

# ZERO LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS IN TRANSITIVE SKEW-PRODUCTS OF ITERATED FUNCTION SYSTEMS

PABLO G. BARRIENTOS AND JOEL ANGEL CISNEROS

**ABSTRACT.** We study the class of transitive skew-products associated with iterated function systems of circle diffeomorphisms. We can approximate any transitive skew-product by maps in this class that have a robustly zero Lyapunov exponent. In particular, we prove the existence of non-hyperbolic ergodic measures for an open and dense subset of transitive skew-products. Moreover, these measures have full support and are the weak\* limit of periodic measures.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of uniformly hyperbolic dynamical systems was initiated in the 1960s by Smale [Sma67] and Anosov [Ano67], providing a detailed description of a large class of systems, often with very complex evolution. Since the 1970s, with the works of Abraham and Smale [AS69], and Newhouse [New70], it has been known that uniformly hyperbolic systems do not form a dense set of dynamical systems. In response to the absence of density in these spaces, weaker concepts emerged, such as the non-uniformly hyperbolic systems introduced by Pesin [Pes77] in 1977. These systems are characterized by having hyperbolic ergodic measures, that is, with non-zero Lyapunov exponents. It took a few decades to demonstrate that non-uniformly hyperbolic systems are also not dense. Namely, Kleptsyn and Nalsky [KN07] constructed in 2007 a dynamical system on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^3$  with a robustly zero Lyapunov exponent. Later, in 2016, Bochi, Bonatti, and Díaz [BBD16] presented new open sets of diffeomorphisms in higher-dimensional manifolds with non-hyperbolic ergodic measures, that is with zero exponents. The result shown by Kleptsyn and Nalsky is based on a toy model built a few years earlier by the Russian school of Ilyashenko [GIK<sup>+</sup>05]. In this paper, we will show that the existence of non-hyperbolic ergodic measures is abundant in the class of transitive skew-products associated with iterated function systems where the toy models in [GIK<sup>+</sup>05] were constructed.

An iterated function system (IFS) on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  is a family of functions  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  from the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$  to itself that can be applied (composed) successively in any order. Therefore, such compositions are elements of the semigroup  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+$  associated with these transformations. Moreover, the sequence of compositions can be seen as the fiber of the iterations of the locally constant skew-product

$$F : \Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1, \quad F^n(\omega, x) = (\sigma^n(\omega), f_\omega^n(x)) \quad (1)$$

where at the base we have the shift  $\sigma : \Sigma_k \rightarrow \Sigma_k$  and

$$f_\omega^n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_{\omega_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ f_{\omega_0} \quad \text{for } \omega = (\omega_i)_{i \geq 0} \in \Sigma_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and } n \geq 1.$$

To emphasize the role of the fiber maps and the product structure, we will write  $F = \sigma \ltimes \mathcal{F}$ .

Given an ergodic  $F$ -invariant measure  $\mu$ , Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem guarantees the existence of the limit

$$\lambda(\omega, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |(f_\omega^n)'(x)| \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } (\omega, x) \in \Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1.$$

In fact

$$\lambda(\mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int \log |(f_{\omega_0})'(x)| d\mu = \lambda(\omega, x) \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } (\omega, x) \in \Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1.$$

The value  $\lambda(\mu)$  is called the *Lyapunov exponent* along the fiber of the skew product  $F$  with respect to the measure  $\mu$ .

For  $r \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 2$ , we denote by  $\text{IFS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  the set of families  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  with  $f_i$  belongs to the space  $\text{Diff}_+^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of the circle equipped with the  $C^r$ -topology. Moreover, we say that  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$  are  $C^r$ -close if, by reordering the elements families if necessary,  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  are  $C^r$ -close for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . We define  $S_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  as the set of skew-products  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IFS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . We endow  $S_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  with a topology, stating that  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F}$  is close to  $G = \sigma \times \mathcal{G}$  if  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are  $C^r$ -close.

Gorodetski et al. [GIK<sup>+</sup>05] constructed an open set  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $S_2^1(\mathbb{S}^1)$  such that each  $F \in \mathcal{U}$  has an ergodic invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\lambda(\mu) = 0$ . Originally in [GIK<sup>+</sup>05], it is shown that this open set can be constructed as a  $C^r$ -neighborhood of a skew-product  $G = \sigma \times \mathcal{G}$  with  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2\}$  where  $g_1$  is an irrational rotation and  $g_2$  has a pair of hyperbolic periodic points with additional conditions on the eigenvalues. Later, Bochi et al. [BBD13] generalized this result for IFSs on compact manifolds of higher dimension. In fact, they constructed open sets of IFSs in the  $C^r$  topology for  $r \geq 2$  where the associated skew-products have an ergodic invariant measure, limit in the weak-star topology of periodic measures, with full support and where all Lyapunov exponents along the fibers are zero.

A skew-product  $F \in S_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  is said to be *transitive* if there has a dense orbit. We denote by  $\text{TS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  the subset of  $S_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  formed by transitive skew-products. Note that the open set of skew-products constructed in [GIK<sup>+</sup>05] belongs to this set. The following main result shows that any transitive skew-product can be approximated by an open (in  $S_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ ) set of transitive skew-products having a non-hyperbolic ergodic measure with full support. This concludes affirmatively the conjecture [DGR17, Conj. 2] in our setting.

**Theorem A.** *For  $k \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ , there exists an open and dense subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\text{TS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  such that for every  $F \in \mathcal{R}$  there is an ergodic  $F$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  with full support whose Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda(\mu)$  equal to zero. The probability measure  $\mu$  is a limit in the weak\* topology of sequences of invariant measures supported on periodic points.*

The  $C^1$ -density of maps with non-hyperbolic ergodic invariant measures, as in the above theorem, was stated in [DGR17, Cor. 2 and 8.10] on the set of all *locally constant*<sup>1</sup> skew-products with  $C^1$ -fiber maps that are robustly transitive and have periodic points of different indices. This result is a corollary of Proposition 8.9 in [DGR17], which is not fully proved.

<sup>1</sup>Although the result in [DGR17] is stated for Hölder skew-products, the approximation by locally constant skew-products in cylinders proposed is not clear.

The authors observe that the proof of a similar result in [BDU02] for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of compact manifolds can be translated *mutatis mutandis* to obtain such proposition in the locally constant skew-product setting. The results in [BDU02] show the density of the strong unstable (strong stable) foliation, and the authors in [DGR17] translate this property to the locally constant skew-product setting as the forward (backward) minimality of the underlying IFS. However, this is not entirely true, as the results in [BC23, Cor. 6.8] have shown. Nevertheless, according to [BC23, Thm. B], it follows that the set of skew-products having both strong stable and strong unstable foliations also contains an open and dense subset of  $\text{TS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{R}$  in Theorem A can also possess this property.

To prove Theorem A we need to the next slightly generalization of [GIK<sup>+</sup>05, Thm. 2] for IFSs having more than two generators. First, we recall some definitions. A finite family  $\mathcal{F}$  of circle diffeomorphisms is *minimal* if for every  $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$  the orbit  $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{f(x) : f \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+\}$  is a dense set in  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Also, we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *backward (resp. forward) expanding* if for every  $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$ , there exists  $f$  in  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+$  (resp.  $\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1} \rangle^+$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \{g^{-1} : g \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ) such that  $|f'(x)| > 1$ .

**Theorem B.** *For any  $k \geq 2$ , consider the skew-product  $F = \sigma \ltimes \mathcal{F}$  where  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  is a family of circle  $C^1$ -diffeomorphisms such that*

- (i)  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F}$  is backward expanding;
- (iii) there exists  $f \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+$  with a hyperbolic attracting periodic point.

*Then  $F$  has an ergodic invariant measure  $\mu$  with full support, limit of invariant measures supported on periodic points and  $\lambda(\mu) = 0$ .*

The property of full support of the measure was not directly proven in [GIK<sup>+</sup>05]. This property was obtained later in [BBD13] by spreading the sequence of periodic points in the ambient space and using results from [BDG10]. We replicate these ideas here to demonstrate the above result.

It is not difficult to see that properties (ii) and (iii) in the preceding theorem are open in the  $C^1$  topology. This means that every  $C^1$ -close IFS still satisfies these properties. However, property (i) is not generally open. Despite this, it was established in [BFM<sup>+</sup>17] that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is both minimal and forward expanding, then it is also minimal in a  $C^1$ -robust sense. Since the minimality of  $\mathcal{F}$  implies the transitivity of  $F = \sigma \ltimes \mathcal{F}$ , c.f. [BC23, Remark 4.4 and Theorem 6.1], we arrive at the following remark:

*Remark 1.1.* The set of skew-products  $F = \sigma \ltimes \mathcal{F} \in \text{S}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies (i)–(iii) and

- (iv)  $\mathcal{F}$  is forward expanding

is an open subset of  $\text{TS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  for every  $r \geq 1$ .

*Remark 1.2.* An open set  $B$  is called *globalized blending region* for  $\mathcal{F}$  if there exist maps  $h_1, \dots, h_m, T_1, \dots, T_s, S_1, \dots, S_t \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+$  and an open set  $D \subset \mathbb{S}^1$  such that  $\overline{B} \subset D$  and

$$(1) \quad \overline{B} \subset h_1(B) \cup \dots \cup h_m(B),$$

- (2)  $h_i : \overline{D} \rightarrow D$  is a contracting map for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,
- (3)  $\mathbb{S}^1 = T_1(B) \cup \dots \cup T_s(B) = S_1^{-1}(B) \cup \dots \cup S_t^{-1}(B)$ .

According to [BFM<sup>+</sup>17, Sec. 6], a skew-product  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F}$ , where both  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  have a globalized blending region, satisfies (i)–(iv). In particular, Theorem B applies. This can be compared with [DGR17, Prop. 8.3].

In the following section, §2, we will prove Theorem B by using some preliminary results from [GIK<sup>+</sup>05, DG09, BDG10, BBD13]. Afterward, in §3, guided by Theorem B and Remark 1.1, the fundamental idea behind the proof of Theorem A is to establish that, up to conjugation, any transitive locally constant skew-product can be approximated by one satisfying conditions (i) through (iv). These properties essentially arise from constructing a pair of globalized blending regions for  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ . This construction is found within the proof of the results borrowed from [KKO18, BC23] to prove Theorem A.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM B

Fix  $k \geq 1$  and consider a family  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  of circle diffeomorphisms.

**2.1. Preliminaires.** The next proposition proved in [GIK<sup>+</sup>05, Lem. 1] is key to understanding the Lyapunov exponent approximation method which will be developed in the next subsection.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mu$  be invariant ergodic probability measures for the skew-product  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F}$  given in (1). Then, if  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $\lambda(\mu_n) \rightarrow \lambda(\mu)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The approach suggested in [GIK<sup>+</sup>05] and [BDG10] allows to construct non-hyperbolic ergodic invariant measures using the previous proposition as limits of measures supported on special sequences of periodic points. Recall that given an  $n$ -periodic orbit  $X = \{G(x_0), G^2(x_0), \dots, G^{n-1}(x_0), G^n(x_0) = x_0\}$  of a continuous map  $G$  of a compact metric space, atomic measure uniformly distributed on  $X$  is given by

$$\mu_X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{G^i(x_0)}$$

where  $\delta_y$  is the Dirac measure at point  $y$ . This measure is  $G$ -invariant and ergodic.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be periodic orbits of  $G$ . Given  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\aleph > 0$ , we say that  $Y$  is a  $(\gamma, \aleph)$ -good approximation of  $X$  if the following conditions are met:*

- (i) *There exists a subset  $\Gamma$  of  $Y$  and a projection  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow X$  such that  $d(G^j(y), G^j(\rho(y))) < \gamma$  for all  $y \in \Gamma$  and all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$ . Here,  $P$  is the period of the orbit  $X$ ;*
- (ii)  $\frac{\#\Gamma}{\#Y} \geq \aleph$ ;
- (iii)  $\#\rho^{-1}(x)$  is the same for all  $x \in X$ .

The next result corresponds to [BDG10, Lem. 2.5], which is an improved version of [DG09, Prop. 2.5]. Compare also with [GIK<sup>+</sup>05, Lem. 2 and Section 8].

**Theorem 2.3.** Consider a sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of periodic orbits  $X_n$  of  $G$  with increasing periods  $P_n$ . Let  $\mu_n$  be the uniformly distributed atomic measure over the orbit  $X_n$ . Suppose there exist sequences of real numbers  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\gamma_n > 0$ , and  $\{\mathfrak{S}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_n \in (0, 1]$ , such that:

- (1) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the orbit  $X_{n+1}$  is a  $(\gamma_n, \mathfrak{S}_n)$ -good approximation of  $X_n$ ;
- (2)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ ;
- (3)  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}_n \in (0, 1]$ .

Then the sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a limit  $\mu$ . The limit measure  $\mu$  is ergodic and the topological support of  $\mu$  is the topological limit of the sequence of orbits  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , i.e.,

$$\text{supp } \mu = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{l=k}^{\infty} X_l \right).$$

One advantage of using an atomic periodic measure for the skew-product  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F}$  is that it is straightforward to compute its Lyapunov exponent. As a result, we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.4.** Consider  $f \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+$  with a fixed point  $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$ . Then  $f$  generates a  $P$ -periodic orbit  $X$  of the skew-product  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F}$ , and

$$\lambda(\mu_X) = \frac{1}{P} \log |f'(x)|$$

where  $\mu_X$  denotes the uniformly distributed atomic measure over  $X$  and  $P$  is the number of maps in  $\mathcal{F}$  composing  $f$ .

We also need to prove Theorem B some others results on properties of an IFS of circle diffeomorphisms collected from the literature. To provide precise statements, we introduce first some notation. Given  $\bar{\omega} = \bar{\omega}_0 \bar{\omega}_1 \dots \bar{\omega}_{P-1}$  a finite word in the alphabet  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ , i.e., a sequence of length  $|\bar{\omega}| = P$ , where  $\bar{\omega}_j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$ , we define the cylinder  $[[\bar{\omega}]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\omega = (\omega_i)_{i \geq 0} \in \Sigma_k : \omega_i = \bar{\omega}_i, i = 0, \dots, |\bar{\omega}| - 1\}$ , and we denote

$$T_{\bar{\omega}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_{\bar{\omega}_{P-1}} \circ f_{\bar{\omega}_{P-2}} \circ \dots \circ f_{\bar{\omega}_0}.$$

The following lemma was proved in [BBD13, Lem. 6.3].

**Lemma 2.5.** Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal. Then for every  $\eta > 0$  and every non-empty open set  $J \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ , there exist  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\eta, J) > 0$  and  $K = K(\eta, J) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every open interval  $I \subset \mathbb{S}^1$  of radius less or equal  $\delta_0$ , there exists a finite word  $\bar{\omega}$  in the alphabet  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  with  $|\bar{\omega}| = R \leq K$  such that

- (I) For every  $(\omega, x) \in [[\bar{\omega}]] \times I$ , the segment of the orbit of the  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F} \in S_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ ,

$$F^{[0,R]}(\omega, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{F^j(\omega, x) : 0 \leq j \leq R\}$$

is  $\eta$ -dense in  $\Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ ;

- (II)  $T_{\bar{\omega}}(I) \subset J$ .

The following Proposition was stated in [GIK<sup>+</sup>05, Prop. 3].

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal, then for each interval  $J$  in  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , there exist  $K_0 = K_0(J) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(J) > 0$  such that any interval  $I$  of length smaller than  $\delta_0$  can be brought inside  $J$  by some composition of at least  $K_0$  iterates of the generators of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

Finally, the next proposition follows immediately from [BFM<sup>+</sup>17, Lem. 3.1].

**Proposition 2.7.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is backward expanding. Then there exist  $\nu > 1, h_1, \dots, h_m \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+$ , and  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  open intervals of  $\mathbb{S}^1$  such that*

- (a)  $\mathbb{S}^1 = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_m$ .
- (b)  $|h'_i(x)| > \nu$  for all  $x \in B_i$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

**2.2. Main Lemma.** To understand the next lemma, recall the definition of a good approximation given in the previous subsection (Definition 2.2). This lemma is an improved version of [GIK<sup>+</sup>05, Lemma 3] where we spread the constructed periodic orbits densely on  $\Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Consider  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F}$  and assume that*

- (i)  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F}$  is backward expanding;
- (iii) there exists  $f \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+$  with a hyperbolic attracting periodic point.

*Then, there exist  $0 < c < 1$  and  $d > 0$  depending only on  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that if  $X$  is the  $P$ -periodic orbit of  $F$  generated by  $f$ , then for every  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a periodic orbit  $X'$  of  $F$  with period  $P' > P$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $c \cdot \lambda(\mu_X) < \lambda(\mu_{X'}) < 0$ ;
- (2)  $X'$  is  $(\gamma, \aleph)$ -good approximation<sup>2</sup> of  $X$  where  $\aleph \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - d \cdot |\lambda(\mu_X)|$ ;
- (3)  $X'$  is  $\eta$ -dense in  $\Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ .

*Proof.* First, let us prove the existence of the periodic orbit  $X'$  of  $F$  with a period greater than  $P$ . Since  $f \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+$ , there exists a finite word  $\hat{\omega}$  such that  $f = T_{\hat{\omega}}$  and  $P = |\hat{\omega}|$ . Let  $x$  be the attracting periodic point for  $f$ , meaning  $f(x) = x$  and  $|f'(x)| = \alpha$  where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Let us take constants  $\alpha_-$  and  $\alpha_+$  such that

$$0 < \alpha_- < \alpha < \alpha_+ < 1. \quad (2)$$

Fix  $\gamma > 0$ . By the continuity of  $f'$ , there is an interval  $J$  containing  $x$  such that

$$0 < \alpha_- \leq |f'(y)| \leq \alpha_+ < 1 \quad \text{for all } y \in J, \quad \text{and} \quad L^{2P}|J| < \gamma, \quad (3)$$

where we denote by  $|I|$  the length of an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{\|g'\|_\infty : g \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

From Hypothesis (i) and Lemma 2.5, for  $J$  and  $\eta > 0$ , there exist  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(J, \eta) > 0$  and  $K = K(J, \eta) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that (I) and (II) hold. On the other hand, from Hypothesis (ii) and Proposition 2.7, there exist a constant  $\nu > 1$ , diffeomorphisms  $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle^+$ , and open

---

<sup>2</sup>Note that a priori,  $\aleph$  may not be positive (requirement of the definition of good approximation). However, the lemma provides a new periodic orbit with smaller exponent. Thus, recursively applying the lemma, we always find an element  $f$  satisfying (iii) whose associated periodic orbit has an exponent small enough with  $\aleph > 0$ .

intervals  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  of  $\mathbb{S}^1$  such that (a) and (b) hold. Let  $\lambda > 0$  be the Lebesgue number of the covering in (a). Recall that this means any interval of length less than  $\lambda$  is contained in some  $B_i$ . Let us set

$$L_1 = \max \|h'_i\|_\infty : i = 1, \dots, m\} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \min\{\delta_0, |J|L^{-K}, \lambda/L_1\} > 0. \quad (4)$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large, we take  $r = r(n) \in \mathbb{N}$  to be the unique integer such that

$$0 < \frac{\log \frac{\alpha_+^{-n}\delta}{L_1|J|}}{\log L_1} \leq r < \frac{\log \frac{\alpha_+^{-n}\delta}{L_1|J|}}{\log L_1} + 1 = \frac{\log \frac{\alpha_+^{-n}\delta}{|J|}}{\log L_1}. \quad (5)$$

Note that it holds

$$\frac{\delta}{L_1} \leq \alpha_+^n L_1^r |J| < \delta. \quad (6)$$

Since  $x \in J$  is an attracting fixed point of  $f$ , using the Intermediate Value Theorem and (3), (6) and (4), we have  $|f^n(J)| \leq \alpha_+^n |J| \leq \alpha_+^n L_1^r |J| < \delta < \lambda$ . Thus, there exists  $i_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $f^n(J) \subset B_{i_1}$ . Similarly, since

$$|h_{i_1} f^n(J)| \leq \alpha_+^n L_1 |J| \leq \alpha_+^n L_1^r |J| < \delta < \lambda,$$

we obtain  $i_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $h_{i_1} f^n(J) \subset B_{i_2}$ . Proceeding recursively, we obtain  $i_1, \dots, i_r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$f^n(J) \subset B_{i_1} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{i_j} \dots h_{i_1} f^n(J) \subset B_{i_{j+1}} \quad \text{for every } j = 1, \dots, r-1. \quad (7)$$

Denote by  $\bar{\kappa}_0 = \hat{\omega} \cdot^n \cdot \hat{\omega}$  and consider the finite word  $\bar{\kappa}_1$  such that  $T_{\bar{\kappa}_1} = h_{i_r} \circ \dots \circ h_{i_1}$ . Then, using again the Intermediate Value Theorem, observing that  $T_{\bar{\kappa}_0} = f^n$  and due to (7), (3), and (b), we have

$$\alpha_-^n |v^r| |J| \leq |T_{\bar{\kappa}_1} T_{\bar{\kappa}_0}(J)| \leq L_1^r \alpha_+^n |J|. \quad (8)$$

Let  $I = T_{\bar{\kappa}_1} T_{\bar{\kappa}_0}(J)$ . Then, from equations (6), (8), it follows that  $|I| < \delta \leq \delta_0$ . Thus, by the definition of  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(J, \eta)$  and (II), there exists a finite word  $\bar{\kappa}_2$ , with  $|\bar{\kappa}_2| \leq K$ , such that  $T_{\bar{\kappa}_2}(I) \subset J$ . Therefore,  $T_{\bar{\kappa}_2} T_{\bar{\kappa}_1}(J) \subset J$  by the definition of  $I$ . Taking the finite word  $\bar{\omega} = \bar{\kappa}_0 \bar{\kappa}_1 \bar{\kappa}_2$ , we have  $T_{\bar{\omega}} = T_{\bar{\kappa}_2} \circ T_{\bar{\kappa}_1} \circ T_{\bar{\kappa}_0}$ , and thus,

$$T_{\bar{\omega}}(J) \subset J \quad \text{with} \quad |\bar{\omega}| = nP + |\bar{\kappa}_1| + |\bar{\kappa}_2| > P. \quad (9)$$

From  $|\bar{\kappa}_2| \leq K$ , (4), and (6), we have

$$|T'_{\bar{\omega}}(y)| \leq L^K L_1^r \alpha_+^n < L^K \frac{\delta}{|J|} \leq L^K \frac{|J|L^{-K}}{|J|} = 1 \quad \text{for all } y \in J. \quad (10)$$

Hence, (9) and (10) imply that  $T_{\bar{\omega}}$  is a contraction on  $J$ . Therefore, by the Banach Fixed-Point Theorem,  $T_{\bar{\omega}}$  has a unique fixed point  $x' \in J$ . Finally, by Proposition 2.4, there exists a periodic orbit  $X'$  generated by  $T_{\bar{\omega}}$  with period  $P' = |\bar{\omega}| > P$ .

It should be noted that the previous argument holds for any sufficiently large integer  $n$  and constants  $\alpha_+$  and  $\alpha_-$  satisfying (2). Furthermore, since  $\bar{\kappa}_2$  is the finite word given by Lemma 2.5 and the fact that  $\sigma^{nP+\bar{\kappa}_1}(\bar{\omega}\bar{\omega}\dots) \in \llbracket \bar{\kappa}_2 \rrbracket$ , it follows from (I) that the tail of the orbit  $X'$  is  $\eta$ -dense in  $\Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . In particular, the orbit  $X'$  is  $\eta$ -dense in  $\Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ , thus proving Item (3).

Now let us calculate the Lyapunov exponent along the fiber with respect to the measure  $\mu_{X'}$ . For this, according to (8), we have  $|T'_{\bar{\omega}}(x')| \geq |T'_{\bar{\kappa}_2}(T_{\bar{\kappa}_1} T_{\bar{\kappa}_0}(x'))| \alpha_-^n \nu^r \geq e^{C_1} \alpha_-^n \nu^r$ , where

$$C_1 = \min\{\log |DT_{\bar{\kappa}}(y)| : y \in \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ and } |\bar{\kappa}| < K\}. \quad (11)$$

Thus, we have

$$\log |T'_{\bar{\omega}}(x')| > C_1 + r \log \nu + n \log \alpha_-. \quad (12)$$

Substituting (5) into (12), we get

$$\log |T'_{\bar{\omega}}(x')| > C_1 + \frac{\log \nu}{\log L_1} \log \frac{\alpha_+^{-n} \delta}{L_1 |J|} + n \log \alpha_- = C_1 + C_2 + n \left( \log \alpha_- - \frac{\log \nu}{\log L_1} \log \alpha_+ \right) \quad (13)$$

where

$$C_2 = \frac{\log \nu}{\log L_1} \log \frac{\delta}{L_1 |J|}. \quad (14)$$

Taking into account that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $1 < \nu < L_1$ ,

$$\left(1 - \frac{2 \log \nu}{3 \log L_1}\right) \log \alpha < \left(1 - \frac{\log \nu}{\log L_1}\right) \log \alpha < 0.$$

Thus, since

$$\lim_{(\alpha_-, \alpha_+) \rightarrow (\alpha, \alpha)} \log \alpha_- - \frac{\log \nu}{\log L_1} \log \alpha_+ = \left(1 - \frac{\log \nu}{\log L_1}\right) \log \alpha,$$

there exist  $\alpha_-$  and  $\alpha_+$  satisfying (2) and (3), such that

$$\left(1 - \frac{2 \log \nu}{3 \log L_1}\right) \log \alpha \leq \log \alpha_- - \frac{\log \nu}{\log L_1} \log \alpha_+. \quad (15)$$

Writing  $C_3 = C_1 + C_2$  and substituting (15) into (13), it follows that

$$\log |T'_{\bar{\omega}}(x')| > C_3 + n \left(1 - \frac{2 \log \nu}{3 \log L_1}\right) \log \alpha. \quad (16)$$

From Proposition 2.4, the Lyapunov exponent along the fiber with respect to the measure  $\mu_{X'}$  can be estimated as

$$\lambda(\mu_{X'}) = \frac{1}{P'} \log |T'_{\bar{\omega}}(x')| = \frac{\log |T'_{\bar{\omega}}(x')|}{nP + |\bar{\kappa}_1| + |\bar{\kappa}_2|}.$$

Noting that,  $\log |T'_{\bar{\omega}}(x')| < 0$  since  $T_{\bar{\omega}}$  is a contraction, and substituting (16) into this last equation, we have

$$\lambda(\mu_{X'}) > \frac{1}{nP} \left( C_3 + n \left(1 - \frac{2 \log \nu}{3 \log L_1}\right) \log \alpha \right) = \lambda(\mu_X) \left(1 - \frac{2 \log \nu}{3 \log L_1}\right) + \frac{C_3}{nP} \quad (17)$$

where the last equality follows since  $\lambda(\mu_X) = (\log \alpha)/P$ . Since  $C_3 = C_2 + C_1$  and the constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  in (11) and (14) do not depend on  $n$  (they only depend on  $\mathcal{F}$  and the interval  $J$ ), then  $C_3/nP \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, similarly as above, since

$$\lambda(\mu_X) \left(1 - \frac{\log \nu}{2 \log L_1}\right) < \lambda(\mu_X) \left(1 - \frac{2 \log \nu}{3 \log L_1}\right),$$

we find a sufficiently large  $n$  such that (17) becomes

$$\lambda(\mu_{X'}) > \lambda(\mu_X) \left(1 - \frac{2 \log \nu}{3 \log L_1}\right) + \frac{C_3}{nP} > \lambda(\mu_X) \left(1 - \frac{\log \nu}{2 \log L_1}\right).$$



This proves Item (1) of the lemma with  $c = 1 - \frac{\log \nu}{2 \log L_1} \in (0, 1)$ .

Now we will prove that  $X'$  is a  $(\aleph, \gamma)$ -good approximation of  $X$ . For this,  $X'$  must satisfy conditions (i)–(iii) in Definition 2.2. Indeed, let  $(\omega', x')$  and  $(\omega, x)$  be periodic points of  $F$  that generate  $X'$  and  $X$  respectively. Note that  $\omega' = \bar{\omega}\bar{\omega}\dots$  and  $\omega = \hat{\omega}\hat{\omega}\dots$ . Since  $\bar{\omega} = \hat{\omega} \cdot^n \cdot \hat{\omega} \bar{\kappa}_1 \bar{\kappa}_2$  and  $|\hat{\omega}| = P$ , the first  $Pn$  letters of the sequences  $\omega'$  and  $\omega$  coincide. Let  $M$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $2^{-MP} < \gamma$ . Since  $n$  can be arbitrarily large, we can also demand that  $2M + 1 < n$ . Then  $M < n - M - 1 < n$ , and therefore

$$\tilde{X}' = \{F^j(\omega', x') : MP \leq j < (n - M - 1)P\}, \quad (18)$$

is contained in the set of the first  $n$  iterations by  $F^P$  of the point  $(\omega', x')$ . Now let us define the projection  $\rho : \tilde{X}' \rightarrow X$  as follows:

$$\rho(F^j(\omega', x')) = F^j(\omega, x). \quad (19)$$

Then, for  $i = 0, \dots, P - 1, j = MP, \dots, (n - M - 1)P - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(F^i(F^j(\omega', x')), F^i(\rho(F^j(\omega', x')))) &= d(F^{i+j}(\omega', x'), F^{i+j}(\omega, x)) \\ &= \max\{\bar{d}(\sigma^{i+j}(\omega'), \sigma^{i+j}(\omega)), |f_{\omega'}^{i+j}(x') - f_{\omega}^{i+j}(x)|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first  $nP$  letters of  $\omega'$  and  $\omega$  are the same, and since  $MP \leq i + j < nP$ , we have  $\bar{d}(\sigma^{i+j}(\omega'), \sigma^{i+j}(\omega)) \leq 2^{-MP} < \gamma$ . For the same reason, writing  $j = qP + \tau$ , we have

$$f_{\omega'}^{i+j} = f_{\omega}^{i+j} = f_{\sigma^i(\omega)}^j \circ f_{\omega}^i = f_{\sigma^\tau(\omega)}^i \circ f_{\omega}^\tau \circ f_{\omega}^q = f_{\omega}^{i+\tau} \circ f_{\omega}^q.$$

Then, since  $x$  and  $x'$  are in  $J$  and  $f^q(J) \subset J$ , by virtue of (3), it holds that  $|f_{\omega'}^{i+j}(x') - f_{\omega}^{i+j}(x)| \leq |f_{\omega}^{i+\tau}(J)| \leq L^{2P}|J| < \gamma$ . This concludes that

$$d(F^i(y), F^i(\rho(y))) < \gamma \quad \text{for all } y \in \tilde{X}' \text{ and } i = 0, \dots, P - 1.$$

proving Item (i) in Definition 2.2.

Now let us show Item (ii) in Definition 2.2. For this, note that

$$1 - \frac{\#\tilde{X}'}{\#X'} = \frac{|\bar{\kappa}_1| + |\bar{\kappa}_2| + (2M + 1)P}{nP + |\bar{\kappa}_1| + |\bar{\kappa}_2|} \leq \frac{|\bar{\kappa}_1| + K + (2M + 1)P}{nP}. \quad (20)$$

On the other hand, denoting by  $H = \max\{|\bar{\beta}_1|, \dots, |\bar{\beta}_m|\}$  where  $\bar{\beta}_i$  is the finite word that generates  $h_i$ , by virtue of (4), (5), and  $\bar{\kappa}_1 = \bar{\beta}_{i_r} \dots \bar{\beta}_{i_1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\kappa}_1| &\leq rH \leq \frac{-n \log \alpha_+ + \log \delta |J|^{-1}}{\log L_1} H \\ &\leq (-n \log \alpha - K \log L) \frac{H}{\log L_1} = -(nP \lambda(\mu_X) + K \log L) \frac{H}{\log L_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this expression into (20) we have

$$1 - \frac{\#\tilde{X}'}{\#X'} \leq |\lambda(\mu_X)| \frac{H}{\log L_1} + \frac{C_4}{nP},$$

where  $C_4 = K + (2M + 1)P - KH \frac{\log L}{\log L_1}$ . Since  $C_4$  does not depend on  $n$ , by again taking this integer sufficiently large, it follows that

$$\frac{C_4}{nP} + |\lambda(\mu_X)| \frac{H}{\log L_1} \leq |\lambda(\mu_X)| \frac{2H}{\log L_1}.$$

Therefore, from (20), we have

$$\aleph = 1 - d \cdot |\lambda(\mu_X)| \leq \frac{\#\tilde{X}'}{\#X'} \quad \text{where } d = 2H/\log L_1 > 0.$$

This shows Item (ii) in Definition 2.2.

Finally, we only need to show Item (iii) in Definition 2.2. For this, given  $\tau = 0, 1, \dots, P-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\rho^{-1}(F^\tau(\omega, x)) &= \#\{F^j(\omega', x') \in \tilde{X}' : \rho(F^j(\omega', x')) = F^\tau(\omega, x)\} \\ &= \#\{j \in \{MP \leq j < (n - M - 1)P\} : j = \mathring{P} + \tau\} \\ &= \frac{(n - M - 1)P - MP}{P} = n - 2M - 1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the last item and therefore  $X'$  is a  $(\gamma, \aleph)$ -good approximation of  $X$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Proof.** Finally, we will prove the main result of this section.

*Proof of Theorem B.* To prove this result, we will use Theorem 2.3. First, let us show that  $F$  has a sequence of periodic orbits  $\{X_n\}$  with increasing period  $P_n$ .

Let  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be positive sequences such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty \text{ and } \eta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (21)$$

Let  $X_1$  be the periodic orbit of  $F$  generated by  $f$  with period  $P_1$ . Recursively applying Lemma 2.8, for each  $\gamma_n > 0$  and  $\eta_n > 0$ , there exists a periodic orbit  $X_{n+1}$  of  $F$  with period  $P_{n+1} > P_n$  such that

- a)  $c \cdot \lambda(\mu_{X_n}) < \lambda(\mu_{X_{n+1}}) < 0$ ;
- b)  $X_{n+1}$  is a  $(\gamma_n, \aleph_n)$ -Good approximation of  $X_n$  with  $\aleph_n = 1 - d \cdot |\lambda(\mu_{X_n})|$ ;
- c)  $X_{n+1}$  is  $\eta_n$ -dense in  $\Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ .

where  $0 < c < 1$  and  $d > 0$  are constants depending only on  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular,  $c^n \cdot \lambda(\mu_{X_1}) < \lambda(\mu_{X_{n+1}}) < 0$ . Thus,  $\lambda(\mu_{X_{n+1}}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and therefore  $\aleph_n > 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that this holds for all  $n \geq 1$ . Furthermore, since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda(\mu_{X_n})| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c^n |\lambda(\mu_{X_1})| < \infty,$$

by the Comparison Test for Series, we also have

$$-\infty < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - d|\lambda(\mu_{X_n})|) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \aleph_n < 0,$$

and consequently  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n \in (0, 1]$ . By Theorem 2.3, the sequence  $\{\mu_{X_n}\}$  of atomic measures uniformly distributed over the orbit  $X_n$  has a limit, and the limit measure  $\mu$  is ergodic with  $\text{supp } \mu = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \overline{\bigcup_{l=j}^{\infty} X_l} \right)$ . From c) and the fact that  $\eta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\overline{\bigcup_{l=j}^{\infty} X_l} = \Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ , which implies  $\text{supp } \mu = \Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ .

On the other hand, since the sequence of Lyapunov exponents  $\{\lambda(\mu_{X_n})\}$  converges to zero, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that  $\lambda(\mu) = 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

The following result corresponds to [BC23, Thm. 3.22], which improves [BFS14, Thm. B]. This generalizes earlier results on robustly minimal IFSs on the circle described in [GI99, GI00] (see also [KKO18, Pro. 6.1]). Recall that an IFS is said to be *robustly minimal (transitive)* if any sufficiently small  $C^1$ -perturbation remains minimal (transitive).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f_1, f_2$  be preserving orientation circle diffeomorphisms. Assume that  $f_1$  is an irrational rotation and that  $f_2$  is not a rotation. Then  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2\}$  is, both, forward and backward expanding and robust forward and backward minimal.*

The next result was proved in [BC23, Cor. 5.5] as a consequence of previous results by Kleptsyn, Kudryashov and Okunev in [KKO18, Thm. 1.5, Cor. 5.7]. First, we recall that a family  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\text{IFS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  is *transitive* if, and only if, the associated skew-product  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F}$  is transitive. For equivalent definitions see [BC23, Def. 4.1, Thm. 4.2, 4.5 and 6.1].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a transitive family in  $\text{IFS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , where  $r \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Then, there exists  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{IFS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ ,  $C^r$  close to  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $\mathcal{G}$  is both robustly forward and backward minimal, the elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  are Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms, and there is a map  $g_0 \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle^+$  that is  $C^\infty$  conjugate to an irrational rotation.*

Now we are ready to give the proof of our main result.

*Proof of Theorem A.* Denote by  $T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  the set of transitive family in  $\text{IFS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . Since every minimal IFS is also transitive (cf. [BC23, Rem. 4.4]), by Theorem 3.2, there is a dense set  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  such that every  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}$  is robustly transitive, its elements are Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms, and there is a map  $g_0 \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle^+$  that is  $C^\infty$  conjugate to an irrational rotation. Let  $\phi$  be the  $C^\infty$  conjugacy map between  $g_0$  and the rigid irrational rotation. Set  $\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \phi \circ \mathcal{G} \circ \phi^{-1}$ . Note that since the property of being a Morse-Smale diffeomorphism is invariant under smooth conjugation, the elements of  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  are also Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms. Moreover, since  $\langle \hat{\mathcal{G}} \rangle^+$  also has an irrational rotation, by Theorem 3.1, we conclude that  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  is both backward and forward expanding and robustly forward and backward minimal. Since the expanding property is also a robust property (cf. [BFM<sup>+</sup>17, Cor. 3.1] or [BC23, Rem. 3.10]) and  $\mathcal{G}$  is robustly transitive, we can consider a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\text{IFS}_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  such that for every  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$ , the family  $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \phi \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \phi^{-1}$  is both backward and forward expanding and robustly forward and backward minimal. Thus, by Theorem B, the skew-product  $\hat{H} = \sigma \times \hat{\mathcal{H}}$  has a  $\hat{H}$ -invariant ergodic probability measure  $\hat{\mu}$  with full support, limit

of periodic measures and with Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda(\hat{\mu}) = 0$ . Consider the map  $\Phi = \text{id}_{\Sigma_k} \times \phi$  and notice that  $\hat{H} = \Phi \circ H \circ \Phi^{-1}$ , where  $H = \sigma \times \mathcal{H}$ .

**Claim 3.3.** *The measure  $\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mu} \circ \Phi$  is a  $H$ -invariant ergodic probability with full support, limit of periodic measures and with Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda(\mu) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We first observe that  $\hat{H}^{-1} = \Phi \circ H^{-1} \circ \Phi^{-1}$ . Hence, for any measurable set  $A \subset \Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ , since  $\hat{\mu}$  is a  $\hat{H}$ -invariant measure, i.e.,  $(\hat{H})_*\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}$ , we have

$$\mu(A) = \hat{\mu}(\Phi(A)) = (\hat{H})_*\hat{\mu}(\Phi(A)) = \hat{\mu}(\Phi \circ H^{-1} \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi(A)) = \hat{\mu}(\Phi \circ H^{-1}(A)) = \mu(H^{-1}(A)).$$

Thus,  $\mu$  is  $H$ -invariant. Moreover, if  $A$  satisfies  $H^{-1}(A) = A$ , then

$$\hat{H}^{-1}(\Phi(A)) = \Phi \circ H^{-1} \circ \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(A)) = \Phi \circ H^{-1}(A) = \Phi(A)$$

and thus, since  $\hat{\mu}$  is an ergodic measure for  $\hat{H}$ , we have that  $\mu(A) = \hat{\mu}(\Phi(A)) \in \{0, 1\}$ . Hence,  $\mu$  is also an ergodic measure for  $H$ . Also, since  $\Phi$  is a homeomorphism and  $\hat{\mu}$  has full support, then  $\mu = \hat{\mu} \circ \Phi$  inherits full support. Furthermore,  $\mu$  is also a limit of periodic measures, as  $\hat{\mu}$  is. Finally, we will calculate the Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda(\mu)$ . To do this, observe that  $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \phi \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \phi^{-1}$  and thus the fiber maps of  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^n$  are given by  $\hat{h}_\omega^n = \phi \circ h_\omega^n \circ \phi^{-1}$  for any  $\omega \in \Sigma_k$ , where  $h_\omega^n$  are the fiber maps of  $H^n$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\hat{H}}(\omega, x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |(\hat{h}_\omega^n)'(x)| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\phi'(h_\omega^n \circ \phi^{-1}(x))| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |(h_\omega^n)'(\phi^{-1}(x))| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |(\phi^{-1})'(x)| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |(h_\omega^n)'(\phi^{-1}(x))| = \lambda_H(\omega, \phi^{-1}(x)) = \lambda_H(\Phi^{-1}(\omega, x)) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $(\omega, x) \in \Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . Now, since  $\hat{\mu} = \mu \circ \Phi^{-1}$ , by the above equality, we have

$$\lambda(\hat{\mu}) = \int \lambda_{\hat{H}}(\omega, x) d\hat{\mu} = \int \lambda_{\hat{H}}(\Phi^{-1}(\omega, x)) d\mu = \int \lambda_H(\omega, x) d\mu = \lambda(\mu).$$

Since  $\lambda(\hat{\mu}) = 0$ , we also get that  $\lambda(\mu) = 0$  as required. This completes the proof  $\square$

Now, we conclude the proof by taking  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  as the open set formed by the union of the neighborhoods  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$  for  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , we get that  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  is open and dense in  $T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . Then, by the definition of the topology in  $T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  and in view that  $\mathcal{F} \in T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$  if and only if  $F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F} \in T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , we get the set  $\mathcal{R} = \{F = \sigma \times \mathcal{F} \in T_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1) : \mathcal{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\}$  is an open and dense subset of  $TS_k^r(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . Moreover, for every  $H = \sigma \times \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{R}$ , the family  $\mathcal{H}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{G}}$  for some  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}$  and thus by Claim 3.3, there is an ergodic  $F$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  with full support whose Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda(\mu)$  equal to zero. Furthermore, the probability measure  $\mu$  is a limit in the weak\* topology of sequences of invariant measures supported on periodic points. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The proof of Theorem B forms part of the results obtained in the master's thesis of the author, J. A. Cisneros. The first author, P. G. Barrientos, was supported by grant PID2020-113052GB-I00 funded by MCIN, PQ 305352/2020-2 (CNPq), and JCNE E-26/201.305/2022 (FAPERJ). We would like to express our deepest gratitude to Prof. Lorenzo J. Díaz for his insightful comments on the results obtained. His contributions as a member of the master's and doctoral committees of the first author have been invaluable and greatly appreciated.

## REFERENCES

- [AS69] R. H. Abraham and S. Smale. Nongenericity of  $\Omega$ -stability. *Matematika*, 13(2):156–160, 1969.
- [Ano67] D. V. Anosov. Geodesic flows on closed Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature. *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta Imeni VA Steklova*, 90:3–210, 1967.
- [BC23] P. G. Barrientos and J. A. Cisneros. Minimal strong foliations in skew-products of iterated function systems. *Preprint arXiv:2304.11229*, 2023.
- [BFM<sup>+</sup>17] P. G. Barrientos, A. Fakhari, D. Malicet, and A. Sarizadeh. Expanding actions: minimality and ergodicity. *Stochastics and Dynamics*, 17(04):1750031, 2017.
- [BFS14] P. G. Barrientos, A. Fakhari, and A. Sarizadeh. Density of fiberwise orbits in minimal iterated function systems on the circle. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - A*, 34(9):3341–3352, 2014.
- [BBD13] J. Bochi, C. Bonatti, and L. J. Díaz. Robust vanishing of all Lyapunov exponents for iterated function systems. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 276(1-2):469–503, August 2013.
- [BBD16] J. Bochi, C. Bonatti, and L. J. Díaz. Robust criterion for the existence of nonhyperbolic ergodic measures. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 344(3):751–795, May 2016.
- [BDG10] C. Bonatti, L. J. Díaz, and A. Gorodetski. Non-hyperbolic ergodic measures with large support. *Nonlinearity*, 23(3):687–705, February 2010.
- [BDU02] C. Bonatti, L. J. Díaz, and R. Ures. Minimality of strong stable and unstable foliations for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 1(4):513–541, 2002.
- [DGR17] L. Díaz, K. Gelfert, and M. Rams. Nonhyperbolic step skew-products: Ergodic approximation. *Annales de L'Institut Henri Poincaré Section (C) Non Linear Analysis*, 34(6):1561–1598, 2017.
- [DG09] L. J. Díaz and A. Gorodetski. Non-hyperbolic ergodic measures for non-hyperbolic homoclinic classes. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 29(5):1479–1513, 2009.
- [GI99] A. S. Gorodetski and Y. S. Ilyashenko. Certain new robust properties of invariant sets and attractors of dynamical systems. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 33(2):95–105, April 1999.
- [GIK<sup>+</sup>05] A. S. Gorodetski, Y. S. Ilyashenko, V. A. Kleptsyn, and M. B. Nalsky. Nonremovable zero Lyapunov exponent. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 39(1):21–30, January 2005.
- [GI00] A. Gorodetski and Y. S. Ilyashenko. Certain properties of skew products over a horseshoe and a solenoid. In *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math*, volume 231, pages 90–112, 2000.
- [KN07] V. A. Kleptsyn and M. B. Nalsky. Persistence of nonhyperbolic measures for  $C^1$ -diffeomorphisms. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 41(4):271–283, October 2007.
- [KKO18] V. Kleptsyn, Y. Kudryashov, and A. Okunev. Classification of generic semigroup actions of circle diffeomorphisms. *Preprint arXiv:1804.00951*, 2018.
- [New70] S. E. Newhouse. Nondensity of axiom a(a) on  $S^2$ . *Global analysis*, 1:191, 1970.
- [Pes77] Y. B. Pesin. Characteristic lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory. *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, 32(4):55–112, 1977.
- [Sma67] S. Smale. Differentiable dynamical systems. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 73(6):747–817, 1967.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UFF  
RUA MÁRIO SANTOS BRAGA s/N - CAMPUS VALONGUINHOS, NITERÓI, BRAZIL

*Email address:* `pgbarrientos@id.uff.br`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UFF  
RUA MÁRIO SANTOS BRAGA s/N - CAMPUS VALONGUINHOS, NITERÓI, BRAZIL

*Email address:* `joelangel@id.uff.br`