arXiv:2403.10442v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 15 Mar 2024

Variance sum rule: proofs and solvable models

Ivan Di Terlizzi,^{1,2,*} Marco Baiesi,^{2,3} Felix Ritort^{4,5}

¹ Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Straße 38, 01187, Dresden, Germany

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Padova, Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padova, Italy

³ INFN, Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padova, Italy

⁴ Small Biosystems Lab, Condensed Matter Physics Department, Universitat de Barcelona, C/ Marti i Franques 1, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

⁵ Institut de Nanociència i Nanotecnologia (IN2UB), Universitat de Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

E-mail: * ivandt@pks.mpg.de

Abstract. We derive, in more general conditions, a recently introduced variance sum rule (VSR) [I. Di Terlizzi et al., 2024 Science **383** 971] involving variances of displacement and force impulse for overdamped Langevin systems in a nonequilibrium steady state (NESS). This formula allows visualising the effect of nonequilibrium as a deviation of the sum of variances from normal diffusion 2Dt, with D the diffusion constant and t the time. From the VSR, we also derive formulas for the entropy production rate σ that, differently from previous results, involve second-order time derivatives of position correlation functions. This novel feature gives a criterion for discriminating strong nonequilibrium regimes without measuring forces. We then apply and discuss our results to three analytically solved models: a stochastic switching trap, a Brownian vortex, and a Brownian gyrator. Finally, we compare the advantages and limitations of known and novel formulas for σ in an overdamped NESS.

1. Introduction

A non-zero entropy production rate is a key feature of nonequilibrium [1, 2, 3, 4] that characterizes many physical systems and natural phenomena. For example, it determines the efficiency of energy transduction [5, 6, 7, 8] and the breakdown of detailed balance in cells [9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. For this reason, the study of entropy production is an active and prolific field in stochastic thermodynamics [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] and active matter [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. Thanks to technological advancements, it is possible to access microscopic systems where fluctuations are relevant [33, 34, 35, 31], but entropy production remains difficult to measure. To this end, several studies proposed approaches for measuring it in stochastic dynamics. Any trajectory in a diffusive system displays

a fluctuating entropy production. According to stochastic energetics [36, 37], such entropy production integrates microscopic forces over displacements, corresponding to the heat delivered to the reservoirs, and it is divided by temperature, as in macroscopic thermodynamics. Other approaches modify this formula by considering gradients of forces [36]. Furthermore, the deviation from the equilibrium fluctuation-dissipation theorem, as in the Harada-Sasa relation [38, 39], also determines entropy production. Bounds for the entropy production may depend on time irreversibility [40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47]. Other lower bounds derive from thermodynamic uncertainty relations [48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58] with multiple applications [59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65], optimal bounds [66, 67, 68] or waiting-time distributions [69, 70, 71, 72]. Coarse-graining [73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80] and partial measurements [81, 82, 43, 83, 84] also influence dissipation estimates. Other studies have addressed colloidal particle models and systems [85, 86]

This work derives a multi-dimensional version of a recently introduced variance sum rule (VSR) [87] for measuring the entropy production rate, hereafter referred to as σ . The VSR gives an exact estimator for σ rather than a lower bound. In Ref. [87], we have shown the performance of the VSR in measuring entropy production in colloidal systems and red blood cells. The VSR sets a methodology to quantify the thermodynamics of life [88]. A model-dependent, reduced-VSR, for example, provides the experimental estimate of the dissipation of active and passivated red blood cells even if partial information on the system is available. Here, instead, we discuss in more detail the full VSR, in which all relevant degrees of freedom are accessible by measurements.

The VSR (see (3) below) generalises the simple law of free diffusion and visualises the nonequilibrium effects as a deviation from a linear scaling in time. Equation (9) below, its particular case (11), and (65), are novel formulas for computing the average entropy production rate. Their innovation concerns using a combination of variances of the system's mean square displacement and its instantaneous forces. The starting point of our derivation is the standard formula for entropy production as derived in stochastic energetics [36]. An intermediate step (25) is also a novel formula highlighting how entropy production is related to the time asymmetry of position-force correlation functions.

In the following, we illustrate the main results (section 2) and present the proof (section 3) of the VSR and its entropy production rate for multi-dimensional overdamped stochastic diffusion dynamics. We consider particle systems with a non-diagonal mobility tensor in contact with baths at different temperatures. We then illustrate them by solving analytically three models in a steady state driven out of equilibrium by different mechanisms: (i) a particle in a stochastic switching trap, or equivalently, a trapped active particle (section 4), (ii) a Brownian vortex model with a nonconservative mechanical force (section 5), and (iii) the Brownian gyrator driven by a temperature gradient (section 6). Finally, the discussion section compares previous and new formulas for computing entropy production rates in overdamped

systems.

2. Variance sum rule

The VSR holds for *d*-dimensional Markovian systems performing stochastic overdamped diffusion in a nonequilibrium steady state (NESS), for which the probability density function $p(\mathbf{x})$ does not change in time. Their coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x^i)$ (with $1 \le i \le d$) evolve with the overdamped Langevin equation

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_t = \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{F}_t + \sqrt{2} \boldsymbol{D} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_t \tag{1}$$

with constant symmetric diffusion matrix D and Gaussian white noise with moments $\langle \xi_{t'}^i \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \xi_{t'}^i \xi_{t''}^j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta(t' - t'')$. The symmetric mobility matrix μ multiplies the forces $F_t \equiv F(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$, which can have an explicit time dependence on an external protocol λ_t , provided that it establishes a steady state. We also assume the second fluctuation-dissipation theorem $D = k_B T \mu$, with diagonal temperature matrix T. The Langevin model as described in (1) is complementary to other approaches based, such as the Caldeira-Leggett model, where the thermal bath emerges as a collective system of harmonic oscillators interacting with the Brownian particle [89].

In this work, two key dynamical quantities are the displacement $\Delta x_t^i = x_t^i - x_0^i$ and the time-cumulative force or impulse $\Sigma_F^i(t) = \int_0^t dt' F_{t'}^i$. In particular, we focus on extracting information from (co)variances of these observables, which take the form $\mathcal{V}_A^{ij}(t) = \langle A_t^i A_t^j \rangle - \langle A_t^i \rangle \langle A_t^j \rangle$, with $\langle (\cdot) \rangle$ the dynamical average respect to the NESS. One may express these variances in terms of connected correlation functions between variables $A_{t'}^i \equiv A^i(x_{t'})$ and $B_{t''}^j \equiv B^j(x_{t''})$, which, for t = t' - t'', are homogeneous in time and are defined as

$$C_{AB}^{ij}(t) = C_{AB}^{ij}(t',t'') = \langle A_{t'}^i B_{t''}^j \rangle - \langle A_{t'}^i \rangle \langle B_{t''}^j \rangle.$$
⁽²⁾

In these conditions, and using Einstein's notation for sums over repeated indices, the *d*-dimensional VSR takes the form

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^{ij}(t) + \mu_{il} \mu_{jk} \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_F}^{lk}(t) = 2D_{ij} t + \mathcal{S}^{ij}(t)$$
(3)

where

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^{ij}(t) = \left\langle \Delta x_t^i \Delta x_t^j \right\rangle - \left\langle \Delta x_t^i \right\rangle \left\langle \Delta x_t^j \right\rangle \,, \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_F}^{ij}(t) = \int_0^t dt' \int_0^t dt'' C_{FF}^{ij}(t',t'') \,. \tag{5}$$

We define the *excess* (co)variance,

$$\mathcal{S}^{ij}(t) = 2 \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left[\mu_{jk} \left(C_{xF}^{ik}(t') - C_{Fx}^{ki}(t') \right) \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j}.$$
(6)



(a) Equilibrium

Figure 1. Schematic example, for a one-dimensional system, of the VSR's components (see the legends) for (a) a system in equilibrium and (b) out of equilibrium. We show both linear (left panels) and log-log plots (right panels). The grey-shaded area represents the excess variance.

where we indicate the symmetric part of a matrix **C** as

$$[C^{ij}]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \equiv \frac{C^{ij} + C^{ji}}{2} \tag{7}$$

Clearly, S(t) vanishes in equilibrium, where correlation functions are timesymmetric. However, out of equilibrium $S(t) \neq 0$. Figure 1 shows a sketch of the VSR in equilibrium and out of equilibrium for a one-dimensional system. The main difference between these cases is the appearance of $S(t) \neq 0$ out of equilibrium. Notice the different information conveyed by the linear plots (on the left) and the log-log plots (right).

In the next section, we will prove that the curvature of S(t) for $t \to 0$ is related to the entropy production rate σ which, in inverse of time units, reads

$$\sigma = v^{i} D_{ij}^{-1} v^{j} + D_{ij}^{-1} \partial_{t}^{2} \mathcal{S}^{ij}(t) \Big|_{t=0} , \qquad (8)$$

where $\boldsymbol{v} = \langle \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \rangle$ is the stationary mean velocity, and $D_{ij}^{-1} = (D^{-1})_{ij}$. In terms of variances, we rewrite (8) as

$$\sigma = v^{i} D_{ij}^{-1} v^{j} + \frac{1}{4} D_{ij}^{-1} \partial_{t}^{2} \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^{ij}(t) \bigg|_{t=0} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{ij} \mathcal{V}_{F}^{ij},$$
(9)

Variance sum rule: proofs and solvable models

where $\mathcal{V}_{F}^{ij} = \langle F^{i}F^{j} \rangle - \langle F^{i} \rangle \langle F^{j} \rangle$ is the force variance and we define the matrix \mathcal{M} with components

$$\mathcal{M}_{lk} = D_{ij}^{-1} \,\mu_{il} \,\mu_{jk} = k_B^{-1} T_{ir}^{-1} \,\mu_{rj}^{-1} \,\mu_{jk} \,\mu_{il} = k_B^{-1} T_{ki}^{-1} \,\mu_{il} \,, \tag{10}$$

(see further assumptions in the next session).

The solved models in the following sections have diagonal mobility and temperature matrices. Hence, entropy production collects diagonal contributions from (9). By denoting the diagonal components with $\mu_i \equiv \mu_{ii}$ and $T_i \equiv T_{ii}$, the entropy production rate (9) is rewritten as

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sigma_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{k_{B}T_{i}} \left[\frac{1}{\mu_{i}} (v^{i})^{2} + \frac{1}{4\mu_{i}} \partial_{t}^{2} \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^{i}(t) \Big|_{t=0} + \frac{\mu_{i}}{2} \mathcal{V}_{F}^{i} \right].$$
(11)

Here, for the variances of the displacement Δx^i and of the instantaneous force F^i , we have introduced the notation

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^{i}(t) = \left\langle (\Delta x_{t}^{i})^{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \Delta x_{t}^{i} \right\rangle^{2}, \qquad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_F^i = \langle (F^i)^2 \rangle - \langle F^i \rangle^2 \,. \tag{13}$$

Interestingly, in (11), the terms involving second derivatives are the only ones that can be negative. In particular, they compensate the positive force variances at equilibrium to yield $\sigma = 0$. As a consequence, we have the inequality

$$\sigma \ge \max(\sigma_c, 0) \qquad \text{with} \quad \sigma_c \equiv \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{4k_B T_i \mu_i} \left. \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^i(t) \right|_{t=0} \tag{14}$$

Such inequality suggests the condition

$$\sigma_c > 0 \tag{15}$$

as a measure of a strong departure from equilibrium. In practice, if relevant degrees of freedom x^i are accessible in a Markovian system, while forces are not measurable, from (14), one can still deduce that the system is significantly far from equilibrium, even if all mean drift velocities $v^i = 0$.

Before starting with the derivations, we recall that associated to the LE (1) there is the Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density $p_t \equiv p(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$,

$$\partial_t p_t = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_t = -\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nu}_t \, p_t) \,, \tag{16}$$

where $J_t \equiv J(x_t, t)$ is the probability flux and $v_t \equiv v(x_t, t)$ is the local mean velocity v_t ,

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_t = \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{F}_t - \boldsymbol{D} \, \nabla \ln p_t \,. \tag{17}$$

The excess variance can be related also to ν ,

$$\mathcal{S}_{ij}(t) = 4 \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \int_0^{t'} \mathrm{d}t'' \left[C^{ij}_{\dot{x}\nu}(t'') \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j}$$
(18)

as explained in Appendix C (using the results in Appendix A, and the proof of null covariance between position and mean local velocity in Appendix B). In one dimension, a *violation factor* related to the excess variance was previously introduced in [90], where it was shown that its magnitude measures the amount of violation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. This confirms that *S* relates to the distance from equilibrium and, thus, to entropy production and time reversal symmetry.

3. Derivations

We derive the formulas exposed in the previous section for systems evolving according to the stochastic overdamped dynamics described by (1). Each degree of freedom *i* is in contact with a heat bath at temperature T_i . Hence, the temperature matrix is diagonal $(T)_{ij} = T_i \delta_{ij}$. We restrict our domain to two cases in which the diffusion matrix D can be written as a product of T and a mobility matrix μ :

- i) The system is in contact with only one heat bath at temperature *T*, i.e. $T = T \mathbb{1}$. As a consequence, $D = k_B T \mu$, with μ symmetric;
- ii) T_i 's are different and $(\boldsymbol{\mu})_{ij} = \mu_i \,\delta_{ij}$ is diagonal, implying that $(\boldsymbol{D})_{ij} = k_B T_i \,\mu_i \,\delta_{ij}$.

To generate a NESS, the force could be a time-independent, nonconservative function of the position, $\mathbf{F}_t = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_t)$. In other cases, it could take the form $\mathbf{F}_t = -\nabla U(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{v}_t)$ if $U(\mathbf{x})$ is a potential energy and \mathbf{v} a constant velocity. For example, imagine a harmonic optical trap whose centre moves as $\lambda_t = \mathbf{v}_t$. Another case leading to a steady state is when λ_t also performs an autonomous stochastic motion (i.e., not depending on \mathbf{x}_t), as in the model of section 4.

We denote time derivatives of the correlation function (2) as $\dot{C}_{AB}^{ij}(t) \equiv \partial_t C_{AB}^{ij}(t)$. Their limit $\dot{C}_{AB}^{ij}(0^+) = \lim_{t\to 0^+} \dot{C}_{AB}^{ij}(t)$ is always taken for positive *t* approaching zero. Also, in case i = j, the notation is simplified to $C_{AB}^i = C_{AB}^{ii}$.

3.1. VSR

We start from the time integral of equation (1) with rearranged terms,

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}_t \equiv \boldsymbol{x}_t - \boldsymbol{x}_0 - \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{F}_{t'} = \sqrt{2\boldsymbol{D}} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t'}, \qquad (19)$$

where we defined $\Delta \mathcal{R}_t$, which is useful for calculations. Of course $\langle \Delta \mathcal{R}_t \rangle = 0$, while components of its covariance are (with $[C^{ij}]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = (C^{ij} + C^{ji})/2$)

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Delta\mathcal{R}}^{ij} = \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^{ij}(t) + \mu_{il}\mu_{jk}\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_F}^{lk}(t) - 2\int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left[\mu_{jk}\operatorname{Cov}\left(x_t^i - x_0^i, F_{t'}^k\right)\right]^{i\rightleftharpoons j} = 2D_{ij}t, \quad (20)$$

with (co)variances $\mathcal{V}_A^{ij}(t) = \langle A_t^i A_t^j \rangle - \langle A_t^i \rangle \langle A_t^j \rangle$ defined in the previous section and where, for the last term, we used the properties of the Gaussian white noise. We also continue to use Einstein's notation for repeated indexes. To complete the proof, we

exploit the time homogeneity of the correlation functions to rewrite equation (20) in a form equivalent to (3),

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^{ij}(t) + \mu_{il}\mu_{jk}\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_F}^{lk}(t) = 2D_{ij}t + 2\int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left[\mu_{jk}\left(C_{xF}^{ik}(t') - C_{Fx}^{ki}(t')\right)\right]^{i\rightleftharpoons j}.$$
 (21)

3.2. Entropy production

The mean entropy production rate σ is constant in a NESS, hence the entropy σdt produced on average in an infinitesimal time interval dt does not depend on the observation time t'. According to stochastic energetics [36], for a system described by (1), in k_B units for σ ,

$$\sigma dt = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{k_B T_i} \langle F_{t'}^i \circ dx_{t'}^i \rangle$$
(22)

where T_i is the temperature associated to the i^{th} degree of freedom, and $F_{t'}^i \circ dx_{t'}^i$ is the Stratonovich product between the force in the interval [t', t' + dt] and the displacement $dx_{t'}^i$ during that time step. Focusing on the average product of force and infinitesimal displacement, corresponding to the average infinitesimal amount of heat $\langle dQ^i \rangle = \langle F_{t'}^i \circ dx_{t'}^i \rangle$ going to reservoir *i* in a time dt, we can write

$$\langle F_{t'}^{i} \circ dx_{t'}^{i} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(F_{t'+dt}^{i} + F_{t'}^{i} \right) \left(x_{t'+dt}^{i} - x_{t'}^{i} \right) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(F_{t'+dt}^{i} + F_{t'}^{i} \right) \left(y_{t'+dt}^{i} - y_{t'}^{i} + v^{i} dt \right) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[C_{yF}^{i}(dt) - C_{Fy}^{i}(dt) \right] + v^{i} \mu_{ij}^{-1} v^{j} dt$$

$$(23)$$

where $y_t^i = x_t^i - v^i t$ is the position in the frame moving at constant speed v^i , where correlation functions are indeed homogeneous in time. Also, in (23) we used that equation (1) implies $\mu_{ji} \langle F_{t'}^i \rangle = v^j$, and $\mu_{ij}^{-1} = (\mu^{-1})_{ij}$. By performing a Taylor expansion up to order dt, one gets

$$\langle F_{t'}^{i} \circ dx_{t'}^{i} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left[\dot{C}_{yF}^{i}(0^{+}) - \dot{C}_{Fy}^{i}(0^{+}) \right] dt + v^{i} \mu_{ij}^{-1} v^{j} dt = \frac{1}{2} \left[\dot{C}_{xF}^{i}(0^{+}) - \dot{C}_{Fx}^{i}(0^{+}) \right] dt + v^{i} \mu_{ij}^{-1} v^{j} dt .$$

$$(24)$$

Here, we use that correlation functions involving y_t^i are equal to those involving x_t^i up to a constant scaling linearly with time. With (24) we rewrite (22) as

$$\sigma = v^{i} D_{ij}^{-1} v^{j} + \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2k_{B}T_{i}} \left[\dot{C}_{xF}^{i}(0^{+}) - \dot{C}_{Fx}^{i}(0^{+}) \right]$$
(25)

where $D_{ij}^{-1} = (\mathbf{D}^{-1})_{ij}$ and \mathbf{D} satisfies one of the two conditions i) and ii) listed at the beginning of this section. To our knowledge, (25) is a novel result, connecting σ in (22) with the time asymmetry of the position-force correlation functions. This formula is the intermediate step in the derivation of our main results, continued hereafter.

3.3. Entropy production from the VSR

Consider now the multidimensional VSR (21), multiply both sides by D_{ij}^{-1} and sum over *i*, *j*, hence obtaining, in Einstein notation,

$$D_{ij}^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^{ij}(t) + \mathcal{M}_{ij} \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_F}^{ij}(t) = 2dt + 2D_{ij}^{-1} \int_0^t dt' \left[\mu_{jk} \left(C_{xF}^{ik}(t') - C_{Fx}^{ki}(t') \right) \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j}, \quad (26)$$

where d is the total number of degrees of freedom and

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = D_{kl}^{-1} \mu_{li} \, \mu_{kj} \,. \tag{27}$$

In d = 1, the latter corresponds to $\mathcal{M} = \mu/k_B T$. Because of the hypotheses made on the diffusion matrix, it holds that $k_B D_{ik}^{-1} \mu_{kj} = (\mathbf{T}^{-1})_{ij} \equiv T_{ij}^{-1}$, implying that the last term in (26) becomes

$$2D_{ij}^{-1} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left[\mu_{jk} \left(C_{xF}^{ik}(t') - C_{Fx}^{ki}(t') \right) \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = 2k_B^{-1} T_{ij}^{-1} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left(C_{xF}^{ij}(t') - C_{Fx}^{ji}(t') \right).$$
(28)

Since the latter is diagonal, one can rewrite equation (28) as

$$2k_B^{-1}T_{ij}^{-1}\int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left(C_{xF}^{ij}(t') - C_{Fx}^{ji}(t')\right) = \sum_i \frac{2}{k_B T_i} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left(C_{xF}^i(t') - C_{Fx}^i(t')\right).$$
(29)

Finally, by taking the second derivative evaluated at t = 0 of (26) and using (25), (27), and (29), one immediately sees that

$$\sigma = v^i D_{ij}^{-1} v^j + \frac{1}{4} D_{ij}^{-1} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^{ij}(t)|_{t=0} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{ij} \mathcal{V}_F^{ij} , \qquad (30)$$

because $\mathcal{M}_{ij}\partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_F}^{ij}(t)|_{t=0} = 2\mathcal{M}_{ij}\mathcal{V}_F^{ij}$. Hence, the latter equation corresponds to (9).

4. Stochastic switching trap

In this Section, we start the illustration of the VSR with analytically solved models. First, we provide more details on a model presented in [87] where a Brownian particle with mobility μ , in water at a temperature *T*, is driven by a harmonic trap whose center λ_t jumps stochastically between the positions $\{0, \Delta\lambda\}$. The potential energy thus is $U(x_t, \theta_t) = \kappa (x_t - \Delta\lambda \theta_t)^2 / 2$, with dichotomous stochastic variable $\theta_t = \{0, 1\}$. The trap undergoes a Markovian jumping dynamics with jumping rates w_0 for the $0 \rightarrow 1$ transition and w_1 for the reverse one. Each jump of θ_t changes instantaneously the particle's potential energy by performing a mechanical work that, on average, is positive. This injected mechanical power equals the average heat flux dissipating energy to the bath, which leads to a positive average entropy production rate σ . The stationary average of θ_t can be written as $q = \langle \theta_t \rangle = w_0/w$, where $w = w_0 + w_1$. A Langevin equation models the dynamics of the particle's position,

$$\dot{x}_t = -\mu\kappa \left(x_t - \Delta\lambda\theta_t \right) + \sqrt{2\,k_B T\,\mu}\,\xi_t\,,\tag{31}$$

with $\langle \xi_{t'} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \xi_{t'} \xi_{t''} \rangle = \delta(t' - t'')$. We will show how to calculate relevant quantities in terms of stationary connected correlation functions $C_{xx}(t)$, $C_{x\theta}(t)$, $C_{\theta x}(t)$ and $C_{\theta\theta}(t)$. To compute these correlations, we turn to a fine time-step description of the dynamics,

$$x_{t+dt} = x_t - \mu \kappa x_t dt + \mu \kappa \Delta \lambda \theta_t dt + \sqrt{2k_B T} \mu d\mathcal{B}_t^x$$
(32a)

$$\theta_{t+dt} = \theta_t + (1 - 2\theta_t)\Theta(w_{\theta_t}dt - r)$$
(32b)

where *r* is random variable with uniform probability distribution on [0, 1] and $\Theta(\cdot)$ is the Heaviside step function. By multiplying (32a) respectively by x_0 or θ_0 , then taking stationary averages, and removing products of $\langle x_t \rangle = \langle x_0 \rangle = q \Delta \lambda$, $\langle \theta_t \rangle = \langle \theta_0 \rangle = q$, one obtains

$$\partial_t C_{xx}(t) = -\mu \kappa C_{xx}(t) + \mu \kappa \Delta \lambda C_{\theta x}(t), \qquad (33a)$$

$$\partial_t C_{x\theta}(t) = -\mu \kappa C_{x\theta}(t) + \mu \kappa \Delta \lambda C_{\theta\theta}(t).$$
(33b)

In a similar way, by multiplying (32b) by x_0 or θ_0 , we get to

$$\partial_t C_{\theta x}(t) = -w C_{\theta x}(t) , \qquad (33c)$$

$$\partial_t C_{\theta\theta}(t) = -w C_{\theta\theta}(t).$$
 (33d)

Similarly, the stationary initial conditions

$$C_{xx}(0) = \frac{k_B T}{\kappa} + \frac{\kappa \Delta \lambda^2 \mu q(1-q)}{(w+\mu\kappa)}$$

$$C_{x\theta}(0) = \frac{\kappa \Delta \lambda \mu q(1-q)}{w+\mu\kappa} = C_{\theta x}(0)$$

$$C_{\theta \theta}(0) = q(1-q).$$
(34)

emerge from terms of order dt in the self and cross-products of equations (32). With these initial conditions, we solve (33) with standard techniques, obtaining

$$C_{xx}(t) = \left(\frac{k_B T}{\kappa} + \frac{\kappa \Delta \lambda^2 \mu q(1-q)}{(w+\mu\kappa)}\right) e^{-\mu\kappa t} + \frac{(\kappa \Delta \lambda)^2 \mu^2 q(1-q)}{w^2 - \mu^2 \kappa^2} \left(e^{-\mu\kappa t} - e^{-wt}\right)$$

$$C_{x\theta}(t) = \frac{\kappa \Delta \lambda \mu q(1-q)}{w+\mu\kappa} e^{-\mu\kappa t} + \frac{\kappa \Delta \lambda \mu q(1-q)}{w-\mu\kappa} \left(e^{-\mu\kappa t} - e^{-wt}\right)$$

$$C_{\theta x}(t) = \frac{\kappa \Delta \lambda \mu q(1-q)}{w+\mu\kappa} e^{-\mu\kappa t}$$

$$C_{\theta \theta}(t) = q(1-q)e^{-wt}.$$
(35)

From these correlation functions, and by defining $\epsilon = \kappa \Delta \lambda \sqrt{q(1-q)}$, one can compute the variance of the relative displacement, that is

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Delta x_{t}} = \langle (x_{t} - x_{0})^{2} \rangle$$

=2(C_{xx}(0) - C_{xx}(t))
=2 \left[\left(\frac{k_{B} T}{\kappa} + \frac{\epsilon^{2} \mu}{\kappa(\kappa + \mu\kappa)} \right) (1 - \epsilon^{-\mu\kappa t}) + \frac{\epsilon^{2} \mu^{2} \mu^{2}}{w^{2} - \mu^{2} \kappa^{2}} \left(\epsilon^{-wt} - \epsilon^{-\mu\kappa t}) \right]. (36)

Note that ϵ can be interpreted as the strength of an active force [87] and for $\epsilon = 0$, one recovers the usual formula for the relative displacement of the equilibrium Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process, $C_{xx}(t) = (k_B T/\kappa)e^{-\mu\kappa t}$. The second term we need for the VSR is the variance of the time integral of the force $F_t = -\kappa x_t + \kappa \Delta \lambda \theta_t$. The symmetry of the correlation functions $\langle F_{t'} F_{t''} \rangle$ grants that

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_F}(t) = \int_0^t dt' \int_0^t dt'' \langle F_{t'} F_{t''} \rangle = 2 \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' \langle F_{t''} F_0 \rangle.$$
(37)

This allows computing

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_{F}}(t) = 2 \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}t' \int_{0}^{t'} \mathrm{d}t'' \left(\kappa^{2} C_{xx}(t'') + (\kappa \Delta \lambda)^{2} C_{\theta\theta}(t'') - \kappa^{2} \Delta \lambda (C_{x\theta}(t'') + C_{\theta x}(t'')) \right)$$
$$= \frac{2}{\mu \kappa} \left[\frac{k_{B}T}{\mu} \left(\mu \kappa t + 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mu \kappa t} \right) + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{(w + \mu \kappa)} \left(1 - \frac{w \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mu \kappa t}}{w - \mu \kappa} + \frac{\mu \kappa \, \mathrm{e}^{-w t}}{w - \mu \kappa} \right) \right]$$
(38)

We may compute S(t) with the VSR and equations (36) and (38),

$$S(t) = \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x_t}(t) + \mu^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_F}(t) - 2k_B T \mu t$$

$$= \frac{4\epsilon^2}{\kappa(w + \mu\kappa)} \left(1 - \frac{w e^{-\mu\kappa t}}{w - \mu\kappa} + \frac{\mu\kappa e^{-wt}}{w - \mu\kappa} \right).$$
(39)

An example of the temporal evolution of all terms of the VSR derived above is shown in Figure 2.

Finally, we can also compute the average entropy production rate in a steady state (with no drift, v = 0). From (11) we get,

$$\frac{1}{4\mu k_B T} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}(t)|_{t=0} = -\kappa \mu + \frac{\epsilon^2 \mu}{2k_B T (1 + \kappa \mu / w)}$$
$$\frac{\mu}{2k_B T} \mathcal{V}_F = \kappa \mu + \frac{\epsilon^2 \mu}{2k_B T (1 + \kappa \mu / w)}, \tag{40}$$

hence

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{4\mu k_B T} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}(t)|_{t=0} + \frac{\mu}{2k_B T} \mathcal{V}_F = \frac{\epsilon^2 \mu}{k_B T (1 + \kappa \mu / w)}$$
(41)



Figure 2. For the stochastic switching trap, various quantities in the VSR vs time (see legend), for (a) $\epsilon = 0$ (equilibrium) (b) $\epsilon = 3/2$, and (c) $\epsilon = 3$. Common parameters are w = 2/5, $k_BT = \mu = \kappa = 1$. The gray area represents a positive S(t).



Figure 3. Entropy production for a particle in a stochastic switching trap as a function of the nonequilibrium strength $\epsilon = \kappa \Delta \lambda \sqrt{q(1-q)}$. The parameters are the same of figure 2.

(see also [91]). As expected, σ is zero for $\epsilon = 0$, namely in equilibrium, where the two terms $\frac{1}{4\mu k_B T} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}(t)|_{t=0} = -\kappa \mu$ and $\frac{\mu}{2k_B T} \mathcal{V}_F = \kappa \mu$ cancel each other. As also shown in Figure 3, by going gradually further away from equilibrium, one reaches a value of ϵ where the curvature $\partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}(t)|_{t=0}$ changes sign and there starts a regime of positive curvature that is, according to the criterion (15), a clear sign of nonequilibrium conditions.

We can also check that this expression matches σ from the stochastic energetics

equation (22),

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{k_B T} \left\langle F_t \circ \dot{x}_t \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\kappa}{k_B T} \left\langle -x_t \circ \dot{x}_t + \Delta \lambda \,\theta_t \circ \dot{x}_t \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\kappa}{k_B T} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle x_t^2 \rangle + \mu \,\Delta \lambda \,\left\langle \theta_t \circ \left(-\kappa \,x_t + \kappa \,\Delta \lambda \theta_t + \sqrt{2 \,k_B T / \mu} \,\xi_t \right) \right\rangle \right] \qquad (42)$$

$$= \frac{\mu \,\kappa^2 \,\Delta \lambda}{k_B T} \left[\Delta \lambda \,C_{\theta \theta}(0) - C_{\theta x}(0) \right]$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon^2 \,\mu}{k_B T (1 + \kappa \mu / w)}$$

where between the last two lines we used that $\langle x_t^2 \rangle$ is constant and that $\langle \theta_t \circ \xi_t \rangle = 0$.

5. Brownian vortex

We consider the Langevin equations (1) for a particle with mobility μ subject to a nonconservative force field in two dimensions. Its position is denoted as $\mathbf{x}_t = (x_t, y_t)$. A parabolic potential $U(x, y) = \kappa_1 x^2/2 + \kappa_2 y^2/2$ contributes with a conservative force $f_e(x_t, y_t) = (-\kappa_1 x_t, -\kappa_2 y_t)$ to the dynamics. In addition, in the total deterministic force $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{f}_c + \mathbf{f}$ there is a non conservative component $f(x, y) = (-\Phi_1 y, \Phi_2 x)$. The non-conservative and non-reciprocal force f(x, y) is known to drive the system out of equilibrium [92, 84]. By setting $\kappa_1 = \alpha \kappa$, $\kappa_2 = \kappa$, $\Phi_1 = \Phi$ and $\Phi_2 = \alpha \Phi$ here we specialize to a case in which $f_c \perp \mathbf{f}$, see the sketch in Figure 4. Moreover, we take a diagonal diffusion matrix $D_{ij} = k_B T \mu \delta_{ij}$. The dynamics is thus given by a coupled pair of linear stochastic differential equations,

$$\dot{x}_t = \mu(-\alpha\kappa x_t - \Phi y_t) + \sqrt{2k_BT\mu} \xi_t^x$$

$$\dot{y}_t = \mu(-\kappa y_t + \alpha\Phi x_t) + \sqrt{2k_BT\mu} \xi_t^y,$$
(43)

with $\langle \xi_{t'}^i \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \xi_{t'}^i \xi_{t''}^j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta(t' - t'')$. One can easily verify that the stationary solution of the FP equation has the same Gaussian shape as it would have in equilibrium (i.e for $\Phi = 0$),

$$p_t^{\text{st}} \sim \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha \kappa x_t^2 + \kappa y_t^2}{2 k_B T}\right)$$
, (44)

which implies that $\langle \boldsymbol{x}_t \rangle = (0, 0)$ and

$$\mathcal{V}_{x}^{ij} = \frac{k_B T}{\alpha \kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \tag{45}$$



Figure 4. Components of the force field for the Brownian vortex model with $\Phi = 2$ and $\alpha = 1/2$: black arrows are examples of the conservative component $f_c = -\nabla U$ along equipotential lines, red arrows are the nonequilibrium field f on the same points.

where $\mathcal{V}_x^{ij} = \langle x_t^i x_t^j \rangle - \langle x_t^i \rangle \langle x_t^j \rangle = \langle x_t^i x_t^j \rangle$ is the covariance matrix. Moreover, the mean local velocity defined in (17) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_t = \mu \, \left(-\nabla U_t + \boldsymbol{f}_t \right) - k_B T \, \mu \, \nabla \ln p_t^{\text{st}} = \mu \, \boldsymbol{f}_t \,, \tag{46}$$

showing that the non-equilibrium state is due to the nonconservative force f.

In the following we find analytically the terms of the VSR. To calculate relevant quantities associated to the Brownian vortex dynamics, we apply the Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}(g_t) = \hat{g}_s = \int_0^\infty dt \, e^{-st} g_t$ to (43),

$$\begin{cases} s \, \hat{x}_s - x_0 = -\alpha \, \mu \, \kappa \, \hat{x}_s - \mu \, \Phi \, \hat{y}_s + \sqrt{2 \, k_B T \, \mu} \, \hat{\xi}_s^x \\ s \, \hat{y}_s - y_0 = -\mu \, \kappa \, \hat{y}_s + \alpha \, \mu \, \Phi \, \hat{x}_s + \sqrt{2 \, k_B T \, \mu} \, \hat{\xi}_s^y \end{cases}$$
(47)

(where $\mathcal{L}(\dot{g}_t) = s\hat{g}_s - g_0$), which can be rearranged to get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}_s \\ \hat{y}_s \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_s \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + \sqrt{2k_B T \mu} \, \hat{\xi}_s^x \\ y_0 + \sqrt{2k_B T \mu} \, \hat{\xi}_s^y \end{pmatrix}$$
(48)

In these equations, written in matrix notation, we introduced the susceptibility matrix, defined via its Laplace transform,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{s} = \frac{1}{(s+\alpha\,\mu\,\kappa)(s+\mu\,\kappa) + \alpha\,\mu^{2}\,\Phi^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} s+\mu\,\kappa & -\mu\,\Phi\\ \alpha\,\mu\,\Phi & s+\alpha\,\mu\,\kappa \end{pmatrix}. \tag{49}$$

By further defining the function

$$\mathcal{T}_{t} \equiv \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{(s + \alpha \,\mu \,\kappa)(s + \mu \,\kappa) + \alpha \,\mu^{2} \,\Phi^{2}} \right] = \frac{\sin\left(t \,\mu \sqrt{\alpha \,\Phi^{2} - \frac{(1 - \alpha)^{2} \kappa^{2}}{4}}\right)}{\mu \sqrt{\alpha \,\Phi^{2} - \frac{(1 - \alpha)^{2} \kappa^{2}}{4}}} e^{-\kappa \,\mu(1 + \alpha)t/2},$$
(50)

one can rewrite the susceptibility matrix,

$$\boldsymbol{\chi}_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \mu \kappa \mathcal{T}_{t} & -\mu \Phi \mathcal{T}_{t} \\ \alpha \mu \Phi \mathcal{T}_{t} & \dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \alpha \mu \kappa \mathcal{T}_{t} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (51)$$

where we also used that $\dot{\mathcal{T}}_t = \mathcal{L}^{-1} [s \hat{\mathcal{T}}_s]$ because $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0$. With this, by performing an inverse Laplace transform of (48) to real time, the solution of (43) can be expressed as

$$x_t^i = \chi_t^{ij} x_0^j + \sqrt{2k_B T \mu} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \, \chi_{t-t'}^{ij} \, \xi_{t'}^j \,, \tag{52}$$

where summation over repeated indexes is understood. In a stationary state with PDF given by (44) it holds $\langle \mathbf{x}_t \rangle = (0,0)$ for every *t* and clearly (52) is consistent with this. Moreover, by using (52) one can easily calculate the steady-state correlation functions

$$\left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_{t} \, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle = \boldsymbol{\chi}_{t} \left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle = \boldsymbol{\chi}_{t} \, \mathcal{V}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$= \frac{k_{B}T}{\alpha \, \kappa} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \mu \, \kappa \, \mathcal{T}_{t} & -\alpha \, \mu \, \Phi \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \\ \alpha \, \mu \, \Phi \, \mathcal{T}_{t} & \alpha \, \dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \alpha^{2} \, \mu \, \kappa \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(53)$$

where we used equation (45) and that, in the Ito convention, $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}(t') \boldsymbol{x}_0 \rangle = 0$ for every $t' \ge 0$.

The correlation functions shown in (53) are the building blocks for covariances of the VSR. We proceed by computing first the covariance matrix of the relative displacement,

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Delta \mathbf{x}}(t) = \left\langle (\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_0) (\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbf{x}_0^{\mathrm{T}}) \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_0 \right\rangle \left\langle \mathbf{x}_t^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbf{x}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle$$
$$= 2 \left\langle \mathbf{x}_0 \, \mathbf{x}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathbf{x}_t \, \mathbf{x}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathbf{x}_t \, \mathbf{x}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$= \frac{2 \, k_B T}{\alpha \, \kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \dot{\mathcal{T}}_t + \mu \, \kappa \mathcal{T}_t & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \left(1 - \dot{\mathcal{T}}_t + \mu \, \kappa \mathcal{T}(t) \right) \end{pmatrix} .$$
(54)

where we used $\langle \boldsymbol{x}_t - \boldsymbol{x}_0 \rangle = 0$.

The covariance matrix of the integral of the forces can be calculated by noting that

$$F(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \kappa x_t - \Phi y_t \\ -\kappa y_t + \alpha \Phi x_t \end{pmatrix},$$
(55)

meaning that the correlation function $\langle \mathbf{F}_{t'} \mathbf{F}_{t''}^{\mathrm{T}} \rangle$ can be again expressed in terms of the

components of (53), and that $\langle F_t \rangle = 0$, which leads to

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{F}}}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t} dt'' \left\langle \mathbf{F}_{t'} \mathbf{F}_{t''}^{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle = \\ = \frac{2k_{B}T}{\kappa} \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t'} dt'' \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha \kappa^{2} + \Phi^{2})\dot{\mathcal{T}}(t'') + & 2\Phi\kappa(1-\alpha)\dot{\mathcal{T}}(t'') \\ +\alpha \mu\kappa(\kappa^{2} + \Phi^{2})\mathcal{T}(t'') & (\kappa^{2} + \alpha \Phi^{2})\dot{\mathcal{T}}(t'') + \\ 2\Phi\kappa(1-\alpha)\dot{\mathcal{T}}(t'') & (\kappa^{2} + \alpha \Phi^{2})\dot{\mathcal{T}}(t'') + \\ +\alpha \mu\kappa(\kappa^{2} + \Phi^{2})\mathcal{T}(t'') \end{pmatrix}.$$
(56)

Finally, one can calculate the excess variance $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ by applying (6) and one gets

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}(t) = \frac{4\mu^2 k_B T}{\kappa} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^2 \,\mathcal{T}(t') & \Phi \,\kappa \,(1-\alpha) \,\mathcal{T}(t') \\ \Phi \,\kappa \,(1-\alpha) \,\mathcal{T}(t') & \Phi^2 \,\mathcal{T}(t') \end{pmatrix} \,. \tag{57}$$

We have thus obtained all terms in the VSR for the Brownian vortex. As an illustration, in Figure 5, the first row shows examples of the VSR (3) for the Brownian vortex in equilibrium ($\Phi = 0$), corresponding to a simple harmonic trap, while the second and third rows show how the excess variance *S* (gray area) grows in nonequilibrium conditions and how the sum of position and force variances deviates from 2*Dt* while still fulfilling the VSR. Since the particle is trapped by the harmonic potential, the variance of the displacement converges to a constant at large times (see *xx* and *yy* components in the first and second column, respectively). Its oscillatory convergence in a strong nonequilibrium regime (Figure 5(c)) is due to the vorticity induced by the nonconservative force *f*. The asymmetry of the system ($\alpha = 1/2$) induces also a non-trivial cross-covariance between the *x* and the *y* components (third column of Figure 5).

From the above terms of the VSR we can compute the contributions to the entropy production rate σ . The diffusion matrix **D** is diagonal, hence we only need the *x*-*x* and *y*-*y* terms:

$$\frac{1}{4\mu k_B T} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}|_{t=0} = \frac{\mu \left(\Phi^2 - \alpha \kappa^2\right)}{2\kappa}$$
(58a)

$$\frac{1}{4\mu k_B T} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta y}|_{t=0} = \frac{\mu \left(\alpha \Phi^2 - \kappa^2\right)}{2\kappa}$$
(58b)

$$\frac{\mu}{2k_BT}\mathcal{V}_{F_x} = \frac{\mu\left(\Phi^2 + \alpha\kappa^2\right)}{2\kappa} \tag{58c}$$

$$\frac{\mu}{2k_BT}\mathcal{V}_{F_y} = \frac{\mu\left(\alpha\Phi^2 + \kappa^2\right)}{2\kappa} \tag{58d}$$

$$\sigma = \Phi^2 \frac{\mu(1+\alpha)}{\kappa} \tag{58e}$$



Figure 5. For the Brownian vortex, various quantities in the VSR vs time (see legend, where V_{Σ_F} stands for a component of the covariance of integrated forces in (56)); columns of panels are, respectively for *x*-*x*, *y*-*y*, and *x*-*y* covariances. Common parameters are $k_B T = \mu = \kappa = 1$, $\alpha = 1/2$ and different rows are for (a) $\Phi = 0$ (equilibrium) (b) $\Phi = 3/4$, and (c) $\Phi = 3$. Each column of panels thus shows the same component of the VSR at different levels of nonequilibrium. Note that $D_{xy} = 0$ and S_{xy} is the only nontrivial term in the *x*-*y* sector of the VSR. The gray areas represent a positive S(t).

Here σ is computed directly from the second derivative of S(t) and, according to our equation (11), it is equal to the sum of the terms in (58a)-(58d). The expression (58e) also matches σ obtained with Spinney and Ford's formula [93] using the local velocity $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ from equation (46),

$$\sigma = \left\langle \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \, \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \right\rangle = \frac{\mu \, \Phi^2}{k_B T} \left(\langle y_t^2 \rangle + \alpha^2 \langle x_t^2 \rangle \right) = \Phi^2 \frac{\mu (1+\alpha)}{\kappa} \,, \tag{59}$$

where we used (53) along with $\langle \boldsymbol{x}_t \boldsymbol{x}_t^T \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{x}_0 \boldsymbol{x}_0^T \rangle$ in this NESS (because $\langle \boldsymbol{x}_t \rangle = 0$), and $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0, \dot{\mathcal{T}}(0) = 1$, cf. Eq. (50). Note that, curiously, σ does not depend on the bath temperature in this model.

Figure 6 shows the various terms composing σ . The illustration shows that pairs of mutually compensating terms (58a)-(58c), and in (58b)-(58d) cancel each other in equilibrium, i.e., at $\Phi = 0$.



Figure 6. For the Brownian vortex ($T = \mu = \kappa = 1$, $\alpha = 3$), entropy production rate σ vs the strength of the nonequilibrium force Φ , and terms contributing to it. The sum of the second derivatives of the displacement's covariances, σ_c , becomes positive at $\Phi = 1$. With (15), we deem $\Phi > 1$ a strong nonequilibrium regime.

Empirically, the measurement of the local mean velocity $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ gives estimates of σ [15], even if forces were not measurable. In addition, one could use (14) and (15) along with (58a) and (58b) to infer $\sigma > 0$ and strong nonequilibrium conditions. In this model, we know that this occurs for $\Phi > \kappa$, i.e., where the sum of terms with second derivatives turns from negative to positive. It indicates that $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}(t)$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta y}(t)$ grow faster than normal diffusion $\sim t$ at short times.

6. Brownian gyrator

The Brownian gyrator is a minimal model for microscopic heat engines operating on the nanoscale [94]. Some of its experimental realisations use circuits with components at different temperatures [95, 96, 97, 98, 85]. Following previous theoretical works on this topic [84, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103], we consider a set of two LEs with a parabolic potential $U(x, y) = \kappa (x^2 + y^2)/2 + \alpha \kappa x y$, where $-1 < \alpha < 1$ is a factor determining the asymmetry of the potential landscape and with diffusion matrix equal to

$$\boldsymbol{D} = \begin{pmatrix} k_B T_1 \,\mu_1 & 0\\ 0 & k_B T_1 \,\mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{60}$$

hence leading to

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_t &= -\mu_1(\kappa \, x_t + \alpha \, \kappa \, y_t) + \sqrt{2 \, k_B T_1 \, \mu_1} \, \xi_t^x \\ \dot{y}_t &= -\mu_2(\kappa \, y_t + \alpha \, \kappa \, x_t) + \sqrt{2 \, k_B T_2 \, \mu_2} \, \xi_t^y \,, \end{cases}$$
(61)



Figure 7. For the Brownian gyrator, various quantities in the VSR vs time (see the legend, where V_{Σ_F} stands for a component of the covariance of integrated forces in (D.17)); columns of panels are, respectively for *x*-*x*, *y*-*y*, and *x*-*y* covariances. Common parameters are $k_BT_1 = \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \kappa = 1$, $\alpha = 3/4$ and different rows are for (a) $T_2 = T_1$ (equilibrium), (b) $k_BT_2 = 2$, and (c) $k_BT_2 = 3$. For the *x*-*x* component, the grey area represents a positive S(t) while, for the *y*-*y* component, the pink area represents a negative S(t).

with $\langle \xi_{t'}^i \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \xi_{t'}^i \xi_{t''}^j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \,\delta(t' - t'')$. The solution of this model is in Appendix D. In the following, we show examples of its VSR and discuss the terms contributing to entropy production.

In Figure 7 we show an example of VSR for all components of the Brownian gyrator. In this case, there emerges a novel behaviour for the excess variance S(t): it turns out to be negative for the component *y*-*y*, which is the degree of freedom in the reservoir at higher temperature $T_2 > T_1$.

From the various (excess) variances found in Appendix D, we can compute the contributions to σ (11). As for the Brownian vortex, since the diffusion matrix **D** is



Figure 8. For the Brownian gyrator, we plot various terms contributing to σ and its total value as a function of the temperature imbalance $T_2 - T_1$. According to (15), strong nonequilibrium conditions emerge where the sum of the second derivatives of the displacement's covariances, σ_c , becomes positive.

diagonal, we just need the *x*-*x* and *y*-*y* contributions to σ ,

$$\frac{1}{4\mu_1 k_B T_1} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}|_{t=0} = \frac{\alpha^2 \kappa \mu_1 \mu_2 (T_2 - T_1)}{2(\mu_1 + \mu_2) T_1} - \frac{\kappa \mu_1}{2}$$
(62a)

$$\frac{1}{4\mu_2 k_B T_2} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta y}|_{t=0} = \frac{\alpha^2 \kappa \mu_1 \mu_2 (T_1 - T_2)}{2(\mu_1 + \mu_2) T_2} - \frac{\kappa \mu_2}{2}$$
(62b)

$$\frac{\mu_1}{2k_BT_1}\mathcal{V}_{F_x} = \frac{\alpha^2\kappa\mu_1\mu_2(T_2 - T_1)}{2(\mu_1 + \mu_2)T_1} + \frac{\kappa\mu_1}{2}$$
(62c)

$$\frac{\mu_2}{2k_B T_2} \mathcal{V}_{F_y} = \frac{\alpha^2 \kappa \mu_1 \mu_2 (T_1 - T_2)}{2(\mu_1 + \mu_2) T_2} + \frac{\kappa \mu_2}{2}$$
(62d)

$$\sigma_x = (62a) + (62c) = \alpha^2 \kappa \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2 (T_2 - T_1)}{(\mu_1 + \mu_2) T_1}$$
(62e)

$$\sigma_y = (62b) + (62d) = \alpha^2 \kappa \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2 (T_1 - T_2)}{(\mu_1 + \mu_2) T_2}$$
(62f)

$$\sigma = \sigma_x + \sigma_y = \alpha^2 \kappa \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \frac{(T_1 - T_2)^2}{T_1 T_2}.$$
 (62g)

From this expression, one can note that σ becomes equal to zero if global equilibrium with $T_1 = T_2$ is established or if the potential energy has no cross term ($\alpha = 0$), preventing energy transfer between x and y components, which thermalise independently.

In Figure 8 we plot all terms above as a function of the temperature difference $T_2 - T_1$. Due to energy equipartition, (62b) is equal to (62d) in equilibrium, where

also (62a)=(62c) for symmetry. By increasing the difference $T_2 - T_1$, we recover that the degree of freedom at the higher temperature (*y*) extracts on average heat from its reservoir ($T_2\sigma_y < 0$). The system delivers it to the colder reservoir ($T_1\sigma_x > 0$), with total entropy production rate $\sigma > 0$. Again, we note a point $T_2 - T_1 \simeq 8$ where the sum of second time-derivatives (62a) and (62b) of the displacement variances ($V_{\Delta x}(t)$ and $V_{\Delta y}(t)$) become positive, marking the onset of the strong non-equilibrium regime where $\sigma_c \ge 0$ (cf. Eq. (14)).

7. Discussion

We have studied the recently introduced VSR [87], which helps visualise the degree of nonequilibrium in overdamped diffusive systems and leads to novel formulas for the entropy production rate σ . In dimensionless units, our main formula (8) for σ reduces, for diagonal diffusion matrices, to (11), i.e.,

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{k_B T_i} \left[\frac{1}{\mu_i} (v^i)^2 + \frac{1}{4\mu_i} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^i(t) \Big|_{t=0} + \frac{\mu_i}{2} \mathcal{V}_F^i \right] \,. \tag{11}$$

For the first time, (11) shows that the second-order time derivative of the displacement's variance contains information on the dissipative processes underlying the particles' dynamics. The sum of these derivatives is negative in equilibrium to compensate for the positive sum of force variances. This leads us to introduce a criterion for discriminating strong nonequilibrium conditions, marked by the point where the sum of second-order time derivatives of displacement's variances, σ_c , becomes positive, see (14) and (15). Equation (11) and the more general form (9) with their secondorder time derivative term at time zero bear resemblance with the short-time thermodynamic uncertainty relation derived by Manikandan and collaborators [44, 76, 104]. In this case, currents must be optimized to find good estimates; however, in most cases, σ values are too small [105, 13].

An intermediate step in our derivation is (25), which here is simplified for diagonal diffusion matrices to

$$\sigma = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{k_B T_i} \left[v^i \langle F^i \rangle + \frac{\dot{C}_{xF}^i(0^+) - \dot{C}_{Fx}^i(0^+)}{2} \right]$$
(63)

This formula stems from the standard one for entropy production in a NESS, derived in stochastic energetics [36],

$$\sigma = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{k_B T_i} \left\langle F^i \circ \frac{dx^i}{dt} \right\rangle \tag{22}$$

for which Sekimoto [36] also provided an alternate version,

$$\sigma = \sum_{i} \frac{\mu_{i}}{k_{B}T_{i}} \left[\left\langle (F^{i})^{2} \right\rangle + k_{B}T_{i} \left\langle \frac{\partial F^{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \right\rangle \right]$$
(64)

We may rewrite (11) to get closer to (64). Since the the average velocity $v^i = \langle x_t^i - x_0^i \rangle / t$ is related to the average force by $v^i = \mu_i \langle F^i \rangle$, one can reshape the variance of forces $\mathcal{V}_F^i = \langle (F^i)^2 \rangle - \langle F^i \rangle^2 = \langle (F^i)^2 \rangle - (v^i / \mu_i)^2$ in (11). Furthermore, since the variance of the displacement is related to the autocorrelation function $(\mathcal{V}_{\Delta x}^i(t) = \langle (x_t^i)^2 \rangle + \langle (x_0^i)^2 \rangle - 2 \langle x_t^i x_0^i \rangle - \langle x_t^i - x_0^i \rangle^2)$, we can rewrite (11) as

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\mu_i}{2k_B T_i} \left[\left(\frac{v^i}{\mu_i} \right)^2 + \left\langle (F^i)^2 \right\rangle - \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} \partial_t^2 C_{xx}^i(t) \bigg|_{t=0} \right].$$
(65)

This formula is our last new result. Note the presence of a 1/2 prefactor in (65), which is not present in (64).

All formulas above rely on measurements of forces, and (22) is the simplest among them and does not need mobility values. The formula (64) is purely based on instantaneous averages but requires the knowledge of the forces' gradients, which might be nontrivial to obtain. Knowledge of forces can lead to good estimates of σ [46], but an experimental challenge is sampling stochastic trajectories with a fast enough rate of 1/dt. Indeed, a slow sampling rate could bias the estimate of the Stratonovich integration in (22), making it less appealing than the other formulas involving instantaneous averages, which do not depend on the sampling rate. For example, a numerical simulation of the Brownian vortex model of Sec.5 shows that the precision of the VSR in predicting σ is higher than using (22). This is apparent upon decreasing the sampling rate, which is equal to the inverse of the simulation time-step [106]. In particular, the precision of the estimate using the Stratonovich product (22) underestimates the true value by 20% when the sampling rate decreases by one order of magnitude beyond the system's relaxation time. In contrast, the value of σ obtained with the VSR remains insensitive to the sampling rate. Consequently, the VSR might be useful in numerical simulations with limited computational resources, where a low sampling rate is needed to simulate traces over sufficiently long times. Similar considerations apply to the analysis of experimental data recorded at low sampling rates.

Our novel (11) and (65) replace estimates of forces' gradients with computations of second-order time derivatives. Moreover, since μ_i enters both their numerators and denominators, they are sensible to the values of mobilities. They can thus be used in conjunction with the other formulas for σ to perform solid parameter estimations.

If forces cannot be measured, one could estimate local mean velocities v(x) in order to use

$$\sigma = \langle \boldsymbol{\nu} \, \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu} \rangle \tag{66}$$

for measuring the entropy production rate [93, 15]. Such an approach does not work for confined one-dimensional signals, such as optical tweezers measurements of flickering red blood cells [12, 87]. In this case, one can use the Harada-Sasa formula to compute σ without measuring forces. However, it needs the computation of the response R_{xx}^i to linear forces, eventually performed with active measurements by space-independent oscillatory forcing in Fourier space at all frequencies $\omega/2\pi$,

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{k_B T_i \mu_i} \left\{ (v^i)^2 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left[\tilde{C}^i_{xx}(\omega) - \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{R}^i_{xx}(\omega) \right) \right] \right\}.$$
 (67)

If the response of the system cannot be measured, the last solution is replacing it by some modeling. Indeed, the reduced version of our VSR [87] can detect and quantify entropy production from one-dimensional signals.

The VSR sets a resource for deriving entropy production rates in nonequilibrium systems. It relies on an equality between the sum of the variances of displacement and impulses. Whenever positions and forces are measurable, one can extract the excess variance to derive σ using (8). The result should not be different from what can be obtained by directly measuring σ using the standard formula from stochastic energetics involving the time-average of a Stratonovich product between forces and velocities, (22).

If forces are only partially measurable, then the VSR cannot be directly applied. To overcome this problem, we have introduced in Ref.[87] a reduced-VSR that combines the measured forces with those that remain inaccessible, using a theoretical model for the latter. The reduced VSR yields a new equality similar to (3) relying only on the impulses of the measurable forces on the left-hand side, and a new model-dependent excess variance \hat{S} in the right-hand side. For practical applications where the knowledge of forces is limited, a model prediction is fitted to the reduced VSR. The tight constraint imposed by the reduced VSR over the experimental timescales, typically spanning several decades, gives robust predictions of σ , linking modeling to energetics. Therefore, exactly solvable models are key to deriving σ from experiments. Whenever models are not solvable, numerical approaches can be useful. Examples are methods based on machine-learning [107, 108], simulationbased inference (SBI) [109, 110], and reconstruction of force-fields [111, 112, 113]. Exactly solvable models combined with the VSR find applications in cases where the accessible experimental variables have Gaussian statistics hiding nonequilibrium behavior and σ [99, 114, 115, 116]. The vast amount of existing work on stochastic thermodynamics models and the large amount of available experimental data in systems at the nanoscale foresee new applications of the VSR.

Acknowledgements MB thanks the MPI PKS in Dresden for the hospitality. IDT thanks the INFN Section in Padova for the hospitality. FR thanks Icrea Academia Prizes 2018 and 2023, and is supported by the Spanish Research Council (grant PID2019-111148GB-100 and PID2022-139913NB-100).

Appendices

The first three sections of the appendix show how to express the excess variance as a function of the mean local velocity. First, we derive two results necessary for that

proof: we express a response function in terms of the mean local velocity (Appendix A), and we prove that there is no correlation between the position measured in the frame moving with the mean local velocity, and the mean local velocity itself (Appendix B). Finally, Appendix C derives the excess variance.

In Appendix D, we report details on the analytical solution of the Brownian gyrator model.

Appendix A. Response function

For dynamics following the overdamped Langevin equation

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_t = \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{F}_t + \sqrt{2 \boldsymbol{D}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_t \tag{1}$$

we aim to compute the response function

$$\mathcal{R}^{ij}(t,t') = \left\langle \frac{\delta x_t^i}{\delta \xi_{t'}^j} \right\rangle. \tag{A.1}$$

and prove

$$\sqrt{2D_{jk}}\mathcal{R}^{ik}(t,t') = 2\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t'}\langle x_t^i x_{t'}^j \rangle - \langle x_t^i \nu_{t'}^j \rangle\right) \tag{A.2}$$

with response theory. Eq. (A.2) reproduces linear response relations based on the mean velocity ν [90, 117] and will be useful in Appendix C for expressing the excess variance as a function of ν .

If a perturbing potential -hV(x) is added to the system so that the total force becomes

$$(F_t^{tot})^j = F_t^j + f_t^j = F_t^j + h_t \,\partial_{x_j} V_t \,, \tag{A.3}$$

a formula for the response in the *steady state* of an observable O(t) to an instantaneous variation of $h_{t'}$ is [118, 119, 120]

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{O}_t}{\delta h_{t'}^j} \right\rangle = (k_B T)_{jl}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t'} \langle \mathcal{O}_t V_{t'}^l \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{O}_t (L_{t'} - L_{t'}^*) V_{t'}^l \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{O}_t \partial_{t'} V_{t'}^l \rangle \right), \qquad (A.4)$$

where T_{jl} is the diagonal temperature matrix and $L_{t'}$ and $L_{t'}^*$ are, respectively, the generator of the dynamics and its conjugate. For the systems we are considering, they are equal to

$$L_t = \mu_{ik} F_t^i \partial_{x_t^k} + D_{ik} \partial_{x_t^i} \partial_{x_t^k}$$
(A.5)

$$L_t^* = -\mu_{ik} F_t^i \partial_{x_t^k} - D_{ik} \partial_{x_t^i} \partial_{x_t^k} + 2D_{ik} \left(\partial_{x_t^i} \log(p^{\text{st}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)) \right) \partial_{x_t^k}$$
(A.6)

where Einstein's notation is understood and $p^{\text{st}}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$ is the stationary distribution obtained from the FP equation associated to (1). The same formal reasoning that leads to (A.4) can be applied to the thermal noise, which near equilibrium leads to the

famous Onsager regression hypothesis. Indeed, we see that the Langevin equation can be rewritten as

$$\dot{x}^{i}(t) = \mu_{ij}F_{t}^{j} + \sqrt{2D_{ij}}\,\xi_{t}^{j} = \mu_{ij}\left(F_{t}^{j} + \mu_{jl}^{-1}\sqrt{2D_{lr}}\,\xi_{t}^{r}\right),\tag{A.7}$$

meaning that the thermal noise can be seen as a small perturbation to the deterministic dynamics driven by F_t and arising from the perturbing force

$$f_t^j = \mu_{jl}^{-1} \sqrt{2D_{lr}} \,\xi_t^r \,. \tag{A.8}$$

Moreover, comparing this last equation with (A.3), we identify

$$V_t = x_t^r \, \mu_{rl}^{-1} \, \sqrt{2 D_{lj}} \tag{A.9}$$

$$h_t = \xi_t^j \tag{A.10}$$

so that (A.4) specialises to

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{O}_t}{\delta \xi_{t'}^j} \right\rangle = (k_B \boldsymbol{T})_{js}^{-1} \sqrt{2D_{sl}} \, \mu_{lr}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t'} \langle \mathcal{O}_t \, x_{t'}^r \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O}_t \, (L_{t'} - L_{t'}^*) \, x_{t'}^r \rangle / 2 \right) \tag{A.11}$$

as a consequence of μ_{ij} and D_{ij} being symmetric and $\partial_t V_t = 0$, because ∂_t is a partial derivative and V_t in (A.9) does not depend explicitly on time. The response function (A.1), for an observable $\mathcal{O} = x^i$, with (A.11) becomes

$$\mathcal{R}^{ij}(t,t') = (k_B T)_{js}^{-1} \sqrt{2D_{sl}} \, \mu_{lr}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t'} \langle x_t^i \, x_{t'}^r \rangle - \langle x_t^i (L_{t'} - L_{t'}^*) \, x_{t'}^r \rangle / 2 \right) \,. \tag{A.12}$$

Let us calculate the term involving the generators of the dynamics, i.e.

$$(L_{t'} - L_{t'}^*) x_{t'}^r = 2 \left(\mu_{kl} F_{t'}^k \partial_{x_{t'}^l} + D_{kl} \partial_{x_{t'}^k} \partial_{x_{t'}^l} - D_{kl} \left(\partial_{x_{t'}^k} \log(p_{t'}^{st}) \partial_{x_{t'}^l} \right) x_{t'}^r$$

$$= 2 \left(\mu_{kr} F_{t'}^k - D_{kr} \partial_{x_{t'}^k} \log(p_{t'}^{st}) \right) = 2 \nu_{t'}^r,$$
(A.13)

where v_t^r is a component of the steady state mean local velocity defined in (17). By plugging equation (A.13) into (A.12) and relabeling indexes, one obtains that

$$\mathcal{R}^{ij}(t,t') = (k_B T)^{-1}_{js} \sqrt{2D_{sl}} \ \mu_{lr}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t'} \langle x_t^i \ x_{t'}^r \rangle - \langle x_t^i \ \nu_{t'}^r \rangle \right). \tag{A.14}$$

Hence, one can also note that

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{2D_{jk}} \mathcal{R}^{ik}(t,t') &= \sqrt{2D_{jk}} (k_B \mathbf{T})_{ks}^{-1} \sqrt{2D_{sl}} \, \mu_{lr}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t'} \langle x_t^i \, x_{t'}^r \rangle - \langle x_t^i \, v_{t'}^r \rangle \right) \\ &= \sqrt{2D_{jk}} \sqrt{2D_{ks}} (k_B \mathbf{T})_{sl}^{-1} \, \mu_{lr}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t'} \langle x_t^i \, x_{t'}^r \rangle - \langle x_t^i \, v_{t'}^r \rangle \right) \\ &= 2D_{js} D_{sr}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t'} \langle x_t^i \, x_{t'}^r \rangle - \langle x_t^i \, v_{t'}^r \rangle \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t'} \langle x_t^i \, x_{t'}^j \rangle - \langle x_t^i \, v_{t'}^j \rangle \right), \end{split}$$
(A.15)

where between the first and the second line, we used that, if T and its inverse are diagonal (but not proportional to the identity), also D and μ are diagonal and hence all matrices involved in the previous formula commute. Instead, if T is proportional to the identity, it trivially commutes with all matrices. For the same reason, we have that $D^{-1} = (k_B T \mu)^{-1} = k_B^{-1} T^{-1} \mu^{-1}$, motivating the step between the second and the third line of (A.15).

Appendix B. Null covariance between position and mean local velocity

In a steady state with nonzero mean drift velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = \langle \boldsymbol{v} \rangle$ and constant diffusion matrix, we may write the position $\boldsymbol{x}_t = \boldsymbol{v}t + \boldsymbol{y}_t$ as a sum of a deterministic component $\boldsymbol{v}t$ and a random component \boldsymbol{y}_t , whose average $\langle \boldsymbol{y}_t \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{y}_0 \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{y} \rangle$ is constant so that $\langle \boldsymbol{x}_t \rangle = \boldsymbol{v}t + \langle \boldsymbol{y} \rangle$. This is indeed a consequence of Galilean invariance applied to the LE [121]. Therefore, the steady-state averages involving \boldsymbol{x} , and including terms scaling linearly with time, are grounded on those as a function of \boldsymbol{y} . The same is true for two-time correlations. Moreover, taking averages at time zero is convenient because $\boldsymbol{x}_0 = \boldsymbol{y}_0$.

We show that there is zero covariance between position and mean local velocity:

$$\left[\operatorname{Cov}(y_t^i, \nu_t^j)\right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = \left[\operatorname{Cov}(y_0^i, \nu_0^j)\right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = \left[\operatorname{Cov}(x_0^i, \nu_0^j)\right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = 0$$
(B.1)

where, e.g.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Cov}(x_0^i, \nu_0^j) \end{bmatrix}^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{x\nu}^{ij}(0) \end{bmatrix}^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = \frac{C_{x\nu}^{ij}(0) + C_{x\nu}^{ji}(0)}{2} = \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle x_0^i \nu_0^j \rangle - \langle x_0^i \rangle \langle \nu_0^j \rangle + \langle x_0^j \nu_0^i \rangle - \langle x_0^j \rangle \langle \nu_0^i \rangle \right)$$
(B.2)

This result will be used in the last steps of the derivation in Appendix C.

First, using the definition (17) of the local mean velocity, we note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \langle x_0^i \, \nu_0^j \rangle \end{bmatrix}^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{jk} \langle x_0^i \, F_0^k \rangle \end{bmatrix}^{i \rightleftharpoons j} - \int d\mathbf{x}_0 \begin{bmatrix} D_{jk} \partial_{x_0^k} p^{\text{st}}(\mathbf{x}_0) x_0^i \end{bmatrix}^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{jk} \langle x_0^i \, F_0^k \rangle \end{bmatrix}^{i \rightleftharpoons j} + \int d\mathbf{x}_0 \begin{bmatrix} D_{ji} \, p^{\text{st}}(\mathbf{x}_0) \end{bmatrix}^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{jk} \langle x_0^i \, F_0^k \rangle \end{bmatrix}^{i \rightleftharpoons j} + D_{ij}.$$
(B.3)

The next step involves equating

$$\langle x_{dt}^i x_{dt}^j \rangle = \langle x_0^i x_0^j \rangle + 2 \left[\mu_{ik} \langle x_0^i F_0^k \rangle \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} dt + 2D_{ij} dt$$
(B.4)

obtained from the LE to the same expectation derived from the change of variable $\mathbf{x}_{dt} = \mathbf{y}_{dt} + \mathbf{v} dt$,

$$\langle x_{dt}^{i} x_{dt}^{j} \rangle = \langle y^{i} y^{j} \rangle + 2 \left[v^{i} \langle y^{j} \rangle \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} dt + O(dt^{2}) , \qquad (B.5)$$

Since $\langle x_0^i x_0^j \rangle = \langle y^i y^j \rangle$, from (B.5) and (B.4) to order *dt*, one gets

$$\left[v^{i}\langle y^{j}\rangle\right]^{i\rightleftharpoons j} = \left[\mu_{jk}\langle x_{0}^{i}F_{0}^{k}\rangle\right]^{i\rightleftharpoons j} + D_{ij}.$$
(B.6)

which has the same right-hand side of (B.3). Hence, from (B.3) and (B.6), we finally find

$$\left[\langle x_0^i \, \nu_0^j \rangle\right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = \left[v^i \langle y^j \rangle\right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} = \left[\langle x_0^i \rangle \langle \nu_0^j \rangle\right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j}.\tag{B.7}$$

showing that the symmetrized covariance of x_0^i and v_0^j is zero.

Appendix C. Excess variance as a function of the mean local velocity

We show that the components $S_{ij}(t)$ of the excess (co)variance can be rewritten as a double-time integral of the symmetrized connected correlation function

$$\left[C^{ij}_{\dot{x}\nu}(t)\right]^{i\rightleftharpoons j} = \frac{C^{ij}_{\dot{x}\nu}(t) + C^{ji}_{\dot{x}\nu}(t)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\langle\dot{x}^{i}_{t}\nu^{j}_{0}\rangle - \langle\dot{x}^{i}_{t}\rangle\langle\nu^{j}_{0}\rangle + \langle\dot{x}^{j}_{t}\nu^{j}_{0}\rangle - \langle\dot{x}^{j}_{t}\rangle\langle\nu^{i}_{0}\rangle\right) \quad (C.1)$$

of actual velocity $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_t$ and mean local velocity $\boldsymbol{\nu}_0 = \boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$:

..

..

$$\mathcal{S}_{ij}(t) = 2 \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left[\mathrm{Cov} \left(x_t^i - x_0^i, \mu_{jk} F_{t'}^k \right) \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \tag{C.2}$$

$$=4\int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t'} dt'' \left[C_{\dot{x}\nu}^{ij}(t'') \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j}.$$
 (C.3)

To rewrite the integral in (C.2) as twice the double integral in (C.3), we start by noting from the LE (1) that

$$\mu_{ij} \int_0^t dt' F_{t'}^j = x_t^i - x_0^i - \sqrt{2D_{ij}} \int_0^t dt' \,\xi_{t'}^j, \qquad (C.4)$$

leads to

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{S}_{ij}(t) = \left[\mu_{jk} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \operatorname{Cov}\left(x_t^i - x_0^i, F_{t'}^k\right)\right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \tag{C.5}$$

$$= C^{ij}_{\Delta x}(t) - \left[\sqrt{2D_{jk}} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \langle x^i_t \, \xi^k_{t'} \rangle \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \tag{C.6}$$

$$= C_{\Delta x}^{ij}(t) - \left[\sqrt{2D_{jk}} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left\langle \frac{\delta x_t^i}{\delta \xi_{t'}^k} \right\rangle \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \tag{C.7}$$

$$= C^{ij}_{\Delta x}(t) - 2 \left[\langle x^i_t \, x^j_t \rangle - \langle x^i_t \, x^j_0 \rangle - \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \, \langle x^i_t \, \nu^j_{t'} \rangle \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \tag{C.8}$$

$$= \langle x_0^i x_0^j \rangle - \langle x_t^i x_t^j \rangle + 2 \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left[\langle x_t^i v_{t'}^j \rangle \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} - \langle x_t^i - x_0^i \rangle \langle x_t^j - x_0^j \rangle \,. \tag{C.9}$$

To get from (C.5) to (C.6), we use $\text{Cov}(x_t^j, \xi_{t'}^i) = \langle x_t^j \xi_{t'}^i \rangle$ (because $\langle \xi_{t'}^i \rangle = 0$) and $\int_0^t dt' \langle x_0^i \xi_{t'}^j \rangle = 0$ since $\langle x_0^i \xi_{t'}^j \rangle = 0$ almost everywhere on [0, t] for every $\{ij\}$. The

step to (C.7) derives from the Furutsu-Novikov formula [122, 123], which relates the position-noise correlation $\langle x_t^i \, \xi_{t'}^k \rangle$ to the response function (A.1) derived in Appendix A. In (C.8) we replace the response function (A.2), while the last step to (C.9) uses the expansion

$$\operatorname{Cov}(x_t^i - x_0^i, x_t^j - x_0^j) = \langle x_t^i x_t^j \rangle + \langle x_0^i x_0^j \rangle - \langle x_t^j x_0^i \rangle - \langle x_t^i x_0^j \rangle - \langle x_t^i - x_0^i \rangle \langle x_t^j - x_0^j \rangle.$$
(C.10)

When expressing correlations as a function of the position $y_t = x_t - vt$ (see Appendix B), several terms drop out from (C.9),

$$\frac{1}{2}S_{ij}(t) = 2\int_{0}^{t} dt' \left[\langle y_{t}^{i}v_{t'}^{j} \rangle \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} - 2t \left[\langle y_{t}^{i} \rangle v^{j} \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \\
= 2\int_{0}^{t} dt' \left[\langle y_{t}^{i}v_{t'}^{j} \rangle - \langle y_{t}^{i} \rangle \langle v_{t'}^{j} \rangle \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \\
= 2\int_{0}^{t} dt' \left[\langle y_{t'}^{i}v_{0}^{j} \rangle - \langle y_{t'}^{i} \rangle \langle v_{0}^{j} \rangle \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \\
= 2\int_{0}^{t} dt' \left[C_{yv}^{ij}(t') \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} \\
= 2\int_{0}^{t} dt' \left[C_{xv}^{ij}(t') \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j} . \\
= 2\int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t'} dt'' \left[C_{xv}^{ij}(t'') \right]^{i \rightleftharpoons j}. \tag{C.11}$$

The steps above conclude the proof by using the process' stationarity and the fact that variables' covariance does not change when they are displaced by a constant (vt' bringing $y_{t'}$ to $x_{t'}$). The last equality uses (B.1).

Appendix D. VSR of the Brownian gyrator

We show how to compute the terms of the VSR for the model of Brownian gyrator. We apply the Laplace transform to its equation of motion (61). By turning to matrix notation and by doing some algebra, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}_s \\ \hat{y}_s \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_s \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + \sqrt{2k_B T_1 \mu_1} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_s^x \\ y_0 + \sqrt{2k_B T_2 \mu_2} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_s^y \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (D.1)$$

where this time, the susceptibility matrix is equal to

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{s} = \frac{1}{(s+\mu_{1}\kappa)(s+\mu_{2}\kappa) - \alpha^{2}\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\kappa^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} s+\mu_{2}\kappa & -\alpha\mu_{1}\kappa \\ -\alpha\mu_{2}\kappa & s+\mu_{1}\kappa \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (D.2)

We define the function

$$\mathcal{T}_{t} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{(s + \mu_{1} \kappa)(s + \mu_{2} \kappa) - \alpha^{2} \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \kappa^{2}} \right] =$$

$$= \frac{2 \sinh \left(\kappa t \sqrt{4\alpha^{2} \mu_{1} \mu_{2} + (\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})^{2}} / 2 \right)}{\kappa \sqrt{4\alpha^{2} \mu_{1} \mu_{2} + (\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})^{2}}} e^{-t \kappa (\mu_{1} + \mu_{2})/2},$$
(D.3)

which is such that $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0$ (implying that $\dot{\mathcal{T}}_t = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[s\hat{\mathcal{T}}_s]$). It can be used to express the susceptibility matrix in real time as

$$\boldsymbol{\chi}_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \mu_{2} \kappa \, \mathcal{T}_{t} & -\alpha \, \mu_{1} \kappa \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \\ -\alpha \, \mu_{2} \kappa \, \mathcal{T}_{t} & \dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \mu_{1} \kappa \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \end{pmatrix} \,. \tag{D.4}$$

Furthermore, by taking the inverse Laplace transform of (D.1), the solution of (61) can be written as

$$x_t^i = \chi_t^{ij} x_0^j + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \,\chi_{t-t'}^{ij} \,\xi_{t'}^j \,. \tag{D.5}$$

From this, one can readily evaluate the stationary average of \boldsymbol{x}_t

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x} \rangle_t^{\mathrm{st}} = \langle \boldsymbol{x} \rangle_0^{\mathrm{st}} = \boldsymbol{\chi}_t \langle \boldsymbol{x} \rangle_0^{\mathrm{st}},$$
 (D.6)

where $\langle \boldsymbol{x} \rangle_t^{\text{st}} = \langle \boldsymbol{x} \rangle_0^{\text{st}}$ is a consequence of the fact that neither the drift vector nor the diffusion matrix explicitly depends on time. Moreover, because the matrix $\boldsymbol{\chi}_t \neq \mathbb{1}_2$ is not degenerate, the only possibility for (D.6) to hold corresponds to $\langle \boldsymbol{x} \rangle_0^{\text{st}} = 0$. Another consequence of (D.5) is that if \boldsymbol{x}_0 is distributed as a bivariate Gaussian, the same will be true for \boldsymbol{x}_t at all times because a sum (or integral) of Gaussian random variables is itself Gaussian (remember that $\boldsymbol{\xi}_t$ is also Gaussian). This feature is indeed a consequence of the linearity of equation (61) and, along with $\langle \boldsymbol{x} \rangle_0^{\text{st}} = 0$, it implies that

$$p_t^{\mathrm{st}} \sim \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}_t^{\mathrm{T}} \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{-1} \, \boldsymbol{x}_t\right) \,,$$
 (D.7)

with covariance matrix

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_0^2 \rangle & \langle x_0 \, y_0 \rangle \\ \langle x_0 \, y_0 \rangle & \langle y_0^2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \,. \tag{D.8}$$

In order to evaluate its components, we resort to the discrete-time version of (61),

$$\begin{cases} x_{t+dt} = x_t - \mu_1 \kappa \, x_t \, dt - \mu_1 \, \alpha \, \kappa \, y_t \, dt + \sqrt{2 \, k_B T_1 \, \mu_1} \, d\mathcal{B}_t^x & (a) \\ y_{t+dt} = y_t - \mu_2 \, \kappa \, y_t \, dt - \mu_2 \, \alpha \, \kappa \, x_t \, dt + \sqrt{2 \, k_B T_2 \, \mu_2} \, d\mathcal{B}_t^y & (b) \end{cases}$$
(D.9)

where $\langle d\mathcal{B}_t^i \rangle = 0$ and $\langle d\mathcal{B}_t^i d\mathcal{B}_t^j \rangle = \delta_{ij} dt$. By taking the square of (a) and (b) along with the product between (a) and (b) and by taking their average, one gets

$$\begin{cases} \langle x_{t+dt}^2 \rangle = \langle x_t^2 \rangle + \left(-2 \,\mu_1 \,\kappa \,\langle x_t^2 \rangle - 2 \,\mu_1 \,\alpha \,\kappa \,\langle y_t \, x_t \rangle + 2 \,k_B T_1 \,\mu_1\right) \,dt + o(dt) \\ \langle y_{t+dt}^2 \rangle = \langle y_t^2 \rangle + \left(-2 \,\mu_2 \,\kappa \,\langle y_t^2 \rangle - 2 \,\mu_2 \,\alpha \,\kappa \,\langle y_t \, x_t \rangle + 2 \,k_B T_2 \,\mu_2\right) \,dt + o(dt) \\ \langle x_{t+dt} \,y_{t+dt} \rangle = \langle x_t \,y_t \rangle - \left(\kappa (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \langle x_t \,y_t \rangle + \alpha \,\mu_2 \,\kappa \langle x_t^2 \rangle + \alpha \,\mu_1 \,\kappa \langle y_t^2 \rangle\right) dt + o(dt) . \end{cases}$$
(D.10)

By further noting that, in a steady state, the correlation functions of random variables with constant average only depend on time differences (meaning, for example that $\langle x_{t+dt}^2 \rangle = \langle x_t^2 \rangle$), one readily sees that (D.9) leads to a linear system

of three equations and three variables (i.e. $\langle x_t^2 \rangle$, $\langle y_t^2 \rangle$ and $\langle x_t y_t \rangle$, which are of course constant in time) whose solution reads

$$\langle x_0^2 \rangle = k_B \frac{T_1(\mu_1 + \mu_2) + \alpha^2 \mu_1 (T_2 - T_1)}{\kappa (1 - \alpha^2)(\mu_1 + \mu_2)} \langle y_0^2 \rangle = k_B \frac{T_2(\mu_1 + \mu_2) + \alpha^2 \mu_2 (T_1 - T_2)}{\kappa (1 - \alpha^2)(\mu_1 + \mu_2)}$$
(D.11)
$$\langle x_0 y_0 \rangle = -\alpha k_B \frac{\mu_1 T_2 + \mu_2 T_1}{\kappa (1 - \alpha^2)(\mu_1 + \mu_2)}.$$

These quantities fully determine the stationary PDF p_t^{st} (D.7), which in turn allows us to calculate the mean local velocity

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_t = -\boldsymbol{\mu} \,\nabla U_t - \boldsymbol{D} \,\nabla \ln p_t^{\text{st}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \, x_t - b_1 \, y_t \\ a_2 \, y_t - b_2 \, x_t \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (D.12)$$

where $(\boldsymbol{\mu})_{ij} = \mu_i \delta_{ij}$ is the mobility matrix and

$$a_{1} = \frac{k_{B}T_{1} \mu_{1} \langle y_{0}^{2} \rangle}{\langle x_{0}^{2} \rangle \langle y_{0}^{2} \rangle - \langle x_{0} y_{0} \rangle^{2}} - \mu_{1} \kappa, \qquad b_{1} = \frac{k_{B}T_{1} \mu_{1} \langle x_{0} y_{0} \rangle}{\langle x_{0}^{2} \rangle \langle y_{0}^{2} \rangle - \langle x_{0} y_{0} \rangle^{2}} - \alpha \mu_{1} \kappa,$$

$$a_{2} = \frac{k_{B}T_{2} \mu_{2} \langle x_{0}^{2} \rangle}{\langle x_{0}^{2} \rangle \langle y_{0}^{2} \rangle - \langle x_{0} y_{0} \rangle^{2}} - \mu_{2} \kappa, \qquad b_{2} = \frac{k_{B}T_{2} \mu_{2} \langle x_{0} y_{0} \rangle}{\langle x_{0}^{2} \rangle \langle y_{0}^{2} \rangle - \langle x_{0} y_{0} \rangle^{2}} - \alpha \mu_{2} \kappa.$$
(D.13)

With this, it is straightforward to obtain σ , which reads

$$\sigma = \langle \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \, \boldsymbol{D}^{-1} \, \boldsymbol{\nu}_t \rangle = \alpha^2 \, \kappa \, \frac{\mu_1 \, \mu_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \frac{(T_1 - T_2)^2}{T_1 \, T_2} \tag{D.14}$$

and equals (62g).

The next step consists in the calculation of the position correlation functions

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}_{t} \, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \rangle = \boldsymbol{\chi}_{t} \langle \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \rangle = \boldsymbol{\chi}_{t} \mathcal{V}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \left(\dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \kappa \, \mu_{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \right) \langle x_{0}^{2} \rangle + & \left(\dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \kappa \, \mu_{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \right) \langle x_{0} \, y_{0} \rangle + \\ -\alpha \, \kappa \, \mu_{1} \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \langle x_{0} \, y_{0} \rangle & -\alpha \, \kappa \, \mu_{1} \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \langle y_{0}^{2} \rangle \\ \left(\dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \kappa \, \mu_{1} \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \right) \langle x_{0} \, y_{0} \rangle + & \left(\dot{\mathcal{T}}_{t} + \kappa \, \mu_{1} \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \right) \langle y_{0}^{2} \rangle + \\ -\alpha \, \kappa \, \mu_{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \langle x_{0}^{2} \rangle & -\alpha \, \kappa \, \mu_{2} \, \mathcal{T}_{t} \langle x_{0} \, y_{0} \rangle \end{pmatrix} ,$$

$$(D.15)$$

where in the first equality we used (D.5) along with the fact that $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}(t') \boldsymbol{x}_0 \rangle = 0$ almost everywhere and then we combined (D.4) and(D.8). Note that, because $\mathcal{T}(0) = 0$ and $\dot{\mathcal{T}}(0) = 1$, for t = 0 (D.15) trivially reduces to (D.8). Again, because the system is linear, these correlations turn out to be the building blocks for the computation of all the quantities appearing in the VSR. In particular, for the variance of the relative displacement, we find that

whereas for the covariance matrix of the integrated forces, with $F_t = -\nabla U_t$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{F}}}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t} dt'' \langle \mathbf{F}_{t'} \mathbf{F}_{t''}^{\mathrm{T}} \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{F}_{t'} \mathbf{F}_{t''}^{\mathrm{T}} \rangle = 2 \kappa^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1}^{2} (\langle x_{t''} x_{0} \rangle + \alpha^{2} \langle y_{t''} y_{0} \rangle + \mu_{1} \mu_{2} (\alpha(\langle x_{t''} x_{0} \rangle + \langle y_{t''} y_{0} \rangle) + \mu_{1} \langle x_{1} \langle x_{t''} y_{0} \rangle + \langle y_{t''} x_{0} \rangle) \rangle \\ + \alpha(\langle x_{t''} y_{0} \rangle + \langle y_{t''} x_{0} \rangle) \end{pmatrix} + (1 + \alpha^{2})(\langle x_{t''} y_{0} \rangle + \langle y_{t''} x_{0} \rangle)/2) \\ \mu_{1} \mu_{2} (\alpha(\langle x_{t''} x_{0} \rangle + \langle y_{t''} y_{0} \rangle) + \mu_{2}^{2} (\langle y_{t''} y_{0} \rangle + \alpha^{2} \langle x_{t''} x_{0} \rangle + \mu_{1} \langle x_{1} \langle x_{t''} y_{0} \rangle + \langle y_{t''} x_{0} \rangle)) \end{pmatrix} \\ (D.17)$$

Finally, for the excess variance, we can use (18) for example to obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}(t) = 4 \int_{0}^{t} dt' \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \langle x_{t'} x_{0} \rangle - b_{1} \langle x_{t'} y_{0} \rangle & \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \langle y_{t'} x_{0} \rangle + a_{2} \langle x_{t'} y_{0} \rangle + \\ -b_{1} \langle y_{t'} y_{0} \rangle - b_{2} \langle x_{t'} x_{0} \rangle \end{pmatrix} / 2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \langle y_{t'} x_{0} \rangle + a_{2} \langle x_{t'} y_{0} \rangle + \\ -b_{1} \langle y_{t'} y_{0} \rangle - b_{2} \langle x_{t'} x_{0} \rangle \end{pmatrix} / 2 & a_{2} \langle y_{t'} y_{0} \rangle - b_{2} \langle y_{t'} x_{0} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
(D.18)

where a_1 , a_2 , b_1 , b_2 are given by (D.13).

Bibliography

- [1] Maes C 2003 Séminaire Poincaré 2 29-62
- [2] Seifert U 2012 Reports on Progress in Physics 75 126001
- [3] Peliti L and Pigolotti S 2021 *Stochastic Thermodynamics: An Introduction* (Princeton University Press)
- [4] Shiraishi N 2023 An Introduction to Stochastic Thermodynamics: From Basic to Advanced vol 212 (Springer Nature)
- [5] Verley G, Esposito M, Willaert T and Van den Broeck C 2014 Nature communications 5 4721
- [6] Martínez I A, Roldán É, Dinis L, Petrov D, Parrondo J M and Rica R A 2016 Nature Physics 12 67–70
- [7] Manikandan S K, Dabelow L, Eichhorn R and Krishnamurthy S 2019 Physical Review Letters 122 140601
- [8] Landi G T and Paternostro M 2021 Reviews of Modern Physics 93 035008

- [9] Martin P, Hudspeth A and Jülicher F 2001 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98 14380– 14385
- [10] Battle C, Broedersz C P, Fakhri N, Geyer V F, Howard J, Schmidt C F and MacKintosh F C 2016 Science 352 604–607
- [11] Gladrow J, Fakhri N, MacKintosh F C, Schmidt C and Broedersz C 2016 Physical Review Letters 116 248301
- [12] Turlier H, Fedosov D A, Audoly B, Auth T, Gov N S, Sykes C, Joanny J F, Gompper G and Betz T 2016 Nature Physics 12 513
- [13] Lynn C W, Cornblath E J, Papadopoulos L, Bertolero M A and Bassett D S 2021 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118 e2109889118
- [14] Pigolotti S, Neri I, Roldán É and Jülicher F 2017 Physical Review Letters 119 140604
- [15] Gnesotto F S, Mura F, Gladrow J and Broedersz C P 2018 Reports on Progress in Physics 81 066601
- [16] Busiello D M, Gupta D and Maritan A 2020 Phys. Rev. Res. 2(2) 023011
- [17] Holubec V, Steffenoni S, Falasco G and Kroy K 2020 Physical Review Research 2 043262
- [18] Busiello D M, Liang S, Piazza F and De Los Rios P 2021 Communications Chemistry 4 16
- [19] Di Terlizzi I, Ritort F and Baiesi M 2020 J. Stat. Phys. 181 1609–1635
- [20] Nakazato M and Ito S 2021 Physical Review Research 3 043093
- [21] Freitas N, Proesmans K and Esposito M 2022 Physical Review E 105 034107
- [22] Venturelli D, Loos S A, Walter B, Roldán É and Gambassi A 2023 arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16235
- [23] Baiesi M and Falasco G 2023 arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04657
- [24] Manzano G, Kardeş G, Roldán É and Wolpert D 2023 arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.05713
- [25] Singh P and Proesmans K 2023 arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.16627
- [26] Pietzonka P and Seifert U 2017 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 51 01LT01
- [27] Nardini C, Fodor É, Tjhung E, Van Wijland F, Tailleur J and Cates M E 2017 Physical Review X 7 021007
- [28] Dabelow L, Bo S and Eichhorn R 2019 Physical Review X 9 021009
- [29] Markovich T, Fodor É, Tjhung E and Cates M E 2021 Physical Review X 11 021057
- [30] GrandPre T, Klymko K, Mandadapu K K and Limmer D T 2021 Physical Review E 103 012613
- [31] Fodor É, Jack R L and Cates M E 2022 Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 13 215–238
- [32] Ro S, Guo B, Shih A, Phan T V, Austin R H, Levine D, Chaikin P M and Martiniani S 2022 Physical Review Letters 129 220601
- [33] Ritort F 2008 Advances in Chemical Physics 137 31
- [34] Ciliberto S 2017 Physical Review X 7 021051
- [35] Seifert U 2019 Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 10 171–192
- [36] Sekimoto K 2010 Stochastic Energetics (Lecture Notes in Physics vol 799) (Springer)
- [37] Sekimoto K 1998 Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement 130 17–27
- [38] Harada T and Sasa S 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 130602
- [39] Harada T and Sasa S 2006 Phys. Rev. E 73 026131
- [40] Andrieux D, Gaspard P, Ciliberto S, Garnier N, Joubaud S and Petrosyan A 2007 Physical Review Letters 98 150601
- [41] Roldán É and Parrondo J M 2010 Physical Review Letters 105 150607
- [42] Roldán É and Parrondo J M 2012 Physical Review E 85 031129
- [43] Polettini M and Esposito M 2017 Physical Review Letters 119 240601
- [44] Manikandan S K and Krishnamurthy S 2018 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 51 11LT01
- [45] Martínez I A, Bisker G, Horowitz J M and Parrondo J M 2019 Nature Communications 10 1–10
- [46] Li J, Horowitz J M, Gingrich T R and Fakhri N 2019 Nature Communications 10 1-9
- [47] Roldán É, Barral J, Martin P, Parrondo J M and Jülicher F 2021 New Journal of Physics 23 083013
- [48] Barato A C and Seifert U 2015 Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 158101
- [49] Gingrich T R, Horowitz J M, Perunov N and England J L 2016 Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 120601
- [50] Pietzonka P and Seifert U 2018 Physical Review Letters 120 190602

- [51] Macieszczak K, Brandner K and Garrahan J P 2018 Physical Review Letters 121 130601
- [52] Di Terlizzi I and Baiesi M 2019 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52 02LT03
- [53] Dechant A and Sasa S i 2020 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117 6430-6436
- [54] Horowitz J M and Gingrich T R 2020 Nature Physics 16 15-20
- [55] Falasco G, Esposito M and Delvenne J C 2020 New Journal of Physics 22 053046
- [56] Terlizzi I D and Baiesi M 2020 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 53 474002
- [57] Fu R S and Gingrich T R 2022 Physical Review E 106 024128
- [58] Van Vu T, Hasegawa Y et al. 2022 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 55 405004
- [59] Hwang W and Hyeon C 2018 The journal of physical chemistry letters 9 513–520
- [60] Song Y and Hyeon C 2020 The journal of physical chemistry letters 11 3136–3143
- [61] Koyuk T and Seifert U 2020 Physical Review Letters 125 260604
- [62] Hartich D and Godec A 2021 Physical Review Letters 127 080601
- [63] Pal A, Reuveni S and Rahav S 2021 Physical Review Research 3 013273
- [64] Dechant A and Sasa S i 2021 Physical Review X 11 041061
- [65] Pietzonka P and Coghi F 2023 *arXiv preprint arXiv:*2305.15392
- [66] Busiello D M and Pigolotti S 2019 Physical Review E 100 060102
- [67] Van Vu T, Hasegawa Y et al. 2020 Physical Review E 101 042138
- [68] Van Vu T and Saito K 2023 Physical Review X 13 011013
- [69] Skinner D J and Dunkel J 2021 Physical Review Letters 127 198101
- [70] Van der Meer J, Ertel B and Seifert U 2022 Physical Review X 12 031025
- [71] Ghosal A and Bisker G 2023 Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics
- [72] Nitzan E, Ghosal A and Bisker G 2023 Physical Review Research 5 043251
- [73] Esposito M and Parrondo J M 2015 Physical Review E 91 052114
- [74] Busiello D, Hidalgo J and Maritan A 2019 New Journal of Physics 21 073004
- [75] Busiello D and Maritan A 2019 Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2019 104013
- [76] Manikandan S K, Gupta D and Krishnamurthy S 2020 Physical Review Letters 124 120603
- [77] Teza G and Stella A L 2020 Physical Review Letters 125 110601
- [78] Ehrich J 2021 Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2021 083214
- [79] Bilotto P, Caprini L and Vulpiani A 2021 Physical Review E 104 024140
- [80] Dieball C and Godec A 2022 Physical Review Letters 129 140601
- [81] Shiraishi N and Sagawa T 2015 Physical Review E 91 012130
- [82] Bisker G, Polettini M, Gingrich T R and Horowitz J M 2017 *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2017** 093210
- [83] Skinner D J and Dunkel J 2021 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118 e2024300118
- [84] Busiello D M, Ciarchi M and Di Terlizzi I 2024 Phys. Rev. Res. 6(1) 013190
- [85] Argun A, Soni J, Dabelow L, Bo S, Pesce G, Eichhorn R and Volpe G 2017 Physical Review E 96 052106
- [86] Chaki S and Chakrabarti R 2019 Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 530 121574
- [87] Di Terlizzi I, Gironella M, Herraez-Aguilar D, Betz T, Monroy F, Baiesi M and Ritort F 2024 Science 383 971–976
- [88] Édgar Roldán 2024 Science 383 952–953
- [89] Cui B and Zaccone A 2018 Physical Review E 97 060102
- [90] Speck T and Seifert U 2006 Europhys. Lett. 74 391-396
- [91] Garcia-Millan R and Pruessner G 2021 Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2021 063203
- [92] Loos S A and Klapp S H 2020 New Journal of Physics 22 123051
- [93] Spinney R E and Ford I J 2012 Phys. Rev. E 85(5) 051113
- [94] Filliger R and Reimann P 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. 99(23) 230602
- [95] Ciliberto S, Imparato A, Naert A and Tanase M 2013 Physical Review Letters 110 180601
- [96] Ciliberto S, Imparato A, Naert A and Tanase M 2013 Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2013 P12014

- [97] Baiesi M, Ciliberto S, Falasco G and Yolcu C 2016 Physical Review E 94 022144
- [98] Chiang K H, Lee C L, Lai P Y and Chen Y F 2017 Physical Review E 96 032123
- [99] Crisanti A, Puglisi A and Villamaina D 2012 Phys. Rev. E 85 061127
- [100] Dotsenko V, Maciołek A, Vasilyev O and Oshanin G 2013 Phys. Rev. E 87(6) 062130
- [101] Mancois V, Marcos B, Viot P and Wilkowski D 2018 Phys. Rev. E 97(5) 052121
- [102] Cerasoli S, Dotsenko V, Oshanin G and Rondoni L 2018 Phys. Rev. E 98(4) 042149
- [103] dos S Nascimento E and Morgado W A M 2021 Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2021 013301
- [104] Manikandan S K, Ghosh S, Kundu A, Das B, Agrawal V, Mitra D, Banerjee A and Krishnamurthy S 2021 Communications Physics 4 258
- [105] Manikandan S K, Ghosh T, Mandal T, Biswas A, Sinha B and Mitra D 2022 arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.12849
- [106] Di Terlizzi I, Baiesi M and Ritort F 2024 In preparation
- [107] Otsubo S, Ito S, Dechant A and Sagawa T 2020 Physical Review E 101 062106
- [108] Kim D K, Bae Y, Lee S and Jeong H 2020 Physical Review Letters 125 140604
- [109] Cranmer K, Brehmer J and Louppe G 2020 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117 30055–30062
- [110] Tucci G, Roldán E, Gambassi A, Belousov R, Berger F, Alonso R G and Hudspeth A J 2022 Phys. Rev. Lett. 129(3) 030603
- [111] Pérez García L, Donlucas Pérez J, Volpe G, V Arzola A and Volpe G 2018 Nature communications 9 1–9
- [112] Frishman A and Ronceray P 2020 Physical Review X 10 021009
- [113] Argun A, Thalheim T, Bo S, Cichos F and Volpe G 2020 Applied Physics Reviews 7 041404 ISSN 1931-9401
- [114] Doerries T J, Loos S A and Klapp S H 2021 Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2021 033202
- [115] Lucente D, Baldassarri A, Puglisi A, Vulpiani A and Viale M 2022 Physical Review Research 4 043103
- [116] Netz R R 2023 arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08886
- [117] Chetrite R, Falkovich G and Gawędzki K 2008 J. Stat. Mech. P08005
- [118] Baiesi M, Maes C and Wynants B 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 010602
- [119] Baiesi M, Maes C and Wynants B 2009 J. Stat. Phys. 137 1094–1116
- [120] Baiesi M, Maes C and Wynants B 2011 Proc. Royal Soc. A 467 2792-2809
- [121] Cairoli A, Klages R and Baule A 2018 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115 5714–5719
- [122] Furutsu K 1963 Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, Section D: Radio Propagation 303
- [123] Novikov E A 1965 Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics 20 1290–1294