda p_{\lambda'} \times \\
&\times \left| \langle \xi | \langle \xi' | \sum_{\sigma} \left[t^* + \Omega^* n_{\psi\downarrow}(0) + \tilde{\Omega}^* n_{c\downarrow}(0) \right] \times \right. \\
&\times \left. c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\sigma}(0) |\lambda\rangle |\lambda'\rangle \right|^2 \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

As such [1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\psi \rightarrow c} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[|t|^2 A_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}c}(\omega) \right. \\
&+ t\Omega^* A_{O^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}c}(\omega) + t^* \Omega A_{\psi^{\dagger}O}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}c}(\omega) \\
&+ t\tilde{\Omega}^* A_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{O^{\dagger}c}(\omega) + t^* \tilde{\Omega} A_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}O}(\omega) \\
&+ \Omega\tilde{\Omega}^* A_{O^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{O^{\dagger}c}(\omega) + \Omega^* \tilde{\Omega} A_{\psi^{\dagger}O}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}O}(\omega) \\
&+ |\Omega|^2 A_{O^{\dagger}O}(\omega) A_{c^{\dagger}c}(\omega) + \left| \tilde{\Omega} \right|^2 A_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) A_{O^{\dagger}O}(\omega) \left. \right] \times \\
&\times n_F(\omega) (1 - n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V})) + \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \downarrow \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= -\frac{1}{\pi} e \sum_{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega [n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) - n_F(\omega)] \times A_{c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{\sigma}}(\omega) \left[|t|^2 A_{\psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}\psi_{\sigma}}(\omega) + 2Re \left[t\Omega^* A_{O_{\psi}^{\sigma\dagger}\psi_{\sigma}}(\omega) \right] + |\Omega|^2 A_{O_{\psi}^{\sigma\dagger}O_{\psi}^{\sigma}}(\omega) \right] \\
&- \frac{1}{\pi} e \sum_{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega [n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) - n_F(\omega)] \times 2Re \left[A_{O_{\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{\sigma}}(\omega) \left[t\tilde{\Omega}^* A_{\psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}\psi_{\sigma}}(\omega) + \Omega\tilde{\Omega}^* A_{O_{\psi}^{\sigma\dagger}\psi_{\sigma}}(\omega) \right] \right] \\
&- \frac{1}{\pi} e \sum_{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega [n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) - n_F(\omega)] \times A_{O_{\sigma}^{\dagger}O_{\sigma}}(\omega) \left[\left| \tilde{\Omega} \right|^2 A_{\psi^{\dagger}\psi}(\omega) \right] \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we work in the broad tip limit whereby we obtain Eq. (9).

V. CALCULATION FOR FREE FERMIONS IN THE UNIFORM WIDE BAND LIMIT

We introduce free fermions with a band with $[-D, D]$, a density ρ and a chemical potential μ and we will work in the zero temperature limit. Then we have that:

$$A_{c^{\dagger}c}(\omega) = \rho \theta(D - \omega) \theta(\omega + D) \quad (25)$$

Furthermore

$$A_{O_{\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{\sigma}}(\omega) = 2\rho(D + \mu) \rho \theta(D - \omega) \theta(\omega + D) \quad (26)$$

Now for free fermions

$$A_{O_{\sigma}^{\dagger}O_{\sigma}}(\omega) = -\pi \rho^3 \omega^2 \theta(-\omega) \quad (27)$$

See Appendix A. Here we have dropped the spin index as its just a facto of two. This means that:

$$I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \quad (28)$$

This means the current can be rewritten as:

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= -\frac{2}{\pi} e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega [n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) - n_F(\omega)] \times \\
&\times \rho \theta(D - \omega) \theta(\omega + D) \Gamma_1 \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= -\frac{8}{\pi} e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega [n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) - n_F(\omega)] \times \\
&\times \rho^2 (D + \mu) \theta(D - \omega) \theta(\omega + D) \Gamma_2 \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= +2e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega [n_F(\omega - e\mathcal{V}) - n_F(\omega)] \times \\
&\times \rho^3 \omega^2 \theta(-\omega) \Gamma_3 \theta[D - \omega] \theta[D + \omega] \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have extended the calculation of STM current to the case of Coulomb assisted tunneling between the tip and the sample using the extended two site Hubbard model analysis for the atom in the tip and the

atom in the sample closest to each other. In this case on top of the usual tunneling, which comes about when electrons can tunnel through the classically forbidden region between the tip and the sample, we also consider the case when there is a Coulomb interaction, as in the extended Hubbard model, helps the tunneling [5] and introduces additional terms to the Hamiltonian (Coulomb assisted tunneling [5–8]). In this case there are additional terms for interactions between the tip and the sample which lead to additional currents. We have computed these terms and currents and shown they have non-trivial consequences even in the case of free fermions with a uniform density of states where the $\frac{\partial I}{\partial V}$ curve now depends on frequency ω .

and similarly for spin down and the times reversed. This means that:

Appendix A: Free fermions in the wide band limit

We now compute for free fermions in the wide band limit:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle n_{\uparrow}(0) n_{\uparrow}(\tau) \rangle &= \rho^2 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_1 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_2 \times \\
&\times f[\varepsilon_1 - \mu] [1 - f[\varepsilon_2 - \mu]] \exp[i[\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1]\tau] \\
\langle c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(0) c_{\downarrow}(\tau) \rangle & \\
&= \rho \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_3 f[\varepsilon_3 - \mu] \exp[-i[\varepsilon_3 - \mu]\tau] \quad (A1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{O_c^{\dagger} O_c^{\dagger}}(\omega) &= -\rho^3 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_1 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_2 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_3 \int d\omega \exp(-i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + (\varepsilon_3 - \mu) - \omega)\tau) f(\varepsilon_1 - \mu) (1 - f(\varepsilon_2 - \mu)) f(\varepsilon_3 - \mu) \\
&+ \rho^3 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_1 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_2 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_3 \int d\omega \exp(i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + (\varepsilon_3 - \mu) - \omega)\tau) f(\varepsilon_1 - \mu) (1 - f(\varepsilon_2 - \mu)) [1 - f(\varepsilon_3 - \mu)] \quad (A2)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{O_c^{\dagger} O_c^{\dagger}}(\omega) &= -2\pi\rho^3 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_1 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_2 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_3 f(\varepsilon_1 - \mu) (1 - f(\varepsilon_2 - \mu)) f(\varepsilon_3 - \mu) \delta(\omega + \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \mu) \\
&+ 2\pi\rho^3 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_1 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_2 \int_{-D}^D d\varepsilon_3 f(\varepsilon_1 - \mu) (1 - f(\varepsilon_2 - \mu)) [1 - f(\varepsilon_3 - \mu)] \delta(\omega - [\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \mu]) \quad (A3)
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{O_c^{\dagger} O_c^{\dagger}}(\omega) &= -2\pi\rho^3 \int_{\mu}^D d\varepsilon_1 \int_{-D}^{\mu} d\varepsilon_2 \int_{-D}^{\mu} d\varepsilon_3 \delta(\omega + [\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \mu]) + \\
&+ 2\pi\rho^3 \int_{\mu}^D d\varepsilon_1 \int_{-D}^{\mu} d\varepsilon_2 \int_{\mu}^D d\varepsilon_3 \delta(\omega - [\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 - \mu]) \quad (A4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{O_c^\dagger O_c^\dagger}(\omega) &= -2\pi\rho^3 \int_\mu^D d\varepsilon_1 \int_{-D}^\mu d\varepsilon_2 \theta(-\omega - [\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2]) \theta(\omega + D + [\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \mu]) + \\
&\quad + 2\pi\rho^3 \int_\mu^D d\varepsilon_1 \int_{-D}^\mu d\varepsilon_2 \theta(\omega - [\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2]) \theta(-\omega - D + [\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \mu])
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{O_c^\dagger O_c^\dagger}(\omega) &= 2\pi\rho^3 \left[\int_0^{D-\mu} d\varepsilon_1 \int_0^{D+\mu} d\varepsilon_2 [\theta(\omega - [\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]) \theta(-\omega - D - \mu + [\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2])] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \theta(-\omega - [\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]) \theta(\omega + D - \mu + [\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{O_c^\dagger O_c^\dagger}(\omega) &\cong -2\pi\rho^3 \left[\int_0^{D-\mu} d\varepsilon_1 \int_0^{D+\mu} d\varepsilon_2 \theta(-\omega - [\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]) \theta(D - [\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]) \right] \theta[D - \omega] \theta[D + \omega] \\
&= -2\pi\rho^3 \left[\int_0^{2D} d\mathcal{E} \int_{-\mathcal{E}/2}^{\mathcal{E}/2} d\varepsilon \theta(-\omega - \mathcal{E}) \theta(D - \mathcal{E}) \right] \theta[D - \omega] \theta[D + \omega]
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

Combining we get that:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{O_c^\dagger O_c^\dagger}(\omega) &= -2\pi\rho^3 \theta[D - \omega] \theta[D + \omega] \int_0^D d\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E} \theta(-\omega - \mathcal{E}) \\
&= -\pi\rho^3 \omega^2 \theta(-\omega) \theta[D - \omega] \theta[D + \omega] \tag{A8}
\end{aligned}$$

The calculation for the spin down spectral function is identical.

-
- [1] P. Coleman, *Introduction to Many-Body Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016).
 - [2] C. J. Chen, *Introduction to Scanning Tunneling Microscopy* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2021).
 - [3] G. Binning, H. Rohrer, C. Gerber and E. Wiebel, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **40**, 178 (1982).
 - [4] G. Binning, H. Rohrer, C. Gerber and E. Wiebel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 57 (1982).
 - [5] P. Fazekas, *Lecture Notes on Electron Correlation and Magnetism* (World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1999).
 - [6] J. Hubbard, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A 276**, 238 (1963).
 - [7] J. Hubbard, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A 277**, 237 (1964).
 - [8] J. Hubbard, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A 281**, 401 (1965).
 - [9] R. Shankar, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (Plenum Press, New York, 1994).