

Neural-network density functional theory

Yang Li,^{1,*} Zechen Tang,^{1,*} Zezhou Chen,^{1,*} Minghui Sun,¹ Boheng Zhao,¹ He Li,^{1,2} Honggeng Tao,¹ Zilong Yuan,¹ Wenhui Duan,^{1,2,3,†} and Yong Xu^{1,3,4,‡}

¹*State Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Quantum Physics and
Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China*

²*Institute for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

³*Frontier Science Center for Quantum Information, Beijing, China*

⁴*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

Deep-learning density functional theory (DFT) shows great promise to significantly accelerate material discovery and potentially revolutionize materials research, which demands a close combination between neural networks and DFT computation. However, current research in this field primarily relies on supervised learning, making the developments of neural networks and DFT isolated from each other. In this work, we present a theoretical framework of neural-network DFT, which unifies the optimization of neural networks with the variational computation of DFT, enabling physics-informed unsupervised learning. Moreover, we develop a differential DFT code incorporated with deep-learning DFT Hamiltonian, and introduce algorithms of automatic differentiation and backpropagation to DFT, demonstrating the concept of neural-network DFT. The advanced neural-network architecture not only surpasses conventional approaches in accuracy and efficiency, but offers a new paradigm for developing deep-learning DFT methods.

Deep-learning ab initio calculation is an emerging interdisciplinary field, which aims to greatly enhance the capability of ab initio methods by using state-of-the-art neural-network approaches [1–14]. For instance, the use of neural-network quantum states significantly improves the accuracy of quantum Monte Carlo calculations [15]; the integration of deep learning and density function theory (DFT) can speed up material simulations by several orders of magnitude [7–13]. In particular, deep-learning DFT potentially has revolutionary impact on future research due to the indispensable role of DFT in various fields ranging from physics, chemistry to materials science. Stimulated by the Materials Genome Initiative launched in 2011, great efforts have been devoted to building computational materials databases via DFT. Deep-learning DFT will act as a game changer in the field, since neural-network algorithms can considerably accelerate the construction of bigger materials database, and the bigger data would in turn allow training more powerful neural-network models. In this context, combining neural networks with DFT database construction holds great promise for advancing materials discovery.

Current research on deep-learning DFT, however, treats the tasks of DFT and neural networks separately: People first compute materials datasets by DFT and then train neural-network models by the data. With this strategy, individuals can focus on the methodological development of neural networks without needing to delve into the intricacies of DFT algorithms. This results in the development of several valuable neural-network representations of DFT [7–13]. In contrast, a more intriguing strategy is to achieve a synergistic combination of neural networks and DFT, termed neural-network DFT, which enables their methodological developments to mutually benefit each other. This objective is theoretically feasi-

ble due to the resemblance between the variational principle in physics and the loss minimization rule in deep learning. In analogy to neural-network quantum Monte Carlo [15], one may express the total energy as a functional of DFT quantities, such as the Hamiltonian, wavefunction, and charge density, and define the energy functional as a loss function for training neural networks [16–18]. While algorithms for neural networks and DFT are well-developed individually, the coherent integration of the two for creating an advanced deep learning architecture remains elusive.

In this work, we introduce a theoretical framework of neural-network DFT, which unifies the minimization of loss in neural networks with the optimization of energy in DFT. The central idea is to express the total energy as a functional of DFT quantities while simultaneously representing these quantities using neural networks. Consequently, the total energy of DFT naturally serves as the loss function of neural networks, effectively integrating DFT computation with neural-network training. We suggest selecting the DFT Hamiltonian as the target quantity to acquire transferable neural-network models and variational energy functionals. To illustrate this concept, we develop a computational code called AI2DFT for implementing neural-network DFT. In AI2DFT, equivariant neural networks are employed to learn the mapping from material structure to DFT Hamiltonian, and DFT algorithms are adapted to be differentiable, allowing using modern techniques of automatic differentiation and backpropagation throughout the code. Remarkably, neural-network DFT enables physics-informed unsupervised learning, potentially offering superior accuracy and efficiency compared to conventional supervised learning methods. The work establishes a new pathway for the development of deep-learning DFT methods.




FIG. 1. A schematic illustration comparing the process of DFT data-driven neural network training and the neural-network DFT training. (a) The conventional data-driven neural network training requires the prior preparation of training data. The neural network training and DFT calculation processes are independent. (b) The physics-informed unsupervised learning in neural-network DFT, during which DFT calculations and network training are coupled. Purple arrows depict data transfer, black arrows traditional computation, and green arrows differential programming computation, with solid lines for forward passes and dashed for gradient back-propagation.

The Kohn-Sham DFT is the most widely used *ab initio* approach in material simulations. The method maps the complicated problem of interacting electrons to a simplified problem of non-interacting electrons with an effective single-particle Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian, taking the intricate many-body effects into account by employing approximated exchange-correlation functionals [16]. Typically the ground state properties of materials are calculated by solving the Kohn-Sham equation via self-consistent field (SCF) iterations. An equivalent and fundamental approach is based on the variational principle, which computes ground state properties of materials by minimizing the energy functional of DFT. In fact, the Kohn-Sham equation is formally derived from the variational principle. The variational approach, though fundamental and favored by theoretical physics, is usually not implemented in DFT computation. One possible reason is that the variational calculation needs to search over potentially huge dimensional parameter space, which is particularly challenging for conventional computational methods. However, leveraging advanced algorithms and hardware developed for deep learning might render it feasible.

Previous research of deep-learning DFT is mainly based on supervised learning. As illustrated in Fig.1(a), DFT codes are employed to generate training data for given material structures; neural networks are designed and trained for predicting data similar to the DFT results. During this process, the DFT computation and

neural-network optimization are separated. We call this scenario as “neural networks & DFT”. A more intriguing strategy is to intimately integrate neural networks and DFT together, called neural-network DFT. This advanced architecture allows us to pursue the synergistic effects between the two. For instance, algorithms for neural networks and DFT may be shared with each other, and their developments can be mutually stimulated.

A critical problem is how to implement the so-called neural-network DFT. The variational formalism of DFT is preferred for the purpose, because the minimization of energy functional in DFT is similar in spirit to the optimization of loss function in neural networks. The total energy of DFT (E_{DFT}) can be explicitly expressed as a functional of DFT quantities, such as the Kohn-Sham eigenstates ($\{\psi_i\}$), charge density (n), density matrix (ρ), and DFT Hamiltonian (H_{DFT}). Hence different kinds of energy functionals can be defined: $E[Q]$, where Q denotes the target quantity of DFT. The subscript “DFT” in the symbols E and H will be omitted without leading to confusion. Inspired by neural-network quantum Monte Carlo methods [15], neural networks are utilized to represent the target DFT quantity, denoted as Q_θ , where θ represents parameters or weights of neural networks. Then, the total energy becomes a function of neural-network parameters, denoted as $E[Q_\theta]$. Using $E[Q_\theta]$ as the loss function, the optimization of neural networks naturally completes the variational computation of DFT, enabling the implementation of neural-network DFT as shown in Fig. 1(b).

The target DFT quantity Q , however, should be carefully selected. Three criteria are relevant here. Firstly, the deep-learning target would better satisfy the near-sightedness (or locality) principle as proposed by Walter Kohn [19, 20], so that the neural network models can have good transferability. For instance, the Kohn-Sham eigenstates are non-local in nature, which could be influenced by distant perturbations of environments (e.g., atomic displacements). Thus it is challenging, if not impossible, to generalize neural network models for making predictions on material structures unseen in the training dataset. On the contrary, the locality principle is satisfied for other physical quantities, including charge density, density matrix, and DFT Hamiltonian (or Kohn-Sham potential), which is desired for our purpose. Secondly, basic requirements of physical quantities should be easily satisfied by the neural network representations. For instance, the charge density is positive in value and normalized to a constant; the Hamiltonian is hermitian. They are easy to realize in deep learning. In contrast, the strict idempotency requirement of density matrix is hard to achieve. Thus we may further consider $E[H]$ or $E[n]$. Thirdly, the energy functional should have the desired variational property. $E[H]$ satisfies the condition, whereas $E[n]$ does not, meaning that minimizing $E[n]$ may not yield the correct ground state. Based on

the above considerations, we choose the energy functional $E[H]$ for the subsequent study.

The energy functional $E[H]$ emerges as a suitable option for implementing neural-network DFT. The neural network representation of H has been extensively explored [4, 7–10, 14]. A series of neural network methods have been developed for deep-learning DFT Hamiltonian (DeepH). In our work, we adopt the DeepH-E3 framework for neural-network DFT [9]. The underlying principle for the design of DeepH-E3 is centered on establishing an equivariant mapping between atomic structures and DFT Hamiltonians, ensuring the neural network’s equivariance with respect to the $E(3)$ group (Euclidean group in 3-dimensional space), which encompasses spatial inversion, translation, and rotation [21]. Additionally, it maintains compatibility with the quantum nearsightedness principle. To achieve $E(3)$ equivariance, DeepH-E3 employs equivariant neural networks, where all neural network features are represented as equivariant vectors labeled by the vector’s angular momentum l and parity o or e . These features carry specific representations of the $O(3)$ group, with all neural network operations designed to preserve such equivariance. Nearsightedness is implemented by predicting Hamiltonian matrix elements under a localized atomic-like basis and employing a graph neural network architecture. Within this architecture, atoms and atom pairs are mapped to nodes and edges, respectively. Features are updated through message-passing with nearby edges (nodes), ensuring that only information from nearby atoms is considered, thereby realizing quantum nearsightedness. The Hamiltonian matrix under a localized atomic-like basis comprises equivariant tensors and is expressed using equivariant vectors, in conjunction with the Wigner-Eckart theorem [9].

In the neural-network DFT framework, the DFT program must supply $\nabla_H E$ to DeepH-E3 for the optimization of neural network parameters. However, this poses a significant challenge in terms of DFT programming, as $\nabla_H E$ is not mandatory in standard SCF calculations and is therefore unavailable in most programs. Fulfilling this requirement calls for a DFT program that is end-to-end differentiable. Automatic differentiation (AD) offers a suite of methods for numerically computing the derivatives of functions embedded in computer programs. It systematically applies the chain rule and calculus principles, eliminating the need for manual derivation, thus making it well-suited for computing $\nabla_H E$. Currently, most DFT codes do not fully support the functional of AD. Our neural-network DFT necessitates a differentiable implementation of DFT capable of accommodating periodic boundary conditions as well as localized atomic-like bases, a feature that, to our knowledge, has not been developed. Consequently, we have developed our own auto-differentiable DFT program named AI2DFT (Fig. 2(b)).




FIG. 2. Illustration of the workflow for the specific implementation of physics-informed unsupervised learning training process: (a) Overall framework: The atomic structure is embedded into equivariant vectors, serving as input for the neural networks. The neural-network optimization is grounded on the implementation of variational DFT. (b) Variational DFT implementation: Differentiable programming enables the computation of gradients of any intermediate physical quantities with respect to the energy functional during the DFT calculation process. These gradients facilitate the guidance of neural network training towards convergence.

The schematic workflow of neural-network DFT workflow is depicted in Fig. 2(a) and could be outlined as follows: a neural network aiming at predicting H_θ (DeepH-E3) is integrated with an auto-differentiable DFT program AI2DFT. Beginning with an initial randomization of neural network parameters θ and input material structures, DeepH-E3 predicts the Hamiltonians H_θ for these structures, which are then forwarded to AI2DFT. Utilizing auto-differentiation, AI2DFT computes $\nabla_H E$ and transmits this derivative back to DeepH-E3. Subsequently, neural network parameters θ are optimized accordingly. The neural network training process within neural-network DFT framework is entirely equivalent to the variational minimization of $E[H_\theta]$. Notably, the entire training process occurs without the presence of labeled data for converged DFT Hamiltonians, underscoring the unsupervised learning nature of neural-network DFT.

In our example studies, AI2DFT is developed as a DFT program taking pseudo-atomic bases as basis sets [22]. The program supports norm-conserving pseudopotentials, with or without non-linear core corrections [23, 24]. Unless stated otherwise, the example studies employ the




FIG. 3. Validation of AI2DFT. Computational results on (a,b) H_2O molecule, (c,d) graphene, (e,f) monolayer MoS_2 and (g,h) bulk bcc-Na. The upper panels display the mean average values of $\nabla_H E$, ΔH , $\Delta\rho$, Δn and ΔE . The lower panels display the calculated electronic structures. Results obtained by the conventional SCF calculations are selected as reference.

generalized gradient approximation functional parameterized by Perdew, Berke and Ernzerhof [25]. Basis sets are chosen to be of the double-zeta polarized size, as defined in Ref. [22]. Real-space grid for spatial integration follows a cutoff of 300 Rydberg. Auto-differentiable smearing scheme is incorporated in the course of computing $\hat{\rho}$ from \hat{H} , with support to various smearing methods. Auto-differentiation in AI2DFT is realized with the Zygote package in the Julia language [26], with further implementation details discussed in supplementary materials.

Systematic tests across various kinds of materials have validated the accuracy and robustness of the forward process in the AI2DFT computation layer. AI2DFT supports calculations for systems ranging from molecules to periodic crystals. AI2DFT can be used as a conventional DFT calculation software, and the reliability of its SCF iterations has been verified by comparing with the benchmark SIESTA code. In the relevant convergence information, we also observed the change in the gradient of the energy functional with respect to the Hamiltonian across different self-consistent steps. This is because the subsequent variational and DeepH-aided optimization processes will partially rely on this information. As expected, the results show that as the self-consistency approaches the final convergence point, the magnitude of this gradient also converges to zero.

Based on the above gradient tests, we proceeded to explore the practical effects of variational DFT. AI2DFT is based on differentiable programming, making it extremely easy to perform variational DFT optimizations. The selection of the variational function and the method for updating the Hamiltonian has been described in detail in the Methods section. Example studies of AI2DFT are summarized in Fig. 3. Representative structures, includ-

ing molecules and solids, as well as gapped systems and metals, are comprehensively tested. Total energy convergence is achieved to below the μeV scale after a comparable number of steps to SCF self-consistency. Physical quantities such as gradients, Hamiltonians, density matrices and charge densities exhibit exponential convergence behavior during the variational optimization process. The results of variational optimization convince us that the concept of optimizing DeepH network parameters by AI2DFT is feasible. By directly feeding the gradient information provided by AI2DFT into DeepH, we can enable the network to optimize and potentially learn the most fundamental physical principles embedded in DFT calculations.

By interfacing the input and output of the AI2DFT computational layer with the Hamiltonian output and gradient updates of DeepH, we have ultimately established the complete training workflow for neural-network DFT. To verify the stability and correctness of the entire data flow, we initially conducted unsupervised learning using a single material structure. As depicted in Fig. 4, we separately attempted to use the H_2O molecule and bulk bcc-Na. Both training processes have demonstrated a stable decay of ΔH throughout the training phase, ultimately achieving comparable or even higher accuracy compared to data-based training methods. Given DeepH model's capability to generalize, these results imply the possibility to train scalable and transferable DeepH models based on the framework of neural-network DFT.

In summary, we have developed a physics-informed unsupervised learning framework for DFT based on the DeepH network and differentiable programming. This framework embeds the DFT calculation differentially




FIG. 4. Application of DeepH+AI2DFT that implements the neural-network DFT. The mean average error of Hamiltonians predicted by neural networks referenced to the results obtained by SCF calculations for the study of one single structure of (a) H_2O molecule and (b) bulk bcc-Na. Starting from random initialization, DeepH neural networks are trained by unsupervised learning via AI2DFT versus by supervised learning using data training.

into the neural-network training, and also applies the information in the material optimization process to network optimization. This method can not only improve the data efficiency of material computation but also be used to fine-tune existing neural networks trained by supervised learning. Compared with the current situation where DFT calculations and training are separated in conventional deep-learning DFT algorithms, the unsupervised learning process designed by AI2DFT embeds the DFT algorithm deep into the neural network optimization process. We believe this will be a promising development direction of the field. In short, this work would significantly enrich the connotation of deep-learning DFT and offer new avenues for the collaborative development of artificial intelligence and first-principles calculations in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Basic Science Center Project of NSFC (grant no. 52388201), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 12334003), the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (grant no. 12025405), the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (grant no. 2023YFA1406400), the Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Future Chip (ICFC), and the Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Materials Genome Engineering. The calculations were done on Hefei advanced computing center.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

† duanw@tsinghua.edu.cn

‡ yongxu@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

- [1] J. Behler and M. Parrinello, Generalized neural-network representation of high-dimensional potential-energy surfaces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 146401 (2007).
- [2] L. Zhang, J. Han, H. Wang, R. Car, and W. E, Deep potential molecular dynamics: A scalable model with the accuracy of quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 143001 (2018).
- [3] K. T. Schütt, M. Gastegger, A. Tkatchenko, K.-R. Müller, and R. J. Maurer, Unifying machine learning and quantum chemistry with a deep neural network for molecular wavefunctions, *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5024 (2019).
- [4] O. T. Unke, M. Bogojeski, M. Gastegger, M. Geiger, T. Smidt, and K.-R. Müller, SE(3)-equivariant prediction of molecular wavefunctions and electronic densities, in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (Curran Associates, Inc., 2021) p. 14434–14447.
- [5] Q. Gu, L. Zhang, and J. Feng, Neural network representation of electronic structure from ab initio molecular dynamics, *Sci. Bull.* **67**, 29 (2022).
- [6] A. Musaelian, S. Batzner, A. Johansson, L. Sun, C. J. Owen, M. Kornbluth, and B. Kozinsky, Learning local equivariant representations for large-scale atomistic dynamics, *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 579 (2023).
- [7] H. Li, Z. Wang, N. Zou, M. Ye, R. Xu, X. Gong, W. Duan, and Y. Xu, Deep-learning density functional theory Hamiltonian for efficient ab initio electronic-structure calculation, *Nat. Comput. Sci.* **2**, 367 (2022).
- [8] H. Li, Z. Tang, X. Gong, N. Zou, W. Duan, and Y. Xu, Deep-learning electronic-structure calculation of magnetic superstructures, *Nat. Comput. Sci.* **3**, 321–327 (2023).
- [9] X. Gong, H. Li, N. Zou, R. Xu, W. Duan, and Y. Xu, General framework for E(3)-equivariant neural network representation of density functional theory Hamiltonian, *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 2848 (2023).
- [10] Y. Wang, H. Li, Z. Tang, H. Tao, Y. Wang, Z. Yuan, Z. Chen, W. Duan, and Y. Xu, DeepH-2: Enhancing deep-learning electronic structure via an equivariant local-coordinate transformer, [arXiv:2401.17015](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.17015) (2024).
- [11] H. Li and Y. Xu, Improving the efficiency of ab initio electronic-structure calculations by deep learning, *Nat. Comput. Sci.* **2**, 418 (2022).
- [12] H. Li and Y. Xu, A deep-learning method for studying magnetic superstructures, *Nat. Comput. Sci.* **3**, 287 (2023).
- [13] H. Li, Z. Tang, J. Fu, W.-H. Dong, N. Zou, X. Gong, W. Duan, and Y. Xu, Deep-learning density functional perturbation theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 096401 (2024).
- [14] H. Yu, Z. Xu, X. Qian, X. Qian, and S. Ji, Efficient and equivariant graph networks for predicting quantum Hamiltonian, in *International Conference on Machine Learning* (PMLR, 2023) pp. 40412–40424.
- [15] G. Carleo and M. Troyer, Solving the quantum many-body problem with artificial neural networks, *Science* **355**, 602 (2017).
- [16] R. M. Martin, *Electronic Structure: Basic Theory and Practical Methods* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2004).
- [17] T. Li, M. Lin, Z. Hu, K. Zheng, G. Vignale, K. Kawaguchi, A. C. Neto, K. S. Novoselov, and S. YAN, D4FT: A deep learning approach to Kohn-Sham density functional theory, in *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)* (2023).

- [18] A. Mathiasen, H. Helal, P. Balanca, A. Krzywaniak, A. Parviz, F. Hvilshøj, B. Banaszewski, C. Luschi, and A. W. Fitzgibbon, Reducing the cost of quantum chemical data by backpropagating through density functional theory, [arXiv:2402.04030](#) (2024).
- [19] W. Kohn, Density functional and density matrix method scaling linearly with the number of atoms, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3168 (1996).
- [20] E. Prodan and W. Kohn, Nearsightedness of electronic matter, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102**, 11635 (2005).
- [21] M. Geiger and T. Smidt, e3nn: Euclidean neural networks, [arXiv:2207.09453](#) (2022).
- [22] J. M. Soler, E. Artacho, J. D. Gale, A. García, J. Junquera, P. Ordejón, and D. Sánchez-Portal, The SIESTA method for ab initio order-N materials simulation, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **14**, 2745 (2002).
- [23] D. Hamann, M. Schlüter, and C. Chiang, Norm-conserving pseudopotentials, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 1494 (1979).
- [24] S. G. Louie, S. Froyen, and M. L. Cohen, Nonlinear ionic pseudopotentials in spin-density-functional calculations, *Phys. Rev. B* **26**, 1738 (1982).
- [25] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).
- [26] M. Innes, Don't unroll adjoint: Differentiating SSA-form programs, [arXiv:1810.07951](#) (2018).