# Common substring with shifts in *b*-ary expansions

Xin Liao<sup>a</sup>, Dingding Yu<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

#### Abstract

Denote by  $S_n(x, y)$  the length of the longest common substring of x and y with shifts in their first n digits of b-ary expansions. We show that the sets of pairs (x, y), for which the growth rate of  $S_n(x, y)$  is  $\alpha \log n$  with  $0 \le \alpha \le \infty$ , have full Hausdorff dimension.

Keywords: b-ary expansions; common substring with shifts; Hausdorff dimension

## 1. Introduction

Fix a positive integer  $b \ge 2$ . Every  $x \in (0, 1]$  admits a unique non-terminating b-ary expansion:

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_i}{b^i} := (x_1, x_2, \cdots),$$

where  $x_i \in \mathcal{A} := \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$  for each  $i \geq 1$ . The infinite sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called the digit sequence of x.

For  $(x, y) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the length of the longest common substring  $L_n(x, y)$ , and the length of the longest common substring with shifts  $S_n(x, y)$  of x and y in the first n digits of b-ary expansions are defined as

$$L_n(x,y) = \max\{l \ge 1 : x_{i+1}^{i+l} = y_{i+1}^{i+l} \text{ for some } 0 \le i \le n-l\},\$$
  
$$S_n(x,y) = \max\{l \ge 1 : x_{i+1}^{i+l} = y_{i+1}^{j+l} \text{ for some } 0 \le i, j \le n-l\},\$$

where  $x_{i+1}^{i+l}$  denotes the substring  $(x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_{i+l})$ .

It is worth mentioning that the longest common substring problem concerns the shortest distance between two orbits of a dynamical system  $T : X \to X$  over a metric space (X, d). The shortest distance between two orbits is defined as

$$d_n(x,y) = \min_{i,j=0,\cdots,n-1} (d(T^i x, T^j y)).$$

<sup>\*</sup>corresponding author

Email addresses: xin\_liao@whu.edu.cn (Xin Liao), yudding\_sgr@whu.edu.cn (Dingding Yu)

Barros, Liao, and Rousseau [1, Section 3] pointed out that  $S_n(x, y) \leq -\log d_n(x, y) \leq S_{2n}(x, y)$  for almost all pairs (x, y). Therefore,  $S_n(x, y)$  and  $-\log d_n(x, y)$  have the same asymptotic behavior. Moreover, It is showed [1, Theorem 7] that if the Rényi entropy  $H_2$  exists, then for Lebesgue almost all  $(x, y) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n(x, y)}{\log n} = \frac{2}{H_2}.$$

Li and Yang [2] proved that for Lebesgue almost all  $(x, y) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{L_n(x, y)}{\log_b n} = 1$$

Then, it is natural to study the points for which  $L_n(x, y)$  and  $S_n(x, y)$  increase with various speeds. For  $0 \le \alpha \le \infty$ , we define the level sets

$$L(\alpha) = \Big\{ (x, y) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1] : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{L_n(x, y)}{\log_b n} = \alpha \Big\}.$$

In [2], Li and Yang proved that all these level sets have full Hausdorff dimension.

However, despite a number of contributions dealing with the sets linked with  $L_n(x, y)$ , there is few conclusion taking  $S_n(x, y)$  into account. To fill this gap, we aim to extend Li and Yang's results to the level sets associated with  $S_n(x, y)$ . Different from Li and Yang's method, we mainly exploit the estimation of the spectral radius of the matrix, see Lemma 2.2.

For  $0 \le \alpha \le \infty$ , we define

$$S(\alpha) = \left\{ (x, y) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1] : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n(x, y)}{\log_b n} = \alpha \right\}.$$
 (1.1)

The following result shows that all the level sets associated with *b*-ary expansions have full Hausdorff dimension.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $S(\alpha)$  be defined as in (1.1). Then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S(\alpha) = 2, \qquad \forall \alpha \in [0, \infty].$$

Denote

$$S(0,\infty) = \Big\{ (x,y) \in (0,1] \times (0,1] : \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n(x,y)}{\log_b n} = 0, \ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n(x,y)}{\log_b n} = \infty \Big\}.$$

We also investigate the "sizes" of  $S(\alpha)$  and  $S(0,\infty)$  from a topological point of view.

**Theorem 1.2.** For  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ , the set  $S(\alpha)$  is of the first category. The set  $S(0, \infty)$  is residual.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we compile several lemmas crucial for subsequent proofs of the main theorems. In Sections 3 and 4, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 respectively.

#### 2. Preliminaries

To let our exposition be self-contained, before giving the proof of Theorem 1.1, we present some useful lemmas.

Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and let # denote the cardinality of a set. We say that the set  $\mathbb{M}$  is of density 0 if

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\#\{i \in \mathbb{M} : i \le n\}}{n} = 0$$

Write  $\mathbb{N}\setminus\mathbb{M} = \{n_1 < n_2 < \cdots\}$  and define a self-mapping  $\varphi_{\mathbb{M}}$  on (0, 1] by

$$0.x_1x_2\cdots \mapsto 0.x_{n_1}x_{n_2}\cdots$$

Let  $J \subset (0, 1]$ . The following lemma describes the relation between the Hausdorff dimensions of J and  $\varphi_{\mathbb{M}}(J) = \{\varphi_{\mathbb{M}}(x) : x \in J\}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** ([3, Lemma 2.3]) Suppose that the set  $\mathbb{M}$  is of density zero in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then, for any set  $J \subset (0, 1]$ , we have  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} J = \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi_{\mathbb{M}}(J)$ .

For an  $n \times n$  matrix A of 0's and 1's having a specified number  $\tau$  of 0's, the next lemma gives a lower bound of the spectral radius of A when  $\tau \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil$ , where  $\lfloor n \rfloor$  denotes the largest integer no larger than n, and  $\lceil n \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer no smaller than n. Denote by  $\rho(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||A^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$  the spectral radius of the matrix A.

**Lemma 2.2.** ([4, Theorem 2.1]) Let n be a positive integer and let  $\tau$  be an integer with  $0 \le \tau \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil$ . Denote by  $C(n, \tau)$  the class of all  $n \times n$  matrices of 0's and 1's with exactly  $\tau$  0's. Then

$$\rho(A) \ge \frac{1}{2}(n + \sqrt{n^2 - 4\tau}), \qquad \forall A \in C(n, \tau).$$

The following lemma giving a refinement of the Mass Distribution Principle, is usually called Billingsley's lemma. For  $x \in (0, 1]$ , let  $I_m(x)$  denote the *m*-th generation, half-open *b*-adic interval of the form  $\left[\frac{j-1}{b^m}, \frac{j}{b^m}\right)$  containing x and  $|I_m(x)|$  denote the length of the interval  $I_m(x)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** ([5, Lemma 1.4.1]) Let  $A \subset (0, 1]$  be a Borel set and  $\mu$  be a finite Borel measure on (0, 1]. Suppose  $\mu(A) > 0$ . If

$$\beta_1 \le \liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(I_m(x))}{\log |I_m(x)|} \le \beta_2,$$

for all  $x \in A$ , then  $\beta_1 \leq \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(A) \leq \beta_2$ .

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Theorem 1.1 follows from a series of propositions. Let  $p \ge 2$  be a positive integer. Define

$$E_p := \{ x \in (0,1] : x_i = 0, \text{ if } i = kp^2 + j \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } j \in [1, p+1] \}.$$
(3.1)

We obtain the Hausdorff dimension of  $E_p$  by the above Billingsley's lemma immediately.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $E_p$  be defined as in (3.1). Then for any  $p \ge 2$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(E_p) = \frac{p^2 - (p+1)}{p^2}.$$

*Proof.* Denote  $J = \{kp^2 + j : k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } j \in [p+2, p^2]\}$ . Then  $E_p$  can be expressed as

$$E_p = \left\{ x \in (0,1] : x = \sum_{i \in J} \frac{x_i}{b^i}, \ x_i \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$
(3.2)

The set  $E_p$  is covered by exactly  $b^{\#(J \cap \{1, \dots, m\})}$  many closed *b*-adic intervals of generation *m*. Let  $\mu$  be the probability measure on  $E_p$  that assigns equal measure to the *m*-th generation covering intervals. That is, for any  $m \ge 1$ , we have

$$\mu(I_m(x)) = b^{-\#(J \cap \{1, \cdots, m\})}$$

This measure makes the digits  $\{x_i\}_{i \in J}$  in (3.2) to be independent and identically distributed uniform random bits. For any  $x \in E_p$ ,

$$\frac{\log \mu(I_m(x))}{\log |I_m(x)|} = \frac{\log b^{-\#(J \cap \{1, \cdots, m\})}}{\log b^{-m}} = \frac{\#(J \cap \{1, \cdots, m\})}{m}.$$

Obviously,  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\#(J \cap \{1, \dots, m\})}{m} = \frac{p^2 - (p+1)}{p^2}$ . Then by Billingsley's lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} E_p = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(I_m(x))}{\log |I_m(x)|} = \frac{p^2 - (p+1)}{p^2}.$$

Define

 $F_p := \{ x \in (0,1] : x_i^{i+p} \neq 0^{p+1}, \forall i \ge 1 \},$ (3.3)

where  $0^{p+1}$  denotes the substring  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  with p+1 zeros. The following lemma gives the Hausdorff dimension of  $F_p$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $F_p$  be defined as in (3.3). Then for any  $p \ge 2$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} F_p = \frac{\log \rho(A)}{\log b^p},$$

where A is a matrix of size  $b^p \times b^p$ , with  $pb^{p-1} - (p-1)b^{p-2}$  zeros and all other entries equal to one.

*Proof.* For any  $i \ge 1$  and  $x_i^{2p+i-1} \in \mathcal{A}^{2p}$ , there exist non-negative integers  $0 \le x'_i, x'_{i+1} \le b^p - 1$ 

such that  $\sum_{j=i}^{2p+i-1} \frac{x_j}{b^j} = \frac{x'_i}{b^{ip}} + \frac{x'_{i+1}}{b^{2ip}}$ , which means every 2p digits in *b*-ary expansion can be expressed as 2 digits in  $b^p$ -ary expansion. Without loss of generality, we can assume i = 1.

- (1) If  $x_1^{p+1} = 0^{p+1}$ , then  $x_1' = 0$  and  $x_2' \in \{0, \dots, b^{p-1} 1\}$ . Thus  $(x_1', x_2')$  has  $b^{p-1}$  values.
- (2) If  $x_2^{p+2} = 0^{p+1}$ , then  $x_1' \in \{0, \dots b-1\}$  and  $x_2' \in \{0, \dots b^{p-2}-1\}$ , when  $x_1' = 0$ , the values of  $(x_1', x_2')$  have already been counted in case (1), so there are  $(b-1)b^{p-2}$  values for  $(x_1', x_2')$ , which are different from case (1).
  - •••
- (3) For any  $2 \le k < p$ , if  $x_{k+1}^{p+k+1} = 0^{p+1}$ , then  $x'_1 \in \{0, \dots b^k 1\}$  and  $x'_2 \in \{0, \dots b^{p-k-1} 1\}$ . When  $x'_1 \in \{0, \dots b^{k-1} - 1\}$ , the values of  $(x'_1, x'_2)$  have been appeared in the previous cases, so there are  $(b^k - b^{k-1})b^{p-k-1} = (b-1)b^{p-2}$  distinct values for  $(x'_1, x'_2)$ .

If there exists  $0^{p+1}$  in  $x_1 \cdots x_{2p}$ , then from the preceding discussion, we deduce that  $(x'_1, x'_2)$  can take  $pb^{p-1} - (p-1)b^{p-2}$  distinct values. Denote the set of these  $(x'_1, x'_2)$  by  $\Lambda((x'_1, x'_2))$ . Then

$$#\Lambda((x'_1, x'_2)) = pb^{p-1} - (p-1)b^{p-2}.$$

Define a  $b^p \times b^p$  matrix  $A = (A_{ij}), 0 \le i, j \le b^p - 1$  as follows:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (i,j) \in \Lambda((x'_1, x'_2)); \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, the number of 0's in matrix A is  $pb^{p-1} - (p-1)b^{p-2}$ . Hence,  $F_p$  can be expressed as

$$F_p = \Big\{ x \in (0,1] : x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x'_i(x)}{b^{ip}}, \ A_{x'_i x'_{i+1}} = 1, \text{ for all } i \Big\}.$$

Using the theory of shifts of finite types (see [5, Example 1.3.3] for more details), we can calculate the Hausdorff dimension of  $F_p$ :

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} F_p = \frac{\log \rho(A)}{\log b^p}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First, let us focus on the case  $0 \le \alpha < \infty$ .

For  $k \ge 1$ , let  $m_k = p^2 \cdot 2^k$ ,  $\ell_k = \lfloor \alpha(k+1) \log_b 2 \rfloor$  and  $t_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i + \ell_i)$ . Define self-mapping

 $f_k$  on (0,1] by

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} \frac{x_i}{b^i} + \sum_{i=m_1+1}^{t_1} \frac{1}{b^i} + \sum_{i=t_1+1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{i-\ell_1}}{b^i}$$
  
....
$$f_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{t_{k-1}} \frac{x_i}{b^i} + \sum_{i=t_{k-1}+1}^{t_{k-1}+\ell_k} \frac{1}{b^i} + \sum_{i=t_{k-1}+\ell_k+1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{i-\ell_k}}{b^i}$$

Then, for any  $x \in (0, 1]$ , the limit

$$f(x) := \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(f_{k-1}(\dots f_1(x))),$$
(3.4)

exists.

For each  $x \in f(E_p)$  and  $y \in f(F_p)$ , if  $t_k \leq n < t_{k+1}$ , then

$$\ell_k \le S_n(x, y) < \ell_{k+1} + 2p^2.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n(x, y)}{\log_h n} = \alpha,$$

and hence

$$f(E_p) \times f(F_p) \subset S(\alpha).$$

Therefore, using [6, Product formula 7.2], we have

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S(\alpha) \ge \dim_{\mathcal{H}} f(E_p) + \dim_{\mathcal{H}} f(F_p).$$

On the other hand, since  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \ell_i}{t_k} = 0$ , the assumption of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied for the sets  $E_p$  and  $F_p$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f(E_p) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}} E_p,$$
$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f(F_p) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}} F_p.$$

Therefore, utilizing Proposition 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S(\alpha) \ge \frac{p^2 - p - 1}{p^2} + \frac{\log \rho(A)}{\log b^p},$$

where A is defined as in Proposition 3.2. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\rho(A) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left( b^p + \sqrt{b^{2p} - 4(pb^{p-1} - (p-1)b^{p-2})} \right).$$

Taking  $p \to \infty$  yields

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S(\alpha) \ge \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{p^2 - p - 1}{p^2} + \frac{\log \rho(A)}{\log b^p} = 2$$

Thus, we have shown the desired result for  $0 \le \alpha < \infty$ .

As for  $\alpha = \infty$ , the proof needs to be modified accordingly. For this case, we define  $m_k = p^2 \cdot 2^k$ and  $\ell_k = k^2$  for  $k \ge 1$ . Then, the rest of the proof proceeds as before.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

For  $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$ , set

$$\underline{S}^*(\alpha) = \left\{ (x, y) \in (0, 1]^2 : \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log S_n(x, y)}{\log_b n} \le \alpha \right\},\$$
$$\overline{S}_*(\alpha) = \left\{ (x, y) \in (0, 1]^2 : \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log S_n(x, y)}{\log_b n} \ge \alpha \right\}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 consists of several claims.

**Claim 1**: For any  $0 \le \alpha \le \infty$ ,  $S(\alpha)$  is dense in  $(0, 1]^2$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$ , there exists  $(x', y') \in (0, 1]^2$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log S_n(x', y')}{\log_b n} = \alpha.$$

For any  $(x, y) \in (0, 1]^2$ , we can find a sequence of points in  $S(\alpha)$ ,

$$\widetilde{x}_k := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i}{b^i} + \sum_{i=k+1}^\infty \frac{x'_i}{b^i},$$
$$\widetilde{y}_k := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{y_i}{b^i} + \sum_{i=k+1}^\infty \frac{y'_i}{b^i},$$

such that  $(\tilde{x}_k, \tilde{y}_k) \to (x, y)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Indeed, for any  $k \ge 1$ ,  $\tilde{x}_k(\tilde{y}_k, \text{respectively})$  and x'(y', respectively) differ only in finitely many digits. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log S_n(\widetilde{x}_k, \widetilde{y}_k)}{\log_b n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log S_n(x', y')}{\log_b n} = \alpha.$$

Thus  $S(\alpha)$  is dense in  $(0, 1]^2$ .

**Claim 2**: For any  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ ,  $\underline{S}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\overline{S}^*(\alpha)$  are residual.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  be fixed. From Claim 1, we can deduce that  $\underline{S}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\overline{S}^*(\alpha)$  are dense. Since  $(0, 1]^2$  is a Baire space, it suffices to show that  $\underline{S}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\overline{S}^*(\alpha)$  are  $G_{\delta}$  sets.

We observe that, for any k > 0,

$$\underline{S}^{*}(\alpha) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} B_{n}(\alpha, k),$$

and

$$\overline{S}^*(\alpha) = \bigcap_{k=\lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \hat{B}_n(\alpha, k),$$

where  $B_n(\alpha, k)$  and  $\hat{B}_n(\alpha, k)$  are defined by

$$B_n(\alpha, k) := \{ (x, y) \in (0, 1]^2 : S_n(x, y) < n^{\alpha + 1/k} \},\$$

and

$$\hat{B}_n(\alpha, k) := \{(x, y) \in (0, 1]^2 : S_n(x, y) > n^{\alpha - 1/k}\}$$

All non-empty sets  $B_n(\alpha, k)$  and  $\hat{B}_n(\alpha, k)$  are open sets, implying that  $\underline{S}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\overline{S}^*(\alpha)$  are  $G_{\delta}$  sets.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* For any  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\underline{S}^*(0) = \bigcap_{K=1}^{\infty} \underline{S}^*(1/K) \text{ and } \overline{S}^*(\infty) = \bigcap_{K=1}^{\infty} \overline{S}^*(K).$$

From Claim 2, we conclude that  $\underline{S}^*(1/K)$  and  $\overline{S}^*(K)$  are residual. Then  $\underline{S}^*(0)$  and  $\overline{S}^*(\infty)$  are residual. Hence the set

$$S(0,\infty) = \underline{S}^*(0) \bigcap \overline{S}^*(\infty)$$

is residual.

For any  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ , we have

$$S(\alpha) \subset \left(\underline{S}^*(0) \bigcap \overline{S}^*(\infty)\right)^c.$$

By the definition of the set of the first category, we have  $S(\alpha)$  is of first category.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their sincere appreciation to Professor Lingmin Liao who critically read the paper and made numerous helpful suggestions.

#### References

- [1] Barros, Vanessa and Liao, Lingmin and Rousseau, Jérôme. On the shortest distance between orbits and the longest common substring problem. Advances in Mathematics, 344: 311–339, 2019.
- [2] Li, Jinjun and Yang, Xiangfeng. On longest matching consecutive subsequence. International Journal of Number Theory, 15(08): 1745–1758, 2019.
- [3] Chen, Haibo and Tang, Junmin. The waiting spectra of the sets described by the quantitative waiting time indicators. Science China Mathematics, 57(11): 2335–2346, 2014.
- [4] Brualdi, Richard A and Solheid, Ernie S. On the minimum spectral radius of matrices of zeros and ones. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 85: 81–100, 1987.
- [5] Bishop, Christopher J and Peres, Yuval. Fractals in probability and analysis. Cambridge University Press, 162, 2017.
- [6] Falconer, Kenneth. Fractal geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.