CORRIGENDUM TO: "m-PERIODIC GORENSTEIN OBJECTS", [J. ALGEBRA 621 (2023)]

MINDY Y. HUERTA, OCTAVIO MENDOZA, AND MARCO A. PÉREZ

ABSTRACT. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be a GP-admissible pair and $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ be a GI-admissible pair of classes of objects in an abelian category \mathcal{C} , and consider the class $\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}$ of 1-periodic (ω, \mathcal{B}) -Gorenstein projective objects, where $\omega := \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\nu := \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{W}$. We claimed in [HMP23, Lem. 8.1] that the $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ -Gorenstein injective dimension of $\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}$ is bounded by the $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ -Gorenstein injective dimension of ω , provided that: (1) ω is closed under direct summands, (2) Ext¹($\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}, \nu$) = 0, and (3) every object in $\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}$ admits a Hom $(-, \nu)$ -acyclic ν -coresolution. These conditions are their duals are part of what we called "Setup 1". Moreover, if we replace $\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}$ by the class $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -Gorenstein projective objects, the resulting inequality is claimed to be true under a set of conditions named "Setup 2".

The proof we gave for the claims $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}) \leq \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\omega)$ and $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) \leq \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\omega)$ is incorrect, and the purpose of this note is to exhibit a corrected proof of the first inequality, under the additional assumption that every object in $\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}$ has finite injective dimension relative to \mathcal{Z} . Setup 2 is no longer required, and as a result the second inequality was removed. We also fix those results in § 8 of [HMP23] affected by Lemma 8.1, and comment some applications and examples.

1. The error

Throughout, we maintain the notation used in [HMP23]. So in what follows, given an abelian category C, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ will be a GP-admissible pair and $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ a GI-admissible pair in C. We shall write $\omega := \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\nu := \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{W}$ for simplicity. We shall prove several results concerning relative Gorenstein dimensions within the following relative setting:

- (rs1) ω and ν are closed under direct summands.
- (rs2) Ext¹($\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}, \nu$) = 0 and Ext¹($\omega, \pi \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\nu,1)}$) = 0.
- (rs3) Every object in $\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}$ admits a Hom $(-,\nu)$ -acyclic ν -coresolution, and every object in $\pi \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\nu,1)}$ admits a Hom $(\omega, -)$ -acyclic ω -resolution.
- (rs4) Every object in $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ admits a ω -resolution, and every object in $\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}$ admits a ν -coresolution.
- (rs5) ν (resp., ω) is closed under arbitrary (co)products, in the case C is AB4* (resp., AB4).

Given $C \in C$, the $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -Gorenstein projective dimension of C [BMS20, Def. 3.3], denoted $\text{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(C)$, is defined as the resolution dimension

$$\operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(C) = \operatorname{resdim}_{\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}}(C).$$

²⁰²⁰ MSC: 18G25 (18G10; 18G20; 16E65).

Key Words: relative Gorenstein projective and injective objects, GP-admissible and GI-admissible pairs, relative Gorenstein dimensions.

Similarly,

$$\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(C) = \operatorname{coresdim}_{\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}}(C)$$

denotes and defines the $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ -Gorenstein injective dimension of C. If \mathcal{X} is a class of objects in C, the $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -Gorenstein projective dimension and $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ -Gorenstein injective dimension of \mathcal{X} are defined as

$$Gpd_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{X}) := resdim_{\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{X}),$$

$$Gid_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{X}) := coresdim_{\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Below $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$ denotes the class of objects $M \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{Z}}(M) < \infty$. Dually, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}^{<\infty}$ denotes the class of objects in \mathcal{C} with finite projective dimension relative to \mathcal{B} .

Lemma 8.1 in [HMP23] is stated incorrectly, and it should be replaced by the following statement.

Lemma 1.1. If conditions (rs1), (rs2) and (rs3) are satisfied, then

$$\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}) \leq \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\omega),$$
$$\operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\pi \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\nu,1)} \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}^{<\infty}) \leq \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\nu).$$

The purpose of this note is to prove the previous lemma, and also to correct those results and applications in [HMP23, § 8] affected by the incorrect statement.

2. CORRECTED PROOF AND OUTCOMES

Proof of Lemma **1.1**. We only prove the first inequality since the other one is dual. Let $M \in \pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$, and without loss of generality let $n := \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\omega) < \infty$. We first consider a $\operatorname{Hom}(-,\mathcal{B})$ -acyclic and exact sequence $M \to W \twoheadrightarrow M$ with $W \in \omega$. This sequence is also $\operatorname{Hom}(-,\nu)$ -acyclic by (rs2). Now, from (rs3) and the dual of [BMP22, Lem. 2.4], we can construct a solid diagram



where $V^k \in \nu$ for every $0 \le k \le n-1$. Notice that $V^j \oplus V^j \in \nu \subseteq \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$ since ν is closed under finite coproducts. Thus, by the dual of [BMS20, Coroll. 4.10], (rs1) and the fact that $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(W) \le n$, we get that $F^n \in \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}$. At this point,

we aim to show that $E^n \in \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}$. By the dual of [BMS20, Thm. 3.32], the idea is to prove that $E^n \in \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}$ and to construct a ν -resolution

$$\dots \to V_1 \to V_0 \twoheadrightarrow E^n \tag{2.1}$$

of E^n with cycles in \mathcal{Z}^{\perp} .

Firstly, from the long Ext cohomology sequence obtained from the bottom row of the previous diagram, we can note that

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(Z, E^{n}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}(Z, E^{n})$$
(2.2)

for every $i \geq 1$ and $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, since $F^n \in \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}$. On the other hand, since $M \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$, $\nu \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$, and the class $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$ is closed under monocokernels, we have that $E^n \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$. By (2.2), the previous implies that $E^n \in \mathcal{Z}^{\perp}$.

We now construct the resolution (2.1). Since $F^n \in \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$, there is an exact sequence $F^{n-1} \rightarrow V_0 \twoheadrightarrow F^n$ with $V_0 \in \nu$ and $F^{n-1} \in \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$ (again, by the dual of [BMS20, Thm. 3.32]). Now, consider the following pullback diagram



Note that $F^{n-1}, E^n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\perp}$ implies $E^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\perp}$. Now, from the left-hand side column and the middle row, we get a solid diagram as follows



where the middle row splits since $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\nu, \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z}, W)}) = 0$. It then follows that $Q^{n-1} \simeq F^{n-1} \oplus V_0 \in \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z}, W)}$. Thus, we have two exact sequences

$$E^{n-1} \rightarrowtail V_0 \twoheadrightarrow E^n$$
 and $E^{n-1} \rightarrowtail Q^{n-1} \twoheadrightarrow E^{n-1}$

with $V_0 \in \nu$, $E^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\perp}$ and $Q^{n-1} \in \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathbb{Z},W)}$. Therefore, proceeding with a similar argument applied to these two sequences, and after repeating the process inductively, we obtain (2.1).

The following result is another version of [HMP23, Prop. 7.3].

Proposition 2.1. Let C be an AB4 abelian category. If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a GP-admissible pair such that ω is closed under coproducts, and if every object in $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ admits a ω -resolution, then

$$\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})} = \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B})} = \mathrm{add}(\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}).$$

Proof. From [BMS20, Thm. 3.32] we have that the equality $\mathcal{WGP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B})} = \mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$. On the other hand, from the definition of the class $\mathcal{WGP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B})}$ and the assumption on the objects in $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$, one can find for every $M \in \mathcal{WGP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B})}$ an exact complex

$$W_{\bullet}: \cdots \to W_1 \to W_0 \to W_{-1} \to W_0$$

with $W_k \in \omega$ and $Z_k(W_{\bullet}) \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{B}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and such that $M \simeq Z_0(W_{\bullet})$. Note that $Z_k(W_{\bullet}) \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{B}$ holds for every k > 0 since $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{WGP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B})} = \mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}, \mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ is left thick and $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})} \subseteq {}^{\perp}\mathcal{B}$ (see [BMS20, Corolls. 3.15 & 3.33]). The rest of the proof follows as in the "only if" part of [HMP23, Prop. 7.3].

In the following results, we shall assume that every 1-periodic (ω , \mathcal{B})-Gorenstein projective object has finite injective dimension relative to \mathcal{Z} , and that every 1-periodic (\mathcal{Z} , ν)-Gorenstein injective object has finite projective dimension relative to \mathcal{B} . So let us first provide a characterization for these assumptions.

Remark 2.2. In an AB4 abelian category C where $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a GP-admissible pair with ω closed under coproducts and such that every object in $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ has a ω -resolution, we have that the following assertions are equivalent for any class $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq C$:

(a)
$$\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$$

(b)
$$\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$$
.

(c)
$$\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}^{\wedge} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$$
.

Indeed, if we assume (a) and consider $M \in \mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$, by Proposition 2.1 we can find a finite family $(M_i)_{i=1}^l$ of objects in $\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}$ such that M is a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\leq \infty}$ is clearly closed under finite coproducts and direct summands, we have that $M \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\leq \infty}$. Now if we assume (b), the containment $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}^{\wedge} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\leq \infty}$ follows from the fact that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\leq \infty}$ is closed under monocokernels. Finally, the implication $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$ is trivial since every 1-periodic (ω, \mathcal{B}) -Gorenstein projective is $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -Gorenstein projective.

Proposition 2.3. Let C be an AB4 and AB4* abelian category. If conditions from (rs1) to (rs5) listed in the relative setting are satisfied by a GP-admissible pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and a GI-admissible pair $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$, then the following assertions hold true:

(1) If
$$\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$$
, then $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) = \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\omega)$.
(2) If $\pi \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\nu,1)} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}^{<\infty}$, then $\operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) = \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\nu)$.

Proof. We only prove in (1) the inequality $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) \leq \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\omega)$ (the other one is trivial). Without loss of generality, we may let $n := \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\omega) < \infty$. Now given $M \in \mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$, by Proposition 2.1 there exists a finite family $(M_i)_{i=1}^l$ of objects in $\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}$ and $\widetilde{M} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $M \oplus \widetilde{M} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i$. Note also that $\widetilde{M} \in \operatorname{add}(\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)}) = \mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 1.1 we have $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(M_i) \leq n$ for every $1 \leq i \leq l$. Since the class $\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}$ is closed under finite coproducts, and finite coproducts of exact sequences are exact, we deduce that $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(M \oplus \widetilde{M}) \leq n$. Now by the dual of [BMS20, Thm. 4.1 (a)], (rs4) and a pushout argument, we can form two exact sequences

$$M \rightarrow V^0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V^{n-1} \twoheadrightarrow E^n,$$

$$\widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{V}^0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \widetilde{V}^{n-1} \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{E}^n,$$

with $V^j, \widetilde{V}^j \in \nu$ for every $0 \le j \le n-1$, from which we get the exact sequence

$$M \oplus \widetilde{M} \rightarrowtail V^0 \oplus \widetilde{V}^0 \to \dots \to V^{n-1} \oplus \widetilde{V}^{n-1} \twoheadrightarrow E^n \oplus \widetilde{E}^n$$

m-periodic Gorenstein objects

with each $V^j \oplus \widetilde{V}^j \in \nu \subseteq \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$. Since $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(M \oplus \widetilde{M}) \leq n$, it follows that $E^n \oplus \widetilde{E}^n \in \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$, and so $E^n \in \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$ by [BMS20, Coroll. 3.33]. Therefore, $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(M) \leq n$.

Remark 2.4. From [BMS20, Coroll. 4.10] we know that $(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}, \omega)$ is a left Frobenius pair for any GP-admissible pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ with ω closed under direct summands. Then, it follows by [BMPS19, Thm. 2.11] that $\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ is thick. Dually, one has that the class $\mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$ is also thick for any GI-admissible pair $(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})$ with ν closed under direct summands.

The following result extends Proposition 2.3 and describes the relation between the classes $\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ and $\mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$.

Proposition 2.5. Let C be an AB4 and AB4* abelian category. If conditions from (rs1) to (rs5) are satisfied by a GP-admissible pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and a GI-admissible pair $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$, then the following assertions hold true:

(1) If $\pi \mathcal{GP}_{(\omega,\mathcal{B},1)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$, then $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}^{\wedge}) = \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\omega)$. (2) If $\pi \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\nu,1)} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}^{<\infty}$, then $\operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}^{\vee}) = \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\nu)$.

Proof. We only prove $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) \leq \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\omega)$ in part (1). Again, without loss of generality, we may let $n := \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\omega) < \infty$. Let $M \in \mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ with $\operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(M) = k < \infty$. We use induction on k. If k = 0 the result follows by Proposition 2.3. For $k \geq 1$, we take a short exact sequence $M' \rightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow$ M with $G \in \mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ and $\operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(M') = k - 1$. Using the dual of [BMS20, Coroll. 4.11] and induction hypothesis, we get that $\operatorname{id}_{\nu}(G) = \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(G) \leq n$ and $\operatorname{id}_{\nu}(M') = \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(M') \leq n$. Finally, the previous along with Remark 2.4, imply that $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(M) = \operatorname{id}_{\nu}(M) \leq n$.

3. APPLICATIONS TO RELATIVE FINITISTIC AND GLOBAL GORENSTEIN DIMENSIONS

As mentioned in the introduction of [HMP23], one of the main applications of [BM07, Thm. 2.7] by Bennis and Mahdou was to show in [BM10, Thm. 1.1] the equality

$$\sup\{\operatorname{Gpd}_R(M): M \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)\} = \sup\{\operatorname{Gid}_R(M): M \in \mathsf{Mod}(R)\}.$$
(3.1)

for any associative ring R with identity. In other words, the (left) global Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein injective dimensions of any ring coincide, and their common value, known as the *global Gorenstein dimension*, will be denoted by gl.GD(R).

On the other hand, global Gorenstein dimensions relative to GP-admissible pairs were studied by Becerril in [Bec22]. So a natural question is whether it is possible to extend equality (3.1) for global Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein injective dimensions relative to GP-admissible and GI-admissible pairs. We partially answer this in the positive, under certain conditions for such pairs. Our approach will consider relative finitistic Gorenstein dimensions.

We can use Proposition 2.5 to show that the finitistic relative Gorenstein projective and injective dimensions of C are equal under the relative setting. These

dimensions were defined in [BMS20, Def. 4.16] as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{FGPD}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}) &:= \operatorname{resdim}_{\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}}(\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}), \\ \operatorname{FGID}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}) &:= \operatorname{coresdim}_{\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}}(\mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. Let C be an AB4 and AB4^{*} abelian category. If conditions from (rs1) to (rs5) are satisfied by a GP-admissible pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and a GI-admissible pair $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$, such that $\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})} = \mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$ and with \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{Z} closed under direct summands, then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{FGID}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}) &= \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) = \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) = \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\omega) \\ &= \operatorname{id}_{\nu}(\omega) = \operatorname{id}_{\nu}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) = \operatorname{id}_{\nu}(\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) \\ &= \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) = \operatorname{pd}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) = \operatorname{pd}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) = \operatorname{pd}_{\omega}(\mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) \\ &= \operatorname{pd}_{\omega}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) = \operatorname{pd}_{\omega}(\nu) = \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\nu) = \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) \\ &= \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) = \operatorname{FGPD}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [BMS20, Lem. 2.6, Corolls. 4.11 (a) & 4.15 (a1)] we have that

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) &= \operatorname{pd}_{\omega}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) = \operatorname{pd}_{\omega}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}^{\vee}) = \operatorname{pd}_{\omega}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}^{\wedge}) \\ &= \operatorname{FGPD}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{pd}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}^{\wedge}) = \operatorname{pd}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}^{\vee}) \\ &= \operatorname{pd}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}), \end{split}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\nu) = \operatorname{pd}_{\omega}(\nu).$$

Dually,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) &= \operatorname{id}_{\nu}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) = \operatorname{id}_{\nu}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}^{\wedge}) = \operatorname{id}_{\nu}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}^{\vee}) \\ &= \operatorname{FGID}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}^{\vee}) = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}^{\wedge}) \\ &= \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\omega) = \operatorname{id}_{\nu}(\omega).$$

Note also that the equality $\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})} = \mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$, along with [BMS20, Coroll. 4.15 (a)] and its dual, imply the validity of the containments $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{<\infty}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{<\infty}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then by Propositions 2.3 and 2.5, we have that

$$\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) = \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}) = \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\omega),$$
$$\operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) = \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}) = \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\nu).$$

Therefore, since $pd_{\omega}(\nu) = id_{\nu}(\omega)$, the result follows.

Related to the finitistic relative Gorenstein dimensions, we also have the global $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -Gorenstein projective and global $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ -Gorenstein injective dimensions of \mathcal{C} , also defined in [BMS20, Def. 4.17], as

$$gl.GPD_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}) := Gpd_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}),$$
$$gl.GID_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}) := Gid_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}).$$

One can note that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{FGPD}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}) &\leq \operatorname{gl.GPD}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}), \\ \operatorname{FGID}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}) &\leq \operatorname{gl.GID}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

m-periodic Gorenstein objects

Note that $C = \mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}$ implies that $\mathrm{FGPD}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(C) = \mathrm{gl.GPD}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(C)$, and a similar equality holds for the injective case if $C = \mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$. It follows that under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, one obtains the equality

$$\mathrm{gl.GID}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{FGID}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{FGPD}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{gl.GPD}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}).$$
(3.2)

In particular, Theorem 3.1 covers [Bec22, Coroll. 3.5] in the case where C has enough projective and injective objects, and ω and ν coincide with the class of projective and injective objects of C, respectively. The assumption $C = \mathcal{GP}^{\wedge}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})} = \mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}$ is sufficient but not necessary. For instance, in the absolute case where $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} =$ projective *R*-modules and $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{W} =$ injective *R*-modules, the equality (3.1) holds for any arbitrary ring *R*. In the relative setting, there are some known cases where (3.2) holds (see [Bec22, Prop. 3.15]). The following extends the cited result.

Corollary 3.2. Let C be an AB4 and AB4^{*} abelian category, and $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be GP-admissible pair and $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ be a GI-admissible pair satisfying conditions from (rs1) to (rs5), with \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{Z} closed under direct summands. If gl.GPD_(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})(\mathcal{C}) < ∞ and $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{GI}^{\vee}_{(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})}$, then

$$\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\omega) = \operatorname{id}_{\nu}(\omega) = \operatorname{pd}_{\omega}(\nu) = \operatorname{Gpd}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\nu).$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$ *then the previous equality extends to*

$$gl.GID_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}) = Gid_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\omega) = pd_{\omega}(\nu) = pd(\nu) = pd(\mathcal{Z})$$
$$= id(\mathcal{B}) = id(\omega) = id_{\nu}(\omega) = Gpd_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\nu) = gl.GPD_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. The first equality follows by [BMS20, Coroll. 4.15] and its dual. The last equality will be a consequence of Theorem 3.1 after showing that $C = \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}^{\vee}$ (note that we already have $\mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}^{\wedge} = C$). Since $C \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{<\infty}$ by Remark 2.2, we obtain from Proposition 2.5 that $\operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(C) = \operatorname{Gid}_{(\mathcal{Z},W)}(\omega) < \infty$.

Assumptions in Corollary 3.2 are sufficient but not necessary conditions so that $gl.GID_{(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C}) = gl.GPD_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C})$. As we already mentioned, the previous equality always holds for the choice $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}(R)$ (projective *R*-modules) and $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{I}(R)$ (injective *R*-modules), even in the case where the global Gorenstein dimension is infinite. Let us now analyze some known results and outcomes with respect to other very common GP-admissible and GI-admissible pairs in relative Gorenstein homological algebra, namely,

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{P}(R), \mathcal{F}(R))$$
 and $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) = (\text{FP-}\mathcal{I}(R), \mathcal{I}(R))$ (3.3)

and

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{P}(R), \mathcal{L}(R))$$
 and $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) = (\mathrm{FP}_{\infty} - \mathcal{I}(R), \mathcal{I}(R)).$ (3.4)

• Regarding the pairs (3.3), we obtain the classes $\mathcal{DP}(R) := \mathcal{GP}_{(\mathcal{P}(R),\mathcal{F}(R))}$ and $\mathcal{DI}(R) := \mathcal{GI}_{(\mathrm{FP} \cdot \mathcal{I}(R),\mathcal{I}(R))}$ of Ding projective and Ding injective *R*modules, respectively. Let us denote the corresponding global dimensions, called the (*left*) global Ding projective and the global Ding injective dimensions of *R*, by gl.DPD(*R*) and gl.DID(*R*). It is not known whether or not the equality gl.DPD(*R*) = gl.DID(*R*) holds for any ring *R*, although there are some cases in which one can give an affirmative answer, like for instance when *R* is a Ding-Chen ring (see Yang's [Yan12, Thm. 3.11]). Concerning the relation of the global Ding dimensions with the global Gorenstein dimension, it was shown by Mahdou and Tamekkante in [MT11, Thm. 3.1] that gl.DPD(R) = gl.GD(R) for any ring *R*. It then follows that

$$gl.GD(R) = gl.DPD(R) = gl.DID(R).$$
(3.5)

whenever *R* is a Ding-Chen ring. The equality (3.5) was also proved in [Bec22, Prop. 3.16] for rings which satisfy that $pd(FP-\mathcal{I}(R)) < \infty$ and $id(\mathcal{P}(R)) < \infty$. Another family of rings for which (3.5) is also valid is formed by those rings *R* such that both $pd(\mathcal{F}(R))$ and $id(FP-\mathcal{I}(R))$ are smaller than or equal to gl.GD(*R*). Indeed, one can mimic the proof of Liang and Wang's [LW20, Lems. 13 & 15] in order to show that

$$gl.DPD(R) = \max\{gl.GD(R), pd(\mathcal{F}(R))\},\$$
$$gl.DID(R) = \max\{gl.GD(R), id(FP-\mathcal{I}(R))\}.$$

Thus, $pd(\mathcal{F}(R))$, $id(FP-\mathcal{I}(R) \leq gl.GD(R)$ imply (3.5).

We can deduce one more case in which (3.5) holds. Let R be a ring such that gl.GD(R) is finite and over which every Ding projective R-module has finite injective dimension relative to $FP-\mathcal{I}(R)$. In this case, gl.DPD(R) = gl.GD(R) is finite. Moreover, using that the finiteness of gl.GD(R) implies that of $id(\mathcal{P}(R))$ (see [BM10, Lem. 2.1]) note that $\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{DI}(R)^{\vee}$. Hence, from Corollary 3.2 we have that gl.DID(R) is also finite and

$$\operatorname{gl.DPD}(R) = \operatorname{gl.GD}(R) = \operatorname{gl.DID}(R) = \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{I}(R)) = \operatorname{id}(\mathcal{P}(R)).$$

• For the GP-admissible and GI-admissible pairs in (3.4), the corresponding relative Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein injective modules, introduced in [BGH14, § 5 & 8], are known as Gorenstein AC-projective and Gorenstein AC-injective modules. The classes of these modules will be denoted by $\mathcal{GP}_{AC}(R)$ and $\mathcal{GI}_{AC}(R)$, and their corresponding global Gorenstein AC-projective and Gorenstein AC-projective and Gorenstein AC-projective and set in $\mathcal{F}_{AC}(R)$ and $\mathcal{FI}_{AC}(R)$.

$$gl.GPD_{AC}(R) := Gpd_{(\mathcal{P}(R),\mathcal{L}(R))}(\mathsf{Mod}(R)),$$

$$gl.GID_{AC}(R) := Gid_{(FP_{\infty},\mathcal{I}(R),\mathcal{I}(R))}(\mathsf{Mod}(R)).$$

By Corollary 3.2, if *R* is a ring with $gl.GPD_{AC}(R) < \infty$ and such that every Gorenstein AC-projective module has finite injective dimension relative to FP_{∞} - $\mathcal{I}(R)$, then $gl.GID_{AC}(R)$ is also finite and

$$gl.GID_{AC}(R) = gl.GPD_{AC}(R).$$

On the other hand, by [LW20, Lems. 13 & 15] we know

$$\begin{split} & \text{gl.GPD}_{\text{AC}}(R) = \max\{\text{gl.GD}(R), \text{pd}(\mathcal{L}(R))\}, \\ & \text{gl.GID}_{\text{AC}}(R) = \max\{\text{gl.GD}(R), \text{id}(\text{FP}_{\infty}\text{-}\mathcal{I}(R))\} \end{split}$$

It then follows that $pd(\mathcal{L}(R))$ and $id(FP_{\infty}-\mathcal{I}(R))$ are both finite, and so $\mathcal{GP}(R) = \mathcal{DP}(R) = \mathcal{GP}_{AC}(R)$ and $\mathcal{GI}(R) = \mathcal{DI}(R) = \mathcal{GI}_{AC}(R)$. Hence,

$$gl.GD(R) = gl.DPD(R) = gl.GPD_{AC}(R) = gl.GID_{AC}(R) = gl.DID(R).$$
(3.6)

Example 3.3. There are cases in which (3.6) holds and the common value is ∞ . Let \mathbb{K} be a field, and consider the \mathbb{K} -algebra of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{K} and infinitely

m-periodic Gorenstein objects

many variables $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, ...]$ along with the ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2, ...)$ (infinitely) generated by these variables. Let R be the quotient commutative \mathbb{K} -algebra

$$R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]/\mathfrak{m}^2$$

Note that R can also be obtained as the path algebra $\mathbb{K}Q/I$ where Q is the quiver

with one vertex 1, infinitely many loops x_i , and I is the ideal generated by the terms $x_i x_j$ with *i* and *j* running over the positive integers.

From [BGH14, Prop. 2.5] we know that over this ring, the class of finitely generated and free *R*-modules coincides with $\mathcal{FP}_{\infty}(R)$. It then follows that $FP_{\infty}-\mathcal{I}(R) = \mathcal{L}(R) =$ Mod(*R*). Thus, from [LW20, Lems. 13 & 15] we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \text{gl.GPD}_{AC}(R) = \max\{\text{gl.GD}(R), \text{pd}(\mathsf{Mod}(R))\} = \text{pd}(\mathsf{Mod}(R)) = \text{gl.dim}(R), \\ & \text{gl.GID}_{AC}(R) = \max\{\text{gl.GD}(R), \text{id}(\mathsf{Mod}(R))\} = \text{id}(\mathsf{Mod}(R)) = \text{gl.dim}(R), \end{split}$$

where gl.dim(R) denotes the global dimension of R. On the other hand, the projective R-module P(1) at 1 has infinite injective dimension. Similarly, the injective R-module I(1) at 1 has infinite projective dimension. It then follows that

$$\operatorname{gl.GPD}_{\operatorname{AC}}(R) = \operatorname{gl.GID}_{\operatorname{AC}}(R) = \operatorname{gl.dim}(R) = \infty$$

and that $pd(\mathcal{I}(R)) = \infty$ and $id(\mathcal{P}(R)) = \infty$. In particular, R is not a Gorenstein algebra (see [BR07, Thm. 2.2 & Def. 2.5]), and so $gl.GD(R) = \infty$. This in turn implies that

$$gl.DPD(R) = gl.DID(R) = \infty.$$

Hence, for this R the equality (3.6) holds without the assumption $gl.GPD_{AC}(R) < \infty$. Moreover, over R we can also note that $\mathcal{GP}_{AC}(R) = \mathcal{P}(R)$ and that $\mathcal{GI}_{AC}(R) = \mathcal{I}(R)$. Indeed, if G is a Gorenstein AC-projective R-module, it is a cycle of a Hom(-, Mod(R))acyclic exact complex P_{\bullet} of projective R-modules. It follows that each exact sequence $Z_m(P_{\bullet}) \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow Z_{m-1}(P_{\bullet})$ is split exact, and then G is projective. So the other assumption in Corollary 3.2, namely $\mathcal{GP}_{AC}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{FP_{\infty}^{-}\mathcal{I}(R)}^{<\infty}$ becomes $\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{<\infty}$, which does not hold for this ring R. Therefore, the hypotheses in Corollary 3.2 are sufficient but not necessary conditions to obtain the equality $gl.GPD_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})}(\mathcal{C}) = gl.GID_{(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{C})$.

Funding

The authors thank Project PAPIIT-Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México IN100124. The first author is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico DGAPA (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México). The third author is supported by the following institutions: Agencia Nacional de Investigación e Innovación (ANII) and Programa de Desarrollo de las Ciencias Básicas (PEDECIBA).

REFERENCES

- [Bec22] V Becerril. Relative global Gorenstein dimensions. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, 21(10):13, 2022.
- [BGH14] D. Bravo, J. Gillespie, and M. Hovey. The stable module category of a general ring. arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.5768, 2014.
- [BM07] D. Bennis and N. Mahdou. Strongly Gorenstein projective, injective, and flat modules. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 210(2):437–445, 2007.

- [BM10] D. Bennis and N. Mahdou. Global Gorenstein dimensions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 138(2):461– 465, 2010.
- [BMP22] V. Becerril, O. Mendoza, and M. A. Pérez. Balanced systems for Hom, 2022.
- [BMPS19] V. Becerril, O. Mendoza, M. A. Pérez, and V. Santiago. Frobenius pairs in abelian categories. Frobenius pairs in abelian categories, correspondences with cotorsion pairs, exact model categories, and Auslander-Buchweitz contexts. J. Homotopy Relat. Struct., 14(1):1–50, 2019.
- [BMS20] V. Becerril, O. Mendoza, and V. Santiago. Relative Gorenstein objects in abelian categories. *Comm. Algebra*, 49(1):352–402, 2020.
- [BR07] A. Beligiannis and I. Reiten. *Homological and homotopical aspects of torsion theories*, volume 883 of *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2007.
- [HMP23] M. Y. Huerta, O. Mendoza, and M. A. Pérez. m-periodic gorenstein objects. Journal of Algebra, 621:1–40, 2023.
- [LW20] L. Liang and J. P. Wang. Relative global dimensions and stable homotopy categories. C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris, 358(3):379–392, 2020.
- [MT11] N. Mahdou and M. Tamekkante. Strongly Gorenstein flat modules and dimensions. Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. B, 32(4):533–548, 2011.
- [Yan12] G. Yang. Homological properties of modules over Ding-Chen rings. J. Korean Math. Soc., 49(1):31–47, 2012.

(M. Y. Huerta) FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO. CIR-CUITO EXTERIOR, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA. CP04510. MEXICO CITY, MEXICO.

Email address: mindy@matem.unam.mx

(O. Mendoza) INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS. UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO. CIRCUITO EXTERIOR, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA. CP04510. MEXICO CITY, MEXICO *Email address*: omendoza@matem.unam.mx

(M. A. Pérez) INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y ESTADÍSTICA "PROF. ING. RAFAEL LAGUARDIA". FACULTAD DE INGENIERÍA. UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA. CP11300. MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY *Email address*: mperez@fing.edu.uy