

Lower Bounds for Kernel Density Estimation on Symmetric Spaces

Dena Marie Asta

*Department of Statistics
Ohio State University
Columbus, OH
43210 USA
dasta@stat.osu.edu*

Abstract: We prove that kernel density estimation on symmetric spaces of non-compact type, whose L_2 -risk was bounded above in previous work [Asta \(2021\)](#), in fact achieves a minimax rate of convergence. With this result, the story for kernel density estimation on all symmetric spaces is completed. The idea in adapting the proof for Euclidean space is to suitably abstract vector space operations on Euclidean space to both actions of symmetric groups and reparametrizations of Helgason-Fourier transforms and to use the fact that the exponential map for symmetric spaces of non-compact type defines a diffeomorphism.

1. Introduction

Data, while often expressed as collections of real numbers, are often more naturally regarded as points in symmetric spaces, spaces that intuitively look the same from the vantage of any given point and include Euclidean space, spheres of various dimensions, the non-compact hyperboloid of constant negative curvature \mathbb{H}_2 , and the space of symmetric (3×3) positive definite matrices (eg. [\(Rahman et al., 2005; Krioukov et al., 2010; Asta and Shalizi, 2015\)](#)). The literature offers some variants of kernel density estimation on smooth manifolds, including symmetric spaces. All symmetric spaces X can be decomposed into symmetric spaces of Euclidean, compact, and non-compact type in such a way that a KDE on X can be constructed from KDEs on the three types. Symmetric spaces of the first two types admit KDEs with minimax convergence rates for L_2 -risk given in terms of Sobolev constraints based on work by others (e.g. [Pelletier \(2005\)](#)). A previous paper [Asta \(2021\)](#) gives an upper bound on the convergence rate for L_2 -risk of a KDE on symmetric spaces of the last type in terms of an order α Sobolev constraint (for any real $\alpha > 0$). This note completes the picture by showing that this upper bound is also a lower bound and therefore a minimax rate.

Theorem 1. *Consider the following data.*

1. positive real number $Q > 0$
2. positive real $\alpha > 0$
3. symmetric space \mathbf{X} of non-compact type
4. density f on \mathbf{X} such that $\|\Delta^{\alpha/2} f\|_2 \leq Q$.

Then there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $\inf_{\hat{f}^n} \mathbb{E}_f[(\hat{f}^n - f)^2] \geq Kn^{-2\alpha/2\alpha + \dim \mathbf{x}}$, where the infimum is taken over all estimators \hat{f}^n of f based on n samples drawn independently from f .

The strategy, similar to a strategy used to derive lower bounds in the Euclidean case, is to adopt a standard and general scheme: lower bound the rate in terms of the mesh length with respect to some finite mesh in a Sobolev ball of densities of order α . This strategy correctly gives a well-known lower bound for Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , although it is difficult to find a proof beyond the case $n = 1$ in the literature. One hurdle in the non-Euclidean setting is that our constructions, such as constructions of kernels with prescribed bandwidths, need to be constructed at a sufficient level of generality. This means concretely that formulas involving subtraction and quotients need to be generalized to actions of symmetric groups and operations on *Helgason-Fourier transforms*. Another hurdle in the non-Euclidean setting is the need to establish the existence of a suitable mesh in the symmetric space with the desired mesh length. This means concretely that we translate Euclidean meshes into meshes on a manifold along the *exponential map* and exploit properties of such a map in the special case where the manifold is a symmetric space of non-compact type. The immediate corollary, based on our earlier work on upper bounds, is that the KDE $\hat{f}_{X_1, \dots, X_n; h, T}$ on symmetric spaces of non-compact type based on a bandwidth h and a certain cutoff parameter T achieves the minimax rate.

Corollary 1. *Consider the following data.*

1. positive real $\alpha > 0$
2. positive real number $Q > 0$
3. symmetric space \mathbf{X} of non-compact type

Then $\mathbb{E}_f \|\hat{f}_{X_1, \dots, X_n; h_n, T_n} - f\|_2 \asymp n^{-2\alpha/2\alpha + \dim \mathbf{x}}$ achieves the minimax rate of convergence for an estimator of an L_2 -density f on \mathbf{X} satisfying $\|\Delta^{\alpha/2} f\|_2 \leq Q$ for some suitable choice of $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $T_n \rightarrow \infty$.

We do not go into details in this note about the kernel density estimator $\hat{f}_{X_1, \dots, X_n; h, T}$, instead referring the reader to our earlier work [Asta \(2021\)](#) for definitions, examples, and simulations.

2. Background

2.1. Assouad's Lemma

Fano's Lemma is a well-worn and general tool for deducing lower bounds on convergence rates for estimators. In fact, this method is used to establish the best possible lower bound for deconvolution kernel density estimators on Euclidean space as well as the negatively curved hyperboloid \mathbb{H}_2 ([Huckemann et al., 2010](#)). However, this method relies on bounding the χ^2 -distance between sampling densities. Bounding such χ^2 -distances in practice is easiest when working under well-understood coordinate systems on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{H}_2 . It is difficult to get control

over such χ^2 -distances in the general case. Instead, we use Assouad's Lemma, a variant of Fano's Lemma, defined in terms of *Hamming distances*

$$H(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^r |u_i - v_i|$$

between bit-vectors $u, v \in \{0, 1\}^r$ and a well-defined notion of variation

$$\|P \wedge Q\| = \int \min(p, q) \mu.$$

for probability measures P, Q on a set M having Radon-Nikodym derivatives p and q with respect to a measure μ on M .

Lemma 1 (Assouad's Lemma, (van der Vaart, 1998, Theorem 24.3)). *Consider the following data.*

1. integer $r > 0$
2. a family $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta \in \{0,1\}^r}$ of probability measures on a common set indexed by elements in $\{0, 1\}^r$
3. a statistic ψ on probability measures in the above family, as a function of $\theta \in \{0, 1\}^r$,
4. an estimator T of the statistic based on an observation from a model in the family $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta \in \{0,1\}^r}$

Then $\max_\theta 2^p E_\theta[d^p(T, \psi(\theta))] \geq \left(\min_{H(\theta, \theta') \geq 1} \frac{d^p(\psi(\theta), \psi(\theta'))}{H(\theta, \theta')} \right) \frac{r}{2} (\min_{H(\theta, \theta')=1} \|P_\theta \wedge P_{\theta'}\|)$.

The application to density estimation comes from taking all the probability measures in the lemma to describe the product probability measures based on n independent samples. Moreover, the lemma is useful for us insofar as we can relate the variation term $\|P_\theta \wedge P_{\theta'}\|$ with integrals that look more like L_2 -distances. For that reason, the following observation made for the case $\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{R}$ also applies to case of general \mathbf{X} . Write $H^2(P, Q)$ for the square of the *Hellinger distance* between probability measures P and Q on a set M , the well-defined integral

$$H^2(P, Q) = \int_M (\sqrt{p} - \sqrt{q}) \mu$$

for some choice of measure on M with respect to which P and Q have Radon-Nikodym derivatives p and q . The following lemma is standard and not original to this paper.

Lemma 2. *Consider the following data.*

1. measure space M with measure μ
2. absolutely continuous probability measures P and Q on M

Then $\|P^n \wedge Q^n\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} H^2(P, Q)\right)^{2n}$.

2.2. Symmetric Spaces

A symmetric space is a special type of smooth (Riemannian) manifold that looks the same at every vantage point. In fact, a Riemannian manifold having no 1-dimensional holes and forming a complete metric space is a symmetric space exactly when its curvature is constant. We refer the reader to the previous paper [Asta \(2021\)](#) for the relevant theory. *Lie groups*, manifolds with compatible smooth invertible and associative multiplications and smooth inversion operations, are examples of symmetric spaces; examples to keep in mind are smooth manifolds of invertible matrices closed under matrix multiplication and matrix inversion. A general symmetric space need not form a Lie group, but symmetric spaces are always constructed from Lie groups of their symmetries. An example to keep in mind is the Poincaré halfplane.

Example 1. *The Poincaré halfplane \mathbb{H}_2 is the 2-manifold defined as the subspace*

$$\mathbb{H}_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) > 0\},$$

of \mathbb{C} equipped with the Riemannian metric given by the arc length

$$ds^2 = (\text{Im } z)^{-2}(d(\text{Re } z)^2 + d(\text{Im } z)^2).$$

This space can be interpreted as the information manifold of all univariate normal distributions, where the real coordinates describe the means, and the imaginary coordinates describe standard deviations, and the Riemannian metric is the Fisher metric. Alternatively, this space is a natural latent space for families of random graphs used to model real-world networks [Krioukov et al. \(2010\)](#). Alternatively, this space models electrical impedances on which certain circuit elements act as Möbius transformations ([Huckemann et al., 2010](#)).

Every symmetric space can be thus expressed as a certain quotient of a Lie group by a Lie subgroup. Write \mathbf{G} for a *semisimple Lie group with finite center* and \mathbf{H} for its maximal compact Lie subgroup. Then $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ is a *symmetric space of noncompact type* and in fact all such symmetric spaces arise in this manner. The Lie group \mathbf{G} is a group of isometries on \mathbf{X} : each element $g \in \mathbf{G}$ determines an isometry $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{X}$ defined by multiplication on \mathbf{G} .

Example 2. *The space SL_2 of (2×2) -matrices with determinant 1 acts on \mathbb{H}_2 by Möbius transformations:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

The matrices in SL_2 fixing $i \in \mathbb{H}_2$ form the matrix subgroup SO_2 of (2×2) rotation matrices. The action of SL_2 on \mathbb{H}_2 implicitly gives a well-defined bijection $\text{SL}_2/\text{SO}_2 \cong \mathbb{H}_2$ sending an equivalence class of a matrix $m \in \text{SL}_2$ to $m(i) \in \mathbb{H}_2$. This bijection $\mathbb{H}_2 \cong \text{SL}_2/\text{SO}_2$ defines an isometry for a suitable choice of bi- SO_2 -invariant inner product on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 associated to SL_2 ([Terras, 1985](#), §3.1). Thus \mathbb{H}_2 is a Riemannian symmetric space.

We write $\rho_{\mathbf{X}}$ for half of the sum of the *positive root weights* of \mathbf{G} .

Example 3. For $\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{H}_2$, $\rho_{\mathbf{X}} = \rho_{\mathbb{H}_2} = 1/2$.

We will write $\mathbf{1}$ for the point in the quotient $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ represented by the identity element in \mathbf{G} . The *exponential map* is the smooth map $\exp : \mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ sending each point $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the point $\gamma_v(1) \in \mathbf{X}$, where γ is the unique geodesic $[0, 1] \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{1}$ and whose derivative $\gamma'(0)$ at 0 is v . We will use the fact that because \mathbf{X} is symmetric of non-compact type, the exponential map defines a diffeomorphism

$$\exp : \mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathbf{X}} \cong \mathbf{X}.$$

that increases distances in the sense that $\|u - v\|_2$ is a lower bound for the Riemannian distance between $\exp(u)$ and $\exp(v)$ for all pairs $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathbf{X}}$.

2.3. Helgason-Fourier Analysis

Helgason-Fourier Analysis is an analogue of Fourier Analysis for symmetric spaces of non-compact type. The reader is referred to (Pesenson, 2008, Section 2) for a concise summary of the theory and (Terras, 1985) for details in the special case $\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{H}_2$. Write $\mathcal{H}[f]$ for the *Helgason-Fourier transform* of an L_2 -function $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This transform \mathcal{H} defines a linear isometry from $L_2(\mathbf{X})$ to an L_2 -space of complex-valued functions on a certain *frequency space* that depends on \mathbf{X} . Unlike with the ordinary Fourier transform for \mathbb{R}^n , this frequency space is not also \mathbf{X} but instead a product of Euclidean space with another space. The exact definition of this frequency space depends on an algebraic decomposition of \mathbf{G} and requires too many preliminaries, and so we refer the reader to (Pesenson, 2008, Section 2) or the previous paper Asta (2021) for its definition. Adopting the same notation as in our previous paper Asta (2021), we write $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathbf{B}$ for this frequency product space, where \mathfrak{a} is a finite dimensional real vector space and \mathbf{B} is a certain quotient of \mathbb{H} . We have a Plancherel Theorem, the observation that the linear map \mathcal{H} is in fact a linear isometry of L_2 -spaces:

$$\mathcal{H} : L_2(\mathbf{X}) \cong L_2(\mathfrak{a} \times \mathbf{B}).$$

One thing to note is that the measure on $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathbf{B}$ giving us our Plancherel Theorem, is not at all straightforward to define, and involves a *Harish-Chandra c -function* central to a great deal of Geometric Analysis. We will omit notation for the measure on $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathbf{B}$ and the volume measure on \mathbf{X} when integrating functions over them.

Example 4. Take $\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{H}_2$. The factors \mathfrak{a} and \mathbf{B} of frequency space are given by

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbf{B} = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{O}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where \mathbb{Z}_2 is the discrete subset of the identity rotation and the rotation by 180 degrees. Then

$$\mathcal{H}[f](\lambda, [k]) = \int_{\mathbb{H}_2} f(z) \operatorname{Im}(k(z))^{\frac{1}{2}-\lambda} dz,$$

where $[k]$ denotes the equivalence class in \mathbf{B} represented by $k \in \mathbf{H}$.

The Helgason-Fourier transform sends convolutions to products in a suitable sense. The previous paper from the author analyzing a KDE [Asta \(2021\)](#) or other work deconvolving noise [Chevallier, Kalunga and Angulo \(2017\)](#); [Huckemann et al. \(2010\)](#) uses this property to obtain convergence rate bounds. Since the constructions in this paper do not require convolutions, we do not go into the details and refer the reader to ([Pesenson, 2008](#), Section 2) for details. One property that is crucial for us is the ability to use Helgason-Fourier transforms, like ordinary Fourier transforms, to define α -order derivatives even when α is not a positive integer. Recall that the ordinary Fourier transform \mathcal{F} satisfies the property

$$\mathcal{F}[f^{(\alpha)}](s) = i\alpha\mathcal{F}[f](\alpha s) \quad (1)$$

for all positive integers α and functions $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ having all orders of derivatives up to α . We can then extend the definition of $f^{(\alpha)}$ for all positive reals α by taking (1) as a definition. Since the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on a Riemannian manifold is a second-order differential operator, we write $\Delta^{\alpha/2}f$ for our analogue of α -order derivatives. For each $f \in L_2(\mathbf{X})$, define $\Delta^{\alpha/2}f \in L_2(\mathbf{X})$ by

$$\mathcal{H}[\Delta^{\alpha/2}f](\lambda, b) = (\rho_{\mathbf{X}}^2 - \lambda^2)^{\alpha/2}\mathcal{H}[f](\lambda, b),$$

The order α Sobolev ball $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(Q)$ of radius Q in $L_2(\mathbf{X})$ can then be defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(Q) = \{f \in L_2(\mathbf{X}) \mid \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}f\|_2 \leq Q\}.$$

For each smooth L_2 -function $K : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h > 0$, write K_h for the smooth L_2 -function $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is characterized in terms of its Helgason-Fourier transform as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}[K_h](\lambda, b) = \mathcal{H}[K](h\lambda, b).$$

3. Main Proof

Let d be the dimension $\dim \mathbf{X}$ of the symmetric space \mathbf{X} . Define

$$h_n = n^{-1/2\alpha+d} \quad r_n = \lfloor n^{d/2\alpha+d} \rfloor.$$

Fix a smooth L_2 -density f on \mathbf{X} . Fix a smooth real-valued bump function K on \mathbf{X} with support given in the open disk in \mathbf{X} about the point $\mathbf{1}$ of radius 1. Let θ, θ' denote elements in $\{0, 1\}^{r_n}$. There exists a mesh $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,r_n}$ in \mathbb{R}^d whose mesh width is h_n , by evenly subdividing a d -dimensional cube of edge length 1 in \mathbb{R}^d into smaller cubes each of which has edge length h_n . It therefore follows that $\exp(X_{n,1}), \dots, \exp(X_{n,r_n})$ gives a mesh in \mathbf{X} whose mesh width is at least h_n . Let g_x denote a choice of isometry $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{X}$ in \mathbf{G} sending x to $\mathbf{1}$ and continuous in x . For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and θ , define:

$$f_{n,\theta} = f + h_n^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{r_n} \theta_j K_{h_n^d} g_{X_{n,j}}.$$

Lemma 3. Fix n and $Q > 0$. For both $\|\Delta^{\alpha/2}f\|_2$ and $\|\Delta^{\alpha/2}K\|_2$ sufficiently small,

$$f_{n,\theta} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(Q).$$

Proof. Note that we can bound $\|\Delta^{\alpha/2}K_{h_n^d}\|_2$ by the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}K_{h_n^d}\|_2^2 &= \|\mathcal{H}[\Delta^{\alpha/2}K_{h_n^d}]\|_2^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{X}} (\rho_{\mathbf{X}}^2 - \lambda^2)^\alpha \mathcal{H}[K](h_n^d \lambda, b)^2 \\ &\leq Ch_n^{d-2\alpha d} \int_{\mathbf{X}} (\rho_{\mathbf{X}}^2 - u^2)^\alpha \mathcal{H}[K](u, b)^2 = Cn^{d(2\alpha-1)/2\alpha+d} \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}K\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Therefore we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}f_{n,\theta}\|_2 &\leq \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}f\|_2 + n^{-\alpha/2\alpha+d} \sum_{\theta_j=1} \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}K_{h_n^d}g_{X_{n_j}}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}f\|_2 + n^{-\alpha/2\alpha+d} \sum_{\theta_j=1} \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}K_{h_n^d}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}f\|_2 + n^{d-\alpha/2\alpha+d} \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}K_{h_n^d}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}f\|_2 + Cn^{d-\alpha+d(2\alpha-1)/2\alpha+d} \|\Delta^{\alpha/2}K\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently small $\|\Delta^{\alpha/2}f\|_2$ and $\|\Delta^{\alpha/2}K\|_2$ but fixed n , this quantity can be made arbitrarily small. \square

Lemma 4. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for $\theta, \theta' \in \{0, 1\}^{r_n}$,

$$\|f_{n,\theta} - f_{n,\theta'}\|_2^2 = Cn^{-1}H(\theta, \theta')$$

and if $H(\theta, \theta') = 1$, $\|P_\theta \wedge P_{\theta'}\| \geq (1 - \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))^{2n}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(P_\theta, P_{\theta'}) &= \int_{\mathbf{X}} (\sqrt{f_{n,\theta}} - \sqrt{f_{n,\theta'}})^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{X}} \left(\frac{f_{n,\theta} - f_{n,\theta'}}{\sqrt{f_{n,\theta}} + \sqrt{f_{n,\theta'}}} \right)^2 \\ &\geq C_1 \|f_{n,\theta} - f_{n,\theta'}\|_2^2 \\ &= C_1 h_n^{2\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{r_n^d} |\theta_j - \theta'_j|^2 \int_{\mathbf{X}} K_{h_n^d}^2(g_{\exp(X_{n_j})}) \\ &= C_1 h_n^{2\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{r_n^d} |\theta_j - \theta'_j|^2 \int_{\mathbf{X}} K_{h_n^d}^2 \\ &= C_1 h_n^{2\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{r_n^d} |\theta_j - \theta'_j|^2 \int_{\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{B}} \mathcal{H}[K](h_n^d \lambda, b) \\ &= C_1 h_n^{2\alpha+d} H(\theta, \theta') \|\mathcal{H}[K]\|_2^2 = C_2 n^{-1} H(\theta, \theta') \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Thus when $H(\theta, \theta') = 1$,

$$\|P_\theta^n \wedge P_{\theta'}^n\| \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}H^2(P_\theta, P_{\theta'})\right)^{2n} \geq (1 - \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))^{2n}.$$

□

proof of Theorem 1. Lemma 3 implies that we can apply Assouad's Lemma to the case

$$r = r_n, \psi(\theta) = f_{n,\theta}, T = \hat{f}^n, P_\theta = P_{n,\theta}^n,$$

where $P_{n,\theta}^n$ denotes the probability distribution on (X_1, \dots, X_n) for X_1, \dots, X_n

independently drawn from $f_{n,\theta}$, to get that $\max_\theta 2^p E_\theta \|\hat{f}^n - f_{n,\theta}\|_2^2 dx \geq C_2 h_n^{2\alpha + \dim X} n^{-1} \frac{r_n^d}{2} (1 - \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))^{2n}$. Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\hat{f}^n} \mathbb{E}_f [(\hat{f}^n - f)^2] &\geq \max_\theta 2^p E_\theta \|\hat{f}^n - f_{n,\theta}\|_2^2 \\ &\geq C_2 h_n^{2\alpha + d} \frac{r_n}{2} (1 - \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))^{2n} \\ &\geq \mathcal{O}(n^{-2\alpha/2\alpha + d}). \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from Lemma 4. □

References

- ASTA, D. (2021). Kernel Density Estimation on Symmetric Spaces of Non-Compact Type. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **181** 104676.
- ASTA, D. and SHALIZI, C. R. (2015). Geometric Network Comparison. In *31st Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence [UAI 2015]* (M. MEILA and T. HESKES, eds.) 102–110. AUAI Press, Corvallis, Oregon.
- CHEVALLIER, E., KALUNGA, E. and ANGULO, J. (2017). Kernel density estimation on spaces of Gaussian distributions and symmetric positive definite matrices. *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences* **10** 191–215.
- HUCKEMANN, S. F., KIM, P. T., KOO, J.-Y. and MUNK, A. (2010). Möbius deconvolution on the hyperbolic plane with application to impedance density estimation. *Annals of Statistics* **38** 2465–2498.
- KRIOUKOV, D., PAPADOPOULOS, F., KITSAK, M., VAHDAT, A. and BOGUÑÁ, M. (2010). Hyperbolic Geometry of Complex Networks. *Physical Review E* **82** 036106.
- PELLETIER, B. (2005). Kernel density estimation on Riemannian manifolds. *Statistics and Probability Letters* **73** 297–304.
- PESENSON, I. (2008). A Discrete Helgason-Fourier transform for Sobolev and Besov functions on noncompact symmetric spaces. In *Radon transforms, Geometry, and Wavelets* (G. ÓLAFSSON, E. L. GRINBERG, D. LARSON, P. E. T. JORGENSEN, P. R. MASSOPUST, E. T. QUINTO and B. RUBIN, eds.) 231–247. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island.

- RAHMAN, I. U., DRORI, I., STODDEN, V., DONOHO, D. and SCHROÖDER, P. (2005). Multiscale representations for manifold-valued data. *Multiscale Modeling and Simulation* **4** 1201–1232.
- TERRAS, A. (1985). *Harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces and applications* **1**. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- VAN DER VAART, A. W. (1998). *Asymptotic Statistics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.