

TIT/HEP-699

March 2024

Giant graviton expansions for line operator index

Yosuke IMAMURA*,

*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

Abstract

We discuss giant graviton expansions for the Schur index of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(N)$ SYM with the insertion of Wilson lines of the fundamental and the anti-fundamental representations. We first propose a double-sum giant graviton expansion and numerically confirm that it correctly reproduces the line-operator index. We also find that it reduces to a simple-sum expansion when we treat the index as a Taylor series with respect to a specific fugacity.

*E-mail: imamura@phys.titech.ac.jp

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Leading corrections	3
3	Worldsheet fluctuations	6
4	Multiple-wrapping contributions	8
5	Simple-sum expansion	10
6	Discussion	10

1 Introduction

The superconformal index [1, 2] of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(N)$ SYM is defined by

$$\text{tr} [(-1)^F q^{J_1} p^{J_2} x^{R_x} y^{R_y} z^{R_z}] \quad (1)$$

where J_1 and J_2 are spins and R_x , R_y , and R_z are R -charges. To preserve supersymmetry we impose the constraint $qp = xyz$ on the fugacities. This can be regarded as the partition function in the spacetime $S^3 \times S^1$.

In this paper we are interested in the index with the insertion of Wilson line operators [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. We insert 1/2-BPS Wilson lines of fundamental and anti-fundamental representations at the north and south poles of S^3 , respectively. This breaks $so(4)$ rotational symmetry of S^3 to $so(3)$. We define the poles so that they are fixed under J_1 action. Then, J_1 is the Cartan generator of the preserved rotational symmetry, which we denote by $so(3)_{J_1}$. The insertion also breaks $so(6)_R$ symmetry to $so(5)_R$, and without losing generality we assume that R_x and R_y are preserved. The symmetries generated by J_2 and R_z are broken, and we have to turn off the corresponding fugacities p and z . Then the superconformal index reduces to the Schur index [10]. In the following we use I_N for the Schur index without line operator insertion

$$I_N = \text{tr} [(-1)^F q^{J_1} x^{R_x} y^{R_y}], \quad q = xy. \quad (2)$$

See [11, 12, 13] for analytic expressions of I_N with finite N .

We denote the Schur index with the Wilson line operator insertion by $I_{\text{line},N}$. $I_{\text{line},N}$ can be calculated by the localization formula

$$I_{\text{line},N} = \int_{U(N)} d\mu \left[\text{Pexp} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{(1-x)(1-y)}{1-q} \right) \chi_{\text{adj}} \right\} \chi_{\text{fund}} \bar{\chi}_{\text{fund}} \right]. \quad (3)$$

χ_{adj} , χ_{fund} , and $\bar{\chi}_{\text{fund}}$ are the $U(N)$ characters of the adjoint, the fundamental, and the anti-fundamental representations, respectively. Pexp is the

plethystic exponential and $\int_{U(N)} d\mu$ is the integral over gauge group $U(N)$ with the Haar measure. The factor $\chi_{\text{fund}}\bar{\chi}_{\text{fund}}$ in the integrand corresponds to the line operator insertion, and I_N can be calculated by (3) with the factor removed. We can also consider Wilson line operators associated with more general representations and the corresponding index can be calculated by replacing $\chi_{\text{fund}}\bar{\chi}_{\text{fund}}$ in (3) by the product of the corresponding characters. In this paper, however, we will not discuss such a generalization.

It is well known that in the large N limit the superconformal index I_∞ (even before taking the Schur limit) coincides with the index of the supergravity multiplet in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [2] via AdS/CFT correspondence [14, 15, 16]. It is in the Schur limit given by

$$I_\infty = I_{\text{sugra}} = \text{Pexp } i_{\text{sugra}} \quad (4)$$

with the supergravity letter index

$$i_{\text{sugra}} = \frac{x}{1-x} + \frac{y}{1-y} - \frac{q}{1-q}. \quad (5)$$

The large N limit of the line-operator index was calculated in [4]. See also [8, 9]. On the AdS side, the line operators correspond to a fundamental string extended along $AdS_2 \subset AdS_5$ [17, 18]. Two line operators are identified with the two boundaries of the worldsheet. $I_{\text{line},\infty}$ is reproduced by taking account of the contribution from fluctuation modes on the string worldsheet [4]:

$$I_{\text{line},\infty} = I_{\text{sugra}} I_{\text{F1}} = \text{Pexp}(i_{\text{sugra}} + i_{\text{F1}}), \quad (6)$$

where i_{F1} is the letter index of worldsheet fluctuations

$$i_{\text{F1}} = x + y - q. \quad (7)$$

The explicit form of the index is

$$I_{\text{line},\infty} = 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 22t^3 + 44t^4 + 82t^5 + 146t^6 + \dots, \quad (8)$$

where we used the unrefinement $x = y = t$ to simplify the equation. The variable t is also used for order counting. $\mathcal{O}(t^n)$ indicates terms of the form $x^{n-k}y^k$ or higher power terms.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the holographic relation (6) to finite N by including contributions of giant gravitons [19, 20, 21, 22].

2 Leading corrections

Let us first look at some numerical results for small N :

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{line},0} &= 0, \\
I_{\text{line},1} &= 1 + 2t + t^2 + 2t^3 + 2t^4 + 0t^5 + 3t^6 + \dots, \\
I_{\text{line},2} &= 1 + 4t + 7t^2 + 8t^3 + 11t^4 + 16t^5 + 15t^6 + \dots, \\
I_{\text{line},3} &= 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 18t^3 + 25t^4 + 36t^5 + 52t^6 + \dots, \\
I_{\text{line},4} &= 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 22t^3 + 39t^4 + 58t^5 + 87t^6 + \dots, \\
I_{\text{line},5} &= 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 22t^3 + 44t^4 + 76t^5 + 117t^6 + \dots, \\
I_{\text{line},6} &= 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 22t^3 + 44t^4 + 82t^5 + 139t^6 + \dots. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Again we showed unrefined indices. We defined $I_{\text{line},0} = 0$ because we cannot insert non-trivial line operators in $N = 0$ theory. (In other words, we cannot introduce strings ending on D-branes if there are no D-branes.) These are converging to (8) in the large N limit, and the leading term of the finite N correction is

$$I_{\text{line},N} - I_{\text{line},\infty} = -(N+1)t^N + \mathcal{O}(t^{N+1}). \tag{10}$$

This is similar to the finite N correction of I_N [11]:

$$I_N - I_\infty = -(N+2)t^{N+1} + \mathcal{O}(t^{N+2}). \tag{11}$$

Let us first review how (11) is reproduced on the AdS side [23, 24]. In [24] the following expansion for the Schur index was proposed.

$$\frac{I_N}{I_\infty} = \sum_{m_x, m_y=0}^{\infty} x^{m_x N} F_{m_x}^{(x)} \cdot (xy)^{m_x m_y} \cdot y^{m_y N} F_{m_y}^{(y)}. \tag{12}$$

The non-negative integers m_x and m_y are wrapping numbers of giant gravitons, and this kind of expansions are named in [25] giant graviton expansions. See also [26, 27, 28, 29, 30].

The summand in (12) consists of three factors. The first factor $x^{m_x N} F_{m_x}^{(x)}$ is the contribution from a stack of m_x D3 giants wrapped around the R_x -fixed locus in S^5 . $x^{m_x N}$ comes from the R -charge of the giants, and $F_{m_x}^{(x)}$ is the Schur index of the field theory realized on the worldvolume of the giants. The last factor $y^{m_y N} F_{m_y}^{(y)}$ is a similar contribution from m_y giants on the R_y -fixed locus in S^5 . Because the worldvolumes of the giants are $S^3 \times S^1$, which are isomorphic to the AdS boundary, $F_m^{(x)}$ and $F_m^{(y)}$ are essentially the same as I_m . Due to the different actions of the symmetry generators they are related by [24]

$$F_m^{(x)} = \sigma_x I_m, \quad F_m^{(y)} = \sigma_y I_m, \tag{13}$$

where σ_x and σ_y are the following simple variable changes.

$$\sigma_x : (x, y) \rightarrow (x^{-1}, xy), \quad \sigma_y : (x, y) \rightarrow (xy, y^{-1}). \quad (14)$$

The explicit forms of $F_N^{(x)}$ for small N are [24]

$$\begin{aligned} F_0^{(x)} &= 1, \\ F_1^{(x)} &= \frac{-x}{1-\frac{y}{x}} + x^2(-1 + \frac{y}{x}) + x^3(-1 + \frac{y^3}{x^3}) + x^4(-1 + \frac{y^2}{x^2} - \frac{y^3}{x^3} + \frac{y^4}{x^4}) + \dots \\ F_2^{(x)} &= \frac{\frac{x^5}{y}(-1 + 2\frac{y}{x})}{(1-\frac{y}{x})(1-\frac{y^2}{x^2})} + \frac{x^7}{y^3}(-1 + 2\frac{y^2}{x^2}) + \frac{x^9}{y^3}(-1 + 2\frac{y^3}{x^3} + 2\frac{y^6}{x^6}) + \dots \\ F_3^{(x)} &= \frac{\frac{x^{12}}{y^3}(-2 + 3\frac{y}{x} + 3\frac{y^2}{x^2} - 5\frac{y^3}{x^3})}{(1-\frac{y}{x})(1-\frac{y^2}{x^2})(1-\frac{y^3}{x^3})} + \frac{\frac{x^{15}}{y^5}(-2 + 3\frac{y^2}{x^2} + 3\frac{y^3}{x^3} - 5\frac{y^5}{x^5})}{1-\frac{y^2}{x^2}} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The same expansions were also obtained in [30] using analytic results in [6].

The middle factor in (12), $(xy)^{m_x m_y}$, is the contribution from the bi-fundamental fields arising from open strings stretched between the two loci. Although the letter index of the bi-fundamental fields depends on the gauge fugacities, its plethystic exponential becomes the factor independent of the gauge fugacities. Due to this accidental simplification, the gauge fugacity integrals of $U(m_x)$ and $U(m_y)$ gauge groups are factorized.

Let us focus on the leading correction from $(m_x, m_y) = (1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$.

$$\frac{I_N}{I_\infty} = 1 + x^N F_1^{(x)} + y^N F_1^{(y)} + \dots, \quad (16)$$

where $F_1^{(x)}$ is the index of the $U(1)$ vector multiplet on a giant graviton wrapped around the R_x -fixed locus:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(x)} &= \sigma_x I_1 = \text{Pexp} \frac{\frac{1}{x} - 2y + xy}{1-y} \\ &= \text{Pexp}(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{y}{x} + \mathcal{O}(t^1)). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The first term $\frac{1}{x}$ and the second term $\frac{y}{x}$ in the letter index are $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{O}(t^0)$, respectively, and these two terms are enough to obtain the leading term in the t -expansion of $F_1^{(x)}$

$$F_1^{(x)} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{x}} \frac{1}{1-\frac{y}{x}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^1)) = \frac{-x}{1-\frac{y}{x}} + \mathcal{O}(t^2). \quad (18)$$

The negative order term $\frac{1}{x}$ in the letter index corresponds to the unwrapping mode (tachyonic mode) of the giant graviton. Its plethystic exponential

$\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{x}} = \frac{-x}{1-x}$ includes the factor $-x$, which has two effects: it changes the overall sign and it raises the order of the leading correction by one. This is the mechanism generating the negative leading correction of $\mathcal{O}(t^{N+1})$ in (11).

Let us turn to the leading correction of $I_{\text{line},N}$ shown in (10). A common feature with (10) is that it is negative. We expect this is again due to the unwrapping modes because even when we introduce the string worldsheet corresponding to the line operators giant gravitons still have unwrapping modes. However, the order of (10) seems contrary to the fact that the unwrapping modes raises the order by one. To match the order of the correction, we need extra factor of $\mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$. One possible source of this factor is a backreaction of the introduction of the string worldsheet. Unfortunately, we cannot give a clear explanation for this factor at present, and in the following, we simply assume the existence of such a factor.

Now, let us start the analysis of giant graviton contributions to $I_{\text{line},N}$. We consider the situation in which the string worldsheet and a single giant graviton coexist. There are two cases. One is the case in which the string and the giant graviton do not correlate. Namely, the string does not end on the worldvolume of the giant. The other is the case that the string worldsheet is divided into two parts by the giant graviton and the two semi-infinite strings are ending on the worldvolume of the giant. In the former case, we obtain the contribution

$$I_{\text{line},N} = \cdots + I_{\text{sugra}}(x^N F_1^{(x)} + y^N F_1^{(y)}) I_{\text{F1}} + \cdots. \quad (19)$$

We also have similar contributions from other wrapping numbers (m_x, m_y) , and they are summed up to $I_N I_{\text{F1}}$. Let us subtract this contribution from $I_{\text{line},N}$. Furthermore, we remove the supergravity contribution by dividing the difference by $I_{\text{sugra}} = I_\infty$. Numerical analysis on the gauge theory side shows

$$\frac{I_{\text{line},N} - I_N I_{\text{F1}}}{I_\infty} = x^N F'(x) + y^N F'(y) + \mathcal{O}(t^{2N+2}), \quad (20)$$

where $F'(x)$ and $F'(y)$ are N -independent functions. $F'(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x) &= \frac{-1}{1-\frac{y}{x}} + x \frac{-1-\frac{y^2}{x^2}}{1-\frac{y}{x}} + x^2 \frac{-1+\frac{y}{x}+\frac{y^2}{x^2}-\frac{y^3}{x^3}-\frac{y^4}{x^4}}{1-\frac{y}{x}} \\ &+ x^3 \left(-1 + 2\frac{y^3}{x^3} + 2\frac{y^4}{x^4} + \frac{y^5}{x^5}\right) + x^4 \left(-1 - \frac{y^3}{x^3} + 3\frac{y^5}{x^5} + 2\frac{y^6}{y^6} + \frac{x^7}{y^7}\right) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(t^5) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and $F'(y)$ is obtained from $F'(x)$ by exchanging x and y . We can rewrite (21) in the simple form

$$F'(x) = \frac{1}{x} \text{Pexp} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{x} - 2y + xy}{1-y} + 2(y - xy) \right). \quad (22)$$

This is close to (17). The first term in the parentheses is the same as the letter index in (17), and interpreted as the contribution from a giant graviton wrapped on the R_x -fixed locus. The prefactor $1/x$ is the mismatch factor mentioned above, and we have no clear explanation for it. We accept the existence of such factors, and later we will insert such factors by hand.

The remaining part, $2(y - xy)$ in the parentheses is expected to be the contribution from the two semi-infinite string worldsheets ending on the giant graviton. In the next section we will show that this is indeed obtained from the mode analysis on the semi-infinite strings.

3 Worldsheet fluctuations

Fluctuation modes on a superstring worldsheet extended along $AdS_2 \subset AdS_5$ are studied in [31, 32]. They form a short multiplet of $osp(4^*|4)$, and the spectrum is

$$\varphi(1, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}) + \psi(\frac{3}{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}) + \phi(2, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}). \quad (23)$$

φ and ϕ are scalar fields corresponding to five directions in S^5 and three transverse directions in AdS_5 , respectively. ψ is the fermion field with 8 degrees of freedom. We showed in (23) the representations under $so(2, 1)_{\text{conf}} \times so(3)_{J_1} \times so(5)_R$. The value ν in the first slot in each representation is the energy eigenvalue of the conformal primary state normalized by the AdS radius. In addition, there are infinitely many conformal descendants with energies $\nu + 1, \nu + 2, \dots$ in each conformal representation.

The presence of a giant graviton wrapped around the R_x -fixed locus breaks $so(5)_R$ to $so(2)_{R_x} \times so(3)_{R_y}$. Under this symmetry breaking φ and ψ split into $(\varphi_3, \varphi_X, \varphi_{\overline{X}})$ and (ψ_+, ψ_-) , respectively. See Table 1 for quantum numbers of each component.

The $so(2, 1)_{\text{conf}} \times so(3)_{J_1} \times so(5)_R$ quantum numbers of the supercharges preserved by the string worldsheet are $(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})$. Among the real 16 supercharges only eight with $H = R_x$ are preserved after the introduction of a giant wrapped around the R_x -fixed locus, and the superconformal representation (23) is decomposed into small representations labeled by $n = H - R_x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We denote them by \mathcal{R}_n , and their components are shown in Table 1.

Before the introduction of the giant graviton, there are three BPS modes saturating the bound $H - J_1 - R_x - R_y \geq 0$ and contributing to the index. One of them with $(H, J_1, R_x, R_y) = (1, 0, +1, 0)$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_0 , and the other two with $(H, J_1, R_x, R_y) = (1, 0, 0, +1)$ and $(\frac{3}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2})$ belong to \mathcal{R}_1 . These three modes give the three terms x , y , and $-xy$ in i_{F1} , respectively.

When the string worldsheet is attached on the worldvolume of the giant graviton, some representations \mathcal{R}_n are removed by the boundary conditions.

Table 1: Components of the representation \mathcal{R}_n and their quantum numbers are shown. The last column shows the mode functions of the scalar fields.

	E	$so(3)_{J_1}$	R_x	$so(3)_{R_y}$	n	mode
$\varphi_{\overline{X}}$	$n - 1$	1	-1	1	$n \geq 2$	$f_{n-2}^{(1)}$
ψ_-	$n - \frac{1}{2}$	2	$-\frac{1}{2}$	2	$n \geq 2$	
ϕ	n	3	0	1	$n \geq 2$	$f_{n-2}^{(2)}$
φ_3	n	1	0	3	$n \geq 1$	$f_{n-1}^{(1)}$
ψ_+	$n + \frac{1}{2}$	2	$+\frac{1}{2}$	2	$n \geq 1$	
$\varphi_{\overline{X}}$	$n + 1$	1	$+1$	1	$n \geq 0$	$f_n^{(1)}$

To determine which of them are allowed, let us explicitly write down the mode functions for the scalar fields.

The scalar fields satisfy the wave equations

$$(\Delta_{AdS_2} - 2)\phi = 0, \quad \Delta_{AdS_2}\varphi = 0. \quad (24)$$

These equations can be easily solved as follows. We define the AdS_2 as a subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ with the metric

$$ds^2 = -2dzdz^* + dx^2 \quad (25)$$

by the embedding

$$z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cosh \rho e^{it}, \quad z^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cosh \rho e^{-it}, \quad x = \sinh \rho. \quad (26)$$

We introduce conformal generators

$$\begin{aligned} K &= i(x\partial_{z^*} + z\partial_x), \\ P &= i(x\partial_z + z^*\partial_x), \\ H &= -z\partial_z + z^*\partial_{z^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

satisfying

$$[H, P] = P, \quad [H, K] = -K, \quad [K, P] = H. \quad (28)$$

The mode function $f_0^{(\nu)}$ of the conformal primary state satisfying $Kf_0^{(\nu)} = 0$ and $Hf_0^{(\nu)} = \nu f_0^{(\nu)}$ is given by

$$f_0^{(\nu)}(t, \rho) = \frac{1}{z^\nu} \propto \frac{e^{-i\nu t}}{\cosh^\nu \rho}. \quad (29)$$

The mode functions $f_k^{(\nu)}$ for conformal descendant states are obtained by applying an arbitrary number of the raising operator P to $f_0^{(\nu)}$;

$$f_k^{(\nu)}(t, \rho) = P^k f_0^{(\nu)}(t, \rho) \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (30)$$

Using the relation between the scalar field Laplacian Δ_{AdS_2} and the Casimir operator of the conformal algebra

$$\Delta_{AdS_2} = H^2 - (PK + KP), \quad (31)$$

we can easily find that the mode functions $f_k^{(\nu)}$ satisfy

$$\Delta_{AdS_2} f_k^{(\nu)} = \nu(\nu - 1) f_k^{(\nu)}, \quad (32)$$

and ϕ and φ satisfying (24) can be expanded by $f_k^{(2)}$ and $f_k^{(1)}$, respectively.

An important fact is that the mode functions $f_k^{(\nu)}$ are even for even k and odd for odd k under the reflection of the worldsheet $\rho \rightarrow -\rho$. This means that for a field with Dirichlet (Neumann) boundary condition only modes with odd (even) k are allowed. We can easily find that scalar modes in \mathcal{R}_n with odd n satisfy the boundary conditions imposed on the giant graviton worldvolume, and the fluctuations in \mathcal{R}_n with even n are not allowed.

Concerning the three BPS saturating modes, only the two in \mathcal{R}_1 are consistent with the boundary conditions, and they give the letter index $y - xy$. Furthermore, we have two semi-infinite strings ending on the giant. Therefore, total contribution to the index is

$$I_{F1}^{(x)} = P \exp i_{F1}^{(x)}, \quad i_{F1}^{(x)} = 2(y - xy). \quad (33)$$

With the presence of the factor $1/x$, this correctly reproduces (22).

$$F^{(x)} = \frac{1}{x} F_1^{(x)} I_{F1}^{(x)}. \quad (34)$$

$F^{(y)}$ is also obtained in a similar way.

4 Multiple-wrapping contributions

Now, based on the analysis of the single-wrapping contribution in the previous sections, we propose the following giant graviton expansion for $I_{\text{line},N}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_{\text{line},N}}{I_\infty} &= I_{F1} \sum_{m_x, m_y=0}^{\infty} x^{m_x N} F_{m_x}^{(x)} \cdot (xy)^{m_x m_y} \cdot y^{m_y N} F_{m_y}^{(y)} \\ &+ \sum_{m_x, m_y=0}^{\infty} x^{m_x N} F_{\text{line}, m_x}^{(x)} \frac{I_{F1}^{(x)}}{x} \cdot (xy)^{m_x m_y} \cdot y^{m_y N} F_{m_y}^{(y)} \\ &+ \sum_{m_x, m_y=0}^{\infty} x^{m_x N} F_{m_x}^{(x)} \cdot (xy)^{m_x m_y} \cdot y^{m_y N} F_{\text{line}, m_y}^{(y)} \frac{I_{F1}^{(y)}}{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

For each pair of wrapping numbers (m_x, m_y) we have to consider three contributions. The first line comes from giants on which string worldsheet

does not end. The second line is the contribution from giants with semi-infinite strings ending on the R_x -fixed locus, and the third line comes from giants with semi-infinite strings ending on the R_y -fixed locus. We do not have to consider the case with two semi-infinite strings ending on different loci because the contribution from such a configuration vanishes when we perform the factorized gauge fugacity integrals.

$\frac{I_{F1}^{(x)}}{x}$ in the second line is the contribution from semi-infinite strings (33) together with the mismatch factor $1/x$. $F_{\text{line},m_x}^{(x)}$ is the contribution from the field theory realized on the worldvolume of m_x coincident giants on the R_x -fixed locus. We take account of the attached strings as the line operator insertion. This is not necessary when $m_x = 1$ because the effect of the insertion is trivial and $F_{\text{line},1}^{(x)} = F_1^{(x)}$. We define $F_{\text{line},0}^{(x)} = F_{\text{line},0}^{(y)} = 0$. For $(m_x, m_y) = (1, 0)$ the second line in (35) reduces to the single-wrapping contribution $x^N F^{(x)}$ in (20).

$F_{\text{line},m}^{(x)}$ and $F_{\text{line},m}^{(y)}$ are related to $I_{\text{line},m}$ by the variable changes (14).

$$F_{\text{line},m}^{(x)} = \sigma_x I_{\text{line},m}, \quad F_{\text{line},m}^{(y)} = \sigma_y I_{\text{line},m}. \quad (36)$$

It is not so easy to perform these variable changes because we need analytic continuation [25, 33]. Here we use the method in [24]. Namely, we go back to the localization formula (3) with the variable changes (14) applied to the integrand, and perform the integral with contours carefully chosen in the consistent way with the analyticity. The results for small N are

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{line},0}^{(x)} &= 0, \\ F_{\text{line},1}^{(x)} &= F_1^{(x)}, \\ F_{\text{line},2}^{(x)} &= \frac{-\frac{x^4}{y}}{1 - \frac{y^2}{x^2}} + \frac{\frac{x^6}{y^2}(-1 - \frac{y}{x} + 5\frac{y^2}{x^2} - \frac{y^3}{x^3} - \frac{y^4}{x^4} + \frac{y^5}{x^5})}{(1 - \frac{y}{x})(1 - \frac{y^2}{x^2})} \\ &\quad + \frac{\frac{x^8}{y^3}(-1 - 2\frac{y}{x} + 6\frac{y^3}{x^3} + \frac{y^4}{x^4} - 4\frac{y^5}{x^5} - \frac{y^8}{x^8})}{1 - \frac{y^2}{x^2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{x^{10}}{y^4}(-1 - 2\frac{y}{x} - \frac{y^2}{x^2} + 5\frac{y^4}{x^5} - 4\frac{y^7}{x^7} + \frac{y^8}{x^8} + \frac{y^{10}}{x^{10}}) + \mathcal{O}(t^7), \\ F_{\text{line},3}^{(x)} &= \frac{-\frac{x^{10}}{y^3}}{1 - \frac{y^3}{x^3}} + \frac{\frac{x^{13}}{y^5}(-1 - 2\frac{y^2}{x^2} + \frac{y^3}{x^3} + 5\frac{y^4}{x^4} - \frac{y^6}{x^6} + \frac{y^8}{x^8})}{(1 - \frac{y^2}{x^2})(1 - \frac{y^3}{x^3})} + \mathcal{O}(t^9). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$F_{\text{line},N}^{(y)}$ are obtained from these by exchanging x and y . It is demanding to calculate higher order terms in $F_{\text{line},3}^{(x)}$, and we have obtained only the first two terms shown in (37). (The method in [30] may be useful to compute these functions more efficiently.) With this result we checked (35) correctly reproduces the index up to $\mathcal{O}(t^{3N+7})$ for $N \leq 3$.

5 Simple-sum expansion

As was first found in [25], the double-sum expansion (12) for the Schur index and the triple-sum expansion in [26] for the superconformal index reduce to simple-sum expansions associated with only one cycle if we adopt an appropriate expansion scheme. See also [33, 34] for the mechanism of the reduction to simple-sum expansions. It is the case for the line-operator index, too. If we treat the indices as y -series rather than t -series,

$$I_N(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{N,k}(x) y^k, \quad I_{\text{line},N}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{\text{line},N,k}(x) y^k, \quad (38)$$

then the contributions from $m_y \geq 1$ decouple, and the double-sum expansion (35) reduces to the simple-sum expansion

$$\frac{I_{\text{line},N}}{I_{\infty}} = I_{F1} \sum_{m_x=0}^{\infty} x^{m_x N} F_{m_x}^{(x)} + \frac{I_{F1}^{(x)}}{x} \sum_{m_x=0}^{\infty} x^{m_x N} F_{\text{line},m_x}^{(x)} \quad (39)$$

associated with only the R_x -fixed locus. The variable change σ_x in (14) acts independently on each term in the y -series (38), and replace the coefficient functions $f_{N,k}(x)$ and $f_{\text{line},N,k}(x)$ by $x^k f_{N,k}(x^{-1})$ and $x^k f_{\text{line},N,k}(x^{-1})$, respectively. Because the coefficient functions are rational functions, it is easy to obtain $F_N^{(x)}$ and $F_{\text{line},N}^{(x)}$ from I_N and $I_{\text{line},N}$ by the relations in (13) and (36). In particular, the leading terms in (38), which gives the Coulomb branch limit $y \rightarrow 0$ of the indices, are given by

$$f_{N,0} = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1-x^i}, \quad f_{\text{line},N,0} = \frac{1}{1-x} \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{1-x^i}, \quad (40)$$

and it is easy to analytically prove the relation (39) in the Coulomb branch limit. One can also numerically check that (39) holds even without taking the Coulomb branch limit.

6 Discussion

In this paper we discussed the Schur index with the insertion of fundamental and anti-fundamental line operators for $\mathcal{N} = 4 U(N)$ SYM with finite N . As expected, we found that the finite N corrections are reproduced by summing up the contributions from giant gravitons. Unfortunately, we have not yet have clear explanation for the factors $1/x$ and $1/y$ appearing when the semi-infinite strings end on giant gravitons. A potential origin of the factors is a back-reaction of the fundamental strings.

An important and interesting problem is the generalization to other representations of the line operators. It is known that symmetric and anti-symmetric representations with rank k of order N are holographically realized by a D3-brane wrapped on $AdS_2 \times S^2$ [35] and a D5-brane wrapped on

$AdS_2 \times S^4$ [36], respectively. More general representations with the rank k of order N^2 are realized by bubbling geometries [37]. In the large N limit the matching of the indices for these cases were studied in [4, 9]. It is interesting to study how the finite N corrections arise on the AdS side as giant graviton contributions.

Another important direction is to consider line operator indices in other theories [4, 7]: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with SO and Sp gauge groups and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs which have holographic duals. Giant graviton expansions for such theories without operator insertions have been studied in [23, 38, 34], and we expect they can be generalized to line operator indices.

In this paper we proposed the giant graviton expansions based on the analysis on the AdS side. It is also important to understand how the expansion arises on the gauge theory side in a similar way to the derivation of the giant graviton expansion for the superconformal index in [25].

We look forward to revisiting these aspects in the near future.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Tadashi Okazaki and Daisuke Yokoyama for valuable discussions. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K03569.

References

- [1] C. Romelsberger, “Counting chiral primaries in $N = 1$, $d=4$ superconformal field theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **747**, 329-353 (2006) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.03.037 [arXiv:hep-th/0510060 [hep-th]].
- [2] J. Kinney, J. M. Maldacena, S. Minwalla and S. Raju, “An Index for 4 dimensional super conformal theories,” Commun. Math. Phys. **275**, 209-254 (2007) doi:10.1007/s00220-007-0258-7 [arXiv:hep-th/0510251 [hep-th]].
- [3] T. Dimofte, D. Gaiotto and S. Gukov, “3-Manifolds and 3d Indices,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **17**, no.5, 975-1076 (2013) doi:10.4310/ATMP.2013.v17.n5.a3 [arXiv:1112.5179 [hep-th]].
- [4] D. Gang, E. Koh and K. Lee, “Line Operator Index on $S^1 \times S^3$,” JHEP **05**, 007 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2012)007 [arXiv:1201.5539 [hep-th]].
- [5] N. Drukker, “The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Schur index with Polyakov loops,” JHEP **12**, 012 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2015)012 [arXiv:1510.02480 [hep-th]].

- [6] Y. Hatsuda and T. Okazaki, “Exact $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ Schur line defect correlators,” JHEP **06**, 169 (2023) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2023)169 [arXiv:2303.14887 [hep-th]].
- [7] Z. Guo, Y. Li, Y. Pan and Y. Wang, “N=2 Schur index and line operators,” Phys. Rev. D **108**, no.10, 106002 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.106002 [arXiv:2307.15650 [hep-th]].
- [8] Y. Hatsuda and T. Okazaki, “Large N and large representations of Schur line defect correlators,” JHEP **01**, 096 (2024) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2024)096 [arXiv:2309.11712 [hep-th]].
- [9] Y. Hatsuda and T. Okazaki, “Excitations of bubbling geometries for line defects,” [arXiv:2311.13740 [hep-th]].
- [10] A. Gadde, L. Rastelli, S. S. Razamat and W. Yan, “Gauge Theories and Macdonald Polynomials,” Commun. Math. Phys. **319**, 147-193 (2013) doi:10.1007/s00220-012-1607-8 [arXiv:1110.3740 [hep-th]].
- [11] J. Bourdier, N. Drukker and J. Felix, “The exact Schur index of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM,” JHEP **11**, 210 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2015)210 [arXiv:1507.08659 [hep-th]].
- [12] Y. Pan and W. Peelaers, “Exact Schur index in closed form,” Phys. Rev. D **106**, no.4, 045017 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.045017 [arXiv:2112.09705 [hep-th]].
- [13] Y. Hatsuda and T. Okazaki, “ $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ Schur indices,” JHEP **01**, 029 (2023) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2023)029 [arXiv:2208.01426 [hep-th]].
- [14] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231-252 (1998) doi:10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a1 [arXiv:hep-th/9711200 [hep-th]].
- [15] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105-114 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109 [hep-th]].
- [16] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253-291 (1998) doi:10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a2 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150 [hep-th]].
- [17] S. J. Rey and J. T. Yee, “Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity,” Eur. Phys. J. C **22**, 379-394 (2001) doi:10.1007/s100520100799 [arXiv:hep-th/9803001 [hep-th]].
- [18] J. M. Maldacena, “Wilson loops in large N field theories,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4859-4862 (1998) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.4859 [arXiv:hep-th/9803002 [hep-th]].

- [19] J. McGreevy, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, “Invasion of the giant gravitons from Anti-de Sitter space,” *JHEP* **0006**, 008 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/06/008 [hep-th/0003075].
- [20] M. T. Grisaru, R. C. Myers and O. Tafjord, “SUSY and goliath,” *JHEP* **0008**, 040 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/08/040 [hep-th/0008015].
- [21] A. Hashimoto, S. Hirano and N. Itzhaki, “Large branes in AdS and their field theory dual,” *JHEP* **0008**, 051 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/08/051 [hep-th/0008016].
- [22] A. Mikhailov, “Giant gravitons from holomorphic surfaces,” *JHEP* **0011**, 027 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/11/027 [hep-th/0010206].
- [23] R. Arai and Y. Imamura, “Finite N Corrections to the Superconformal Index of S-fold Theories,” *PTEP* **2019**, no.8, 083B04 (2019) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptz088 [arXiv:1904.09776 [hep-th]].
- [24] R. Arai, S. Fujiwara, Y. Imamura and T. Mori, “Schur index of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(N)$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory via the AdS/CFT correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.8, 086017 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.086017 [arXiv:2001.11667 [hep-th]].
- [25] D. Gaiotto and J. H. Lee, “The Giant Graviton Expansion,” [arXiv:2109.02545 [hep-th]].
- [26] Y. Imamura, “Finite- N superconformal index via the AdS/CFT correspondence,” *PTEP* **2021**, no.12, 123B05 (2021) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptab141 [arXiv:2108.12090 [hep-th]].
- [27] S. Murthy, “Unitary matrix models, free fermions, and the giant graviton expansion,” *Pure Appl. Math. Quart.* **19**, no.1, 299-340 (2023) doi:10.4310/PAMQ.2023.v19.n1.a12 [arXiv:2202.06897 [hep-th]].
- [28] J. H. Lee, “Exact stringy microstates from gauge theories,” *JHEP* **11**, 137 (2022) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2022)137 [arXiv:2204.09286 [hep-th]].
- [29] M. Beccaria and A. Cabo-Bizet, “On the brane expansion of the Schur index,” *JHEP* **08**, 073 (2023) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2023)073 [arXiv:2305.17730 [hep-th]].
- [30] M. Beccaria and A. Cabo-Bizet, “Giant graviton expansion of Schur index and quasimodular forms,” [arXiv:2403.06509 [hep-th]].
- [31] N. Drukker, D. J. Gross and A. A. Tseytlin, “Green-Schwarz string in $AdS(5) \times S^{*5}$: Semiclassical partition function,” *JHEP* **04**, 021 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/04/021 [arXiv:hep-th/0001204 [hep-th]].

- [32] A. Faraggi and L. A. Pando Zayas, “The Spectrum of Excitations of Holographic Wilson Loops,” *JHEP* **05**, 018 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2011)018 [arXiv:1101.5145 [hep-th]].
- [33] Y. Imamura, “Analytic continuation for giant gravitons,” *PTEP* **2022**, no.10, 103B02 (2022) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac127 [arXiv:2205.14615 [hep-th]].
- [34] S. Fujiwara, Y. Imamura, T. Mori, S. Murayama and D. Yokoyama, “Simple-Sum Giant Graviton Expansions for Orbifolds and Orientifolds,” *PTEP* **2024**, no.2, 023B02 (2024) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptae006 [arXiv:2310.03332 [hep-th]].
- [35] N. Drukker and B. Fiol, “All-genus calculation of Wilson loops using D-branes,” *JHEP* **02**, 010 (2005) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/02/010 [arXiv:hep-th/0501109 [hep-th]].
- [36] S. Yamaguchi, “Wilson loops of anti-symmetric representation and D5-branes,” *JHEP* **05**, 037 (2006) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/037 [arXiv:hep-th/0603208 [hep-th]].
- [37] E. D’Hoker, J. Estes and M. Gutperle, “Gravity duals of half-BPS Wilson loops,” *JHEP* **06**, 063 (2007) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/06/063 [arXiv:0705.1004 [hep-th]].
- [38] R. Arai, S. Fujiwara, Y. Imamura and T. Mori, “Finite N corrections to the superconformal index of orbifold quiver gauge theories,” *JHEP* **10**, 243 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2019)243 [arXiv:1907.05660 [hep-th]].