

Convolution operators and variable Hardy spaces on the Heisenberg group

PABLO ROCHA

Abstract

Let \mathbb{H}^n be the Heisenberg group. For $0 \leq \alpha < Q = 2n+2$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider exponent functions $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, which satisfies Hölder conditions, such that $\frac{Q}{Q+N} < p_- \leq p(\cdot) \leq p_+ < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$. In this article we prove the $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ boundedness of convolution operators with kernels of type (α, N) on \mathbb{H}^n , where $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$. In particular, the Riesz potential on \mathbb{H}^n satisfies such estimates.

1 Introduction

On \mathbb{R}^n , E. Stein and G. Weiss [25] defined the Hardy spaces H^p , $0 < p < \infty$, by means of the theory of harmonic functions on Euclidean spaces. Later, C. Fefferman and E. Stein [9] introduced real variable methods into this subject and characterized the Hardy spaces H^p in terms of maximal functions. This second approach brought greater flexibility to the whole theory. It is well known that classical Hardy spaces H^p with $0 < p \leq 1$ are play an important role in the harmonic analysis. A remarkable result about Hardy spaces is that every element $f \in H^p$, $0 < p \leq 1$, can be expressed of the form $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j$, where the λ_j 's are positive numbers and the a_j 's are p -atoms (see [2, 17]). This decomposition allows to study the behavior of certain operators on $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by focusing one's attention on individual atoms. In principle, the continuity of an operator T on H^p can often be proved by estimating Ta when $a(\cdot)$ is a p -atom. Many important operators are better behaved on Hardy spaces H^p than on Lebesgue spaces L^p in the range $0 < p \leq 1$. For instance, when $p \leq 1$, Riesz transforms on \mathbb{R}^n are not bounded on L^p ; however, they

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 42B25, 42B30, 42B35, 43A80.

Key words and phrases: variable Hardy spaces, atomic decomposition, convolution operators, Heisenberg group.

are bounded on Hardy spaces H^p . For more results about Hardy spaces the reader can consult [3, 26, 24, 18, 27, 14].

G. Folland and E. Stein [11] generalized the theory of Hardy spaces H^p on homogeneous groups. Two of the main results in this theory are the maximal function characterization of H^p and the atomic decomposition of their elements. With this framework, they studied, among others topics, the behavior of convolution operators with kernels of type (α, N) on these spaces.

On the other hand, with the appearing of the theory of variable exponents the Hardy type spaces on \mathbb{R}^n received a new impetus (see [20, 16, 7, 4, 19, 6]). In [23], the author jointly with Urciuolo proved the $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of certain generalized Riesz potentials and the $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of Riesz potentials via the infinite atomic and molecular decomposition developed in [19]. In [22], the author gave another proof of the results obtained in [23], but by using the finite atomic decomposition given in [6].

On the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n , J. Fang and J. Zhao [8] gave a variety of distinct approaches, based on differing definitions, all lead to the same notion of variable Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. One of their main goals is the atomic decomposition of elements in $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, as an application of the atomic decomposition they proved that singular integrals are bounded on $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

Let $0 \leq \alpha < Q := 2n + 2$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For $0 < \alpha < Q$, a function $K_\alpha \in C^N(\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{e\})$ is said to be a kernel of type (α, N) on \mathbb{H}^n if

$$(1) \quad \left| (\tilde{X}^I K_\alpha)(z) \right| \lesssim \rho(z)^{\alpha - Q - d(I)} \quad \text{for all } d(I) \leq N \text{ and all } z \neq e,$$

where \tilde{X}^I is the right-invariant higher order derivative associated to the multiindex $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{2n}, i_{2n+1})$, $d(I) = i_1 + \dots + i_{2n} + 2i_{2n+1}$, and $\rho(\cdot)$ is the *Koranyi norm* on \mathbb{H}^n given by (3). A distribution K_0 is said to be a kernel of type $(0, N)$ on \mathbb{H}^n if is of class C^N on $\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{e\}$, satisfies (1) with $\alpha = 0$, and $\|f * K_0\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

The purpose of this work is to generalize [11, Theorem 6.10] to the context of variable exponents when $G = \mathbb{H}^n$. More precisely, we will prove that the operators defined by right convolution with kernels of type (α, N) on \mathbb{H}^n can be extended to bounded operators $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for certain variable exponents $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ related by $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} - \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} = \frac{\alpha}{Q}$ with $0 \leq \alpha < Q$ (see Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 below). As an application of these results we obtain that Riesz potential \mathcal{R}_α on \mathbb{H}^n admits such extensions (see Theorem 6.5).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the basics about the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n and some properties of variable Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. In Section 3 we state

two auxiliary results, the first of them referring to the $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ - norm of the characteristic functions of balls in \mathbb{H}^n and the second one is a supporting result. In Section 4, we establish the off-diagonal version of the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal inequality for the fractional maximal operator on \mathbb{H}^n in the context of variable Lebesgue spaces, this result is crucial to get the main goals of Section 6. In Section 5, we recall the definition and the atomic decomposition of variable Hardy spaces on \mathbb{H}^n given in [8]. Finally, in Section 6 we prove our main results.

Notation: The symbol $A \lesssim B$ stands for the inequality $A \leq cB$ for some positive constant c . The symbol $A \approx B$ stands for $B \lesssim A \lesssim B$. For a measurable subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$ we denote by $|E|$ and χ_E the Haar measure of E and the characteristic function of E respectively.

2 Preliminaries

Let J be the $2n \times 2n$ skew-symmetric matrix given by

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

The Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n is a homogeneous group whose underlying manifold is $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$ (see [11, 10]). This is, \mathbb{H}^n can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$ with group law (noncommutative) given by

$$(x, t) \cdot (y, s) = (x + y, t + s + xJy^t)$$

and dilations

$$r \cdot (x, t) = (rx, r^2t), \quad r > 0.$$

With this structure we have that $e = (0, 0)$ is the neutral element, $(x, t)^{-1} = (-x, -t)$ is the inverse of (x, t) , and $r \cdot ((x, t) \cdot (y, s)) = (r \cdot (x, y)) \cdot (r \cdot (y, s))$. The topology in \mathbb{H}^n is the induced by $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, so the borelian sets of \mathbb{H}^n are identified with those of \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} . The Haar measure in \mathbb{H}^n is the Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} , thus $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n) \equiv L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$, $0 < p \leq \infty$. Moreover, for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and each $w \in \mathbb{H}^n$

$$(2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(w \cdot z) dz = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(z \cdot w) dz = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(z) dz,$$

for $r > 0$ fixed, we also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(r \cdot z) dz = r^{-Q} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(z) dz,$$

where $Q = 2n + 2$. The number $2n + 2$ is known as the *homogeneous dimension* of \mathbb{H}^n (we observe that the *topological dimension* of \mathbb{H}^n is $2n + 1$).

The *Koranyi norm* on \mathbb{H}^n is the function $\rho : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$(3) \quad \rho(x, t) = (|x|^4 + 16t^2)^{1/4}, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n,$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the usual Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Let $z = (x, t)$ and $w = (y, s) \in \mathbb{H}^n$, the Koranyi norm satisfies the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) &= 0 \text{ if and only if } z = e, \\ \rho(z^{-1}) &= \rho(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{H}^n, \\ \rho(r \cdot z) &= r\rho(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{H}^n \text{ and all } r > 0, \\ \rho(z \cdot w) &\leq \rho(z) + \rho(w) \text{ for all } z, w \in \mathbb{H}^n, \\ |\rho(z) - \rho(w)| &\leq \rho(z \cdot w) \text{ for all } z, w \in \mathbb{H}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, ρ is continuous on \mathbb{H}^n and is smooth on $\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{e\}$. The ρ -ball centered at $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$ with radius $\delta > 0$ is defined by

$$B(z_0, \delta) := \{w \in \mathbb{H}^n : \rho(z_0^{-1}w) < \delta\}.$$

REMARK 2.1. The topology in \mathbb{H}^n induced by the ρ -balls coincides with the Euclidean topology of \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} (see [10, Proposition 3.1.37]).

Let $|B(z_0, \delta)|$ be the Haar measure of the ρ -ball $B(z_0, \delta) \subset \mathbb{H}^n$. Then,

$$|B(z_0, \delta)| = c\delta^Q,$$

where $c = |B(e, 1)|$ and $Q = 2n + 2$. Given $\lambda > 0$, we put $\lambda B = \lambda B(z_0, \delta) = B(z_0, \lambda\delta)$. So $|\lambda B| = \lambda^Q |B|$.

REMARK 2.2. For any $z, z_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $\delta > 0$, we have

$$z_0 \cdot B(z, \delta) = B(z_0 z, \delta).$$

In particular, $B(z, \delta) = z \cdot B(e, \delta)$. It is also easy to check that $B(e, \delta) = \delta \cdot B(e, 1)$ for any $\delta > 0$.

REMARK 2.3. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$, then for every ρ -ball B and every $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, by (2), we have

$$\int_B f(z) dz = \int_{z_0^{-1} \cdot B} f(z_0 \cdot u) du.$$

If f and g are measurable functions on \mathbb{H}^n , their convolution $f * g$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(w)g(w^{-1} \cdot z) dw,$$

when the integral is finite.

For every $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$, X_i denotes the left invariant vector field which is defined by

$$(X_i f)(x, t) = \frac{d}{ds} f((x, t) \cdot se_i)|_{s=0},$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+1}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} . Thus

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{x_{i+n}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

$$X_{i+n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+n}} - \frac{x_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

and

$$X_{2n+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

Similarly, we define the right invariant vector fields $\{\tilde{X}_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+1}$ by

$$(\tilde{X}_i f)(x, t) = \frac{d}{ds} f(se_i \cdot (x, t))|_{s=0}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{X}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{x_{i+n}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

$$\tilde{X}_{i+n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+n}} + \frac{x_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

and

$$\tilde{X}_{2n+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

Given a multiindex $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n}, i_{2n+1}) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{2n+1}$, we set

$$|I| = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{2n} + i_{2n+1}, \quad d(I) = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{2n} + 2i_{2n+1}.$$

The amount $|I|$ is called the length of I and $d(I)$ the homogeneous degree of I . We adopt the following multiindex notation for higher order derivatives and for monomials on \mathbb{H}^n . If $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n+1})$ is a multiindex, $X = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+1}$, $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{X}_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+1}$, and $z = (x, t) = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we put

$$X^I := X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_{2n+1}^{i_{2n+1}}, \quad \tilde{X}^I := \tilde{X}_1^{i_1} \tilde{X}_2^{i_2} \dots \tilde{X}_{2n+1}^{i_{2n+1}},$$

and

$$z^I := x_1^{i_1} \dots x_{2n}^{i_{2n}} \cdot t^{i_{2n+1}}.$$

A computation give

$$X^I(f(r \cdot z)) = r^{d(I)}(X^I f)(r \cdot z), \quad \tilde{X}^I(f(r \cdot z)) = r^{d(I)}(\tilde{X}^I f)(r \cdot z)$$

and

$$(r \cdot z)^I = r^{d(I)} z^I.$$

So, the operators X^I and \tilde{X}^I and the monomials z^I are homogeneous of degree $d(I)$. The operators X^I and \tilde{X}^I interact with the convolutions in the following way

$$X^I(f * g) = f * (X^I g), \quad \tilde{X}^I(f * g) = (\tilde{X}^I f) * g, \quad \text{and} \quad (X^I f) * g = f * (\tilde{X}^I g).$$

The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \left\{ \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n) : \sup_{z \in \mathbb{H}^n} (1 + \rho(z))^N |(X^I \phi)(z)| < \infty \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}_0, I \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^{2n+1} \right\}.$$

We topologize the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with the following family of seminorms

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n), N} = \sum_{d(I) \leq N} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{H}^n} (1 + \rho(z))^N |(X^I f)(z)| \quad (N \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

with $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{H}^n)$ we denote the dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

Now, we briefly present the basics of variable Lebesgue spaces. Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a measurable function. Given a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, let

$$p_-(E) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{z \in E} p(z), \quad \text{and} \quad p_+(E) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in E} p(z).$$

When $E = \mathbb{H}^n$, we will simply write $p_- := p_-(\mathbb{H}^n)$, $p_+ := p_+(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $\underline{p} := \min\{p_-, 1\}$. Such function $p(\cdot)$ is called an exponent function.

We define the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)} = L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ to be the set of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for some $\lambda > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |f(z)/\lambda|^{p(z)} dz < \infty.$$

This becomes a quasi normed space when equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |f(z)/\lambda|^{p(z)} dz \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The following result follows from the definition of the $L^{p(\cdot)}$ - norm.

LEMMA 2.4. *Given a measurable function $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, then*

- (i) $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \geq 0$ and $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = 0$ if and only if $f \equiv 0$ a.e.,
- (ii) $\|cf\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = |c|\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}$ for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}$ and all $c \in \mathbb{C}$,
- (iii) $\|f + g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 2^{1/p_- - 1}(\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} + \|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}})$ for all $f, g \in L^{p(\cdot)}$,
- (iv) $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^s = \||f|^s\|_{L^{p(\cdot)/s}}$ for every $s > 0$.

For an exponent function $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (1, \infty)$, its conjugate function $p'(\cdot)$ is defined by $\frac{1}{p(z)} + \frac{1}{p'(z)} = 1$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$(p'(\cdot))_+ = (p_-)', \quad \text{and} \quad (p'(\cdot))_- = (p_+)'.$$

We have the following generalization of Hölder's inequality and an equivalent expression for the $L^{p(\cdot)}$ - norm.

LEMMA 2.5. (*Hölder's inequality*) *Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ be a measurable function and let $p'(\cdot)$ be its conjugate function. Then,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |f(z)g(z)|dz \leq 2\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}\|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}}.$$

PROOF. The lemma follows from [7, Lemma 3.2.20]. □

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ be a measurable function and let $p'(\cdot)$ be its conjugate function. Then*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \approx \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |f(z)g(z)|dz : \|g\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

PROOF. The proposition follows from [7, Corollary 3.2.14]. □

We say that an exponent function $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, if there exist positive constants C , C_∞ and p_∞ such that $p(\cdot)$ satisfies the local log-Hölder continuity condition, i.e.:

$$|p(z) - p(w)| \leq \frac{C}{-\log(\rho(z^{-1}w))}, \quad \text{for } \rho(z^{-1}w) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

and is log-Hölder continuous at infinity, i.e.:

$$|p(z) - p_\infty| \leq \frac{C_\infty}{\log(e + \rho(z))}, \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Here ρ is the *Koranyi norm* given by (3).

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $p : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be an exponent function. Then*

(i) *if $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, then $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ if and only if $p'(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, where $(p_\infty)' = (p')_\infty$;*

(ii) *if $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, then $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ if and only if $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$.*

PROOF. The statement (i) is obvious. Now, (ii) follows from the following inequality valid for all $z, w \in \mathbb{H}^n$

$$\left| \frac{p(z) - p(w)}{(p_+)^2} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{p(z)} - \frac{1}{p(w)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{p(z) - p(w)}{(p_-)^2} \right|.$$

□

3 Auxiliary results

The following three results are crucial to get the main results of Section 6. The first two talk about the size of the ρ - balls in the $L^{p(\cdot)}$ - norm, and the last one is a supporting result.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Then*

$$\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \approx |B|$$

uniformly for all ρ - balls $B \subset \mathbb{H}^n$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.7 - (i) we have that $p'(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $(p')_\infty = (p_\infty)'$, since $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Now, the lemma follows from [8, Lemma 4.1]. □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ and let $\lambda > 1$ be fixed. Then*

$$\|\chi_{\lambda B}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \approx \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

uniformly for all ρ - balls $B \subset \mathbb{H}^n$.

PROOF. By the order preserving property of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}$ we have that

$$(4) \quad \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \|\chi_{\lambda B}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1, (4) above, and Hölder's inequality applied to $|B| = \int \chi_B(z) dz$, result

$$\|\chi_{\lambda B}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C_\lambda |B| \|\chi_{\lambda B}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}}^{-1} \leq C_\lambda |B| \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}}^{-1} \leq C_\lambda \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

The following result is an adaptation of [15, Lemma 5.4] to our setting.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $q(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $0 < q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$. Let $s > 1$ and $0 < q_* < \underline{q}$ such that $sq_* > q_+$ and let $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of nonnegative functions in $L^s(\mathbb{H}^n)$ such that each b_k is supported in a ρ -ball $B_k \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and*

$$(5) \quad \|b_k\|_{L^s(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq A_k |B_k|^{1/s},$$

where $A_k > 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then, for any sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k b_k \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_*}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty A_k \lambda_k \chi_{B_k} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_*}(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

where C is a positive constant which does not depend on $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, and $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

PROOF. Given $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, by (5) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} b_k(z) |g(z)| dz &\leq \|b_k\|_{L^s} \|\chi_{B_k} g\|_{L^{s'}} \leq A_k |B_k|^{1/s} \left(\int_{B_k} |g(w)|^{s'} dw \right)^{1/s'} \\ &= A_k |B_k| \left(\frac{1}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} |g(w)|^{s'} dw \right)^{1/s'} \\ &= A_k \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k} |g(w)|^{s'} dw \right)^{1/s'} \chi_{B_k}(z) dz \\ &\leq A_k \int_{B_k} [M(|g|^{s'})(z)]^{1/s'} dz. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \left(\sum_k \lambda_k b_k(z) \right) |g(z)| dz &\leq \sum_k A_k \lambda_k \int_{B_k} [M(|g|^{s'})(z)]^{1/s'} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \left(\sum_k A_k \lambda_k \chi_{B_k}(z) \right) [M(|g|^{s'})(z)]^{1/s'} dz, \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sum_k A_k \lambda_k \chi_{B_k} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_*}} \left\| M(|g|^{s'}) \right\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_*)'/s'}}^{1/s'}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.4. Now, it is clear that

$$1 < \frac{q_-}{q_*} = \left(\frac{q(\cdot)}{q_*} \right)_- \leq \frac{q(\cdot)}{q_*} \leq \left(\frac{q(\cdot)}{q_*} \right)_+ = \frac{q_+}{q_*} < s,$$

and so

$$1 < s' < \left(\left(\frac{q(\cdot)}{q_*} \right)' \right)_- \leq \left(\frac{q(\cdot)}{q_*} \right)' \leq \left(\left(\frac{q(\cdot)}{q_*} \right)' \right)_+ = \frac{q_-}{q_- - q_*} < \infty.$$

Since $q(\cdot)/q_* \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ we have that $(q(\cdot)/q_*)'/s' \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $((q(\cdot)/q_*)')_-/s' > 1$. Then, by Lemma 2.7 - (ii), [1, Theorem 1.4 and 1.7], [24, Chapter I, 2.5 and Theorem 1], (6) and Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$(7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \left(\sum_k \lambda_k b_k(z) \right) |g(z)| dz \lesssim \left\| \sum_k A_k \lambda_k \chi_{B_k} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_*}} \|g\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_*)}'},$$

for all $g \in L^{(q(\cdot)/q_*)}'$. Finally, by taking the supremum over all g with $\|g\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_*)}'} \leq 1$ in (7), the proposition follows from Proposition 2.6. \square

REMARK 3.4. Proposition 3.3 still holds if one considers a sequence of complex functions $b_k : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ supported on ρ -balls B_k where the family $\{B_k\}$ of all these balls satisfies the bounded intersection property.

4 Fractional maximal operator

We recall that the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{H}^n is $Q = 2n + 2$. For $0 < \alpha < Q$, we define the fractional maximal operator M_α by

$$M_\alpha f(z) = \sup_{B \ni z} |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{Q}-1} \int_B |f(w)| dw,$$

where f is a locally integrable function on \mathbb{H}^n and the supremum is taken over all ρ -balls B containing z . For $\alpha = 0$, we have that $M_0 = M$, where M is the *Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator* on \mathbb{H}^n .

A measurable function $\omega : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a weight if $\omega(z) > 0$ a.e. $z \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and ω is locally integrable.

Let $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 < s < \infty$. Given a weight ω and a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, we write

$$[\omega^p(E)]^s = \left(\int_E [\omega(z)]^p dz \right)^s.$$

We say that a weight ω belongs to the class \mathcal{A}_1 if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(M\omega)(z) \leq C\omega(z), \quad \text{a.e. } z \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Given a weight ω and $p > 1$, set $\sigma := \omega^{-1/(p-1)}$. We say that ω belongs to the class \mathcal{A}_p if

$$[\omega]_{\mathcal{A}_p} := \sup_B \frac{\omega(B)[\sigma(B)]^{p-1}}{|B|^p} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all ρ -balls B of \mathbb{H}^n .

A weight ω satisfies the *reverse doubling condition* (RD) if there exist $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ such that whenever $|B(z, \delta_1)| \leq \alpha|B(z, \delta_2)|$, $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2$, we have $\omega(B(z, \delta_1)) \leq \beta\omega(B(z, \delta_2))$.

The proofs of the following two lemmas are analogous to that of Euclidean case, so we omit the proofs.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $1 \leq s < p < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$. Then*

- (i) *if $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_1$, then $\omega^r \in \mathcal{A}_1$;*
- (ii) *$\omega \in \mathcal{A}_p$ if and only if $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{p'}$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$;*
- (iii) *$\mathcal{A}_s \subset \mathcal{A}_p$;*
- (iv) *if $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_s$, then ω satisfies the (RD) condition.*

Given $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, we say that a weight ω belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}_{p,q}$ if

$$(8) \quad [\omega]_{\mathcal{A}_{p,q}} := \sup_B \frac{[\omega^q(B)]^{\frac{1}{q}} [\omega^{-p'}(B)]^{\frac{1}{p'}}}{|B|^{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p'}}} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all ρ -balls B of \mathbb{H}^n .

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, then*

- (i) *$\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{p,q}$ if and only if $\omega^q \in \mathcal{A}_{1+q/p'}$;*
- (ii) *$\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{p,q}$ if and only if $\omega^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_{q',p'}$;*
- (iii) *$\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{p,q}$ if and only if $\omega^{-p'} \in \mathcal{A}_{1+p'/q}$;*
- (iv) *if $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_1$, then $\omega^{1/q} \in \mathcal{A}_{p,q}$.*

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let $0 < \alpha < Q$, $1 < p < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$. If $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{p,q}$, then*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} [M_\alpha f(z)]^q [\omega(z)]^q dz \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |f(z)|^p [\omega(z)]^p dz \right)^{1/p}.$$

PROOF. Let $1 < p < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$, it is easy to check that $-p' = p(1 - p')$. If $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{p,q}$, from Lemma 4.2-(iii), we have $\omega^{p(1-p')} \in \mathcal{A}_{1+p'/q}$. From Lemma 4.1-(iv) it follows that $\omega^{p(1-p')}$ satisfies the (RD) condition. So, by taking into account that ω satisfies (8), the proposition follows to apply [12, Theorem 3.1] with $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{Q}$, $\omega^{p(1-p')}$ instead of $\omega^{1-p'}$, $\nu = \omega^q$, and μ being the Haar measure on \mathbb{H}^n . \square

The following result is an "off-diagonal" version of the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal inequality for the fractional maximal operator on Heisenberg group.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $0 \leq \alpha < Q$, $1 < r < \infty$, and let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$. If $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} := \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$, then*

$$(9) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (M_\alpha f_j)^r \right)^{1/r} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^r \right)^{1/r} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

holds for all sequences of bounded measurable functions with compact support $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$.

PROOF. The case $\alpha = 0$ was proved in [8, see Theorem 4.2]. For the case $0 < \alpha < Q$, we define

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^N (M_\alpha f_j)^r \right)^{1/r}, \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |f_j|^r \right)^{1/r} \right) : N \in \mathbb{N}, \{f_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset L_{comp}^\infty \right\},$$

where L_{comp}^∞ denotes the set of bounded functions with compact support on \mathbb{H}^n .

Given $1 < p_0 < p_-$ fixed, let q_0 be defined by $\frac{1}{q_0} := \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$. From Proposition 4.3, Lemma 4.2 and following the proof of [5, Theorem 3.23] (considering there \mathbb{H}^n instead of \mathbb{R}^n) we have, by Lemma 4.2-(iv), that there exists an universal constant $C > 0$ such that for any $(F, G) \in \mathcal{F}$ and any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_1$

$$(10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} [F(z)]^{q_0} \omega(z) dz \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} [G(z)]^{p_0} [\omega(z)]^{p_0/q_0} dz \right)^{q_0/p_0}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.6, there exists an universal constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(11) \quad \|F\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}}^{q_0} = \|F^{q_0}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_0}} \leq C \sup_{\|g\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_0}'} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |[F(z)]^{q_0} g(z)| dz.$$

Let \mathcal{R} be the operator defined on $L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}$ (\mathbb{H}^n) by

$$\mathcal{R}g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^k g(z)}{2^k \|M\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}}},$$

where, for $k \geq 1$, M^k denotes k iterations of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M , $M^0 = M$, and $\|M\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}}$ is the operator norm of the maximal operator M on $L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}$.

The well definition of the operator \mathcal{R} follows from [1, Theorem 1.7]. Now, it is clear that:

- (i) if g is non-negative, $g(z) \leq \mathcal{R}g(z)$ a.e. $z \in \mathbb{H}^n$;
- (ii) $\|\mathcal{R}g\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}} \leq 2\|g\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}}$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{R}g \in \mathcal{A}_1$ with $[\mathcal{R}g]_{\mathcal{A}_1} \leq 2\|M\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}}$.

Since F is non-negative, we can take the supremum in (11) over those non-negative g only. For any fixed non-negative $g \in L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}$, by (i) above we have that

$$(12) \quad \int [F(z)]^{q_0} g(z) dz \leq \int [F(z)]^{q_0} (\mathcal{R}g)(z) dz.$$

Then (iii) and (10), and Hölder's inequality yield

$$(13) \quad \int [F(z)]^{q_0} (\mathcal{R}g)(z) dz \leq C \left(\int [G(z)]^{p_0} [(\mathcal{R}g)(z)]^{p_0/q_0} dz \right)^{q_0/p_0} \\ \leq C \|G^{p_0}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)/p_0}}^{q_0/p_0} \|(\mathcal{R}g)^{p_0/q_0}\|_{L^{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'}}^{q_0/p_0}$$

$$= C \|G\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{q_0} \|\mathcal{R}g\|_{L^{\frac{p_0}{q_0}(\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_0})}'}$$

since $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{q_0}$, we have $\frac{p_0}{q_0} \left(\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_0}\right)' = \left(\frac{q(\cdot)}{q_0}\right)'$, so

$$= C \|G\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{q_0} \|\mathcal{R}g\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}}$$

now, (ii) gives

$$\leq C \|G\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{q_0} \|g\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}}$$

Thus, (12) and (13) lead to

$$(14) \quad \int [F(z)]^{q_0} g(z) dz \leq C \|G\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{q_0},$$

for all non-negative g with $\|g\|_{L^{(q(\cdot)/q_0)'}} \leq 1$. Then, (11) and (14) give (9) for all finite sequences $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^K \subset L_{comp}^\infty$. Finally, by passing to the limit, we obtain (9) for all infinite sequences $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset L_{comp}^\infty$. \square

5 Variable Hardy spaces on \mathbb{H}^n

We recall some terminologies and notations from the study of maximal functions used in [8]. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_N = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n) : \sum_{d(I) \leq N} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{H}^n} (1 + \rho(z))^N |(X^I \varphi)(z)| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{H}^n)$, the grand maximal function of f is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_N f(z) = \sup_{t>0} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_N} |(f * \varphi_t)(z)|,$$

where $\varphi_t(z) = t^{-2n-2} \varphi(t^{-1} \cdot z)$.

DEFINITION 5.1. Given an exponent function $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, we define the integer $\mathcal{D}_{p(\cdot)}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_{p(\cdot)} := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : (2n + k + 3)p_- > 2n + 2\}.$$

For $N \geq \mathcal{D}_{p(\cdot)} + 1$, define the variable Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ to be the collection of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{H}^n)$ such that $\|\mathcal{M}_N f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)} < \infty$. Then, the "norm" on the space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is taken to be $\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}} := \|\mathcal{M}_N f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}$.

DEFINITION 5.2. Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, and $p_0 > 1$. Fix an integer $D \geq \mathcal{D}_{p(\cdot)}$. A measurable function $a(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{H}^n is called a $(p(\cdot), p_0, D)$ - atom centered at a ρ - ball $B = B(z_0, \delta)$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

- $a_1)$ $\text{supp}(a) \subset B$,
- $a_2)$ $\|a\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq \frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{p_0}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)}}$,
- $a_3)$ $\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} a(z) z^I dz = 0$ for all multiindex I such that $d(I) \leq D$.

Indeed, every $(p(\cdot), p_0, D)$ - atom $a(\cdot)$ belongs to $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Moreover, there exists an universal constant $C > 0$ such that $\|a\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}} \leq C$ for all $(p(\cdot), p_0, D)$ - atom $a(\cdot)$.

REMARK 5.3. It is easy to check that if $a(\cdot)$ is a $(p(\cdot), p_0, D)$ - atom centered at the ball $B(z_0, \delta)$, then the function $a_{z_0}(\cdot) := a(z_0 \cdot (\cdot))$ is a $(p(\cdot), p_0, D)$ - atom centered at the ball $B(e, \delta)$.

DEFINITION 5.4. Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be an exponent function such that $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Given a sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and a family of ρ - balls $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, we define

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)) := \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\frac{\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right)^p \right\}^{1/p} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

To get our main results we need the following version of the atomic decomposition for $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ obtained in [8].

THEOREM 5.5. *Let $1 < p_0 < \infty$, $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Then, for every $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and every integer $D \geq \mathcal{D}_{p(\cdot)}$ fixed, there exist a sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, a sequence of ρ - balls $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with the bounded intersection property and $(p(\cdot), p_0, D)$ - atoms a_j supported on B_j such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$ converges in $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and*

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

where the implicit constant in (16) is independent of $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, and f .

PROOF. The existence of a such atomic decomposition as well as the validity of (16) are guaranteed by [8, Theorem 4.4, see p. 261 - Part 2]. Its construction is analogous to that given for Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups; which in turn is similar to the construction on Euclidean spaces (see [24]). So, by adapting the proof of [21, Theorem 3.1] to our setting, and taking into account the atomic decomposition in [11, see p. 97-102], we get the convergence of the atomic series to f in $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. \square

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let $1 < p_0 < \infty$ and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Then $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n) \subset H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ densely.*

PROOF. The proof is similar to that given in [19, see p. 3693]. \square

We conclude this section with two results concerning to the amount defined by (15).

LEMMA 5.7. *Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be an exponent function with $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ and let $\{B_j\}$ be a family of ρ - balls which satisfies the bounded intersection property. If $0 < p_* < \underline{p}$, then*

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right)^{p_*} \right\}^{1/p_*} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \approx \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot))$$

for any sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$.

PROOF. The embedding $\ell^{p_*} \subset \ell^{\underline{p}}$ implies that

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)) \leq \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right)^{p_*} \right\}^{1/p_*} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

On the other hand, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < \underline{p}/N < p_*$ and since $\ell^{\underline{p}/N} \subset \ell^{p_*}$ embed continuously, it follows that

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right)^{p_*} \right\}^{1/p_*} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right)^{\underline{p}/N} \right\}^{N/\underline{p}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}},$$

the bounded intersection property of the family $\{B_j\}$ and [13, 1.1.4. (c), p. 12] give

$$\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right)^{\underline{p}} \right\}^{1/\underline{p}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 5.8. *Let $0 < \alpha < Q$ and let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$. If $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} := \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$, then*

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, q(\cdot)) \lesssim \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot))$$

for any sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and any family of ρ - balls $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of \mathbb{H}^n .

PROOF. Since $\ell^p \subset \ell^q$ embed continuously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, q(\cdot)) &= \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^q(\cdot)}} \right)^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{L^q(\cdot)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^q(\cdot)}} \right)^p \right\}^{1/p} \right\|_{L^q(\cdot)}, \end{aligned}$$

[8, Lemma 4.1] gives $\|\chi_B\|_{L^q(\cdot)} \approx |B|^{-\alpha/Q} \|\chi_B\|_{L^p(\cdot)}$ for every ρ -ball B of \mathbb{H}^n , so

$$\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j |B_j|^{\alpha/Q} \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^p(\cdot)}} \right)^p \right\}^{1/p} \right\|_{L^q(\cdot)},$$

now it is easy to check that $|B_j|^{\alpha/Q} \chi_{B_j}(z) \leq M_{\frac{\alpha p}{2}}(\chi_{B_j})^{\frac{2}{p}}(z)$ for all j , then

$$\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j M_{\frac{\alpha p}{2}}(\chi_{B_j})^{\frac{2}{p}}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^p(\cdot)}} \right)^p \right\}^{1/p} \right\|_{L^q(\cdot)} = \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{p}{2}} M_{\frac{\alpha p}{2}}(\chi_{B_j})^2}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^p(\cdot)}^{\frac{p}{2}}} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{2q(\cdot)/p}}^{2/p},$$

by applying Theorem 4.4 we obtain

$$\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j^{\frac{p}{2}} \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^p(\cdot)}^{\frac{p}{2}}} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{2p(\cdot)/p}}^{2/p} = \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)).$$

This completes the proof. \square

6 Main results

The convolution kernels we shall be considering are the introduced by Folland and Stein [11] (see Ch. 6 and Remark 6.12). Suppose $0 \leq \alpha < Q$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For $0 < \alpha < Q$ a kernel of type (α, N) is a function K_α of class C^N on $\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{e\}$, which satisfies

$$(17) \quad \left| (\tilde{X}^I K_\alpha)(z) \right| \lesssim \rho(z)^{\alpha-Q-d(I)} \quad \text{for all } d(I) \leq N \text{ and all } z \neq e.$$

A kernel of type $(0, N)$ is a distribution K_0 on \mathbb{H}^n which is of class C^N on $\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{e\}$, satisfies (17) with $\alpha = 0$, and

$$(18) \quad \|f * K_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n).$$

REMARK 6.1. If $0 < \alpha < Q$ and K_α is a kernel of type (α, N) , from [11, Proposition 6.2], it follows that the operator $T_\alpha : f \rightarrow f * K_\alpha$ is bounded from $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ to $L^{q_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for $1 < p_0 < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$ and $\frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$.

REMARK 6.2. Given a kernel K_0 of type $(0, N)$, by (18), it follows that the operator $U_0 : f \rightarrow f * K_0$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, can be extended to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$, a such extension is unique. We denote this extension by T_0 . Now, it is easy to check that if $a(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and their support is contained in the ρ -ball $B(z_0, \delta)$, then $T_0 a(z) = (a * K_0)(z)$ a.e. $z \notin B(z_0, 2\delta)$.

In the sequel, given a kernel K_α of type (α, N) with $0 \leq \alpha < Q$, we consider the operator T_α defined by

$$(19) \quad T_\alpha = \begin{cases} \text{right convolution operator by } K_\alpha, & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < Q \\ \text{extension of the operator } U_0 \text{ on } L^2(\mathbb{H}^n), & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \end{cases}.$$

THEOREM 6.3. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha < Q$, and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $\frac{Q}{Q+N} < p_- \leq p_+ < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$. If $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$, then the operator T_α defined by (19) can be extended to bounded operator from $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$.*

PROOF. We recall that $Q = 2n + 2$. The condition $\frac{Q}{Q+N} < p_-$ implies that $N - 1 \geq \mathcal{D}_{p(\cdot)}$. So, given $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ (with $p_0 > 1$), by Theorem 5.5 with $D = N - 1$, there exist a sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, a sequence of ρ -balls $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $(p(\cdot), p_0, N - 1)$ atoms a_j supported on B_j such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$ converges in $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)}.$$

If $0 < \alpha < Q$, we take $\max\{1, p_+\} < p_0 < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$. If $\alpha = 0$, we take $p_0 = 2$. Then, by Remark 6.1, the operator T_α is bounded from $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ to $L^{q_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for $1 < p_0 < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$ and $\frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$. For the case $\alpha = 0$, by Remark 6.2, the operator T_0 is bounded on $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $p_0 = 2$. Since $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$ converges in $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, we have

$$|T_\alpha f(z)| \leq \sum_j \lambda_j |T_\alpha a_j(z)|, \quad \text{a.e. } z \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Let β be the constant in [11, Corollary 1.44], we observe that $\beta \geq 1$ (see [11, p. 29]). Then, for $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$

$$\|T_\alpha f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} \leq \left\| \sum_j \lambda_j \chi_{2\beta^N B_j} |T_\alpha a_j| \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} + \left\| \sum_j \lambda_j \chi_{\mathbb{H}^n \setminus 2\beta^N B_j} |T_\alpha a_j| \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} =: L_1 + L_2,$$

where $2\beta^N B_j$ is the ρ -ball with the same center as B_j but whose radius is expanded by the factor $2\beta^N$. This is, if $B_j = B(z_j, \delta_j)$ then $2\beta^N B_j = B(z_j, 2\beta^N \delta_j)$.

To estimate L_1 we apply, for the case $0 < \alpha < Q$, Remark 6.1 with $q_0 > \max\{\frac{Q}{Q-\alpha}, q_+\}$ and $\frac{1}{p_0} := \frac{1}{q_0} + \frac{\alpha}{Q}$ (or Remark 6.2 with $q_0 = p_0 = 2$, if $\alpha = 0$). So,

$$\|(T_\alpha a_j)^{q_*}\|_{L^{q_0/q_*}(2^{\beta N} B_j)} = \|T_\alpha a_j\|_{L^{q_0}(2^{\beta N} B_j)}^{q_*} \lesssim \|a_j\|_{L^{p_0}}^{q_*} \lesssim \frac{|B_j|^{\frac{q_*}{p_0}}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{q_*}} \lesssim \frac{|2^{\beta N} B_j|^{\frac{q_*}{q_0}}}{\|\chi_{2^{\beta N} B_j}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_*}}^{q_*}},$$

where $0 < q_* < q$ is fixed and the last inequality follows from the estimate $\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} \approx |B|^{-\alpha/Q} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}$, Lemma 2.4-(iv), and Lemma 3.2 applied to the exponent $q(\cdot)/q_*$. Now, since $0 < q_* < 1$, we apply the q_* -inequality and Proposition 3.3 with $b_j = (\chi_{2^{\beta N} B_j} \cdot |T_\alpha a_j|)^{q_*}$, $A_j = \|\chi_{2^{\beta N} B_j}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_*}}^{-1}$ and $s = q_0/q_*$, to obtain

$$L_1 \lesssim \left\| \sum_j (\lambda_j \chi_{2^{\beta N} B_j} |T_\alpha a_j|)^{q_*} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_*}}^{1/q_*} \lesssim \left\| \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\|\chi_{2^{\beta N} B_j}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}}} \right)^{q_*} \chi_{2^{\beta N} B_j} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_*}}^{1/q_*}.$$

It is easy to check that $\chi_{2^{\beta N} B_j} \leq [M(\chi_{B_j})]^2$. From this inequality, Lemma 3.2, Lemma 2.4-(iv), and Theorem 4.4 we have

$$L_1 \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j^{q_*/2}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}}^{q_*/2}} M(\chi_{B_j}) \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{2q(\cdot)/q_*}}^{2/q_*} \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}}} \right)^{q_*} \right\}^{1/q_*} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}}.$$

Lemma 5.7 applied to $q(\cdot)$, Proposition 5.8 and (20) give

$$(21) \quad L_1 \lesssim \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, q(\cdot)) \lesssim \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

Now, we proceed to estimate L_2 . For them, we first consider a $(p(\cdot), p_0, N-1)$ -atom $a(\cdot)$ supported on the ρ -ball $B = B(z_0, \delta)$. Then, by Remark 6.1 (for $0 < \alpha < Q$) or Remark 6.2 (for $\alpha = 0$) and Remark 2.3, we have

$$T_\alpha a(z) = \int_{B(z_0, \delta)} a(w) K_\alpha(w^{-1} \cdot z) dw = \int_{B(e, \delta)} a(z_0 \cdot u) K_\alpha(u^{-1} \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) du,$$

for every $z \notin B(z_0, 2^{\beta N} \delta)$. By Remark 5.3, it follows for $z \notin B(z_0, 2^{\beta N} \delta)$ that

$$(22) \quad T_\alpha a(z) = \int_{B(e, \delta)} a(z_0 \cdot u) [K_\alpha(u^{-1} \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) - q(u^{-1})] du,$$

where $u \rightarrow q(u^{-1})$ is the right Taylor polynomial of the function $u \rightarrow K_\alpha(u^{-1} \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z)$ at e of homogeneous degree $N-1$. Then by the right-invariant version of the Taylor inequality in [11, Corollary 1.44],

$$(23) \quad |K_\alpha(u^{-1} \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) - q(u^{-1})| \lesssim \rho(u)^N \sup_{\rho(v) \leq \beta^N \rho(u), d(I)=N} \left| (\tilde{X}^I K_\alpha)(v \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) \right|.$$

Now, for $u \in B(e, \delta)$, $z_0^{-1} \cdot z \notin B(e, 2\beta^N \delta)$ and $\rho(v) \leq \beta^N \rho(u)$, we have $\rho(z_0^{-1} \cdot z) \geq 2\rho(v)$ and hence $\rho(v \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) \geq \rho(z_0^{-1} \cdot z)/2$, then by (23) and (17) we get

$$|K_\alpha(u^{-1} \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) - q(u^{-1})| \lesssim \delta^N \rho(z_0^{-1} \cdot z)^{\alpha-Q-N}.$$

This estimate and (22) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} |T_\alpha a(z)| &\lesssim \delta^N \rho(z_0^{-1} \cdot z)^{\alpha-Q-N} \|a\|_{L^1} \lesssim \delta^N \rho(z_0^{-1} \cdot z)^{\alpha-Q-N} |B|^{1-\frac{1}{p_0}} \|a\|_{L^{p_0}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\delta^{N+Q}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \rho(z_0^{-1} \cdot z)^{\alpha-Q-N} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\left(M_{\frac{\alpha Q}{Q+N}}(\chi_B)(z)\right)^{\frac{Q+N}{Q}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}}, \quad \forall z \notin 2\beta^N B. \end{aligned}$$

So, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$(24) \quad |T_\alpha a_j(z)| \lesssim \frac{\left(M_{\frac{\alpha Q}{Q+N}}(\chi_{B_j})(z)\right)^{\frac{Q+N}{Q}}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}}, \quad \text{for all } z \notin 2\beta^N B_j.$$

From (24) follows that

$$L_2 \lesssim \left\| \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{\left(M_{\frac{\alpha Q}{Q+N}}(\chi_{B_j})(\cdot)\right)^{\frac{Q+N}{Q}}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} = \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{\left(M_{\frac{\alpha Q}{Q+N}}(\chi_{B_j})(\cdot)\right)^{\frac{Q+N}{Q}}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right\}^{\frac{Q}{Q+N}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{Q}{Q+N} q(\cdot)}}^{\frac{Q+N}{Q}}$$

Since $1 < \frac{Q+N}{Q} p_- \leq \frac{Q+N}{Q} p_+ < \frac{Q+N}{\alpha}$, Theorem 4.4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad L_2 &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{\chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right\}^{\frac{Q}{Q+N}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{Q}{Q+N} p(\cdot)}}^{\frac{Q+N}{Q}} = \left\| \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{\chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left(\lambda_j \frac{\chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}} \right)^p \right\}^{1/p} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (21) and (25) allow us to conclude that

$$\|T_\alpha f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

for all $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, so the theorem follows from Proposition 5.6. \square

THEOREM 6.4. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha < Q$, and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $\frac{Q}{Q+N} < p_- \leq p_+ < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$. If $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$, then the operator T_α given by (19) can be extended to bounded operator from $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$.*

PROOF. The inequality $\frac{Q}{Q+N} < p_-$ implies that $N - 1 \geq \mathcal{D}_{p(\cdot)}$ and thus $\|g\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}} = \|\mathcal{M}_N g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}$ for all $g \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. On the other hand, given $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ (with $p_0 > 1$ chosen as in Theorem 6.3), by Theorem 5.5, there exist a sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, a sequence of ρ -balls $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $(p(\cdot), p_0, N - 1)$ atoms a_j supported on B_j such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$ converges in $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)}$. Since T_α is bounded from $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $L^{q_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and $H^{q_0}(\mathbb{H}^n) \equiv L^{q_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with comparable norms, it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}_N(T_\alpha f)(z) \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j \mathcal{M}_N(T_\alpha a_j)(z), \quad a.e. \ z \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Let $\beta \geq 1$ be the constant as in Theorem 6.3. Then, for $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\alpha f\|_{H^{q(\cdot)}} &= \|\mathcal{M}_N(T_\alpha f)\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} \leq \left\| \sum_j \lambda_j \chi_{2\beta^N B_j} \mathcal{M}_N(T_\alpha a_j) \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} \\ &+ \left\| \sum_j \lambda_j \chi_{\mathbb{H}^n \setminus 2\beta^N B_j} \mathcal{M}_N(T_\alpha a_j) \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} =: J_1 + J_2, \end{aligned}$$

To estimate J_1 , we observe that for $0 < q_* < \underline{q}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\mathcal{M}_N(T_\alpha a_j)]^{q_*}\|_{L^{q_0/q_*}(2\beta^N B_j)} &= \|\mathcal{M}_N(T_\alpha a_j)\|_{L^{q_0}(2\beta^N B_j)}^{q_*} \lesssim \|T_\alpha a_j\|_{L^{q_0}}^{q_*} \\ &\lesssim \|a_j\|_{L^{p_0}}^{q_*} \lesssim \frac{|B_j|^{\frac{q_*}{p_0}}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{q_*}} \lesssim \frac{|2\beta^N B_j|^{\frac{q_*}{q_0}}}{\|\chi_{2\beta^N B_j}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)/q_*}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by proceeding as in the estimate of L_1 in Theorem 6.3, we get

$$J_1 \lesssim \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}}.$$

Now, we estimate J_2 . For them, let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n), N} \leq 1$ and let $a(\cdot)$ be a $(p(\cdot), p_0, N - 1)$ -atom centered at the ρ -ball $B = B(z_0, \delta)$. Then, for $z \notin 2\beta^N B$ and every $t > 0$, we have

$$((T_\alpha a) * \phi_t)(z) = \int_{B(z_0, \delta)} a(w) (K_\alpha * \phi_t)(w^{-1} \cdot z) dw = \int_{B(\epsilon, \delta)} a(z_0 \cdot u) (K_\alpha * \phi_t)(u^{-1} \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) du.$$

By Remark 5.3, it follows for $z \notin 2\beta^N B$ and every $t > 0$ that

$$(26) \quad ((T_\alpha a) * \phi_t)(z) = \int_{B(\epsilon, \delta)} a(z_0 \cdot u) [(K_\alpha * \phi_t)(u^{-1} \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) - q_t(u^{-1})] du,$$

where $u \rightarrow q_t(u^{-1})$ is the right Taylor polynomial of the function $u \rightarrow (K_\alpha * \phi_t)(u^{-1} \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z)$ at e of homogeneous degree $N - 1$. Then by the right-invariant version of the Taylor inequality in [11, Corollary 1.44],

$$\left| (K_\alpha * \phi_t)(u^{-1} \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) - q_t(u^{-1}) \right| \lesssim \rho(u)^N \sup_{\rho(v) \leq \beta^N \rho(u), d(I)=N} \left| \tilde{X}^I(K_\alpha * \phi_t)(v \cdot z_0^{-1} \cdot z) \right|.$$

To apply [11, Lemma 6.9] with $r = N$ (and taking into account that \mathbb{H}^n is stratified group, we observe that such lemma holds for $d(I) \leq r$ rather than for $|I| \leq r$), we get

$$|\tilde{X}^I(K_\alpha * \phi_t)(v \cdot w)| = |((\tilde{X}^I K_\alpha) * \phi_t)(v \cdot w)| \lesssim \rho(v \cdot w)^{\alpha - Q - d(I)}, \text{ for } t > 0, d(I) \leq N.$$

This estimate does not depend on t . Finally, according to the ideas to estimate L_2 in Theorem 6.3, we obtain

$$J_2 \lesssim \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, p(\cdot)) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}},$$

for all $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^{p_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, and so the proof is concluded. \square

Let $0 < \alpha < Q$, the Riesz potential \mathcal{R}_α on \mathbb{H}^n is defined by

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{R}_\alpha f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(w) [\rho(w^{-1} \cdot z)]^{\alpha - Q} dw,$$

where $\rho(\cdot)$ is the Koranyi norm given by (3). It is clear that $[\rho(\cdot)]^{\alpha - Q} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{e\}) = \bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N}} C^N(\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{e\})$ and satisfies the condition (17) for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, to apply the Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 with $K_\alpha(\cdot) = [\rho(\cdot)]^{\alpha - Q}$ and $0 < \alpha < Q$, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 6.5. *Let $0 < \alpha < Q$, and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with $0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \frac{Q}{\alpha}$. If $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{\alpha}{Q}$, then the Riesz potential \mathcal{R}_α given by (27) can be extended to bounded operator from $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and from $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $H^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{H}^n)$.*

References

- [1] T. ADAMOWICZ, P. HARJULEHTO AND P. HÄSTÖ, *Maximal operator in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces on unbounded quasimetric measure spaces*, Math. Scand. 116 (2015), 5-22.
- [2] R. COIFMAN, *A real variable characterization of H^p* , Studia Math. 51 (1974), 269-274.
- [3] R. COIFMAN AND G. WEISS, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569-645.

- [4] D. CRUZ-URIBE AND A. FIORENZA , Variable Lebesgue Spaces, Birkhäuser, 2013.
- [5] D. V. CRUZ-URIBE, J. M. MARTELL AND C. PÉREZ, Weights, Extrapolation and the Theory of Rubio de Francia, Birkhäuser. Operator theory: advances and applications, Vol. 215 (2011).
- [6] D. V. CRUZ-URIBE AND D. WANG, *Variable Hardy Spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 63 (2) (2014), 447-493.
- [7] L. DIENING, P. HARJULEHTO, P. HÄSTÖ AND M. RUZICKA, Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with Variable Exponents, Springer, 2011.
- [8] J. FANG AND J. ZHAO, *Variable Hardy Spaces on the Heisenberg Group*, Anal. Theory Appl. 32 (3) (2016), 242-271.
- [9] C. FEFFERMAN AND E. M. STEIN, *H^p Spaces of Several Variables*, Acta Math. 129 (3-4) (1972), 137-193.
- [10] V. FISCHER AND M. RUZHANSKY, Quantization on Nilpotent Lie groups, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 314, Birkhäuser/Springer, 2016.
- [11] G. FOLLAND AND E. STEIN, Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups, Math. Notes, Princeton Univ. Press 28, 1982.
- [12] A. GOGATISHVILI AND V. KOKILASHVILI, *Criteria of strong type two-weighted inequalities for fractional maximal functions*, Georgian Math. J. **3**, No. 5, (1996), 423-446.
- [13] L. GRAFAKOS, Classical Fourier Analysis, 3rd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 249, Springer New York, 2014.
- [14] L. GRAFAKOS, Modern Fourier Analysis, 3rd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 250, Springer New York, 2014.
- [15] K.-P. HO, *Atomic decompositions of weighted Hardy spaces with variable exponents*, Tohoku Math. J. 69 (2) (2017), no. 3, 383-413.
- [16] O. KOVÁČIK AND J. RÁKOSNÍK, *On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$* , Czechoslovak Math. J. 41 (116) (1991), 592-618.
- [17] R. LATTEK, *A characterization of $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of atoms*, Studia Math. 62 (1978), 93-101.
- [18] S. LU, Four lectures on real H^p spaces, Singapore: World Scientific V, 1995.

- [19] E. NAKAI AND Y. SAWANO, *Hardy spaces with variable exponents and generalized Campanato spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 3665-3748.
- [20] W. ORLICZ, *Über konjugierte Exponentenfolgen*, Studia Math. 3 (1931), 200-211.
- [21] P. ROCHA, *A note on Hardy spaces and bounded linear operators*, Georgian Math. J. 25 (1) (2018), 73-76.
- [22] P. ROCHA, *Boundedness of generalized Riesz potentials on the variable Hardy spaces*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 104 (2018), 255-273.
- [23] P. ROCHA AND M. URCIUOLO, *Fractional type integral operators on variable Hardy spaces*, Acta Math. Hung. 143 (2) (2014), 502-514.
- [24] E. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [25] E. M. STEIN AND G. WEISS, *On the theory of harmonic functions of several variables I: The theory of H^p spaces*, Acta Math. 103 (1960), 25-62.
- [26] M. H. TAIBLESON AND G. WEISS, *The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces*, Astérisque 77 (1980), 67-149.
- [27] A. UCHIYAMA, *Hardy spaces on the Euclidean space*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Berlin: Springer xiii, 2001.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL SUR
8000 BAHÍA BLANCA, BUENOS AIRES
ARGENTINA

E-mail address: pablo.rocha@uns.edu.ar