

Exact time-evolving scattering states in open quantum-dot systems with an interaction: Discovery of time-evolving resonant states

Akinori Nishino¹ and Naomichi Hatano²

¹ Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University, 3-27-1 Rokkakubashi, Kanagawa-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa, 221-8686, Japan

² Institute of Industrial Science, The University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8574, Japan

E-mail: nishino@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

November 2023

Abstract. We study exact time-evolving many-electron states of an open double quantum-dot system with an interdot Coulomb interaction. A systematic construction of the time-evolving states for arbitrary initial conditions is proposed. For any initial states of one- and two-electron plane waves on the electrical leads, we obtain exact solutions of the time-evolving scattering states, which converge to known stationary scattering eigenstates in the long-time limit. For any initial states of localized electrons on the quantum dots, we find exact time-evolving states of a new type, which we refer to as time-evolving resonant states. In contrast to stationary resonant states, whose wave functions spatially diverge and not normalizable, the time-evolving resonant states are normalizable since their wave functions are restricted to a finite space interval due to causality. The exact time-evolving resonant states enable us to calculate the time-dependence of the survival probability of electrons on the quantum dots for the system with the linearized dispersions. It decays exponentially in time on one side of an exponential point of resonance energies while, on the other side, it oscillates during the decay as a result of the interference of the two resonance energies.

Keywords: open quantum systems, quantum dots, initial-value problems, scattering states, resonant states, exceptional points, exact solutions

Submitted to: *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*

1. Introduction

Quantum transport in open quantum-dot systems with interacting electrons has been intensively studied in recent years. One of the pioneering experiments is a measurement of zero-bias resonance peaks of electrical conductance across a quantum dot at low

temperatures, which is understood as the nonequilibrium Kondo effect [1, 2, 3]. On the other hand, in order to analyze the quantum transport in open quantum-dot systems theoretically, it is necessary to consider nonequilibrium states realized in the systems. The Landauer formula, which was first developed in noninteracting cases, assumes that an electric current in coherent electron transport is carried by quantum-mechanical scattering states [4, 5, 6]. The non-equilibrium Green's-function method has been successful as an extension of the Landauer formula to interacting cases [7, 8, 9, 10].

However, explicit many-electron wave functions realized in open quantum-dot systems had not been obtained in interacting cases, until we constructed *exact* many-electron scattering eigenstates for an open single quantum-dot system described by the interacting resonant-level model [11, 12, 13]. We found it remarkable that incident free-electron plane waves are partially scattered to *many-body bound states* around the quantum-dot due to the Coulomb interaction. By using the exact solution, we calculated the average electric current in the system under finite bias voltages, which agrees with the results by other methods [14, 15, 16, 17]. Besides the interacting resonant-level model, we also constructed exact scattering eigenstates for the Anderson model [18] and the open double quantum-dot systems [19, 20].

In open quantum systems, resonant states with complex energy eigenvalues have attracted renewed interest. The concept of the resonant state was originally introduced for the study of decaying states of unstable nuclei; the imaginary part of the complex energy eigenvalue corresponds to the lifetime of the decaying state [21, 22, 23]. However, the resonant state is often regarded as “unphysical” since its wave function diverges in space and is not normalizable. Normalization and probabilistic interpretation of the resonant state have been discussed for many years [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. Recently, resonant states are employed for understanding the resonant transport in open quantum-dot systems [29, 30, 31]. The two-body bound state appearing in the exact many-electron scattering states [11, 12, 13, 18, 19, 20] is regarded as a pair of two resonant states, where the imaginary part of the complex energy eigenvalue characterizes the binding strength of the two-body bound states.

In the present article, we study time-evolving many-electron states of an open double quantum-dot system of spinless electrons with an interdot Coulomb interaction. We analyze a general double quantum-dot system of arbitrary arrangements of two quantum dots including serial, parallel, and T-shaped double quantum-dot systems [32]. Quite recently, for the open quantum systems with a single quantum dot, exact time-evolving many-electron scattering states have been constructed for initial states of free-electron plane waves under an assumption on the form of wave functions [33, 34, 35]. We develop a systematic construction of the time-evolving states for the open double quantum-dot system under *arbitrary* initial conditions without assuming the form of wave functions as was used in Refs. [33, 34, 35]. For demonstrations, we explicitly construct exact solutions of the time-evolving states for two types of initial states: i) the one- and two-electron plane-wave states on the electrical leads; ii) the localized states on the two quantum dots. In the case i), we obtain the time-evolving scattering states,

which exponentially converge to the known stationary scattering eigenstates [20] in the long-time limit. In particular, at *exceptional points* of the resonance energies, we find exponential functions multiplied by a linear term of time in the wave functions. Here we remark that the purely exponential behavior without any deviations in the form of power-law behavior [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42] is due to the unbounded linear dispersion relation that we assume for the electrical leads.

In the one-electron case of the case ii), we find a new time-evolving state, which we refer to as a *time-evolving resonant state*. The wave function of the time-evolving resonant state increases exponentially only inside a finite space interval and hence is normalizable, in contrast to the “unphysical” resonant states with spatially diverging wave functions [29]. The restriction of the wave function to the space interval is originated from causality, which was also observed in the Friedrichs model [39]. We show through the construction of exact solutions that the wave function coincides with the resonant state inside the space interval. Furthermore, in the two-electron case of the case ii), we obtain a *time-evolving two-body resonant state* that corresponds to the two-body resonance pole in the interacting case.

The explicit wave functions of time-evolving resonant states enable us to calculate exactly the time-dependence of the existence probability of electrons on the leads and the survival probability of electrons on the quantum dots under the initial states of localized electrons on the quantum dots. The exponential behavior of the wave functions leads to that of both the existence and the survival probabilities. It is remarkable that their behavior changes around exceptional points of the resonance energies. In a parameter region on one side of an exceptional point, we observe a pure exponential increase of the existence probability in space and a pure exponential decay of the survival probability in time. In a region on the other side of the exceptional point, we observe oscillation during the exponential behavior, which are results of the interference of two one-body resonance poles.

We also remark that the open double quantum-dot system is considered to be a charge-qubit device that consists of one qubit and two quantum probes. The two initial states above are related to the following two ways of manipulating the device: the case i) can be utilized as “initialization” of the qubit and the case ii) may correspond to “coherent manipulation” [43]. The decoherence of qubit states after these ways of manipulation is described by the time evolution of the quantum states under the initial conditions. Our exact solutions of the time-evolving many-electron states will be useful for calculating the decoherence time.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, an open double quantum-dot system with an interdot Coulomb interaction is introduced. In Section 3, we propose a systematic construction of time-evolving states under arbitrary initial conditions. We construct exact solutions of the time-evolving scattering states for any initial states of one- and two-electron plane waves on the electrical leads. In Section 4, we obtain exact time-evolving resonant states for the initial states of one and two electrons localized on the quantum dots. By using the exact solutions, we investigate the existence probability




Figure 1. A schematic diagram of the double quantum-dot system.

of electrons on the electrical leads and the survival probability of electrons on the quantum dots. Section 5 is devoted to concluding remarks. Appendix provides a concise review of resonant states.

2. Open double quantum-dot systems

We introduce an open double quantum-dot system with an interdot Coulomb interaction [20]. Let us consider an open quantum system which consists of two quantum dots and two one-dimensional electrical leads of noninteracting spinless electrons as is illustrated in Fig. 1. We assume a single energy level for each quantum dot and linearize the dispersion relation in the vicinity of the Fermi energy for each lead. The two quantum dots are connected to each other and to the origin $x = 0$ of each lead. The Hamiltonian of the system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = & \sum_{m=1,2} \int dx c_m^\dagger(x) v_F \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} c_m(x) + \sum_{\substack{m=1,2 \\ \alpha=1,2}} (v_{m\alpha} c_m^\dagger(0) d_\alpha + v_{m\alpha}^* d_\alpha^\dagger c_m(0)) \\
 & + v' d_1^\dagger d_2 + v'^* d_2^\dagger d_1 + \sum_{\alpha=1,2} \epsilon_{d\alpha} n_{d\alpha} + U' n_{d1} n_{d2}. \quad (2.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $c_m^\dagger(x)$ and $c_m(x)$ are the creation and annihilation operators of electrons at the position x on the lead m , respectively, and d_α^\dagger and d_α are those on the quantum dot α . We also define the number operator $n_{d\alpha} = d_\alpha^\dagger d_\alpha$ of electrons on the quantum dot α . The parameter $v_{m\alpha}$ is the transfer integral between the lead m and the quantum dot α , while v' is that between the two quantum dots. Here we assume the S-wave scattering of electrons off the quantum dots, and hence the transfer integrals $v_{m\alpha}$ and v' are independent of the wave numbers of electrons. The parameter $\epsilon_{d\alpha}$ is the energy level on the quantum dot α and U' expresses the strength of the interdot Coulomb interaction. Here and hereafter, we set $\hbar = 1$. In what follows, the Fermi velocity v_F of the leads is also set to unity: $v_F = 1$.

We remark that actual energy levels on the quantum dots are affected by the electrons contained in the quantum dots. In order to investigate the electron distribution

within a quantum dot of finite size, we would have to solve the Schrödinger equations and the Poisson equation self-consistently [43], which, however, is out of scope of the present paper.

The Hamiltonian in Eq. (2.1) includes various arrangements of the two quantum dots [32]. For example, in the case of $v' = 0$, we have a parallel-coupled double quantum-dot system; in the case of $v_{12} = v_{21} = 0$, we have a serial-coupled double quantum-dot system; in the case of $v_{12} = v_{22} = 0$, we have a T-shaped double quantum-dot system. The arrangement of the two quantum dots affects the quantum interference of electrons traveling through the quantum dots.

Let us derive the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the open double quantum-dot system in Eq. (2.1). First, we consider the one-electron case, which is equivalent to the noninteracting case. The time-dependent one-electron state is given in the form

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \left(\int dx \sum_{m=1,2} g_m(x,t) c_m^\dagger(x) + \sum_{\alpha=1,2} e_\alpha(t) d_\alpha^\dagger \right) |0\rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

Here $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state. The wave functions $g_m(x,t)$ for $m = 1, 2$ and $e_\alpha(t)$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$ are determined by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation $i\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = H|\Psi(t)\rangle$, which is explicitly written in the form of the following coupled partial-differential equations:

$$i\partial_t g_m(x,t) = \frac{1}{i} \partial_x g_m(x,t) + \delta(x) \sum_{\alpha} v_{m\alpha} e_\alpha(t), \quad (2.3a)$$

$$i\partial_t e_\alpha(t) = \epsilon_{d\alpha} e_\alpha(t) + \sum_m v_{m\alpha}^* g_m(0,t) + v'_\alpha e_{\bar{\alpha}}(t). \quad (2.3b)$$

Here $\delta(x)$ is Dirac's delta function. We expressed the partial differential operators $\partial/\partial t$ and $\partial/\partial x$ by ∂_t and ∂_x , respectively, and set $\bar{\alpha} = 3 - \alpha$, $v'_1 = v'$ and $v'_2 = v'^*$. We remark that the time-dependent states in noninteracting cases were studied for the single-quantum-dot systems with general dispersion relations in Ref. [44].

Next, we consider the two-electron case. The time-dependent two-electron state is given in the form

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \left(\int_{x_1 < x_2} dx_1 dx_2 \sum_{m_1, m_2=1,2} g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) c_{m_1}^\dagger(x_1) c_{m_2}^\dagger(x_2) + \sum_{m=1,2} \sum_{\alpha=1,2} e_{m,\alpha}(t) c_m^\dagger(x) d_\alpha^\dagger + f_{12}(t) d_1^\dagger d_2^\dagger \right) |0\rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

where we assume the following Fermionic anti-symmetries for the wave functions $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ and $f_{12}(t)$:

$$g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) = -g_{m_2 m_1}(x_2, x_1, t), \quad f_{12}(t) = -f_{21}(t). \quad (2.5)$$

The time-dependent Schrödinger equation $i\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = H|\Psi(t)\rangle$ gives the following coupled partial-differential equations for the wave functions $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$, $e_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$ and $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t)$:

$$i\partial_t g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) = \frac{1}{i} (\partial_{x_1} + \partial_{x_2}) g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha} (v_{m_2\alpha}\delta(x_2)e_{m_1,\alpha}(x_1,t) - v_{m_1\alpha}\delta(x_1)e_{m_2,\alpha}(x_2,t)), \quad (2.6a)$$

$$i\partial_t e_{m,\alpha}(x,t) = \left(\frac{1}{i}\partial_x + \epsilon_{d\alpha}\right)e_{m,\alpha}(x,t) \\ + \sum_n v_{n\alpha}^* g_{mn}(x,0,t) + v'_{\alpha} e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x,t) - v_{m\bar{\alpha}}\delta(x)f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t), \quad (2.6b)$$

$$i\partial_t f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = (2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U')f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) + \sum_m (v_{m\alpha}^* e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(0,t) - v_{m\bar{\alpha}}^* e_{m,\alpha}(0,t)). \quad (2.6c)$$

Here we introduced $\bar{\epsilon}_d = (\epsilon_{d1} + \epsilon_{d2})/2$.

The many-electron scattering eigenstates have been constructed as the stationary solutions of the set of Schrödinger equations in Eqs. (2.6a), (2.6b) and (2.6c) under the scattering boundary conditions [19, 20]. As an effect of the interdot interaction, the incident plane waves are partially scattered to two- and three-body bound states at the quantum dots. The binding strength of the many-body bound states corresponds to the imaginary part of the resonance poles of the scattering wave functions.

3. Time-evolving scattering states

3.1. One-electron scattering states

Let us construct time-evolving scattering states of the open double quantum-dot system by solving initial-value problems for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation given in Sec. 2. We propose a systematic construction of exact solutions for arbitrary initial states at time $t = 0$ without assuming the form of wave functions as was used in Refs. [33, 34, 35]. In this subsection, we consider the one-electron case. The Schrödinger equation (2.3a) tells us that, in each region of $x > 0$ or $x < 0$, the general solution $g_m(x,t)$ is given by an arbitrary function $F(x-t)$ of the variable $x-t$. In other words, for the real parameter a under the condition $x+a > 0$ if $x > 0$ or the condition $x+a < 0$ if $x < 0$, the solution $g_m(x,t)$ is invariant under the translation $(x,t) \mapsto (x+a, t+a)$. By combining the two conditions as $x(x+a) > 0$, we have

$$g_m(x+a, t+a) = g_m(x, t), \quad \text{if } x(x+a) > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Because of the delta-function term in Eq. (2.3a), the wave function $g_m(x,t)$ is discontinuous at $x = 0$. Hence, at $x = 0$, we match in the following form the wave functions that are given in the two regions $x > 0$ and $x < 0$. We obtain the matching condition of $g_m(x,t)$ at $x = 0$ by integrating Eq. (2.3a) over an infinitesimal region around $x = 0$, which results in

$$g_m(0+, t) - g_m(0-, t) = -i \sum_{\alpha} v_{m\alpha} e_{\alpha}(t). \quad (3.2)$$

Since the value of $g_m(x,t)$ at $x = 0$, which appears in Eq. (2.3b), is not determined by the Schrödinger equations (2.3a) and (2.3b), we assume

$$g_m(0, t) = \frac{1}{2}(g_m(0+, t) + g_m(0-, t)). \quad (3.3)$$

We remark that the difference of the value $g_m(0, t)$ should be absorbed into the system parameters by the renormalization-group method [45].

By inserting Eqs. (3.3) and (3.2) into Eq. (2.3b), we obtain

$$i\partial_t e_\alpha(t) = (\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})e_\alpha(t) + (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})e_{\bar{\alpha}}(t) + \sum_m v_{m\alpha}^* g_m(0-, t). \quad (3.4)$$

Here we introduced the level width $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_m v_{m\alpha}^* v_{m\beta}/2$. By introducing an auxiliary function $\tilde{e}_\alpha(t) = e^{i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})t} e_\alpha(t)$, the differential equation (3.4) is rewritten as

$$i\partial_t \tilde{e}_\alpha(t) = (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})e^{i(\Delta\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Delta\Gamma_\alpha)t} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}(t) + \sum_m v_{m\alpha}^* e^{i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})t} g_m(0-, t), \quad (3.5)$$

where $\Delta\epsilon_{d\alpha} = \epsilon_{d\alpha} - \epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}}$ and $\Delta\Gamma_\alpha = \Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} - \Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}}$.

The coupled first-order differential equations for $\tilde{e}_\alpha(t)$ and $\tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}(t)$ are transformed to a second-order differential equation for $\tilde{e}_\alpha(t)$ of the form

$$\partial_t^2 \tilde{e}_\alpha(t) - i(\Delta\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Delta\Gamma_\alpha)\partial_t \tilde{e}_\alpha(t) + (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha})\tilde{e}_\alpha(t) = G_\alpha(t), \quad (3.6)$$

where the inhomogeneous term is given by

$$G_\alpha(t) = - \sum_m e^{i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})t} \left[v_{m\alpha}^* (i\partial_t - \epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} + i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}}) + v_{m\bar{\alpha}}^* (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \right] g_m(0-, t). \quad (3.7)$$

In order to solve Eq. (3.6), we investigate the characteristic equation of a homogeneous linear differential equation associated with Eq. (3.6) as

$$\lambda^2 - i(\Delta\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Delta\Gamma_\alpha)\lambda + (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha}) = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

which gives the two characteristic roots as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\alpha,\pm} &= \frac{1}{2}(i(\Delta\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Delta\Gamma_\alpha) + \eta_\pm), \\ \eta_\pm &= \pm\eta = \pm\sqrt{(i\Delta\epsilon_{d\alpha} + \Delta\Gamma_\alpha)^2 - 4(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{12})(v'^* - i\Gamma_{21})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We notice that the two roots $\lambda_{\alpha,\pm}$ merge into one at the parameter points giving $\eta = 0$.

The general solution of Eq. (3.6) is obtained as

$$\tilde{e}_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=\pm} C_{\alpha,s} e^{\lambda_{\alpha,s}t} + \sum_{s=\pm} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\alpha,s} - \lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}}} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' e^{\lambda_{\alpha,s}(t-t')} G_\alpha(t') & \text{for } \eta \neq 0, \\ (D_{\alpha,0} + tD_{\alpha,1})e^{\lambda_\alpha^0 t} + \int_{-\infty}^t dt' (t-t')e^{\lambda_\alpha^0(t-t')} G_\alpha(t') & \text{for } \eta = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

where $C_{\alpha,s}$ for $s = \pm$ and $D_{\alpha,r}$ for $r = 0, 1$ are integration constants, and $\lambda_\alpha^0 = \lambda_{\alpha,s}|_{\eta=0}$. For $\eta = 0$ in Eq. (3.10), we find terms linear in time t inside and outside the integral with respect to the variable t' . We shall elucidate in Appendix A.1 that the parameter points satisfying $\eta = 0$ correspond to *exceptional points* of a non-Hermite effective Hamiltonian.

Now we propose a systematic construction of the time-evolving one-electron states under arbitrary initial conditions as is shown by the flow chart in Fig. 2:

Step 1) The wave function $g_m(x, t)$ for $x < 0$ in (i) of Fig. 2 is obtained by the translation invariance in Eq. (3.1) with the wave function $g_m(x, 0)$ of given initial states.




Figure 2. The flow chart of the construction of the time-evolving one-electron states.

Step 2) In the process (i)→(ii) in Fig. 2, we use the general solution of $e_\alpha(t)$ in Eq. (3.10). The integration constant $C_{\alpha,s}$ or $D_{\alpha,r}$ is determined by the initial conditions for $e_\alpha(t)$.

Step 3) In the process (i), (ii)→(iii) in Fig. 2, we use the matching condition of $g_m(x, t)$ in Eq. (3.2).

We find that the construction above provides an exact solution if we obtain the integration in Eq. (3.10), whose integrand is given by the initial state.

Let us now specifically consider the time-evolving scattering states for the initial conditions

$$g_m(x, 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta_{m,\ell} e^{ikx} \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, \quad e_\alpha(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, 2, \quad (3.11)$$

which stand for the one-electron plane-wave state with the wave number k on the entire lead ℓ along with the absence of electrons on the quantum dots.

Proposition 3.1 *The set of Schrödinger equations (2.3a) and (2.3b) for the initial conditions (3.11) is solved as follows: i) For $\eta \neq 0$, the solution is given by*

$$g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta_{m,\ell} e^{ik(x-t)} + \sum_{\alpha,s} e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} y_{m\bar{\alpha},s} \left[e^{ik(x-t)} - e^{i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x-t)} \right] \tilde{\theta}(t-x)\theta(x), \quad (3.12a)$$

$$e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}(t) = e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} e^{-ikt} + \sum_{s=\pm} \frac{1}{\eta_s} \left[\lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}} e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) e_{\bar{\alpha},k}^{(\ell)} \right] e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t}, \quad (3.12b)$$

where we used

$$e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{(k - \epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} + i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}}) v_{\ell\alpha}^* + (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) v_{\ell\bar{\alpha}}^*}{(k - \bar{\epsilon}_d + i\bar{\Gamma} - i\eta/2)(k - \bar{\epsilon}_d + i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta/2)},$$

$$y_{m\alpha,\pm} = \frac{1}{\eta_s} (i\lambda_{\alpha,\mp} v_{m\bar{\alpha}} + (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) v_{m\alpha}) \quad (3.13)$$

and the following two types of step functions:

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t < 0, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\theta}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

ii) For $\eta = 0$, the solution is given by

$$g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta_{m,\ell} e^{ik(x-t)} - i \sum_{\alpha} v_{m\alpha} \left[e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)0} e^{ik(x-t)} + ((\lambda_{\alpha}^0 e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)0} + i(v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)0})(t-x) - e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)0}) e^{i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma})(x-t)} \right] \tilde{\theta}(t-x)\theta(x), \quad (3.15a)$$

$$e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}(t) = e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)0} e^{-ikt} + \left[(\lambda_{\alpha}^0 e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)0} + i(v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)0})t - e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)0} \right] e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma})t}, \quad (3.15b)$$

where $\lambda_{\alpha}^0 = \lambda_{\alpha,s}|_{\eta=0}$ and $e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)0} = e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}|_{\eta=0}$.

Proof. By following the three steps above, we show a proof in the case $\eta \neq 0$.

Step 1) We obtain the wave function $g_m(x, t)$ in the region of $x < 0$ for $t > 0$ through the translation invariance in Eq. (3.1) as

$$g_m(x, t) = g_m(x - t, 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta_{m,\ell} e^{ik(x-t)} \quad (3.16)$$

due to the relation $x(x - t) > 0$.

Step 2) Process (i)→(ii): By setting $x = 0-$ in the above equation, we have

$$g_m(0-, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta_{m,\ell} e^{-ikt}. \quad (3.17)$$

Then the inhomogeneous term $G_{\alpha}(t)$ in Eq. (3.7) is calculated as

$$G_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i(-k + \epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})t} \left[v_{\ell\alpha}^*(k - \epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} + i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}}) + v_{\ell\bar{\alpha}}^*(v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \right]. \quad (3.18)$$

By inserting this into the general solution in Eq. (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_{\alpha}(t) &= \sum_s C_{\alpha,s} e^{\lambda_{\alpha,s}t} + e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} e^{i(-k + \epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})t}, \\ \therefore e_{\alpha}(t) &= e^{-i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})t} \tilde{e}_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_s C_{\alpha,s} e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t} + e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} e^{-ikt}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Here we used the relation $\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} + i\lambda_{\alpha,s} = \bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2$. By applying the solution (3.19) to Eq. (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}(t) &= \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{\alpha,s} e^{i(\Delta\epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} - i\Delta\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}} - i\lambda_{\alpha,s})t} + e_{\bar{\alpha},k}^{(\ell)} e^{i(-k + \epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} - i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}})t}, \\ \therefore e_{\bar{\alpha}}(t) &= \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{\alpha,s} e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t} + e_{\bar{\alpha},k}^{(\ell)} e^{-ikt}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

The initial conditions $e_{\alpha}(0) = e_{\bar{\alpha}}(0) = 0$ determine the integration constants $C_{\alpha,+}$ and $C_{\alpha,-}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\alpha}(0+) &= C_{\alpha,+} + C_{\alpha,-} + e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} = 0, \\ e_{\bar{\alpha}}(0+) &= \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,+}}{v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{\alpha,+} + \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,-}}{v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{\alpha,-} + e_{\bar{\alpha},k}^{(\ell)} = 0, \\ \therefore C_{\alpha,\pm} &= \frac{1}{\eta_{\pm}} \left[\lambda_{\alpha,\mp} e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} + i(v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) e_{\bar{\alpha},k}^{(\ell)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Then, by inserting these into Eqs. (3.19) and (3.20), we obtain

$$e_\alpha(t) = e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} e^{-ikt} + \sum_s \frac{1}{\eta_s} \left[\lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}} e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) e_{\bar{\alpha},k}^{(\ell)} \right] e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t}. \quad (3.22)$$

Step 3) Process (i), (ii)→(iii): By using the matching condition of $g_m(x, t)$ in Eq. (3.2), we have

$$g_m(0+, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta_{m,\ell} e^{-ikt} - i\tilde{\theta}(t) \sum_\alpha v_{m\alpha} e_\alpha(t). \quad (3.23)$$

Here, in order to extend the relation to the region $t \leq 0$, we used the step function $\tilde{\theta}(t)$ defined in Eq. (3.14). Through the translation invariance in Eq. (3.1), we obtain the wave function $g_m(x, t)$ for $x > 0$ and $t > 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} g_m(x, t) &= g_m(0+, t-x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta_{m,\ell} e^{ik(x-t)} - i\tilde{\theta}(t-x) \sum_\alpha v_{m\alpha} e_\alpha(t-x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

The wave functions for $\eta = 0$ are obtained in a similar way by using the general solution for $\eta = 0$ in Eqs. (3.10).

□

We find that the second terms of the wave functions $g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x, t)$ and $e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}(t)$ in Eqs. (3.12a), (3.12b), (3.15a) and (3.15b) contain the terms with the time-dependent factor $e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t}$, which decays exponentially with the inverse relaxation time $\bar{\Gamma} - \text{Re}(\eta_s/2)$. The purely exponential decay is due to the unbounded linear dispersion relation [44]; if there were the lower limit of the energy dispersion, we would have found deviations from the exponential decay. We also find that the time-dependent factor corresponds to the one-body resonant state, which is explicitly given in Appendix A.1. The wave functions for $\eta = 0$ in Eqs. (3.15a) and (3.15b) include an exponential function multiplied by a term linear in time t . We remark that they are reproduced by taking the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ of Eqs. (3.12a) and (3.12b).

In the long-time limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, the wave functions in Eqs. (3.12a) and (3.12b) converge to the stationary scattering eigenstates [20],

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{ikt} g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\delta_{m,\ell} - i\sqrt{2\pi}\theta(x) \sum_\alpha v_{m\alpha} e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)} \right] e^{ikx} =: g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x), \quad (3.25a)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{ikt} e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}(t) = e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}, \quad (3.25b)$$

where $e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}$ is defined in Eq. (3.13). We remark that the wave functions $g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x)$ and $e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}$ have resonance poles at $k = \bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} \pm i\eta/2$ on the complex k plane. The imaginary parts of the resonance poles correspond to the relaxation time of the time-evolving scattering states.

3.2. Two-electron scattering states

Next we consider the two-electron case. In a way similar to the one-electron case, we derive several relations among the wave functions from the set of Schrödinger

equations (2.6a), (2.6b) and (2.6c). We consider the case of $\eta \neq 0$ since the wave functions for $\eta = 0$ are obtained by taking the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$. First, we find that the wave function $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ is discontinuous both at $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 0$. In each quadrant of the (x_1, x_2) -plane, the general solution $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ is given by an arbitrary function $F(x_1 - t, x_2 - t)$ of the two variables $x_1 - t$ and $x_2 - t$. In other words, the wave function $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ has the following translation invariance:

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1 + a, x_2 + a, t + a) \\ &= g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) \quad \text{if } x_i(x_i + a) > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

The matching conditions of $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ at $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 0$ are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{m_1 m_2}(x, 0+, t) - g_{m_1 m_2}(x, 0-, t) + i \sum_{\alpha} v_{m_2 \alpha} e_{m_1, \alpha}(x, t) = 0, \\ & g_{m_1 m_2}(0+, x, t) - g_{m_1 m_2}(0-, x, t) - i \sum_{\alpha} v_{m_1 \alpha} e_{m_2, \alpha}(x, t) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

as was in Eq. (3.2). We note that the two relations are consistent with the anti-symmetries in Eqs. (2.5). Similarly to Eq. (3.3), we assume the values of $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ at $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{m_1 m_2}(0, x, t) = \frac{1}{2}(g_{m_1 m_2}(0+, x, t) + g_{m_1 m_2}(0-, x, t)), \\ & g_{m_1 m_2}(x, 0, t) = \frac{1}{2}(g_{m_1 m_2}(x, 0+, t) + g_{m_1 m_2}(x, 0-, t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Next, we find that the wave function $e_{m, \alpha}(x, t)$ is also discontinuous at $x = 0$, and its matching condition at $x = 0$ is given by

$$e_{m, \alpha}(0+, t) - e_{m, \alpha}(0-, t) - i v_{m \bar{\alpha}} f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}}(t) = 0. \quad (3.29)$$

We assume the value of $e_{m, \alpha}(x, t)$ at $x = 0$ as follows:

$$e_{m, \alpha}(0, t) = \frac{1}{2}(e_{m, \alpha}(0+, t) + e_{m, \alpha}(0-, t)). \quad (3.30)$$

By applying Eqs. (3.27) and (3.28) to Eq. (2.6b) for $x \neq 0$, we have the coupled partial-differential equations for $e_{m, \alpha}(x, t)$ and $e_{m, \bar{\alpha}}(x, t)$ as

$$(i(\partial_t + \partial_x) - \epsilon_{d\alpha} + i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})e_{m, \alpha}(x, t) - (v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})e_{m, \bar{\alpha}}(x, t) = \sum_n v_{n\alpha}^* g_{mn}(x, 0-, t). \quad (3.31)$$

Through the change of variables $z = (x + t)/2$ and $z_1 = x - t$, the partial-differential equation is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & (i\partial_z - \epsilon_{d\alpha} + i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})e_{m, \alpha}(x, t) - (v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})e_{m, \bar{\alpha}}(x, t) \\ &= \sum_n v_{n\alpha}^* g_{mn}\left(z + \frac{z_1}{2}, 0-, z - \frac{z_1}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

By introducing an auxiliary function $e_{m, \alpha}(x, t) = e^{-i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})z} \tilde{e}_{m, \alpha}(x, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & i\partial_z \tilde{e}_{m, \alpha}(x, t) = (v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})e^{i(\Delta\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Delta\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})z} \tilde{e}_{m, \bar{\alpha}}(x, t) \\ & \quad + \sum_n v_{n\alpha}^* e^{i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})z} g_{mn}\left(z + \frac{z_1}{2}, 0-, z - \frac{z_1}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

which is transformed to a second-order differential equation for $\tilde{e}_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$ for each α as

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_z^2 \tilde{e}_{m,\alpha}(x, t) - i(\Delta\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Delta\Gamma_\alpha) \partial_z \tilde{e}_{m,\alpha}(x, t) \\ & + (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})(v'_{\bar{\alpha}} - i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha}) \tilde{e}_{m,\alpha}(x, t) = G_{m,\alpha}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

with the inhomogeneous term

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m,\alpha}(z) = & - \sum_n e^{i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})z} \left[v_{n\alpha}^* (i\partial_z - \epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} + i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}}) + v_{n\bar{\alpha}}^* (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \right] \\ & \times g_{mn} \left(z + \frac{z_1}{2}, 0-, z - \frac{z_1}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

The general solution of Eq. (3.34) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_{m,\alpha}(x, t) = & \sum_s C_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t) e^{\lambda_{\alpha,s}(x+t)/2} \\ & + \sum_s \frac{1}{\lambda_{\alpha,s} - \lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}}} \int_{-\infty}^{(x+t)/2} dz' e^{\lambda_{\alpha,s}((x+t)/2 - z')} G_{m,\alpha}(z'), \\ \therefore e_{m,\alpha}(x, t) = & \sum_s C_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t) e^{-i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} + i\lambda_{\alpha,s})(x+t)/2} \\ & + \sum_s \frac{1}{\lambda_{\alpha,s} - \lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}}} \int_{-\infty}^{(x+t)/2} dz' e^{-i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})(x+t)/2 + \lambda_{\alpha,s}((x+t)/2 - z')} G_{m,\alpha}(z'), \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where $C_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t)$ for $s = \pm$ are arbitrary functions of the variable $x-t$.

Finally, by using Eqs. (3.29) and (3.30), the differential equation (2.6c) for the double-occupancy wave function $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t)$ is rewritten as

$$(i\partial_t - 2\bar{\epsilon}_d - U' + 2i\bar{\Gamma}) f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = \sum_{m,\beta} (-)^{\alpha+\beta} v_{m\beta}^* e_{m,\bar{\beta}}(0-, t). \quad (3.37)$$

By setting $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t} \tilde{f}_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t)$, we have

$$\partial_t \tilde{f}_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = -ie^{i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t} \sum_{m,\beta} (-)^{\alpha+\beta} v_{m\beta}^* e_{m,\bar{\beta}}(0-, t), \quad (3.38)$$

which is easily integrated in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = & C_\alpha - i \int_0^t dt' e^{i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t'} \sum_{m,\beta} (-)^{\alpha+\beta} v_{m\beta}^* e_{m,\bar{\beta}}(0-, t'), \\ \therefore f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = & C_\alpha e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t} \\ & - i \int_0^t dt' e^{i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})(t-t')} \sum_{m,\beta} (-)^{\alpha+\beta} v_{m\beta}^* e_{m,\bar{\beta}}(0-, t'). \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Here C_α for $\alpha = 1, 2$ are integration constants.

Now we propose a systematic construction of the time-evolving two-electron states under arbitrary initial conditions. The construction is given by the flow chart in Fig. 3:

Step 1) The wave function $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ for $x_1 < x_2 < 0$ in (i) of Fig. 3 is obtained by the translation invariance in Eq. (3.26) with the wave function $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, 0)$ of given initial states.




Figure 3. The flow chart of the construction of time-evolving two-electron states.

Step 2) In the process (i)→(ii) in Fig. 3, we use the general solution $e_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$ in Eq. (3.36). The arbitrary function $C_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t)$ is determined by the initial condition for $e_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$.

Step 3) In the process (ii)→(iii) in Fig. 3, we use the general solution $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t)$ in Eq. (3.39). The integration constant C_α is determined by the initial condition for $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t)$.

Step 4) In the process (i), (ii)→(iv) in Fig. 3, we use the matching conditions $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ in Eqs. (3.27).

Step 5) In the process (iii), (iv)→(v) in Fig. 3, we use the general solution $e_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$ in Eq. (3.36) again. The arbitrary function $C_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t)$ is determined by the initial condition for $e_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$ and the matching condition for $e_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$ in Eq. (3.29).

Step 6) In the process (iv), (v)→(vi) in Fig. 3, we use the matching conditions for $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ in Eqs. (3.27) again.

Step 7) Through the anti-symmetrization in the variables x_1 and x_2 , we obtain the wave function $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ in the case $x_2 < x_1$.

Let us demonstrate the construction of the time-evolving two-electron scattering states under the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, 0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) \delta_{m_1, \ell_{P_1}} \delta_{m_2, \ell_{P_2}} e^{i(k_{P_1} x_1 + k_{P_2} x_2)} \quad \text{for } m_1, m_2 = 1, 2, \\
 e_{m, \alpha}(x, 0) &= 0, \quad f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2 \text{ and } \alpha = 1, 2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

where $P = (P_1, P_2)$ is a permutation of $(1, 2)$. The initial state stands for the two-electron plane-wave state with wave numbers k_1 and k_2 on the entire leads ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , respectively, and the absence of electrons on the quantum dots.

Proposition 3.2 For $\eta \neq 0$, the solution of the set of Schrödinger equations (2.6a), (2.6b) and (2.6c) for the initial conditions (3.40) is given by

$$g_{m_1 m_2, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x_1, x_2, t) = \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m_1, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x_1, t) g_{m_2, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x_2, t)$$

$$-i \sum_{Q,\alpha,s} \text{sgn}(Q) v_{m_{Q_1}\alpha} y_{m_{Q_2}\alpha,s} X_{\alpha,k_1k_2}^{(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x_{Q_2}-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x_{Q_2Q_1}} \theta(x_{Q_2Q_1}) \theta(x_{Q_1}), \quad (3.41a)$$

$$e_{m_{\alpha},k_1k_2}^{(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x,t) = \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x,t) e_{\alpha,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(t) - \sum_s y_{m\alpha,s} X_{\alpha,k_1k_2}^{(\ell_1\ell_2)}(x-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x} \theta(x), \quad (3.41b)$$

$$f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},k_1k_2}^{(\ell_1\ell_2)}(t) = \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) e_{\alpha,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(t) e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(t) + X_{\alpha,k_1k_2}^{(\ell_1\ell_2)}(-t), \quad (3.41c)$$

where P and Q are permutations of $(1, 2)$, $x_{12} = x_1 - x_2$ and we introduced

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\alpha,k_1k_2}^{(\ell_1\ell_2)}(-t) &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) \left[\frac{1}{2} Z_{\alpha,k_1k_2}^{(\ell_1\ell_2)} (e^{-i(k_1+k_2)t} - e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t}) \right. \\ &\quad - e_{\alpha,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})} e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} (e^{-2i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma})t} - e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{\beta,s} (-)^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{i\tilde{y}_{\ell_{P_1}\beta,s} U'}{(k_{P_1} - \bar{\epsilon}_d + i\bar{\Gamma} - i\eta_s/2)(k_{P_1} - \bar{\epsilon}_d - U' + i\bar{\Gamma} - i\eta_s/2)} e_{\bar{\beta},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} \\ &\quad \left. \times (e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t} - e^{-i(k_{P_1} + \bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} - i\eta_s/2)t}) \right] \tilde{\theta}(t), \\ Z_{\alpha,k_1k_2}^{(\ell_1\ell_2)} &= \frac{U'}{k_1 + k_2 - 2\bar{\epsilon}_d - U' + 2i\bar{\Gamma}} (e_{\alpha,k_1}^{(\ell_1)} e_{\bar{\alpha},k_2}^{(\ell_2)} - e_{\alpha,k_2}^{(\ell_2)} e_{\bar{\alpha},k_1}^{(\ell_1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Proof. We follow the seven steps above.

Step 1) Since we have $x_1 - t < x_2 - t < 0$ for $t > 0$ and $x_1 < x_2 < 0$ in this step, the wave function $g_{m_1m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ is obtained through the invariance of the translation $(x_1, x_2, t) \mapsto (x_1 - t, x_2 - t, 0)$ from the initial state $g_{m_1m_2}(x_1 - t, x_2 - t, 0)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m_1m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) &= g_{m_1m_2}(x_1 - t, x_2 - t, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) \delta_{m_1, \ell_{P_1}} \delta_{m_2, \ell_{P_2}} e^{ik_{P_1}(x_1-t) + ik_{P_2}(x_2-t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Step 2) Process (i) \rightarrow (ii): By setting $x_2 = 0-$ in the above equation, we have

$$g_{m_1m_2}(x_1, 0-, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) \delta_{m_1, \ell_{P_1}} \delta_{m_2, \ell_{P_2}} e^{ik_{P_1}(x_1-t) - ik_{P_2}t}, \quad (3.44)$$

which gives the inhomogeneous term in Eq. (3.35) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m,\alpha}(z) &= - \sum_n \left[v_{n\alpha}^*(k_{P_2} - \epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} + i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}}) + v_{n\bar{\alpha}}^*(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \right] \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) \delta_{m, \ell_{P_1}} \delta_{n, \ell_{P_2}} e^{i(k_{P_1} + k_{P_2}/2)z_1 + i(-k_{P_2} + \epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})z}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Then, for $x < 0$, we obtain the general solution in Eq. (3.36) as

$$\begin{aligned} e_{m,\alpha}(x,t) &= \sum_s C_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t) e^{i(-\epsilon_{d\alpha} + i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} - i\lambda_{\alpha,s})(x+t)/2} \\ &\quad + \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x,t) e_{\alpha,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} e^{-ik_{P_2}t}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.46a)$$

$$e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x,t) = \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t) e^{i(-\epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} + i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}} - i\lambda_{\bar{\alpha},s})(x+t)/2}$$

$$+ \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x, t) e_{\bar{\alpha}, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} e^{-ik_{P_2}t}, \quad (3.46b)$$

where $e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x, t)$ is obtained by using Eq. (3.32) with the above expression for $e_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$. Here we used the wave function $g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x, t)$ of the one-electron scattering states in Eq. (3.12a). The initial conditions in Eqs. (3.40) for $e_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$ and $e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x, t)$ determine the arbitrary functions $C_{m,\alpha,s}(x)$ and $C_{m,\alpha,\bar{s}}(x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} e_{m,\alpha}(x, 0+) &= \sum_s C_{m,\alpha,s}(x) e^{i(-\epsilon_{d\alpha} + i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} - i\lambda_{\alpha,s})x/2} + \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x, 0) e_{\alpha,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} = 0, \\ e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x, 0+) &= \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{m,\alpha,s}(x) e^{i(-\epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} + i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}} - i\lambda_{\bar{\alpha},s})x/2} \\ &\quad + \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x, 0) e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} = 0, \\ \therefore C_{m,\alpha,s}(x) &= \frac{1}{\eta_s} \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x, 0) \left[\lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}} e_{\alpha,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} \right] \\ &\quad \times e^{i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} + i\lambda_{\alpha,s})x/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

By using the translation invariance $g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x, t) = g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x - t, 0)$ for $t > 0$ and $x < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m,\alpha,s}(x - t) &= \frac{1}{\eta_s} \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x, t) \left[\lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}} e_{\alpha,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} \right] \\ &\quad \times e^{i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} + i\lambda_{\alpha,s})(x-t)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

By inserting this into Eqs. (3.46a) and (3.46b), we obtain $e_{m,\alpha}(x, t)$ and $e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x, t)$ in the same form

$$e_{m,\alpha}(x, t) = \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x, t) e_{\alpha,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(t). \quad (3.49)$$

Here $e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}(t)$ is the wave function of the one-electron scattering state in Eq. (3.12b).

Step 3) Process (ii)→(iii): We insert Eq. (3.49) into the solution in Eq. (3.39) for $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t)$. Because of the initial condition $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(0) = 0$, we find $C = 0$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) \left[\frac{k_{P_1} + k_{P_2} - 2\bar{\epsilon}_d + 2i\bar{\Gamma}}{k_{P_1} + k_{P_2} - 2\bar{\epsilon}_d - U' + 2i\bar{\Gamma}} e_{\alpha,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})} e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} (e^{-i(k_{P_1} + k_{P_2})t} - e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t}) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_\beta (-)^{\alpha+\beta} e_{\beta,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} \sum_s \frac{i\tilde{y}_{\ell_{P_1}\bar{\beta},\bar{s}}}{k_{P_1} - \bar{\epsilon}_d - U' + i\bar{\Gamma} - i\eta_{\bar{s}}/2} \\ &\quad \left. \times (e^{-i(k_{P_1} + \bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t} - e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

After some calculations, we arrive at the expression

$$f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) e_{\alpha,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(t) e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(t) + X_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(-t), \quad (3.51)$$

with $X_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(-t)$ defined in Eqs. (3.42).

Step 4) Process (i), (ii)→(iv): The first matching condition in Eqs. (3.27) gives

$$g_{m_1 m_2}(x, 0+, t) = \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m_1, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x, t) g_{m_2, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(0+, t). \quad (3.52)$$

Since $x_1 - x_2 < 0$ for $x_1 < 0 < x_2$ in this step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) &= g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1 - x_2, 0+, t - x_2) \\ &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m_1, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x_1, t) g_{m_2, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x_2, t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

from the translation invariance of the wave function $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ in Eq. (3.26).

Step 5) Process (iii), (iv)→(v): By setting $x_1 = 0-$ in Eq. (3.53), we have

$$g_{m_1 m_2}(0-, x, t) = \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m_1, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(0-, t) g_{m_2, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x, t), \quad (3.54)$$

which gives the inhomogeneous term in Eq. (3.35) as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n, \alpha}(z) &= \sum_m \left[v_{m\alpha}^*(k_{P_1} - \epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} + i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}}) + v_{m\bar{\alpha}}^*(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \right] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) \delta_{m\ell_{P_1}} e^{ik_{P_1} z_1/2} e^{i(-k_{P_1} + \epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})z} \\ &\times \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta_{n\ell_{P_2}} e^{ik_{P_2} z_1} + \sum_{\beta, s} e_{\beta, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} y_{n\bar{\beta}, \bar{s}} (e^{ik_{P_2} z_1} - e^{i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)z_1}) \theta(-z_1) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

Then, for $x > 0$, we obtain the general solution in Eq. (3.36) as

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n, \alpha}(x, t) &= \sum_s C_{n, \alpha, s}(x - t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_s)(x+t)/2} \\ &\quad - \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) e_{\alpha, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})} e^{-ik_{P_1} t} g_{n, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.56a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n, \bar{\alpha}}(x, t) &= \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha, s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{n, \alpha, s}(x - t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_s)(x+t)/2} \\ &\quad - \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) e_{\bar{\alpha}, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})} e^{-ik_{P_1} t} g_{n, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.56b)$$

The initial conditions in Eqs. (3.40) for $e_{n, \alpha}(x, t)$ and $e_{n, \bar{\alpha}}(x, t)$ determine the functions $C_{n, \alpha, s}(x)$ and $C_{n, \alpha, \bar{s}}(x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n, \alpha}(x, 0+) &= \sum_s C_{n, \alpha, s}(x) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x/2} - \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) e_{\alpha, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})} g_{n, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x, 0) = 0, \\ e_{n, \bar{\alpha}}(x, 0+) &= \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha, s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{n, \alpha, s}(x) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x/2} - \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) e_{\bar{\alpha}, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})} g_{n, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x, 0) = 0, \\ \therefore C_{n, \alpha, s}(x) &= \frac{1}{\eta_s} \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{n, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x, 0) \left[\lambda_{\alpha, \bar{s}} e_{\alpha, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) e_{\bar{\alpha}, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} \right] e^{i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

It should be noted that, in order to obtain $C_{n, \alpha, s}(x - t)$ for $t > 0$ and $x > 0$, we consider both the cases $x - t > 0$ and $x - t < 0$. Since Eq. (3.57) determines the function

$C_{n,\alpha,s}(x-t)$ only in the case $x-t > 0$, we need another function $D_{n,\alpha,s}(x-t)$ to express $C_{n,\alpha,s}(x-t)$ in the case $x-t < 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,\alpha,s}(x-t) &= D_{n,\alpha,s}(x-t)\theta(t-x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\eta_s} \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{n,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x,t) \left[\lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}} e_{\alpha,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} \right] \\ &\times e^{i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x-t)/2} \theta(x-t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

By inserting this into Eqs. (3.56a) and (3.56b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_{m,\alpha}(x,t) &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x,t) e_{\alpha,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(t) \\ &+ \sum_s D_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x+t)/2} \theta(t-x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.59a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x,t) &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x,t) e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(t) \\ &+ \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} D_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x+t)/2} \theta(t-x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.59b)$$

By using the matching condition for $e_{m,\alpha}(x,t)$ in Eq. (3.29), we have

$$\sum_s D_{m,\alpha,s}(-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t/2} \theta(t) = i v_{m\bar{\alpha}} X_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(-t). \quad (3.60)$$

In a similar way, we use the matching condition for $e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x,t)$ in Eq. (3.29), obtaining

$$\sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} D_{m,\alpha,s}(-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t/2} = -i v_{m\alpha} X_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(-t). \quad (3.61)$$

By solving the coupled equations for $D_{m,\alpha,+}(-t)$ and $D_{m,\alpha,-}(-t)$, we obtain

$$D_{m,\alpha,s}(-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t/2} \theta(t) = -y_{m\alpha,s} X_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(-t). \quad (3.62)$$

By inserting this into Eqs. (3.59a) and (3.59b), we obtain $e_{m,\alpha}(x,t)$ and $e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x,t)$ in the same form

$$\begin{aligned} e_{m,\alpha}(x,t) &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m,k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x,t) e_{\alpha,k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(t) \\ &- \sum_s y_{m\alpha,s} X_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

Step 6) Process (iv), (v) \rightarrow (vi): The second matching condition in Eqs. (3.27) gives

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m_1 m_2}(0+, x, t) &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m_1, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(0+, t) g_{m_2, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x, t) \\ &- i \sum_{\alpha, s} v_{m_1 \alpha} y_{m_2 \alpha, s} X_{\alpha, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x} \theta(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.64)$$

Since $x_2 - x_1 > 0$ for $0 < x_1 < x_2$ in this step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) &= g_{m_1 m_2}(0+, x_2 - x_1, t - x_1) \\ &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m_1, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x_1, t) g_{m_2, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x_2, t) \\ &- i \sum_{\alpha, s} v_{m_1 \alpha} y_{m_2 \alpha, s} X_{\alpha, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x_2 - t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x_2} \theta(x_2) \theta(x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

Step 7) After the anti-symmetrization in the variables x_1 and x_2 , we obtain the wave function $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ in Eqs. (3.41a).

□

We find that the first term of each of the wave functions in Eqs. (3.41a), (3.41b) and (3.41c) is a noninteracting part given by the Slater determinant of the one-electron wave functions $g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x, t)$ and $e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}(t)$ in Eqs. (3.12a) and (3.12b). The effect of the interdot interaction appears in the second term of each of Eqs. (3.41a), (3.41b) and (3.41c); the factor $e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x_{21}}\theta(x_{21})$ in the second term in Eq. (3.41a) decays exponentially as the two electrons separate from each other, which indicates that the two electrons form *two-body bound states* after the scattering at the quantum dots. We also find that the binding strength $\bar{\Gamma} - \text{Re}(\eta_s/2)$ of the two-body bound states corresponds to the resonance pole of the one-electron wave functions $g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x, t)$ and $e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}(t)$ in Eqs. (3.12a) and (3.12b).

Let us now consider the long-time limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the time-evolving two-electron scattering states. The second term of each of the three wave functions in Eqs. (3.41a), (3.41b) and (3.41c) contains the function $X_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x-t)$ or $X_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(-t)$, which includes several terms that decay exponentially in time t . By using the limit relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{i(k_1+k_2)t} X_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x-t) \\ &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) \frac{U'}{k_{P_1} + k_{P_2} - 2\bar{\epsilon}_d - U' + 2i\bar{\Gamma}} e_{\alpha,k_1}^{(\ell_1)} e_{\bar{\alpha},k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_2)} e^{i(k_{P_1}+k_{P_2})x} = Z_{\alpha,k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)} e^{i(k_1+k_2)x}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.66)$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{i(k_1+k_2)t} g_{m_1 m_2, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x_1, x_2, t) \\ &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m_1, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x_1) g_{m_2, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})}(x_2) \\ & \quad - i \sum_{\substack{Q \\ \alpha, s}} \text{sgn}(Q) v_{m_{Q_1} \alpha} y_{m_{Q_2} \alpha, s} Z_{\alpha, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)} e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x_{Q_2 Q_1}} e^{iE x_{Q_2}} \theta(x_{Q_2 Q_1}) \theta(x_{Q_1}) \\ &=: g_{m_1 m_2, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x_1, x_2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.67a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{i(k_1+k_2)t} e_{m\alpha, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x, t) \\ &= \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) g_{m, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})}(x) e_{\alpha, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} - \sum_s y_{m\alpha, s} Z_{\alpha, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)} e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x} e^{iE x} \theta(x) \\ &=: e_{m\alpha, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.67b)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{i(k_1+k_2)t} f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(t) = \sum_P \text{sgn}(P) e_{\alpha, k_{P_1}}^{(\ell_{P_1})} e_{\bar{\alpha}, k_{P_2}}^{(\ell_{P_2})} + Z_{\alpha, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)} =: f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}, \quad (3.67c)$$

which are the stationary two-electron scattering eigenstates obtained in our previous work [20]. The wave functions of the stationary two-electron scattering eigenstates have a resonance pole at $k_1 + k_2 = 2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma}$ on the complex energy plane.

4. Time-evolving resonant states

4.1. Time-evolving one-body resonant states

In Section 3, we presented exact solutions for the initial conditions in which electrons are absent on the two quantum dots. We now move onto the solution for the initial condition in which electrons are present only on the dots. As a result, we discover new time-evolving states whose wave functions are given in the form

$$\Psi(x, t) = \psi_R(x) e^{-iE_R t} \theta(t - x) \quad \text{for } x > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\psi_R(x)$ is the wave function of a stationary resonant state and E_R is the resonance energy with an imaginary part. We refer to the time-evolving states described by Eq. (4.1) as *time-evolving resonant states*. Here the step function $\theta(t - x)$ in Eq. (4.1), which is due to the linear dispersion relation, restricts the wave function $\Psi(x, t)$ to the space interval $0 < x < t$; see Fig. 4 below. Hence the time-evolving resonant states are normalizable even if the corresponding stationary resonant states diverge in space [29]. We expect that decaying states are observed in experiments not as the stationary resonant state but as the time-evolving resonant state. In the previous work [29], one of the authors showed that the electron number of resonant states is conserved if the integration interval in the calculation of the electron number is extended with the electron velocity. The present time-evolving resonant states clarify the meaning of the extension of the integration interval.

First, we consider the time-evolving one-electron state for the initial conditions

$$g_m(x, 0) = 0, \quad e_\alpha(0) = \psi_\alpha, \quad (4.2)$$

where the constants ψ_α for $\alpha = 1, 2$ satisfy the normalization condition $|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2 = 1$.

Proposition 4.1 The set of Schrödinger equations (2.3a) and (2.3b) under the initial conditions (4.2) is solved as follows: i) For $\eta \neq 0$, the solution is given by

$$g_m(x, t) = \sum_{\alpha, s} y_{m\alpha, \bar{s}} \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} e^{iE_R^{(1)s}(x-t)} \tilde{\theta}(t - x) \theta(x), \quad (4.3a)$$

$$e_\alpha(t) = \sum_s \frac{1}{\eta_{\bar{s}}} \left[\lambda_{\alpha, \bar{s}} \psi_\alpha + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} \right] e^{-iE_R^{(1)s} t}, \quad (4.3b)$$

where $E_R^{(1)\pm} = \bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} \pm i\eta/2$ is the one-body resonance energy.

ii) For $\eta = 0$, the solution is given by

$$g_m(x, t) = i \sum_{\alpha} v_{m\alpha} ((\lambda_{\alpha}^0 \psi_\alpha + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \psi_{\bar{\alpha}})(t - x) - \psi_\alpha) e^{iE_R^{(1)0}(x-t)} \tilde{\theta}(t - x) \theta(x), \quad (4.4a)$$

$$e_\alpha(t) = -((\lambda_{\alpha}^0 \psi_\alpha + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \psi_{\bar{\alpha}})t - \psi_\alpha) e^{-iE_R^{(1)0} t}, \quad (4.4b)$$

where $E_R^{(1)0} = \bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma}$.

Proof. We employ the systematic construction of three steps proposed in Section 3.1 for $\eta \neq 0$. The wave functions for $\eta = 0$ in Eqs. (4.4a) and (4.4b) are obtained by taking the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ of Eqs. (4.3a) and (4.3a).

Step 1) In the region of $x < 0$ for $t > 0$, we have $g_m(x, t) = g_m(x - t, 0) = 0$ through the translation invariance in Eq. (3.1).

Step 2) Process (i)→(ii): Since the inhomogeneous term in Eq. (3.7) also vanishes, that is, $G_\alpha(t) = 0$, the general solution in Eq. (3.10) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_\alpha(t) &= \sum_s C_{\alpha,s} e^{\lambda_{\alpha,s} t}, \\ \therefore e_\alpha(t) &= e^{-i(\epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})t} \tilde{e}_\alpha(t) = \sum_s C_{\alpha,s} e^{i(-\epsilon_{d\alpha} + i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} - i\lambda_{\alpha,s})t}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

By inserting this and $g_m(0-, t) = 0$ into Eq. (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}(t) &= \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{\alpha,s} e^{i(\Delta\epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} - i\Delta\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} - i\lambda_{\alpha,s})t}, \\ \therefore e_{\bar{\alpha}}(t) &= e^{-i(\epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})t} \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}(t) = \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{\alpha,s} e^{i(-\epsilon_{d\alpha} + i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} - i\lambda_{\alpha,s})t}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The initial condition $e_\alpha(0) = \psi_\alpha$ in Eqs. (4.2) determines the constants $C_{\alpha,+}$ and $C_{\alpha,-}$ in Eqs. (4.5) and (4.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_\alpha(0+) &= C_{\alpha,+} + C_{\alpha,-} = \psi_\alpha, \\ \tilde{e}_{\bar{\alpha}}(0+) &= \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,+}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \tilde{C}_{\alpha,+} + \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,-}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \tilde{C}_{\alpha,-} = \psi_{\bar{\alpha}}, \\ \therefore C_{\alpha,s} &= -\frac{1}{\eta_s} \left[\lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}} \psi_\alpha + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

By inserting this into Eqs. (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain

$$e_\alpha(t) = -\sum_s \frac{1}{\eta_s} \left[\lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}} \psi_\alpha + i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} \right] e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t}. \quad (4.8)$$

Step 3) Process (i), (ii)→(iii): Through the matching condition for $g_m(x, t)$ in Eq. (3.2), we have

$$g_m(0+, t) = -i\tilde{\theta}(t) \sum_\alpha v_{m\alpha} e_\alpha(t), \quad (4.9)$$

where, in order to extend the relation to the region $t \leq 0$, we used the step function $\tilde{\theta}(t)$ which is defined in Eq. (3.14). Hence, through the translation invariance in Eq. (3.1), we obtain the wave function $g_m(x, t)$ for $x > 0$ as

$$g_m(x, t) = g_m(0+, t - x) = -i\tilde{\theta}(t - x) \sum_{\alpha,s} v_{m\alpha} e_\alpha(t - x). \quad (4.10)$$

□

The wave functions $g_m(x, t)$ in Eqs. (4.3a) and (4.4a) include the step function $\tilde{\theta}(t - x)$, which indicates that they increase exponentially only inside the space interval $0 < x < t$. This is a result of causality since the electron on the leads travels with the Fermi velocity $v_F = 1$ without reflection. A similar restriction to the time-evolving wave functions due to causality was observed in the Friedrichs model [39]. It should be noted that the strict step function is due to the precisely linear dispersion of electrons on the

leads; the lower limit of the dispersion would be a branch point and result in deviations from the purely exponential behavior [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42].

Except for the step function $\tilde{\theta}(t-x)$, the time-evolving state for $\eta \neq 0$ in Eqs. (4.3a) and (4.3b) is a superposition of the one-body stationary resonant states with the resonance energies $E_R^{(1)\pm}$. Indeed, by using the wave functions $g_{m,R}^\pm(x)$ and $e_{\alpha,R}^\pm$ of the one-body resonant state, which are explicitly given in Appendix A.1, the wave functions $g_m(x,t)$ and $e_\alpha(t)$ in Eqs. (4.3a) and (4.3b) are rewritten as

$$g_m(x,t) = \sum_s g_{m,R}^s(x) e^{-iE_R^{(1)s}t} \tilde{\theta}(t-x), \quad (4.11a)$$

$$e_\alpha(t) = \sum_s e_{\alpha,R}^s e^{-iE_R^{(1)s}t}, \quad (4.11b)$$

where the constants ψ_α , which characterize the initial state in Eqs. (4.2), are absorbed into the coefficients $g_{m,R}^s(x)$ and $e_{\alpha,R}^s$. Since the wave function $g_m(x,t)$ is finite in the space interval $0 < x < t$, the time-evolving resonant state is normalizable; see Fig. 4 below. Indeed, the time-evolving resonant state in Eqs. (4.3a) and (4.3b) is normalized because the initial state in Eqs. (4.2) is normalized and the particle number is conserved.

The wave functions for $\eta = 0$ in Eqs. (4.4a) and (4.4b) are not in the form of Eqs. (4.11a) and (4.11b) but include an exponential function multiplied by a term linear in t . This is because the two resonance energies $E_R^{(1)\pm}$ merge into one at $\eta = 0$ and the corresponding one-body resonant states become parallel to each other, which shall be described in Appendix A.1.

4.2. Time-evolving two-body resonant states

Next, we consider the *time-evolving two-body resonant state* by solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equations (2.6a), (2.6b) and (2.6c) under the initial conditions

$$g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, 0) = 0, \quad e_{m,\alpha}(x, 0) = 0, \quad f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(0) = (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad (4.12)$$

which stand for two electrons localized on the quantum dots. Recall the anti-symmetry $f_{12}(t) = -f_{21}(t)$ of the wave function of double occupancy.

Proposition 4.2 For $\eta \neq 0$, the solution of the set of Schrödinger equations (2.6a), (2.6b) and (2.6c) for the initial conditions (4.12) is given by

$$g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) = i \sum_{Q,\alpha,s} (-1)^\alpha \text{sgn}(Q) v_{m_{Q_1}\alpha} y_{m_{Q_2}\alpha,s} e^{iE_R^{(2)}(x_{Q_2}-t) - iE_R^{(1)s}x_{Q_2Q_1}} \times \tilde{\theta}(t-x_{Q_2})\theta(x_{Q_2Q_1})\theta(x_{Q_1}), \quad (4.13a)$$

$$e_{m,\alpha}(x,t) = \sum_s (-1)^\alpha y_{m\alpha,s} e^{iE_R^{(2)}(x-t) - iE_R^{(1)s}x} \tilde{\theta}(t-x)\theta(x), \quad (4.13b)$$

$$f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}} e^{-iE_R^{(2)}t}, \quad (4.13c)$$

where $Q = (Q_1, Q_2)$ is a permutation of (1, 2), $E_R^{(1)\pm} = \bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2$ is the one-body resonance energy and $E_R^{(2)} = 2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma}$ is the two-body resonance energy.

Proof. We employ the systematic construction of seven steps proposed in Section 3.2.

Step 1) For $t > 0$ and $x_1 < x_2 < 0$, we find $x_1 - t < x_2 - t < 0$ in this step. Then, through the translation invariance in Eq. (3.26), we find that there is no two-electron incident waves:

$$g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) = g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1 - t, x_2 - t, 0) = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Step 2) Process (i)→(ii): Because $g_{m_1 m_2}(x, 0-, t) = 0$ for $x < 0$, the inhomogeneous term in Eq. (3.35) vanishes: $G_{m, \alpha}(z) = 0$ with $z = (x+t)/2$. Then we obtain the general solution in Eq. (3.36) as

$$\begin{aligned} e_{m, \alpha}(x, t) &= \sum_s C_{m, \alpha, s}(x-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x+t)/2}, \\ e_{m, \bar{\alpha}}(x, t) &= \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha, s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} C_{m, \alpha, s}(x-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x+t)/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where $C_{m, \alpha, s}(x-t)$ for $s = \pm$ are arbitrary functions of the variable $x-t$. For the initial conditions in Eqs. (4.12) for $e_{m, \alpha}(x, t)$ and $e_{m, \bar{\alpha}}(x, t)$, we obtain $C_{m, \alpha, s}(x) = 0$, that is, $e_{m, \alpha}(x, t) = 0$ for $x < 0$.

Step 3) Process (ii)→(iii): By applying $e_{m, \alpha}(x, t) = 0$ for $x < 0$ to the solution in Eq. (3.39) for $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t)$, we have

$$f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = C_\alpha e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t}. \quad (4.16)$$

Under the initial condition $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(0) = (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}}$ in Eqs. (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(0+) &= C_\alpha = (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}}, \\ \therefore f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) &= (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}} e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Step 4) Process (i), (ii)→(iv): For $x_1 < 0 < x_2$, we have $x_1 - x_2 < 0$ in this step. Then the first matching condition in Eqs. (3.27) gives

$$g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) = g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1 - x_2, 0+, t - x_2) = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Step 5) Process (iii), (iv)→(v): Because $g_{m_1 m_2}(0-, x, t) = 0$ for $x > 0$, the inhomogeneous term in Eq. (3.35) also vanishes: $G_{m, \alpha}(z) = 0$ with $z = (x+t)/2$. Then, by using the solution in Eq. (3.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{m, \alpha}(x, t) &= \sum_s \tilde{C}_{m, \alpha, s}(x-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x+t)/2}, \\ e_{m, \bar{\alpha}}(x, t) &= \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha, s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \tilde{C}_{m, \alpha, s}(x-t) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x+t)/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where $\tilde{C}_{m, \alpha, s}(x-t)$ for $s = \pm$ are arbitrary functions of the variable $x-t$. From the initial conditions (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{m, \alpha}(x, 0) &= \sum_s \tilde{C}_{m, \alpha, s}(x) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x/2} = 0, \\ e_{m, \bar{\alpha}}(x, 0) &= \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha, s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} \tilde{C}_{m, \alpha, s}(x) e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x/2} = 0, \\ \therefore \tilde{C}_{m, \alpha, s}(x) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

which determines $\tilde{C}_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t) = 0$ for $x-t > 0$. In order to express the function $\tilde{C}_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t)$ for $x-t < 0$, we introduce another function $D_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t)$ and put

$$\tilde{C}_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t) = D_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t)\theta(t-x). \quad (4.21)$$

By inserting this into the solution in Eqs. (4.19), we have

$$e_{m,\alpha}(x,t) = \sum_s D_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t)e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x+t)/2}\theta(t-x), \quad (4.22)$$

$$e_{m,\bar{\alpha}}(x,t) = \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_\alpha - i\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} D_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t)e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x+t)/2}\theta(t-x). \quad (4.23)$$

The matching condition in Eq. (3.29) for $e_{m,\alpha}(x,t)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s D_{m,\alpha,s}(-t)e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t/2} &= (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}} i v_{m\bar{\alpha}} e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t}, \\ \sum_s \frac{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}}{v'_\alpha - i\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}} D_{m,\alpha,s}(-t)e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t/2} &= (-1)^\alpha i v_{m\alpha} e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

By solving the coupled equations for $D_{m,\alpha,s}(-t)$, we obtain

$$D_{m,\alpha,s}(-t)e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)t/2} = (-1)^\alpha y_{m\alpha,s} \psi_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} e^{-i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t}. \quad (4.25)$$

By replacing $t(>0)$ with $t-x(>0)$ in the variable of $D_{m,\alpha,s}(-t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{m,\alpha,s}(x-t)e^{-i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)(x+t)/2} &\tilde{\theta}(t-x) \\ &= (-1)^\alpha \tilde{\theta}(t-x) y_{m\alpha,s} e^{i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})(x-t) - i(\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2)x}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

which gives

$$e_{m,\alpha}(x,t) = (-1)^\alpha \tilde{\theta}(t-x) \sum_s y_{m\alpha,s} e^{i(\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - i\bar{\Gamma} - i\eta_s/2)x - i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t} \theta(x). \quad (4.27)$$

Step 6) Process (iv), (v) \rightarrow (vi): By using the second matching condition in Eqs. (3.27), we have

$$g_{m_1 m_2}(0+, x, t) = i\tilde{\theta}(t-x) \sum_{\alpha,s} (-1)^\alpha v_{m_1 \alpha} y_{m_2 \alpha, s} e^{i(\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - i\bar{\Gamma} - i\eta_s/2)x - i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})t} \theta(x). \quad (4.28)$$

Because $x_2 - x_1 > 0$ for $0 < x_1 < x_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) &= g_{m_1 m_2}(0+, x_2 - x_1, t - x_1) \\ &= i \sum_{\alpha,s} (-1)^\alpha v_{m_1 \alpha} y_{m_2 \alpha, s} e^{i(\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - i\bar{\Gamma} - i\eta_s/2)x_2 + i(2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma})(x_1 - t)} \tilde{\theta}(t - x_2) \theta(x_2) \theta(x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Step 7) After the anti-symmetrization with respect to the variables x_1 and x_2 , we obtain the wave function $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ in the case $x_2 < x_1$.

□

The time-evolving two-body resonant state is normalizable since the wave functions $g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t)$ is finite in the space region $0 < x_1, x_2 < t$, and $e_{m,\alpha}(x,t)$ is also finite in the space interval $0 < x < t$, which is due to the step functions that imply causality. We also find that the two electrons in the two-body resonant state form two-body

bound states with the binding strength $\bar{\Gamma} - \text{Re}(\eta_s/2)$, which is the imaginary part of the resonance energy $E_R^{(1)s}$.

By using the wave functions $g_{m_1 m_2, R}(x_1, x_2)$, $e_{m, \alpha, R}(x)$ and $f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}, R}$ of the two-body stationary resonant state given in Appendix A.2, the wave functions for $\eta \neq 0$ in Eqs. (4.13a), (4.13b) and (4.13c) are rewritten as

$$g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) = g_{m_1 m_2, R}(x_1, x_2) e^{-iE_R^{(2)}t} \tilde{\theta}(t - x_1) \tilde{\theta}(t - x_2), \quad (4.30)$$

$$e_{m, \alpha}(x, t) = e_{m, \alpha, R}(x) e^{-iE_R^{(2)}t} \tilde{\theta}(t - x), \quad (4.31)$$

$$f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}}(t) = f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}, R} e^{-iE_R^{(2)}t}. \quad (4.32)$$

Hence we refer to this time-evolving two-electron state as a *time-evolving two-body resonant state*. The relation $E_R^{(2)} = U' + E_R^{(1)+} + E_R^{(1)-}$ among the resonance energies shows that, at $U' = 0$, the time-evolving two-body resonant state is reduced to a combination of the two time-evolving one-body resonant states with different resonance energies $E_R^{(1)+}$ and $E_R^{(1)-}$. We remark that, in a way similar to the one-electron case, the wave functions for $\eta = 0$, which are obtained by taking the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ of Eqs. (4.13a), (4.13b) and (4.13c), include an exponential function multiplied by a term linear in t .

4.3. Existence and survival probabilities

The explicit wave functions of the time-evolving states enable us to calculate the time-dependence of the existence probability of electrons on the leads and the survival probability of electrons on the quantum dots. First, we investigate the existence probability under the initial condition of electrons localized on the quantum dots. By using the wave function $g_m(x, t)$ in Eq. (4.3a) of the time-evolving one-body resonant state, we obtain the existence probability distribution of an electron on the lead m at time t as

$$P_m^{(1)}(x, t) = |g_m(x, t)|^2 = \left| \sum_{\alpha, s} y_{m\alpha, s} \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} e^{(\bar{\Gamma} + \eta_s/2)(x-t)} \right|^2 \tilde{\theta}(t - x) \theta(x). \quad (4.33)$$

Similarly, by using the wave function $e_{m, \alpha}(x, t)$ in Eq. (4.13b) of the time-evolving two-body resonant state, we obtain the existence probability distribution of an electron on the lead m in the presence of another electron on the quantum dots at time t as

$$P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t) = \sum_{\alpha} |e_{m, \alpha}(x, t)|^2 = \sum_{\alpha} \left| \sum_s y_{m\alpha, s} e^{(\bar{\Gamma} + \eta_s/2)x - 2\bar{\Gamma}t} \right|^2 \tilde{\theta}(t - x) \theta(x). \quad (4.34)$$

We note that the existence probability $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)$ in Eq. (4.34) does not depend on the interaction U' since the interaction U' appears only in the real part of the two-body resonance energy $E_R^{(2)} = 2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma}$ and hence in the phase factor of the wave function $e_{m, \alpha}(x, t)$ in Eq. (4.31).

We remind that the existence probability $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)$ in Eq. (4.33) describes an electron on the lead m in the one-electron case, while $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)$ in Eq. (4.34) describes an electron on the lead m and another electron on the quantum dots in the two-electron case. We find from Eqs. (4.33) and (4.34) that, at fixed time t , both the

existence probabilities $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)$ and $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)$ increase exponentially with the same strength $2\bar{\Gamma} + |\text{Re}(\eta)|$ in the space interval $0 < x < t$ [39]. Thus, even in the two-electron case, the exponential increase in space is dominated by the one-body resonance energy $E_R^{(1)s}$, which has been seen in the two-body bound state of the two-electron scattering wave function in Eq. (3.41a). On the other hand, at a fixed position x , the existence probability $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)$ decays exponentially in time with the inverse relaxation time $2\bar{\Gamma} - |\text{Re}(\eta)|$, while $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)$ decays exponentially in time with $4\bar{\Gamma}$. The exponential decay in time in the two-electron case is dominated by the two-body resonance energy $E_R^{(2)}$.

For numerical demonstration, we consider the parallel-coupled double quantum-dot system by setting $v_{m\alpha} = v(\in \mathbb{R})$, $v'_\alpha = 0$ and $\epsilon_{d1} = -\epsilon_{d2} = \epsilon$, in which we have $\eta = 2(\Gamma^2 - \epsilon^2)^{1/2}$ with $\Gamma = v^2$. Here we find an exceptional point $\eta = 0$ at $\Gamma = |\epsilon|$. For $\Gamma > |\epsilon|$, the one-body resonance energies $E_R^{(1)\pm} = \epsilon - i\Gamma \pm i\eta/2$ have different imaginary parts, while for $\Gamma < |\epsilon|$, they have different real parts. We take the initial state in Eq. (4.2) with $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for the existence probability $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)$, while the initial state for $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)$ is Eq. (4.12). Then the one- and two-electron existence probabilities $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)$ and $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)$ in Eqs. (4.33) and (4.34) inside the space interval $0 < x < t$ are explicitly calculated as

$$P_m^{(1)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 2 \left| \frac{2\Gamma}{\eta} \sinh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}(t-x)\right) - \cosh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}(t-x)\right) \right|^2 \Gamma e^{-2\Gamma(t-x)} & \text{for } \Gamma > |\epsilon|, \\ 2|\Gamma(t-x) - 1|^2 \Gamma e^{-2\Gamma(t-x)} & \text{for } \Gamma = |\epsilon|, \\ 2 \left| \frac{2\Gamma}{\zeta} \sin\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}(t-x)\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}(t-x)\right) \right|^2 \Gamma e^{-2\Gamma(t-x)} & \text{for } \Gamma < |\epsilon|, \end{cases} \quad (4.35)$$

$$P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha} \left| \frac{2(i\epsilon_{d\alpha} - \Gamma)}{\eta} \sinh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}x\right) - \cosh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}x\right) \right|^2 \Gamma e^{-2\Gamma(2t-x)} & \text{for } \Gamma > |\epsilon|, \\ \sum_{\alpha} |(i\epsilon_{d\alpha} - \Gamma)x - 1|^2 \Gamma e^{-2\Gamma(2t-x)} & \text{for } \Gamma = |\epsilon|, \\ \sum_{\alpha} \left| \frac{2(i\epsilon_{d\alpha} - \Gamma)}{\zeta} \sin\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}x\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}x\right) \right|^2 \Gamma e^{-2\Gamma(2t-x)} & \text{for } \Gamma < |\epsilon|. \end{cases} \quad (4.36)$$

Here we put $\zeta = 2(\epsilon^2 - \Gamma^2)^{1/2}$ for $\Gamma < |\epsilon|$. The existence probabilities for $\Gamma = |\epsilon|$ are obtained by taking the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ of Eqs. (4.33) and (4.34). Figure 4 shows the existence probability distributions $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)$ and $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)$ for (a) $\epsilon_{d1} = -\epsilon_{d2} = 0.8\Gamma$ and (b) $\epsilon_{d1} = -\epsilon_{d2} = 1.2\Gamma$; the solid lines indicate the rescaled existence probability $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)/\Gamma$ and the dashed lines indicate $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)/\Gamma$. The thick lines correspond to the existence probability at time $t = \Gamma$ and the thin lines correspond to that at time $t = 2\Gamma$. In the case (b), the initial decrease of $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, 2\Gamma)$ is a part of oscillation of the existence probabilities before the exponential increase, which is due to the interference of the two resonance energies $E_R^{(1)\pm}$ with different real parts and is expressed by the trigonometric functions in Eqs. (4.35) and (4.36). As Eqs. (4.35) and (4.36) indicate, for fixed x , the two-electron existence probability $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)$ with the relaxation time 4Γ decays more rapidly than $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)$ with $2\Gamma - |\text{Re}(\eta)|$ as a function of t .




Figure 4. Existence probability distributions of the time-evolving one- and two-body resonant states in the case of the parallel-coupled DQD system with $v_{\ell\alpha} = v \in \mathbb{R}$, $v'_{\alpha} = 0$ for (a) $\epsilon_{d1} = -\epsilon_{d2} = 0.8\Gamma$ and (b) $\epsilon_{d1} = -\epsilon_{d2} = 1.2\Gamma$. The solid lines indicate the existence probability $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)/\Gamma$ of an electron on the lead m in the one-electron case, and the dashed lines indicate the existence probability $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)/\Gamma$ of an electron on the lead m and another electron on the quantum dots in the two-electron case.

Next we investigate the survival probabilities of electrons on the quantum dots. By using the wave function $e_{\alpha}(t)$ in Eq. (4.3b), we obtain the one-electron survival probability $Q^{(1)}(t)$ on the quantum dots at time t as

$$Q^{(1)}(t) = \sum_{\alpha} |e_{\alpha}(t)|^2 = \sum_{\alpha} \left| \sum_s \frac{1}{\eta_s} (\lambda_{\alpha, \bar{s}} \psi_{\alpha} + i(v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) \psi_{\bar{\alpha}}) e^{-(\bar{\Gamma} - \eta_s/2)t} \right|^2, \quad (4.37)$$

which decays exponentially with the inverse lifetime $2\bar{\Gamma} - |\text{Re}(\eta)|$. On the other hand, the two-electron survival probability $Q^{(2)}(t)$ on the quantum dots is obtained from the wave function of double occupancy $f_{12}(t)$ in Eq. (4.13c) at time t as

$$Q^{(2)}(t) = |f_{12}(t)|^2 = e^{-4\bar{\Gamma}t}, \quad (4.38)$$

which decays exponentially with the inverse lifetime $4\bar{\Gamma}$. Thus the lifetime of the survival probability $Q^{(1)}(t)$ on the quantum dots is equal to the relaxation time of the existence probability $P_m^{(1)}(x, t)$ on the leads, while the lifetime of $Q^{(2)}(t)$ is equal to the relaxation time of $P_{md}^{(2)}(x, t)$.

Let us analyze the case of the parallel-coupled double quantum-dot system with $v_{m\alpha} = v (\in \mathbb{R})$, $v'_{\alpha} = 0$ and $\epsilon_{d1} = -\epsilon_{d2} = \epsilon$ again. Recall that $\eta = 2(\Gamma^2 - \epsilon^2)^{1/2}$ for $\Gamma > |\epsilon|$ and $\zeta = 2(\epsilon^2 - \Gamma^2)^{1/2}$ for $\Gamma < |\epsilon|$ with $\Gamma = v^2$. We take the initial state in Eq. (4.2) with $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for the one-electron survival probability $Q^{(1)}(t)$, which




Figure 5. Survival probabilities of the time-evolving one- and two-body resonant states in the case of the parallel-coupled double quantum-dot system with $v_{\ell\alpha} = v \in \mathbb{R}$, $v'_{\alpha} = 0$ and $\epsilon_{d1} = -\epsilon_{d2}$. The solid thin line indicates the survival probabilities $Q^{(1)}(t)$ of the time-evolving one-body resonant states at $\epsilon_{d1} = 0.5\Gamma$, the dotted line indicates that at $\epsilon_{d1} = 1.0\Gamma$, the dashed line indicates that at $\epsilon_{d1} = 1.5\Gamma$, the chain line indicates that at $\epsilon_{d1} = 2.0\Gamma$ and the solid thick line indicates the survival probability $Q^{(2)}(t)$ of the time-evolving two-body resonant states.

is the same as that of the existence probabilities in Eq. (4.35). Then we have

$$Q^{(1)}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \left| \frac{2(i\epsilon_{d\alpha} + \Gamma)}{\eta} \sinh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}t\right) - \cosh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}t\right) \right|^2 e^{-2\Gamma t} & \text{for } \Gamma > |\epsilon|, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} |(i\epsilon_{d\alpha} + \Gamma)t - 1|^2 e^{-2\Gamma t} & \text{for } \Gamma = |\epsilon|, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \left| \frac{2(i\epsilon_{d\alpha} + \Gamma)}{\zeta} \sin\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}t\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}t\right) \right|^2 e^{-2\Gamma t} & \text{for } \Gamma < |\epsilon|. \end{cases} \quad (4.39)$$

Figure 5 shows the one-electron survival probabilities $Q^{(1)}(t)$ for $\epsilon = 0.5\Gamma, \Gamma, 1.5\Gamma, 2\Gamma$ and the two-electron survival probability $Q^{(2)}(t)$ in Eq. (4.38), which does not depend on the energy level ϵ . Due to the difference of their lifetime, the two-electron survival probability $Q^{(2)}(t)$ decays more rapidly than $Q^{(1)}(t)$ as a function of t . We also observe that, for $\Gamma < |\epsilon|$, the survival probability $Q^{(1)}(t)$ oscillates in time, which is expressed by the trigonometric functions in Eqs. (4.39). The oscillation in time is a result of the interference of the two resonance energies $E_R^{(1)\pm}$ with different real parts.

5. Concluding remarks

We have constructed exact time-evolving states of the open double quantum-dot system. Their purely exponential decay and strict step-function behavior are consequences of the unbounded linear dispersion relation of electrons on the leads. For noninteracting cases,

it was shown [44] that the time-evolving scattering states are characterized by a non-Markovian integro-differential equation, because of which the behavior of the survival probability of unstable states deviates from the purely exponential decay usually in an extremely short time and in an extremely long time if the dispersion is bounded below [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42]. In the case of the linear dispersion relation, the integro-differential equation is reduced to a Markovian differential equation and hence produces exponential functions without deviations. We have also shown that the exponential decay of the time-evolving states leads to that of the existence probability of an electron on the leads and the survival probability of localized electrons on the quantum dots.

The systematic construction of time-evolving states that we have proposed can be readily extended to the cases of three or more electrons. We have found that the two-body bound states in the two-electron scattering states correspond to the one-body resonance poles of the one-electron scattering states. In a similar way, three-body bound states appear in three-electron scattering states due to the two-body resonance poles of the two-electron scattering states. Each term of the many-electron scattering states is characterized by the arrangement of the two-body and the three-body bound states, which is an essential difference from the interacting resonant-level models with only two-body bound states [11, 12, 13, 33, 34, 35]. The time-evolving many-electron scattering states shall enable us to analyze time-dependent electric current across the quantum dots for the system under bias voltages.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. T. Y. Petrosky, Prof. G. Ordóñez and Prof. S. Garmon for helpful comments. The present study is partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grants Numbers 19H00658, 21H01005, 22H01140.

Appendix A. Resonant states and Siegert conditions

Appendix A.1. One-body resonant states

In this appendix, we study the stationary resonant states which are eigenstates of the time-independent Schrödinger equation with complex energy eigenvalues. First, we consider the one-electron case. By assuming the time-dependent parts of the one-electron wave functions of the set of time-dependent Schrödinger equations (2.3a) and (2.3b) as

$$g_m(x, t) = e^{-iEt} g_m(x), \quad e_\alpha(t) = e^{-iEt} e_\alpha \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with an energy eigenvalue E and inserting them into Eqs. (3.2) and (3.4), we have

$$g_m(0+) - g_m(0-) = -i \sum_{\alpha} v_{m\alpha} e_{\alpha}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$(E - \epsilon_{d\alpha} + i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha})e_{\alpha} - (v'_{\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})e_{\bar{\alpha}} = \sum_m v_{m\alpha}^* g_m(0-). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

To define the resonant states, we employ the Siegert boundary conditions that impose no incident wave on the wave functions [22] as

$$g_m(x) = 0 \text{ for } x < 0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Hereafter we put the suffix R on the wave functions as $g_{m,R}(x)$ and $e_{\alpha,R}$ to denote the wave functions on which the Siegert boundary conditions are imposed. Then Eq. (A.3) with $g_{m,R}(0-) = 0$ is reduced to the following eigenvalue problem of a non-Hermitic matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{d\alpha} - i\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha} & v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \\ v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha} & \epsilon_{d\bar{\alpha}} - i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{\alpha,R} \\ e_{\bar{\alpha},R} \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} e_{\alpha,R} \\ e_{\bar{\alpha},R} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The non-Hermitic matrix on the left-hand side is referred to as an effective Hamiltonian of the open system [29, 30]. It is characteristic to the systems with linear dispersion relations that the imaginary term $-i\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$, so-called the self-energy of the leads, in each matrix element is independent of energy E . By diagonalizing the non-Hermitic matrix, we obtain resonance energies

$$E_R^{(1)\pm} = \bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + \frac{i}{2}\eta_\pm, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where η_\pm has been defined in Eqs. (3.9). The corresponding eigenvector $(e_{\alpha,R}^s, e_{\bar{\alpha},R}^s)$ for $s = \pm$ must satisfy the relation

$$\frac{e_{\alpha,R}^s}{e_{\bar{\alpha},R}^s} = \frac{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}}{i\lambda_{\alpha,s}} = \frac{i\lambda_{\bar{\alpha},s}}{v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha}}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

It is readily found that, at the exceptional points satisfying $\eta = 0$, the two eigenvalues $E_R^{(1)s}$ for $s = \pm$ merge into the one eigenvalue $\bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma}$ while the eigenvectors $(e_{\alpha,R}^+, e_{\bar{\alpha},R}^+)$ and $(e_{\alpha,R}^-, e_{\bar{\alpha},R}^-)$ become parallel to each other.

In order to relate the eigenvectors to the time-evolving resonant state for $\eta \neq 0$ in Eqs. (4.3a) and (4.3b), we take the expression

$$e_{\alpha,R}^s = \frac{1}{\eta_{\bar{s}}} (\psi_\alpha \lambda_{\alpha,\bar{s}} + \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} i(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})) \quad (\text{A.8})$$

with the constants ψ_α and $\psi_{\bar{\alpha}}$. We note that the ambiguity of the above expression of the eigenvectors is only a constant multiple due to the relation $\lambda_{\alpha,s} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha},s} = -(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})(v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha})$. By using the matching condition in Eq. (A.2), we obtain the wave function $g_{m,R}(x)$ of the resonant state as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m,R}^s(0+) &= \sum_\alpha \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\eta_{\bar{s}}} (i\lambda_{\alpha,s} v_{m\bar{\alpha}} + (v'_\alpha - i\Gamma_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}) v_{m\alpha}) = \sum_\alpha \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} y_{m\alpha,\bar{s}}, \\ \therefore g_{m,R}^s(x) &= \sum_\alpha \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} y_{m\alpha,\bar{s}} e^{iE_R^{(1)s} x} \theta(x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

By inserting the wave function $g_{m,R}^s(x)$ in Eq. (A.9) and $e_{\alpha,R}^s$ in Eq. (A.8) into Eqs. (A.1), we obtain the one-body stationary resonant state. The imaginary part $\bar{\Gamma} - \text{Re}(\eta_s/2)$ of the resonance energy $E_R^{(1)s}$ corresponds to the inverse lifetime of the resonant state.

It should be noted that the resonance energy $E_R^{(1)s}$ has appeared as a resonance pole of the one-electron scattering eigenfunctions in Eqs. (3.25a) and (3.25b). We remark

that the above wave functions $g_{m,R}^s(x)$ and $e_{\alpha,R}^s$ of the one-body resonant state are also obtained by taking a residue at the resonance pole $k = \bar{\epsilon}_d - i\bar{\Gamma} + i\eta_s/2$ of the one-electron stationary scattering eigenfunctions $g_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(x)$ and $e_{\alpha,k}^{(\ell)}$ in Eqs. (3.25a) and (3.25b).

Appendix A.2. Two-body resonant states

Next, we consider the two-body stationary resonant states. In a way similar to the one-body case, we assume the time-dependent parts of the two-electron wave functions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equations (2.6a), (2.6b) and (2.6c) as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2, t) &= e^{-iEt} g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2), \\ e_{m,\alpha}(x, t) &= e^{-iEt} e_{m,\alpha}(x), \quad f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(t) = e^{-iEt} f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

By inserting these into Eq. (3.37), we have

$$(E - 2\bar{\epsilon}_d - U' + 2i\bar{\Gamma})f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} = \sum_{m,\beta} (-)^{\alpha+\beta} v_{m\beta}^* e_{m,\beta}(0-). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

We recall that the wave function $e_{m,\alpha}(x)$ describes two electrons, one of which is on the quantum dots and another is on the leads; see Eq. (2.4).

As an extension of the Siegert boundary conditions [22] to the two-electron case, we impose the conditions that there is neither a one-electron nor a two-electron incident wave:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m_1 m_2}(x_1, x_2) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x_1 < 0 \text{ or } x_2 < 0, \\ e_{m,\alpha}(x) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Then, by applying $e_{m,\alpha}(0-) = 0$ to Eq. (A.11), we obtain the *two-body* resonance energy

$$E_R^{(2)} = 2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

which depends on the strength U' of the interdot interaction.

Since there is no restriction on the wave function $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},R}$, we take $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},R} = (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}}$. Through the systematic construction of scattering eigenstates proposed in the previous paper [20], we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m_1 m_2, R}(x_1, x_2) &= i \sum_{Q, \alpha, s} (-)^{\alpha} \text{sgn}(Q) v_{m_{Q_1} \alpha} y_{m_{Q_2} \alpha, s} e^{i(U' + E_R^{(1)\bar{s}})x_{Q_2 Q_1} + iE_R^{(2)}x_{Q_1}} \theta(x_{Q_2 Q_1}) \theta(x_{Q_1}), \\ e_{m, \alpha, R}(x) &= (-)^{\alpha} \sum_s y_{m \alpha, s} e^{i(U' + E_R^{(1)\bar{s}})x} \theta(x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

By inserting these into Eqs. (A.10), we obtain the two-body stationary resonant state. The imaginary part $2\bar{\Gamma}$ of the resonance energy $E_R^{(2)}$ gives the inverse lifetime of the two-body resonant state.

We note that the two-body resonance energy has appeared as the resonance pole of the two-electron scattering eigenfunctions in Eqs. (3.67a), (3.67b) and (3.67c) with Eq. (3.66). We remark that the above wave functions $g_{m_1 m_2, R}(x_1, x_2)$, $e_{m, \alpha, R}(x)$ and $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}, R}$ of the two-body resonant state are also obtained by taking a residue at the resonance pole $E = 2\bar{\epsilon}_d + U' - 2i\bar{\Gamma}$ of the stationary two-electron scattering eigenfunctions $g_{m_1 m_2, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x_1, x_2)$, $e_{m \alpha, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}(x)$ and $f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}, k_1 k_2}^{(\ell_1 \ell_2)}$ in Eqs. (3.67a), (3.67b) and (3.67c).

References

- [1] Cronenwett S M, Oosterkamp T H and Kouwenhoven L P 1998 *Science* **281** 540
- [2] Goldhaber-Gordon D, Shtrikman H, Mahalu D, Abusch-Magder D, Meirav U and Kastner M A 1998 *Nature (London)* **391** 156
- [3] van der Wiel W G, De Franceschi S, Fujisawa T, Elzerman J M, Tarucha S and Kouwenhoven L P van der Wiel W G *et al* 2000 *Science* **289** 2105
- [4] Landauer R 1957 *IBM J. Res. Dev.* **1** 223
- [5] Büttiker M 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** 1761
- [6] Bagwell P F and Orlando T P 1989 *Phys. Rev. B* **40** 1456
- [7] Meir Y and Wingreen N S 1992 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** 2512
- [8] Meir Y and Wingreen N S 1993 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** 2601
- [9] Wingreen N S and Meir Y 1994 *Phys. Rev. B* **49** 11040
- [10] T. A. Costi, A. C. Hewson and V. Zlatić, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **6**, 2519 (1994).
- [11] Nishino A, Imamura T and Hatano N 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 146803
- [12] Nishino A, Imamura T and Hatano N 2011 *Phys. Rev. B* **83** 035306
- [13] Nishino A, Hatano N and Ordonez G 2015 *Phys. Rev. B* **91** 045140
- [14] Doyon B 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** 076806
- [15] Boulat E, Saleur H and Schmitteckert P 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 140601
- [16] Golub A 2007 *Phys. Rev. B* **76** 193307
- [17] Karrasch C, Andergassen S, Pletyukhov M, Schuricht D, Borda L, Meden V and Schoeller H, 2010 *Europhys. Lett.* **90** 30003
- [18] Imamura T, Nishino A and Hatano N 2009 *Phys. Rev. B* **80** 245323
- [19] Nishino A, Imamura T and Hatano N 2012 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **343** 012087
- [20] Nishino A, Hatano N and Ordonez G 2016 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **670** 012038
- [21] Gamow V G 1928 *Z. Phys. A* **51** 204
- [22] Siegert A J F 1939 *Phys. Rev.* **56** 750
- [23] Peierls R E 1959 *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **253** 16
- [24] Hokkyo N 1965 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **33** 1116
- [25] Berggren T 1968 *Nucl. Phys. A* **109** 265
- [26] Romo W 1968 *Nucl. Phys. A* **116** 618
- [27] Berggren T 1970 *Phys. Lett. B* **33** 547
- [28] Lind P 1993 *Phys. Rev. C* **47** 1903
- [29] Hatano N, Sasada K, Nakamura H and Petrosky T 2008 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **119** 187
- [30] Hatano N and Ordonez G 2018 *Fano Resonances in Optics and Microwaves* (Cham: Springer) P. 357
- [31] Hatano N and Ordonez G 2019 *Entropy* **21** No. 380
- [32] Tanaka Y and Kawakami N 2005 *Phys. Rev. B* **72** 085304
- [33] Culver A B and Andrei N 2021 *Phys. Rev. B* **103** L201103
- [34] Culver A B and Andrei N 2021 *Phys. Rev. B* **103** 195106
- [35] Culver A B and Andrei N 2021 *Phys. Rev. B* **103** 195107
- [36] Khalfin L A 1968 *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **8** 106 [*JETP Letters* **8** 65]
- [37] Chiu C B, Sudarshan E C G and Misra B 1977 *Phys. Rev. D* **16** 520
- [38] Petrosky T, Tasaki S and Prigogine I 1991 *Physica A* **170** 306
- [39] Petrosky T, Ordonez G and Prigogine I 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **64** 062101
- [40] Garmon S, Petrosky T, Simine L and Segal D 2013 *Fortschr. Phys.* **61** 261
- [41] Chakraborty A and Sensarma R 2018 *Phys. Rev. B* **97** 104306
- [42] Garmon S, Noba K, Ordonez G and Segal D 2019 *Phys. Rev. A* **99** 010102
- [43] Chowdhury B N and Chattopadhyay S 2023 *Adv. Quantum Technol.* **6** 2200072
- [44] Gurvitz S 2015 *Phys. Scr.* **2015** 014013
- [45] Hewson A C 1993 *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge University Press) P. 138