Quality-Aware Dynamic Resolution Adaptation Framework for Adaptive Video Streaming

Amritha Premkumar

amritha.premkumar@ieee.org Department of Computer Science Rheinland-Pfälzische Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, Germany

Adam Wieckowski adam.wieckowski@hhi.fraunhofer.de Video Communication and Applications Dept Fraunhofer HHI

Fraunhofer HHI Berlin, Germany Prajit T Rajendran

prajit.rajendran@ieee.org CEA, List, F-91120 Palaiseau Université Paris-Saclay Paris, France

Benjamin Bross

benjamin.bross@hhi.fraunhofer.de Video Communication and Applications Dept Fraunhofer HHI Berlin, Germany

3652172

Vignesh V Menon

vignesh.menon@hhi.fraunhofer.de Video Communication and Applications Dept Fraunhofer HHI Berlin, Germany

Detlev Marpe

detlev.marpe@hhi.fraunhofer.de Video Communication and Applications Dept Fraunhofer HHI Berlin, Germany

ABSTRACT

Traditional per-title encoding schemes aim to optimize encoding resolutions to deliver the highest perceptual quality for each representation. XPSNR is observed to correlate better with the subjective quality of VVC-coded bitstreams. Towards this realization, we predict the average XPSNR of VVC-coded bitstreams using spatiotemporal complexity features of the video and the target encoding configuration using an XGBoost-based model. Based on the predicted XPSNR scores, we introduce a Quality-Aware Dynamic Resolution Adaptation (QADRA) framework for adaptive video streaming applications, where we determine the convex-hull online. Furthermore, keeping the encoding and decoding times within an acceptable threshold is mandatory for smooth and energy-efficient streaming. Hence, QADRA determines the encoding resolution and quantization parameter (QP) for each target bitrate by maximizing XPSNR while constraining the maximum encoding and/ or decoding time below a threshold. QADRA implements a JND-based representation elimination algorithm to remove perceptually redundant representations from the bitrate ladder. QADRA is an open-source Python-based framework published under the GNU GPLv3 license.

Github: https://github.com/PhoenixVideo/QADRA

Online documentation: https://phoenixvideo.github.io/QADRA/

CCS CONCEPTS

• Information systems → Multimedia streaming; • Software and its engineering → Software performance.

KEYWORDS

Green streaming; dynamic resolution; content-adaptive encoding; complexity reduction.

MMSys '24, April 15-18, 2024, Bari, Italy

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0412-3/24/04

https://doi.org/10.1145/3625468.3652172

1 INTRODUCTION

ACM Reference Format:

HTTP Adaptive Streaming (HAS) has emerged as the predominant method for delivering video content across a spectrum of internet speeds and device types [1]. Its core concept involves segmenting video content and encoding each segment at various bitrates and resolutions, known as *representations*, which are then stored on standard HTTP servers. These representations facilitate continuous adaptation of video delivery to the fluctuating network conditions and diverse device capabilities of clients [2]. Typically, online streaming applications employ a predefined bitrate ladder, such as the one found in *HTTP Live Streaming* (HLS) [3], to ensure smooth and efficient content delivery.

Amritha Premkumar, Prajit T Rajendran, Vignesh V Menon, Adam Wieck-

owski, Benjamin Bross, and Detlev Marpe. 2024. Quality-Aware Dynamic

Resolution Adaptation Framework for Adaptive Video Streaming. In ACM

Multimedia Systems Conference 2024 (MMSys '24), April 15-18, 2024, Bari,

Italy. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 7 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3625468.

There is a growing interest in per-title encoding techniques aimed at improving the perceived quality of streamed content [7]. This innovative approach dynamically adjusts encoding parameters such as resolution [7-10], framerate [11], and presets [12], among others, based on content complexity and viewer preferences to optimize visual fidelity. Among these methods, dynamic resolution encoding has been extensively researched in adaptive streaming applications, where encoding resolutions are adjusted dynamically to maximize video quality [13, 14]. This approach ensures that perceptual quality remains high in visually intricate segments while conserving bandwidth by lowering resolution in less complex scenes. As demonstrated by rate-distortion plots in Figure 1, the optimal resolution, which maximizes perceptual quality (measured in terms of XPSNR [6]), varies depending on content complexity. By adapting resolution per segment, the streaming system efficiently allocates resources, prioritizing high-quality representation where it's most beneficial. Ultimately, dynamic resolution per-title encoding aims to balance perceptual quality and bandwidth efficiency, delivering an immersive and captivating streaming experience [7].

Reducing encoding time is critical in streaming applications since it contributes to environmental sustainability. Encoding processes

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).



Figure 1: Rate-distortion (RD) and rate-encoding time curves of representative sequences (segments) of Inter-4K dataset [4] encoded at 360p, 720p, 1080p and 2160p resolutions using VVenC encoder [5] at *faster* preset. Here, XP-SNR [6] is used as the quality metric.

in data centers require substantial computational resources and energy consumption, especially with new codecs such as *Versatile Video Coding* (VVC) [15, 16]. The streaming industry can reduce its carbon footprint and energy consumption by lowering encoding time [17, 18]. Furthermore, reducing decoding time on the client side reduces stall events and buffering time, contributing to a smooth viewing experience [19, 20].

In this paper, the main contributions are as follows:

- A quality-aware encoding resolution selection framework to maximize the perceptual quality (in terms of XPSNR) of video segments based on their spatiotemporal complexity, target bitrate, and the encoding and/or decoding time constraint for VVC-based streaming environments.
- (2) Comprehensive analysis of the proposed framework for various encoding time thresholds regarding compression efficiency and encoding latency.

Outline: The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the related work on dynamic resolution encoding in the context of adaptive video streaming. The proposed QADRA framework is explained in Section 3, while Section 4 presents the experimental results. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

2 RELATED WORK

Most state-of-the-art dynamic resolution per-title encoding methods are based on choosing a particular resolution that provides better visual quality for a given bitrate range.

Katsenou et al. [8] uses machine learning to identify the most effective bitrate range for each resolution. The method extracts spatiotemporal features and statistics from sequences at their original resolution. Then, it employs machine learning methods to predict the quantization parameters (OPs) at which the rate-distortion curves across the different resolutions intersect. $(\tilde{r} - 1) \times 2$ encodes must be performed to determine the bitrates at which resolutions should be switched. This content-gnostic approach has been claimed to reduce the number of encodings required compared to other methods (by 81% - 94%) compared to the bruteforce encoding approach. It uses constant quantization parameter (COP) encodes, which are not used in real-time streaming applications. Another method proposed by Bhat et al. [9] uses machine learning to predict the resolution without requiring multiple encodings. Features from the low-resolution encoding of the first few frames are input to a random forest model to predict better-performing resolution for a decision period. Similarly, Zabrovskiy et al. [21] used an artificial neural network to predict an optimized bitrate ladder for each scene, optimized based on the YPSNR quality metric. These methods produce *latency* significantly higher than the accepted latency in live streaming. OPTE [10] uses random forest models to predict optimized resolution, yielding the highest VMAF [22] using spatiotemporal features extracted for each segment. However, OPTE does not consider encoding latency constraint during the optimized resolution prediction.

To summarize, current related work lacks encoding latency constraints while selecting the optimized encoding resolution, and most state-of-the-art methods need pre-encodings that yield significant latency and energy consumption. Furthermore, discussion on dynamic resolution per-title encoding for VVC-based streaming platforms is limited.

3 QUALITY-AWARE DYNAMIC RESOLUTION ADAPTATION (QADRA)

Striking the right balance between offering high-quality, highresolution streams and minimizing encoding and/or decoding time and energy consumption is crucial for adaptive streaming platforms to ensure responsive and uninterrupted playback experiences across various end-user devices and network environments. In line with this perspective, this paper proposes a latency-aware dynamic encoding resolution encoding scheme (QADRA) to maximize the perceived quality of video segments based on the video content complexity, target bitrate, and the encoding time constraint. As shown in Figure 2, QADRA is classified into four steps:

- (1) spatiotemporal complexity feature extraction (Section 3.1),
- (2) optimized resolution prediction (Section 3.2),
- (3) optimized QP prediction (Section 3.3),
- (4) JND-based representation elimination (Section 3.4),

3.1 Spatiotemporal complexity feature extraction

This process involves analyzing the video content in both spatial and temporal dimensions, capturing essential information about object movements, scene changes, and visual details. Prediction models can comprehensively understand the content complexity Quality-Aware Dynamic Resolution Adaptation Framework for Adaptive Video Streaming



Figure 2: Encoding using QADRA framework envisioned in this paper.

and characteristics by extracting relevant spatiotemporal features, such as motion vectors, texture patterns, and frame-to-frame differences. QADRA uses seven DCT-energy-based features extracted by Video Complexity Analyzer (VCA) v2.0 [23]: the average texture energy (E_Y), the average gradient of the luma texture energy (h), the average luminescence (L_Y), Average chroma texture energy of U and V channels (E_U and E_V), and the average chrominescence of U and V channels (L_U and L_V) as the content complexity features of video segments. The features of the videos of the Inter-4K dataset [4] are compiled in the /Dataset/ folder of the repository.

3.2 Optimized resolution prediction

The objective of selecting the optimized resolution based on bitrate and video complexity features is decomposed into two parts:

- designing models to predict the encoding and/ or decoding time and the perceptual quality;
- (2) developing a function to obtain the optimized resolution based on the predicted encoding and/ or decoding times and perceptual quality for each available encoding resolution.

Modeling: The perceptual quality (in terms of XPSNR) $x_{(r_t,b_t)}$, encoding time $e_{(r_t,b_t)}$, and decoding time $d_{(r_t,b_t)}$ of the representation (r_t, b_t) relies on video complexity features { E_Y , h, L_Y , E_U , E_V , L_U , L_V }, encoding resolution r_t , and target bitrate b_t parameters:

$$x_{(r_t,b_t)} = f_{\rm V} \left(E_{\rm Y}, h, L_{\rm Y}, E_{\rm U}, E_{\rm V}, L_{\rm U}, L_{\rm V}, r_t, b_t \right);$$
(1)

$$e_{(r, h_{\star})} = f_{e}(E_{Y}, h, L_{Y}, E_{U}, E_{V}, L_{U}, L_{V}, r_{t}, b_{t});$$
(2)

$$d_{(r_t,b_t)} = f_d(E_{\rm Y}, h, L_{\rm Y}, E_{\rm U}, E_{\rm V}, L_{\rm U}, L_{\rm V}, r_t, b_t).$$
(3)

Spatio-temporal features encapsulate intricate spatial details and temporal dynamics within the video segment and help assess the video fidelity [24]. Including resolution, bitrate, framerate, and preset parameters in the models acknowledges the interplay between compression efficiency, temporal smoothness, and spatial clarity in shaping perceived quality [25]. A higher resolution, or bitrate, may improve the quality and increase the file size of the video segment. Similarly, a higher resolution, or bitrate, can reduce the encoding and decoding speed. Notably, encoding and decoding speeds largely depend on hardware-level parameters like RAM capacity, CPU threads, *etc.* [11].

Optimization: QADRA optimizes the perceptual quality of encoded video segments while adhering to real-time processing constraints. It predicts the optimized resolution of the t^{th} representation to maximize the compression efficiency while maintaining the encoding time below the threshold τ_{L} . The optimization function

is:

ŕ

$$t = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \hat{x}_{(r,b_t)} \qquad c.t. \quad \hat{e}_{(r,b_t)} \le \tau_{\mathrm{E}}, \quad \hat{d}_{(r,b_t)} \le \tau_{\mathrm{D}}. \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{x}_{(r,b_t)}$, $\hat{e}_{(r,b_t)}$, and $\hat{d}_{(r,b_t)}$ are the predicted XPSNR, encoding and decoding speeds of the representation (r, b_t) .

Implementation: The select_best_resolution method selects the best resolution from predefined resolutions based on the predicted encoding time and the target bitrate. It aims to find the resolution that maximizes the XPSNR within the target encoding time. Firstly, the variables to store predicted XPSNR values (xpsnr) and predicted encoding times (time) are initialized for each resolution in the predefined list. For each resolution in the list, the XPSNR (xpsnr) and encoding time (time) are predicted using the provided features and bitrate. These predictions are made using separate methods (predict_xpsnr and predict_enc_time). The highest predicted XPSNR value (highest_xpsnr) that satisfies the target encoding time constraint (t1) is identified. If a resolution yields the highest XPSNR within the target encoding time, that resolution is selected as the predicted resolution (predicted_resolution). If no resolution satisfies the target encoding time constraint, the predicted resolution remains unchanged (defaulting to the first resolution in the list). The predicted resolution is adjusted based on the bitrate using the get_resolution_based_on_bitrate method.

We employ a data-driven approach to select the resolution that maximizes video quality within the given encoding time constraint, ultimately optimizing the encoding process for the specified bitrate.

3.3 Optimized QP prediction

Predicting the QP helps ensure consistent video quality throughout the stream. It allows the encoder to allocate bits judiciously, preventing underallocation (resulting in poor quality) or over-allocation (wasting bandwidth) of bits for encoding.

Modeling: The QP $q_{(r_t,b_t)}$ relies on video complexity features $\{E_{\rm Y}, h, L_{\rm Y}, E_{\rm U}, E_{\rm V}, L_{\rm U}, L_{\rm V}\}$, encoding resolution r_t , and target bitrate b_t parameters:

$$q_{(r_t,b_t)} = f_{Q}(E_{Y}, h, L_{Y}, E_{U}, E_{V}, L_{U}, L_{V}, r_t, b_t).$$
(5)

Content with intricate details, textures, or sharp edges demands a lower QP to represent these features accurately in the encoded video. Similarly, segments with fast motion, frequent scene changes, or dynamic content require a lower QP to capture the rapid changes between frames accurately [26].

Optimization: The mathematical formulation of the QP optimization to yield a bitrate as close to the target bitrate as possible MMSys '24, April 15-18, 2024, Bari, Italy

can be expressed as follows:

$$\hat{q}_{(r,b_t)} = \arg\min_{q \in [q_{\min}, q_{\max}]} | \hat{b}_{(r,c)} - b_t |.$$
(6)

A loss function measures the deviation between the target and predicted bitrate. The objective is to find the QP that minimizes the loss function.

Implementation: The predict_qp function takes input features extracted from the video segment, along with the resolution (normalized to a range of [0, 1]) and bitrate. These inputs are concatenated into a feature vector. The function retrieves two pre-trained machine learning models: one for predicting the minimum QP (min_model) and another for predicting the maximum QP (max_model). The resolution (normalized) is appended to the feature vector. The feature vector is passed to the minimum and maximum QP prediction models to obtain predicted QP values (b1 and b2). The function uses linear interpolation to compute a predicted QP value (qp_pred) based on the bitrate. The predicted QP is calculated based on the equation of a line passing through two points: (x1, b1) and (x2, b2), where x1 and x2 are predefined values (10 and 50) and b1 and b2 are the predicted QP values corresponding to these points. The predicted QP value is constrained from 10 to 50 in our implementation. The function returns the predicted QP value (qp_pred) as an integer.

3.4 JND-based representation elimination

QADRA uses the JND-based representation elimination algorithm proposed in our previous work [11]. To avoid the perceptual redundancy of the bitrate ladder, if the predicted quality difference between two representations is lower than the JND [27], the higher bitrate representation amongst them is eliminated. Furthermore, when the predicted quality is greater than v_T , *i.e.*, the threshold above which the representation is deemed perceptually lossless, the corresponding representation is eliminated from the bitrate ladder [28].

Implementation: The jnd_elimination function is implemented to eliminate representations based on the JND criterion. The jnd_elimination function takes a list of representations with JND features as input and returns a subset of representations based on the JND criterion.

- (1) Initialize an empty list of representations to store the selected representations.
- (2) If the JND threshold (self.jnd) is set to 0, return the input list of representations.
- (3) Otherwise, iterate through the list of representations.
- (4) Add the first representation to the representations list.
- (5) If the XPSNR value of the current representation exceeds the maximum XPSNR threshold (self.max_xpsnr), return the representations list.
- (6) Iterate through the remaining representations in the list.
- (7) If the difference in XPSNR between the current representation and the last selected representation is greater than or equal to the JND threshold, add the current representation to the representations list.
- (8) Return the representations list if the XPSNR value of the current representation exceeds the maximum XPSNR threshold.
- (9) Return the final representations list.

3.5 Commandline options

The following command line options are included in the prototype:

- maxEncTime: This option allows the user to specify the maximum acceptable time for encoding each representation. It is a crucial parameter for controlling the encoding resolutions allocated for each representation. Default: 9999.
- (2) maxDecTime: This option allows the user to specify the maximum acceptable time for decoding each representation on the client side (if available). Default: 9999.
- (3) codec: This option lets the user specify the codec (encoderdecoder configuration) used. Users can choose from popular codecs depending on their specific video encoding or decoding requirements. Default: vvenc.
- (4) resultCsv: This option takes the path to the optimized bitrate ladder comma-separated values (CSV) file. This CSV file likely contains optimized encoding resolution values for efficient video encoding and delivery. Default: results.csv.
- (5) *rmax*: This option represents the maximum supported resolution. Users can set this option to define the highest resolution permissible for the video encoding task. It ensures that encoding operates within the specified resolution limits. Default: 2160.
- (6) maxQuality: This option allows users to set the maximum acceptable XPSNR score. Setting a maximum threshold helps ensure that only videos are encoded below a certain quality level. This is especially used to eliminate perceptually lossless representations. Default: 100.
- (7) *jnd*: This option allows users to set the threshold for perceptual differences (in terms of XPSNR), ensuring that the process considers only noticeable differences in video quality. Default: 0.

These CLI options provide a range of customization for users, enabling them to tailor the prototype's behavior according to their specific needs and preferences in video streaming.

3.6 Prediction models

80 % of the videos in the Inter-4K Dataset [4] is used to train the prediction models. Encodings are run on a dual-processor server with Intel Xeon Gold 5218R (80 cores, frequency at 2.10 GHz), where each encoding instance uses four CPU threads. The sequences are encoded at 60 fps using VVenC v1.10 [5] using preset 0 (*faster*), and QPs ranging from q_{\min} to q_{\max} . The spatiotemporal features, { E_Y , h, L_Y , E_U , E_V , L_U , L_V } are extracted using VCA v2.0 [23] running as a pre-processor using four CPU threads with multi-threading and x86 SIMD optimizations. The procedure to generate the dataset for training is illustrated in Algorithm 1.

We trained the XPSNR prediction models using multiple regressors, including extra-trees, XGBoost [29], and random forests [30] and observed that the XGBoost regressor performed the best consistently using our feature set. A grid search is performed to explore different combinations of hyperparameter values, and we selected *max_depth*=10, and *n_estimators*=400 that maximized performance. Our predictive modeling framework employs a cascading approach to predict both bitrate and encoding time for a given QP. This method involves training distinct models for minimum and maximum QP values (q_{min} and q_{max} , respectively), enabling the Quality-Aware Dynamic Resolution Adaptation Framework for Adaptive Video Streaming

MMSys '24, April 15-18, 2024, Bari, Italy

Algorithm 1: Training dataset generation.					
Inputs:					
\mathcal{R} : set of supported resolutions					
q_{\min} : minimum QP					
$q_{\rm max}$: maximum QP					
for each training video segment do					
Run VCA and get $\{E_Y, h, L_Y, E_U, E_V, L_U, L_V\}$					
for each $r \in \mathcal{R}$ do					
for each $q \in [q_{min}, q_{max}]$ do					
Encode segment with QP q ;					
Record $E_{\rm Y}$, h , $L_{\rm Y}$, $E_{\rm U}$, $E_{\rm V}$, $L_{\rm U}$, $L_{\rm V}$, r , q , achieved bitrate b' ,					
XPSNR x' , and PSNR p' ;					
—					

prediction of both the maximum and minimum bitrate, as well as the maximum and minimum encoding time. The linear relationship between QP and the logarithm of time and between QP and the logarithm of bitrate underpins the success of this approach. Since the points (q_{\min} , b_{\max}) and (q_{\max} , b_{\min}) are estimated, the optimized QP for a target bitrate *b* is determined using linear regression. Similarly, using the estimations from the encoding time models, we obtain the points (q_{\min} , \hat{t}_{\max}) and (q_{\max} , \hat{t}_{\min}) from which the corresponding encoding time for the QP value is determined.

We have evaluated their performance across various video content types, resolutions, and bitrates, demonstrating consistent and reliable predictions. Additionally, rigorous cross-validation techniques have been employed to assess model performance and ensure its robustness against overfitting. Despite our confidence in the models, we acknowledge potential scenarios where they might underperform or fail to predict encoding parameters accurately. Some factors that could contribute to underperformance include out-ofdistribution data and extreme conditions such as highly complex content. As encoding techniques evolve and new video formats emerge, the models may require periodic updates to maintain their predictive accuracy and generalizability.

3.7 Scalability and adaptability

The modular design of QADRA allows easy integration with existing streaming infrastructure and workflow automation systems, facilitating deployment across large-scale streaming platforms. Its predictive models are built to handle a wide range of input data, enabling efficient processing of large datasets and rapid generation of encoding parameter recommendations. QADRA's architecture can be optimized for parallel processing, leveraging distributed computing resources to scale seamlessly with increasing demand. QADRA is adaptable to evolving technologies, including immersive media streaming formats such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR). Its predictive models can be trained on datasets encompassing diverse video formats, resolutions, and encoding techniques, enabling it to adapt to emerging standards and formats in immersive media streaming.

4 EVALUATION RESULTS

The experimental parameters used to evaluate QADRA are listed in Table 1. The VVenC encoding uses the predicted bitrate-resolution-QP configurations for a given input video segment. \hat{b}_t is considered the upper bound of bitrate variability, and \hat{q}_t is the QP used for encoding. In VVenC, the QP is specified using the qp option, while

Parameter	Values						
R	{ 360, 432, 540, 720, 1080, 1440, 2160 }						
\mathcal{B}	0.145	0.300	0.600	0.900	1.600	2.400	
	3.400	4.500	5.800	8.100	11.600	16.800	
$\tau_{\rm E}$	100s, 200s, 400s, 800s, ∞						
τ _D	∞						
r _{max}	720		1080		2160		
Target encoder	VVenC (faster preset)						
Target decoder	VTM						
CPU threads	4						



Figure 3: Selected encoding resolutions of representative video sequences (segments) using QADRA ($r_{max} = 2160$).

the maxrate (easy mode) or MaxBitrate (expert mode) option is used to specify the upper bound of bitrate variability.

Prediction latency and accuracy: The time to predict the resolution-QP for each representation is 5 ms. The accuracy of the encoding time, QP, and XPSNR prediction models are analyzed in terms of mean absolute error (MAE). The average MAE is 56.69 s, 1.32, and 0.16 dB, respectively. The average standard deviation is 83.98 s, 1.96, and 0.22 dB, respectively.

Resolution prediction: The encoding resolution predictions of QADRA are analyzed. Figure 3 shows that QADRA ($\tau_E = \infty$) generally yields the highest resolutions for a given target bitrate compared to *Default* and other encodings. The selected encoding resolution for a given target bitrate decreases as τ_E decreases. If the target latency constraint in QADRA is eliminated, *i.e.*, $\tau_E = \infty$, resolutions yielding the highest XPSNR are selected. Notably, in scenarios where encoding time constraints become more stringent, higher bitrate representations might be omitted in QADRA due to limitations



Figure 4: RD curves, and encoding times of representative video sequences (segments) using QADRA ($r_{max} = 2160$).

in encoding these representations within the allocated time budget, as observed in Figure 4.

Rate-distortion performance: Figure 4 shows the RD curves of the representative video segments in the test dataset. It is observed that the RD curve of QADRA ($\tau_E = \infty$) is consistently higher than *Default* and other encodings. This means that, for any given target bitrate, QADRA ($\tau_E = \infty$) maintains a higher level of visual quality as measured by XPSNR. Consequently, viewers can enjoy a visually pleasing and immersive experience with reduced artifacts, such as blocking or blurring, at the same bitrate.

Latency and energy consumption performance: As shown in Figure 4, QADRA ($\tau_E = \infty$) yields the longest encoding time due to higher encoding resolutions optimized for maximum XPSNR.

Table 2: Average encoding and decoding time results of QADRA compared to the *Default* bitrate ladder encoding [3].

r _{max}	$\tau_{\rm E}$	$\Delta T_{\rm E} \approx \Delta E$	$\overline{\tau_{\rm E}}$	$\Delta T_{\rm D}$
	[s]	[%]	[s]	[%]
720	100	-66.57	59.38	-71.77
	200	-49.94	95.40	-57.66
	400	-49.51	96.40	-57.26
	800	-49.51	96.40	-57.26
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-49.51	96.40	-57.26
1080	100	-66.55	59.38	-71.74
	200	-41.45	112.08	-47.43
	400	-19.53	180.16	-26.77
	800	-19.39	181.00	-26.70
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-19.39	181.00	-26.70
2160	100	-66.55	59.38	-71.74
	200	-41.30	112.08	-47.13
	400	-9.15	198.11	-10.11
	800	31.22	318.05	45.50
	∞	41.56	364.95	57.25

This significantly increased encoding time may impact real-time or low-latency applications. Encoding typically utilizes the processing units (*e.g.*, CPU or GPU) intensively. These processing units operate at a relatively constant power level during encoding. Therefore, the power consumed over time remains reasonably consistent, contributing to the linear relationship between encoding time and energy consumption. Hence, we assume that the encoding time savings directly translates to the encoding energy consumption reduction. Since the encodings are assumed to be carried out concurrently, the total encoding time for each segment (τ_E) is determined to be the highest encoding time yielded among the bitrate ladder representations [31]. Table 2 shows the average encoding time for each segment ($\overline{\tau_E}$) using the considered encoding schemes. It is observed that the encoding and decoding times of representations of video segments decrease as τ_E decreases.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This paper implemented a quality-aware dynamic resolution adaptation (QADRA) framework for adaptive streaming applications. QADRA implements an optimized resolution and QP prediction, which uses XGboost-based models to estimate bitrate-resolution-QP triples for a given video segment based on spatiotemporal characteristics. Furthermore, a JND-aware representation elimination algorithm is also implemented, removing the bitrate ladder's perceptual redundancy.

One promising avenue for future research is exploring advanced machine-learning models to enhance prediction accuracy. Investigating novel features and metrics that better capture the relationship between encoding time and optimal resolutions might also be a promising avenue. Moreover, delving into collaborative frameworks or distributed algorithms for efficient encoding resolution selection across multiple streaming nodes could be another area of exploration. Quality-Aware Dynamic Resolution Adaptation Framework for Adaptive Video Streaming

REFERENCES

- Thomas Stockhammer, "Dynamic adaptive streaming over HTTP -: standards and design principles," in *Proceedings of the second annual ACM conference on Multimedia systems.* ACM, pp. 133–144.
- [2] Abdelhak Bentaleb, Bayan Taani, Ali C. Begen, Christian Timmerer, and Roger Zimmermann, "A Survey on Bitrate Adaptation Schemes for Streaming Media Over HTTP," in *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 562–585.
- [3] Apple Inc. HTTP Live Streaming (HLS) authoring specification for Apple devices. [Online]. Available: https://developer.apple.com/documentation/httplive-streaming/hls-authoring-specification-for-apple-devices
- [4] Alexandros Stergiou and Ronald Poppe, "AdaPool: Exponential Adaptive Pooling for Information-Retaining Downsampling," in *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 32, pp. 251–266.
- [5] Adam Wieckowski, Jens Brandenburg, Tobias Hinz, Christian Bartnik, Valeri George, Gabriel Hege, Christian Helmrich, Anastasia Henkel, Christian Lehmann, Christian Stoffers, Ivan Zupancic, Benjamin Bross, and Detlev Marpe, "Vvenc: An Open And Optimized Vvc Encoder Implementation," in 2021 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia & Expo Workshops (ICMEW), pp. 1–2.
- [6] Christian R. Helmrich, Mischa Šiekmann, Šören Becker, Šebastian Bosse, Detlev Marpe, and Thomas Wiegand, "Xpsnr: A Low-Complexity Extension of The Perceptually Weighted Peak Signal-To-Noise Ratio For High-Resolution Video Quality Assessment," in ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pp. 2727–2731.
- [7] Jan De Cock, Zhi Li, Megha Manohara, and Anne Aaron, "Complexity-based consistent-quality encoding in the cloud," in 2016 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), pp. 1484–1488.
- [8] Angeliki V. Katsenou, Joel Sole, and David R. Bull, "Content-gnostic Bitrate Ladder Prediction for Adaptive Video Streaming," in 2019 Picture Coding Symposium (PCS), pp. 1–5.
- [9] Madhukar Bhat, Jean-Marc Thiesse, and Patrick Le Callet, "Combining Video Quality Metrics To Select Perceptually Accurate Resolution In A Wide Quality Range: A Case Study," in 2021 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), 2021, pp. 2164–2168.
- [10] Vignesh V Menon, Prajit T Rajendran, Christian Feldmann, Klaus Schoeffmann, Mohammad Ghanbari, and Christian Timmerer, "JND-Aware Two-Pass Per-Title Encoding Scheme for Adaptive Live Streaming," in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits* and Systems for Video Technology, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 1281–1294.
- [11] Vignesh V Menon, Samira Afzal, Prajit T Rajendran, Klaus Schoeffmann, Radu Prodan, and Christian Timmerer, "Content-adaptive variable framerate encoding scheme for green live streaming." [Online]. Available: http: //arxiv.org/abs/2311.08074
- [12] Vignesh V Menon, Jingwen Zhu, Prajit T Rajendran, Samira Afzal, Klaus Schoeffmann, Patrick Le Callet, and Christian Timmerer, "Optimal quality and efficiency in adaptive live streaming with JND-aware low latency encoding," in *Proceedings* of the 3rd Mile-High Video Conference, ser. MHV '24. Association for Computing Machinery, pp. 61–67.
- [13] Fatemeh Nasiri, Wassim Hamidouche, Luce Morin, Nicolas Dholland, and Jean-Yves Aubié, "Ensemble Learning for Efficient VVC Bitrate Ladder Prediction," in 2022 10th European Workshop on Visual Information Processing (EUVIP), pp. 1–6.
- [14] Ahmed Telili, Wassim Hamidouche, Sid Ahmed Fezza, and Luce Morin, "Benchmarking Learning-based Bitrate Ladder Prediction Methods for Adaptive Video Streaming," in 2022 Picture Coding Symposium (PCS), pp. 325–329.
- [15] Benjamin Bross, Ye-Kui Wang, Yan Ye, Shan Liu, Jianle Chen, Gary J. Sullivan, and Jens-Rainer Ohm, "Overview of the Versatile Video Coding (VVC) Standard and its Applications," in *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 3736–3764.

- [16] Reda Kaafarani, Mederic Blestel, Thomas Maugey, Michael Ropert, and Aline Roumy, "Evaluation Of Bitrate Ladders For Versatile Video Coder," in 2021 International Conference on Visual Communications and Image Processing (VCIP), pp. 1–5.
- [17] Vignesh V Menon, Amritha Premkumar, Prajit T Rajendran, Adam Wieckowski, Benjamin Bross, Christian Timmerer, and Detlev Marpe, "Energy-efficient Adaptive Video Streaming with Latency-Aware Dynamic Resolution Encoding," in *Proceedings of the 3rd Mile-High Video Conference*, ser. MHV '24. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2024, p. 21–27.
- [18] Christian Herglotz, Elisabeth Walencik, and André Kaup, "Estimating the HEVC decoding energy using the decoder processing time," in 2015 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS), pp. 513–516.
- [19] Ibrahim Farhat, Pierre-Loup Cabarat, Daniel Menard, Wassim Hamidouche, and Olivier Déforges, "Energy Efficient VVC Decoding on Mobile Platform," in 2023 IEEE 25th International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing (MMSP), pp. 1–6.
- [20] Zoha Azimi, Amritha Premkumar, Reza Farahani, Vignesh V Menon, Christian Timmerer, and Radu Prodan, "Decoding Complexity-Aware Bitrate-Ladder Estimation for Adaptive VVC Streaming," 2024.
- [21] Anatoliy Zabrovskiy, Prateek Agrawal, Christian Timmerer, and Radu Prodan, "FAUST: Fast Per-Scene Encoding Using Entropy-Based Scene Detection and Machine Learning," in 2021 30th Conference of Open Innovations Association FRUCT, pp. 292–302.
- [22] Zhi Li, Christos Bampis, Julie Novak, Anne Aaron, Kyle Swanson, Anush Moorthy, and Jan De Cock. VMAF: The Journey Continues. [Online]. Available: https://netflixtechblog.com/vmaf-the-journey-continues-44b51ee9ed12
- [23] Vignesh V Menon, Christian Feldmann, Klaus Schoeffmann, Mohammad Ghanbari, and Christian Timmerer, "Green video complexity analysis for efficient encoding in Adaptive Video Streaming," in *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Green Multimedia Systems*. ACM, pp. 16–18.
- [24] Qi Cai, Zhifeng Chen, Dapeng Oliver Wu, and Bö Huang, "Real-Time Constant Objective Quality Video Coding Strategy in High Efficiency Video Coding," in IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, pp. 1–1.
- [25] Vignesh V Menon, Reza Farahani, Prajit T Rajendran, Mohammed Ghanbari, Hermann Hellwagner, and Christian Timmerer, "Transcoding Quality Prediction for Adaptive Video Streaming," in *Proceedings of the 2nd Mile-High Video Conference*. ACM, pp. 103–109.
- [26] Vignesh V Menon, Anastasia Henkel, Prajit T Rajendran, Christian R Helmrich, Adam Wieckowski, Benjamin Bross, Christian Timmerer, and Detlev Marpe, "All-Intra Rate Control Using Low Complexity Video Features for Versatile Video Coding," in 2023 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), 2023, pp. 2760–2764.
- [27] Jingwen Zhu, Suiyi Ling, Yoann Baveye, and Patrick Le Callet, "A framework to map vmaf with the probability of just noticeable difference between video encoding recipes," in 2022 IEEE 14th Image, Video, and Multidimensional Signal Processing Workshop (IVMSP). IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–5.
- [28] Vignesh V Menon, Reza Farahani, Prajit T Rajendran, Samira Afzal, Klaus Schoeffmann, and Christian Timmerer, "Energy-Efficient Multi-Codec Bitrate-Ladder Estimation for Adaptive Video Streaming," in 2023 IEEE International Conference on Visual Communications and Image Processing (VCIP), 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [29] Tianqi Chen and Carlos Guestrin, "XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System," in Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. ACM, pp. 785–794.
- [30] Leo Breiman, "Random forests," in Machine Learning, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 5-32.
- [31] Vignesh V Menon, Hadi Amirpour, Mohammad Ghanbari, and Christian Timmerer, "EMES: Efficient Multi-Encoding Schemes for HEVC-Based Adaptive Bitrate Streaming," in ACM Trans. Multimedia Comput. Commun. Appl., vol. 19, no. 3s, Mar. 2023.