


# Quantum dynamics in the self-consistent quadratic approximation

Frank Ernesto Quintela Rodriguez <sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7, Pisa, 56126, Italy*

(Dated: March 19, 2024)

A self-consistent quadratic theory is presented to account for nonlinear contributions in quantum dynamics. Evolution equations are shown to depend on higher-order gradients of the Hamiltonian, which are incorporated via their equations of motion or through perturbative calculations. The dynamics is proven trace-preserving, with the Hamiltonian acting as a constant of motion for initial Gaussian states. Nonlinear response functions are calculated perturbatively, and sufficient conditions are provided for the existence of their classical limit.

We are concerned with nonlinear contributions in quantum dynamics. In the second order, the dynamics consists of free evolutions under suitable unitary transformations [1], or possess analytical solution under very general conditions [2]. Higher-order contributions can be incorporated via diagrammatic perturbation theory [3] or through non-perturbative semiclassical approximations such as Gaussian wave-packet dynamics [4], time-dependent self-consistent field [5], Ehrenfest dynamics [6], self-consistent phonon formalism [7], stochastic self-consistent harmonic approximation [8], and time-dependent self-consistent harmonic approximation (TD-SCHA) [9, 10], to mention a few. Here, we generalize the TD-SCHA to the case where the Hamiltonian is an arbitrary function of the coordinate and momentum operators. This can be done by exploiting the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism, which maps a Hilbert space operator  $A \in \mathcal{H}_d$  into a phase space distribution  $\mathcal{A}(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , called its Weyl symbol [11, 12].

Let's consider some representative Hamiltonians that, although not explicit functions of the coordinate and momentum operators, can be recast as such. It is known that any operator in the Lie algebra of  $SU(m, n)$  can always be expressed in terms of boson operators [13–16]. This is further extended by tensor product operations and coupling to multi-mode boson operators  $\{\mathbf{a}^\dagger, \mathbf{a}\}$  through

$$H(t) = \sum_j f_j(\mathbf{Y}, t) \otimes g_j(\mathbf{a}^\dagger, \mathbf{a}, t), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{Y}_k \in SU(m, n)$  are the generators of the Lie algebra, and  $f_j, g_j$  arbitrary functions. In the coordinate-momentum representation  $H(t)$  becomes  $H(q, t)$ , where  $q = (p_1, \dots, p_n, x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  is hereafter referred to as the *canonical vector*. When  $\mathbf{Y}_k \in SU(d, 0) \equiv SU(d)$ , Eq. (1) describes the interaction of a  $d$ -level quantum system (*qudit*) with a boson field, as illustrated by the Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian [17]. For these cases, an alternative formulation of the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism is presented in [18–20].

Here, it is assumed that, for any operator  $A(t)$ , we are able to determine its Weyl symbol  $\mathcal{A}_t(z)$  under the conditions outlined above. Explicit time dependence will be omitted, such that  $A(t) \equiv A$ , except when necessary for emphasis. Once in the phase space, we utilize known results for quadratic Hamiltonians to incorporate nonlinear contributions in a self-consistent manner, hereafter called the self-consistent quadratic approximation (SCQA). Within this framework, equations of motions are derived for  $A(t)$  and  $\langle A \rangle_t$ . A perturbative expansion is developed to compute arbitrary correlation functions, focusing on the nonlinear response function. This is due to its central role in coherent multidimensional spectroscopy, a cornerstone technique for studying quantum phenomena [21–23].

Nonlinear response functions are calculated in the time-domain representation, where the SCQA yields analytical expressions provided that the initial state is Gaussian or a superposition of such states (e.g., Schrödinger cat states) [2]. A similar approach was implemented for simpler models using the coherent state representation [24–26], where no truncation of the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space or the inversion of the propagator matrix was required. Here, connections with quantum tomography are discussed for light-matter interactions of exponential form. Furthermore, the classical limit is studied under the assumption of semiclassical admissibility of the Weyl symbols, which prevent divergences in the nonlinear response. All of the above naturally applies to quadratic Hamiltonians with no self-consistency conditions, for which, to the author's knowledge, arbitrary-order nonlinear response functions have not been calculated elsewhere. Quadratic Hamiltonians are often encountered in coherent spectroscopy, as they characterize the lower-order contributions of the interaction potentials.

We start with the quantum evolution in the Schrödinger picture,

$$\rho_t = U_t \rho_0 U_t^\dagger \quad (2)$$

$$U_t = \mathcal{T} e^{-i/\hbar \int_0^t H(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3)$$

$$\langle A \rangle_t = \text{tr}\{A \rho_t\}, \quad (4)$$

where the symbol  $\mathcal{T}$  indicates the synchronously time-

\* Email: [frank.quintelarodriguez@sns.it](mailto:frank.quintelarodriguez@sns.it)

ordered exponential [27]. In the SCQA, the Hamiltonian  $H(t)$  is approximated by the quadratic form

$$H_{SC}(t) = \frac{1}{2}q^T B_t q + q^T C_t, \quad (5)$$

where

$$B_t = \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t \quad (6)$$

$$C_t = \langle \nabla H \rangle_t - \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t \langle q \rangle_t. \quad (7)$$

Denoting the Weyl symbol of  $H(t)$  by  $\mathcal{H}(z)$ , it follows that  $\nabla^\alpha H(t)$  has the Weyl symbol  $\nabla^\alpha \mathcal{H}$  [28]. Its expectation value is given by

$$\langle \nabla^\alpha H \rangle_t = \text{tr}\{\nabla^\alpha H \rho_t\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz \nabla^\alpha \mathcal{H}(z) \mathcal{W}_t(z), \quad (8)$$

where the Weyl symbol of  $\rho_t$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{W}_t(z)$ , is commonly referred to as the *Wigner function*. The derivatives  $\nabla^\alpha$  are well-defined when  $\mathcal{H}(z)$  is a generalized function, provided that  $\mathcal{W}_t(z)$  is continuously differentiable. Under the  $H_{SC}(t)$  Hamiltonian, the evolution operator is approximated by

$$U_t \approx U_{SC}(t) = \mathcal{T} e^{-i/\hbar \int_0^t H_{SC}(\tau) d\tau}. \quad (9)$$

The term *self-consistent* results from using the evolved state  $\rho_t$  in the  $H_{SC}(t)$  definition through Eqs. (2) and (8). Being  $H_{SC}(t)$  a quadratic form, the generated time-evolution can be described in terms of the integral of motion [2, 11]

$$q_t = U_{SC}(t) q U_{SC}^\dagger(t) = \Lambda_t q + \Delta_t, \quad (10)$$

with the coefficients verifying

$$\dot{\Lambda}_t = \Lambda_t J B_t, \quad \Lambda_0 = E \quad (11)$$

$$\dot{\Delta}_t = \Lambda_t J C_t, \quad \Delta_0 = 0. \quad (12)$$

Here  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is the standard symplectic matrix and  $E = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$  the identity in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . In the Heisenberg picture, the canonical vector reads [2, 29]

$$q_H(t) \equiv U_{SC}^\dagger(t) q U_{SC} = \Lambda_t^{-1}(q - \Delta_t), \quad (13)$$

with expectation value

$$\langle q \rangle_t = \Lambda_t^{-1}(\langle q \rangle_0 - \Delta_t). \quad (14)$$

Equations (11) and (12) apply both for the classical and quantum evolutions. This is a consequence of the quadratic form of the Hamiltonian, for which the Poisson bracket coincides with the Moyal commutator  $\{H, f\}_P = \{H, f\}_M$  [11]. The state of the system is entirely specified by  $\Lambda_t$ ,  $\Delta_t$ , e.g., the initial Wigner function  $\mathcal{W}_0(z)$  evolves according to [2]

$$\mathcal{W}(z, t) = \mathcal{W}_0(\Lambda_t z + \Delta_t). \quad (15)$$

The matrix  $\Lambda_t$  is symplectic, hence the identities

$$\Lambda_t^T J \Lambda_t = J \quad (16)$$

$$\Lambda_t^{-1} = J \Lambda_t^T J^T. \quad (17)$$

Collecting the above results, we have the SCQA equations

$$\dot{\Lambda}_t = \Lambda_t J B_t, \quad \Lambda_0 = E \quad (18a)$$

$$\dot{\Delta}_t = \Lambda_t J C_t, \quad \Delta_0 = 0 \quad (18b)$$

$$B_t = \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t \quad (18c)$$

$$C_t = \langle \nabla H \rangle_t - \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t \langle q \rangle_t \quad (18d)$$

$$\langle q \rangle_t = \Lambda_t^{-1}(\langle q \rangle_0 - \Delta_t). \quad (18e)$$

To complete these equations, we must specify the quantities  $\langle \nabla H \rangle_t$  and  $\langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t$ . This can be achieved by either finding the differential equations they satisfy or determining their integrated form through a perturbative scheme. In the first case, we derive the Heisenberg equation using the commutation relation [11]

$$[q, A] = -i\hbar J \nabla A, \quad (19)$$

where  $\nabla \mathcal{A}(z)$  is understood in a generalized function sense [12]. It can be shown that  $A(t)$  evolves in the Heisenberg picture according to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dA_H(t)}{dt} &= \left( \frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial t} \right)_H + \langle \nabla H \rangle_t^T J \nabla A_H \\ &- \text{tr} \left\{ J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t \left( (q_H - \langle q \rangle_t) \nabla A_H + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J \nabla^2 A_H \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The evolution of the expectation value is obtained from the Ehrenfest theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\langle A \rangle_t}{dt} &= \left\langle \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right\rangle_t + \sigma(\langle \nabla A \rangle_t, \langle \nabla H \rangle_t) \\ &- \text{tr} \left\{ J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t \left( \langle (q - \langle q \rangle_t) \nabla A \rangle_t + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J \langle \nabla^2 A \rangle_t \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where  $\sigma(a, b) = b^T J a$  is the standard symplectic form, with  $\sigma(a, a) = 0$ . Replacing  $A$  by  $\nabla H$  or  $\nabla^2 H$  completes the SCQA equations, Eq. (18). These will, in turn, depend on higher-order derivatives  $\langle \nabla^n H \rangle_t$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), creating a hierarchy of differential equations for the nonlinear contributions.

Equation (21) is well-defined when  $\mathcal{A}(z)$  is a generalized function, provided that  $\mathcal{W}_t(z)$  is continuously differentiable. This is the case for Gaussian states

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_t(z) &= \det\{2\pi M_t\}^{-1/2} \\ &\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (z - \langle q \rangle_t)^T M_t^{-1} (z - \langle q \rangle_t) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $M_t = \Lambda_t^{-1} M_0 (\Lambda_t^{-1})^T$ . Using Eq. (22), we can compute the term  $\langle (q - \langle q \rangle_t) \nabla A \rangle_t$  appearing in Eq. (21) by applying the identity

$$\langle (q - \langle q \rangle_t) A \rangle_t = \left( M_t + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \right) \langle \nabla A \rangle_t, \quad (23)$$

which relates any operator to its gradient. The quantity  $M_t + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T$  is associated with the uncertainty relations [12]. From the above result, we reduce Eq. (21) to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\langle A \rangle_t}{dt} &= \left\langle \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right\rangle_t + \sigma(\langle \nabla A \rangle_t, \langle \nabla H \rangle_t) \\ &\quad - \text{tr}\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t M_t \langle \nabla^2 A \rangle_t\}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We are now set to prove that, for Gaussian states,  $H$  is a constant of motion if it is time-independent. This is seen by taking  $A = H$  in Eq. (24), from which  $\sigma(\langle \nabla H \rangle_t, \langle \nabla H \rangle_t) = 0$  and

$$\text{tr}\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t M_t \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t\} = 0, \quad (25)$$

due to the symmetry of the term multiplying  $J$ . Then,

$$\frac{d\langle H \rangle_t}{dt} = \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right\rangle_t. \quad (26)$$

Stationary states can be found from the condition  $\frac{d\langle A \rangle_t}{dt} = 0, \forall A$ . For Gaussian states, specifying the parameters  $\langle q \rangle$  and  $M$  is sufficient. Substituting  $A = \text{diag}[q^2]$  in Eq. (24) gives

$$\langle \nabla^T H \rangle J \langle q \rangle - \text{tr}\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle M\} = 0, \quad (27)$$

which is verified by  $\langle q \rangle = 0$  and  $M = \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle$ .

For  $H$  hermitian, it follows that  $H_{\text{SC}}$  is also hermitian, ensuring unitary SCQA dynamics and consequent entropy conservation. Trace preservation results from  $\Lambda_t$  being symplectic, a consequence of the symmetry property  $B_t = B_t^T$  [11]. For initial Gaussian states, the existence of  $n$  dynamical invariants  $\{\mathcal{D}_m, m = 0, 2, \dots, 2(n-1)\}$  in the quadratic evolution results from [2, 30]

$$\mathcal{D}(\mu; t) = \det\{M_t - \mu J\} = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} \mathcal{D}_m \mu^m = \mathcal{D}(\mu; 0) \quad (28)$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mu; 0) = \mathcal{D}(-\mu; 0). \quad (29)$$

The coefficients  $\mathcal{D}_m$  are independent of  $B_t$  and  $C_t$ , which contain the self-consistent contributions to the Hamiltonian. Some known conserved quantities are

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \det M_t \quad (30)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \text{tr}\left\{\left(M_t J^T\right)^m\right\}, \quad (31)$$

with  $\mathcal{L}_{2k+1} = 0$  due to the symmetry properties of  $M_t$  and  $J$ . From these considerations, and taking into account  $H$  conserved, we see the SCQA dynamics is constrained to a subspace of dimension  $n - 1$ .

We can also complete the SCQA equations by looking at the integrated form of  $\langle \nabla H \rangle_t$  and  $\langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t$ . An operator  $A$  with continuously differentiable Weyl symbol  $\mathcal{A}(z)$  can be expanded in a power series on the canonical vector  $q$  and around some reference point  $q = q - \delta q, q \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , using the Taylor theorem [31]

$$A = e^{(q-q)^T \nabla} \mathcal{A}(q) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \nabla^\alpha \mathcal{A}(q) (\delta q)^\alpha. \quad (32)$$

The expression  $\nabla^\alpha \mathcal{A}(q)$  indicates that derivatives first act on  $\mathcal{A}(z)$ , followed by evaluation at  $q$ . The abbreviated multi-index notation is used here, with  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$ ,  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_{2n}!$ ,  $\nabla^\alpha = \nabla_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \nabla_{2n}^{\alpha_{2n}}$  and  $\nabla_i^{\alpha_i} := \frac{\partial^{\alpha_i}}{\partial z_i^{\alpha_i}}$  [32]. From Eq. (32), we see that the Weyl symbol of  $\nabla A$  is  $\nabla \mathcal{A}(z)$ . Also,  $\langle A \rangle_t$  can be expanded as

$$\langle A \rangle_t = e^{-\nabla^T q} \chi_t(\nabla) \mathcal{A}(q), \quad (33)$$

where  $\chi_t(a) \equiv \langle \exp\{a^T q\} \rangle_t$  is the characteristic function of the state  $\rho_t$ . Equation (33) is simplified for Gaussian states, whose characteristic function reads

$$\chi_t(a) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} a^T M_t a + a^T \langle q \rangle_t\right\}. \quad (34)$$

Choosing  $q = \langle q \rangle_t$  gives

$$\langle A \rangle_t = e^{\frac{1}{2} \nabla^T M_t \nabla} \mathcal{A}(\langle q \rangle_t). \quad (35)$$

This result coincides with the Wick theorem in field theory [33], where the Weyl symbol now represents the averaged function. Substituting  $A = \nabla H$  or  $A = \nabla^2 H$  and expanding the exponential in powers of  $\nabla$  systematically includes higher-order contributions  $\nabla^\alpha \mathcal{H}(\langle q \rangle_t)$  into the SCQA equations. The truncation of the series to the zeroth order yields the Gaussian wave-packet dynamics [4, 34]. It's worth noting that by reinterpreting the series terms as Feynman diagrams, we could pursue partial summation over an infinite class of terms [3]. However, this approach lies beyond the scope of this work.

To study the system's response to a classical field  $E(t)$ , let's assume

$$H(t) = H_c - E(t) V \hbar, \quad (36)$$

where  $H_c$  is independent of  $\hbar$  and  $t$ . The  $N$ th-order polarization reads [27]

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tau_1) &= i^N \int_{t_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \dots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_N} d\tau_{N+1} \\ &E(\tau_2) \dots E(\tau_{N+1}) S(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{N+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

with  $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \dots \geq \tau_{N+1} \geq t_0$  and the nonlinear response function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{N+1}) \\ = \text{tr}\{\rho_0[\dots[V(\tau_1), V(\tau_2)], \dots], V(\tau_{N+1})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The operator  $\rho_0 \equiv \rho(t_0)$  corresponds to the equilibrium state and remains invariant under the action of the evolution operator. During the *waiting time*  $\tau_j$ , the evolution is given by

$$V(\tau_j) = U^\dagger(\tau_j)VU(\tau_j), \quad U(\tau_j) = e^{-i/\hbar H_c \tau_j}. \quad (39)$$

Here, the SCQA is performed separately for each waiting time  $\tau_j$ , with  $U(\tau_j) \approx U_{SC}(\tau_j)$ . Expanding the nested commutators in Eq. (38) results [35]

$$\begin{aligned} S(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{N+1}) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{N+1,k}} R(\tau_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \tau_{\sigma(N+1)}), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where  $S_{N+1,k}$  is the set of permutations  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma(1) > \dots > \sigma(k) = 1 < \sigma(k+1) < \dots < \sigma(N+1)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tau_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \tau_{\sigma(N+1)}) \\ = \text{tr} \left\{ \rho_0 \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} U_{SC}^\dagger(\tau_{\sigma(j)}) V U_{SC}(\tau_{\sigma(j)}) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

is the response function of a given permutation  $\sigma$ . We now focus on this quantity and drop the permutation indices for simplicity. It represents a generic real-time  $n$ -point correlation function [3].

From the Taylor series, Eq. (32), we can write the response function as

$$R_N = \Xi_{N+1}[\chi_0] \mathcal{V}(q_1, \dots, q_{N+1}) \quad (42)$$

$$\Xi_{N+1}[\chi_0] \equiv \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} U_{j,SC}^\dagger e^{\nabla_j^T (q - q_j)} U_{j,SC} \right\rangle_0 \quad (43)$$

$$\mathcal{V}(q_1, \dots, q_{N+1}) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} \mathcal{V}(q_j), \quad (44)$$

where the indices  $j$  distinguish the waiting times, and there are  $N+1$  canonical vectors with components  $q_j \xi$ . The differential operator  $\Xi_{N+1}[\chi_0]$  contains the information about the initial state through the characteristic function,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{N+1}[\chi_0] \equiv \chi_0 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j \right) \\ \times e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \sigma((\Lambda_k^{-1})^T \nabla_k, (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T (\Lambda_j^{-1} \Delta_j + q_j)}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and will be here referred to as the *response operator*.

Before determining its explicit form, we point out a remarkable connection with the quantum optics characteristic function  $\chi[\rho](\lambda) = \text{tr}\{\rho D(\lambda)\}$ , where  $D(\lambda) = \exp(iq^T J^T \lambda)$  is the displacement operator [36]. From the definition of Weyl's characteristic function

$M(a) \equiv \exp\{\frac{i}{\hbar} q^T a\}$  [12], we have  $D(\lambda) = M(\hbar J^T \lambda)$ . For exponential interactions,  $V_j = e^{a_j^T q}$  [37],  $\nabla_j$  is replaced by  $a_j$ , and we can use the product identity  $M(a)M(b) = e^{\frac{i}{2\hbar} \sigma(a,b)} M(a+b)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_N &= e^\varphi \chi[\rho_0] \left( iJ^T \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T a_j \right) \\ \varphi &= -\frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \sigma((\Lambda_k^{-1})^T a_k, (a_j^{-1})^T a_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} a_j^T \Lambda_j^{-1} \Delta_j. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

This shows the equivalence between the nonlinear response and the quantum optics characteristic function up to a phase factor. Consequently, for an unknown initial state, we can use its nonlinear response to perform a tomographic reconstruction via the identity [36]

$$\rho = \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} d\lambda \chi[\rho](\lambda) D^\dagger(\lambda). \quad (47)$$

This will require making  $\lambda = iJ^T \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T a_j$ , which suggests using the waiting times  $t_j$  as tunable parameters for scanning  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

Evaluating the response operator, Eq. (45), over initial Gaussian states gives the nonlinear response function

$$R_N = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \nabla_k^T \Sigma_{kk} \nabla_k + \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T \Sigma_{jk} \nabla_k \right\} \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} \mathcal{V}(\langle q \rangle_j), \quad (48)$$

where  $\Sigma_{jk} = \Lambda_j^{-1} \Sigma_0 (\Lambda_k^{-1})^T$  and  $\Sigma_0 = M_0 + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T$ .

The classical limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  for the nonlinear response, Eqs. (42) and (48), requires careful consideration as it is known that divergences may arise due to anharmonicity [38–40]. However, if we restrict to semiclassically admissible functions

$$\mathcal{A}(z) = \mathcal{A}_c(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!} a_k(z), \quad (49)$$

whose Weyl symbol is asymptotically regular at  $\hbar = 0$ , e.g., the leading term is regarded as the classical counterpart of  $A$  [31], we can write

$$\chi_0(z) = \chi_c(z) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \quad (50)$$

$$\mathcal{V}(z) = \mathcal{V}_c(z) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar), \quad (51)$$

for which the nonlinear response is well defined as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ . An example of the first equation is the characteristic function of the thermal state [29]. However, Eqs. (50) and (51) do not hold in general and divergences might arise, for instance, when dealing with nonclassical quantum states.

In conclusion, this work has presented a self-consistent quadratic theory for nonlinear contributions in quantum


dynamics, applicable to a broad class of Hamiltonians comprising composite qudit-boson systems. The theory emphasizes the existence of many conserved quantities, including the system Hamiltonian. Arbitrary-order nonlinear response functions have been calculated, their classical limit studied, and connections with quantum tomography are highlighted.

The author would like to thank Filippo Troiani and Raffaello Bianco for sharing interesting and informative conversations.

- 
- [1] M.-w. Xiao, Theory of transformation for the diagonalization of quadratic hamiltonians, (2009), [arXiv:0908.0787](#).
- [2] V. V. Dodonov and V. I. Man'ko, [Theory of nonclassical states of light](#) (CRC Press, 2003).
- [3] G. Stefanucci and R. Van Leeuwen, [Nonequilibrium many-body theory of quantum systems](#) (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- [4] E. J. Heller, Time-dependent approach to semiclassical dynamics, [The Journal of Chemical Physics](#) **62**, 1544 (1975).
- [5] R. B. Gerber, V. Buch, and M. A. Ratner, Time-dependent self-consistent field approximation for intramolecular energy transfer. i. formulation and application to dissociation of van der waals molecules, [The Journal of Chemical Physics](#) **77**, 3022 (1982).
- [6] X. Li, J. C. Tully, H. B. Schlegel, and M. J. Frisch, Ab initio ehrenfest dynamics, [The Journal of chemical physics](#) **123** (2005).
- [7] K. Shukla, A. Paskin, D. O. Welch, and G. J. Dienes, Self-consistent-average-phonon equation of state. formalism and comparison with self-consistent-phonon calculations, [Phys. Rev. B](#) **24**, 724 (1981).
- [8] L. Monacelli, R. Bianco, M. Cherubini, M. Calandra, I. Errea, and F. Mauri, The stochastic self-consistent harmonic approximation: calculating vibrational properties of materials with full quantum and anharmonic effects, [Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter](#) **33**, 363001 (2021).
- [9] L. Monacelli and F. Mauri, Time-dependent self-consistent harmonic approximation: Anharmonic nuclear quantum dynamics and time correlation functions, [Physical Review B](#) **103**, 104305 (2021).
- [10] A. Siciliano, L. Monacelli, G. Caldarelli, and F. Mauri, Wigner gaussian dynamics: Simulating the anharmonic and quantum ionic motion, [Physical Review B](#) **107**, 174307 (2023).
- [11] M. Gadella, Moyal formulation of quantum mechanics, [Fortschritte der Physik/Progress of Physics](#) **43**, 229 (1995).
- [12] M. A. De Gosson, [The Wigner Transform](#) (World Scientific Publishing Company, 2017).
- [13] D. W. Barry and P. D. Drummond, Qubit phase space:  $SU(n)$  coherent-state  $p$  representations, [Phys. Rev. A](#) **78**, 052108 (2008).
- [14] A. Leverrier, Su  $(p, q)$  coherent states and a gaussian de finetti theorem, [Journal of Mathematical Physics](#) **59** (2018).
- [15] R. R. Puri, Su  $(m, n)$  coherent states in the bosonic representation and their generation in optical parametric processes, [Physical Review A](#) **50**, 5309 (1994).
- [16] R. R. Puri et al., [Mathematical methods of quantum optics](#), Vol. 79 (Springer, 2001).
- [17] J. Larson and T. K. Mavrogordatos, The jaynes-cummings model and its descendants, (2022), [arXiv:2202.00330](#).
- [18] T. Tilma, M. J. Everitt, J. H. Samson, W. J. Munro, and K. Nemoto, Wigner functions for arbitrary quantum systems, [Physical review letters](#) **117**, 180401 (2016).
- [19] R. P. Rundle, P. W. Mills, T. Tilma, J. H. Samson, and M. J. Everitt, Simple procedure for phase-space measurement and entanglement validation, [Phys. Rev. A](#) **96**, 022117 (2017).
- [20] R. P. Rundle, T. Tilma, J. H. Samson, V. M. Dwyer, R. F. Bishop, and M. J. Everitt, General approach to quantum mechanics as a statistical theory, [Phys. Rev. A](#) **99**, 012115 (2019).
- [21] S. Biswas, J. Kim, X. Zhang, and G. D. Scholes, Coherent two-dimensional and broadband electronic spectroscopies, [Chemical Reviews](#) **122**, 4257 (2022).
- [22] K. E. Dorfman, F. Schlawin, and S. Mukamel, Nonlinear optical signals and spectroscopy with quantum light, [Reviews of Modern Physics](#) **88**, 045008 (2016).
- [23] S. R. Leone and D. M. Neumark, Probing matter with nonlinear spectroscopy, [Science](#) **379**, 536 (2023).
- [24] F. E. Quintela Rodriguez and F. Troiani, Vibrational response functions for multidimensional electronic spectroscopy in the adiabatic regime: A coherent-state approach, [The Journal of Chemical Physics](#) **157**, 10.1063/5.0094512 (2022), 034107.
- [25] F. Troiani, Vibrational response functions for multidimensional electronic spectroscopy in nonadiabatic models, [The Journal of Chemical Physics](#) **158** (2023).
- [26] F. E. Quintela Rodriguez and F. Troiani, Vibrational response functions for multidimensional electronic spectroscopy: From duschinsky rotations to multimode squeezed coherent states, [The Journal of Chemical Physics](#) **159** (2023), [arXiv:2306.08886](#).
- [27] S. Mukamel, [Principles of nonlinear optical spectroscopy](#), 6 (Oxford University Press, USA, 1995).
- [28] M. Gadella, Quantum mechanics on phase space, in [Integrable Systems, Quantum Groups, and QFTs](#), edited by L. A. Ibort and M. A. Rodríguez (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1993) pp. 417–428.
- [29] E. Akhundova, V. Dodonov, and V. Man'ko, Wigner functions of quadratic systems, [Physica A: Stat. Mech. Appl.](#) **115**, 215 (1982).
- [30] V. Dodonov, Universal integrals of motion and universal invariants of quantum systems, [Journal of Physics A: Math. and General](#) **33**, 7721 (2000).
- [31] T. A. Osborn, Molzahn, and FH, Moyal quantum mechanics: the semiclassical heisenberg dynamics, [Annals of Physics](#) **241**, 79 (1995).
- [32] G. B. Folland, Higher-order derivatives and taylor's formula in several variables, [Preprint](#) , 1 (2005).
- [33] A. Zee, [Quantum field theory in a nutshell](#), Vol. 7 (Princeton university press, 2010).
- [34] T. Begušić and J. Vaníček, Finite-temperature, anharmonicity, and duschinsky effects on the two-dimensional electronic spectra from ab initio thermo-field gaussian wavepacket dynamics,

- The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters **12**, 2997 (2021).
- [35] D. B. Zagier, Expansion of an n-point function as a sum of commutators, [Journal of Mathematical Physics](#) **11**, 3253 (1970).
  - [36] A. Ferraro, S. Olivares, and M. G. Paris, Gaussian states in continuous variable quantum information, (2005), [arXiv:quant-ph/0503237](#).
  - [37] V. Khidekel and S. Mukamel, High-order echoes in vibrational spectroscopy of liquids, [Chemical physics letters](#) **240**, 304 (1995).
  - [38] M. Kryvohuz and J. Cao, Classical divergence of nonlinear response functions, [Physical review letters](#) **96**, 030403 (2006).
  - [39] J. Wu and J. Cao, Linear and nonlinear response functions of the morse oscillator: Classical divergence and the uncertainty principle, [The Journal of Chemical Physics](#) **115**, 5381 (2001).
  - [40] M. Kryvohuz and J. Cao, Quantum-classical correspondence in response theory, [Physical review letters](#) **95**, 180405 (2005).

# Supplemental Material to Quantum dynamics in the self-consistent quadratic approximation

Frank Ernesto Quintela Rodriguez <sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7, Pisa, 56126, Italy*

(Dated: March 19, 2024)

## CONTENTS

I. Wigner-Weyl isomorphism	1
II. Gradient identity for Gaussian states	2
III. The basic commutation relation	4
IV. Heisenberg equation for the SCQA	4
V. Trace and entropy preservation	6
VI. Nonlinear response function	6
References	8

## I. WIGNER-WEYL ISOMORPHISM

The Wigner-Weyl isomorphism is a mapping that associates an operator  $\hat{f}$  acting on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to a distribution (generalized function)  $f(z) \equiv (\hat{f})_W(z)$  defined in the phase space  $z = (p, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , through the transformation [1]

$$\hat{f} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} d\eta d\sigma \mathcal{F}(f)(\eta, \sigma) e^{i(\eta\hat{p} + \sigma\hat{x})}, \quad (1)$$

with  $\nu = (2\pi\hbar)^{2n}$ . This transformation is invertible and linear with respect to the sum of functions and products by complex numbers. For the mapping being a homomorphism between the Hilbert and phase spaces, the Weyl symbol of the operator product  $(\hat{f}\hat{g})_W(z) = (f \star_{\hbar} g)(z)$  is given through the Moyal product

$$(f \star_{\hbar} g)(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4n}} du dv f(u)g(v) e^{i(zJu + uJv + vJz)}. \quad (2)$$

For analytic functions, the product can be computed using the Groenewold formula [2]

$$(\hat{f}\hat{g})_W(z) = f(z) e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\sigma(\overrightarrow{\nabla}, \overleftarrow{\nabla})} g(z), \quad (3)$$

where  $\sigma(a, b) = b^T J a$  and the exponential (the ‘‘Janus operator’’) is understood as a power series, the arrows indicating the direction in which the derivative acts, i.e.,  $\overrightarrow{\nabla}$  will act on  $g(z)$ . It can be shown that

$$\text{tr}\{\hat{f}\hat{g}\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz f(z)g(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz g(z)f(z) = \text{tr}\{\hat{g}\hat{f}\}, \quad (4)$$

which is cyclic as required. The Wigner-Weyl isomorphism can be defined by using the Grossmann-Royer operator

$$\hat{\Pi}(z)\Psi(\zeta) = 2^n e^{ip^T(\zeta - q)} \Psi(2q - \zeta), \quad (5)$$

---

\* Email: [frank.quintelarodriguez@sns.it](mailto:frank.quintelarodriguez@sns.it)

which verifies the identities

$$\text{tr} \hat{\Pi}(z) = 1 \quad (6)$$

$$\text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\Pi}(z) \hat{\Pi}(z') \right\} = 2^n \sqrt{\nu} \delta(z - z'), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\text{tr} \hat{A} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx \langle x | \hat{A} | x \rangle. \quad (8)$$

Then, an operator  $\hat{f}$  and its associated Weyl symbol  $f(z)$  are related through

$$f(z) = \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\Pi}(z) \hat{f} \right\} \quad (9a)$$

$$\hat{f} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz \hat{\Pi}(z) f(z). \quad (9b)$$

## II. GRADIENT IDENTITY FOR GAUSSIAN STATES

For initial Gaussian states, any operator relates to its gradient by

$$\langle (q - \langle q \rangle_t) A \rangle_t = \left( M_t + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \right) \langle \nabla A \rangle_t, \quad (10)$$

where  $M_t = \Lambda_t^{-1} M_0 (\Lambda_t^{-1})^T$ . We present two proofs, one using integration by parts and the other using the Taylor series expansion of an operator.

*Proof.*

$$\langle \delta q A \rangle_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz (\delta q A)_W(z) \mathcal{W}_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz \delta z \mathcal{A}(z) \mathcal{W}_t(z) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz \nabla \mathcal{A}(z) \mathcal{W}_t(z) \quad (11)$$

from the Bopp shifts identity  $(\delta q A)_W(z) = \delta z \mathcal{A}(z) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \nabla \mathcal{A}(z)$ , which is valid in a generalized function sense [2]. For a Gaussian state

$$\mathcal{W}_t(z) = \det\{2\pi M_t\}^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(z - \langle q \rangle_t)^T M_t^{-1}(z - \langle q \rangle_t)\right\}, \quad (12)$$

with  $M_t = \Lambda_t^{-1} M_0 (\Lambda_t^{-1})^T$ . Taking the gradient

$$\nabla \mathcal{W}_t(z) = -M_t^{-1} \delta z \mathcal{W}(z), \quad (13)$$

where  $\delta z = z - \langle q \rangle_t$  and we have used  $M_t^{-1} = (M_t^{-1})^T$ . Substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (11) and integrating by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta q A \rangle_t &= M_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz M_t^{-1} \delta z \mathcal{W}_t(z) \mathcal{A}(z) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz \nabla \mathcal{A}(z) \mathcal{W}_t(z) \\ &= -M_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz \nabla \mathcal{W}_t(z) \mathcal{A}(z) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \langle \nabla A \rangle_t \\ &= -M_t \left( \mathcal{W}_t(z) \mathcal{A}(z) \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz \mathcal{W}_t(z) \nabla \mathcal{A}(z) \right) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \langle \nabla A \rangle_t. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since  $\mathcal{W}_t(z = \pm\infty) = 0$ ,

$$\langle \delta q A \rangle_t = M_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz \mathcal{W}_t(z) \nabla \mathcal{A}(z) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \langle \nabla A \rangle_t = M_t \langle \nabla A \rangle_t + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \langle \nabla A \rangle_t = \left( M_t + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \right) \langle \nabla A \rangle_t. \quad (15)$$

□



*Proof.* A. From the Groenewold formula, Eq. (3), we have

$$(\delta q A)_W(z) = \delta z \mathcal{A}(z) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \nabla \mathcal{A}(z). \quad (16)$$

B. For initial Gaussian states the expectation value is given by

$$\langle A \rangle_t = e^{\frac{1}{2} \nabla^T M_t \nabla} \mathcal{A}(\langle q \rangle_t), \quad (17)$$

then substituting Eq. (16) results

$$\langle \delta q A \rangle_t = e^{\frac{1}{2} \nabla^T M_t \nabla} \left( \delta z \mathcal{A}(z) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \nabla \mathcal{A}(z) \right) \Big|_{z=\langle q \rangle_t} = e^{\frac{1}{2} \nabla^T M_t \nabla} (\delta z \mathcal{A}(z)) \Big|_{z=\langle q \rangle_t} + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \langle \nabla A \rangle. \quad (18)$$

C. To evaluate the first term in the *r.h.s* of Eq. (18), we denote  $\langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle := \nabla^T M_t \nabla$  and prove the identity

$$\frac{1}{2} [\langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^k, z] = k \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^{k-1} M \nabla, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (19)$$

$k = 1$

$$[\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu, z_\alpha] f = \nabla_{\mu\nu} (z_\alpha f) - z_\alpha \nabla_{\mu\nu} f = \nabla_\mu (\delta_{\alpha\nu} f + z_\alpha \nabla_\nu f) - z_\alpha \nabla_{\mu\nu} f = \delta_{\alpha\nu} \nabla_\mu f + \delta_{\alpha\mu} \nabla_\nu f. \quad (20)$$

Then we have  $[\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu, z_\alpha] = \delta_{\alpha\nu} \nabla_\mu + \delta_{\alpha\mu} \nabla_\nu$ . From this results

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} [\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu, z_\alpha] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_\mu M_{\mu\alpha} \nabla_\mu + \sum_\nu M_{\alpha\nu} \nabla_\nu \right) = \left( \frac{1}{2} (M^T + M) \nabla \right)_\alpha. \quad (21)$$

Assuming  $M^T = M$  we get

$$\frac{1}{2} [\langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle, z] = M \nabla, \quad (22)$$

which is Eq. (19) for  $k = 1$ .

$k \Rightarrow k + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} [\langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^{k+1}, z] &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^{k+1} z - \frac{1}{2} z \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^{k+1} \\ &= \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle \left( \frac{1}{2} z \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^k + k \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^{k-1} M \nabla \right) - \frac{1}{2} z \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^{k+1} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} z \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle + M \nabla \right) \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^k + k \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^k M \nabla - \frac{1}{2} z \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^{k+1} \\ &= (k+1) \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^k M \nabla. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Together Eqs. (22) and (23) prove Eq. (19).

D. Expanding the exponential in power series,

$$e^{\frac{1}{2} \nabla^T M_t \nabla} (\delta z \mathcal{A}(z)) \Big|_{z=\langle q \rangle_t} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! 2^{k-1}} \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^k (\delta z \mathcal{A}(z)) \Big|_{z=\langle q \rangle_t}, \quad (24)$$

and from the commutation relation in Eq. (19) we get

$$= (\delta z \mathcal{A}(z)) \Big|_{z=\langle q \rangle_t} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! 2^k} (\delta z \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^k \mathcal{A}(z)) \Big|_{z=\langle q \rangle_t} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} k \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^{k-1} M \nabla \mathcal{A}(z) \Big|_{z=\langle q \rangle_t}. \quad (25)$$

Evaluating  $z = \langle q \rangle_t \Rightarrow \delta z = 0$ , so Eqs. (24) and (25) reduces to

$$e^{\frac{1}{2} \nabla^T M_t \nabla} (\delta z \mathcal{A}(z)) \Big|_{z=\langle q \rangle_t} = M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{2^k} \langle \nabla, M \nabla \rangle^k \nabla \mathcal{A}(z) \Big|_{z=\langle q \rangle_t} = M e^{\frac{1}{2} \nabla^T M_t \nabla} \nabla \mathcal{A}(\langle q \rangle_t) = M \langle \nabla A \rangle_t. \quad (26)$$

From Eqs. (18) and (26) we finally get

$$\langle \delta q A \rangle_t = M \langle \nabla A \rangle_t + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T \langle \nabla A \rangle_t, \quad (27)$$

which is nothing but the desired identity, Eq. (10).  $\square$

### III. THE BASIC COMMUTATION RELATION

Let's prove the commutation identity (also Eq. (2.13) in [3])

$$[q, A] = -i\hbar J \nabla A \quad (28)$$

using both the Groenewold formula, Eq. (3), and the Taylor series expansion of operators.

*Proof.*

$$(q_\mu A)_W = z_\mu e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\sigma(\vec{\nabla}, \overleftarrow{\nabla})} \mathcal{A}(z) = z_\mu \mathcal{A}(z) - \frac{i\hbar}{2} z_\mu \overleftarrow{\nabla}^T J \overrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{A}(z) = z_\mu \mathcal{A}(z) - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \delta_{\mu k} J_{k\nu} \nabla_\nu \mathcal{A}(z) \quad (29)$$

$$(A q_\mu)_W = z_\mu \mathcal{A}(z) - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathcal{A}(z) \overleftarrow{\nabla}^T J \overrightarrow{\nabla} z_\mu = z_\mu \mathcal{A}(z) - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \nabla_\nu \mathcal{A}(z) J_{\nu k} \delta_{\mu k} = z_\mu \mathcal{A}(z) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \delta_{\mu k} J_{k\nu} \nabla_\nu \mathcal{A}(z), \quad (30)$$

where we have used  $J_{\nu k} = -J_{k\nu}$ . Then we have

$$(q_\mu A)_W - (A q_\mu)_W = -i\hbar J_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \mathcal{A}(z). \quad (31)$$

Back to the Hilbert space, Eq. (31) coincides with Eq. (28). □

*Proof.* The canonical commutation relation for the operators ordering  $q = (p_1, \dots, p_n, x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  is [4]

$$[q_\xi, q_\eta] = -i\hbar J_{\xi\eta}. \quad (32)$$

where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is the standard symplectic matrix,  $I \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $J^T = J^{-1} = -J$ . For  $u = \nabla^T \delta q$ ,

$$[u, q_\eta] = \nabla_\xi [\delta q_\xi, q_\eta] = -i\hbar \nabla_\xi J_{\xi\eta} \Rightarrow [q, u] = i\hbar \nabla J. \quad (33)$$

Now we prove that

$$[q, u^n] = i\hbar \nabla J n u^{n-1} = -i\hbar J \nabla n u^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (34)$$

*Proof.* Let's proceed by induction. The case  $n = 1$  is given by Eq. (33). The inductive step  $n - 1 \Rightarrow n$  reads:

$$\begin{aligned} [q, u^n] &= q u^{n-1} u - u^n q = (u^{n-1} q + i\hbar \nabla J (n-1) u^{n-2}) u - u^n q \\ &= u^{n-1} (u q + i\hbar \nabla J) + i\hbar \nabla J (n-1) u^{n-1} - u^n q = i\hbar \nabla J n u^{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

□

Using Eq. (34), the commutator with the exponential reads

$$[q, e^u] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} [q, u^n] = -i\hbar J \nabla \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n u^{n-1}}{n!} = -i\hbar J \nabla e^u \quad (36)$$

Comparing with the Taylor theorem gives  $[q, A] = -i\hbar J \nabla A$ . □

### IV. HEISENBERG EQUATION FOR THE SCQA

We start with the commutation identity Eq. (28),

$$[q, A] = -i\hbar J \nabla A. \quad (37)$$

Then

$$\sum_{\eta=1}^{2n} C_\eta [q_\eta, A] = i\hbar \nabla^T A J C \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta, \mu=1}^{2n} B_{\eta\mu} [q_\eta q_\mu, A] = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} (q^T B J \nabla A - \nabla^T A J B q) \quad (39)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
[q_\eta q_\mu, A] &= q_\eta q_\mu A - A q_\eta q_\mu = q_\eta (A q_\mu - i\hbar J_{\mu\xi} \nabla_\xi A) - A q_\eta q_\mu \\
&= (A q_\eta - i\hbar J_{\eta\xi} \nabla_\xi A) q_\mu - i\hbar q_\eta J_{\mu\xi} \nabla_\xi A - A q_\eta q_\mu \\
&= -i\hbar q_\eta J_{\mu\xi} \nabla_\xi A - i\hbar J_{\eta\xi} \nabla_\xi A q_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Reordering the above terms and adding the products with  $\frac{1}{2}B_{\eta\mu}$  gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta,\mu=1}^{2n} B_{\eta\mu} [q_\eta q_\mu, A] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta,\mu=1}^{2n} B_{\eta\mu} (i\hbar q_\eta J_{\mu\xi} \nabla_\xi A + i\hbar J_{\eta\xi} \nabla_\xi A q_\mu) = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} (q^T B J \nabla A - \nabla^T A J B q) \tag{41}$$

□

Now, let's work on the first term in the *rhs* of Eq. (41),

$$q_\eta J_{\mu\xi} \nabla_\xi A = J_{\mu\xi} \nabla_\xi A q_\eta + i\hbar J_{\eta\nu} \nabla_\nu \xi A J_{\xi\mu}. \tag{42}$$

Applying  $\sum_{\eta,\mu=1}^{2n} B_{\eta\mu}$  in both sides of the equality,

$$\sum_{\eta,\mu=1}^{2n} B_{\eta\mu} q_\eta J_{\mu\xi} \nabla_\xi A = q^T B J \nabla A \tag{43a}$$

$$\sum_{\eta,\mu=1}^{2n} B_{\eta\mu} (J_{\mu\xi} \nabla_\xi A q_\eta + i\hbar J_{\eta\nu} \nabla_\nu \xi A J_{\xi\mu}) = -\nabla^T A J B^T q + i\hbar \text{tr}\{B^T J \nabla^2 A J\}. \tag{43b}$$

From Eqs. (42) and (43) results

$$q^T B J \nabla A = -\nabla^T A J B^T q + i\hbar \text{tr}\{B^T J \nabla^2 A J\}. \tag{44}$$

Equation (44) and  $B^T = B$  symmetric allows to rewrite Eq. (39) as

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta,\mu=1}^{2n} B_{\eta\mu} [q_\eta q_\mu, A] = i\hbar \nabla^T A J B q + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \text{tr}\{B J \nabla^2 A J\}. \tag{45}$$

The commutator with  $H_{\text{SC}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}q^T B_t q + q^T C_t$  reads

$$\begin{aligned}
[A, H_{\text{SC}}(t)] &= \left[ A, \frac{1}{2}q^T B_t q + q^T C_t \right] = -\sum_{\eta=1}^{2n} C_\eta [q_\eta, A] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta,\mu=1}^{2n} B_{\eta\mu} [q_\eta q_\mu, A] \\
&= -i\hbar \nabla^T A J C - i\hbar \nabla^T A J B q - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \text{tr}\{B J \nabla^2 A J\} = -i\hbar \nabla^T A J (C + B q) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \text{tr}\{B J \nabla^2 A J\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From

$$B_t = \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t, \quad B_t = B_t^T \tag{46a}$$

$$C_t = \langle \nabla H \rangle_t - \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t \langle q \rangle_t \tag{46b}$$

we have

$$C + B q = \langle \nabla H \rangle_t + \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t (q - \langle q \rangle_t), \tag{47}$$

so the commutator gives

$$[A, H_{\text{SC}}(t)] = -i\hbar \nabla^T A J \langle \nabla H \rangle_t - i\hbar \nabla^T A J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t (q - \langle q \rangle_t) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \text{tr}\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t J \nabla^2 A\}. \tag{48}$$

Now,

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [A, H_{\text{SC}}(t)] = -\nabla^T A J \langle \nabla H \rangle_t - \nabla^T A J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t (q - \langle q \rangle_t) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \text{tr}\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t J \nabla^2 A\}. \tag{49}$$

Writing the commutation relation Eq. (28) in the form  $q_k O_\mu = O_\mu q_k - i\hbar J_{k\sigma} \nabla_\sigma O_\mu$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu A J_{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma k} \delta q_k &= J_{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma k} \nabla_\mu A \delta q_k = J_{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma k} (\delta q_k \nabla_\mu A + i\hbar J_{k\sigma} \nabla_{\sigma\mu} A) \\ &= -\delta q_k B_{k\sigma} J_{\sigma\mu} \nabla_\mu A + i\hbar J_{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma k} J_{k\sigma} \nabla_{\sigma\mu} A = -\delta q^T B J \nabla A + i\hbar \text{tr}\{J B J \nabla^2 A\}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where we have used  $B = B^T$  and  $J^T = -J$ . Substituting Eq. (50) in Eq. (49) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i\hbar} [A, H_{SC}(t)] &= -\nabla^T A J \langle \nabla H \rangle_t + (q - \langle q \rangle_t)^T \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t J \nabla A - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \text{tr}\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t J \nabla^2 A\} \\ &= \langle \nabla H \rangle_t^T J \nabla A - \text{tr}\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t (q - \langle q \rangle_t) \nabla A\} - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \text{tr}\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t J \nabla^2 A\} \\ &= \langle \nabla H \rangle_t^T J \nabla A - \text{tr}\left\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t \left( (q - \langle q \rangle_t) \nabla A + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J \nabla^2 A \right)\right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where we have used  $a^T M b = \text{tr}\{M^T a b\}$ .

In the Heisenberg picture,  $A_H := U_{SC}^\dagger(t) A(t) U_{SC}(t)$ , an operator evolves under the self-consistent Hamiltonian  $H_{SC}(t)$  according to

$$\frac{dA_H(t)}{dt} = \left( \frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial t} \right)_H + \frac{1}{i\hbar} [A, H_{SC}(t)]_H. \quad (52)$$

Substituting Eq. (51) into Eq. (52) gives

$$\frac{dA_H(t)}{dt} = \left( \frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial t} \right)_H + \langle \nabla H \rangle_t^T J \nabla A_H - \text{tr}\left\{J \langle \nabla^2 H \rangle_t \left( (q_H - \langle q \rangle_t) \nabla A_H + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J \nabla^2 A_H \right)\right\}, \quad (53)$$

which is the Heisenberg equation for the SCQA.

## V. TRACE AND ENTROPY PRESERVATION

A.  $\Lambda_t$  is symplectic independently of the specific form of  $B_t$  and  $C_t$  (see proof in [3]), so  $\det\{\Lambda_t\} = 1$ .

B.

$$\text{tr} \rho_t = \int dz \mathcal{W}_t(z) = \int dz \mathcal{W}(\Lambda_t z + \Delta_t) = \det\{\Lambda_t\}^{-1} \int dz \mathcal{W}(z) = \text{tr} \rho_0 = 1. \quad (54)$$

C. If  $H = H^\dagger \Rightarrow \nabla^\alpha H = (\nabla^\alpha H)^\dagger \Rightarrow H_{SC}(t) = H_{SC}^\dagger(t)$ , so the dynamics is unitary. Then the entropy verifies

$$S_t = -\text{tr}\{\rho_t \log \rho_t\} = -\text{tr}\left\{U_t \rho_0 U_t^\dagger \log\left(U_t \rho_0 U_t^\dagger\right)\right\} = -\text{tr}\{\rho_0 \log \rho_0\} = S_0, \quad (55)$$

where we have used the cyclic property of the trace and  $U_t^\dagger U_t = I$ .

## VI. NONLINEAR RESPONSE FUNCTION

We aim to compute the nonlinear response

$$\mathcal{R}_N = \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} U_{j,SC}^\dagger e^{\nabla_j^T (q - q_j)} U_{j,SC} \right\rangle_0 \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} \mathcal{V}(q_j) = \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} e^{\nabla_j^T \Lambda_j^{-1} q} \right\rangle_0 e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T (\Lambda_j^{-1} \Delta_j + q_j)} \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} \mathcal{V}(q_j). \quad (56)$$

The product of exponentials is here calculated using the Weyl's characteristic function [2]

$$M(a) \equiv \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} a^T q\right\} \quad (57)$$

$$M(a)M(b) = e^{\frac{i}{2\hbar} \sigma(a,b)} M(a+b). \quad (58)$$

It verifies the identity

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N+1} M(a_j) = e^{\frac{i}{2\hbar} \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \sigma(a_k, a_j)} M\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_j\right). \quad (59)$$

*Proof.* The starting point is the recurrence relation

$$e^{\frac{i}{2\hbar}\varphi_{j+1}}M(b_{j+1}) = M(a_{j+1})e^{\frac{i}{2\hbar}\varphi_j}M(b_j) = e^{\frac{i}{2\hbar}(\sigma(a_{j+1}, b_j) + \varphi_j)}M(a_{j+1} + b_j), \quad (60)$$

from which result the linear recurrence relations

$$b_{j+1} = a_{j+1} + b_j, \quad b_0 = 0 \quad (61)$$

$$\varphi_{j+1} = \sigma(a_{j+1}, b_j) + \varphi_j, \quad \varphi_0 = 0. \quad (62)$$

The solution is given by

$$b_n = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \quad (63)$$

$$\varphi_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma(a_k, b_{k-1}) = \sum_{k>j=1}^n \sigma(a_k, a_j). \quad (64)$$

□

Using the definition of Weyl's characteristic function, Eq. (57), we can rewrite the exponential of the gradient as

$$e^{\nabla_j^T \Lambda_j^{-1} q} = M(-i\hbar(\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j), \quad (65)$$

from which follows

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N+1} e^{\nabla_j^T \Lambda_j^{-1} q} = \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} M(-i\hbar(\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j) = e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \sigma((\Lambda_k^{-1})^T \nabla_k, (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j)} M\left(-i\hbar \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j\right). \quad (66)$$

Taking the expectation value

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} e^{\nabla_j^T \Lambda_j^{-1} q} \right\rangle_0 &= \exp\left\{-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \sigma((\Lambda_k^{-1})^T \nabla_k, (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j)\right\} \left\langle M\left(-i\hbar \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j\right) \right\rangle_0 \\ &= \exp\left\{-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \sigma((\Lambda_k^{-1})^T \nabla_k, (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j)\right\} \chi_0\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j\right) \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Including the product with the exponential  $e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T (\Lambda_j^{-1} \Delta_j + q_j)}$  appearing in Eq. (56), we define the *response operator* to be

$$\Xi_{N+1}[\chi_0] \equiv \chi_0\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \sigma((\Lambda_k^{-1})^T \nabla_k, (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T (\Lambda_j^{-1} \Delta_j + q_j)\right\} \quad (68)$$

For initial Gaussian states, the characteristic function has the simple

$$\chi_0(a) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} a^T M_0 a + a^T \langle q \rangle_0\right\}, \quad (69)$$

then

$$\chi_G\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} (\Lambda_j^{-1})^T \nabla_j\right) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T M_{jk} \nabla_k + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T \Lambda_j^{-1}\right) \langle q \rangle_0\right\}, \quad (70)$$

where  $M_{jk} \equiv \Lambda_j^{-1} M_0 (\Lambda_k^{-1})^T$ , and  $M_{jk} = M_{kj}^T$  symmetric whenever  $M_0 = M_0^T$  is symmetric. From the symmetry argument follows the identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^{N+1} a_k^T M_{kl} a_l = \sum_{k>l=1}^{N+1} a_k^T M_{kl} a_l + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} a_k^T M_{kk} a_k, \quad (71)$$

which we use in conjunction with Eqs. (68) and (70) to obtain

$$\Xi_{N+1}[\chi_G] = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T \Sigma_{jk} \nabla_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \nabla_k^T \Sigma_{kk} \nabla_k - \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T \Lambda_j^{-1} (\Lambda_j q_j + \Delta_j - \langle q \rangle_0) \right\}, \quad (72)$$

where  $\Sigma_{jk} = \Lambda_j^{-1} \Sigma_0 (\Lambda_k^{-1})^T$  and  $\Sigma_0 = M_0 + \frac{i\hbar}{2} J^T$ .

*Proof.* Use: i)  $\nabla^T J \nabla = \sigma(\nabla, \nabla) = [\nabla_p, \nabla_x] = 0$ . ii)  $\Lambda_k$  symplectic, so  $\Lambda_k^{-1} J (\Lambda_k^{-1})^T = J$ . □

Choosing  $q_j = \langle q \rangle_j = \Lambda_j^{-1} (\langle q \rangle_0 - \Delta_j)$  the linear term in Eq. (72) vanishes, resulting

$$\Xi_{N+1}[\chi_G] = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \nabla_k^T \Sigma_{kk} \nabla_k + \sum_{k>j=1}^{N+1} \nabla_j^T \Sigma_{jk} \nabla_k \right\}. \quad (73)$$

- [1] M. Gadella, Quantum mechanics on phase space, in [Integrable Systems, Quantum Groups, and QFTs](#), edited by L. A. Ibort and M. A. Rodríguez (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1993) pp. 417–428.
- [2] M. A. De Gosson, [The Wigner Transform](#) (World Scientific Publishing Company, 2017).
- [3] M. Gadella, Moyal formulation of quantum mechanics, [Fortschritte der Physik/Progress of Physics](#) **43**, 229 (1995).
- [4] V. V. Dodonov and V. I. Man'ko, [Theory of nonclassical states of light](#) (CRC Press, 2003).