

THE 2-ADIC VALUATIONS OF THE ALGEBRAIC CENTRAL L -VALUES FOR QUADRATIC TWISTS OF WEIGHT 2 NEWFORMS

TAIGA ADACHI, KEIICHIRO NOMOTO, AND RYOTA SHII

ABSTRACT. Let f be a normalized newform of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(N)$ whose coefficients lie in \mathbb{Q} and let χ_M be a primitive quadratic Dirichlet character with conductor M . In this paper, under mild assumptions on M , we give a sharp lower bound of the 2-adic valuation of the algebraic central L -value $L(f, \chi_M, 1)$ and evaluate the 2-adic valuation for an infinite number of M .

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to give a sharp lower bound of the 2-adic valuations of the algebraic central L -values for a certain family of quadratic twists of an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} . The 2-adic valuation of the algebraic central L -value for an elliptic curve deeply relates to the order of the 2-primary part of the Tate–Shafarevich group if the analytic rank of the elliptic curve is zero. Also, according to the Goldfeld conjecture, it is expected that the density of square-free integers m with the analytic ranks of quadratic twists of an elliptic curve by the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})/\mathbb{Q}$ be 0 is equal to $1/2$. Therefore, we also aim to evaluate the 2-adic valuations for an infinite number of quadratic twists.

In [12] and [13], Zhao gave a lower bound of the 2-adic valuations of the algebraic parts for a family of CM elliptic curves of the form $y^2 = x^3 - D^2x$ under some assumptions on D . His method using induction on the number of prime divisors of D is highly versatile and currently has been applied to various elliptic curves. For example in [7], the second author of this paper calculated the 2-adic valuations for a wider family of elliptic curves than the one discussed by Zhao. Kezuka [4] applied Zhao’s method to a certain family of elliptic curves having complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[(-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2]$. For elliptic curves having complex multiplication by a ring which is neither $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ nor $\mathbb{Z}[(-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2]$, there are Coates–Li’s result [2] and Coates–Kim–Liang–Zhao’s result [3].

There are also several works, using Zhao’s method, on elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} that do not necessarily have complex multiplication. In [8], Zhai evaluated the 2-adic valuations for a family of quadratic twists $E^{(m)}$ of elliptic curves when m satisfies $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and additional assumptions. He gave the lower bound of the 2-adic valuations for a similar family of quadratic twists in [10]. Cai–Li–Zhai [1] determined the 2-adic valuations for a certain family of quadratic twists $E^{(m)}$ with $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In [9], Zhai also gave a stronger lower bound of the 2-adic valuations for a subfamily of [10] and determined the 2-adic valuation in some cases by combining the result of [1]. Moreover, Zhai gave lower bounds of the 2-adic valuations in terms of local Tamagawa factors in [11]. All results mentioned here calculated only for quadratic twists $E^{(m)}$ where $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In this paper, we give lower bounds of 2-adic valuations in general setting, including the case $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and most of the cases discussed in [8], [10], [1] and [9].

Before stating theorems, we introduce several notations (See §2 for details). By the modularity theorem, a \mathbb{Q} -isogeny class of an elliptic curve E defined over \mathbb{Q} corresponds to a normalized Hecke eigenform $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))^{\text{new}}$, namely $L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s) = L(f, s)$. Therefore, in the rest of the paper, we write almost everything in terms of f . Let $m \geq 1$ be a square-free odd integer and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then, the L -function of the quadratic twist $E^{(\varepsilon m)}$ is equal to the twisted L -function $L(f, \chi_M, s)$. Here, χ_M is a

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G40; Secondary 11G05.

Key words and phrases. L -function, 2-adic valuation, Modular symbol, Elliptic Curve.

primitive quadratic character with conductor M , where M is given by

$$M = \begin{cases} m & (\varepsilon m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}), \\ 4m & (\varepsilon m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Denote the period lattice associated to f by \mathcal{L}_f . Then, there exist periods $\Omega_f^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \Omega_f^+ \mathbb{Z} + i\Omega_f^- \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{L}_f = \Omega_f^+ \mathbb{Z} + \frac{\Omega_f^+ + i\Omega_f^-}{2}.$$

We define the set of prime numbers as

$$\mathcal{S}_i := \{q : \text{odd prime} \mid q \nmid N, v_2(a_q - 2) = i\},$$

where a_q is the q -th Fourier coefficient of f and v_2 is the 2-adic valuation of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ normalized so that $v_2(2) = 1$. The main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that the Manin constant for E is equal to 1 (See §2). Write $M = 4^n m = 4^n q_1 \cdots q_r$, where $n \in \{0, 1\}$ and q_1, \dots, q_r are distinct odd primes. We set $\mathfrak{v}_m := \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{v_2(a_{q_i} - 2)\}$. We put \mathfrak{w}_m as 0 if $\mathfrak{v}_m = 0$ and 1 otherwise. If $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ for all i , there exists an integer c independent of r such that*

$$v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_M, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}} \right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r + c. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, there exists an infinitely many M for which the equality of (1) holds. Hence, the Mordell–Weil group $E^{(\varepsilon m)}(\mathbb{Q})$ and the Tate–Shafarevich group $\text{III}(E^{(\varepsilon m)})/\mathbb{Q}$ are finite for such M .

Remark 1.2. In Theorem 1.1, the integer c is given explicitly. In addition, some of the conditions that the equality holds can be written explicitly in terms of $v_2(L(f, 1)/\Omega_f^+)$, $v_2(L(f, \chi_4, 1)/\Omega_f^-)$ and $q_i \pmod{4}$. More precisely, see from Theorem 4.1 to Theorem 4.4.

Relation between Theorem 1.1 and some results. Let Ω_E^+ be the period associated to E defined in §2. For a prime number q with $(q, N) = 1$, $N_q = q + 1 - a_q$ denotes the number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of reduction modulo q . We write F by the field obtained by adjoining to \mathbb{Q} one fixed root of the 2-division polynomial of E . For distinct odd primes q_1, \dots, q_r and $M = m = q_1 \cdots q_r$, we state relations between Theorem 1.1 and known results.

- (i) In [8], Zhai obtained lower bounds and some equality conditions for various cases. For example in [8, Theorem 1.1], he gave an equality condition when E has negative discriminant, $E[2](\mathbb{Q}) = \{O\}$, $v_2(L(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1)/\Omega_E^+) = 0$, q_i is inert in F for all i and $M \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Note that if $E[2](\mathbb{Q}) = \{O\}$ and q is inert in F for a prime number q with $(q, N) = 1$, then a_q is odd integer. This is a special case of Theorem 4.2-(i).
- (ii) In [10], Zhai calculated lower bounds when $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for all i and $v_2(N_{q_i}) \geq 1$. Theorem 4.1-(ii) and Theorem 4.2-(ii) neither contain this result nor are contained in this one.
- (iii) In [1], Cai–Li–Zhai showed that the equality holds when $E[2](\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $v_2(L(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1)/\Omega_E^+) = -1$, $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for all i and $v_2(N_{q_i}) = 1$. Note that if $E[2](\mathbb{Q}) \neq \{O\}$, then a_q is even integer for a prime number q with $(q, N) = 1$. This result is a special case of Theorem 4.1-(ii) and Theorem 4.2-(ii).
- (iv) In [9], Zhai calculated lower bounds when $L(E/\mathbb{Q}, 1) \neq 0$, $E[2](\mathbb{Q}) \neq \{O\}$, $r \geq 2$, $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for all i and there exists k ($1 \leq k \leq r$) such that $q_k \in \cup_{j \geq 2} \mathcal{S}_j$. It neither includes Theorem 4.1-(ii) and Theorem 4.2-(ii) nor is included in them.

Example of Theorem 1.1. We give an example of Theorem 1.1 in the case $M = 4m$ (See §5 for more details). Let $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(37))^{\text{new}}$ be the eigenform

$$f = q - 2q^2 - 3q^3 + 2q^4 - 2q^5 + 6q^6 - q^7 + O(q^8).$$

We see that f corresponds to an isogeny class of the elliptic curve given by the equation $y^2 + y = x^3 - x$ with Cremona label 37a1. In this case, the integer c appearing in Theorem 1.1 is zero. Therefore, if $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ for all i , then we have

$$v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_M, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}} \right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r. \quad (2)$$

The condition for the equality of (2) holds if all primes q_i dividing m satisfy, $q_i \neq 37$, $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and a_{q_i} is odd. For example, the following prime numbers satisfy these conditions, and in fact, there are an infinite number of such prime numbers.

41, 53, 73, 101, 149, 157, 173, 181, 197, 229, 337, 373, 397, 433, 509, 521, 593, 613, 617, 641, 673, 677
733, 761, 773, 821, 937, 953, 1009, 1033, 1109, 1117, 1181, 1193, 1217, 1249, 1321, 1381, 1409, 1453,
1481, 1621, 1637, 1709, 1801, 1861, 1913, 1933, 1949, 1997, 2069, 2081, 2113, 2137, 2153, 2221, 2269,
2273, 2293, 2297, 2341, 2357, 2377, 2417, 2441, 2557, 2617, 2689, 2729, 2749, 2833, 2837, 2957, 2969, \dots

Outline of the proof. We state an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case $M = m$. The new approach for a calculation of 2-adic valuations is to use the modular symbol

$$\langle r \rangle_f^\pm := \pi i \int_{i\infty}^r f(z) dz \pm \pi i \int_{i\infty}^{-r} f(z) dz \quad (r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})),$$

and the quantity

$$\mathcal{T}_{d,m} = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_d(k) \frac{1}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_d)}} \left\langle \frac{k}{d} \right\rangle_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_d)},$$

where d is a positive divisor of m . As we will discuss in detail later, for example, in [8], [10], [1], [9] and [11], 2-adic valuations are calculated without dividing $\langle r \rangle_f^+$ and $\langle r \rangle_f^-$. Separating $\langle r \rangle^+$ and $\langle r \rangle^-$ allows us to deal with the case where $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

As in previous works, we prove the main theorem based on Zhao's method (cf. [12], [13]). First, for a divisor d of m , we show $\mathcal{T}_{d,m}$ is equal to $L(f, \chi_d, 1)/\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_d)}$ up to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ (see Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 3.3). Next, we consider the decomposition

$$\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{d,m} = \mathcal{T}_{1,m} + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \neq 1, m}} \mathcal{T}_{d,m} + \mathcal{T}_{m,m}. \quad (3)$$

We calculate the 2-adic valuation on the left-hand side in Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6. The first term on the right-hand side is equal to the algebraic part $L(f, 1)/\Omega_f^+$ up to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ (see Proposition 3.3). Since the second term on the right-hand side can be calculated from the induction hypothesis, we can consequently calculate the 2-adic valuation of the third term that is essentially equal to the algebraic part $L(f, \chi_m, 1)/\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_m)}$. The proof for the case $M = 4m$ can be done in almost the same way.

As mentioned before, other works essentially used another modular symbol

$$\langle r \rangle_f := \langle r \rangle_f^+ + \langle r \rangle_f^- \quad (r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$$

and the quantity

$$T_{d,m} := \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_d(k) \frac{1}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_d)}} \left\langle \frac{k}{m} \right\rangle_f.$$

By considering a transformation $k \mapsto -k$, we see that $\mathcal{T}_{m,m} = T_{m,m}$. However, whereas each term in $\mathcal{T}_{d,m}$ is algebraic, each term in $T_{d,m}$ is not necessarily algebraic. Therefore, unlike equation (3), it is necessary to decompose $\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{d,m}$ properly so that each term is algebraic. On the other hand, since we have separated $\langle r \rangle^+$ and $\langle r \rangle^-$, we can calculate more simply and obtain a sharp lower bound.

Organization of the paper. In §2, we give definitions of periods and modular symbols. In §3, we discuss various properties of the quantity $\mathcal{T}_{D,M}$. In §4, we state the exact statement of Theorem 1.1 in four theorems and prove them. In §5, we give numerical examples of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Shinichi Kobayashi for introducing them to this topic and encouraging them. They are very grateful to Shuai Zhai and Takumi Yoshida for their valuable comments on an earlier version. The authors would also like to thank Yu Katagiri for helpful discussions. The first author was supported by WISE program (MEXT) at Kyushu University. The second and third authors were supported by JST SPRING, Grant Number JPMJSP2136.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))^{\text{new}}$ be a normalized Hecke eigenform. Assume that f is defined over \mathbb{Q} , that is, all coefficients of the q -expansion of f lie in \mathbb{Q} . Then, f corresponds to a \mathbb{Q} -isogeny class of elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} . We write the optimal elliptic curve in the isogenous class as E . There exists a non-constant rational map $\Phi : X_0(N) \rightarrow E$ defined over \mathbb{Q} . Fix a global minimal Weierstrass model of E and let ω be the Néron differential form on E . Since $f(q)dq/q$ is a basis for the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$, there exists $\nu_E \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that

$$\nu_E f(q) \frac{dq}{q} = \Phi^* \omega_E. \quad (4)$$

The number ν_E is called the *Manin constant*. It is well-known that $\nu_E \in \mathbb{Z}$ and conjectured that $\nu_E = 1$. In the rest of the paper, we assume that $\nu_E = 1$. We define the period lattice \mathcal{L}_f for f as

$$\mathcal{L}_f := \left\{ \int_\gamma f(q) \frac{dq}{q} \mid \gamma \in H_1(X_0(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Since there exists a surjective map $\Gamma_0(N) \rightarrow H_1(X_0(N), \mathbb{Z})$ (for example, see [6, Theorem 12.1]), we can take some $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ and have

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \left\{ 2\pi i \int_r^{g \cdot r} f(z) dz \mid g \in \Gamma_0(N) \right\}.$$

Similarly, one can define the period lattice \mathcal{L}_E of ω_E . It is known that \mathcal{L}_E is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_E = \begin{cases} \Omega_E^+ \mathbb{Z} + i\Omega_E^- \mathbb{Z} & (\Delta(E) < 0), \\ \Omega_E^+ \mathbb{Z} + \frac{\Omega_E^+ + i\Omega_E^-}{2} \mathbb{Z} & (\Delta(E) > 0), \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta(E)$ is the discriminant of the fixed model of E . By the equation (4) and the assumption $\nu_E = 1$, we see that $\mathcal{L}_E = \mathcal{L}_f$. Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \begin{cases} \Omega_f^+ \mathbb{Z} + i\Omega_f^- \mathbb{Z} & (\Delta(E) < 0), \\ \Omega_f^+ \mathbb{Z} + \frac{\Omega_f^+ + i\Omega_f^-}{2} \mathbb{Z} & (\Delta(E) > 0), \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $\Omega_f^\pm = \Omega_E^\pm$. For $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, set

$$\langle r \rangle^\pm = \langle r \rangle_f^\pm := \pi i \int_{i\infty}^r f(z) dz \pm \pi i \int_{i\infty}^{-r} f(z) dz.$$

It is straightforward to check that $\langle r \rangle^+ \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle r \rangle^- \in i\mathbb{R}$. We also set

$$[r]^+ := \frac{1}{\Omega_f^+} \langle r \rangle^+, \quad [r]^- := \frac{1}{i\Omega_f^-} \langle r \rangle^-.$$

Then, for any $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, we have $[r]^\pm \in \mathbb{Q}$ (cf. [5, Chapter IV, §2]) and $[-r]^\pm = \pm[r]^\pm$. Note that $[0]^+$ is equal to the algebraic part $L(f, 1)/\Omega_f^+$.

Let $m = q_1 \dots q_r$ be the product of distinct odd primes and $M = 4^n m$ with $n \in \{0, 1\}$. We write the primitive quadratic Dirichlet character with conductor M as χ_M . Note that for a odd prime number q , the sign $\text{sgn}(\chi_q) := \chi_q(-1)$ is $+1$ if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 2.1 (cf. [6, Theorem 9.9]). *Let χ_M be a quadratic character with conductor M and $\tau(\chi_M) = \sum_{a \pmod{M}} \chi_M(a) e^{2\pi i a/M}$. Then, we have*

$$\tau(\chi_M) \frac{L(f, \chi_M, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}} = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_M(k) \left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}.$$

In particular, $L(f, \chi_M, 1)/\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}$ is algebraic.

3. TWISTED L -FUNCTION AND MODULAR SYMBOLS

In the rest of the paper, we fix a normalized Hecke eigenform $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))^{\text{new}}$ defined over \mathbb{Q} . Let $M = 4^n m = 4^n q_1 \dots q_r$ be an integer with $(M, N) = 1$, where $n \in \{0, 1\}$ and q_1, \dots, q_r are distinct odd primes.

Lemma 3.1. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(k, M) = 1$, the following holds.*

$$\nu \left(\left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^+ - [0]^+ \right) \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \nu \left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^- \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\nu = 1$ if \mathcal{L}_f is rectangular and $\nu = 2$ otherwise. In particular, we have

$$v_2 \left(\left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^+ + \left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^- \right) \geq \min\{0, v_2([0]^+)\}$$

Proof. Since $(M, kN) = 1$, we can take $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $aM - bkN = 1$. Then the matrix

$$\gamma^+ := \begin{pmatrix} a & k \\ bN & M \end{pmatrix}.$$

belongs to $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\gamma^+ \cdot 0 = k/M$ holds. Similarly, there exists $\gamma^- \in \Gamma_0(N)$ such that $\gamma^- \cdot 0 = -k/M$. Therefore it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_f^+ \left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^+ \pm i \Omega_f^- \left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^- &= 2\pi i \int_{i\infty}^{\gamma^{\pm} \cdot 0} f(z) dz \\ &= 2\pi i \int_{i\infty}^0 f(z) dz + 2\pi i \int_0^{\gamma^{\pm} \cdot 0} f(z) dz \\ &= \Omega_f^{\pm} [0]^{\pm} + 2\pi i \int_0^{\gamma^{\pm} \cdot 0} f(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\Omega_f^+ \left(\left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^+ - [0]^+ \right) \pm i \Omega_f^- \left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^- \in \mathcal{L}_f.$$

and the claim follows by (5). □

For each prime p not dividing N , the Hecke operator T_p acts on modular symbols as follows:

$$T_p[r]^{\pm} = [pr]^{\pm} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{k+r}{p} \right]^{\pm}.$$

Moreover, since f is a Hecke eigenform, we see that $T_p[r]^{\pm} = a_p[r]^{\pm}$, where a_p is the p -th Fourier coefficient of f .

We put

$$\mathcal{T}_M := \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_M(k) \left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)},$$

$\mathcal{T}_1 := [0]^+ = L(f, 1)/\Omega_f^+$ and $\mathcal{T}_4 := 2[1/4]^- = \tau(\chi_4)L(f, \chi_4, 1)/\Omega_f^-$. Moreover, for each positive divisor D of M , we set

$$\mathcal{T}_{D,M} := \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)}.$$

Obviously, we have $\mathcal{T}_{M,M} = \mathcal{T}_M$. By Theorem 2.1, \mathcal{T}_M is essentially equal to the algebraic part of $L(f, \chi_M, 1)$. First, we show that $\mathcal{T}_{D,M}$ is also essentially equal to the algebraic part of $L(f, \chi_D, 1)$ (see Proposition 3.3).

Lemma 3.2. *For an odd prime q dividing M and a positive divisor D of M/q , we have*

$$\mathcal{T}_{D,M} = (a_q - 2\chi_D(q))\mathcal{T}_{D, \frac{M}{q}}.$$

Proof. We can identify $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with the set

$$\left\{ k' \frac{M}{q} + k \mid k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(M/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times, k' \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \right\}.$$

Therefore it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{D,M} &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(M/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{k' \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k' \frac{M}{q} + k}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} \\ &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(M/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k' \frac{M}{q} + k}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} - \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(M/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_D(k) \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{k' \frac{M}{q} + k}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} - \chi_D(q) \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(M/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{kq}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Note that the last equality follows from the transformation $k \mapsto qk$ in the second term. We temporarily fix $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(M/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Considering the action of the Hecke operator T_q at the prime q , we have

$$a_q \left[\frac{kq}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} = \left[\frac{kq^2}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} + \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{k' \frac{M}{q} + k}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)}. \quad (7)$$

Taking the sum $\sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(M/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_D(k)$ in the equation (7) yields the identity

$$\sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(M/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_D(k) \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{k' \frac{M}{q} + k}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} = (a_q - \chi_D(q)) \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(M/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{kq}{M} \right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)}. \quad (8)$$

Substituting (8) into (6) leads to the claim. \square

Proposition 3.3. *For a positive divisor D of M , it holds*

$$\mathcal{T}_{D,M} = \mathcal{T}_D \cdot \prod_{q \mid \frac{M}{D}} (a_q - 2\chi_D(q)).$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{1,m} = \mathcal{T}_1 \prod_{i=1}^r (a_{q_i} - 2), \quad \mathcal{T}_{4,4m} = \mathcal{T}_4 \prod_{i=1}^r (a_{q_i} - 2\chi_4(q)).$$

Proof. The claim follows by using Lemma 3.2 iteratively. \square

In the rest of the paper, for some function F , $\sum_{d \mid m} F(d)$ denotes the summation over all positive divisor d of m . Moreover, $\sum'_D F(D)$ denotes $\sum_{d \mid m} F(d)$ if $n = 0$ and $\sum_{d \mid m} F(4d)$ if $n = 1$. For example, the sum $\sum'_D \mathcal{T}_{D,M}$ is given by

$$\sum'_D \mathcal{T}_{D,M} = \begin{cases} \sum_{d \mid m} \mathcal{T}_{d,m} & (n = 0), \\ \sum_{d \mid m} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m} & (n = 1). \end{cases}$$

Next, we calculate a lower bound of $v_2(\sum'_D \mathcal{T}_{D,M})$ in Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6. Proposition 3.5 states a lower bound under no conditions. Proposition 3.6 states it in the case where $\text{sgn}(\chi_q)$ is +1 for all odd prime divisors q of M .

Lemma 3.4. *For each $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times$, the following holds:*

$$\sum'_D \chi_D(k) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + \chi_{q_i}(k)) & (n = 0), \\ \chi_4(k) \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + \chi_{q_i}(k)) & (n = 1). \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have $v_2(\sum'_D \chi_D(k)) \geq r$.

Proof. One can prove the claim by using the induction on the number of prime divisors of m . □

Proposition 3.5. *We have*

$$v_2\left(\sum'_D \mathcal{T}_{D,M}\right) \geq r + \min\{0, v_2(\mathcal{T}_1)\}.$$

Proof. From the facts that (-1) -multiplication map on $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is bijective and $[-r]^\pm = \pm[r]^\pm$ holds for each $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, we see that

$$\sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum'_{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)=+} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k}{M}\right]^{-\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum'_{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)=-} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k}{M}\right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_D \mathcal{T}_{D,M} &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum'_D \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k}{M}\right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} \\ &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum'_{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)=+} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k}{M}\right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} + \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum'_{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)=-} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k}{M}\right]^{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)} \\ &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum'_{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)=+} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k}{M}\right]^+ + \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum'_{\text{sgn}(\chi_D)=-} \chi_D(k) \left[\frac{k}{M}\right]^- \\ &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\sum'_D \chi_D(k) \right) \left(\left[\frac{k}{M}\right]^+ + \left[\frac{k}{M}\right]^- \right) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The claim follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4. □

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that $\text{sgn}(\chi_q)$ is +1 for all odd prime divisors q of M .*

(i) *If $n = 0$, then*

$$v_2\left(\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{d,m}\right) \geq \begin{cases} r + 1 + \min\{0, v_2(\mathcal{T}_1)\} & (\mathcal{L}_f : \text{rectangular}), \\ r + 1 + \min\{-1, v_2(\mathcal{T}_1)\} & (\mathcal{L}_f : \text{non-rectangular}). \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If $n = 1$, then*

$$v_2\left(\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m}\right) \geq \begin{cases} r + 1 & (\mathcal{L}_f : \text{rectangular}), \\ r & (\mathcal{L}_f : \text{non-rectangular}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. We only prove the case where $n = 1$ (For $n = 0$, one can prove similarly). Note that we have the identification

$$(\mathbb{Z}/4m\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{4k + m, 4k - m \mid k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times\}.$$

From the equation (9), we have

$$\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m} = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,4m)=1}}^{4m} \left(\sum_{d|m} \chi_{4d}(k) \right) \left(\left[\frac{k}{4m}\right]^+ + \left[\frac{k}{4m}\right]^- \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,4m)=1}}^{2m} \left(\sum_{d|m} \chi_{4d}(k) \right) \left(\left[\frac{k}{4m} \right]^+ + \left[\frac{k}{4m} \right]^- \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,4m)=1}}^{2m} \left(\sum_{d|m} \chi_{4d}(4m-k) \right) \left(\left[\frac{4m-k}{4m} \right]^+ + \left[\frac{4m-k}{4m} \right]^- \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,4m)=1}}^{2m} \left(\sum_{d|m} \chi_{4d}(k) \right) \left(\left[\frac{k}{4m} \right]^+ + \left[\frac{k}{4m} \right]^- \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,4m)=1}}^{2m} \left(\sum_{d|m} \chi_{4d}(-k) \right) \left(\left[\frac{k}{4m} \right]^+ - \left[\frac{k}{4m} \right]^- \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{sgn}(\chi_{4d}) = -1$ for all positive divisors d of m by the assumption, we obtain

$$\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m} = 2 \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,4m)=1}}^{2m} \left(\sum_{d|m} \chi_{4d}(k) \right) \left[\frac{k}{4m} \right]^-.$$

The claim follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4. \square

Finally, we calculate a lower bound of \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_4 in Proposition 3.9. This proposition is related to whether the assumptions of the main theorem are empty or not. The details are mentioned in Remark 4.5.

Lemma 3.7. *The rational numbers*

$$\mathcal{T}_{1,m} - \#(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \cdot \mathcal{T}_1, \quad \mathcal{T}_{4,4m}$$

belong to $2\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. \mathbb{Z}) if \mathcal{L}_f is rectangular (resp. non-rectangular).

Proof. First, we calculate $\mathcal{T}_{1,m} - \#(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \cdot \mathcal{T}_1$. Dividing $\mathcal{T}_{1,m}$ into two summations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{1,m} &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{k}{m} \right]^+ \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,m)=1}}^{(m-1)/2} \left[\frac{k}{m} \right]^+ + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,m)=1}}^{(m-1)/2} \left[\frac{m-k}{m} \right]^+ \\
&= 2 \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,m)=1}}^{(m-1)/2} \left[\frac{k}{m} \right]^+.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it holds

$$\mathcal{T}_{1,m} - 2 \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,m)=1}}^{(m-1)/2} [0]^+ = 2 \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,m)=1}}^{(m-1)/2} \left(\left[\frac{k}{m} \right]^+ - [0]^+ \right)$$

and the claim follows from Lemma 3.1. Second, we calculate $\mathcal{T}_{4,4m}$. By using the identification

$$(\mathbb{Z}/4m\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{4k+m, 4k-m \mid k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{4,4m} &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/4m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_4(k) \left[\frac{k}{4m} \right]^- \\
&= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\chi_4(4k+m) \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^- + \chi_4(4k-m) \left[\frac{4k-m}{4m} \right]^- \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \chi_4(m) \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^- - \left[\frac{4k-m}{4m} \right]^- \right).$$

Since

$$- \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4k-m}{4m} \right]^- = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{-4k+m}{4m} \right]^- = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^-,$$

we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{4,4m} = 2\chi_4(m) \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^- . \quad (10)$$

The claim follows from here. \square

Lemma 3.8. *If there exists an odd prime q dividing m such that a_q is even, then we have*

$$\mathcal{T}_{4,4m} \in \begin{cases} 4\mathbb{Z} & (\mathcal{L}_f : \text{rectangular}), \\ 2\mathbb{Z} & (\mathcal{L}_f : \text{non-rectangular}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the equation (10), it is sufficient to show

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^- \in \begin{cases} 2\mathbb{Z} & (\mathcal{L}_f : \text{rectangular}), \\ \mathbb{Z} & (\mathcal{L}_f : \text{non-rectangular}). \end{cases}$$

Let q be a prime dividing m such that a_q is even. Recall the identification

$$(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times = \left\{ k' \frac{m}{q} + k \mid k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times, k' \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \right\}.$$

Then we see that

$$\sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^- = \sum_{k' \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4(k' \frac{m}{q} + k) + m}{4m} \right]^-.$$

We temporarily fix $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_q \left[\frac{(4k+m)q}{4m} \right]^- &= \left[\frac{(4k+m)q^2}{4m} \right]^- + \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{k' + \frac{(4k+m)q}{4m}}{q} \right]^- \\ &= \left[\frac{4kq^2+m}{4m} \right]^- + \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^- + \sum_{k' \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4(k' \frac{m}{q} + k) + m}{4m} \right]^- . \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Note that $[q^2/4]^\pm = [1/4]^\pm$ since $q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Taking the sum $\sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times}$ in the equation (11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k' \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4(k' \frac{m}{q} + k) + m}{4m} \right]^- \\ &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left\{ a_q \left[\frac{(4k+m)q}{4m} \right]^- - \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^- \right\} - \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4kq^2+m}{4m} \right]^- \\ &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left\{ a_q \left[\frac{(4k+m)q}{4m} \right]^- - 2 \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^- \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained by using the transformation $k \mapsto q^{-2}k$ on the latter sum. Therefore, we see that

$$\sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^- = a_q \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{(4k+m)q}{4m} \right]^- - 2 \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(m/q)\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left[\frac{4k+m}{4m} \right]^-.$$

By the assumption, a_q is an even integer. Therefore, the lemma holds from Lemma 3.1. \square

Proposition 3.9. *We put \mathfrak{w}_m as 0 if $\mathfrak{v}_m = 0$ and 1 otherwise. Then, for any prime q dividing m , the following holds.*

(i) *If \mathcal{L}_f is rectangular, then*

$$v_2(a_q - (q + 1)) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m + 1 - v_2(\mathcal{T}_1), \quad v_2(a_q - 2\chi_4(q)) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m + 1 - v_2(\mathcal{T}_4)$$

(ii) *If \mathcal{L}_f is non-rectangular, then*

$$v_2(a_q - (q + 1)) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m - v_2(\mathcal{T}_1), \quad v_2(a_q - 2\chi_4(q)) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m - v_2(\mathcal{T}_4)$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{1,q} - \#(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \mathcal{T}_1 = (a_q - (q + 1))\mathcal{T}_1, \quad \mathcal{T}_{4,4q} = (a_q - 2\chi_4(q))\mathcal{T}_4.$$

The claim follows from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8. \square

4. THE 2-ADIC VALUATIONS OF THE ALGEBRAIC PARTS

Let $M = 4^n m$ be a positive integer with $(M, N) = 1$, where $n \in \{0, 1\}$ and m is a square-free odd integer. We define $r(m)$ as the number of the prime divisors of m . We set

$$\mathfrak{v}_m = \min_{q|m:\text{prime}} \{v_2(a_q - 2)\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{w}_m = \begin{cases} 0 & (\mathfrak{v}_m = 0), \\ 1 & (\mathfrak{v}_m \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for an integer i , we define

$$\mathcal{S}_i^\pm = \{q : \text{odd prime} \mid q \nmid N, \text{sgn}(\chi_q) = \pm 1, v_2(a_q - 2) = i\}$$

and set $\mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{S}_i^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_i^-$. Note that if \mathcal{S}_i^\pm is non-empty, by Chebotarev's density theorem, \mathcal{S}_i^\pm has positive density.

In the following, we state Theorem 1.1 in four cases, according to whether \mathcal{L}_f is rectangular or not, and whether $n = 0$ or not. Since proofs are the same in all cases, we only prove Theorem 1.1 for the case where \mathcal{L}_f is rectangular and $n = 1$ in Theorem 4.3. In the following, $\delta_{i,j}$ denotes the Kronecker delta.

Theorem 4.1 (Rectangular, $n = 0$). *We assume that \mathcal{L}_f is rectangular and $n = 0$ and write $m = q_1 \cdots q_{r(m)}$.*

(i) *If $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ for all i , then*

$$v_2\left(\frac{L(f, \chi_m, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_m)}}\right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\left\{\delta_{\mathfrak{v}_m, 0}, v_2\left(\frac{L(f, 1)}{\Omega_f^+}\right)\right\}.$$

(ii) *If $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_1^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_2^+$ for all i , then*

$$v_2\left(\frac{L(f, \chi_m, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_m)}}\right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\left\{1 + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_m, 0}, v_2\left(\frac{L(f, 1)}{\Omega_f^+}\right) + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_m, 2}\right\} \quad (12)$$

In particular, if $v_2(L(f, 1)/\Omega_f^+) = 1$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ for all i , or $v_2(L(f, 1)/\Omega_f^+) < 1$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1^+$ for all i , then the equality of (12) holds.

Theorem 4.2 (Non-rectangular, $n = 0$). *We assume that \mathcal{L}_f is non-rectangular and $n = 0$ and write $m = q_1 \cdots q_{r(m)}$.*

(i) *If $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ for all i , then*

$$v_2\left(\frac{L(f, \chi_M, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}}\right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\left\{\delta_{\mathfrak{v}_m, 0}, v_2\left(\frac{L(f, 1)}{\Omega_f^+}\right)\right\}. \quad (13)$$

In particular, if $v_2(L(f, 1)/\Omega_f) = 0$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$ for all i , then the equality (13) holds.

(ii) If $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_1^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_2^+$ for all i , then

$$v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_M, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}} \right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min \left\{ \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 0}, v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, 1)}{\Omega_f^+} \right) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 2} \right\}.$$

In particular, if $v_2(L(f, 1)/\Omega_f^+) = 0$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ for all i , or $v_2(L(f, 1)/\Omega_f^+) < 0$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1^+$, then the equality of (12) holds.

Theorem 4.3 (Rectangular, $n = 1$). We assume that \mathcal{L}_f is rectangular and $n = 1$ and write $m = q_1 \cdots q_{r(m)}$.

(i) If $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ for all i , then

$$v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_M, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}} \right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min \left\{ -1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 0}, -1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 0} + v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, 1)}{\Omega_f^+} \right), v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_4, 1)}{\Omega_f^-} \right) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 2} \right\}.$$

(ii) If $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_1^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_2^+$ for all i , then

$$v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_M, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}} \right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min \left\{ \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 0}, v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_4, 1)}{\Omega_f^-} \right) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 2} \right\} \quad (14)$$

In particular, if $v_2(L(f, \chi_4, 1)/\Omega_f^-) = 0$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ for all i , then the equality of (14) holds.

Theorem 4.4 (Non-rectangular, $n = 1$). Assume that \mathcal{L}_f is non-rectangular and $n = 1$ and write $m = q_1 \cdots q_{r(m)}$.

(i) If $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ for all i , then

$$v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_M, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}} \right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min \left\{ -1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 0}, -1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 0} + v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, 1)}{\Omega_f^+} \right), v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_4, 1)}{\Omega_f^-} \right) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 2} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

In particular, if $v_2(L(f, \chi_4, 1)/\Omega_f^-) = -1$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$ for all i , then the equality of (15) holds.

(ii) If $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_1^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_2^+$ for all i , then

$$v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_M, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_M)}} \right) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min \left\{ -1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 0}, v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_4, 1)}{\Omega_f^-} \right) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 2} \right\}. \quad (16)$$

In particular, if $v_2(L(f, \chi_4, 1)/\Omega_f^-) = -1$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ for all i , then the equality of (16) holds.

Remark 4.5. In Theorem 4.1-(i), we can show that the equality holds when $v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) < 1$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$ for all i . However, Proposition 3.9 shows that such a condition does not hold. This means that the equality

$$v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, \chi_m, 1)}{\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_m)}} \right) = \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min \left\{ \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m, 0}, v_2 \left(\frac{L(f, 1)}{\Omega_f^+} \right) \right\}$$

is not necessarily determined only by $v_2(\mathcal{T}_1)$ and $v_2(a_{q_i} - 2)$. Tabel 1 shows, for each theorem, the conditions under which the equality holds and the conditions under which is non-empty, taking Proposition 3.9 into account (The non-empty conditions are the same as those described in the claim for each theorem).

TABLE 1. Equality condition and non-empty condition

Claim	Equality Condition	Non-empty Condition
Theorem 4.1-(i)	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) < 1, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$	-
Theorem 4.1-(ii)	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) < 2, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ $v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) < 1, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1^+$	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) = 1, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ $v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) < 1, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1^+$
Theorem 4.2-(i)	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) < 1, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) = 0, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$
Theorem 4.2-(ii)	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) < 1, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ $v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) < 0, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1^+$	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) = 0, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ $v_2(\mathcal{T}_1) < 0, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1^+$
Theorem 4.3-(i)	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) < \min\{1, 1 + v_2(\mathcal{T}_1)\}, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$ $v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) < \min\{0, v_2(\mathcal{T}_1)\}, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1$	-
Theorem 4.3-(ii)	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) < 2, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ $v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) < 1, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1^+$	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) = 1, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$
Theorem 4.4-(i)	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) < \min\{1, 1 + v_2(\mathcal{T}_1)\}, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$ $v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) < \min\{0, v_2(\mathcal{T}_1)\}, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1$	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) = 0, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$
Theorem 4.4-(ii)	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) < 1, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$ $v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) < 0, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_1^+$	$v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) = 0, q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0^+$

Proof of Theorem 4.3. We only prove (ii) in the theorem. For the claim (i), we can prove it similarly. Note that (14) is equivalent to

$$v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4m}) \geq \mathfrak{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_m, 0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_m, 2}\}.$$

We show the claim by induction on the number of the prime divisors of m .

We suppose $\text{sgn}(\chi_{q_i}) = +1$ for all i . Then, from Proposition 3.6, we have the following estimation:

$$v_2\left(\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{4d, 4m}\right) \geq r(m) + 1. \quad (17)$$

Moreover, we see that

$$\mathfrak{w}_{q_1} + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_{q_1}, 0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_{q_1}, 2}\} = \begin{cases} \min\{2, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4)\} & (\mathfrak{v}_{q_1} = 0), \\ 1 + \min\{1, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4)\} & (\mathfrak{v}_{q_1} = 1), \\ 2 + \min\{0, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4)\} & (\mathfrak{v}_{q_1} = 2). \end{cases}$$

We consider the case $r(m) = 1$. By (17), we have

$$v_2\left(\sum_{d|q_1} \mathcal{T}_{4d, 4q_1}\right) \geq 2 \geq \mathfrak{w}_{q_1} + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_{q_1}, 0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_{q_1}, 2}\}. \quad (18)$$

By Proposition 3.3, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4, 4q_1}) &= v_2(a_{q_1} - 2\chi_4(q_1)) + v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) \\ &\geq \mathfrak{v}_{q_1} + v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\geq \mathfrak{w}_{q_1} + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_{q_1}, 0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_{q_1}, 2}\}. \quad (20)$$

Note that the inequality (19) follows from $q_i \in \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ for all i . Therefore, from (18) and (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4q_1}) &= v_2\left(\sum_{d|q_1} \mathcal{T}_{4d, 4q_1} - \mathcal{T}_{4, 4q_1}\right) \\ &\geq \min\left\{v_2\left(\sum_{d|q_1} \mathcal{T}_{4d, 4q_1}\right), v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4, 4q_1})\right\} \\ &\geq \mathfrak{w}_{q_1} + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_{q_1}, 0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathfrak{v}_{q_1}, 2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) = 1$ and $\mathbf{v}_{q_1} = 0$, then the left-hand side is strictly larger than the right-hand side in (18), and the both sides are equal in (20). Therefore, we have $v_2(\sum_{d|q_1} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4q_1}) > v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4,4q_1})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4q_1}) &= v_2\left(\sum_{d|q_1} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4q_1} - \mathcal{T}_{4,4q_1}\right) \\ &= v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4,4q_1}) \\ &= \mathbf{w}_{q_1} + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_{q_1},0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_{q_1},2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the claim holds for $r(m) = 1$. Suppose it is true for $1, \dots, r(m) - 1$. Then, by (17), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} v_2\left(\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m}\right) &\geq r(m) + 1 \\ &\geq \mathbf{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,2}\} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and by Proposition 3.3, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4,4m}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r(m)} v_2(a_{q_i} - 2\chi_4(q_i)) + v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{r(m)} v_2(a_{q_i} - 2) + v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) \\ &\geq \mathbf{v}_m \cdot r(m) + v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) \\ &\geq \mathbf{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

For a divisor d of m with $d \neq 1, m$, by Proposition 3.3 and the induction hypothesis, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4d,4m}) &= v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4d}) + \sum_{q|\frac{m}{d}} v_2(a_q - 2\chi_d(q)) \\ &\geq v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4d}) + \sum_{q|\frac{m}{d}} v_2(a_q - 2) \\ &\geq \mathbf{w}_d \cdot r(d) + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_d,0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_d,2}\} + \mathbf{v}_m \cdot (r(m) - r(d)) \\ &\geq \mathbf{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4m}) &= v_2\left(\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m} - \mathcal{T}_{4,4m} - \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \neq 1, m}} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m}\right) \\ &= \min\left\{v_2\left(\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m}\right), v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4,4m}), v_2\left(\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \neq 1, m}} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \mathbf{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Moreover, if $v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) = 1$ and $\mathbf{v}_d = 0$ for a divisor d of m with $d \neq 1, m$, then the left-hand side is strictly larger than the right-hand side in (21), and both sides are equal in (22) and (23). In addition, since the number of divisors of m is even, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} v_2\left(\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \neq 1, m}} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m}\right) &\geq 1 + \mathbf{v}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,2}\} \\ &> \mathbf{w}_m \cdot r(m) + \min\{1 + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,0}, v_2(\mathcal{T}_4) + \delta_{\mathbf{v}_m,2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$v_2(\mathcal{T}_{4,4m}) < v_2\left(\sum_{d|m} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m}\right), v_2\left(\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \neq 1, m}} \mathcal{T}_{4d,4m}\right).$$

Therefore the equality of (24) holds. This completes the proof. \square

5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this section, we give examples for the case $N = 34$ in Theorem 4.1 and for the case $N = 37$ in Theorem 4.3.

5.1. Example of Theorem 4.1. Let $f_1 \in S_2(\Gamma_0(34))^{\text{new}}$ be the normalized Hecke eigenform

$$f_1 = q + q^2 - 2q^3 + q^4 - 2q^6 - 4q^7 + q^8 + q^9 + O(q^{10})$$

By computation, we see that the period lattice of f_1 is rectangular, $v_2(L(f_1, 1)/\Omega_{f_1}^+) = 0$ and the global minimal Weierstrass model of E_{f_1} is given by the equation $y^2 + xy = x^3 - 3x + 1$ with Cremona label **34a1**. In TABLE 2, we give the comparison between the 2-adic valuation of the algebraic part $v_2\left(L(f_1, \chi_m, 1)/\Omega_{f_1}^{\text{sgn}(\chi_m)}\right)$ and the lower bound in Theorem 4.1 for various integers m with $r(m) = 1$. Here, items for which the equality condition holds are colored by red, that is, the items for $m = q \in S_1^+$.

5.2. Example of Theorem 4.3. Let $f_2 \in S_2(\Gamma_0(37))^{\text{new}}$ be the normalized Hecke eigenform

$$f_2 = q - 2q^2 - 3q^3 + 2q^4 - 2q^5 + 6q^6 - q^7 + O(q^8).$$

We see that the period lattice of f_2 is rectangular, $v_2(L(f_2, \chi_4, 1)/\Omega_{f_2}^-) = 0$ and the global minimal Weierstrass model of E_{f_2} is represented as $y^2 + y = x^3 - x$ with Cremona label **37a1**. In TABLE 3, we give the comparison between the 2-adic valuation of the algebraic part $v_2(L(f_2, \chi_{4m}, 1)/\Omega_{f_2}^{\text{sgn}(\chi_{4m})})$ and the lower bound in Theorem 4.3 for various integers m with $r(m) = 1$.

TABLE 2. Comparison between the 2-adic valuation of the algebraic part and the lower bound in Theorem 4.1 for $N = 34$ and $r(m) = 1$.

$m = q$	$\text{sgn}(\chi_q)$	$v_2(a_q - 2)$	$v_2\left(L(f_1, \chi_m, 1)/\Omega_{f_1}^{\text{sgn}(\chi_m)}\right)$	Lower bound
5	+1	1	1	1
7	-1	1	1	1
11	-1	2	+Infinity	2
19	-1	1	2	1
23	-1	1	1	1
29	+1	1	1	1
31	-1	1	1	1
37	+1	1	1	1
41	+1	2	+Infinity	2
43	-1	1	4	1
47	-1	1	+Infinity	1
59	-1	1	+Infinity	1
61	+1	1	1	1
67	-1	1	2	1
71	-1	1	1	1
79	-1	1	1	1
83	-1	1	+Infinity	1
97	+1	2	+Infinity	2
103	-1	1	+Infinity	1
109	+1	1	1	1
127	-1	1	+Infinity	1
137	+1	2	2	2
149	+1	2	+Infinity	2
151	-1	1	+Infinity	1
157	+1	2	+Infinity	2
167	-1	1	1	1
173	+1	1	1	1
179	-1	1	2	1
181	+1	1	1	1
191	-1	1	+Infinity	1

TABLE 3. Comparison between the 2-adic valuation of the algebraic part and the lower bound in Theorem 4.2 for $N = 37$ and $r(m) = 1$.

$m = q$	$\text{sgn}(\chi_q)$	$v_2(a_q - 2)$	$v_2\left(L(f_2, \chi_{4m}, 1)/\Omega_f^{\text{sgn}(\chi_{4m})}\right)$	Lower bound
3	-1	0	+Infinity	0
5	+1	2	+Infinity	2
7	-1	0	+Infinity	0
11	-1	0	+Infinity	0
13	+1	2	+Infinity	2
17	+1	1	+Infinity	1
19	-1	1	1	1
29	+1	2	+Infinity	2
31	-1	1	+Infinity	1
41	+1	0	0	0
47	-1	0	+Infinity	0
53	+1	0	0	0
59	-1	1	3	1
61	+1	1	+Infinity	1
67	-1	1	+Infinity	1
71	-1	0	+Infinity	0
73	+1	0	0	0
79	-1	1	1	1
83	-1	0	+Infinity	0
89	+1	1	+Infinity	1
97	+1	1	+Infinity	1
101	+1	0	0	0
107	-1	1	+Infinity	1
109	+1	1	+Infinity	1
113	+1	2	+Infinity	2
127	-1	0	+Infinity	0
131	-1	1	1	1
139	-1	1	+Infinity	1
149	+1	0	0	0
151	-1	1	+Infinity	1

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Cai, C. Li, and S. Zhai. On the 2-part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for quadratic twists of elliptic curves. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 101(2):714–734, 2020.
- [2] J. Coates and Y. Li. Non-vanishing theorems for central L -values of some elliptic curves with complex multiplication. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 121(6):1531–1578, 2020.
- [3] J. Coates, Y. Li, Y. Tian, and S. Zhai. Quadratic twists of elliptic curves. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 110(2):357–394, 2015.
- [4] Y. Kezuka. On the p -part of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for elliptic curves with complex multiplication by the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 164(1):67–98, 2018.
- [5] S. Lang. *Introduction to modular forms*, volume 222 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. With appendixes by D. Zagier and Walter Feit, Corrected reprint of the 1976 original.
- [6] J. I. Manin. Cyclotomic fields and modular curves. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 26(6):7–78, 1971.
- [7] K. Nomoto. Lower bound for the 2-adic valuations of central l -values of elliptic curves with complex multiplication, 2023.
- [8] S. Zhai. Non-vanishing theorems for quadratic twists of elliptic curves. *Asian J. Math.*, 20(3):475–502, 2016.
- [9] S. Zhai. A lower bound result for the central L -values of elliptic curves. *J. Number Theory*, 207:356–366, 2020.
- [10] S. Zhai. On the weak forms of the 2-part of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 168(1):197–209, 2020.
- [11] S. Zhai. The Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer exact formula for quadratic twists of elliptic curves. 2021.
- [12] C. Zhao. A criterion for elliptic curves with lowest 2-power in $L(1)$. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 121(3):385–400, 1997.
- [13] C. Zhao. A criterion for elliptic curves with second lowest 2-power in $L(1)$. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 131(3):385–404, 2001.

JOINT GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS FOR INNOVATION, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, MOTOOKA 744, NISHI-KU FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN

Email address: t.adachi1729@gmail.com

KODEN ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.

Email address: k-nomoto@koden-electronics.co.jp

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, MOTOOKA 744, NISHI-KU FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN

Email address: shii.ryota@gmail.com