

Eigenvalues of Dual Hermitian Matrices with Application in Formation Control

Liqun Qi*

Chunfeng Cui[†]

May 26, 2024

Abstract

We propose a supplement matrix method for computing eigenvalues of a dual Hermitian matrix, and discuss its application in multi-agent formation control. Suppose we have a ring, which can be the real field, the complex field, or the quaternion ring. We study dual number symmetric matrices, dual complex Hermitian matrices and dual quaternion Hermitian matrices in a unified frame of dual Hermitian matrices. An $n \times n$ dual Hermitian matrix has n dual number eigenvalues. We define determinant, characteristic polynomial and supplement matrices for a dual Hermitian matrix. Supplement matrices are Hermitian matrices in the original ring. The standard parts of the eigenvalues of that dual Hermitian matrix are the eigenvalues of the standard part Hermitian matrix in the original ring, while the dual parts of the eigenvalues of that dual Hermitian matrix are the eigenvalues of those supplement matrices. Hence, by applying any practical method for computing eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices in the original ring, we have a practical method for computing eigenvalues of a dual Hermitian matrix. We call this method the supplement matrix method. In multi-agent formation control, a desired relative configuration scheme may be given. People need to know if this scheme is reasonable such that a feasible solution of configurations of these multi-agents exists. By exploring the eigenvalue problem of dual Hermitian matrices, and its link with the unit gain graph theory, we open a cross-disciplinary approach to solve the relative configuration problem. Numerical experiments are reported.

Key words. Dual number, dual quaternion, dual Hermitian matrix, eigenvalue, supplement matrix, formation control.

*Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018 China; Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong (maqilq@polyu.edu.hk).

[†]LMIB of the Ministry of Education, School of Mathematical Sciences, Beihang University, Beijing 100191 China. (chunfengcui@buaa.edu.cn).

1 Introduction

Dual numbers, dual quaternions, their vectors and matrices, as well as their applications have a long history. It was British mathematician William Kingdon Clifford who introduced dual numbers in 1873 [5]. Then German mathematician Eduard Study introduced dual angles in 1903 [23]. These started the study and applications of dual numbers, dual number vectors and dual number matrices in kinematics, dynamics and robotics [1, 11, 12, 16, 17, 24, 25]. Later, dual quaternions, in particular, unit dual quaternions, found wide applications in hand-eye calibration, neuroscience, multi-agent formation control and simultaneous location and mapping (SLAM), etc., [2, 3, 4, 8, 14, 19, 22, 26]. Among these, dual quaternion matrices are used in multi-agent formation control [19, 22]. Very recently, dual complex matrices found applications in brain science [27].

In 2007, Pennestrì and Stefanelli [16] proposed the problem of computing eigenvalues and singular values of dual number matrices. Also see [17]. In 2023, Qi and Luo [21] showed that an $n \times n$ dual quaternion Hermitian matrix has n dual number eigenvalues, and established the singular value decomposition of a dual quaternion matrix. These also apply to dual number matrices and dual complex matrices [18]. The relative configuration adjacent matrices and Laplacian matrices in multi-agent formation control are dual quaternion Hermitian matrices [19, 22].

Then, several numerical methods for computing eigenvalues of dual quaternion Hermitian matrices arose. These include a power method [7], a bidiagonalization method [9] and a Rayleigh quotient iteration method [10].

We study dual number symmetric matrices, dual complex Hermitian matrices and dual quaternion Hermitian matrices in a unified frame of dual Hermitian matrices. Suppose we have a ring, which can be the real field, the complex field, or the quaternion ring. Then an $n \times n$ dual Hermitian matrix has n dual number eigenvalues. The trouble of finding these n dual number eigenvalues occurs when the standard part of the dual Hermitian matrix has multiple eigenvalues. In this case, the characteristic polynomial of that dual Hermitian matrix has infinitely many roots, which are not eigenvalues of that dual Hermitian matrix

in general. This may make a computational method divergent or slow.

In this paper, we define supplement matrices for a dual Hermitian matrix. Supplement matrices are Hermitian matrices in the original ring. The standard parts of the eigenvalues of that dual Hermitian matrix are the eigenvalues of the standard part Hermitian matrix in the original ring, while the dual parts of the eigenvalues of that dual Hermitian matrix are the eigenvalues of those supplement matrices. Hence, by apply any practical method for computing eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices in the original ring, we have a practical method for computing eigenvalues of a dual Hermitian matrix. We call this method the **supplement matrix method**.

Then we study the relative configuration problem in multi-agent formation control. People need to know if a given desired relative configuration scheme is reasonable such that a feasible solution of configurations of these multi-agents exists. By combining the eigenvalue problem of dual Hermitian matrices, with the unit gain graph theory, we open a cross-disciplinary approach to solve the relative configuration problem. Then the supplement matrix method is used for this approach.

In the next section, we review some basic knowledge of dual elements and dual matrices in a ring. That ring can be the real field, the complex field, or the quaternion ring. We also review some knowledge about unit dual quaternions there. This is useful for studying multi-agent formation control. In Section 3, we define the determinants and characteristic polynomials of dual Hermitian matrices and show that when the standard part of a dual Hermitian matrix has multiple eigenvalues, the characteristic polynomial of that dual Hermitian matrix may have infinitely many roots, which are not eigenvalues of that dual Hermitian matrix in general. We define supplement matrices, construct the supplement matrix method and prove that it does find all eigenvalues of a dual Hermitian matrix in Section 4. In Section 5, we study the relative configuration problem in formation control. We explore the cross-disciplinary approach to solve the relative configuration problem in Section 6. Numerical experiments are reported in Section 7. Some concluding remarks are made in Section 8.

2 Dual Elements and Dual Matrices

2.1 Dual Elements and Unit Dual Quaternions

The field of real numbers, the field of complex numbers and the ring of quaternions are denoted by \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{Q} , respectively. We use \mathbb{G} to represent them. Thus, \mathbb{G} may be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{Q} . We use $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$, $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ to denote the ring of dual numbers, dual complex numbers and dual quaternions, respectively, and use $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ to represent them in general. We call an element in $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ a dual element. Thus a dual element means a dual number, or a dual complex number, or a dual quaternion, depending upon $\hat{\mathbb{G}} = \hat{\mathbb{R}}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$. Similarly, a Hermitian matrix in \mathbb{G} means a symmetric matrix, or a complex Hermitian matrix, or a quaternion Hermitian matrix, depending upon $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}$, or \mathbb{C} , or \mathbb{Q} .

Our arguments can be generalized to the other rings. We do not pursue this here.

A **dual element** $a = a_s + a_d\epsilon \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}$ has standard part $a_s \in \mathbb{G}$ and dual part $a_d \in \mathbb{G}$. The symbol ϵ is the infinitesimal unit, satisfying $\epsilon^2 = 0$, and ϵ is commutative with numbers in \mathbb{G} . The **conjugate** of a is defined as $a^* = a_s^* + a_d^*\epsilon$, where a_s^* and a_d^* is the conjugates of numbers a_s and a_d , respectively. Note that if a_s and a_d are real numbers, then their conjugates are themselves. Thus, the conjugate of a dual number is also itself. If $a_s \neq 0$, then we say that a is **appreciable**. Otherwise, we say that a is **infinitesimal**.

Suppose we have two dual elements $a = a_s + a_d\epsilon$ and $b = b_s + b_d\epsilon$. Then their sum is $a + b = (a_s + b_s) + (a_d + b_d)\epsilon$, and their product is $ab = a_s b_s + (a_s b_d + a_d b_s)\epsilon$. In this way, $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a ring. In particular, $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ are two commutative rings, while $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a noncommutative ring.

If both a_s and a_d are real numbers, then $a = a_s + a_d\epsilon$ is called a dual number. Suppose we have two dual numbers $a = a_s + a_d\epsilon$ and $b = b_s + b_d\epsilon$. By [20], if $a_s > b_s$, or $a_s = b_s$ and $a_d > b_d$, then we say $a > b$. Then this defines positive, nonnegative dual numbers, etc.

For a dual element $a = a_s + a_d\epsilon \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}$, its magnitude is defined as a nonnegative

dual number

$$|a| := \begin{cases} |a_s| + \frac{(a_s a_d^* + a_d a_s^*)}{2|a_s|} \epsilon, & \text{if } a_s \neq 0, \\ |a_d| \epsilon, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We use 0 , $\mathbf{0}$, and O to denote a zero number, a zero vector, and a zero matrix, respectively.

A dual element $a = a_s + a_d \epsilon \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is called invertible if there exists a dual element $b \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}$ such that $ab = ba = 1$. We can derive that a is invertible if and only if a is appreciable. In this case, we have

$$a^{-1} = a_s^{-1} - a_s^{-1} a_d a_s^{-1} \epsilon.$$

Let $q = q_s + q_d \epsilon \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$. If $|q| = 1$, then q is called a **unit dual quaternion**. A unit dual quaternion q is always invertible and we have $q^{-1} = q^*$. The 3D motion of a rigid body can be represented by a unit dual quaternion. We have

$$qq^* = (q_s + q_d \epsilon)(q_s^* + q_d^* \epsilon) = q_s q_s^* + (q_s q_d^* + q_d q_s^*) \epsilon = q^* q.$$

Thus, q is a unit dual quaternion if and only if q_s is a unit quaternion, and

$$q_s q_d^* + q_d q_s^* = q_s^* q_d + q_d^* q_s = 0. \quad (1)$$

Note that a quaternion is called an **imaginary quaternion** if its real part is zero. Suppose that there is a rotation $q_s \in \mathbb{Q}$ succeeded by a translation $p^b \in \mathbb{Q}$, where p^b is an imaginary quaternion. Here, following [26], we use the superscript b to represent the relation of the rigid body motion with respect to the body frame attached to the rigid body. Then the whole transformation can be represented using unit dual quaternion $q = q_s + q_d \epsilon$, where $q_d = \frac{1}{2} q_s p^b$. Note that we have

$$q_s q_d^* + q_d q_s^* = \frac{1}{2} [q_s (p^b)^* q_s^* + q_s p^b q_s^*] = \frac{1}{2} q_s [(p^b)^* + p^b] q_s^* = 0.$$

Thus, a transformation of a rigid body can be represented by a unit dual quaternion

$$q = q_s + \frac{\epsilon}{2} q_s p^b, \quad (2)$$

where q_s is a unit quaternion to represent the rotation, and p^b is an imaginary quaternion to represent the translation or the position. On the other hand, every attitude of a rigid body which is free to rotate relative to a fixed frame can be identified by a unique unit quaternion q . Thus, in (2), q_s is the attitude of the rigid body, while q_d represents the transformation. A unit dual quaternion q serves as both a specification of the configuration of a rigid body and a transformation taking the coordinates of a point from one frame to another via rotation and translation. In (2), if q is the configuration of the rigid body, then q_s and p^b are the attitude of and position of the rigid body respectively. Denote the set of unit dual quaternions by $\hat{\mathbb{U}}$.

A **dual vector** is denoted by $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$. Its conjugate is $\mathbf{x}^* = (x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$. We may denote $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_s + \mathbf{x}_d\epsilon$, where $\mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_d \in \mathbb{G}^n$. Its 2-norm is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \begin{cases} \|\mathbf{x}_s\|_2 + \frac{\mathbf{x}_s^*\mathbf{x}_d + \mathbf{x}_d^*\mathbf{x}_s}{2\|\mathbf{x}_s\|_2}\epsilon, & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_s \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ \|\mathbf{x}_d\|_2\epsilon, & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_s = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

This is a dual number. For convenience in the numerical experiments, we also denote the 2^R -norm of a dual vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_i) \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$ as

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2^R} = \sqrt{\|\mathbf{x}_s\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_d\|_2^2},$$

which is a real number.

We say $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_s + \mathbf{x}_d\epsilon = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$ is a **unit dual vector** if $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1$, or equivalently, $\|\mathbf{x}_s\|_2 = 1$ and $\mathbf{x}_s^*\mathbf{x}_d + \mathbf{x}_d^*\mathbf{x}_s = 0$. If $\mathbf{x}_s \neq \mathbf{0}$, then we say that \mathbf{x} is appreciable. The unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n are denoted as $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$. They are also unit vectors of \mathbb{G}^n and $\hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$.

Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$. If $\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{y} = 0$, then we say that \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are orthogonal. If $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)} \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$ and $(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})^* \mathbf{x}^{(j)} = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol, then we say that $\{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$. Let $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \mathbf{x}_s^{(1)} + \mathbf{x}_d^{(1)}\epsilon, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}_s^{(k)} + \mathbf{x}_d^{(k)}\epsilon \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$. If $\mathbf{x}_s^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s^{(k)}$ are linearly independent, then we say that $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ are appreciably linearly independent.

2.2 Dual Matrices

Assume that $A = A_s + A_d\epsilon$ and $B = B_s + B_d\epsilon$ are two dual matrices in $\hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$, where n is a positive integer, $A_s, A_d, B_s, B_d \in \mathbb{G}^{n \times n}$. If $AB = BA = I$, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then we say that B is the **inverse** of A and denote that $B = A^{-1}$.

For a dual matrix $A \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$, denote its conjugate transpose as A^* . If $A^* = A$, then A is called a **dual Hermitian matrix**. If $A^* = A^{-1}$, then A is called a **dual unitary matrix**. In particular, if A is a dual Hermitian matrix in $\hat{\mathbb{R}}^{n \times n}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{n \times n}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{n \times n}$, respectively, then A is called a dual number symmetric matrix, or a dual complex Hermitian matrix, or a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix, respectively. If A is a dual matrix in $\hat{\mathbb{R}}^{n \times n}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{n \times n}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{n \times n}$, respectively, then A is called a dual number matrix, or a dual complex matrix, or a dual quaternion matrix, respectively.

The F -norm of a dual matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{m \times n}$ is

$$\|A\|_F = \begin{cases} \|A_s\|_F + \frac{\text{tr}(A_s^* A_d + A_d^* A_s)}{2\|A_s\|_F} \epsilon, & \text{if } A_s \neq O, \\ \|A_d\|_F \epsilon, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is a dual number. For convenience in the numerical experiments, we also define the F^R -norm of a dual matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{m \times n}$ as

$$\|A\|_{FR} = \sqrt{\|A_s\|_F^2 + \|A_d\|_F^2},$$

which is a real number.

It is classical that an $n \times n$ real symmetric or complex Hermitian matrix has n real eigenvalues, and this matrix is positive semidefinite (or definite respectively) if and only if all of these n eigenvalues are nonnegative (or positive respectively). In 1997, Zhang [28] extended this to quaternion Hermitian matrices. In 2023, Qi and Luo [21] further extended this to dual quaternion Hermitian matrices. This is actually also true for dual symmetric or dual complex Hermitian matrices. We now state these in our general frame.

Let $A \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$ be a dual Hermitian matrix and $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$. Then $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x}$ is a dual number if $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is either $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ or $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, by [20], we may distinguish that

$\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x}$ is nonnegative, or positive, or not. If for all $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$, $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x}$ is nonnegative, then we say that A is positive semidefinite. If for all $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$ and appreciable, $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x}$ is positive, then we say that A is positive definite.

Let $A \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$ be appreciable, and $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}$. If

$$A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} \lambda, \quad (4)$$

then λ is called a right eigenvalue of A , with \mathbf{x} as its corresponding right eigenvector. If

$$A \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}, \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{x} is appreciable, i.e., $\mathbf{x}_s \neq \mathbf{0}$, then λ is called a left eigenvalue of A , with a left eigenvector \mathbf{x} .

If $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ or $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, then the multiplication is commutative. In these two cases, it is not necessary to distinguish right and left eigenvalues. We just call them eigenvalues [18]. It was proved in [21] that all the right eigenvalues of a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix A are dual numbers. As dual numbers are commutative with dual quaternions, they are also left eigenvalues. Thus, we may simply call them **eigenvalues** of A . Note that A may still have other left eigenvalues, which are not dual numbers. See an example of a quaternion matrix in [28].

The following theorem was proved in [21] for dual quaternion Hermitian matrices. It is also true for dual symmetric matrices and dual complex Hermitian matrices by similar arguments [18].

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $A \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$ is a dual symmetric matrix, or a dual complex Hermitian matrix, or a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix, then it has exactly n dual number eigenvalues. It is positive semidefinite or definite if and only if these n eigenvalues are nonnegative or positive.*

Write $A = A_s + A_d \epsilon$, $\lambda = \lambda_s + \lambda_d \epsilon$ and $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_s + \mathbf{x}_d \epsilon$. Then (5) is equivalent to

$$A_s \mathbf{x}_s = \lambda_s \mathbf{x}_s, \quad (6)$$

with $\mathbf{x}_s \neq \mathbf{0}$, i.e., λ_s is an eigenvalue of A_s with an eigenvector \mathbf{x}_s , and

$$(A_s - \lambda_s I) \mathbf{x}_d - \lambda_d \mathbf{x}_s = -A_d \mathbf{x}_s. \quad (7)$$

3 The Characteristic Polynomial of a Dual Hermitian Matrix

Suppose that $A \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$ is an $n \times n$ dual Hermitian matrix. Here, $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is either $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then A has n dual number eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. We may define its determinant as

$$\det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n. \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, we may define the characteristic polynomial as

$$\phi(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A),$$

where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. This shows that ϕ is a dual number polynomial. Note that the factorization form of a dual number polynomial is not unique. For example, polynomial $\lambda^2 = (\lambda + a\epsilon)(\lambda - a\epsilon)$ for any real number a . This leads the characteristic polynomial of the dual Hermitian matrix being more complicated than that of the Hermitian matrix.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $A = A_s + A_d\epsilon \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$ is an $n \times n$ dual Hermitian matrix. Here, $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is either $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let its n dual number eigenvalues be $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then its characteristic polynomial has the form*

$$\phi(\lambda) \equiv (\lambda - \lambda_1) \dots (\lambda - \lambda_n). \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, a dual number $\lambda = \lambda_s + \lambda_d\epsilon$ is a root of ϕ , either if λ is an eigenvalue of A , or if λ_s is a multiple eigenvalue of A_s .

Proof. First, we see that $\lambda I - A$ is an $n \times n$ dual Hermitian matrix too. Since A has n dual number eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, by the definition of eigenvalues of dual Hermitian matrices, we see that $\lambda I - A$ has n dual number eigenvalues $\lambda - \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda - \lambda_n$. By the definition of determinants and characteristic polynomials, we have (9).

Clearly, any eigenvalue of A is a root of ϕ . By (6), the standard part of an eigenvalue of A is an eigenvalue of A_s . Let $\lambda = \lambda_s + \lambda_d\epsilon$ be a dual number. If λ_s is not an eigenvalue of A_s , then $\lambda - \lambda_i$ is an appreciable dual number for

$i = 1, \dots, n$. By (9), this implies $\phi(\lambda) \neq 0$, i.e., λ is not a root of ϕ . If λ_s is a single eigenvalue of A_s , then λ_s is equal to the standard part of λ_i for one i . Then $\lambda - \lambda_j$ is an appreciable dual number for $j \neq i$. By (9), $\phi(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = \lambda_i$. Finally, assume that λ_s is a multiple eigenvalue of A_s . Without loss of generality, we may assume that both the standard parts of λ_1 and λ_2 are λ_s . Then $(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2) = 0$. This implies that $\phi(\lambda) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.1 reveals that when A_s has multiple eigenvalues, the characteristic polynomial of A may have infinitely many roots, which are not eigenvalues of A in general. Therefore, it will be difficult to find eigenvalues of A by the characteristic polynomial if we treat the standard parts and dual parts of the eigenvalues of A together. Another way is to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A_s by a classical method first. Then, the questions are, if λ_s is a single eigenvalue of A_s , can we give a formula of λ_d such that $\lambda_s + \lambda_d\epsilon$ is an eigenvalue of A ? And if λ_s is a k -multiple eigenvalue of A_s , how can we find the dual parts of corresponding k eigenvalues of A ? In the next section, we will answer these two questions.

4 A Practical Method for Computing Eigenvalues of a Dual Hermitian Matrix

In this section, we define supplement matrices for a dual Hermitian matrix $A \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$ to enable the calculation of the eigenvalues of A . Assume that \mathbb{G} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $A = A_s + A_d\epsilon \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$ is a dual Hermitian matrix, and the real number λ_s is a k -multiple eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix $A_s \in \mathbb{G}^{n \times n}$.*

If $k = 1$, i.e., λ_s is a single eigenvalue of A_s , let \mathbf{x}_s be a unit eigenvector of A_s , associated with λ_s . Then $\lambda = \lambda_s + \lambda_d\epsilon$ is a single eigenvalue of A , where

$\lambda_d = \mathbf{x}_s^* A_s \mathbf{x}_s$, with an eigenvector $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_s + \mathbf{x}_d \epsilon$, where \mathbf{x}_d is a solution of

$$(\lambda_s I - A_s) \mathbf{x}_d = (A_d - \lambda_d I) \mathbf{x}_s. \quad (10)$$

If $k > 1$, let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ be k orthonormal eigenvectors of A_s , associated with λ_s . Let $W = (\mathbf{v}_1 \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$. Then W is an $n \times k$ partially unitary matrix, and $W^* A_d W \in \mathbb{G}^{k \times k}$ is a Hermitian matrix. Let $\lambda_{d1}, \dots, \lambda_{dk}$ be the k eigenvalues of $W^* A_d W$, with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k$. Then $\lambda_i = \lambda_s + \lambda_{di} \epsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ are eigenvalues of A , with eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_{si} + \mathbf{x}_{di} \epsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, where $\mathbf{x}_{si} = W \mathbf{y}_i$, and \mathbf{x}_{di} is a solution of

$$(\lambda_s I - A_s) \mathbf{x}_{di} = (A_d - \lambda_{di} I) \mathbf{x}_{si}, \quad (11)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Suppose that $k = 1$, i.e., λ_s is a single eigenvalue of A_s . Let \mathbf{x}_s be a unit eigenvector of A_s , associated with λ_s . Multiply (7) by \mathbf{x}_s^* from the left. Then we have $\lambda_d = \mathbf{x}_s^* A_d \mathbf{x}_s$. From (7), we have (10).

Suppose that $k > 1$. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ be k orthonormal eigenvectors of A_s , associated with λ_s . Let $W = (\mathbf{v}_1 \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$. Then W is an $n \times k$ partially unitary matrix, and $W^* A_d W \in \mathbb{G}^{k \times k}$ is a Hermitian matrix. Let $\lambda_{d1}, \dots, \lambda_{dk}$ be the k eigenvalues of $W^* A_d W$, with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k$. Then we have $W^* A_d W \mathbf{y}_i = \lambda_{di} \mathbf{y}_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $\mathbf{x}_{si} = W \mathbf{y}_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then we have $A_s \mathbf{x}_{si} = \lambda_s \mathbf{x}_{si}$, i.e., \mathbf{x}_{si} is an eigenvector of A_s , associated with eigenvalue λ_s , for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Now (7) has the form

$$(A_s - \lambda_s I) \mathbf{x}_{di} - \lambda_d \mathbf{x}_{si} = -A_d \mathbf{x}_{si},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Multiply the above equality by \mathbf{x}_{si}^* from the left. Then we have $\lambda_d = \mathbf{x}_{si}^* A_d \mathbf{x}_{si} = \mathbf{y}_i^* W^* A_d W \mathbf{y}_i = \lambda_{di}$, and $\lambda_i = \lambda_s + \lambda_{di} \epsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ are eigenvalues of A , with eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_{si} + \mathbf{x}_{di} \epsilon$, where \mathbf{x}_{di} satisfies (11), for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

This completes the proof. □

We call the Hermitian matrix $W^* A_d W \in \mathbb{G}^{k \times k}$, the **supplement matrix** of the dual Hermitian matrix A , corresponding to the k -multiple eigenvalue λ_s of A_s , the standard part of A .

Then we have a practical method for computing eigenvalues of the dual Hermitian matrix A .

Algorithm 4.2. The Supplement Matrix Method (SMM) *Suppose that $A = A_s + A_d\epsilon \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$ is a dual Hermitian matrix.*

Step 1. Use a practical method to find n real eigenvalues of the Hermitian matrix $A_s \in \mathbb{G}^{n \times n}$, with a set of orthonormal eigenvectors.

Step 2. Assume that λ_s is a single eigenvalue of A_s with a unit vector \mathbf{x}_s . Then $\lambda = \lambda_s + \lambda_d\epsilon$ is a single eigenvalue of A , where $\lambda_d = \mathbf{x}_s^ A_d \mathbf{x}_s$, with an eigenvector $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_s + \mathbf{x}_d\epsilon$, where \mathbf{x}_d is a solution of (10).*

Step 3. Assume that λ_s is a k -multiple eigenvalue of A_s with k orthonormal eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$, for $k > 1$. Let $W = (\mathbf{v}_1 \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ and $W^ A_d W \in \mathbb{G}^{k \times k}$. Use a practical method to find k eigenvalues of $W^* A_d W$, as $\lambda_{d1}, \dots, \lambda_{dk}$, with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k$. Then $\lambda_i = \lambda_s + \lambda_{di}\epsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ are eigenvalues of A , with eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_{si} + \mathbf{x}_{di}\epsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, where $\mathbf{x}_{si} = W \mathbf{y}_i$, and \mathbf{x}_{di} is a solution of (11) for $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

Step 4. Apply Step 2 to all single eigenvalues of A_s , and Step 3 to all multiple eigenvalues of A_s .

The linear systems (10) and (11) may be computed by several methods. Suppose the full eigenvalue decomposition of A_s is known as $A_s = U_s \Sigma_s U_s^*$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{di} &= (\lambda_s I - A_s)^+ (A_d - \lambda_d I) \mathbf{x}_{si} \\ &= U_s (\Sigma_s - \lambda_s I)^+ U_s^* (A_d - \lambda_d I) \mathbf{x}_{si} \\ &= U_s (\Sigma_s - \lambda_s I)^+ U_s^* A_d \mathbf{x}_{si}, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Otherwise, if the full eigenvalue decomposition of A_s is not known in advance, then we can solve the linear systems (10) and (11) directly. The both systems are consistent and solvable. It should be noted that a quaternion linear system may not be easy to solve since the quaternion numbers are not communicative. One practical way is to reformulate the quaternion linear system as a real linear system. Furthermore, both two systems are ill-conditioned

since the the coefficient matrices are singular, which may lead the numerical algorithms being unstable. One possible way is to add extra conditions $\mathbf{x}_i^* \mathbf{x}_j = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$.

Similarly, Algorithm 4.2 can be extended to compute several extreme eigenpairs or a few eigenpairs of a dual Hermitian matrix. One difficulty here is that if we do not know the multiplicity of the eigenvalue in advance, we may not obtain the eigenvectors exactly. One way to solve this is to compute several extra eigenvalues in the standard part.

5 The Relative Configuration Problem in Formation Control

Consider the formation control problem of n rigid bodies. These n rigid bodies can be autonomous mobile robots, or unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), or autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs), or small satellites. Then these n rigid bodies can be described by a graph $G = (V, E)$ with n vertices and m edges. For two rigid bodies i and j in V , if rigid body i can sense rigid body j , then edge $(i, j) \in E$. As studied in [22], we assume that any pair of these rigid bodies are mutual visual, i.e., rigid body i can sense rigid body j if and only if rigid body j can sense rigid body i . Furthermore, we assume that G is connected in the sense that for any node pair i and j , either $(i, j) \in E$, or there is a path connecting i and j in G , i.e., there are nodes $i_1, \dots, i_k \in V$ such that $(i, i_1), \dots, (i_k, j) \in E$.

Suppose that for each $(i, j) \in E$, we have a desired relative configuration from rigid body i to j as $q_{d_{ij}} \in \hat{\mathbb{U}}$. We say that the desired relative configurations $\{q_{d_{ij}} : (i, j) \in E\}$ is **reasonable** if and only if there is a desired formation $\mathbf{q}_d \in \hat{\mathbb{U}}^{n \times 1}$, which satisfies

$$q_{d_{ij}} = q_{d_i}^* q_{d_j}, \quad (12)$$

for all $(i, j) \in E$.

Thus, a meaningful application problem in formation control is to verify

whether a given desired relative configuration scheme is reasonable or not. See [15, 22, 26] for more study on formation control.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that we have an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, which is bidirectional and connected. The desired relative configurations $\{q_{d_{ij}} : (i, j) \in E\}$ is **reasonable** if and only if*

- for all $(i, j) \in E$, we have

$$q_{d_{ji}} = q_{d_{ij}}^*; \quad (13)$$

- for any cycle $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$, with $j_{k+1} = j_1$, of G , we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^k q_{d_{j_i j_{i+1}}} = 1. \quad (14)$$

Furthermore, let \mathbf{q}_0 be a desired formation satisfying (12). Then the set of all the desired formations satisfying (12) is

$$\left\{ c\mathbf{q}_0 : c \in \hat{\mathcal{U}} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

Proof. Suppose that the desired relative configurations $\{q_{d_{ij}} : (i, j) \in E\}$ is reasonable. Then there is a desired formation $\mathbf{q}_d \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{n \times 1}$, which satisfies (12) for all $(i, j) \in E$. Then for all $(i, j) \in E$, we have $q_{d_{ji}} = q_{d_j}^* q_{d_i} = q_{d_{ij}}^*$, which implies (13). On the cycle $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$, we have $q_{d_{j_{i+1}}} = q_{d_{j_i}} q_{d_{j_i j_{i+1}}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. This implies (14).

On the other hand, suppose that the desired relative configurations $\{q_{d_{ij}}\}$ satisfy (13) for all $(i, j) \in E$, and (14) for all cycles in G . We wish to show that there is a desired formation $\mathbf{q}_d \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{n \times 1}$, which satisfies (12) for all $(i, j) \in E$. We count two edges (i, j) and (j, i) as one bidirectional edge pair. Thus, G has $m_0 = \frac{m}{2}$ bidirectional edge pairs. By graph theory, since G is bidirectional and connected, we have $m_0 \geq n - 1$. Let $M = m_0 - n + 1$. We now show by induction on M , that there is a desired formation $\mathbf{q}_d \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{n \times 1}$, which satisfies (12) for all $(i, j) \in E$.

(i) We first show this for $M = 0$, *i.e.*, $m_0 = n - 1$. Then G is a bidirectional tree. Without loss of generality, we pick node 1 as the root of this tree. Let

$q_{d_1} = 1$. Each node in $\{2, \dots, n\}$ has one unique father node. Denote $V_{(1)}$ as the set of children nodes of the root. Let $q_{d_i} = q_{d_1} q_{d_{1i}} = q_{d_{1i}}$ for all $i \in V_{(1)}$. Similarly, denote $V_{(j)}$ as the set of children nodes of $V_{(j-1)}$. Then $q_{d_i} = q_{d_{f_i}} q_{d_{f_i i}}$ for all $i \in V_{(j)}$, where f_i is the father node of node i . We repeat this process until $V = \cup_j V_{(j)}$. By (13), equation (12) is satisfied for all $(i, j) \in E$.

(ii) We now assume that this claim is true for $M = M_0$, and prove that it is true for $M = M_0 + 1$. Then $M > m_0 - n + 1$. This implies that there is at least one cycle $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$, with $j_{k+1} = j_1$, of G , such that the k nodes j_1, \dots, j_k are all distinct and $k \geq 3$. Delete the bidirectional edge pair (j_1, j_k) and (j_k, j_1) . We get an undirected graph $G_0 = (V, E_0)$. Then G_0 is still bidirectional and connected, and the desired relative configurations $\{q_{d_{ij}} : (i, j) \in E_0\}$ satisfy (13) for all $(i, j) \in E_0$, and (14) for all cycles in G_0 . By our induction assumption, there is a desired formation $\mathbf{q}_d \in \hat{\mathbb{U}}^{n \times 1}$ such that (12) holds for all $(i, j) \in E_0$. Now, by (13) for $i = j_1$ and $j = j_k$, and (14) for this cycle $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$, (12) also holds for (j_1, j_k) and (j_k, j_1) . This proves this claim for $M = M_0 + 1$ in this case.

Suppose that \mathbf{q}_0 is a desired formation satisfying (12), and $c \in \hat{\mathbb{U}}$. Then $c\mathbf{q}_0$ also satisfies (12). We may prove the other side of the last claim by induction as above.

The proof is completed. □

The first condition in (13) is easy to verify. However, the second condition in (14) is relatively complicated since the number of cycles may increase exponentially with the number of nodes. Fortunately, we can solve this problem with the help of eigenvalues of dual Hermitian matrices, as shown in the next section.

6 A Cross-Disciplinary Approach for the Relative Configuration Problem

In this section, we show that condition (14) is equivalent to the balance of the corresponding gain graph Φ [6]. Here, $\Phi = (G, \hat{\mathbb{G}}, \varphi)$ is a dual unit gain graph

with n vertices, $G = (V, E)$ is the underlying graph, $n = |V|$, $m = |E|$, $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is the gain group, and $\varphi : E(\Phi) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is the gain function such that $\varphi(e_{ij}) = \varphi^{-1}(e_{ji})$. For instance, if $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is the set of unit dual complex numbers, then Φ is a dual complex unit gain graph. If $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$ is the set of unit dual quaternion numbers, then Φ is a dual quaternion unit gain graph. The adjacency and Laplacian matrices of Φ are defined via the gain function $\varphi(e)$ as follows [6],

$$a_{ij}(\Phi) = \begin{cases} \varphi(e_{ij}), & \text{if } e_{ij} \in E(\Phi), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } L(\Phi) = D - A(\Phi). \quad (16)$$

Here, $\varphi(e_{ij}) \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}$, $\varphi(e_{ij}) = \varphi(e_{ji})^{-1}$, and D the degree matrix of the corresponding underlying graph G . If $|\varphi(e_{ij})| = 1$ for all $e_{ij} \in E(\Phi)$, then $A(\Phi)$ and $L(\Phi)$ are Hermitian matrices in $\hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$.

We define $\varphi(e_{ij}) = q_{d_{ij}}$ for the formation control problem here. Then we may apply spectral graph theory. Let A and L be the adjacency and Laplacian matrices of Φ defined by (16), respectively. Recently, [6] showed that if Φ is balanced, then A and L are similar with the adjacency and Laplacian matrices of the underlying graph G , respectively, and its spectrum $\sigma_A(\Phi)$ consists of n real numbers, while its Laplacian spectrum $\sigma_L(\Phi)$ consists of one zero and $n - 1$ positive numbers. Furthermore, there is $\sigma_A(\Phi) = \sigma_A(G)$ and $\sigma_L(\Phi) = \sigma_L(G)$.

Based on the above facts, we may verify the reasonableness of a desired relative configuration by computing all eigenvalues of the adjacency or Laplacian matrices of Φ . If $\sigma_A(\Phi) = \sigma_A(G)$ or $\sigma_L(\Phi) = \sigma_L(G)$, then the gain graph is balanced and the desired relative configuration is reasonable. Otherwise, the desired relative configuration is not reasonable. The method is applicable for low and medium dimensional problems.

In the following, we propose verifying the reasonableness of the desired relative configuration by computing the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix. **Theorem 6.1.** *Let $\Phi = (G, \hat{\mathbb{G}}, \varphi)$ be a gain graph with $n = |V|$, $m = |E|$. Suppose that $\varphi(e_{ij}) = 1$ for all $(ij) \in E$ and G has t subgraphs $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$, $n = n_1 + \dots + n_t$, $V_i = \{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_i\}$, and $n_0 = 0$. Let L be the Laplacian matrix of Φ defined by (16). Then Φ is balanced if and only if the following conditions hold simultaneously:*

- (i) L has t zero eigenvalues that are the smallest eigenvalue of L ;
- (ii) their eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^n$ satisfies $|x_i(j)| = \frac{\sqrt{n_i}}{n_i}$ for $j = n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_i$, $|x_i(j)| = 0$ otherwise;
- (iii) Y^*LY is equal to the Laplacian matrix of the underlying graph G , where $Y = \text{diag}(\mathbf{y}) \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}^{n \times n}$, $\mathbf{x}_a = \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_t$, and $y(i) = \frac{x_a(i)}{|x_a(i)|}$.

Proof. The results follow directly from the fact that Φ is balanced if and only if there exists a diagonal matrix Y such that Y^*LY is equal to the Laplacian matrix of the underlying graph G and the spectral theory of the Laplacian matrix of a graph G . \square

The gain graph theory is a well-developed area in spectral graph theory. A gain graph assigns an element of a mathematical group to each of its edges, and if a group element is assigned to an edge, then the inverse of that group element is always assigned to the inverse edge of that edge. If such a mathematical group consists of unit numbers of a number system, then the gain graph is called a unit gain graph. The real unit gain graph is called a signed graph [13]. There are studies on complex unit gain graphs and quaternion unit gain graphs [6]. What we used here for the formation control problem are dual quaternion unit gain graphs, which are not in the literature yet. By exploring the eigenvalue problem of dual Hermitian matrices, and its link with the unit gain graph theory, we opened a cross-disciplinary approach to solve the relative configuration problem in formation control.

7 Numerical Experiments

We begin with a toy example of computing all eigenpairs of the adjacency matrix of a dual complex unit gain graph.

Example 7.1. Consider a dual complex unit gain cycle Φ_A in Figure 1 (a).

The adjacency matrix is given as follows.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 + i\epsilon & 1 - 2i\epsilon \\ 1 - i\epsilon & 0 & 1 - i\epsilon \\ 1 + 2i\epsilon & 1 + i\epsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this example, the eigenvalues of A_s are $\lambda_{s1} = 2$, $\lambda_{s2} = \lambda_{s3} = -1$, and their corresponding eigenvectors are

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5774 \\ 0.5774 \\ 0.5774 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7152 \\ 0.0166 \\ 0.6987 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3938 \\ -0.8163 \\ 0.4225 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first eigenvalue is single. Thus $\mathbf{x}_{s1} = \mathbf{v}_1$, and

$$\lambda_{d1} = \mathbf{x}_{s1}^* A_d \mathbf{x}_{s1} = 0, \quad \mathbf{x}_{d1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1925i \\ 0.3849i \\ -0.5774i \end{bmatrix}.$$

The standard parts of the second and the third eigenvalues are the same. Let $W = [\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3]$. Then the supplement matrix is

$$W^* A_d W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1.1547i \\ -1.1547i & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and its eigenpairs are

$$\lambda_{d2} = 1.1547, \quad \lambda_{d3} = -1.1547, \quad \mathbf{y}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7071 \\ -0.7071i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7071 \\ 0.7071i \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively. Furthermore, there is

$$\mathbf{x}_{s2} = W \mathbf{y}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5058 - 0.2785i \\ 0.0117 + 0.5772i \\ 0.4940 - 0.2988i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{s3} = W \mathbf{y}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5058 + 0.2785i \\ 0.0117 - 0.5772i \\ 0.4940 + 0.2988i \end{bmatrix}.$$

At last, we solve (11) and derive that

$$\mathbf{x}_{d2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1969 - 0.2183i \\ 0.1969 - 0.2183i \\ 0.1969 - 0.2183i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{d3} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1969 - 0.2183i \\ -0.1969 - 0.2183i \\ -0.1969 - 0.2183i \end{bmatrix}.$$



(a) Dual complex unit gain graph Φ_A

(b) Dual complex unit gain graph Φ_B

Figure 1: Two dual complex unit gain graphs

Following the same approach, we derive the eigenpairs of the adjacency matrix of the dual complex unit gain cycle in Figure 1 (b).

Example 7.2. Consider a dual complex unit gain cycle in Figure 1 (b). The adjacency matrix is given as follows.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1+i\epsilon & 1 \\ 1-i\epsilon & 0 & 1-i\epsilon \\ 1 & 1+i\epsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Its eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_1 = 2, \quad \lambda_2 = -1, \quad \lambda_3 = -1,$$

and their corresponding eigenvectors are

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5774 + 0.1925i\epsilon \\ 0.5774 - 0.3849i\epsilon \\ 0.5774 + 0.1925i\epsilon \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7152 - 0.0055i\epsilon \\ 0.0166 - 0.0055i\epsilon \\ 0.6987 - 0.0055i\epsilon \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6834 + 0.2429i\epsilon \\ 0.7287 + 0.2429i\epsilon \\ 0.0453 + 0.2429i\epsilon \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively. It should be noted that all eigenvalues are real numbers. This is because Φ_B is balanced [6].

Table 1: Numerical results for dual complex and dual quaternion unit gain cycles.

Method	n	10	20	50	100	200	500
		DCUGG					
Algorithm 4.2	CPU (s)	2.15e−03	2.47e−03	1.41e−02	8.09e−02	8.18e−01	2.49e+01
	RES	4.23e−15	6.93e−15	1.18e−14	9.95e−15	1.19e−14	1.60e−14
		DQUGG					
Algorithm 4.2	CPU (s)	1.51e−02	2.77e−02	1.26e−01	4.15e−01	3.22e+00	8.27e+01
	RES	7.43e−15	1.09e−14	4.48e−14	8.12e−14	3.00e−13	6.99e−13

7.1 Eigenvalues of Balanced Dual Unit Gain Cycles

We continue to test large-scale dual complex and dual quaternion unit gain cycles. We use the default command ‘eig’ in MATLAB to compute all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a complex matrix and the package ‘qtmf’¹ to compute all eigenpairs of a quaternion matrix. We first generate n unit dual complex or dual quaternion numbers q_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we define the gain of each edge to be $\phi(e_{i,i+1}) = q_i^* q_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $\phi(e_{n,1}) = q_n^* q_1$. In this way, the corresponding cycles are balanced. For balanced dual complex unit gain cycles and dual quaternion unit gain cycles, the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrices have closed from solutions [6] as follows,

$$\sigma_L(\Phi) = \left\{ 2 - 2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta + 2\pi j}{n} \right) : j \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

Let the number of vertices $n \in \{10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 500\}$. We generate random unit dual elements as the gains and then compute the eigenpairs by Algorithm 4.2. Define the computational residue of Algorithm 4.2 by the 2^R -norm of our obtained eigenvalues compared with the closed-form values. In Table 1, we report the CPU time and residue (RES) for computing all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of dual complex unit gain graphs (DCUGG) and dual quaternion unit gain graphs (DQUGG). From this table, we can see that our proposed method is fast and accurate.

¹<https://qtmf.sourceforge.io/>

7.2 Balance of Dual Unit Gain Graphs

We verify the dual unit gain graphs are balanced or not by Theorem 6.1. We check the first two conditions of Theorem 6.1 manually and define the residue of the third condition by

$$Err = \|Y^*LY - L_G\|_{FR}, \quad (18)$$

Here, L and L_G are the Laplacian matrices of the gain graph and the underlying graph, respectively. We say the gain graph is balanced if the first two conditions of Theorem 6.1 hold true and Err is less than a threshold. We set the threshold as 10^{-8} in our numerical experiments.

Example 7.3. *We first verify the balance of Φ_A and Φ_B in Examples 7.1 and 7.2. The Laplacian matrices of G , Φ_A , Φ_B are*

$$L_G = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 - i\epsilon & -1 + 2i\epsilon \\ -1 + i\epsilon & 2 & -1 + i\epsilon \\ -1 - 2i\epsilon & -1 - i\epsilon & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$L_B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 - i\epsilon & -1 \\ -1 + i\epsilon & 2 & -1 + i\epsilon \\ -1 & -1 - i\epsilon & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively. The eigenvalues of L_A are $\{0, 3, 3\}$, the eigenvector corresponding to the zero eigenvalue is

$$\mathbf{x}_A = [0.5774 - 0.1925i\epsilon, 0.5774 - 0.3849i\epsilon, 0.5774 + 0.5774i\epsilon]^\top.$$

From this, we conclude that the first two conditions of Theorem 6.1 hold true and $Err_A = 1.6330$. Hence, Φ_A is not balanced. The eigenvalues of L_B are $\{0, 3, 3\}$, the eigenvector corresponding to the zero eigenvalue is

$$\mathbf{x}_B = [0.5774 + 0.1925i\epsilon, 0.5774 - 0.3849i\epsilon, 0.5774 + 0.1925i\epsilon]^\top.$$

From this, we see that the first two conditions of Theorem 6.1 hold true and $Err_B = 2.22e - 16$. Hence, Φ_B is balanced.

Table 2: Numerical results for verifying the balance of the dual unit gain cycles.

n	10	20	50	100	200	500
DCUGG						
CPU (s)	7.78e-04	1.79e-03	1.36e-02	8.15e-03	5.54e-02	1.96e-01
Err	6.92e-15	3.02e-14	4.04e-14	1.69e-13	1.34e-13	1.36e-12
DQUGG						
CPU (s)	1.20e-02	2.60e-02	7.47e-02	2.57e-01	1.28e+00	4.13e+01
Err	9.81e-15	2.35e-14	4.96e-14	1.20e-13	4.50e-13	1.47e-12

We continue to test the cycles in Section 7.1. By Table 2, we see that all results can be obtained in 5 seconds, and the residue is less than 10^{-11} for all examples. These results show that we can verify the balance of the dual unit gain graphs efficiently.

8 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we have made the following contributions.

- We studied dual number symmetric matrices, dual complex Hermitian matrices and dual quaternion Hermitian matrices in a **unified frame** as dual Hermitian matrices. This avoided unnecessary repetitions.
- We proposed a **practical method** - The Supplement Matrix Method, for computing eigenvalues of a dual Hermitian matrix.
- We raised a **meaningful application problem** - The Relative Configuration Problem, in multi-agent formation control.
- We explored a **cross-disciplinary approach** to solve the above problem. This approach combines the spectral theory of dual Hermitian matrices, and the unit gain graph theory. While the unit gain graph theory is well-developed in spectral graph area, what we used here are about dual quaternion unit gain graphs. This is the first discussion on dual quaternion unit

gain graphs in the literature. Finally, the supplement matrix method was used in this approach.

We will continue on this path, namely exploring on the application oriented research.

References

- [1] J. Angeles, “The dual generalized inverses and their applications in kinematic synthesis”, in: *Latest Advances in Robot Kinematics*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2012, pp. 1-12.
- [2] G. Brambley and J. Kim, *Unit dual quaternion-based pose optimization for visual runway observations*, IET Cyber-Systems and Robotics 2 (2020): 181-189.
- [3] S. Bultmann, K. Li and U.D. Hanebeck, “Stereo visual SLAM based on unscented dual quaternion filtering”, *2019 22th International Conference on Information Fusion (FUSION)* (2019) 1-8.
- [4] J. Cheng, J. Kim, Z. Jiang and W. Che, “Dual quaternion-based graph SLAM”, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* **77** (2016) 15-24.
- [5] W.K. Clifford, “Preliminary sketch of bi-quaternions”, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **4** (1873) 381-395.
- [6] C. Cui, Y. Lu, L. Qi and L. Wang, “Spectral properties of dual complex unit gain graphs”, February 2024, arXiv:2402.12988.
- [7] C. Cui and L. Qi, “A power method for computing the dominant eigenvalue of a dual quaternion Hermitian matrix”, April 2023, arXiv:2304.04355.
- [8] K. Daniilidis, “Hand-eye calibration using dual quaternions”, *The International Journal of Robotics Research* **18** (1999) 286-298.

- [9] W. Ding, Y. Li, T. Wang and M. Wei, “Dual quaternion singularvalue decomposition based on bidiagonalization to a dual number matrix using dual quaternion Householder transformations”, *Applied Mathematics Letters* **152** (2024) No. 109021.
- [10] A.Q. Duan, Q.W. Wang and X.F. Duan, “On Rayleigh quotient iteration for dual quaternion Hermitian eigenvalue problem”, March 2024, arXiv:2310.20290v6.
- [11] I.S. Fischer, *Dual-number Methods in Kinematics, Statics and Dynamics*, CRC Press, London, 1998.
- [12] Y.L. Gu and L. Luh, “Dual-number transformation and its applications to robotics”, *IEEE J. Robot. Autom.* **3** (1987) 615-623.
- [13] Y.P. Hou, J.S. Li and Y.L. Pan, “On the Laplacian eigenvalues of signed graphs”, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **51** (2003) 21-30.
- [14] G. Leclercq, Ph. Lefèvre and G. Blohm, “3D kinematics using dual quaternions: Theory and applications in neuroscience”, *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* **7** (2013) Article 7, 1-25.
- [15] Z. Lin, L. Wang, Z. Han and M. Fu, “Distributed formation control of multi-agent systems using complex Laplacian”, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **59** (2014) 1765-1777.
- [16] E. Pennestrì and R. Stefanelli, “Linear algebra and numerical algorithms using dual numbers”, *Multibody Syst. Dyn.* **18** (2007) 323-344.
- [17] E. Pennestrì and P.P. Valentini, “Linear dual algebra algorithms and their applications to kinematics”, in: *Multibody Dynamics*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009, pp. 207-229.
- [18] L. Qi and C. Cui, “Eigenvalues and Jordan forms of dual complex matrices”, *Communications on Applied Mathematics and Computation* (2023) DOI. 10.1007/s42967-023-00299-1.

- [19] L. Qi and C. Cui, “Dual quaternion Laplacian matrix and formation control”, January 2024, arXiv:2401.05132v2.
- [20] L. Qi, C. Ling and H. Yan, “Dual quaternions and dual quaternion vectors”, *Communications on Applied Mathematics and Computation* **4** (2022) 1494-1508.
- [21] L. Qi and Z. Luo, “Eigenvalues and singular values of dual quaternion matrices”, *Pacific Journal of Optimization* **19** (2023) 257-272.
- [22] L. Qi, X. Wang and Z. Luo, “Dual quaternion matrices in multi-agent formation control”, *Communications in Mathematical Sciences* **21** (2023) 1865-1874.
- [23] E. Study, *Geometrie der Dynamen*, Verlag Teubner, Leipzig (1903).
- [24] F.E. Udwardia, “Dual generalized inverses and their use in solving systems of linear dual equation”, *Mech. Mach. Theory* **156** (2021) 104158.
- [25] H. Wang, “Characterization and properties of the MPDGI and DMPGI”, *Mech. Mach. Theory* **158** (2021) 104212.
- [26] X. Wang, C. Yu and Z. Lin, “A dual quaternion solution to attitude and position control for rigid body coordination”, *IEEE Transactions on Robotics* **28** (2012) 1162-1170.
- [27] T. Wei, W. Ding and Y. Wei, “Singular value decomposition of dual matrices and its application to traveling wave identification in the brain”, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications* **45** (2024) 634-660.
- [28] F. Zhang, “Quaternions and matrices of quaternions”, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **251** (1997) 21-57.