

BRANCHING ALGEBRAS FOR THE GENERAL LINEAR LIE SUPERALGEBRA

SOO TECK LEE AND RUIBIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We develop an algebraic approach to the branching of representations of the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}(\mathbb{C})$, by constructing certain super commutative algebras whose structure encodes the branching rules. Using this approach, we derive the branching rules for restricting any irreducible polynomial representation V of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}(\mathbb{C})$ to a regular subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'}(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1(\mathbb{C})^{r'+s'}$ or $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}(\mathbb{C})$, with $r+r' = p$ and $s+s' = q$. In the case of $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1(\mathbb{C})^{r'+s'}$ with $s = 0$ or $s = 1$ but general r , we also construct a basis for the space of $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}(\mathbb{C})$ highest weight vectors in V ; when $r = s = 0$, the branching rule leads to explicit expressions for the weight multiplicities of V in terms of Kostka numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Branching rules and tensor product decompositions are two aspects of the representation theory of Lie superalgebras [K], which are most frequently used in physics, particularly in building supersymmetric models of elementary particle (see e.g., [DJ]). Much research has been devoted to them since the discovery of Lie superalgebras [SNR, CNS] in the 70s, but most studies are concerned with special cases with immediate physical applications, and general results are rarely obtained. The generic non-semi-simplicity of finite dimensional representations of Lie superalgebras makes the branching rules and tensor product decompositions much more difficult to study for Lie superalgebras than for semi-simple Lie algebras [GW]. However, polynomial representations of the general linear Lie superalgebra turn out to be an exception. They are unitarizable with respect to the compact real form [GZ], thus are semi-simple.

We shall study branching rules for the general linear Lie superalgebra by developing an approach inspired by the work of Howe et al. [HTW1, HTW2]. The key feature of this approach is that the branching rules are encoded in the structure of certain super commutative algebras to be constructed in this paper.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ be a general linear Lie superalgebra (defined precisely in §2.1.2) and let \mathfrak{l} be a regular Lie super subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . If V is an irreducible polynomial representation of \mathfrak{g} , then we shall consider the action by \mathfrak{l} on V obtained by restriction. We call a description of how V decomposes into irreducible \mathfrak{l} representations a $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$ **branching rule**. We shall determine $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$ branching rules for

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05E10, 15A75, 20G05, 22E46.

Key words and phrases. General linear Lie superalgebras, Reciprocity laws, Branching rules.

the cases when (i) $\mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r'+s'}$, (ii) $\mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$, and (iii) $\mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'}$, where r, s, r', s' are non-negative integers such that $r + r' = p$ and $s + s' = q$, and \mathfrak{gl}_1 is the one-dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . In the case when $r = s = 0$, the branching rule in (i) leads to explicit expressions for the weight multiplicities in V in terms of Kostka numbers. In addition, we also construct a set of linearly independent \mathfrak{g} weight vectors of V . It forms a basis for the space of $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$ highest weight vectors in V if $s = 0$ or $s = 1$, and coincides with the basis of V obtained in [CZ, Theorem 3.3] if $r = s = 0$.

Let us now briefly describe our approach to solutions of the branching problems.

Fix a positive integer n and consider the natural action by $\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ on the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded symmetric superalgebra $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q})$ over $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q}$, where $\mathfrak{gl}_n = \mathfrak{gl}_{n|0}$ is the general linear Lie algebra. By the $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q})$ Howe duality [H1] (also see [CW, Se]), \mathcal{R} is a multiplicity free sum of irreducible polynomial representations of $\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$. Let \mathfrak{l} be a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} of the type (i)-(iii) described above, and let \mathfrak{u}_n and $\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{l}$ be the standard maximal nilpotent subalgebras of \mathfrak{gl}_n and \mathfrak{l} respectively. We consider the subalgebra

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l}) = \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{l}}$$

of \mathcal{R} consisting of all vectors annihilated by the operators from $\mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{l}$. Then $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$ is a representation for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{g}$, where \mathfrak{h}_n and $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{g}$ are Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{gl}_n and \mathfrak{g} respectively. Thus $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$ is a direct sum of eigenspaces for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{g}$

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l}) = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})_i.$$

Each eigenspace $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})_i$ consists of $\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ highest weight vectors of a specific weight, so that its dimension is equal to the multiplicity of an irreducible \mathfrak{l} representation in an irreducible \mathfrak{g} representation. In this sense the structure of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$ encodes a branching rule for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$. In view of this property of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$, we call it a **branching algebra** for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$.

It turns out that the structure of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$ also encodes a second branching rule for a pair of Lie algebras of the form $(\mathfrak{gl}_n^k, \Delta(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$, where $\mathfrak{gl}_n^k = \underbrace{\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n}_k$ for any

given positive integer k and $\Delta(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a copy of \mathfrak{gl}_n which embeds in \mathfrak{gl}_n^k diagonally. The second branching rule is well understood as it is related to either the Pieri rule or the Littlewood-Richardson rule which are well known. Hence, we use the second branching rule to deduce the branching rule for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l})$.

We believe that the approach described above to branching rules of the general linear Lie superalgebra is of general interest and should extend to the other classical series of Lie superalgebras.

We also construct a linearly independent set of vectors in \mathcal{R} . These vectors are \mathfrak{gl}_n highest weight vectors and are \mathfrak{g} weight vectors. In the case when $s = 0$ or $s = 1$, these vectors are also highest weight vectors for $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$. In fact, we obtain from these vectors a basis for the space of $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$ highest weight vectors in an irreducible polynomial \mathfrak{g} representation V which occurs in \mathcal{R} . We remark that the explicit form of the $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$ highest weight vectors provide more refined information than branching

multiplicity. In the case when $r = s = 0$, we reproduce the basis for V which was constructed in [CZ, Theorem 3.3] by direct calculation.

Now we relate the present paper to previous work. Branching rules for $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ were extensively investigated in [BR] by using symmetric group techniques [DJ] through Schur-Weyl duality. In particular, a $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s})$ branching rule for any irreducible polynomial $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ -representation was given in [BR, Theorem 5.14]. A $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'})$ branching rule was also obtained in [CLZ] for the oscillator representations by exploring a general principle that relates branching rules of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ -representations and tensor product decompositions of \mathfrak{gl}_n -representations in the context of $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q})$ duality. We also mention that the same method was applied in [CZ] to obtain a branching rule for the oscillator representations of the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra, where a $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'})$ branching rule for the polynomial representations of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ was also alluded to (see [CZ, Remark 9.4]).

The content of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we set up notation and also review some basic facts in representation theory which we need in the rest of the paper. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to constructing branching algebras for $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1^{r'+s'})$ and for $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'})$ respectively, and to deriving the associated branching rules. Finally in Section 5, we construct a linearly independent set of vectors in \mathcal{R} with properties described in the preceding paragraph.

We work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers throughout the paper.

Acknowledgement. The first named author expresses his sincere gratitude to the University of Sydney for warm hospitality during his visit in December 2023 - February 2024.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will review the *iterated Pieri rules* for the general linear Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_n [HKL, K LW], and the Howe duality between \mathfrak{gl}_n and the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ [H1] (also see [CLZ, CW, CZ, Se]). These results provide the main technical tools which we will apply to derive branching rule for polynomial representations of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$. Some necessary background material on linear algebra of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces will also be given.

2.1. The $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q})$ Howe duality.

2.1.1. *Linear algebra of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces.* A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $M = M_{\bar{0}} \oplus M_{\bar{1}}$ is the direct sum of the even subspace $M_{\bar{0}}$ and the odd subspace $M_{\bar{1}}$. It is said to be purely even (resp. odd) if $M_{\bar{1}} = 0$ (resp. $M_{\bar{0}} = 0$). We denote by $[v] = i$ the degree of a homogeneous element $v \in M_{\bar{i}}$. Let $M' = M'_{\bar{0}} \oplus M'_{\bar{1}}$ be another \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space. Then the space of linear maps from M to M' is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded with $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, M') = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, M')_{\bar{0}} \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, M')_{\bar{1}}$, where any $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, M')_{\bar{i}}$ satisfies $\varphi(M_{\bar{j}}) \subset M'_{\bar{i+j}}$ for all $i, j = 0, 1$. Note in particular that $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ has the structure of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded associative algebra, i.e., an associative superalgebra.

The usual tensor product of vector spaces extends to the category of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces. For any objects M, M' , we have

$$M \otimes M' = (M \otimes M')_{\bar{0}} \oplus (M \otimes M')_{\bar{1}}, \quad (M \otimes M')_{\bar{k}} = \bigoplus_{\overline{i+j}=\bar{k}} M_{\bar{i}} \otimes M'_{\bar{j}}.$$

The category of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces has a canonical symmetry given by

$$\tau_{M, M'} : M \otimes M' \longrightarrow M' \otimes M \quad (2.1)$$

for any objects M, M' , which is defined by the unique linear extension of the map $v \otimes v' \mapsto (-1)^{[v][v']} v' \otimes v$ for all homogeneous elements $v \in M$ and $v' \in M'$. This in particular gives rise to an action of the symmetric group Sym_r of degree r on the r -th tensor power $M^{\otimes r} = \underbrace{M \otimes M \otimes \dots \otimes M}_r$ of M for any $r \geq 2$ (see, e.g.,

[DJ] and [BR]). To describe this, we recall the standard presentation of Sym_r with generators s_1, \dots, s_{r-1} and defining relations

$$s_i^2 = s_i, \quad s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}, \quad \text{for all valid } i.$$

Then s_i acts on $M^{\otimes r}$ by $\nu_r(s_i) := \text{id}_M^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \tau_{M, M} \otimes \text{id}_M^{\otimes(r-i-1)}$ for all i .

The tensor algebra $T(M) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} M^{\otimes r}$ over a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space M is a superalgebra, which is also \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded with $M^{\otimes r}$ being the degree r homogeneous subspace. Here, \mathbb{Z}_+ denotes the set of all nonnegative integers. Let $J(M)$ be the two-sided ideal of $T(M)$ generated by the elements $v \otimes v' - (-1)^{[v][v']} v' \otimes v$ for all homogeneous $v, v' \in M$. This is a homogeneous ideal with respect to both the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading and \mathbb{Z}_+ -grading, and hence

$$\mathbb{S}(M) := T(M)/J(M)$$

is a \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded associative superalgebra, which is usually referred to as the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded symmetric algebra, or supersymmetric algebra, over M . It is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded commutative in the sense that $xy - (-1)^{[x][y]}yx = 0$ for all homogeneous $x, y \in \mathbb{S}(M)$.

Note the following obvious facts.

- (i) $\mathbb{S}(M) = S(M_{\bar{0}}) \otimes \Lambda(M_{\bar{1}})$, where $S(M_{\bar{0}})$ is the usual symmetric algebra of $M_{\bar{0}}$ and $\Lambda(M_{\bar{1}})$ is the exterior algebra of $M_{\bar{1}}$;
- (ii) $J(M)_r = J(M) \cap M^{\otimes r}$ is a Sym_r -submodule, and $M^{\otimes r} \cong \mathbb{S}^r(M) \oplus J(M)_r$ as Sym_r -module for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $\mathbb{S}^r(M)$ is the degree r homogeneous subspace of $\mathbb{S}(M)$;
- (iii) $\mathbb{S}(M \oplus M') = \mathbb{S}(M) \otimes \mathbb{S}(M')$ for any \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces M, M' .

Part (ii) makes use of the semi-simplicity of $M^{\otimes r}$ as Sym_r -module.

2.1.2. *The general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$.* For any \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$, the endomorphism superalgebra $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ can be endowed with a Lie superalgebra structure $[\cdot, \cdot] : \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \times \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, which is a bilinear map defined by

$$[X, Y] = XY - (-1)^{[X][Y]} YX$$

for any homogeneous $X, Y \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$. Then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ together with $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is called the *general linear Lie superalgebra* of V and is denoted by $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

If V is finite dimensional, there are non-negative integers p and q such that $\dim V_{\bar{0}} = p$ and $\dim V_{\bar{1}} = q$. By choosing a homogeneous basis, we can identify V with $\mathbb{C}^{p|q} = \mathbb{C}^p \oplus \mathbb{C}^q$. We shall also write $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q} = \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}(\mathbb{C})$ for $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}^{p|q})$.

The standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^{p|q}$ is given by $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{p+q}\}$ where

$$e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad e_{p+q-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_{p+q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_p\}$ is a basis for the even subspace \mathbb{C}^p and $\{e_{p+1}, e_{p+2}, \dots, e_{p+q}\}$ is a basis for the odd subspace \mathbb{C}^q . Denote by E_{ab} , for all $a, b = 1, 2, \dots, p+q$, the matrix units relative to the standard basis. Then $E_{ab}e_c = \delta_{bc}e_a$ for all a, b, c .

For positive integers a and b , let $M_{ab} = M_{ab}(\mathbb{C})$ be the space of all $a \times b$ complex matrices, 0_{ab} the $a \times b$ zero matrix and $M_a = M_{aa}$. Write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$, and we shall identify each element $T \in \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ with the matrix which represents T with respect to the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^{p+q} . So as vector spaces, we have $\mathfrak{g} = M_{p+q}$,

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0_{pq} \\ \hline 0_{qp} & D \end{array} \right) : A \in M_p, D \in M_q \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0_{pp} & B \\ \hline C & 0_{qq} \end{array} \right) : B \in M_{pq}, C \in M_{qp} \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

The matrix units E_{ab} form a homogeneous basis for \mathfrak{g} . We fix for \mathfrak{g} the standard Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{p|q}$ and Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}_{p|q} \subset \mathfrak{b}_{p|q}$, where $\mathfrak{b}_{p|q}$ consists of all upper triangular matrices in \mathfrak{g} , and $\mathfrak{h}_{p|q}$ consists of all diagonal matrices in \mathfrak{g} . We also let $\mathfrak{u}_{p|q}$ be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} consisting of all strictly upper triangular matrices in \mathfrak{g} . Then the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{p|q}$ can be written as a direct sum

$$\mathfrak{b}_{p|q} = \mathfrak{h}_{p|q} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{p|q}.$$

Remark 2.5. Note that $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|0} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_p$, and $\mathfrak{b}_p := \mathfrak{b}_{p|0}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_p := \mathfrak{h}_{p|0} \subset \mathfrak{b}_{p|0}$ are the standard Borel and Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{gl}_p respectively. Moreover, $\mathfrak{b}_p = \mathfrak{h}_p \oplus \mathfrak{u}_p$ where $\mathfrak{u}_p := \mathfrak{u}_{p|0}$. We also have $\mathfrak{gl}_{0|q} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_q$.

Highest weight modules for \mathfrak{g} will be defined relative to the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{p|q}$. Irreducible highest weight modules are uniquely characterized by their highest weights, which are elements of the dual space $\mathfrak{h}_{p|q}^*$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{p|q}$. The irreducible highest weight module with highest weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{p|q}^*$ is finite dimensional if and only if $\lambda(E_{aa}) - \lambda(E_{a+1, a+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for all $a \neq p$.

We will denote an element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{p|q}^*$ as $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{p+q})$, where $\lambda_a = \lambda(E_{aa})$ for all $a = 1, 2, \dots, p+q$.

Let us introduce a subset of $\mathfrak{h}_{p|q}^*$, which will play an important role in the rest of this paper. Denote by Λ^+ the set of partitions, i.e., the set of sequences $F =$

(f_1, f_2, \dots) with $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for all i such that $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \dots$, and $f_j = 0$ for all $j \gg 1$. We depict $F \in \Lambda^+$ as a Young diagram with f_i boxes in the i -th row for each i . (Recall that a Young diagram is an array of square boxes arranged in left-justified horizontal rows, with each row no longer than the one above it [Fu]. We will often abuse notation and write an element $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \Lambda^+$ as $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ if $f_i = 0$ for all $i > r$. For example, the element $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$ of Λ^+ will sometimes be written as (1) , and it represents the Young diagram which has exactly 1 box.) The depth of F , denoted by $\ell(F)$, is the number of rows in the Young diagram of F . Let

$$\Lambda_n^+ = \{D \in \Lambda^+ : \ell(D) \leq n\}.$$

We also let

$$\Lambda_{p|q}^+ = \{F = (f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \Lambda^+ : f_{p+1} \leq q\}$$

and call it the (p, q) -hook in Λ^+ . Note that $\Lambda_p^+ \subset \Lambda_{p|q}^+$.

Given $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \Lambda_{p|q}^+$, we write f'_i for the length of the i -th column of the Young diagram of F (in particular, $\ell(F) = f'_1$), and let F^\sharp be the $(p+q)$ -tuple defined by

$$F^\sharp = (f_1^\sharp, f_2^\sharp, \dots, f_{p+q}^\sharp), \quad (2.6)$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq p+q$,

$$f_i^\sharp = \begin{cases} f_i & 1 \leq i \leq p \\ \max(f'_{i-p} - p, 0) & p+1 \leq i \leq p+q. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\Lambda_{p|q}^{+\sharp} = \{F^\sharp : F \in \Lambda_{p|q}^+\},$$

and we identify it with a subset of $\mathfrak{h}_{p|q}^*$ via the inclusion $\psi_{p|q} : \Lambda_{p|q}^{+\sharp} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{p|q}^*$ defined by, for all $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \Lambda_{p|q}^+$,

$$\psi_{p|q}(F^\sharp) = \psi_{p|q}^{F^\sharp}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\psi_{p|q}^{F^\sharp}(E_{ii}) = f_i^\sharp$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p+q$.

Now, for any $F \in \Lambda_{p|q}^+$, we denote by $L_{p|q}^F$ the irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ -representation with highest weight $\psi_{p|q}^{F^\sharp}$. We call the representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ corresponding to such an $L_{p|q}^F$ an irreducible **polynomial representation** in view of the well-known facts that tensor powers of $\mathbb{C}^{p|q}$ are semi-simple, and their simple submodules are precisely $L_{p|q}^F$ for all $F \in \Lambda_{p|q}^+$ (see, e.g., [BR, GZ]). Note in particular that $L_{p|q}^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}^{p|q}$.

Remark 2.8. *The easiest way to see the semi-simplicity of the tensor powers of $\mathbb{C}^{p|q}$ is by observing the fact [GZ] that $\mathbb{C}^{p|q}$ is unitarizable with respect to the compact real form of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$. However, one should be warned that tensor products of polynomial representations with their duals are not semi-simple in general.*

2.1.3. *Realization of irreducible polynomial representations of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$.* Fix a positive integer n , and consider the natural action of $\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q}$, where \mathbb{C}^n is purely even. The action naturally extends to

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q}),$$

the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded symmetric algebra on $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q}$. We have the following result.

Theorem 2.9 (The $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q})$ -duality). *As a $\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ -module, \mathcal{R} has the following multiplicity free decomposition into irreducible representations*

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \bigoplus_{F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+} \rho_n^F \otimes L_{p|q}^F, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\Lambda_{n,p|q}^+ = \Lambda_n^+ \cap \Lambda_{p|q}^+$, and ρ_n^F is the irreducible \mathfrak{gl}_n representation with highest weight determined by F in the usual way (see Remark 2.11 below).

Remark 2.11. *Since any $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \Lambda_n^+$ satisfies $f_{n+i} = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, we define the map*

$$\psi_n : \Lambda_n^+ \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_n^*, \quad F \mapsto \psi_n^F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n),$$

which is the special case $\psi_{n|0}$ of the map $\psi_{p|q}$ defined in equation (2.7). Then the highest weight of ρ_n^F is equal to ψ_n^F .

Theorem 2.9 was already known to Howe in the 70s [H1]. More recent treatments of it can be found in [Se] and [CLZ, CW, CZ]. It reduces to $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_p)$ -duality when $q = 0$:

$$S(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p) \cong \bigoplus_{D \in \Lambda_{\min(n,p)}^+} \rho_n^D \otimes \rho_p^D, \quad (2.12)$$

and to skew $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_q)$ -duality when $p = 0$:

$$\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^q) \cong \bigoplus_{E \in R_{n,q}} \rho_n^{E^t} \otimes \rho_q^E, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$R_{n,q} = \{F = (f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \Lambda^+ : f_1 \leq n, f_{q+1} = 0\}, \quad (2.14)$$

i.e., $R_{n,q}$ is the set of all Young diagrams with at most n columns and at most q rows. (see [H, H1]).

Next, denote by \mathfrak{u}_n the subalgebra of \mathfrak{gl}_n consisting of all $n \times n$ strictly upper triangular matrices. Let

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{u}_n} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{R} : X.\xi = 0 \ \forall X \in \mathfrak{u}_n\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{u}_n}$ is a subalgebra of \mathcal{R} , as for any $\zeta, \xi \in \mathcal{R}$, we have

$$X.(\zeta\xi) = X.\zeta\xi + \zeta X.\xi = 0, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{u}_n.$$

It is a module for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$, and can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \cong \bigoplus_{F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+} (\rho_n^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \otimes L_{p|q}^F, \quad (2.15)$$

where $(\rho_n^F)^{u_n}$ is the subspace of ρ_n^F consisting of all vectors annihilated by the operators from u_n . For $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$, we have $\dim(\rho_n^F)^{u_n} = 1$ and $h.v = \psi_n^F(h)v$ for all $v \in (\rho_n^F)^{u_n}$ and all $h \in \mathfrak{h}_n$, i.e., the nonzero vectors in $(\rho_n^F)^{u_n}$ are \mathfrak{gl}_n highest weight vectors of weight ψ_n^F . Since $\dim(\rho_n^F)^{u_n} = 1$, we have

$$(\rho_n^F)^{u_n} \otimes L_{p|q}^F \cong L_{p|q}^F \quad (2.16)$$

as modules for $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$. Thus, we may realize the $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ -module $L_{p|q}^F$ as the ψ_n^F -eigenspace of \mathfrak{h}_n in \mathcal{R}^{u_n} .

2.2. Iterated Pieri rules for \mathfrak{gl}_n . In this subsection, we restrict ourselves to ordinary general linear Lie algebras and consider the cases of Theorem 2.9 with $q = 0$ or $p = 0$, that is, the $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_p)$ -duality or the skew $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_q)$ -duality. In particular, the special case with $p = 1$ and $q = 0$ yields the well-known fact that $\rho_n^{(d)} \cong S^d(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the symmetric d -th power of the standard representation \mathbb{C}^n , for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$; and the case with $p = 0$ and $q = 1$ gives $\rho_n^{1^d} \cong \Lambda^d(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the exterior d -th power of \mathbb{C}^n , for any $d \leq n$.

We shall state the *iterated Pieri rules* for \mathfrak{gl}_n which play a crucial role in the rest of this paper. Before we do that, we recall some definitions in combinatorics. Let $D = (d_1, d_2, \dots)$ and $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$ be Young diagrams such that D sits inside F , that is, $d_i \leq f_i$ for all $i \geq 1$. By removing all boxes belonging to D , we obtain the *skew diagram* F/D . (We allow D to be the empty Young diagram with no box, i.e., $D = (0, 0, \dots)$. In this case, we have $F/D = F$.) If we put a positive number in each box of F/D , then it becomes a *skew tableau*. Let us denote this skew tableau by T . We say that the *shape* of T is F/D . If the entries of T are taken from $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and α_j of them are j for $1 \leq j \leq m$, then we say the *content* of T is $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$. We also say that T is *semistandard* if the numbers in each row of T weakly increase from left-to-right, and the numbers in each column of T strictly increase from top-to-bottom. The number of semistandard tableaux of shape F/D and content α is denoted by $K_{F/D, \alpha}$ and is called a *Kostka number* ([Fu]). (If D is the empty Young diagram, then we write $K_{F, \alpha}$ for $K_{F/D, \alpha}$.) Finally, the *conjugate diagram* of the Young diagram $D = (d_1, d_2, \dots)$ is the Young diagram D^t having d_j boxes in the j th column counting from left to right.

Proposition 2.17 (Iterated Pieri rules). *Let $D, E \in \Lambda_n^+$.*

(i) *If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ are non-negative integers, then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n} (\rho_n^E, \rho_n^D \otimes S^{\alpha_1}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \dots \otimes S^{\alpha_p}(\mathbb{C}^n)) = K_{E/D, \alpha}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$.

(ii) *If β_1, \dots, β_q are non-negative integers not more than n , then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n} (\rho_n^E, \rho_n^D \otimes \Lambda^{\beta_1}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \dots \otimes \Lambda^{\beta_q}(\mathbb{C}^n)) = K_{E^t/D^t, \beta},$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)$.

Proof. The proof of part (i) was given in [L, §7.2] and also in [HKL, §3.3], by combining the $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_p)$ -duality with the Pieri rule. Part (ii) was proven in [KLW,

Theorem 4] in a similar spirit by combining the skew $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_q)$ -duality with the Pieri rule for skew symmetric tensors. \square

3. BRANCHING ALGEBRA FOR $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'})$

In this section, we shall construct a branching algebra for $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'})$ where $r + r' = p$ and $s + s' = q$. By using the structure of this algebra, we obtain branching rules for $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'})$ and also for $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s})$. By applying the result for the case $r = s = 0$, we obtain the dimensions of the weight spaces in an irreducible polynomial representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$.

3.1. Branching problems. From now on, we shall denote $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ by \mathfrak{g} . We first define two subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} to be denoted by \mathfrak{g}' and \mathfrak{m} respectively.

Let $0 \leq r \leq p$ and $0 \leq s \leq q$ be non-negative integers, and let $\iota : \mathbb{C}^{r|s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p|q}$ be the injection defined by

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_r \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_s \end{bmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_r \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_s \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

This induces an injection $\tilde{\iota} : \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ of Lie superalgebras defined as follows: For any $g = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$, where the matrices A, B, C, D are respectively $r \times r$, $r \times s$, $s \times r$ and $s \times s$, then

$$\tilde{\iota}(g) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} A & 0_{r,r'} & B & 0_{r,s'} \\ \hline 0_{r',r} & 0_{r',r'} & 0_{r',s} & 0_{r',s'} \\ \hline C & 0_{s,r'} & D & 0_{s,s'} \\ \hline 0_{s',r} & 0_{s',r'} & 0_{s',s} & 0_{s',s'} \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (3.1)$$

where 0_{ab} denotes the $a \times b$ zero matrix, and

$$r' = p - r, \quad s' = q - s. \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \{\tilde{\iota}(g) : g \in \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}\}, \quad (3.3)$$

which is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g}' \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \tilde{\iota}(\mathfrak{h}_{r|s}), \quad \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \tilde{\iota}(\mathfrak{u}_{r|s}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \tilde{\iota}(\mathfrak{b}_{r|s}).$$

Then $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ is a Cartan subalgebra, $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ is a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}' and $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}'} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}$.

We also let \mathfrak{m} be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} consisting of all matrices of the form (3.1)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & | & 0_{r,r'} & | & B & | & 0_{r,s'} \\ \hline 0_{r',r} & | & H_{r'} & | & 0_{r',s} & | & 0_{r',s'} \\ \hline C & | & 0_{s,r'} & | & D & | & 0_{s,s'} \\ \hline 0_{s',r} & | & 0_{s',r'} & | & 0_{s',s} & | & H_{s'} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.4)$$

where all the submatrices are as in equation (3.1) except that $H_{r'}$ and $H_{s'}$ are diagonal matrices of sizes $r' \times r'$ and $s' \times s'$ respectively. Then it is clear that

$$\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{b}_m = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{b}_{p|q}, \quad \mathfrak{h}_m = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{p|q}, \quad \mathfrak{u}_m = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{u}_{p|q}.$$

Then \mathfrak{b}_m is a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{m} , \mathfrak{h}_m is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{m} and $\mathfrak{b}_m = \mathfrak{h}_m \oplus \mathfrak{u}_m$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{h}_m \cong \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{u}_m = \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}$$

In this section, we consider the following branching problems:

Branching Problem: For $F \in \Lambda_{p|q}^+$,

- (i) determine a decomposition of $L_{p|q}^F$ into irreducible \mathfrak{m} modules, and
- (ii) determine a decomposition of $L_{p|q}^F$ into irreducible \mathfrak{g}' modules.

3.2. The branching algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$. Let n be a positive integer and consider the $\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ module $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q})$. Let

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_m} \quad (3.5)$$

be the subalgebra of \mathcal{R} consisting of vectors annihilated by operators from $\mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_m$. It is a module for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$. Since $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$ is isomorphic $\Sigma\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$, we shall instead regard $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ as a $\Sigma\mathfrak{h}$ module and describe the corresponding isotypic decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$.

For convenience, we introduce the following notation:

Notation 3.6. Let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k be complex vector spaces and $U = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_k$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $\psi_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a linear functional. Then $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k)$ shall denote the linear functional on U defined by, for all $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) \in U$,

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k)(u) = \psi_1(u_1) + \psi_2(u_2) + \dots + \psi_k(u_k). \quad (3.7)$$

We shall assume that $(r, s) \neq (0, 0)$ here, the case $(r, s) = (0, 0)$ will be discussed in §3.4. Let

$$\Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) := \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+ \times \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}. \quad (3.8)$$

For each $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$, let

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)} = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) : h.v = (\psi_n^F, \psi_{p|q}^{D^\sharp}, \psi_{r'}^\alpha, \psi_{s'}^\beta)(h) \forall h \in \Sigma\mathfrak{h} \right\},$$

that is, $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}$ is the $(\psi_n^F, \psi_{p|q}^{D^\sharp}, \psi_{r'}^\alpha, \psi_{s'}^\beta)$ -isotypic component for $\Sigma\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$.

Let us denote by $\mathbb{C}_{\psi_r^\alpha}$ the 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{h}_{r'}$ -module with weight ψ_r^α , and similarly denote by $\mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}$ the 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{h}_{s'}$ -module with weight $\psi_{s'}^\beta$. Then we have the following result:

Proposition 3.9. *The algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ has a direct sum decomposition given by*

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \bigoplus_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})} \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover, for each $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$,

$$\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{m}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_r^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}, L_{p|q}^F). \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Since all vectors in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ are annihilated by the operators from \mathfrak{u}_n , any \mathfrak{h}_n eigenvector in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a \mathfrak{gl}_n highest weight vector, so that the corresponding eigencharacter is necessarily a dominant weight for \mathfrak{gl}_n and is of the form ψ_n^F for some $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$. For a similar reason, the eigencharacter of any eigenvector of $\mathfrak{h}_{r|s}$ in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ is of the form $\psi_{r|s}^{D^\sharp}$ for some $D \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+$. Consequently, the direct sum (3.10) is the isotypic decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ with respect to the action by $\Sigma \mathfrak{h}$.

To prove (3.11), we shall use equation (2.10) to obtain another decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$. By extracting vectors in \mathcal{R} which are annihilated by $\mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_m \cong \mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}$, we obtain from equation (2.10) the direct sum

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_m} \cong \bigoplus_{F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+} (\rho_n^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \otimes (L_{p|q}^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $(L_{p|q}^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}}$ is the space of vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$ annihilated by operators from $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}$, which is a module for $\mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$.

We now fix $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$. Then $(L_{p|q}^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}}$ can be decomposed as

$$(L_{p|q}^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}} = \bigoplus_{(D,\alpha,\beta)} (L_{p|q}^F)_{(D,\alpha,\beta)}^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}}, \quad (3.13)$$

where the direct sum is taken over all $(D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}$ and

$$(L_{p|q}^F)_{(D,\alpha,\beta)}^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}} = \left\{ v \in (L_{p|q}^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}} : h.v = (\psi_p^{D^\sharp}, \psi_r^\alpha, \psi_{s'}^\beta)(h)v \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'} \right\},$$

i.e., the space of all \mathfrak{m} highest weight vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$ of weight $(\psi_p^{D^\sharp}, \psi_r^\alpha, \psi_{s'}^\beta)$. Consequently,

$$\dim (L_{p|q}^F)_{(D,\alpha,\beta)}^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{m}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_r^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}, L_{p|q}^F), \quad (3.14)$$

which is the multiplicity of $L_{r|s}^D \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_r^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}$ in $L_{p|q}^F$.

Using equations (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) \cong \bigoplus_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})} (\rho_n^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \otimes (L_{p|q}^F)_{(D,\alpha,\beta)}^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'}}. \quad (3.15)$$

By comparing equations (3.10) and (3.15), we see that for each $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)} \cong (\rho_n^F)^{u_n} \otimes (L_{p|q}^F)^{u_{\mathfrak{g}'}}_{(D, \alpha, \beta)} \quad (3.16)$$

as a module for $\Sigma \mathfrak{h}$. Since $\dim(\rho_n^F)^{u_n} = 1$ and by equation (3.14), we obtain

$$\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)} = \dim (L_{p|q}^F)^{u_{\mathfrak{g}'}}_{(D, \alpha, \beta)} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{m}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_s^\beta}, L_{p|q}^F).$$

This proves (3.11), completing the proof of the proposition. \square

Remark 3.17. *We see from Proposition (3.9) that part of the branching rule for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ can be deduced from the structure of the algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$. In particular, the dimension of each of the subspaces $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)}$ of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ is the multiplicity of an irreducible \mathfrak{m} representation in an irreducible \mathfrak{g} representation. In view of this property of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$, we call $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ a **branching algebra** for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$.*

3.3. Branching rules for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$. We now express $\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)}$ in terms of Kostka numbers and skew Kostka numbers.

Proposition 3.18. *For any $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$, let $N_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)}$ be the non-negative integer defined by*

$$N_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)} = \sum_E K_{E/D, \alpha} K_{F^t/E^t, \beta} \quad (3.19)$$

where the sum is taken over all Young diagrams E (note that $K_{E/D, \alpha} K_{F^t/E^t, \beta} \neq 0$ only for finitely many E). Then

$$\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)} = N_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)}. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. Write $\mathbb{C}^p = \mathbb{C}^r \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r'}$ and $\mathbb{C}^q = \mathbb{C}^s \oplus \mathbb{C}^{s'}$. Then $\mathbb{C}^{p|q} = \mathbb{C}^r \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r'} \oplus \mathbb{C}^s \oplus \mathbb{C}^{s'} \cong \mathbb{C}^{r|s} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r'|s'}$, and hence $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q}) \cong \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r|s} \oplus \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r'|s'})$. Recall from Section 2.1.1 the functorial property of the supersymmetric algebra that $\mathbb{S}(V \oplus V') = \mathbb{S}(V) \otimes \mathbb{S}(V')$ for any \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces V and V' . This immediately leads to

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r|s}) \otimes \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r'|s'}).$$

Since $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r'|s'}) \cong S(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r'}) \otimes \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{s'})$ (see Section 2.1.1), we obtain

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r|s}) \otimes S(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r'}) \otimes \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{s'}). \quad (3.21)$$

This is an isomorphism of modules for $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{m}$, whose direct summands \mathfrak{gl}_n , $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{r'}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{s'}$ act on both sides in the obvious way.

Next, we have $S(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r'}) \cong S(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes r'}$ and $\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{s'}) \cong \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes s'}$. By using the $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_1)$ -duality and its skew version, we obtain

$$S(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S^a(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \rho_1^{(a)}, \quad \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \bigoplus_{b=0}^n \Lambda^b(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \rho_1^{(b)}.$$

Thus we have the following isomorphisms of modules for $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{h}_{r'}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$ respectively:

$$S(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r'}) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r'} \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S^{\alpha_i}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \rho_1^{(\alpha_i)} \right) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'}} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{r'} S^{\alpha_i}(\mathbb{C}^n) \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{s'}) \cong \bigotimes_{j=1}^{s'} \left(\bigoplus_{\beta_j=0}^n \Lambda^{\beta_j}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \rho_1^{(\beta_j)} \right) \cong \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}} \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{s'} \Lambda^{\beta_j}(\mathbb{C}^n) \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}, \quad (3.23)$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{r'})$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{s'})$, and $\Lambda^{\beta_j}(\mathbb{C}^n) = 0$ if $\beta_j > n$ for any j . Moreover, $\mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}$ are one-dimensional modules of $\mathfrak{h}_{r'}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{s'}$ respectively, satisfying

$$t_1 \cdot f_1 = \psi_{r'}^\alpha(t_1) f_1, \quad t_2 \cdot f_2 = \psi_{s'}^\beta(t_2) f_2,$$

for all $t_1 \in \mathfrak{h}_{r'}$, $f_1 \in \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha}$, $t_2 \in \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$ and $f_2 \in \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}$.

It now follows from equations (3.21), (2.10), (3.22) and (3.23) that

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{(D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_{n, r|s}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}} \mathcal{R}_{(D, \alpha, \beta)} \quad (3.24)$$

where for each $(D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_{n, r|s}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{(D, \alpha, \beta)} \cong T(D, \alpha, \beta) \otimes L_{r|s}^D \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta},$$

and $T(D, \alpha, \beta)$ is the \mathfrak{gl}_n module defined by

$$T(D, \alpha, \beta) = \rho_n^D \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{r'} S^{\alpha_i}(\mathbb{C}^n) \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{s'} \Lambda^{\beta_j}(\mathbb{C}^n) \right).$$

(We agree that $T(D, \alpha, \beta) = 0$ if $j > n$ for some $1 \leq j \leq s'$.)

Now $T(D, \alpha, \beta)$ can be analyzed using the Iterated Peiri rules. By first applying part (i) and then part (ii) of Proposition 2.17, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(D, \alpha, \beta) &\cong \left(\bigoplus_E K_{E/D, \alpha} \rho_n^E \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{s'} \Lambda^{\beta_j}(\mathbb{C}^n) \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_E K_{E/D, \alpha} \left(\bigoplus_F K_{F^t/E^t, \beta} \rho_n^F \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_F \left(\bigoplus_E K_{E/D, \alpha} K_{F^t/E^t, \beta} \right) \rho_n^F \\ &\cong \bigoplus_F N_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)} \rho_n^F. \end{aligned}$$

By extracting the vectors in $T(D, \alpha, \beta)$ which are annihilated by \mathfrak{u}_n , we obtain

$$T(D, \alpha, \beta)^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \cong \bigoplus_F N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}(\rho_n^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_n}. \quad (3.25)$$

We now fix $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$ and let $T(D, \alpha, \beta)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n}$ be the space of vectors v in $T(D, \alpha, \beta)^{\mathfrak{u}_n}$ for which $a.v = \psi_n^F(a)v$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{h}_n$. Then since $\dim(\rho_n^F)^{\mathfrak{u}_n} = 1$ and by equation (3.25),

$$\dim T(D, \alpha, \beta)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n} = N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}. \quad (3.26)$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)} \cong T(D, \alpha, \beta)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \otimes (L_{r|s}^D)^{\mathfrak{u}_{r|s}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}$$

as a module for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$. It follows from this and equation (3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{Q}_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)} &= \dim \left(T(D, \alpha, \beta)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \otimes (L_{r|s}^D)^{\mathfrak{u}_{r|s}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta} \right) \\ &= \dim T(D, \alpha, \beta)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \dim (L_{r|s}^D)^{\mathfrak{u}_{r|s}} \dim \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha} \dim \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta} \\ &= \dim T(D, \alpha, \beta)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \\ &= N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.27. *We have seen earlier that the structure of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ encodes a branching rule for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$. The proof of Proposition (3.18) shows that the dimension of $\mathcal{Q}_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}$ is equal to the multiplicity of ρ_n^F in the k -fold tensor product $T(D, \alpha, \beta)$ of \mathfrak{gl}_n representations, where $k = r' + s' + 1$. So the structure of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ also encodes information on how such tensor products decomposes into irreducible \mathfrak{gl}_n representations, which can be viewed as a branching rule from \mathfrak{gl}_n^k to its diagonal subalgebra $\Delta^{(k-1)}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ (see Remark 3.28 below for explanation). Hence, the structure of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ encodes two sets of branching rules connected by a reciprocity law. Following [HTW1, HTW2], we shall call $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ a **reciprocity algebra**.*

Remark 3.28. *Set $\Delta^{(k-1)}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) = \left\{ \Delta^{(k-1)}(X) = \underbrace{(X, X, \dots, X)}_k : X \in \mathfrak{gl}_n \right\}$. When \mathfrak{gl}_n is regarded as embedded in its universal enveloping algebra in the canonical way, one always writes $\Delta^{(k-1)}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_i \otimes X \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{k-1-i}$.*

We have the following result

Theorem 3.29. *Recall that $r' = p - r$ and $s' = q - s$. Let $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$.*

(i) **(Branching from $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ to $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$)** *As a representation of \mathfrak{m} ,*

$$L_{p|q}^F = \bigoplus_{(D,\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}} N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)} L_{r|s}^D \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}.$$

(ii) **(Branching from $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ to $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$)** As a representation of \mathfrak{g}' ,

$$L_{p|q}^F = \bigoplus_{D \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+} \tilde{N}_{(F,D)} L_{r|s}^D.$$

where for each $D \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+$,

$$\tilde{N}_{(F,D)} = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}} N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}. \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. This follows from equations (3.11) and (3.20). \square

3.4. Weight multiplicities of $L_{p|q}^F$. We now consider the case $r = s = 0$. In this case, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}' = 0, \quad \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{h}_m = \mathfrak{h}_p \oplus \mathfrak{h}_q, \quad \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \mathcal{R}^{u_n}, \quad \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^p \times \mathbb{Z}_+^q,$$

and $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a module for $\Sigma \mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_p \oplus \mathfrak{h}_q$. Note that $\Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ is the direct product of only 3 sets instead of 4 since $\Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ = \emptyset$, and the algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ decomposes into the direct sum

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \bigoplus_{(F,\alpha,\beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})} \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F,\alpha,\beta)},$$

where for each $(F, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F,\alpha,\beta)} = \{v \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) : h.v = (\psi_n^F, \psi_p^\alpha, \psi_q^\beta)(h)v \ \forall h \in \Sigma \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Except these minor differences, the arguments in §3.2 and 3.3 remain valid and lead to the following results:

Corollary 3.31. *Let $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$.*

(i) *For $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^p \times \mathbb{Z}_+^q$, let*

$$(L_{p|q}^F)_{(\alpha,\beta)} = \{v \in L_{p|q}^F : (a, b).v = (\psi_p^\alpha(a) + \psi_q^\beta(b))v \ \forall (a, b) \in \mathfrak{h}_p \oplus \mathfrak{h}_q\},$$

the $(\psi_p^\alpha, \psi_q^\beta)$ -weight space of $L_{p|q}^F$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F,\alpha,\beta)} \cong (\rho_n^F)^{u_n} \otimes (L_{p|q}^F)_{(\alpha,\beta)}$$

as a module for $\Sigma \mathfrak{h}$, and

$$\dim(L_{p|q}^F)_{(\alpha,\beta)} = N'_{(F,\alpha,\beta)} \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$N'_{(F,\alpha,\beta)} = \sum_E K_{E,\alpha} K_{F^t/E^t,\beta} \quad (3.33)$$

where the sum is taken over all Young diagrams E .

(ii) The dimension of $L_{p|q}^F$ is given by

$$\dim L_{p|q}^F = \tilde{N}'_F, \quad (3.34)$$

where

$$\tilde{N}'_F = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^p \times \mathbb{Z}_+^q} N'_{(F, \alpha, \beta)}.$$

Remark 3.35. Part (i) of Corollary 3.31 gives the weight multiplicities of any irreducible polynomial $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ -representation. We believe that the formula (3.32), which expresses weight multiplicities in terms of Kostka numbers, is new.

4. BRANCHING ALGEBRA FOR $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{p-r|q-s})$

In this section, we shall construct a branching algebra for $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'})$ where $r + r' = p$, $s + s' = q$. By using the structure of this algebra, we deduce a branching rule for $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'})$. In particular, in the case $(r, s, r', s') = (p, 0, 0, q)$, we obtain a branching rule from $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ to its even subspace $\mathfrak{gl}_p \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_q$.

4.1. Branching problem. We continue to denote $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$. Recall the subalgebra \mathfrak{g}' of \mathfrak{g} defined in equation (3.3). We now define another Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}'' of \mathfrak{g} as follows: Let $\kappa : \mathbb{C}^{r'|s'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p|q}$ be the injection defined by

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{r'} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_{s'} \end{bmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{r'} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_{s'} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

This induces an injection $\tilde{\kappa} : \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ of Lie superalgebras defined as follows: For any $g = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E & F \\ \hline G & H \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'}$, where the matrices E, F, G, H are respectively $r' \times r'$, $r' \times s'$, $s' \times r'$ and $s' \times s'$, then

$$\tilde{\kappa}(g) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 0_{r,r} & 0_{r,r'} & 0_{r,s} & 0_{r,s'} \\ \hline 0_{r',r} & E & 0_{r',s} & F \\ \hline 0_{s,r} & 0_{s,r'} & 0_{s,s} & 0_{s,s'} \\ \hline 0_{s',r} & G & 0_{s',s} & H \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (4.1)$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{g}'' = \{\tilde{\kappa}(g) : g \in \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'}\}, \quad (4.2)$$

which is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g}'' \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}''} = \tilde{\kappa}(\mathfrak{h}_{r'|s'}), \quad \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}''} = \tilde{\kappa}(\mathfrak{u}_{r'|s'}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{g}''} = \tilde{\kappa}(\mathfrak{b}_{r'|s'}).$$

Then $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}''}$ is a Cartan subalgebra and $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{g}''}$ is a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}'' , and $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{g}''} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}''} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}''}$.

Next we let \mathfrak{q} be the subspace of \mathfrak{g} spanned by $\mathfrak{g}' \cup \mathfrak{g}''$. Explicitly, \mathfrak{q} consists of all matrices of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} A & 0_{r,r'} & B & 0_{r,s'} \\ \hline 0_{r',r} & E & 0_{r',s} & F \\ \hline C & 0_{s,r'} & D & 0_{s,s'} \\ \hline 0_{s',r} & G & 0_{s',s} & H \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (4.3)$$

where all the submatrices are as in equations (3.1) and (4.1). Then \mathfrak{q} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , and

$$\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{g}'' \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'}. \quad (4.4)$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{b}_{p|q}, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{p|q}, \quad \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{u}_{p|q}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{q} , $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{q} and $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}''} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'|s'}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}'} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{g}''}.$$

By (4.4), all the irreducible polynomial representations of \mathfrak{q} are of the form $L_{r|s}^D \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E$ for some $D \in \Lambda_{r|s}$ and $E \in \Lambda_{r'|s'}$.

In this section, we consider the following branching problem:

Branching Problem: For $F \in \Lambda_{p|q}^+$, determine a decomposition of the irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module $L_{p|q}^F$ into irreducible \mathfrak{q} -modules.

A solution of this branching problem was alluded to in [CZ, Remark 9.4]. Here we want to build a branching algebra to solve the problem.

4.2. The branching algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$. Let n be a positive integer. We again consider the $\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ module $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q})$ and let

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{q}}}$$

be the subalgebra of \mathcal{R} consisting of vectors annihilated by the operators from $\mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ (recall that \mathfrak{u}_n is the subalgebra of \mathfrak{gl}_n consisting of all $n \times n$ strictly upper triangular matrices). Then $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$ is a module for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'|s'}$, we shall instead regard $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$ as a module for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'|s'}$.

Let

$$\Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q}) = \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+ \times \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+.$$

For each $(F, D, E) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$, let $\psi^{(F,D,E)} := (\psi_n^F, \psi_{r|s}^{D^\sharp}, \psi_{r'|s'}^{E^\sharp})$, which is the linear functional of $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'|s'}$ defined by equation (3.7). Define

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})_{(F,D,E)} = \{v \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q}) : t.v = \psi^{(F,D,E)}(t)v, \forall t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in \mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'|s'}\}.$$

We have the following result.

Proposition 4.5. (a) *The algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$ has a direct sum decomposition given by*

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q}) = \bigoplus_{(F,D,E) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})} \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})_{(F,D,E)}. \quad (4.6)$$

(b) *For all $(F, D, E) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$,*

$$\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})_{(F,D,E)} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}(\rho_n^F, \rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E). \quad (4.7)$$

(c) *For all $(F, D, E) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$,*

$$\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})_{(F,D,E)} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes \rho_{r'|s'}^E, L_{p|q}^F). \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. The following isomorphism of algebras is clear,

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r|s}) \otimes \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r'|s'}),$$

which can also be easily proved using arguments similar to those in the proof of Proposition 3.18. Using the $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s})$ -duality and $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'})$ -duality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{D \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+} \rho_n^D \otimes L_{r|s}^D \right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{E \in \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+} \rho_n^E \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{(D,E) \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+} (\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E) \otimes L_{r|s}^D \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E. \end{aligned}$$

By extracting the vectors in \mathcal{R} which are annihilated by the operators from $\mathfrak{u}_n \oplus \mathfrak{u}_q$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q}) \cong \bigoplus_{(D,E) \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+} (\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \otimes (L_{r|s}^D)^{\mathfrak{u}_{r|s}} \otimes (L_{r'|s'}^E)^{\mathfrak{u}_{r'|s'}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Let $(D, E) \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+$. Then the space $(\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)^{\mathfrak{u}_n}$ of vectors in $\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E$ annihilated by the operators from \mathfrak{u}_n is a module for \mathfrak{h}_n , and can be decomposed as

$$(\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)^{\mathfrak{u}_n} = \bigoplus_F (\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n} \quad (4.10)$$

where the direct sum is taken over a set of Young diagrams F and

$$(\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n} = \{v \in (\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)^{\mathfrak{u}_n} : t.v = \psi_n^F(t)v \ \forall t \in \mathfrak{u}_n\}, \quad (4.11)$$

i.e., $(\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n}$ is the space of \mathfrak{gl}_n highest weight vectors of weight ψ_n^F in $\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E$. Therefore,

$$\dim(\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)_F^{\mathfrak{u}_n} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}(\rho_n^F, \rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E), \quad (4.12)$$

the multiplicity of ρ_n^F in the tensor product $\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E$. By Theorem 2.9, the irreducible representations of \mathfrak{gl}_n which occur in \mathcal{R} are labeled by the Young digrams in $\Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$. Thus, we may assume that the Young diagrams F which appear in the direct sum (4.10) also belong to $\Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$.

By combining equations (4.9) and (4.10), we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q}) \cong \bigoplus_{(F,D,E) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})} (\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)_F^{u_n} \otimes (L_{r|s}^D)^{u_{r|s}} \otimes (L_{r'|s'}^E)^{u_{r'|s'}}. \quad (4.13)$$

Now observe that for all $(F, D, E) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})_{(F,D,E)} \cong (\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)_F^{u_n} \otimes (L_{r|s}^D)^{u_{r|s}} \otimes (L_{r'|s'}^E)^{u_{r'|s'}} \quad (4.14)$$

as a module for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_p \oplus \mathfrak{h}_q$. This immediately leads to (4.6). Moreover, by equations (4.14) and (4.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})_{(F,D,E)} &= \dim ((\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)_F^{u_n} \otimes (L_{r|s}^D)^{u_{r|s}} \otimes (L_{r'|s'}^E)^{u_{r'|s'}}) \\ &= \dim(\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)_F^{u_n} \dim(L_{r|s}^D)^{u_{r|s}} \dim(L_{r'|s'}^E)^{u_{r'|s'}} \\ &= \dim(\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E)_F^{u_n} \\ &= \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}(\rho_n^F, \rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E), \end{aligned}$$

since $\dim(L_{r|s}^D)^{u_{r|s}} = \dim(L_{r'|s'}^E)^{u_{r'|s'}} = 1$. This proves (b).

Next, we use equation (2.10) to obtain another decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$. We have

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q}) \cong \bigoplus_{F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+} (\rho_n^F)^{u_n} \otimes (L_{p|q}^F)^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}} \quad (4.15)$$

where $(L_{p|q}^F)^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ for each $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$ is the space of vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$ annihilated by the operators from $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{q}}$, which is a module for $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'|s'}$. So $(L_{p|q}^F)^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ can be written as a direct sum

$$(L_{p|q}^F)^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}} = \bigoplus_{(D,E) \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+} (L_{p|q}^F)_{(D,E)}^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}} \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$(L_{p|q}^F)_{(D,E)}^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}} = \left\{ v \in (L_{p|q}^F)^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}} : t.v = (\psi_{r|s}^{D\#}, \psi_{r'|s'}^{E\#})(t)v, \forall t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'|s'} \right\},$$

which is the space of all \mathfrak{q} highest weight vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$ of weight $(\psi_{r|s}^{D\#}, \psi_{r'|s'}^{E\#})$. Hence

$$\dim(L_{p|q}^F)_{(D,E)}^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E, L_{p|q}^F), \quad (4.17)$$

the multiplicity of the irreducible \mathfrak{q} representation $L_{r|s}^D \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E$ in the irreducible \mathfrak{g} representation $L_{p|q}^F$. By combining equations (4.15) and (4.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q}) &\cong \bigoplus_{F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+} (\rho_n^F)^{u_n} \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{(D,E) \in \Lambda_{\min(n,p)}^+ \times R_{n,q}} (L_{p|q}^F)_{(D,E)}^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}} \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{(F,D,E) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})} (\rho_n^F)^{u_n} \otimes (L_{p|q}^F)_{(D,E)}^{u_{\mathfrak{q}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Now for each $(F, D, E) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})_{(F, D, E)} \cong (\rho_n^F)^{u_n} \otimes (L_{p|q}^F)_{(D, E)}^{u_q}$$

as a module for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'|s'}$. Since $\dim(\rho_n^F)^{u_n} = 1$, by equation (4.17), we obtain

$$\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})_{(F, D, E)} = \dim(L_{p|q}^F)_{(D, E)}^{u_q} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E, L_{p|q}^F),$$

which proves (4.8). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Remark 4.19. (i) *Part (c) of Proposition (4.5) shows that the structure of the algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$ encodes part of the branching rule for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$. In view of this fact, we call $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$ a **branching algebra** for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$.*

(ii) *We see from Part (b) of Proposition (4.5) that the structure of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$ also encodes information on the decomposition of the tensor products of certain irreducible polynomial representations of \mathfrak{gl}_n , which can be viewed as a branching rule for $(\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \Delta(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$, where $\Delta(\mathfrak{gl}_n) = \Delta^{(1)}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ in the notation of Remark 3.28. Therefore, $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$ is also a **branching algebra for $(\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \Delta(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$.***

(iii) *Since the structure of the algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$ encodes two sets of branching rules connected by a reciprocity law, it is a **reciprocity algebra** in the sense of [HTW1, HTW2].*

4.3. A branching rule for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$. We now derive a branching rule for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$ using the reciprocity algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})$, and the Littlewood-Richardson rule which we now recall. For a skew tableau T , the **word** of T is the sequence $w(T)$ of positive integers obtained by reading the entries of T from top to bottom, and right to left in each row. A **Littlewood-Richardson** tableau is a skew tableau T which is semistandard and satisfies the Yamanouchi word condition, that is, for each positive integer j , starting from the first entry of $w(T)$ to any place in $w(T)$, there are at least as many j s as $(j+1)$ s. The **Littlewood-Richardson rule** [Fu, HL] states that if D, E and F are Young diagrams with at most n rows, then the multiplicity $c_{D, E}^F$ of ρ_n^F in the tensor product $\rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E$ is equal the number of Littlewood-Richardson tableaux of shape F/D and content E .

Theorem 4.20. (Branching from $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ to $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'}$) For any $F \in \Lambda_{n, p|q}^+$,

$$L_{p|q}^F = \bigoplus_{(D, E) \in \Lambda_{n, r|s}^+ \times \Lambda_{n, r'|s'}^+} c_{D, E}^F L_{r|s}^D \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E$$

as a representation for \mathfrak{q} .

Proof. Let $F \in \Lambda_{p|q}^+$. For any $(D, E) \in \Lambda_{n, r|s}^+ \times \Lambda_{n, r'|s'}^+$, we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E, L_{p|q}^F) = \dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{q})_{(F, D, E)} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}(\rho_n^F, \rho_n^D \otimes \rho_n^E),$$

by (b) and (c) of Proposition 4.5. The right hand side is equal to $c_{D, E}^F$ by the Littlewood-Richardson rule. Hence

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E, L_{p|q}^F) = c_{D, E}^F,$$

proving the theorem. \square

Remark 4.21. *The branching rules of the oscillator representations of the general linear and orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras were determined in [CLZ, CZ] by exploiting the connection between branching and tensor product of representations of dual pairs of Lie (super)algebras, but without the framework of branching algebras. Also, the branching rule in Theorem 4.20 was described in [CZ, Remark 9.4].*

We now consider the special case $(r, s, r', s') = (p, 0, 0, q)$. In this case, $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} = \mathfrak{gl}_p$, $\mathfrak{gl}_{r'|s'} = \mathfrak{gl}_q$ and

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_p \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_q$$

is the even subspace of \mathfrak{g} .

Corollary 4.22. (Branching from $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ to $\mathfrak{gl}_p \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_q$) For $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$, we have

$$L_{p|q}^F = \bigoplus_{(D,E) \in \Lambda_{\min(n,p)}^+ \times R_{n,q}} c_{D,E^t}^F \rho_p^D \otimes \rho_q^{E^t} \quad (4.23)$$

as a representation for $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, where $R_{n,q}$ is defined in (2.14).

Proof. Let $(r, s, r', s') = (p, 0, 0, q)$. Then

$$\Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ = \Lambda_{n,p|0}^+ = \Lambda_{\min(n,p)}^+, \quad \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+ = \Lambda_{n,0|q}^+ = R_{n,q},$$

and for $(D, E) \in \Lambda_{\min(n,p)}^+ \times R_{n,q}$,

$$L_{r|s}^D = L_{p|0}^D = \rho_p^D, \quad L_{r'|s'}^E = L_{0|q}^E = \rho_q^{E^t}.$$

Equation (4.23) then follows from Theorem 4.20. \square

Remark 4.24. Let $F = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$. Then the highest weight of $L_{p|q}^F$ is $F^\sharp = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)$, where for each $1 \leq j \leq q$, $\mu_j = \max(\lambda_j - p, 0)$. Let D_0 and E_0 be defined respectively by

$$D_0 = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p), \quad E_0 = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q).$$

Then by Corollary 4.22, the $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ module $\rho_p^{D_0} \otimes \rho_q^{E_0}$ occurs in $L_{p|q}^F$ with multiplicity 1. Moreover, the $\mathfrak{gl}_p \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_q$ highest weight vector in $\rho_p^{D_0} \otimes \rho_q^{E_0}$ is also the $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ highest weight vector in $L_{p|q}^F$.

4.4. Alternative formulae of branching multiplicities. An interesting application of Theorem 4.20 is to deriving alternative formulae for the $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{p-r} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{q-s})$ and $(\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}, \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s})$ branching multiplicities given in Theorem 3.29. We briefly discuss it here.

Let $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$. Then by Theorem 4.20, we have

$$L_{p|q}^F = \bigoplus_{(D,E) \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+} c_{D,E}^F L_{r|s}^D \otimes L_{r'|s'}^E$$

which can be written as

$$L_{p|q}^F = \bigoplus_{D \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+} L_{r|s}^D \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{E \in \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+} c_{D,E}^F L_{r'|s'}^E \right).$$

By writing $L_{r'|s'}^E = \bigoplus_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}} (L_{r'|s'}^E)_{(\alpha,\beta)}$, we also have

$$L_{p|q}^F = \bigoplus_{(D,\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}} L_{r|s}^D \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{E \in \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+} c_{D,E}^F (L_{r'|s'}^E)_{(\alpha,\beta)} \right).$$

For any $(D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}, L_{p|q}^F) &= \dim \left(\bigoplus_{E \in \Lambda_{n,r'|s'}^+} c_{D,E}^F (L_{r'|s'}^E)_{(\alpha,\beta)} \right) \\ &= \sum_E c_{D,E}^F \dim (L_{r'|s'}^E)_{(\alpha,\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 3.31 (i) to the right hand side, we obtain

$$\sum_E c_{D,E}^F N'(E, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_E c_{D,E}^F \sum_H K_{H,\alpha} K_{E^t/H^t,\beta} = \sum_{E,H} c_{D,E}^F K_{H,\alpha} K_{E^t/H^t,\beta},$$

where the sum is taken over all Young diagrams E and H . Hence

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}, L_{p|q}^F) = \sum_{E,H} c_{D,E}^F K_{H,\alpha} K_{E^t/H^t,\beta}.$$

It also follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}}(L_{r|s}^D, L_{p|q}^F) &= \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}}(L_{r|s}^D \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{r'}^\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\psi_{s'}^\beta}, L_{p|q}^F) \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{s'}} \sum_{E,H} c_{D,E}^F K_{H,\alpha} K_{E^t/H^t,\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

5. WEIGHT VECTORS OF $L_{p|q}^F$ ASSOCIATED TO TABLEAUX

In Section 3.1, we obtain a branching rule from $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$. In this section, we fix $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$ and construct explicitly a particular set of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ weight vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$. We show that when $s = 0$ or $s = 1$, this set of weight vectors forms a basis for the space of all $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|s}$ highest weight vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$. In particular, when $r = 1$ and $s = 0$, this set is the basis of weight vectors for $L_{p|q}^F$ constructed in [CZ, Theorem 3.3].

5.1. Ordered monomials and leading monomials. In this subsection, we define a basis \mathcal{M} for the algebra $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q})$ and use this basis to define the leading monomial of a non-zero element in \mathcal{R} . The notion of leading monomial provides an effective way to prove linearly independence of subsets of \mathcal{R} .

Recall from Section 2.1.2 that $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{p+q}\}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^{p|q}$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$ is the standard basis for the even subspace $(\mathbb{C}^{p|q})_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}^p$, and $\{e_{p+1}, \dots, e_{p+q}\}$ is the standard basis for the odd subspace $(\mathbb{C}^{p|q})_{\bar{1}} = \mathbb{C}^q$. Let $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ be the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^n , which is taken to be even. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $1 \leq k \leq q$, denote

$$e_{ij} = \varepsilon_i \otimes e_j, \quad f_{ik} = \varepsilon_i \otimes e_{p+k},$$

and let $\mathcal{B}_{n,p|q} = \mathcal{B}_{n,p} \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,q}$ with

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,p} = \{e_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p\}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{n,q} = \{f_{ik} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq q\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q})$ is the associative algebra generated by $\mathcal{B}_{n,p|q}$ with the following relations: For all i, i', j, j', k, k' .

$$e_{ij}e_{i'j'} = e_{i'j'}e_{ij}, \quad f_{ik}f_{i'k'} = -f_{i'k'}f_{ik}, \quad e_{ij}f_{i'k'} = f_{i'k'}e_{ij}. \quad (5.1)$$

It is a superalgebra with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading defined as follows. Retaining the notation $[v]$ for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree of any homogeneous element $v \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $[e_{ij}] = 0$ and $[f_{ik}] = 1$ for all i, j, k . The algebra \mathcal{R} is also \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded with all elements of $\mathcal{B}_{n,p|q}$ having degree 1.

Remark 5.2. *It is evident that the subalgebra of \mathcal{R} generated by $\mathcal{B}_{n,p}$ subject to the relevant relations in (5.1) is isomorphic to $S(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p)$, and the subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{B}_{n,q}$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^q)$. The multiplication induces an algebra isomorphism from their tensor product to \mathcal{R} , recovering $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q}) \cong S(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^p) \otimes \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^q)$.*

Let us arrange the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{n,p|q}$ in a rectangular array as shown below:

$$\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} e_{11} & e_{12} & \cdots & e_{1p} & f_{11} & f_{12} & \cdots & f_{1q} \\ e_{21} & e_{22} & \cdots & e_{2p} & f_{21} & f_{22} & \cdots & f_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_{n1} & e_{n2} & \cdots & e_{np} & f_{n1} & f_{n2} & \cdots & f_{nq} \end{array}. \quad (5.3)$$

As we will consider \mathcal{R} as a module for the Lie super subalgebra $\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$, it is more convenient to introduce a different set of notation for some elements of $\mathcal{B}_{n,p|q}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq r'$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq s'$, let

$$e'_{ik} = e_{i(r+k)}, \quad f'_{i\ell} = f_{i(s+\ell)}.$$

In this new notation, the array (5.3) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc|ccc} e_{11} & \cdots & e_{1r} & e'_{11} & \cdots & e'_{1r'} & f_{11} & \cdots & f_{1s} & f'_{11} & \cdots & f'_{1s'} \\ e_{21} & \cdots & e_{2r} & e'_{21} & \cdots & e'_{2r'} & f_{21} & \cdots & f_{2s} & f'_{21} & \cdots & f'_{2s'} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_{n1} & \cdots & e_{nr} & e'_{n1} & \cdots & e'_{nr'} & f_{n1} & \cdots & f_{ns} & f'_{n1} & \cdots & f'_{ns'} \end{array}. \quad (5.4)$$

We now define an ordering on $\mathcal{B}_{n,p|q}$ (in the notation (5.4)) as follows:

- (O1) $e_{ab} > e_{cd}$ if and only if $d > b$, or $d = b$ and $c > a$.
- (O2) $e'_{ab} > e'_{cd}$ if and only if $d > b$, or $d = b$ and $c > a$.
- (O3) $f_{ab} > f_{cd}$ if and only if $d > b$, or $d = b$ and $c > a$.
- (O4) $f'_{ab} > f'_{cd}$ if and only if $d > b$, or $d = b$ and $c > a$.
- (O5) $e_{ab} > f_{cd} > e'_{ij} > f'_{kl}$ for all indices $a, b, c, d, i, j, k, \ell$.

Definition 5.5. (i) We call a non-zero product of the form

$$m = h_1 h_2 \cdots h_s$$

where $h_i \in \mathcal{B}_{n,p|q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ a **monomial** in \mathcal{R} .

(ii) An **ordered monomial** in \mathcal{R} is a monomial $m = h_1 h_2 \cdots h_s$ such that

$$h_1 > h_2 > \cdots > h_s.$$

(iii) If m is a monomial in \mathcal{R} , then by reordering its factors if necessary, we obtain a unique ordered monomial $[m]$. We call $[m]$ **the ordered monomial associated with m** . Note that $[m] = \pm m$.

Let \mathcal{M} denote the set of all ordered monomials in \mathcal{R} . Then \mathcal{M} is a basis for \mathcal{R} . We now extend the ordering (O1-O5) to \mathcal{M} by the *graded lexicographic order*. Specifically:

- (O6) Given ordered monomials $m = h_1 h_2 \cdots h_s$ and $m' = h'_1 h'_2 \cdots h'_{s'}$, we have $m > m'$ if and only if either $s > s'$ or $s = s'$ and there exists $1 \leq u \leq s$ such that

$$h_i = h'_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq u-1, \text{ and } h_u > h'_u.$$

Definition 5.6. Let f be a non-zero element of \mathcal{R} . Then f can be written as a linear combination

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i m_i \tag{5.7}$$

where $0 \neq c_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $m_i \in \mathcal{M}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_r$. Then the **leading ordered monomial** of f is defined as

$$\text{LM}(f) = m_1.$$

That is, $\text{LM}(f)$ is the largest ordered monomial which appears in the linear combination (5.7).

Since \mathcal{M} is a basis for \mathcal{R} , it is evident that if S is a subset of \mathcal{R} and all the elements of S have distinct leading monomials, then S is linearly independent.

5.2. Determinant over \mathcal{R} . Let \mathfrak{A} be a complex algebra (not assumed to be commutative), and let $M_k(\mathfrak{A})$ be the space of all $k \times k$ matrices over \mathfrak{A} . For $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_k(\mathfrak{A})$, define the **determinant of A** by

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)1} a_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots a_{\sigma(k)k}, \quad (5.8)$$

where Sym_k is the symmetric group on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. We also write $\det A$ as

$$\det A = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \cdots & a_{kk} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The following are some standard properties of the determinant:

- (D1) The function $A \mapsto \det A$ is multi-linear in the rows and in the columns of the matrix.
- (D2) If the matrix B is obtained by swapping two rows of A , then $\det B = -\det A$. Consequently, if A has two identical rows, then $\det A = 0$.
- (D3) If the matrix C is obtained by adding a multiple of a row of A to another row of A , then $\det C = \det A$.

If we replace row by column in (D2), then the conclusion may not hold in general. For example, if $n \geq 2$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{R}$, then

$$\begin{vmatrix} f_{11} & f_{11} \\ f_{21} & f_{21} \end{vmatrix} = 2f_{11}f_{21} \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{R}. \quad (5.9)$$

5.3. Ordered pairs of tableaux. In this subsection, we define a set $\mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ of ordered pairs of tableaux whose cardinality is equal to the non-negative integer $N(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ defined in equation (3.19).

Definition 5.10. Let E be a Young diagram and T a tableau of shape E .

- (a) We let T^t denote the tableau of shape E^t obtained by flipping the boxes and entries of the tableau T .
- (b) If D is a Young diagram such that D sits in E , then $T|_D$ shall denote the tableau of shape D obtained by removing all the boxes of T not in D , and T/D shall denote the tableau of skew shape E/D obtained by removing all the boxes of T belonging to D .

Definition 5.11. Let $D \in \Lambda_{n,r|s}^+$. We define a tableau H_D of shape D as follows:

- (i) If $1 \leq i \leq \min(\ell(D), r)$, the boxes of D in the i -th row are all filled with the number i .
- (ii) For D with $\ell(D) > r$ and consisting of k columns, if $r < i \leq \ell(D)$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, then the box of D in the i -th row and j -th column is filled with the number j .

Denote by H_D^\uparrow the tableau which is formed by the first $\min(\ell(D), r)$ rows of H_D , and by H_D^\downarrow the skew tableau obtained by removing all boxes belonging to H_D^\uparrow from H_D .

Note that in the special case when $\ell(D) \leq r$, we have $H_D = H_D^\uparrow$ and H_D^\downarrow is the empty tableau.

Example 5.12. Let $n = 7$, $r = s = 2$ and $D = (3, 3, 2, 2, 1)$. Then $D \in \Lambda_{7,2|2}^+$,

$$H_D = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & \\ \hline 1 & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad H_D^\uparrow = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad H_D^\downarrow = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & \\ \hline 1 & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

We now fix $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ with $N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)} \neq 0$. For each Young diagram E , let

$\text{SST}(E/D, \alpha) = \{T : T \text{ is a semistandard tableau of skew shape } E/D \text{ and content } \alpha\}$,

$\text{SST}(F^t/E^t, \beta) = \{T : T \text{ is a semistandard tableau of skew shape } F^t/E^t \text{ and content } \beta\}$.

Then by the definition of Kostka numbers, we have

$$|\text{SST}(E/D, \alpha)| = K_{E/D, \alpha}, \quad |\text{SST}(F^t/E^t, \beta)| = K_{F^t/E^t, \beta}. \quad (5.13)$$

Let us introduce the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)_E &= \text{SST}(E/D, \alpha) \times \text{SST}(F^t/E^t, \beta), \\ \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta) &= \bigcup_E \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)_E, \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

where the union in (5.14) is taken over all Young diagrams E . Note that if $\mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)_E \neq \emptyset$, then D sits inside E and E^t sits inside F^t . Since D and F are fixed, there are only finitely many Young diagrams E for which $\mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)_E$ are non-empty. Hence, (5.14) is actually a finite disjoint union of nonempty finite sets.

Now each element (T_1, T_2) of $\mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ gives rise to a tableau $T_1 * T_2$ of shape F defined as follows:

Definition 5.15. For $(T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)_E$, $T_1 * T_2$ shall denote the tableau of shape F such that:

- (i) $(T_1 * T_2)|_D = H_D$.
- (ii) $(T_1 * T_2)|_{E/(T_1 * T_2)|_D} = T_1$.
- (iii) $(T_1 * T_2)^t/E^t = T_2$.

Example 5.16. Let $n = 7$, $r = s = 2$, $p = q = 4$, $F = (5, 4, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2)$, $D = (3, 3, 2, 2, 1)$, $\alpha = (2, 3)$, $\beta = (3, 4)$, $E = (3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1)$

$$T_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & 1 \\ \hline & & 2 \\ \hline & 2 & \\ \hline 1 & & \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & 1 & 2 \\ \hline & & & & 1 & 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & & & & & \\ \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Then

$$T_1 * T_2 = \begin{array}{cccccc} \boxed{1} & \boxed{1} & \boxed{1} & \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & \\ \boxed{2} & \boxed{2} & \boxed{2} & \boxed{2} & & \\ \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & \boxed{1} & & & \\ \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & \boxed{2} & & & \\ \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & \boxed{1} & & & \\ \boxed{1} & \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & & & \\ \boxed{2} & \boxed{2} & & & & \end{array} .$$

Lemma 5.17. *The cardinality of the set $\mathcal{T}_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}$ is $N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}$, i.e.,*

$$|\mathcal{T}_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}| = N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}.$$

Proof. By (5.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)| &= \sum_E |\mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)_E| \\ &= \sum_E |\text{SST}(E/D, \alpha)| |\text{SST}(F^t/E^t, \beta)| \\ &= \sum_E K_{E/D, \alpha} K_{F^t/E^t, \beta} \\ &= N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of $N_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}$ (see (5.14)). \square

5.4. Weight vectors associated to ordered pairs of tableaux. We now fix $(T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)_E$ and let $T = T_1 * T_2$. Assuming that $T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}, \dots, T^{(k)}$ are all the columns of T counted from left to right. Let $1 \leq j \leq k$ and consider the j th column $T^{(j)}$ of T . We divide $T^{(j)}$ into a maximum of 4 parts and call it Type

j or Type 0 according to the following:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \boxed{1} \\
 \boxed{2} \\
 \vdots \\
 \boxed{r} \\
 \boxed{j} \\
 \boxed{j} \\
 \vdots \\
 \boxed{j} \\
 \boxed{c_1} \\
 \boxed{c_2} \\
 \vdots \\
 \boxed{c_u} \\
 \boxed{d_1} \\
 \boxed{d_2} \\
 \vdots \\
 \vdots \\
 \boxed{d_v}
 \end{array}
 \quad (\text{Type } j) \quad T^{(j)} = \quad \text{or} \quad (\text{Type } 0) \quad T^{(j)} = \quad \begin{array}{c}
 \boxed{1} \\
 \boxed{2} \\
 \vdots \\
 \boxed{\ell} \\
 \boxed{c_1} \\
 \boxed{c_2} \\
 \vdots \\
 \boxed{c_u} \\
 \boxed{d_1} \\
 \boxed{d_2} \\
 \vdots \\
 \boxed{d_v}
 \end{array} \quad (5.18)$$

where the different parts can be described as follows:

- Part 1: the entries $1, 2, \dots, r$ in Type j and the entries $1, 2, \dots, \ell$ where $\ell \leq r$ in Type 0 which come from H_D^\uparrow ;
- Part 2: a string of j in Type j which comes from H_D^\downarrow (this part is missing in Type 0);
- Part 3: the entries $c_1 < \dots < c_u$ come from T_1 ;
- Part 4: the entries $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_v$ come from T_2 .

It is possible that any of part 1, 3, 4 may not appear in $T^{(j)}$.

Assuming that $T^{(j)}$ has length h , we define $\Delta_{T^{(j)}}$ as the determinant

$$\Delta_{T^{(j)}} = \begin{vmatrix} e_{11} & \cdots & e_{1r} & f_{1j} & \cdots & f_{1j} & e'_{1c_1} & \cdots & e'_{1c_u} & f'_{1d_1} & \cdots & f'_{1d_v} \\ e_{21} & \cdots & e_{2r} & f_{2j} & \cdots & f_{2j} & e'_{2c_1} & \cdots & e'_{2c_u} & f'_{2d_1} & \cdots & f'_{2d_v} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_{h1} & \cdots & e_{hr} & f_{hj} & \cdots & f_{hj} & e'_{hc_1} & \cdots & e'_{hc_u} & f'_{hd_1} & \cdots & f'_{hd_v} \end{vmatrix}$$

if $T^{(j)}$ is of Type j , and

$$\Delta_{T^{(j)}} = \begin{vmatrix} e_{11} & \cdots & e_{1\ell} & e'_{1c_1} & \cdots & e'_{1c_u} & f'_{1d_1} & \cdots & f'_{1d_v} \\ e_{21} & \cdots & e_{2\ell} & e'_{2c_1} & \cdots & e'_{2c_u} & f'_{2d_1} & \cdots & f'_{2d_v} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_{h1} & \cdots & e_{h\ell} & e'_{hc_1} & \cdots & e'_{hc_u} & f'_{hd_1} & \cdots & f'_{hd_v} \end{vmatrix}$$

if $T^{(j)}$ is of Type 0. If some parts do not appear in $T^{(j)}$, then the corresponding columns will be omitted in the determinant.

Lemma 5.19. *Let $T^{(j)}$ be as given in equation (5.18).*

- (i) *The element $\Delta_{T^{(j)}}$ of \mathcal{R} is a \mathfrak{gl}_n highest weight vector and a $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ weight vector.*
- (ii) *If $T^{(j)}$ is of Type 0 or Type 1, then $\Delta_{T^{(j)}}$ is also a \mathfrak{m} highest weight vector.*
- (iii) *The leading ordered monomial of $\Delta_{T^{(j)}}$ is given by*

$$\text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(j)}}) = \begin{cases} e_{11} \cdots e_{rr} f_{(r+1)j} \cdots f_{\ell j} e'_{(\ell+1)1} \cdots e'_{(\ell+u)u} f'_{(\ell+u+1)d_1} \cdots f'_{hd_v} & (\text{Type } j) \\ e_{11} \cdots e_{\ell\ell} e'_{(\ell+1)1} \cdots e'_{(\ell+u)u} f'_{(\ell+u+1)d_1} \cdots f'_{hd_v} & (\text{Type } 0). \end{cases} \quad (5.20)$$

(We assume that there is a total of ℓ entries which come from Part 1 and Part 2 of $T^{(j)}$.)

Proof. Part (i) and part (ii) are clear. For part (iii), since $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_u$ and $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_v$, we obtain by inspection that $\text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(j)}})$ is equal to the product of the diagonal entries, which is the ordered monomial given in equation (5.20). \square

We now define the element $\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}$ in \mathcal{R} by

$$\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)} = \Delta_{T^{(1)}} \Delta_{T^{(2)}} \cdots \Delta_{T^{(k)}}. \quad (5.21)$$

We also define the ordered monomial $m_{(T_1, T_2)}$ as follows: For each box b in $T = T_1 * T_2$, $R(b)$ shall denote the row in which the box b lies and $N(b)$ shall denote the number in the box b . Then $m_{(T_1, T_2)}$ is the ordered monomial associated with

$$\left(\prod_{b \in H_D^\uparrow} e_{R(b)N(b)} \right) \left(\prod_{b \in H_D^\downarrow} f_{R(b)N(b)} \right) \left(\prod_{b \in T_1} e'_{R(b)N(b)} \right) \left(\prod_{b \in T_2} f'_{R(b)N(b)} \right). \quad (5.22)$$

Note that $m_{(T_1, T_2)}$ encodes all the information about (T_1, T_2) .

Example 5.23. *Let (T_1, T_2) be as given in Example 5.16, and let $T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}, T^{(3)}, T^{(4)}, T^{(5)}$ be the columns of the tableau $T = T_1 * T_2$. Then we have:*

$$T^{(1)} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \Delta_{T^{(1)}} = \begin{vmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} & f_{11} & f_{11} & f_{11} & e'_{11} & e'_{12} \\ e_{21} & e_{22} & f_{21} & f_{21} & f_{21} & e'_{21} & e'_{22} \\ e_{31} & e_{32} & f_{31} & f_{31} & f_{31} & e'_{31} & e'_{32} \\ e_{41} & e_{42} & f_{41} & f_{41} & f_{41} & e'_{41} & e'_{42} \\ e_{51} & e_{52} & f_{51} & f_{51} & f_{51} & e'_{51} & e'_{52} \\ e_{61} & e_{62} & f_{61} & f_{61} & f_{61} & e'_{61} & e'_{62} \\ e_{71} & e_{72} & f_{71} & f_{71} & f_{71} & e'_{71} & e'_{72} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\text{LM}(\Delta_{T(1)}) = e_{11}e_{22}f_{31}f_{41}f_{51}e'_{61}e'_{72}.$$

$$T^{(2)} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \boxed{1} \\ \hline \boxed{2} \\ \hline \boxed{2} \\ \hline \boxed{2} \\ \hline \textcircled{2} \\ \hline \boxed{1} \\ \hline \boxed{2} \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \Delta_{T^{(2)}} = \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|cc|} \hline e_{11} & e_{12} & f_{12} & f_{12} & e'_{12} & f'_{11} & f'_{12} & \\ \hline e_{21} & e_{22} & f_{22} & f_{22} & e'_{22} & f'_{21} & f'_{22} & \\ \hline e_{31} & e_{32} & f_{32} & f_{32} & e'_{32} & f'_{31} & f'_{32} & \\ \hline e_{41} & e_{42} & f_{42} & f_{42} & e'_{42} & f'_{41} & f'_{42} & \\ \hline e_{51} & e_{52} & f_{52} & f_{52} & e'_{52} & f'_{51} & f'_{52} & \\ \hline e_{61} & e_{62} & f_{62} & f_{62} & e'_{62} & f'_{61} & f'_{62} & \\ \hline e_{71} & e_{72} & f_{72} & f_{72} & e'_{72} & f'_{71} & f'_{72} & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

$$\text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(2)}}) = e_{11}e_{22}f_{32}f_{42}e'_{52}f'_{61}f'_{72}.$$

$$T^{(3)} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \boxed{1} \\ \hline \boxed{2} \\ \hline \textcircled{1} \\ \hline \textcircled{2} \\ \hline \boxed{1} \\ \hline \boxed{2} \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \Delta_{T^{(3)}} = \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|cc|} \hline e_{11} & e_{12} & e'_{11} & e'_{12} & f'_{11} & f'_{12} & & \\ \hline e_{21} & e_{22} & e'_{21} & e'_{22} & f'_{21} & f'_{22} & & \\ \hline e_{31} & e_{32} & e'_{31} & e'_{32} & f'_{31} & f'_{32} & & \\ \hline e_{41} & e_{42} & e'_{41} & e'_{42} & f'_{41} & f'_{42} & & \\ \hline e_{51} & e_{52} & e'_{51} & e'_{52} & f'_{51} & f'_{52} & & \\ \hline e_{61} & e_{62} & e'_{61} & e'_{62} & f'_{61} & f'_{62} & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

$$\text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(3)}}) = e_{11}e_{22}e'_{31}e'_{42}f'_{51}f'_{62}.$$

$$T^{(4)} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \boxed{1} \\ \hline \boxed{2} \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \Delta_{T^{(4)}} = \begin{array}{|cc|} \hline f'_{11} & f'_{12} \\ \hline f'_{21} & f'_{22} \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(4)}}) = f'_{11}f'_{22}.$$

$$T^{(5)} = \boxed{2}, \quad \Delta_{T^{(5)}} = \text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(5)}}) = f'_{12},$$

$$\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)} = \Delta_{T^{(1)}}\Delta_{T^{(2)}}\Delta_{T^{(3)}}\Delta_{T^{(4)}}\Delta_{T^{(5)}}$$

and

$$\text{LM}(\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}) = e_{11}^3e_{22}^3f_{31}f_{41}f_{51}f_{32}f_{42}e'_{31}e'_{61}e'_{42}e'_{52}e'_{72}f'_{11}f'_{51}f'_{61}f'_{12}f'_{22}f'_{62}f'_{72}.$$

We will see later that for $(T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$, $\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ weight vector in \mathcal{R} . To specify the $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ weight of $\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}$, we shall adopt the following notation: Since $\mathfrak{h}_{p|q} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$, any linear functional $\psi_{p|q}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{p|q}$ can be identified with a linear functional

$$(\psi_{r|s}, \psi_{r'}, \psi_{s'})$$

of $\mathfrak{h}_{r|s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{s'}$ (defined in equation (3.7)) where $\psi_{r|s}$, $\psi_{r'}$ and $\psi_{s'}$ are some linear functionals of $\mathfrak{h}_{r|s}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{r'}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{s'}$ respectively.

Lemma 5.24. *Let $(T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$. Then we have the following:*

- (i) $\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}$ is a \mathfrak{gl}_n highest weight vector of weight ψ_n^F and a $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ weight vector of weight $(\psi_{r|s}^{D^\sharp}, \psi_{r'}^\alpha, \psi_{s'}^\beta)$.
- (ii) $\text{LM}(\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}) = m_{(T_1, T_2)}$.

Proof. Part (i) is clear. For Part (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LM}(\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}) &= \text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(1)}} \Delta_{T^{(2)}} \cdots \Delta_{T^{(k)}}) \\ &= [\text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(1)}}) \text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(2)}}) \cdots \text{LM}(\Delta_{T^{(k)}})] \\ &= m_{(T_1, T_2)} \end{aligned}$$

by equation (5.20). \square

Corollary 5.25. *Let $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega$ and*

$$\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta) = \{\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)} : (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ is a linearly independent set of $\mathfrak{gl}_{p|q}$ weight vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$ with weight $(\psi_r^{D^\sharp|s}, \psi_{r'}^\alpha, \psi_{s'}^\beta)$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.24, the elements of $\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ have distinct leading monomials. Hence, $\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ is linearly independent. \square

In the remainder of this section, we shall discuss cases when $\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ yields \mathfrak{m} highest weight vectors.

5.5. The \mathfrak{gl}_r highest weight vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$. In this subsection, we assume that $s = 0$. In the notation of Section 3.1, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}' \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|0} = \mathfrak{gl}_r, \quad \mathfrak{m} \cong \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_q.$$

In particular, \mathfrak{g}' is a Lie algebra. The algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a module for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_r \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_q$ and can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \bigoplus_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})} \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)}$$

where

$$\Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) := \Lambda_{n, p|q}^+ \times \Lambda_{\min(n, r)}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^q.$$

Note that the component $\Lambda_{n, r|s}^+$ of $\Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ reduces to $\Lambda_{\min(n, r)}^+$ in this case.

Theorem 5.26. (i) *If $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$, then the set*

$$\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta) = \{\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)} : (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)\}$$

is a basis for $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)}$.

(ii) *For $F \in \Lambda_{n, p|q}^+$ and $D \in \Lambda_{\min(n, r)}^+$, the set*

$$\bigcup_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^q} \mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$$

is a basis for the space of \mathfrak{gl}_r highest weight vectors of weight ψ_r^D in $L_{p|q}^F$.

(iii) *The set*

$$\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega} \mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$$

is a basis for $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$.

Proof. Let $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$, $(T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ and $T = T_1 * T_2$. We recall the definition of $\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}$ as given in equation (5.21) and assume that the tableau T has k columns. Since $D \in \Lambda_{\min(n, r)}^+$, $\ell(D) \leq r$. Consequently, for each $1 \leq j \leq k$, the column tableau $T^{(j)}$ is of Type 0 and so by Part (ii) of Lemma 5.18, $\Delta_{T^{(j)}}$ is a \mathfrak{m} highest weight vector. Hence, $\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}$ is a product of \mathfrak{m} highest weight vectors, and it is also a \mathfrak{m} highest weight vector. It follows that $\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)} \in \mathcal{Q}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$. This proves that $\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$.

Since $|\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)| = |\mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)| = \dim \mathcal{Q}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ and by Corollary 5.25, $\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ is linearly independent, it is a basis for $\mathcal{Q}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$. This proves (i).

As explained in the proof of Proposition 3.9, $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F, D, \alpha, \beta)}$ can be identified with the space of \mathfrak{m} highest weight vectors of weight $(\psi_r^D, \psi_{r'}^\alpha, \psi_q^\beta)$ in $L_{p|q}^F$. By taking union over all possible (α, β) , we obtain all the \mathfrak{gl}_r highest weight vectors of weight ψ_r^D in $L_{p|q}^F$. This gives (ii).

Finally, (iii) follows from (i) and equation (3.10). \square

5.6. A basis for $L_{p|q}^F$. We now consider the case with $r = s = 0$ and will use the notation defined in §3.4. In particular, we recall that in this case, $\Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \Lambda_{n, p|q}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^p \times \mathbb{Z}_+^q$. For $(F, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$, let

$$\mathcal{T}'(F, \alpha, \beta) = \bigcup_E \mathcal{T}'(F, \alpha, \beta)_E$$

where the union is taken over all Young diagram E , and

$$\mathcal{T}'(F, \alpha, \beta)_E = \text{SST}(E, \alpha) \times \text{SST}(F^t/E^t, \beta),$$

that is, $\mathcal{T}'(F, \alpha, \beta)_E$ consists of all ordered pairs (T_1, T_2) of tableaux such that T_1 is semistandard tableau of shape E and T_2 is a semistandard tableau of skew shape F^t/E^t . Then we have $|\mathcal{T}'(F, \alpha, \beta)| = N'_{(F, \alpha, \beta)}$ where $N'_{(F, \alpha, \beta)}$ is defined in equation (3.33). For $(T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}'(F, \alpha, \beta)_E$, let $T_1 * T_2$ be the tableau of shape F such that:

- (i) $(T_1 * T_2)|_E = T_1$.
- (ii) $(T_1 * T_2)^t/E^t = T_2$.

Remark 5.27. In [BR], $T_1 * T_2$ is called a **(p, q) semistandard tableau** of shape F . It is also shown there that the dimension of $L_{p|q}^F$ is equal to the number of all such tableaux, which is \tilde{N}'_F . See Part (ii) of Corollary 3.31.

We now fix $(T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}'(F, \alpha, \beta)_E$ and construct an element $\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}$ of \mathcal{R} using a procedure similar to that given in §5.4. Let $T = T_1 * T_2$ and assuming that $T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}, \dots, T^{(k)}$ are all the columns of T counted from left to right. Let $1 \leq j \leq k$ and consider the j th column $T^{(j)}$ of T . We divide $T^{(j)}$ into a maximum of 2 parts

as follows:

$$T^{(j)} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline c_1 \\ \hline c_2 \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline c_u \\ \hline \mathbf{d}_1 \\ \hline \mathbf{d}_2 \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline \mathbf{d}_v \\ \hline \end{array}$$

where the entries $c_1 < \cdots < c_u$ come from T_1 and the entries $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_v$ come from T_2 . Let $\Delta_{T^{(j)}}$ be the determinant

$$\Delta_{T^{(j)}} = \begin{vmatrix} e_{1c_1} & e_{1c_2} & \cdots & e_{1c_u} & f_{1d_1} & f_{1d_2} & \cdots & f_{1d_v} \\ e_{2c_1} & e_{2c_2} & \cdots & e_{2c_u} & f_{2d_1} & f_{2d_2} & \cdots & f_{2d_v} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{hc_1} & e_{hc_2} & \cdots & e_{hc_u} & f_{hd_1} & f_{hd_2} & \cdots & f_{hd_v} \end{vmatrix}$$

where $h = u + v$. Then $\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)}$ is defined as

$$\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)} = \Delta_{T^{(1)}} \Delta_{T^{(2)}} \cdots \Delta_{T^{(k)}}.$$

Corollary 5.28. *Let $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$.*

(i) *For $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^p \times \mathbb{Z}_+^q$, the set*

$$\mathbf{B}(F, \alpha, \beta) = \{\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)} : (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}'(F, \alpha, \beta)\}$$

is a basis for $(L_{p|q}^F)_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ (which is identified with $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F, \alpha, \beta)}$ by Corollary 3.31 (i)).

(ii) *The set*

$$\bigcup_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^p \times \mathbb{Z}_+^q} \mathbf{B}(F, \alpha, \beta)$$

is a basis for $L_{p|q}^F$ (which is identified with the subspace $(\rho_n^F)^{u_n} \otimes L_{p|q}^F$ of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$).

(iii) *The set*

$$\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{(F, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})} \mathbf{B}(F, \alpha, \beta)$$

is a basis for $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$.

Remark 5.29. *The basis for $L_{p|q}^F$ given in part (ii) of Corollary 3.31 was obtained in [CZ, Theorem 3.3] by direct calculations. Here we construct the basis from the*

iterated Pieri rules (see Section 5.4). This also explains why the semistandard tableaux enter the picture.

5.7. The $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|1}$ highest weight vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$. In this final subsection, we consider the case $s = 1$. In this case, we have $\mathfrak{g}' \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{r|1}$ and $\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{q-1}$. The algebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a module for $\mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r|1} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{r'} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{q-1}$ and can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \bigoplus_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})} \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}$$

where

$$\Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) = \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+ \times \Lambda_{n,r|1}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{q-1}.$$

Theorem 5.30. (i) If $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$, then the set

$$\mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta) = \{\Delta_{(T_1, T_2)} : (T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)\}$$

is a basis for $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta)}$, which can be identified with the space of all \mathfrak{m} highest weight vectors in $L_{p|q}^F$ of weight $(\psi_{r|1}^{D^\sharp}, \psi_{r'}^\alpha, \psi_{q-1}^\beta)$.

(ii) For $F \in \Lambda_{n,p|q}^+$ and $D \in \Lambda_{n,r|1}^+$, the set

$$\bigcup_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{r'} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^q} \mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$$

is a basis for the space of all $\mathfrak{gl}_{r|1}$ highest weight vectors of weight $\psi_{r|1}^{D^\sharp}$ in $L_{p|q}^F$.

(iii) The set

$$\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{(F,D,\alpha,\beta) \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{m})} \mathbf{B}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$$

is a basis for $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$.

Proof. Let $(F, D, \alpha, \beta) \in \Omega$, $(T_1, T_2) \in \mathcal{T}(F, D, \alpha, \beta)$ and $T = T_1 * T_2$. Assume that T has k columns. Then since $D \in \Lambda_{r|1}^+$, the column tableau $T^{(j)}$ is of Type 1 for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. By Part (ii) of Lemma 5.18, each $\Delta_{T^{(j)}}$ is a \mathfrak{m} highest weight vector. The remaining arguments for (i) and the proof for (ii) and (iii) are similar to that of Theorem 5.26. \square

REFERENCES

- [BR] A. Berele, A. Regev, Hook Young diagrams with applications to combinatorics and representations of Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **64** (1987) 118 - 175.
- [CLZ] S. -J. Cheng, N. Lam, R. B. Zhang, Character formula for infinite-dimensional unitarizable modules of the general linear superalgebra. *J. Algebra* **273** (2004) 780 - 805.
- [CW] S.-J. Cheng, W. Wang, Howe duality for Lie superalgebras. *Compositio Math.* **128** (2001) 53 - 94.
- [CZ] S. -J. Cheng, R. B. Zhang, Howe duality and combinatorial character formula for orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras. *Adv. Math.* **182** (2004) 124 - 172.
- [CNS] L. Corwin, Yuval Ne'eman, S. Sternberg, Graded Lie algebras in mathematics and physics (Bose-Fermi symmetry). *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **47** (1975) 573 - 604.

- [DJ] P H Dondi and P D Jarvis, Diagram and superfield techniques in the classical superalgebras. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **14** (1981) 547 - 564.
- [Fu] W. Fulton, Young tableaux. With applications to representation theory and geometry. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [GZ] M. D. Gould, R. B. Zhang, Classification of all star irreps of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. *J. Math. physics* **31** (1990), 2552 - 2559.
- [GW] R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach, Symmetry, representations, and invariants. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 255. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [H] R. Howe, Perspectives on invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity-free actions and beyond. The Schur lectures (1992) (Tel Aviv), 1–182, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 8, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1995.
- [H1] R. Howe, Remarks on classical invariant theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **313** (1989) 539 - 570.
- [HKL] R. Howe, S. Kim, and S. T. Lee, Double Pieri algebras and iterated Pieri algebras for the classical groups. *Amer. J. Math.* 139 (2017), no. 2, 347–401.
- [HL] R. Howe and S. T. Lee, Why should the Littlewood-Richardson rule be true? *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 49 (2012), no. 2, 187–236.
- [HTW1] R. Howe, E-C. Tan and J. Willenbring, Stable branching rules for classical symmetric pairs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 1601–1626.
- [HTW2] R. Howe, E-C. Tan and J. Willenbring, *Reciprocity algebras and branching for classical symmetric pairs*, in: Groups and Analysis - the Legacy of Hermann Weyl, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **vol 354**, K. Tent. ed., 191-130, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [K] V. G. Kac, Lie superalgebras. *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977) 8 - 96.
- [KLW] S. Kim, S. T. Lee, and Y. Wang, Skew Pieri algebras of the general linear group. *J. Math. Phys.* 59, 121702 (2018).
- [L] S. T. Lee, Branching rules and branching algebras for the complex classical groups, COE Lecture Note, 47, Math-for-Industry (MI) Lecture Note Series, Kyushu University, Faculty of Mathematics, Fukuoka, 2013. ii+41 pp.
- [Sc] M. Scheunert. The theory of Lie superalgebras: an introduction, volume 716. Springer, 1979.
- [SNR] W. Nahm, V. Rittenberg, M. Scheunert, The classification of graded Lie algebras. *Phys.Lett.* **B 61** (1976) 383- 384
- [Se] A. Sergeev, An analog of the classical invariant theory for Lie superalgebras, I, *Michigan Math. J.* **49** (2001) 113 - 146; An analog of the classical invariant theory for Lie superalgebras, II, *Michigan Math. J.* **49** (2001) 147 - 168.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, BLOCK S17, 10 LOWER KENT RIDGE ROAD, SINGAPORE 119076, REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE

Email address: matleest@nus.edu.sg

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, SYDNEY, N.S.W. 2006, AUSTRALIA

Email address: ruibin.zhang@sydney.edu.au