

Action Functional as Early Warning Indicator in the Space of Probability Measures

Peng Zhang^{1,2,3,†}, Ting Gao^{1,2,3,*}, Jin Guo^{1,2,3,‡}, Jinqiao Duan^{4,5,3,§}

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

² Center for Mathematical Science, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

³ Steklov-Wuhan Institute for Mathematical Exploration, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

⁴ Department of Mathematics and Department of Physics, Great Bay University, China

⁵ Dongguan Key Laboratory for Data Science and Intelligent Medicine, China

Abstract

In neuroscience, scientists have some hypotheses on the brain's critical dynamics, which means the brain may stay in a phase transition state between ordered and disordered activities. Some tipping points, as a past of no return, can be critical for neural diseases. Therefore, a key question is how the critical brain hypothesis relates to pathological conditions compared with normal brain functionality.

Action functional between two meta-stable states in stochastic dynamical systems is a good tool to study the critical transition and tipping. Here we extend the conventional Onsager-Machlup action functional of finding the most probable transition pathway to be looking for the evolutionary transition dynamics between two meta-stable invariant sets. Hence a rich theory from Schrödinger Bridge and Optimal Transport is brought in to solve this problem. Furthermore, different from various early warning indicators via statistics, bifurcation theory, information theory, statistical physics, topology, graph theory, we propose a novel viewpoint of early warning indicators in the space of probability measure, which facilitates us to build indicators based on action functional.

To validate our framework, we apply this methodology to a Morris-Lecar model introduced to analyze the transition dynamics between a meta-stable state and the homo-clinic orbit. Besides, the real Alzheimer's data from ADNI database is also investigated to study the early warning signals of transition from healthy to pre-AD states. This framework not only extends the transition path to be pathway measures between two given densities on invariant sets but also shows potential ability for early warning indicators or biomarkers in complex diseases.

Keywords: Action functional; Schrödinger bridge; Early warning indicator; Transition pathway

1 Introduction

Transition pathway stands as a pivotal instrument in elucidating critical transitions or tipping phenomena within dynamical systems subject to intricate stochastic influences [1,2]. Strategies for the effective identification of transition pathways encompass a range of methodologies such as action functional theory [3], path integral methods [4], optimal transport, etc.. Given the inherent complexity arising from the high dimensionality of real-world data with the intricate nature of invariant manifolds in dynamical systems, the Schrödinger bridge emerges as the optimal solution.

The Schrödinger bridge can be construed as a stochastic process aimed at identifying the optimal transition path measure in consideration of Brownian motion between two prescribed marginals. The Schrödinger bridge problem was originally introduced by Schrödinger [5] and can be construed as the optimal transport problem with entropy regularization. The study of the Schrödinger bridge problem is motivated by three main factors. Firstly, Schrödinger's original thought experiment in statistical mechanics, addressing a large deviation problem rooted in quantum mechanics, serves as a foundational impetus. Secondly, the application of Sanov's

†Email: kazusa_zp@hust.edu.cn

*Email: tgao0716@hust.edu.cn

‡Email: jinguo0805@hust.edu.cn

§Email: duan@gbu.edu.cn

theorem [6] and Gibbs’s principle of conditioned reflection [7] facilitates the determination of the most probable Zustandverteilung (macroscopic state), akin to resolving a maximum entropy predicament. This scenario stands as an early and pivotal illustration of inference methodologies guiding the selection of a posterior distribution while minimizing assumptions beyond available data. The third motivation lies in perceiving these challenges as a means of regularizing the optimal mass transfer (OMT) problem [8–12], thereby addressing its computational intricacies [13–15]. Indeed, the OMT problem represents the scenario in which the diffusion coefficient of Schrödinger bridge tends towards zero. Subsequently, significant efforts [16, 17] have been directed towards computing regularized OMT through algorithms of the Iterative Proportional Fitting (IPF) [18]-Fortet [19]-Sinkhorn [20] type.

Discovering a meaningful and computationally efficient approach to interpolate a high-dimensional probability distribution stands as a challenge of profound scientific importance, including generative modeling [21], transfer learning [22], cellular biology [23], etc.. Currently, an increasing body of research leverages the Schrödinger bridge methodology to address this challenge. Pavon et al. [16] introduce an iterative procedure that employs constrained maximum likelihood estimation instead of nonlinear boundary couplings. They utilize importance sampling to propagate the functions ϕ and ψ in solving the Schrödinger system, drawing inspiration from Fortet-IPF-Sinkhorn type algorithms. Wang et al. [24] present a two-stage generative model employing entropy interpolation with the Schrödinger Bridge. They develop their algorithm by incorporating the drift term, estimated by a deep score estimator and a deep density ratio estimator, into the Euler-Maruyama method. Bortoli et al. [25] introduce a novel framework that provides an approximation of the IPF method for addressing the Schrödinger bridge problem. This framework presents a computational tool for optimal transport, serving as a continuous state-space counterpart to the well-known Sinkhorn algorithm. Chen et al. [26] establish a computationally feasible Schrödinger bridge connecting paired data by introducing a versatile reference stochastic differential equation. This approach enables the generation of mel-spectrograms from latent representations of clean text. Chen et al. [27] present a computational framework for likelihood training of Schrödinger bridge models. Drawing from the theory of forward-backward stochastic differential equations, they transform the optimality conditions of Schrödinger bridge into a system of stochastic differential equations, facilitating efficient model training. By leveraging Schrödinger bridge theory, these methods enhance the efficiency of computing transition measures between distributions to a certain degree.

Various intricate dynamical systems, spanning ecosystems [28], climate [29, 30], economic structures [31] and social frameworks [32, 33] can manifest a tipping point, triggering a complete system collapse [34, 35]. Forecasting these tipping points represents a significant and exceedingly arduous challenge. Recent examples garnering significant interest involve the dieback of the Amazon rainforest [36], the extinction of species in ecosystems [33, 37] and the onset of special disease states such as atrial fibrillation [38]. Tipping points, aligning with the concept that minor alterations at a specific juncture can yield substantial and enduring repercussions within a system, encapsulating the idea that small changes can yield significant outcomes. The detection of early warning signals which imminent critical transitions is crucial, given the substantial challenges involved in reverting a system to its previous state following such events [39, 40]. The phenomenon of critical slowing down underpins various effective indicators in Early Warning Systems (EWS), encompassing heightened autocorrelation [35], variance [41, 42], and higher-order moments such as skewness and kurtosis [43] of the system’s response amplitude distribution, which fluctuate as the system nears a critical point. These metrics are derived from data employing a sliding window technique. The relevant quantiles are assessed within a window comprising multiple data points in the time series, sliding forward at a suitable time increment to capture temporal variations. Certain scenarios exist where transitions occur without preceding critical slowing down [44, 45]. Subsequent studies tackle this constraint by employing multivariate data analysis to assess false alarms [46], incorporating metrics such as link density [47], clustering coefficient [48], characteristic path length [49], etc..

In summary, the goal of the present study is to model and analyze the brain activity and disease data to identify early warnings of abrupt changes, with stochastic dynamical systems. Our main contributions are

- Define an action functional as early warning indicator in probability measure space.
- Develop an efficient way to detect transition paths between two compact invariant manifolds.
- Validate our method to real ADNI data.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, to review the background knowledge of Schrödinger Bridge and its equivalent formulations. Following that, we propose a novel tipping indicator in probability space, which is the action functional of path measure of the Schrödinger Bridge. Next in Section 4, we validate our framework by numerical experiments on real ADNI data. Finally, we summarize in Section 5.

2 Background knowledge on Schrödinger Bridge

The most probable stochastic evolution between two prescribe marginal distributions ρ_0 and ρ_1 corresponds to the solution of the Schrödinger bridge problem:

$$\mathcal{P}_{SB} := \operatorname{argmin}\{D_{KL}(P\|W) | P \in \mathcal{D}(\rho_0, \rho_1)\} \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{D} is the space of probability measures on $\Omega = C([0, 1], \mathcal{X})$, and $W \in M_+(\Omega)$ is the reference path measure on Ω . Here \mathcal{X} is a complete connected Riemannian manifold without boundary, $M_+(\Omega)$ denotes positive measures on Ω .

Consider the Schrödinger bridge problem with prior \bar{R} , which has the canonical coordinate process

$$dX_t = f(X_t)dt + \sigma dW_t \quad (2)$$

The various dynamic formulations can be written as [50]

$$\min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{J}(u) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|u\|^2 dt \right], \quad (3)$$

$$dX_t = [f(t, X_t) + u_t]dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad X_0 \sim \rho_0(x)dx, X_1 \sim \rho_1(y)dy, \quad (4)$$

where the family \mathcal{U} consists of adapted, finite-energy control functions. The optimal control is

$$u_t^* = \sigma^2 \nabla \log \varphi(t, X_t), \quad (5)$$

where $(\varphi, \hat{\varphi})$ solve the Schrödinger system

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + f \cdot \nabla \varphi + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \Delta \varphi = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (f \hat{\varphi}) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \Delta \hat{\varphi} = 0 \quad (7)$$

with the same boundary conditions.

2.1 Equivalence between Onsager-Machlup action functional and Schrödinger bridge

The most probable transition pathway of a stochastic process between two metastable states could be characterized by the Onsager-Machlup action functional. This scenario can be viewed as a specific instance of identifying the most probable transition path between two specified marginal distributions, i.e. two Dirac delta distributions. Huang et al. [2] prove the equivalence between the Onsager-Machlup action functional and the corresponding Markovian bridge process. They refer

$$dY_t = [f(Y_t) + \sigma^2 \nabla \log p(x_T, T | Y_t, t)]dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (8)$$

as the bridge SDE associated with Eq.(2). This equation is originally derived by Doob [51] from the probabilistic perspective via the Doob *h-transform* of SDE (2). The relationship between most probable transition pathway and Markovian bridges is shown in [2] (Theorem 3.9) as follows:

Theorem 2.1. (Sufficient & necessary characterization) Suppose that the assumptions H1 and H2 in [2] hold.
(i). The most probable transition path(s) of the system (2) coincide(s) with the most probable transition path(s) of the associated bridge SDE (8).
(ii). A path $\varphi^* \in C_{x_0, x_T}^2[0, T]$ is a most probable transition path of (2) if and only if it solves the following first-order ODE

$$d\psi^*(t) = [-\nabla U(\psi^*(t)) + \sigma^2 \nabla \ln p(x_T, T | \psi^*(t), t)] dt, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad \psi^*(0) = x_0,$$

where $p(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \cdot)$ is the transition density of the solution process of (2).

2.2 Relationship between Benamou-Brenier problem and Schrödinger Bridge

The dynamic version of the OMT problem was already accomplished by Benamou and Brenier [13] by

$$\begin{aligned} W_2^2(v_0, v_1) &= \inf_{(\mu, \nu)} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|v(t, x)\|^2 \mu_t(dx) dt, \\ \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (v\mu) &= 0, \\ \mu_0 &= \nu_0, \quad \mu_1 = \nu_1. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The fluid-dynamic formulation for Schrödinger bridge can be derived as [52] the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{(\rho, \nu)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2} \|v(t, x)\|^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{8} \|\nabla \log \rho\|^2 \right] \rho(t, x) dt dx \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (v\rho) &= 0, \\ \rho(0, x) &= \rho_0(x), \quad \rho(1, y) = \rho_1(y). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The fluid-dynamic formulation (10) could be thought of as regularizations of the Benamou-Brenier problem (9). As $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, the solution to this problem (10) converges to the solution of the Benamou-Brenier problem (9) OMT problem [13].

2.3 Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations Theory

Denote $R \in M_+(\Omega)$ as a Markov measure, its forward stochastic derivative $\partial + \vec{L}^R$ is defined by

$$\left[\partial_t + \vec{L}_t^R \right] (u)(t, x) := \lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} E_R (u(t+h, X_{t+h}) - u(t, X_t) \mid X_t = x) \tag{11}$$

for any measurable function $u : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the set $\text{dom } \vec{L}^R$ for which the limit exists $R_t - a.e.$ for all $0 \leq t < 1$. Since the time reversed R^* of R is still Markov, R admits a backward stochastic derivative $-\partial + \overleftarrow{L}^R$ which is defined by

$$\left[-\partial_t + \overleftarrow{L}_t^R \right] u(t, x) := \lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} E_R (u(t-h, X_{t-h}) - u(t, X_t) \mid X_t = x) \tag{12}$$

for any measurable function $u : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{X} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the set $\text{dom } \overleftarrow{L}^R$ for which the limit exists $R_t - a.e.$ for all $0 < t \leq 1$.

Denote $\vec{L}^R = \overleftarrow{L}^R = L$ without the superscript R and without the time arrows, since R is assumed to be reversible.

To give the expressions of the generators $\rightarrow A$ and \overleftarrow{A} , we should introduce the carré du champ of R as

$$\Gamma(u, v) := L(uv) - uLv - vLu \tag{13}$$

In general, the forward and backward generators $\left(\partial_t + \vec{A}_t \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ and $\left(-\partial_t + \overleftarrow{A}_t \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ of P depend explicitly on t .

Under some hypotheses in [11] on measure R , the forward and backward generators of P are given for any function $u : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belonging to some class \mathcal{U} of regular functions, by

$$\begin{cases} \vec{A}_t u(x) = Lu(x) + \frac{\Gamma(g_t, u)(x)}{g_t(x)}, & (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathcal{X} \\ \overleftarrow{A}_t u(x) = Lu(x) + \frac{\Gamma(f_t, u)(x)}{f_t(x)}, & (t, x) \in (0, 1] \times \mathcal{X} \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

where f_t, g_t are defined by

$$\begin{cases} f_t(z) := E_R (f_0(X_0) \mid X_t = z) \\ g_t(z) := E_R (g_1(X_1) \mid X_t = z) \end{cases}, \quad \text{for } P_t\text{-a.e. } z \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Rigorous statement and proof are given in [53].

In the following example, we employ Chen et al. [27] as our computational framework to find the optimal transition path measure in forward-backward SDE.

3 Tipping indicator in probability space

Sudden transitions to a different state, once a threshold is passed, is known as tipping. Tipping point refers the critical point in a situation, process, or system beyond which a significant and often unstoppable effect or change takes place. Tipping points often characterize the situation when a system experiences abrupt, rapid and sometimes irreversible changes. Mathematically, a tipping point is regarded as a critical state connecting the states before and after the bifurcation in a complex dynamical system. However, the general concept of the tipping point is not only limited to the transition through a bifurcation point, but it could also be related to the abrupt transition caused by instantaneously internal switches of structures or/and alterations of internal parameters. Ashwin et al. identify three mechanisms of tipping: bifurcation-induced, noise-induced, and rate-induced tipping [29].

Among various early warning indicators with methods in statistics, probability, bifurcation theory, information theory, statistical physics, topology, graph theory and etc.,

[54] In climate dynamics, various regions in the world have been classified as tipping elements as they are considered to be under the threat of a critical transition. The definitions of "tipping elements" here inspires us the following definition of critical transition and tipping indicator in probability space.

Definition 3.1. (Tipping in probability space) A tipping point in probability space exists if there exists a control parameter ρ with a critical value ρ_c , at which a small parameter variation ($\delta\rho > 0$) leads to a qualitative change C in our tipping indicator, i.e.,

$$|F(\delta\rho + \rho_c) - F(\rho_c)| \geq C.$$

In [55], a cost function which is a perturbed version of the Wasserstein cost W_2^2 is introduced to define that for any regular function on the set of probability measures.

It is natural to associated Lagrangian with this. Here we denote our indicator as the action functional defined on the optimal path measure via Schrödinger bridge. Denote μ_t^* as the optimal path measure, then our indicator is:

$$F(\mu_t^*) = W_2^2(v_0, v_1) = \inf_{(\mu, \nu)} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|v(t, x)\|^2 \mu_t(dx) dt. \quad (15)$$




Figure 1: (a) Dynamical landscape of the Morris-Lecar model. (b) ADNI data after reduction.

4 Experiments

4.1 Morris-Lecar model

The Morris-Lecar model is a simplified two-dimensional biophysical model of action potential generation proposed by Catherine Morris and Harold Lecar. The model has three channels: potassium ion channel, calcium ion channel and leakage current channel. The model is represented as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
dv_t &= \frac{1}{C} [-g_{Ca}m_\infty(v_t)(v_t - V_{Ca}) - g_K w_t(v_t - V_K) \\
&\quad - g_L(v_t - V_L) + I] dt + \sigma dW_t, \\
dw_t &= \phi \frac{w_\infty(v_t) - w_t}{\tau_w(v_t)} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_\infty(v) &= 0.5 \left[1 + \tanh\left(\frac{v - V_1}{V_2}\right) \right], \\
w_\infty(v) &= 0.5 \left[1 + \tanh\left(\frac{v - V_3}{V_4}\right) \right], \\
\tau_w(v) &= \left[\cosh\left(\frac{v - V_3}{2V_4}\right) \right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, W_t is a Brownian motion, and σ is the nonnegative noise intensity. The variable v_t represents the membrane potential, and $w_t \in [0, 1]$ represents the activation variable of potassium ion, representing the evolution of the opening probability of potassium ion channels. The parameters g_{Ca} , g_K and g_L are the maximum conductances of calcium channel, potassium channel and leakage current channel, respectively. V_{Ca} , V_K and V_L are the reversal potentials of the above channels, respectively. The parameter I represents the impressed input current from the environment, and m_∞ and w_∞ are the steady-state values of the opening probability Ca^{2+} channel and K^+ channel, respectively. Parameter C is the membrane capacitance, and ϕ represents the change between the fast and slow scales of the neuron. V_1 , V_2 , V_3 , and V_4 are the parameters selected for the clamp data.




Figure 2: Transition path dynamics between a stable state and invariant manifold in Morris-Lecar system. Upper row: evolutionary pathways when terminal time $T = 20$ and noise strength $g = 0.3$, from forward SDE (Left) and backward SDE (Right). Bottom row: evolutionary pathways when time $T = 20$ and noise strength $g = 0.5$, from forward SDE (Left) and evolutionary pathways from backward SDE (Right).

Figure 1(a) shows the phase diagram of the Morris-Lecar model, it is a bistable system, with a unique stable fixed point and a stable limit period (the large limit period in Figure 1). In addition, it is worth noting that there




Figure 3: The evolutionary density from stable sets to target sets under $T=20$, $g=0.3$.




Figure 4: The evolutionary density from stable sets to target sets under $T=3$, $g=1$.

are also unstable periodic solutions (the small limiting period in Figure 1). The trajectory separates these initial conditions approaching the stable fixed point from those approaching the large stable limit cycle. The stable limit cycle corresponds to the continuous oscillation state of the neuronal system described by the Morris-Lecar model, while the unique fixed point corresponds to the resting state of the neuronal system.

Figure 2 shows the transition path dynamics between a stable state and invariant manifold. We can see that as the noise increases, the transition path will reach the area with further distance. We can also see the terminal time effect of different T values. Figure 3 and Figure 4 shows the time evolutionary density with different noise strength and terminal time.

4.2 ADNI dataset

Our data is from <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/kaushalsethia/alzheimers-adni> (Samples in Figure 5). In order to visually display the variation of the distribution based on Schrödinger bridge in probability space, we need to reduce the dimension of the data to the plane. Firstly, the VAE model is used to extract features from the representation space, and the image data is reduced to 20-dimensional vectors. Then, the 20-dimensional vectors are embedded into the 2-dimensional space by t-SNE method. The following is the visualization of healthy and AD patients after VAE and t-SNE reduction (Figure 1(b)).

We can now use the embedding densities of the two data sets to compare the tipping phenomena. From Figure 6, we can see the time evolutionary density from healthy state to Alzheimer state. This is the optimal transition path between the two states via Schrödinger bridge, which is guaranteed to be convergent based on the forward-backward SDE with the loss function in Figure 7(b). The indicator in Figure 7(a) shows time consistency of the biggest density change over time.




Figure 5: ADNI data for healthy brain and mild disease brain.




Figure 6: The evolutionary density from health to Alzheimer.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a novel and effective method for automatically identifying abnormalities in neural images. Our approach leverages Schrödinger Bridge with early warning indicators which facilitate us in two aspects. On the one hand, we investigate the transition path dynamics in terms of path measures between two meta-stable invariant sets. On the other hand, we study the early warning signals of real ADNI data via action functional in probability space. The ability to identify transitions between meta-stable states plays a pivotal role in predicting and controlling brain behavior and functionality. We here find that action functional indicator in probability space a good metric to measure the sudden discrepancy as density changes over time.

There are still many challenging problems need to be solved in the future. For example, as the geometric representation of images on low dimensional manifold can greatly affect the convergence of our algorithm, one important direction is to develop better algorithms considering geometric properties of the given densities.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2021ZD0201300), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12141107), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (5003011053).




Figure 7: (a) The action function indicator defined in Eq.(15) varies over time. (b) Loss over iterations.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available upon request.

References

- [1] Jinqiao Duan. *An introduction to stochastic dynamics*, volume 51. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [2] Yuanfei Huang, Qiao Huang, and Jinqiao Duan. The most probable transition paths of stochastic dynamical systems: a sufficient and necessary characterisation. *Nonlinearity*, 37(1):015010, 2023.
- [3] Wei Wei, Ting Gao, Xiaoli Chen, and Jinqiao Duan. An optimal control method to compute the most likely transition path for stochastic dynamical systems with jumps. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 32(5), 2022.
- [4] Yuanfei Huang, Ying Chao, Wei Wei, and Jinqiao Duan. Estimating the most probable transition time for stochastic dynamical systems. *Nonlinearity*, 34(7):4543, 2021.
- [5] Erwin Schrödinger. Sur la théorie relativiste de l'électron et l'interprétation de la mécanique quantique. In *Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré*, volume 2, pages 269–310, 1932.
- [6] Ivan Nicolaevich Sanov. On the probability of large deviations of random variables. *Selected Translations in Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, 1:213–244, 1961.
- [7] Amir Dembo. *Large deviations techniques and applications*. Springer, 2009.
- [8] Guillaume Carlier, Vincent Duval, Gabriel Peyré, and Bernhard Schmitzer. Convergence of entropic schemes for optimal transport and gradient flows. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 49(2):1385–1418, 2017.
- [9] Simone Di Marino and Augusto Gerolin. An optimal transport approach for the schrödinger bridge problem and convergence of sinkhorn algorithm. *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 85(2):27, 2020.
- [10] Christian Léonard. From the schrödinger problem to the monge–kantorovich problem. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 262(4):1879–1920, 2012.
- [11] Christian Léonard. A survey of the schrödinger problem and some of its connections with optimal transport. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.0215*, 2013.
- [12] Toshio Mikami and Michele Thieullen. Optimal transportation problem by stochastic optimal control. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 47(3):1127–1139, 2008.
- [13] Jean-David Benamou and Yann Brenier. A computational fluid mechanics solution to the monge–kantorovich mass transfer problem. *Numerische Mathematik*, 84(3):375–393, 2000.

- [14] Sigurd Angenent, Steven Haker, and Allen Tannenbaum. Minimizing flows for the monge–kantorovich problem. *SIAM journal on mathematical analysis*, 35(1):61–97, 2003.
- [15] Nikita Gushchin, Alexander Kolesov, Petr Mokrov, Polina Karpikova, Andrei Spiridonov, Evgeny Burnaev, and Alexander Korotin. Building the bridge of schrödinger: A continuous entropic optimal transport benchmark. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [16] Michele Pavon, Giulio Trigila, and Esteban G Tabak. The data-driven schrödinger bridge. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 74(7):1545–1573, 2021.
- [17] Espen Bernton, Jeremy Heng, Arnaud Doucet, and Pierre E Jacob. Schrödinger bridge samplers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.13170*, 2019.
- [18] W Edwards Deming and Frederick F Stephan. On a least squares adjustment of a sampled frequency table when the expected marginal totals are known. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 11(4):427–444, 1940.
- [19] Robert Fortet. Résolution d’un système d’équations de m. schrödinger. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 19(1-4):83–105, 1940.
- [20] Richard Sinkhorn. A relationship between arbitrary positive matrices and doubly stochastic matrices. *The annals of mathematical statistics*, 35(2):876–879, 1964.
- [21] Martin Arjovsky, Soumith Chintala, and Léon Bottou. Wasserstein generative adversarial networks. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 214–223. PMLR, 2017.
- [22] Behnam Neyshabur, Hanie Sedghi, and Chiyuan Zhang. What is being transferred in transfer learning? *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:512–523, 2020.
- [23] Geoffrey Schiebinger, Jian Shu, Marcin Tabaka, Brian Cleary, Vidya Subramanian, Aryeh Solomon, Joshua Gould, Siyan Liu, Stacie Lin, Peter Berube, et al. Optimal-transport analysis of single-cell gene expression identifies developmental trajectories in reprogramming. *Cell*, 176(4):928–943, 2019.
- [24] Gefei Wang, Yuling Jiao, Qian Xu, Yang Wang, and Can Yang. Deep generative learning via schrödinger bridge. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 10794–10804. PMLR, 2021.
- [25] Valentin De Bortoli, James Thornton, Jeremy Heng, and Arnaud Doucet. Diffusion schrödinger bridge with applications to score-based generative modeling. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:17695–17709, 2021.
- [26] Zehua Chen, Guande He, Kaiwen Zheng, Xu Tan, and Jun Zhu. Schrodinger bridges beat diffusion models on text-to-speech synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.03491*, 2023.
- [27] Tianrong Chen, Guan-Horng Liu, and Evangelos A Theodorou. Likelihood training of schrödinger bridge using forward-backward sdes theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.11291*, 2021.
- [28] Jason M Tylianakis and Camille Coux. Tipping points in ecological networks. *Trends in plant science*, 19(5):281–283, 2014.
- [29] Peter Ashwin, Sebastian Wieczorek, Renato Vitolo, and Peter Cox. Tipping points in open systems: bifurcation, noise-induced and rate-dependent examples in the climate system. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 370(1962):1166–1184, 2012.
- [30] Jeremy T Kerr, Alana Pindar, Paul Galpern, Laurence Packer, Simon G Potts, Stuart M Roberts, Pierre Rasmont, Oliver Schweiger, Sheila R Colla, Leif L Richardson, et al. Climate change impacts on bumblebees converge across continents. *Science*, 349(6244):177–180, 2015.
- [31] Stanislao Gualdi, Marco Tarzia, Francesco Zamponi, and Jean-Philippe Bouchaud. Tipping points in macroeconomic agent-based models. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 50:29–61, 2015.
- [32] Vasilis Dakos and Jordi Bascompte. Critical slowing down as early warning for the onset of collapse in mutualistic communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(49):17546–17551, 2014.
- [33] Lei Dai, Daan Vorselen, Kirill S Korolev, and Jeff Gore. Generic indicators for loss of resilience before a tipping point leading to population collapse. *Science*, 336(6085):1175–1177, 2012.

- [34] Malcolm Gladwell. *The tipping point: How little things can make a big difference*. Little, Brown, 2006.
- [35] Marten Scheffer, Jordi Bascompte, William A Brock, Victor Brovkin, Stephen R Carpenter, Vasilis Dakos, Hermann Held, Egbert H Van Nes, Max Rietkerk, and George Sugihara. Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature*, 461(7260):53–59, 2009.
- [36] Margreet JE van Marle, Dave van Wees, Richard A Houghton, Robert D Field, Jan Verbesselt, and Guido R van der Werf. Retracted article: New land-use-change emissions indicate a declining co2 airborne fraction. *Nature*, 603(7901):450–454, 2022.
- [37] John M Drake and Blaine D Griffen. Early warning signals of extinction in deteriorating environments. *Nature*, 467(7314):456–459, 2010.
- [38] Thomas Quail, Alvin Shrier, and Leon Glass. Predicting the onset of period-doubling bifurcations in noisy cardiac systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(30):9358–9363, 2015.
- [39] Carl Folke, Steve Carpenter, Brian Walker, Marten Scheffer, Thomas Elmqvist, Lance Gunderson, and Crawford Stanley Holling. Regime shifts, resilience, and biodiversity in ecosystem management. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*, 35:557–581, 2004.
- [40] Marten Scheffer, Steve Carpenter, Jonathan A Foley, Carl Folke, and Brian Walker. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. *Nature*, 413(6856):591–596, 2001.
- [41] Matias I Maturana, Christian Meisel, Katrina Dell, Philippa J Karoly, Wendyl D’Souza, David B Grayden, Anthony N Burkitt, Premysl Jiruska, Jan Kudlacek, Jaroslav Hlinka, et al. Critical slowing down as a biomarker for seizure susceptibility. *Nature communications*, 11(1):2172, 2020.
- [42] Niklas Boers. Early-warning signals for dansgaard-oeschger events in a high-resolution ice core record. *Nature communications*, 9(1):2556, 2018.
- [43] Daniel B Wright and Joshua A Herrington. Problematic standard errors and confidence intervals for skewness and kurtosis. *Behavior research methods*, 43:8–17, 2011.
- [44] Maarten C Boerlijst, Thomas Oudman, and André M de Roos. Catastrophic collapse can occur without early warning: examples of silent catastrophes in structured ecological models. *PloS one*, 8(4):e62033, 2013.
- [45] Carl Boettiger, Noam Ross, and Alan Hastings. Early warning signals: the charted and uncharted territories. *Theoretical ecology*, 6:255–264, 2013.
- [46] Richard Streeeter and Andrew J Dugmore. Anticipating land surface change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(15):5779–5784, 2013.
- [47] Xueli Yang, Zhi-Hua Wang, and Chenghao Wang. Critical transitions in the hydrological system: early-warning signals and network analysis. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 26(7):1845–1856, 2022.
- [48] Mirjam Van Der Mheen, Henk A Dijkstra, Avi Gozolchiani, Matthijs Den Toom, Qingyi Feng, Juergen Kurths, and Emilio Hernandez-Garcia. Interaction network based early warning indicators for the atlantic moc collapse. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(11):2714–2719, 2013.
- [49] V Godavarthi, VR Unni, EA Gopalakrishnan, and RI Sujith. Recurrence networks to study dynamical transitions in a turbulent combustor. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 27(6), 2017.
- [50] Yongxin Chen, Tryphon T Georgiou, and Michele Pavon. Stochastic control liaisons: Richard sinkhorn meets gaspard monge on a schrodinger bridge. *Siam Review*, 63(2):249–313, 2021.
- [51] Joseph L Doob. Conditional brownian motion and the boundary limits of harmonic functions. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 85:431–458, 1957.
- [52] Yongxin Chen, Tryphon T Georgiou, and Michele Pavon. On the relation between optimal transport and schrödinger bridges: A stochastic control viewpoint. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 169:671–691, 2016.

- [53] C Léonard. Stochastic derivatives and h-transforms of markov processes. *Preprint*.
- [54] Timothy M. Lenton, Hermann Held, Elmar Kriegler, Jim W Hall, Wolfgang Lucht, Stefan Rahmstorf, and Hans Joachim Schellnhuber. Tipping elements in the earth's climate system. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105:1786 – 1793, 2008.
- [55] Ivan Gentil, Christian Léonard, and Luigia Ripani. Dynamical aspects of the generalized schrödinger problem via otto calculus—a heuristic point of view. *Revista matemática iberoamericana*, 36(4):1071–1112, 2020.