
SF-MMCN: A LOW POWER RE-CONFIGURABLE SERVER FLOW CONVOLUTION NEURAL NETWORK ACCELERATOR

A PREPRINT

✉ **Huan-Ke, Hsu**

Nano-Electronic Engineering and Design
Singapore University of Technology and Design
Singapore, Singapore

I-Chyn Wey

Department of Electrical Engineering
Chang Gung University
Taoyuan, Taiwan

✉ **Tee Hui Teo ***

Engineering Product Development
Singapore University of Technology and Design
Singapore, Singapore
tthui@sutd.edu.sg

March 19, 2024

ABSTRACT

Convolution Neural Network (CNN) accelerators have been developed rapidly in recent studies. There are lots of CNN accelerators equipped with a variety of function and algorithm which results in low power and high speed performances. However, the scale of a PE array in traditional CNN accelerators is too big, which costs the most energy consumption while conducting multiply and accumulation (MAC) computations. The other issue is that due to the advance of CNN models, there are enormous models consist of parallel structures such as residual block in Residual Network (ResNet). The appearance of parallel structure in CNN models gives a challenge to the design of CNN accelerators owing to impacts on both operation and area efficiency. This study proposed SF-MMCN structure. The scale of PE array in proposed designs is reduced by pipeline technique in a PE. Proposed SF structure successfully make proposed SF-MMCN operate in high efficiency when facing parallel structures in CNN models. Proposed design is implemented with TSMC 90nm technology on VGG-16 and ResNet-18 environments. The performance of proposed design achieves 76% energy saving, 55% area saving and increases operation and are efficiency 9.25 times and 4.92 times respectively.

Keywords Convolution Neural Network (CNN) · accelerators · parallel structure · area efficient · low power circuit

1 Introduction

With the advanced of deep learning, the applications of Convolution Neural Network (CNN) have sprung up in recent years. The concept of CNN accelerators has emerged in a variety of architectures. However, due to the large number of parameters and the complexity of images skyrocket with the development of deep learning, the requirement of low power, high speed in CNN accelerator has been significant gradually. In order to reduce the scale of features in convolution computation, some studies Ramasamy et al. [2019], Mahdiani et al. [2009], Dalloo et al. [2018], Raghuram and Shashank [2022], proposed approximate convolution circuits, which directly decrease the computation resources by capturing partial data from input feature and weight data, the complexity of convolution would decrease distinctly. Moreover, because of the small size of the input data, the critical path of multipliers and the full adders become shorter, which would save the area and the power of the computation. And the timing cost by CNN can accelerate apparently.

*Use footnote for providing further information about author (webpage, alternative address)—*not* for acknowledging funding agencies.

Since the contents of conventional multiplier are full adders, there have been many assemblies of structures of multipliers nowadays, which have been same as approximate multiplier Joshi et al. [2023], Hashemi et al. [2015], Hammad et al. [2021], Liu et al. [2020a]. In other words, implementing the approximate multiplier by improving the basic logic unit of the conventional multiplier becomes the most intuitive method. There are three main features of traditional multipliers: 1) partial-product generate, 2) partial-product accumulate and 3) final addition. Generally, one of the serious problems of multipliers is that it wastes some times to accumulate the partial-product and final accumulate because the limited of the hardware. Consequently, compressors Tonfat and Reis [2012], Sayadi et al. [2023], Strollo et al. [2020] reduce the partial-product and the critical path without any influence of circuit performances.

Although approximate computation unit reduce the complexity of convolution apparently, the accuracy lost has become another serious issue of CNN accelerators. Due to the application of image recognition, accuracy lost is one of the most fatal factor of CNN accelerators Chen et al. [2016], Ansari et al. [2017], Yang et al. [2018], Ahmadi et al. [2021], Xu et al. [2020a], Liu et al. [2020b], Lu et al. [2022], Mo et al. [2021], Zeng et al. [2021], Xu et al. [2020b]. Therefore, some researches try to focus on re-configurable structure of CNN accelerators instead of modifying algorithm of MAC computation. The CNN accelerator proposed in Ahmadi et al. [2021] is able to complete the convolution in different shape of kernel. And the dataflow of Ahmadi et al. [2021] pre-computes the sub-output and stores into memories in order to complete data reusing in 3×3 convolution. When conducting the 1×1 convolution, the architecture in Ahmadi et al. [2021] switched the convolution dimension from width to channel at a time. There are 195 PEs in Ahmadi et al. [2021] divided in 65 columns in top structure, which increase the throughput of the circuit. However, since the allocation of the PE array, the efficiency will decrease when the size of input features or weight data are not divided by 3 or 65.

Due to bit-width in software is 64 bits, most of studies adapt fix-point precision technique to conduct hardware computation before the occurrence of quantization. However, by the lost data from fix-point data and data truncation between layers result in accuracy loss. Quantization tries to reduce the bit-width dramatically, but the accuracy is likely to maintain less than traditional method. Therefore, some researches adapt the quantization function into CNN accelerators. The implementation of this technique is exemplified in Mo et al. [2021], which introduces the Quantized Network-Acceleration Processor (QNAP) structure. In this innovative design, weight data, upon undergoing quantization, can be seamlessly assembled with other quantized weight data. Consequently, the PE structure in Mo et al. [2021] departs from conventional designs. With the quantization of weight data, Mo et al. [2021] reduces power consumption apparently.

There are two CNN accelerator architecture between traditional structure and quantized structure, Figure 1. CNN accelerators with quantization technique require a compensate or quantization unit of hardware implementation, as indicated in Figure 1 (a). Due to lower bit-width data after quantization, the smaller area required of a PE array. On the other hand, traditional structure cost larger hardware area owing to elimination of a compensate or quantization unit Figure 1 (b).




Figure 1: (a) A CNN accelerator structure with quantization technique. (b) A Traditional CNN accelerator structure.

Another challenge in CNN accelerators is that due to the rapidly advanced of CNN models, there are lots of CNN models consist of parallel structures such as residual and bottleneck block. Ahmadi et al. [2021], Zeng et al. [2021] and Mo et al. [2021] implement the CNN accelerators with ability of operating parallel CNN models. However, to address parallel CNN structure, there are two methods of CNN accelerators in recent studies, parallel and series, both strategies

result in different drawbacks of hardware implementations. For the parallel method, it would utilize more hardware to complete MAC operation due to large number of input data compared to the series method. However, the series strategy takes more time due to dividing residual blocks into several convolution layers. Therefore, it's significant to strike the balance or get rid of both short-coming of two strategies mentioned above.

This paper proposed a enhance version of Hsu et al. [2023], named Server Flow Multi-Mode Convolution Neural Network Unit (SF-MMCN). Proposed SF-MMCN achieves high power efficiency and area efficiency under parallel CNN models. With internal pipeline and SF structure, proposed SF-MMCN is able to feast in a variety of environments and overcome drawbacks result from the series and parallel strategies discussed before. Proposed SF-MMCN contributes to low power structure, small hardware area and high operation efficiency.

2 Definitions and Background

As mentioned above, this paper proposed a CNN accelerator based on Multi-Mode Convolution Neural Network Unit (MMCN) in Hsu et al. [2023], Figure 2. MMCN proposed a computation core which supports convolution, max-pooling and dense function. All these functions share the same hardware so as to increase the utilization of the circuit. However, there are two serious issues of MMCN:

- Low efficiency on parallel CNN structure. The MMCN adopted series strategy when facing parallel CNN structure. Owing to operate each layer in series, MMCN takes much more time to complete the whole CNN model. However, the more executing time result in the more power consumption, which is against of the target of low power CNN accelerator.
- Large memory usage caused by the large scale of input data in parallel CNN structure. There isn't any data reuse structure or technique in MMCN. As mentioned in Mo et al. [2021], data transmission between core and memories spend the most power of a chip. Therefore, although MMCN performs low computation power consumption, total power if hardware still not decreases apparently due to the huge requirement of memories.




Figure 2: MMCN structure in Hsu et al. [2023].

Mo et al. [2021] proposed a dataflow inspired by Alwani et al. [2016] and Mo et al. [2019]. This strategy re-arranges parallel structure to series, similar to series method introduced before. However, it adds the pipeline technique between each layer. In single operation cycle, Mo et al. [2021] is able to execute each convolution in pipeline, Figure 3. Therefore, after conducting a parallel structure, take a residual block as an example, final outputs can be generated to output buffers. With this technique, Mo et al. [2021] speeds up the operation latency effectively. However, due to modified series strategy, the data transmission of data and memories still maintain high. The proposed SF structure in this paper reduce the power of data transmission apparently, which will be introduced in detail in next chapter.

approach of passing MAC temporary outputs sequentially from one PE to the next, the MMCN structure efficiently bypasses these computation paths through the utilization of the pipeline technique. To enhance the overall utilization and configuration of the proposed SF-MMCN structure, this study strategically employs counters to facilitate the implementation of the pipeline function.

3.3 Server Flow




Figure 5: Server Flow (SF) structure.

As previously discussed, within the PE array of the SF-MMCN architecture, one PE is assigned the responsibility of transmitting data to the other eight PEs, as illustrated in Figure 5. The dataflow during this process is demonstrated when a PE is passing data. During the computation of a series CNN model, the PE is typically set into idle mode to minimize power consumption. However, when confronted with a parallel CNN structure, SF initiates the corresponding computations, as depicted in Figure 6 (a), Figure 7 (a) and Figure 7 (b).

The primary challenge in SF-MMCN lies in concurrently achieving normal convolution and a residual block without introducing any additional circuitry. Traditional CNN accelerators often compromise either circuit area or latency to execute parallel convolution. Consequently, the PE array structure in SF-MMCN deviates from conventional designs, adopting a server-flow (SF) configuration. As exemplified in Figure 5, PE₉ serves as a server, delivering the corresponding output of a residual block from PE₁ to PE₈. This unique configuration enables SF-MMCN to seamlessly integrate both normal convolution and residual block functionalities without the need for supplementary circuitry.

During normal convolution operations in SF-MMCN, PE₉ is deactivated. The datapath for this scenario, along with the corresponding CNN structure, is illustrated in Figure 6. The MAC output, denoted as MAC_{1,9}, swiftly enters a multiplexer, depicted by the red line in Figure 6 (a), to seamlessly complete the normal convolution process. While PE₉ may be considered redundant in this particular function, its deactivation contributes to the overall efficiency of the PE array, allowing for high-efficiency multi-mode operation through selective mode choices.




Figure 6: The dataflow of SF while executing.

During the execution of the residual function in SF-MMCN, without the presence of a residual convolution block, PE₉ becomes active. The hardware and software datapath for this scenario is depicted in Figure 7 (a) and (b). In this operation, designed for residual function without a residual convolution block, Figure 7 (a), the objective is to accumulate previous convolution outputs and the MAC outputs from PE₁ to PE₈. Consequently, PE₉ is specifically tasked with transmitting the previous convolution output to a multiplexer controlled by the testbench (Mode select 1). The output from PE₉ then enters an adder situated near the rest of the PEs, where it accumulates with a MAC output (MAC1₉) to complete the computation. This configuration streamlines the process, facilitating the efficient execution of residual functions within the SF-MMCN architecture.




Figure 7: (a) The closer look of SF structure under normal residual structure. (b) The closer look of SF structure under residual structure with a convolution layer.




Figure 8: The dataflow of proposed SF on/off.

When SF-MMCN is engaged in the residual function with a residual convolution block, the output path of PE₉ transitions to its dedicated Multiply-Accumulate (MAC) output, as illustrated in Figure 7 (b). This output, along with the MAC outputs from PE₁ to PE₈, is directed to an adder to accomplish convolution. In this capacity, PE₉ functions akin to a server, tasked with preparing the residual convolution output. Importantly, considering the shape of weights in residual convolution, SF can efficiently set up the preparation of residual convolution output in a timely manner, ensuring synchronization with the completion of MAC computations by the other eight PEs.

SF-MMCN’s ability to execute parallel CNN structures without requiring additional computation cycles results in notable advantages. It not only saves on memory access and data transfer between SF-MMCN and memory but also enables the simultaneous completion of normal convolution and residual functions with convolution. This multi-functionality is achieved while maintaining low power consumption, underscoring the efficiency and versatility of the SF-MMCN architecture.

3.4 Operation Flow




Figure 9: Illustration of the input feature and corresponded executed PEs in proposed SF-MMCN.

Figure 8 shows the waveform of SF-MMCN, PO represents partial output. Single convolution can be complete in 10 cycles if the width and height of a filter is $3 * 3$. Once input and weight data enter SF-MMCNs, the MAC operation executes immediately. After 9 input features and weights finish loading, proposed SF-MMCN will take 1 cycle to get the final convolution outputs. When encountering residual structure, proposed SF-MMCN consumes the same cycles as normal convolution. As mentioned above, PE_1 to PE_8 compute all MAC data of normal convolution, and PE_9 would deliver or compute the previous convolution output and MAC operation respectively during the same cycles. Therefore, proposed SF-MMCN is able to complete the residual structure without redundant computation cycles.

The proposed SF-MMCN performs high throughput due to SF structure. Owing to four SF-MMCNs in implementation architecture Figure 13, the shape of output data by PE_1 to PE_8 is $3 * 3 * 8$, which means $width * height * channel$ and the output shape of PE_9 is $1 * 1 * 8$, Figure 9. The value of channel equals to the number of the SF-MMCN in the implementation. The batch size of proposed SF-MMCN is 1 because of high speed convolution requirement of CNN accelerators Ahmadi et al. [2021]. In other words, due to batch size is 1, proposed SF-MMCN is able to provide decision result in real time.




Figure 10: The shape of input features of proposed SF-MMCN under different conditions.

As introduced above, Figure 10 shows the input data shape of proposed under different environment. When encountering series structure, the shape of output is the same as input shape with zero-padding, $3 * 3 * 8$. While facing parallel CNN structures, the throughput increases because of PE_9 execute the input feature of parallel block. The allocation of a input feature map is indicated in Figure 10 with different colors.

Another problem is that proposed can address the input features with small size. Take $2 * 2$ input map as an instance, the PE array will separate into two parts to complete two channels of input data, Figure 11. Therefore, proposed SF-MMCN reduces the potential of redundant circuit under different complexities of environment.




Figure 11: The dataflow of proposed SF-MMCN on a small size input feature.

3.5 Data Reuse

Leveraging the SF structure, proposed SF-MMCN optimizes data reuse due to the prevalence of repeated input images, Figure 12 (a). Between each convolution cycle, there exist 8 repeated input data, corresponding to 8 registers from PE_1 to PE_8. To accommodate the 16-bit requirements for residual blocks in hardware, SF-MMCN expands the bit-width of these 8 registers to 32 bits, facilitating simultaneous storage of residual and reused data, Figure 12 (b). This strategic approach reduces power consumption by avoiding the reloading of repeated data in every computation cycle. As a result, SF-MMCN significantly conserves energy, demonstrating the efficacy of its SF structure.




Figure 12: (a) Illustration of repeated data in proposed SF-MMCN. (b) Data-reused structure.

3.6 Implementation Architecture




Figure 13: The architecture of SF-MMCN.

The implementation of proposed SF-MMCN is indicated in Figure 13. The input image features and weight data are stored in off-chip memory before executing. After input and weight data enter the computation core through I/O interface, dataflow would be managed by TOP CTRL through weight and input buffer.




Figure 14: Dataflow comparison between traditional structures and proposed SF-MMCN on (a) waveform. (b) CNN structure illustration.

There are four SF-MMCNs in implementation of proposed SF-MMCN. Owing to data reuse in implementation and large MAC in dense layer, each SF-MMCN can exchange data by registers of each PE in SF-MMCN. If the output channel exceeds hardware limitation, the dataflow of computation will iterate to complete convolutions. What's more, with a pooling unit and activation function unit in proposed SF-MMCN, proposed also support different functions of CNN as MMCN in Hsu et al. [2023]. All control signals are switched by TOP CTRL through testbench.

3.7 Operation Efficiency of Residual Blocks

In traditional CNN accelerators, when facing a parallel CNN structure, most of strategy is modifying the dataflow from parallel to series Mo et al. [2021], Hsu et al. [2023], as shows in Figure 14 (a). In this study, proposed SF-MMCN combine normal convolution layer and a convolution layer hide in residual block. The Residual_0 in figure 14 (a)

doesn't have to wait for series structure (Conv_0 and Conv_1 in Figure 14 (a)) finishing computing. In other words, according to Figure 14 (b), traditional CNN accelerators spend additional cycles to complete convolution layers with parallel structures. Therefore, proposed SF-MMCN perform the higher efficiency while conducting parallel structures.

3.8 Hardware Utilization and Power Efficiency

This paper aim to increases the utilization of PEs in PE array in a CNN accelerator. Due to pipeline technique in a PE in proposed SF-MMCN, single PE can complete a convolution computation by itself. The utilization of PE in hardware is indicated in:

$$C_t = \frac{T}{t} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

$$U_{PE} = \frac{PE_{act}}{PE_{total}} \times C_t \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

In equation (1), Where C_t is the percentage of computing cycles, which means actual operating cycles T divided by total enable cycles t . PE_{act} and PE_{total} represent actual actual executing PEs and total PEs in hardware respectively. U_{PE} is the utilization of PEs in hardware. Although most CNN accelerators perform high PE utilization, the occurrence of redundant PE is still happened when encountering un-suitable CNN model. The utilization of PE is relatively connected with power consumption. As the biggest structure in a CNN accelerator, PE array consumes the most power in operation. The equation (3) indicates the total power P_{total} of a CNN accelerator.

$$P_{total} = (N \times P_1) + P_R + P_C \quad (3)$$

N is equal to PE_{act} in equation (2), which means the number of actual executing PEs in hardware. P_1 represents the power consumption of single PE, P_R and P_C are the power of redundant circuit and control unit respectively. However, given to the diversity of conditions in different CNN models, such as parallel block mentioned before. What's more, with the development of CC accelerators, there are much more studies perform high efficiency in implementation. P_{total} only expresses the estimation of total power. Therefore, another concept of efficiency factor (ν) is explained in equation (4).

$$Efficiency\ Factor(\nu) = \frac{P_{total}}{U_{PE}} \quad (4)$$

Efficiency factor equals to the utilization of PE divided by total power consumption. According to equation (4), it reveals that if the value of ν increases, which means corresponded structure spend much more power on redundant hardware. This is because the value of PE utilization never equals to zero except the circuit is idle. Consequently, the smaller ν shows that the power consumption mostly result from PE array. Likewise, higher PE utilization represents the well allocated of hardware, which indicates more PEs are conducting MAC operations. In other words, to reduce the power consumption of a CNN accelerator, it's necessary to reduce the scale of PE array, which meets the target of this paper.

Moreover, function (4) can also compute under single convolution layers. This paper emphasizes the operation conditions of series and parallel CNN models, function (4) also provides ν under different environments by adjusting the value of N and P_R in equation (4).

4 Experimental results

Proposed SF-MMCN is realized in Verilog HDL and logic synthesis with Design Compiler by Synopsys and implemented in TSMC 40nm technology under 400MHz clock frequency with Innovus by Cadence. The bitwidth of weight, input images data and bias data are set to 16 bits fixed point. Proposed SF-MMCN is able to execute on VGG-16 and ResNet-18 in this paper. Figure 13 is the implemented architecture of proposed SF-MMCN. According to Figure 13 there are 8 SF-MMCNs in implemented architecture.

4.1 Selection of number of SF-MMCN




Figure 15: The number of SF-MMCN and corresponded Efficiency Factor ν .

As mentioned above, there are 8 proposed SF-MMCN in implemented structure. The reason why adopting 8 SF-MMCN is owing to the performance indicated in Figure 15. According to Figure 15, since efficiency factor is the ratio between power and the actual executed PE in implementation, 8 SF-MMCNs performances great ν values. Although 16 SF-MMCNs provides the best ν value, the power consumption and the number of PEs become as large as the target of this paper. In other words, 16 SF-MMCNs shows the best result of ν , but performs worse efficiency (GOPs/W). On the other hands, 2 and 4 SF-MMCNs provides the unwilling value of ν because small MAC core unbalances the distribution of each hierarchies.

4.2 Results and Analysis




Figure 16: The PE utilization of Proposed SF-MMCN on (a) VGG-16. (b) ResNet-18.

4.2.1 PE utilization

The target of this paper is to reduce the scale of the PE array and increase the utilization of PEs in hardware implementation. Figure 16 (a) and Figure 16 (b) are the performance of PE utilization on VGG-16 and ResNet-18 respectively. In the first layer of VGG-16, the PE utilization is lower than any other layer owing to the input image channel is 3. Therefore, only 6 proposed SF-MMCN are set to execute the convolution. In the rest layer of the VGG-16, the PE utilization is about 89% because the series structure in VGG-16. The PE_9 in each proposed SF-MMCNs only process data reuse function instead of MAC operations.

On ResNet-18, Figure 16 (b), the reason of PE utilization of the first layer is lower than the rest of layers is the same as VGG-16. The series structure in ResNet-18 is also about 89%. The highlight of ResNet-18 is residual structures. Due to the MAC operations and data transmission of residual block, the PE utilization is up to 100%. The definition of PE utilization in this paper is that all sub-circuits in PE are fully operated MAC computations in single cycle of convolution. Therefore, although PE utilization in the first of VGG-16 and ResNet-18 are the lowest in whole CNN models, the density of MAC operation are much higher than CARLA Ahmadi et al. [2021], which only executes 3 PEs per cycle.

Table 1: Comparison with Other Accelerators

Performance	Ahmadi et al. [2021]	Huang et al. [2021]	Shao et al. [2021]	Mo et al. [2021]	Moon et al. [2023]	citemy	This work
Frequency (MHz)	200	250	700	100-470	20-400	200	400
Technology	65nm	55nm	28nm	28nm	28nm	90nm	40nm
Area (mm^2)	6.2	2.75	NA	1.9	7.29	0.36(core)	1.9
Gate count (NAND2)	938k	NA	1.12M	NA	NA	NA	211k
Precision (Bits)	16	16	16	8	1-8	16	16
Number of PEs	196	168	288	144	8	32	72
CNN models	VGG-16 ResNet-50	VGG-16 AlexNet	VGG-16	AlexNet VGGNet GoogleNet ResNet	Eff.N-L0 ViT-T M.Mxr-B	VGG-16	VGG-16 ResNet-18
Power (mW)	247	114.6	186.6	19.4 - 131.6	2.06-231.7	3.58(core)	18
Throughput (GOPs)	77.4/75.4	84.0	403	NA	1870-18900	2572.184	163.9
Energy Effi. (GOPs/W)	0.31k/0.3k	NA	2.1k	12.1k	907k-551k	718k	9.1k
Area Effi. ($Gops/mm^2$)	NA	30.55	NA	745.1	720-2600	NA	86.2
Effi. actor (ν)	82.3	-	0.64	-	-	0.11	0.02

4.2.2 Operation Efficiency

The PEs in every proposed SF-MMCNs are executing MAC operations in parallel. Therefore, all PEs deliver convolution outputs at the same time after 9 cycles, which means a SF-MMCN can finish 8 convolution outputs in 9 cycles. Table 2 is the operation efficiency comparison between CARLA Ahmadi et al. [2021] and proposed SF-MMCN. CARLA operates convolutions by each row of filter. Therefore, if the pixel of input feature and the filter size are 28 and 3×3 respectively, CARLA has to spend around 3 times of pixel cycles to finish a convolution computation. Meanwhile, the MAC operations of proposed SF-MMCN is about $\times 2.67$ than CARLA due to the density of MAC operation in SF-MMCN is almost 100% in single convolution computation.

Table 2: Operation Efficiency Comparison

Pixel	Cycles/CONV		No. of MAC		Speedup (Normalization)
	Ahmadi et al. [2021]	SF-MMCN	Ahmadi et al. [2021]	SF-MMCN	
28	84	9	28	75	$\times 2.67$
32	96	9	32	85	$\times 2.67$
224	672	9	224	597	$\times 2.67$

4.3 Comparison with Other Accelerators

The comparison of proposed SF-MMCN and other remarkable accelerators are indicated in Table 1. Although proposed SF-MMCN only implemented VGG-16 and ResNet-18, it also support other CNN models due to SF structure. This paper selects these models as examples of series and parallel models. The power and area performances are from synthesis results. First of all, as one of the targets of this study, proposed SF-MMCN only required 72 PEs. The reason why Moon et al. [2023] only adopted 8 PEs is that the specific structure of PEs in Moon et al. [2023]. The PE in Moon et al. [2023] not only consists of multipliers and accumulators, but also data management circuits. What’s more, though Hsu et al. [2023] uses 32 PE in implementation, the latency of MMCN Hsu et al. [2023] on CNN models with parallel structure is not as well proposed SF-MMCN, Figure 17. Due to the quantized-MAC operations in QNAP structure, the PE structure is different from traditional PE structures. Therefore, although the PE utilization in QNAP Mo et al. [2021] is almost 100%, the density of hardware executing reports the lower hardware utilization.

The throughput of each accelerators in Table 1 are the peak throughput. Though MMCN Hsu et al. [2023] is the highest among all accelerators because the different definition of throughput. The throughput OPs in this paper almost equals to FLOPs. Therefore, proposed SF-MMCN performs throughput around 163.9GOPs. Due to operating MAC computations by row per cycles in CARLA, the throughput are affected by the dataflow in Ahmadi et al. [2021]. Consequently, compared to Ahmadi et al. [2021] and Huang et al. [2021], proposed SF-MMCN achieve $\times 2.11$ and $\times 1.95$ time of which respectively.




Figure 17: Latency comparison between MMCN Hsu et al. [2023] and proposed-SF-MMCN.

The other factor of performance in this paper is ν . As the index of operating density of hardware, proposed SF-MMCN provide the smallest value of ν among other accelerators. Owing the specific PE structures in Huang et al. [2021], Mo et al. [2021] and Moon et al. [2023], it's hard to receive the clear value of PE utilization (U_{PE} in equation (2)). Therefore, the ν in Table 1 are "-". According to Table 1, CARLA Ahmadi et al. [2021] reports the highest ν value is because only 3 PEs operates in a cycles. The P_{act} only 3 when the size of filter is 3×3 . Consequently, the value of ν on proposed SF-MMCN is the smallest represents that proposed SF-MMCN is able to operate Neural Network computation without any redundant hardware, which reduce the power significantly.

5 Conclusion

This paper provides the structure for SF-MMCN, a CNN accelerator with SF structure which lets SF-MMCN perform high throughput and acceleration of not only series but also parallel CNN structure. SF structure in the proposed SF-MMCN can operate convolution and conduct data reuse. SF-MMCN performs 18 mW of power consumption with only $1.9mm^2$ hardware. The number of PE in SF-MMCN implementation is only 72, which is lower than other accelerators with traditional PE structures. The concept of efficient factor ν is also proposed in this paper, which represents the utilization density of PEs in an accelerator. Finally, SF-MMCN achieves energy efficiency 9.1k ($GOPS/W$) and $86.2(GOPS/mm^2)$ of area efficiency.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank SUTD-ZJU IDEA Visiting Professor Grant (SUTD-ZJU (VP) 202103, and SUTD-ZJU Thematic Research Grant (SUTD-ZJU (TR) 202204), for supporting this work.

References

- Manickam Ramasamy, G Narmadha, and S Deivasigamani. Carry based approximate full adder for low power approximate computing. In *2019 7th International Conference on Smart Computing & Communications (ICSCC)*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2019.
- Hamid Reza Mahdiani, Ali Ahmadi, Sied Mehdi Fakhraie, and Caro Lucas. Bio-inspired imprecise computational blocks for efficient vlsi implementation of soft-computing applications. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 57(4):850–862, 2009.
- Ayad Dalloo, Ardalan Najafi, and Alberto Garcia-Ortiz. Systematic design of an approximate adder: The optimized lower part constant-or adder. *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, 26(8):1595–1599, 2018.
- S Raghuram and N Shashank. Approximate adders for deep neural network accelerators. In *2022 35th International Conference on VLSI Design and 2022 21st International Conference on Embedded Systems (VLSID)*, pages 210–215. IEEE, 2022.
- Pankaj U Joshi, Deepak Khushlani, and Rajesh Khobragade. Power-area efficient computing technique for approximate multiplier with carry prediction. In *2023 11th International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering & Technology-Signal and Information Processing (ICETET-SIP)*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2023.

- Soheil Hashemi, R Iris Bahar, and Sherief Reda. Drum: A dynamic range unbiased multiplier for approximate applications. In *2015 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design (ICCAD)*, pages 418–425. IEEE, 2015.
- Issam Hammad, Ling Li, Kamal El-Sankary, and W Martin Snelgrove. Cnn inference using a preprocessing precision controller and approximate multipliers with various precisions. *IEEE Access*, 9:7220–7232, 2021.
- Wenjian Liu, Jun Lin, and Zhongfeng Wang. A precision-scalable energy-efficient convolutional neural network accelerator. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 67(10):3484–3497, 2020a.
- Jorge Tonfat and Ricardo Reis. Low power 3–2 and 4–2 adder compressors implemented using astran. In *2012 IEEE 3rd Latin American Symposium on Circuits and Systems (LASCAS)*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2012.
- Ladan Sayadi, Somayeh Timarchi, and Akbar Sheikh-Akbari. Two efficient approximate unsigned multipliers by developing new configuration for approximate 4: 2 compressors. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 70(4):1649–1659, 2023.
- Antonio GM Strollo, Davide De Caro, Ettore Napoli, Nicola Petra, and Gennaro Di Meo. Low-power approximate multiplier with error recovery using a new approximate 4-2 compressor. In *2020 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2020.
- Yu-Hsin Chen, Tushar Krishna, Joel S Emer, and Vivienne Sze. Eyeriss: An energy-efficient reconfigurable accelerator for deep convolutional neural networks. *IEEE journal of solid-state circuits*, 52(1):127–138, 2016.
- Anaam Ansari, Kiran Gunnam, and Tokunbo Ogunfunmi. An efficient reconfigurable hardware accelerator for convolutional neural networks. In *2017 51st Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers*, pages 1337–1341. IEEE, 2017.
- Chen Yang, HaiBo Zhang, XiaoLi Wang, and Li Geng. An energy-efficient and flexible accelerator based on reconfigurable computing for multiple deep convolutional neural networks. In *2018 14th IEEE International Conference on Solid-State and Integrated Circuit Technology (ICSICT)*, pages 1–3. IEEE, 2018.
- Mehdi Ahmadi, Shervin Vakili, and JM Pierre Langlois. Carla: A convolution accelerator with a reconfigurable and low-energy architecture. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 68(8):3184–3196, 2021.
- Weihong Xu, Zaichen Zhang, Xiaohu You, and Chuan Zhang. Reconfigurable and low-complexity accelerator for convolutional and generative networks over finite fields. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, 39(12):4894–4907, 2020a.
- Wenjian Liu, Jun Lin, and Zhongfeng Wang. A precision-scalable energy-efficient convolutional neural network accelerator. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 67(10):3484–3497, 2020b.
- Jiahao Lu, Dongsheng Liu, Ang Hu, Cong Zhang, Changwen Mo, Runhua Guo, and Hai Li. A low-cost and configurable hardware architecture of sparse 1-d cnn for ecg classification. In *2022 IEEE 16th International Conference on Solid-State & Integrated Circuit Technology (ICSICT)*, pages 1–3. IEEE, 2022.
- Huiyu Mo, Wenping Zhu, Wenjing Hu, Guangbin Wang, Qiang Li, Ang Li, Shouyi Yin, Shaojun Wei, and Leibo Liu. 9.2 a 28nm 12.1 tops/w dual-mode cnn processor using effective-weight-based convolution and error-compensation-based prediction. In *2021 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference (ISSCC)*, volume 64, pages 146–148. IEEE, 2021.
- Yixuan Zeng, Heming Sun, Jiro Katto, and Yibo Fan. Accelerating convolutional neural network inference based on a reconfigurable sliced systolic array. In *2021 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2021.
- Weihong Xu, Zaichen Zhang, Xiaohu You, and Chuan Zhang. Reconfigurable and low-complexity accelerator for convolutional and generative networks over finite fields. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, 39(12):4894–4907, 2020b.
- Huan-Ke Hsu, I-Chyn Wey, and T Hui Teo. A energy-efficient re-configurable multi-mode convolution neuron network accelerator. In *2023 IEEE 16th International Symposium on Embedded Multicore/Many-core Systems-on-Chip (MCSoc)*, pages 45–50. IEEE, 2023.
- Manoj Alwani, Han Chen, Michael Ferdman, and Peter Milder. Fused-layer cnn accelerators. In *2016 49th Annual IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Microarchitecture (MICRO)*, pages 1–12. IEEE, 2016.
- Huiyu Mo, Leibo Liu, Wenping Zhu, Qiang Li, Hong Liu, Shouyi Yin, and Shaojun Wei. A multi-task hardwired accelerator for face detection and alignment. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 30(11):4284–4298, 2019.

- Boming Huang, Yuxiang Huan, Haoming Chu, Jiawei Xu, Lizheng Liu, Lirong Zheng, and Zhuo Zou. Ieca: An in-execution configuration cnn accelerator with 30.55 gops/mm² area efficiency. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 68(11):4672–4685, 2021.
- Zhuang Shao, Xiaoliang Chen, Li Du, Lei Chen, Yuan Du, Wei Zhuang, Huadong Wei, Chenjia Xie, and Zhongfeng Wang. Memory-efficient cnn accelerator based on interlayer feature map compression. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 69(2):668–681, 2021.
- Seunghyun Moon, Han-Gyeol Mun, Hyunwoo Son, and Jae-Yoon Sim. A 127.8 tops/w arbitrarily quantized 1-to-8b scalable-precision accelerator for general-purpose deep learning with reduction of storage, logic and latency waste. In *2023 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference (ISSCC)*, pages 21–23. IEEE, 2023.