

EUCLIDEAN RECTIFIABILITY OF SUB-FINSLER SPHERES IN FREE-CARNOT GROUPS OF STEP 2

ENRICO LE DONNE, LUCA NALON

ABSTRACT. We consider 2-step free-Carnot groups equipped with sub-Finsler distances. We prove that the metric spheres are codimension-one rectifiable from the Euclidean viewpoint. The result is obtained by studying how the Lipschitz constant for the distance function behaves near abnormal geodesics.

CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 2. | Sub-Finsler free-Carnot groups of step 2 | 3 |
| 3. | The sphere as graph | 6 |
| 4. | Abnormal set and Minkowski content | 8 |
| 5. | Minimal height and rectifiability of spheres | 11 |
| 5.1. | Some remarks on minimal height | 11 |
| 5.2. | Final proofs | 15 |
| 5.3. | A sharp example | 17 |
| | References | 18 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The regularity of spheres in sub-Riemannian and sub-Finsler structures is related to a peculiar phenomenon of these geometries: the existence of abnormal geodesics, for an introduction to sub-Riemannian geometry and its abnormal curves see [10]. Indeed, in [9], the authors proved that, in the case of sub-Finsler Carnot groups, the absence of non-constant abnormal geodesic implies that spheres are boundaries of Euclidean Lipschitz domains. Conversely, sub-Finsler spheres with cusps do appear in Carnot groups with non-trivial abnormal geodesic. The presence of such cusps is related to the rate of convergence to the asymptotic cones of finitely generated nilpotent groups, see [5] for details. In this paper we address the regularity of spheres for the class of free-Carnot groups of step 2, in terms of Euclidean rectifiability.

Definition 1.1 (Euclidean rectifiable subset). Let \mathbb{M} be a smooth manifold of dimension d and let k be a natural number. A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{M}$ is *Euclidean k -rectifiable*, if $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Riem}}^k(S) < \infty$ and there are countably many measurable subsets $E_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and Lipschitz functions $f_n: E_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Riem}}^k \left(S \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(E_n) \right) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbb{R}^k is endowed with the Euclidean metric, the space \mathbb{M} is endowed with some Riemannian metric, and the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Riem}}^k$ is taken with respect this Riemannian metric.

Both authors are partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant 200021-204501 ‘*Regularity of sub-Riemannian geodesics and applications*’) and by the European Research Council (ERC Starting Grant 713998 GeoMeG ‘*Geometry of Metric Groups*’).

We remark that the notion of Euclidean rectifiability for bounded subsets of \mathbb{M} is independent of the choice of the Riemannian metric. The main result of this article is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathbb{G} be a sub-Finsler free-Carnot group of step 2. Denote by d_{cc} the corresponding Carnot-Carathéodory distance. Then the unit sphere, defined as*

$$\mathbb{S}_{cc} := \{ g \in \mathbb{G} \mid d_{cc}(g, 0_{\mathbb{G}}) = 1 \} ,$$

is Euclidean $(d - 1)$ -rectifiable, where d is the topological dimension of \mathbb{G} and $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ is its identity element.

We refer to Section 2 for the notion of sub-Finsler free-Carnot group of step 2 and Carnot-Carathéodory distance. We refer to Section 5.2 for the proof of Theorem 1.2. In this context, abnormal geodesic are length-minimizing curves with a control that is singular with respect to the end-point map (16), see Section 4. In Carnot groups of step 2 it is known that the *abnormal set* Abn , i.e., the set of points reached by abnormal curves passing through the identity element of the group, is contained in an algebraic variety of co-dimension 3, see [4]. Free-Carnot groups of step 2 are a class for which this bound cannot be improved, in this sense they have the largest possible amount of abnormal curves within Carnot groups of step 2. We stress that very few result concerning Euclidean rectifiability of spheres in sub-Finsler structures with non-trivial abnormal curves are present in the literature, all of which study the problem for a specific sub-Finsler norm, see [1, 2]. Nonetheless, the statement of Theorem 1.2 has been known in the sub-Riemannian case. Indeed, sub-Riemannian structures of step 2 have no Goh extremals, hence, by [7, Proposition 4.2], outside of the diagonal the Carnot-Carathéodory distance is Lipschitz with respect to the Riemannian distance. This implies that level sets of the distance from a fixed point, i.e. spheres, are rectifiable sets for almost every value. In Carnot groups, the existence of dilations ensures the result. This strategy, valid for sub-Riemannian 2-step Carnot groups, does not generalize to sub-Finsler distances, since the distance may not be Euclidean Lipschitz, see [5].

The strategy that we follow to prove Theorem 1.2 starts by considering on every such a \mathbb{G} a Riemannian metric d_{eu} coming from specific scalar products (we call them *adequate*, see (6)) on \mathbb{G} , seen in exponential coordinates as (2). Following [9, Section 4.1], we interpret the unit sphere \mathbb{S}_{cc} as the graph of the function $d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \cdot)$, restricted to the unit sphere \mathbb{S}_{eu} of the distance d_{eu} . We continue by building upon the fact that, in this setting, the abnormal set is an algebraic variety of codimension 3 to get information on its Minkowski content. We use this information to study the asymptotic behaviour of the Hausdorff measure for tubular neighborhoods of $\text{Abn} \cap \mathbb{S}_{eu}$. The critical part of the argument is to find a local bound for the Lipschitz constant of $d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \cdot)$ at a given point on \mathbb{S}_{eu} , in terms of its distance from the abnormal set. In this regard, Proposition 5.4 states that this Lipschitz constant is bounded (up to a uniform constant) by δ^{-1} , where δ is the distance of that point from the abnormal set. Finally, we combine all the previous results and, by applying a suited version of the area formula for graph functions, we prove Theorem 1.2.

The scheme of reasoning that we summarized is rather general as all the results in Section 3 arbitrarily hold for Carnot groups. Nonetheless, a strong version of the Sard property (as in [11]) is needed in other to get a result analog to Proposition 4.3. However, this kind of results are known only for Carnot groups of small rank and/or step, see [4, 11, 12], even though no example of Carnot groups where the abnormal set has co-dimension smaller than 3 is known. A possibly harder phenomenon to study in wider classes of Carnot groups is the asymptotic local behaviour of the Lipschitz constant of $d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \cdot)$, with respect to the distance from the abnormal set. In the latter part of Section 5 we exhibit an example of a sub-Finsler free-Carnot group of step 2 for which the bound of Proposition 5.4 is optimal. However, we are currently not able to provide examples of sub-Finsler Carnot groups of step 2 where the local Lipschitz constant of $d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \cdot)$ diverge to infinity faster than the reciprocal of the distance from the abnormal set. We are then lead to state the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.3. *Let \mathbb{G} be a sub-Finsler Carnot group of step 2, denote by d_{cc} its Carnot-Carathéodory distance and by Abn the abnormal set of \mathbb{G} . Fix on \mathbb{G} a Riemannian metric d_{eu} . Let K be a compact subset that does not contain $0_{\mathbb{G}}$, e.g., $K = \mathbb{S}_{eu}$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $\delta > 0$, the function $d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \cdot)$ is $(C\delta^{-1})$ -Lipschitz (with respect to the metric d_{eu}) when restricted to the set $\{g \in K : d_{eu}(g, \text{Abn}) \geq \delta\}$.*

If the latter statement were proved, by combining it with [4, Theorem 1.1] and the arguments of this article, the Euclidean rectifiability of sub-Finsler spheres will be addressed for all sub-Finsler Carnot groups of step 2. In complete generality, we do not know if one should expect the following:

Conjecture 1.4. *Metric spheres in sub-Finsler Carnot groups are Euclidean codimension-one rectifiable.*

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to E. Hakavuori and C. Bodart for several interesting discussions and opinions on the approach to this problem.

2. SUB-FINSLER FREE-CARNOT GROUPS OF STEP 2

A Carnot group is a simply connected Lie group with stratifiable Lie algebra. We recall that a real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is *stratifiable of step s* if it admits a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s,$$

into linear spaces such that $[\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_i] = \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s-1$, $[\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_s] = \{0\}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_s \neq 0$. Such a decomposition is called *stratification*. Free-nilpotent Lie algebras of step s on finite sets are examples of stratifiable Lie algebras. We call *free-Carnot group of step s and rank n* a Carnot group with free-nilpotent Lie algebra of step s on a set with n elements, they are unique up to isomorphism of Lie groups.

We consider the following model for a free-Carnot group of step 2 and rank n :

$$\mathbb{G} := (\mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n), *), \quad (2)$$

where $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of skew-symmetric contravariant tensors on \mathbb{R}^n of rank 2, i.e., the vector space generated by the elements of the form $v \wedge w := v \otimes w - w \otimes v$, with v and w ranging in \mathbb{R}^n . Hereafter we will refer to the elements of \mathbb{G} both as sums $x + Y$ and pairs (x, Y) where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Y \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The identification between $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ remains understood. The product law $*$ that we consider in (2) is

$$(x_1, Y_1) * (x_2, Y_2) := (x_1 + x_2, Y_1 + Y_2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 \wedge x_2)). \quad (3)$$

Such a nilpotent Lie group has Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := (\mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n), [\cdot, \cdot])$ given by Lie bracket $[(v_1, \omega_1), (v_2, \omega_2)] = (0, v_1 \wedge v_2)$ for every $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This Lie algebra is free-nilpotent of step 2 and generated by the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . A stratification $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 := \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_2 := \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We denote the identity element of the group \mathbb{G} by $0_{\mathbb{G}} := (0, 0)$. We stress that in this framework, the exponential map $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is the identity map on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We introduce the projection map

$$\pi: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \pi(x, Y) := x,$$

which is a Lie group homomorphism $\pi: (\mathbb{G}, *) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, +)$.

For every $g = (x, Y) \in \mathbb{G}$, the left-translation map $L_g: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is defined by $L_g(h) := g * h$. Its differential at the identity is the map $dL_g: T_{0_{\mathbb{G}}}\mathbb{G} = \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_g\mathbb{G}$ such that, for every $(v, \omega) \in \mathfrak{g}$, with $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\omega \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds

$$dL_g(v, \omega) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \frac{d}{dt} (x + tv, Y + t\omega + \frac{1}{2}(x \wedge tv)) \Big|_{t=0} = (v, \omega + \frac{1}{2}(x \wedge v)).$$

We consider \mathfrak{g}_1 as a subspace of the tangent space $T_{0_{\mathbb{G}}}\mathbb{G}$ of \mathbb{G} at $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and on the distribution

$$\Delta := \bigcup_{g \in \mathbb{G}} dL_g(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subseteq T\mathbb{G},$$

we fix a left-invariant norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}}$, i.e., $\|dL_g v\|_{\text{sf}} = \|v\|_{\text{sf}}$ for every $g \in \mathbb{G}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. We refer to Δ as the *horizontal distribution* of \mathbb{G} . We refer to $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}}$ as a *Carnot sub-Finsler structure* on \mathbb{G} and to such a couple $(\mathbb{G}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}})$, with \mathbb{G} as in (2) with product (3), as a *sub-Finsler free-Carnot group of step 2 (and rank n)*. An absolutely continuous curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is said to be *horizontal* if $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \Delta$ for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 2.1. In every sub-Finsler free-Carnot group $(\mathbb{G}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}})$ of step 2, there is a correspondence between horizontal curves from the origin and elements of the space $L^1 := L^1([0, 1], \mathfrak{g}_1)$ of integrable curves into the first stratum of \mathbb{G} . Namely, on the one hand, for every $u \in L^1$ we define the *horizontal curve* $\gamma_u: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ associated to the control u as the unique solution to the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \gamma(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}, \\ \dot{\gamma}_u(t) = dL_{\gamma(t)} u(t), \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, to each horizontal curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ such that $\gamma(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$, we define the *control* $u \in L^1$ associated to γ by

$$u(t) := dL_{\gamma(t)^{-1}} \dot{\gamma}(t) \in T_{0_{\mathbb{G}}}\mathbb{G}, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, 1].$$

The product law (3) allows us to write explicitly the equation for a curve of given control u :

$$\gamma_u(t) = \int_0^t u(s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau \wedge u(s) ds. \quad (4)$$

We define the length $\ell(\gamma)$ of a horizontal curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ of control u as

$$\ell(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|_{\text{sf}} dt = \int_0^1 \|u(t)\|_{\text{sf}} dt.$$

We remark that $\ell(\gamma)$ is invariant under reparametrization of the interval $[0, 1]$.

We now define the so called *Carnot-Carathéodory distance* d_{cc} on \mathbb{G} induced by the sub-Finsler structure $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}}$ as

$$d_{\text{cc}}(g, h) := \inf \{ \ell(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ horizontal curve with } g, h \in \gamma([0, 1]) \}, \quad (5)$$

which induces the manifold topology thanks to the Chow–Rashevskii theorem, [10].

Remark 2.2. Since $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}}$ is left invariant, the distance d_{cc} is left-invariant as well, i.e.,

$$d_{\text{cc}}(h * g_1, h * g_2) = d_{\text{cc}}(g_1, g_2), \quad \text{for every } g_1, g_2, h \in \mathbb{G}.$$

Definition 2.3. Let \mathbb{G} be a sub-Finsler free-Carnot group of step 2 and let $g, h \in \mathbb{G}$ be two points in \mathbb{G} . A *geodesic between g and h* is a horizontal curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ that is length-minimizing from g to h , i.e., $\gamma(0) = g, \gamma(1) = h$ and

$$d_{\text{cc}}(g, h) = \ell(\gamma).$$

We stress that the completeness of \mathbb{G} ensure the existence of a geodesic between every two elements of \mathbb{G} , see [10]. This means that the infimum in (5) is always attained.

In this paper we investigate the rectifiability of the sub-Finsler unit sphere at the origin:

$$\mathbb{S}_{\text{cc}} := \{ g \in \mathbb{G} \mid d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g) = 1 \}$$

with respect to a Riemannian distance on \mathbb{G} . Since any two Riemannian metrics are bi-Lipschitz equivalent on compact sets, the result does not depend on the choice of the Riemannian distance. It turns out that, in our argument, it is convenient to consider on \mathbb{G} , seen as the vector space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Euclidean distances given by specific scalar products, as we next introduce.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a free-Carnot group of step 2. A scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathbb{G} is said to be *adequate* whenever \mathbb{R}^n and $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and

$$\langle v_1 \wedge w_1, v_2 \wedge w_2 \rangle = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \cdot \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle - \langle v_1, w_2 \rangle \cdot \langle w_1, v_2 \rangle, \quad (6)$$

for every $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We observe that, on the one hand, every adequate scalar product on $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to \mathbb{R}^n . On the other hand, we claim that every scalar product on \mathbb{R}^n can be extended to an adequate scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, formula (6) defines a bilinear form on $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is positively defined by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\langle v \wedge w, v \wedge w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle \cdot \langle w, w \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle^2 \geq 0,$$

and the equality holds if and only if v is parallel to w , that is $v \wedge w = 0$.

Hereafter $\|\cdot\|_{\text{eu}}$ will denote an Euclidean norm on \mathbb{G} induced by an adequate scalar product. The function d_{eu} represents the distance with respect to this Euclidean norm, and

$$\mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} := \{ g \in \mathbb{G} \mid d_{\text{eu}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g) = 1 \} \quad (7)$$

is the corresponding unit sphere centered at $0_{\mathbb{G}}$.

On \mathbb{R}^n the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\text{eu}}$ are equivalent, in the sense that there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$C_1^{-1} \|v\|_{\text{eu}} \leq \|v\|_{\text{sf}} \leq C_1 \|v\|_{\text{eu}}, \quad \text{for every } v \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, since for $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the curve $t \mapsto tv$ is horizontal, we obtain

$$d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, v) \leq C_1 \|v\|_{\text{eu}}, \quad \text{for every } v \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (9)$$

Finally, since d_{cc} is continuous with respect to the manifold topology, it has a minimum and a maximum on the compact set \mathbb{S}_{eu} . Therefore it exists $M > 0$ such that

$$M^{-1} \leq d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g) \leq M, \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}. \quad (10)$$

We conclude this section by discussing some properties of adequate scalar products.

Remark 2.5. For every adequate scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we claim that

$$\|v \wedge w\|_{\text{eu}} \leq \|v\|_{\text{eu}} \cdot \|w\|_{\text{eu}}, \quad \text{for every } v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (11)$$

and the equality holds if and only if v and w are orthogonal. Indeed, by (6), we compute

$$\|v \wedge w\|_{\text{eu}}^2 = \langle v \wedge w, v \wedge w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle \cdot \langle w, w \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle^2 \leq \|v\|_{\text{eu}}^2 \cdot \|w\|_{\text{eu}}^2,$$

and the latter estimate is an equality if and only if $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.

Remark 2.6. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be an adequate scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hereafter \perp will denote its corresponding orthogonality relation. Moreover, if $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{W} \leq \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are linear subspaces, we use the notation:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\perp 1} := \mathcal{P}^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathcal{W}^{\perp 2} := \mathcal{W}^{\perp} \cap \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We claim that if $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that at least one between v and w belongs to $\mathcal{P}^{\perp 1}$, then $v \wedge w \in (\bigwedge^2(\mathcal{P}))^{\perp 2}$. Indeed, if $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $v \in \mathcal{P}^{\perp 1}$, then

$$\langle v \wedge w, x_1 \wedge x_2 \rangle = \langle v, x_1 \rangle \cdot \langle w, x_2 \rangle - \langle v, x_2 \rangle \cdot \langle w, x_1 \rangle = 0.$$

The same argument holds if $w \in \mathcal{P}^{\perp 1}$.

3. THE SPHERE AS GRAPH

We consider the group \mathbb{G} as in (2), with product (3), and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We define *the dilation of factor t* as the map

$$\delta_t: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}, \quad (x, Y) \mapsto (tx, t^2Y).$$

One can immediately check that δ_t is a Lie group isomorphism for every $t \neq 0$. Moreover, once a Carnot sub-Finsler structure $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}}$ is fixed on \mathbb{G} , the map δ_t is a metric dilation of factor t with respect to the Carnot-Carathéodory distance (5), i.e.,

$$d_{\text{cc}}(\delta_t(g), \delta_t(h)) = td_{\text{cc}}(g, h), \quad \text{for every } g, h \in \mathbb{G} \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (12)$$

For every $g \in \mathbb{G}$, we define *the dilation vector field* as

$$\widehat{\delta}(g) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \delta_t(g) \right|_{t=1} \in T_g \mathbb{G}, \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathbb{G}. \quad (13)$$

Remark 3.1. Fix on \mathbb{G} an adequate scalar product. We claim that the vector field $g \mapsto \widehat{\delta}(g)$ is transverse to the foliation of $\mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}$ given by:

$$\{ \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}(r) \}_{r \in (0, +\infty)},$$

where $\mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}(r)$ is the sphere centered at $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ of radius r with respect to the distance d_{eu} induced by the scalar product. Indeed, by computing the dilation vector field at $g = (x, Y)$ in coordinates, we get

$$\widehat{\delta}(x, Y) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \delta_t(x, Y) \right|_{t=1} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} (tx, t^2Y) \right|_{t=1} = (x, 2Y).$$

From Definition 2.4, we recall that \mathbb{R}^n and $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are orthogonal. We then compute the scalar product between $\widehat{\delta}(g)$ and the vector orthogonal to $T_g \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$, namely

$$\langle (x, Y), (x, 2Y) \rangle_{\text{eu}} = \|x\|_{\text{eu}}^2 + 2\|Y\|_{\text{eu}}^2,$$

which is not null as long as $(x, Y) \neq 0_{\mathbb{G}}$. We conclude that $\widehat{\delta}(g)$ is transverse to $T_g \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$ for every $g \in \mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}$.

Remark 3.2. We claim that the map defined by

$$\phi: \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (p, t) \mapsto \delta_t^{-1}(p). \quad (14)$$

is a global diffeomorphism. Indeed, for every $g \in \mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}$, the set

$$r_g := \{ \delta_t(g) : t \in (0, +\infty) \} \quad (15)$$

is connected, it has $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ as an accumulation point, and it escapes every compact set of \mathbb{G} . This implies that $r_g \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$ is non-empty and therefore g is in the image of ϕ , this proves ϕ is surjective. Moreover, the map $t \mapsto \|\delta_t(g)\|_{\text{eu}}$ is smooth from $(0, +\infty)$ to \mathbb{R} and by Remark 3.1 its derivative is never zero, therefore it is injective. This implies that $r_g \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$ has at most one element, hence ϕ is injective. Finally, ϕ is a local diffeomorphism by Remark 3.1.

Remark 3.3. Let $S := \{ (p, d_0(p)) \mid p \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \}$ be the graph of the function $d_0(\cdot) := d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \cdot)$ restricted to \mathbb{S}_{eu} . We claim that, for ϕ as in (3.2), one has

$$\mathbb{S}_{\text{cc}} = \phi(S).$$

Indeed, by Remark 3.2, each set r_g , defined in (15), has precisely one point in \mathbb{S}_{eu} and, since δ_t is a metric dilation of factor t that fixes $0_{\mathbb{G}}$, also one point in \mathbb{S}_{cc} . Moreover, for every $p \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$, we have

$$d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \phi(p, d_0(p))) \stackrel{(12)}{=} d_0(p)^{-1} \cdot d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, p) = 1.$$

This implies that the map $p \mapsto \phi(p, d_{\text{cc}}(p))$ is a bijection from \mathbb{S}_{eu} to \mathbb{S}_{cc} .

Hereafter, if f is a Lipschitz function between metric spaces, the number $\text{Lip}(f)$ will denote the Lipschitz constant of f . As already mentioned, the goal of this paper is to study the rectifiability of \mathbb{S}_{cc} . Since we have expressed it as the graph of a function, this motivates the need for the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let the group \mathbb{G} be as in (2), the set \mathbb{S}_{eu} as in (7) and the map ϕ as in (14). Denote by d the topological dimension of \mathbb{G} and by $d_0(\cdot)$ the function $d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \cdot)$. There exists a constant C_2 such that for every Borel set $E \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$, it holds*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{d-1}(\phi(\text{gr } d_0|_E)) \leq C_2 (\text{Lip}(d_0|_E) + 1) \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{d-1}(E),$$

where $\text{gr } d_0|_E := \{(x, d_0(x)) \mid x \in E\} \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \times (0, +\infty)$, the measure \mathcal{H}_{eu} is the Hausdorff measure with respect to d_{eu} , and on \mathbb{S}_{eu} we consider the distance function given by the restriction of d_{eu} .

To prove Proposition 3.4, we recall the following corollary of the area formula [6, Theorem 3.2.3] applied to graph functions.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Borel set and $\psi: A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^d(\text{gr } \psi) \leq (\text{Lip}(\psi) + 1) \mathcal{H}^d(A),$$

where $\text{gr } \psi := \{(x, \psi(x)) \mid x \in A\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ and \mathcal{H}^d is the Hausdorff measure on \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{R}^{d+1} .

Proof of Proposition 3.4. This is a simple exercise, which we spell out for completeness. Fix a Borel set $E \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$. We recall from (10) that, for some $M > 0$, we have that $M^{-1} \leq d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g) \leq M$ for every $g \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$. In particular $\text{gr } d_0|_E \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \times [M^{-1}, M]$. By Remark 3.2, the map $\phi: \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}$ is a diffeomorphism, therefore ϕ is Lipschitz on compact sets. We define L_1 to be the Lipschitz constant of ϕ when restricted to $\mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \times [M^{-1}, M]$. We recall that $(\mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}, d_{\text{eu}})$ is locally bi-Lipschitz equivalent to \mathbb{R}^{d-1} . By the compactness of \mathbb{S}_{eu} , we can then consider a finite collection of closed subsets $B_1, \dots, B_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and bi-Lipschitz maps $h_i: B_i \rightarrow h_i(B_i) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^N h_i(B_i) = \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}.$$

Let $L_2 \geq 1$ be a number greater than $\text{Lip}(h_i)$ and $\text{Lip}(h_i^{-1})$ for every $1 \leq i \leq N$. For every $1 \leq i \leq N$, we consider the functions defined as $\psi_i := d_0 \circ h_i$ from B_i to $[M^{-1}, M]$. We consider on $\mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \times (0, +\infty)$ the product metric $\sqrt{d_{\text{eu}}^2 + |\cdot|^2}$ and the Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^d with respect to that metric. We observe that the maps defined as

$$\Psi_i: \text{gr } \psi_i \rightarrow \text{gr } d_0|_{h_i(B_i)}, \quad (x, \psi_i(x)) \mapsto (h_i(x), \psi_i(x)),$$

are L_2 -Lipschitz.

Let $A_1, \dots, A_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be Borel sets such that $A_i \subseteq B_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq N$ and

$$E = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^N h_i(A_i).$$

Finally, we can combine the previous discussion with Corollary 3.5 to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{d-1}(\phi(\text{gr } d_0|_E)) &\leq L_1^{d-1} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\text{gr } d_0|_E) = L_1^{d-1} \mathcal{H}^{d-1} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N \text{gr } d_0|_{h_i(A_i)} \right) \\
&= L_1^{d-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\text{gr } d_0|_{h_i(A_i)}) \leq L_1^{d-1} L_2^{d-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\text{gr } \psi_i|_{A_i}) \\
&\leq L_1^{d-1} L_2^{d-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (\text{Lip}(\psi_i) + 1) \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(A_i) \leq L_1^{d-1} L_2^d (\text{Lip}(d_0|_E) + 1) \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(A_i) \\
&\leq L_1^{d-1} L_2^{2d-2} (\text{Lip}(d_0|_E) + 1) \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{d-1}(h_i(A_i)) \leq L_1^{d-1} L_2^{2d-2} (\text{Lip}(\psi) + 1) \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{d-1}(E).
\end{aligned}$$

The statement is then proved by setting $C_2 := L_1^{d-1} L_2^{2d-1}$. \square

4. ABNORMAL SET AND MINKOWSKI CONTENT

The *abnormal set* $\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$ of the Carnot group \mathbb{G} as in (2) is, by definition, the set of critical values of the end-point map End defined as

$$\text{End}: L^1([0, 1], \mathfrak{g}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}, \quad u \mapsto \gamma_u(1), \quad (16)$$

where γ_u is defined as in (4). In this case, the abnormal set can be described using Grassmannians. We recall that the *Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}(n, k)$, with $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is the family of all k -dimensional vector subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . From [11, Equation 3.9], the abnormal set $\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$ can be written as

$$\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P} \times \bigwedge^2(\mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{P} \in \text{Gr}(n, n-2) \}. \quad (17)$$

In the following result we summarize some properties of the abnormal set (17), referring to [11] for the details.

Proposition 4.1. [11, Theorem 3.14, Theorem 3.15] *Let \mathbb{G} be as in (2), then the abnormal set (17) is an algebraic variety of co-dimension 3 within \mathbb{G} and it is invariant by dilations, i.e.,*

$$\delta_t(\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) = \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}), \quad \text{for every } t \in (0, +\infty).$$

We recall from [3, Section 3.4] that a bounded algebraic variety $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ of dimension m is the finite union of smooth compact sub-varieties of \mathbb{R}^d of dimension not greater than m . In particular \mathcal{A} is closed and m -rectifiable in the stronger sense of [6, Definition 3.2.14]. Therefore, by [6, Theorem 3.2.39], its m -dimensional Minkowski content equals its m -dimensional Hausdorff measure, which is finite. The following proposition states that an m -rectifiable set has finite m -dimensional upper Minkowski content and it is a simple consequence of [6, Theorem 3.2.39].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $0 \leq k \leq d$ be integer numbers and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $(d-k)$ -rectifiable set in the stronger sense of [6, Definition 3.2.14], then, for every $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, there exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{H}^d(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid d_{\text{eu}}(x, \mathcal{S}) \leq \varepsilon\}) \leq c \cdot \varepsilon^k, \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0).$$

A similar result allows us to estimate the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the following set

$$A_\delta := \{p \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \mid d_{\text{eu}}(p, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) \leq \delta\}. \quad (18)$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let \mathbb{G} be as in (2) and d be its topological dimension. Fix on \mathbb{G} an adequate scalar product and denote by d_{eu} the induced distance. With respect to d_{eu} , consider the sphere (7) and its subsets (18). Then there exists a constant C_3 such that*

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(A_\delta) \leq C_3 \delta^3, \quad \text{for every } \delta \in (0, 1).$$

Proof. We firstly remark that is not restrictive to prove the statement for δ smaller than a given $\delta_0 > 0$. We recall from Remark 3.2 that for every $g \in \mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}$ the set $r_g \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$, where r_g is defined as in (15), is a singleton. Denote by \hat{g} the element of that singleton. We then define the map

$$\sigma: \{g \in \mathbb{G} \mid d_{\text{eu}}(g, \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}) \leq 1/2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}, \quad g \mapsto \hat{g}. \quad (19)$$

Consider the diffeomorphism ϕ as in (14). We observe that the map σ is conjugated, thought ϕ , to the map

$$f: \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \times (0, +\infty), \quad (x, t) \mapsto (x, 1),$$

which is 1-Lipschitz if we consider on $\mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \times (0, +\infty)$ the product metric $\sqrt{d_{\text{eu}}^2 + |\cdot|^2}$. Since ϕ is bi-Lipschitz when restricted to compact sets, we conclude that σ is Lipschitz as well. We denote the Lipschitz constant of σ by L_1 .

From Proposition 4.1, we get that $\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$ is closed and invariant by dilation. Then, for every $\delta \leq 1/2$ and $p \in A_\delta$, we have $\delta \geq d_{\text{eu}}(p, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) = d_{\text{eu}}(p, q)$ for some $q \in \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$. The estimate $d_{\text{eu}}(p, \sigma(q)) = d_{\text{eu}}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q)) \leq L_1 d_{\text{eu}}(p, q) \leq L_1 \delta$ implies the following inclusion:

$$A_\delta \subseteq \{p \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \mid d_{\text{eu}}(p, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}) \leq L_1 \delta\}, \quad \text{for every } \delta \leq 1/2. \quad (20)$$

Since \mathbb{S}_{eu} is compact and locally bi-Lipschitz equivalent to \mathbb{R}^{d-1} , we can consider a finite collection of open subsets $B_1, \dots, B_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and bi-Lipschitz maps $h_i: B_i \rightarrow h_i(B_i) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$ such that $\{h_i(B_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ is a covering of \mathbb{S}_{eu} . Let $\delta_0 > 0$ be such that, for every $p, q \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$,

$$d_{\text{eu}}(p, q) \leq L_1 \delta_0 \implies p, q \in h_i(B_i) \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq N. \quad (21)$$

Let $L_2 \geq 1$ be a constant greater than $\text{Lip}(h_i)$ and $\text{Lip}(h_i^{-1})$ for every $1 \leq i \leq N$. We define the set

$$E_{i,\delta} := \{\bar{p} \in B_i \mid d(\bar{p}, h_i^{-1}(\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap h_i(B_i))) \leq L_1 L_2 \delta\},$$

and we claim that, for every $\delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$\{p \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \mid d_{\text{eu}}(p, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}) \leq L_1 \delta\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N h_i(E_{i,\delta}). \quad (22)$$

Indeed, fix $\delta \leq \delta_0$ and $p \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$ such that $d_{\text{eu}}(p, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}) \leq L_1 \delta$. Then $d_{\text{eu}}(p, q) \leq L_1 \delta_0$ for some $q \in \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$. By (21), there exists $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that $p, q \in h_i(B_i)$. Define $\bar{p} := h_i^{-1}(p)$ and $\bar{q} := h_i^{-1}(q)$. Then $\bar{q} \in h_i^{-1}(\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}})$ and

$$d(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \leq L_2 d_{\text{eu}}(h_i(\bar{p}), h_i(\bar{q})) \leq L_2 d_{\text{eu}}(p, q) \leq L_1 L_2 \delta.$$

Therefore $p \in h_i(E_{i,\delta})$ and the inclusion (22) is proved.

From Proposition 4.1, the set $\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$ is an algebraic variety of dimension $d-3$ and invariant by dilations. Moreover, from Remark 3.1, the manifold \mathbb{S}_{eu} is transverse to the dilation flow (13). As a consequence of the implicit function theorem, we infer that $\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$ is a bounded algebraic variety of dimension $d-4$, hence it is $(d-4)$ -rectifiable. We observe that each $h_i^{-1}(\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap h_i(B_i))$ is the image by a Lipschitz map of the $(d-4)$ -rectifiable set $\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap h_i(B_i)$. By Proposition 4.2 with $\varepsilon_0 := L_1 L_2 \delta_0$, there exists $c > 0$ such that, for every $1 \leq i \leq N$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(E_{i,\delta}) \leq c L_1^3 L_2^3 \delta^3, \quad \text{for every } \delta \leq \delta_0. \quad (23)$$

Let $\delta \leq \delta_0$, we can then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(A_\delta) &\stackrel{(20)}{\leq} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\{x \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \mid d_{\text{eu}}(x, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}) \leq L_1 \delta\}) \stackrel{(22)}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(h_i(E_{i,\delta})) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N L_2^{d-1} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(E_{i,\delta}) \stackrel{(23)}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^N c L_2^{d-1} L_1^3 L_2^3 \delta^3 \leq c N L_1^3 L_2^{d+2} \delta^3. \end{aligned}$$

The statement is proved by setting $C_3 := c N L_1^3 L_2^{d+2}$. \square

As another consequence of (17), we have that

$$d_{\text{eu}}(g, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) = \inf \left\{ d_{\text{eu}}(g, \mathcal{P} \times \wedge^2(\mathcal{P})) : \mathcal{P} \in \text{Gr}(n, n-2) \right\}. \quad (24)$$

In the latter part of this section we investigate some properties for a geodesic γ starting from the origin assuming that the final point $\gamma(1)$ is sufficiently far away from $\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$.

Remark 4.4. Let $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be a horizontal curve with control $u \in L^1([0, 1], \mathfrak{g}_1)$. Given $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Gr}(n, k)$ we can decompose $u(t) = u_1(t) + u_2(t)$ with $u_1(t) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $u_2(t) \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$. We claim that for every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$d_{\text{eu}}(\pi(\gamma(t)), \mathcal{P}) = \left\| \int_0^t u_2(s) ds \right\|_{\text{eu}}, \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (25)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{eu}}(\pi(\gamma(t)), \mathcal{P}) &\stackrel{(4)}{=} d_{\text{eu}}\left(\int_0^t u(s) ds, \mathcal{P}\right) = d_{\text{eu}}\left(\int_0^t u_1(s) ds + \int_0^t u_2(s) ds, \mathcal{P}\right) \\ &= d_{\text{eu}}\left(\int_0^t u_2(s) ds, 0_{\mathbb{G}}\right) = \left\| \int_0^t u_2(s) ds \right\|_{\text{eu}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the rest of this section, we fix a Carnot sub-Finsler structure $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}}$ on the group (2).

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a constant K such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, every sub-Finsler geodesic $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, with $\gamma(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\gamma(1) \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$, and every $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathbb{R}^n$, if $d_{\text{eu}}(\pi(\gamma(t)), \mathcal{P}) < \varepsilon$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$, then $d_{\text{eu}}(\gamma(1), \mathcal{P} \times \wedge^2(\mathcal{P})) < K\varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let C_1 and M be the constants given in (8) and (10), respectively. We prove the result for $K := 1 + 2C_1M$. Let ε , γ , and \mathcal{P} be as in the assumptions of the lemma. We can parameterize γ with constant speed, with control $u: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Since γ is a geodesic and $\gamma(1) \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$, we have that

$$\|u(t)\|_{\text{sf}} \equiv \ell(\gamma) = d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \gamma(1)) \stackrel{(10)}{\leq} M, \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, 1].$$

The latter implies that

$$\|u(t)\|_{\text{eu}} \leq C_1M, \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (26)$$

Let us now write $u(t) = u_1(t) + u_2(t)$, where $u_1(t) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $u_2(t) \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. By Remark 4.4, the condition on γ implies that, for every $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$,

$$\left\| \int_a^b u_2(s) ds \right\|_{\text{eu}} \leq \left\| \int_0^b u_2(s) ds \right\|_{\text{eu}} + \left\| \int_0^a u_2(s) ds \right\|_{\text{eu}} \stackrel{(25)}{\leq} 2 \cdot \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} d_{\text{eu}}(\pi(\gamma(t)), \mathcal{P}) \leq 2\varepsilon. \quad (27)$$

We use the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}^\perp$ to write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_u(1) &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \int_0^1 u_1(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^t u_1(s) ds \wedge u_1(t) dt + \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 u_2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^t u_1(s) ds \wedge u_2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^t u_2(s) ds \wedge u(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the first line belongs to $\mathcal{P} \times \wedge^2(\mathcal{P})$ and, by Remark 2.6, the second line belongs to $(\mathcal{P} \times \wedge^2(\mathcal{P}))^\perp$. We can then write

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{eu}}(\gamma(1), \mathcal{P} \times \wedge^2(\mathcal{P})) &= \\ &= \left\| \int_0^1 u_2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^t u_1(s) ds \wedge u_2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^t u_2(s) ds \wedge u(t) dt \right\|_{\text{eu}} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 u_2(t) dt \right\|_{\text{eu}} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \int_0^1 \int_0^t u_1(s) ds \wedge u_2(t) dt \right\|_{\text{eu}} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \int_0^1 \int_0^t u_2(s) ds \wedge u(t) dt \right\|_{\text{eu}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is strictly less than ε thanks to (27). We can apply Fubini to the second term and get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \int_0^1 \int_0^t u_1(s) ds \wedge u_2(t) dt \right\|_{\text{eu}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \int_0^1 u_1(s) \wedge \int_s^1 u_2(t) dt ds \right\|_{\text{eu}} \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \|u_1(s)\|_{\text{eu}} \cdot \left\| \int_s^1 u_2(t) dt \right\|_{\text{eu}} ds \\ &\stackrel{(27)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \|u_1(s)\|_{\text{eu}} \cdot 2\varepsilon ds \stackrel{(26)}{\leq} C_1 M \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

A similar estimates allows us to bound the third term, namely,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| \int_0^1 \int_0^t u_2(s) ds \wedge u_1(t) dt \right\|_{\text{eu}} \stackrel{(11)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left\| \int_0^t u_2(s) ds \right\|_{\text{eu}} \cdot \|u_1(t)\|_{\text{eu}} dt \stackrel{(26),(27)}{\leq} C_1 M \varepsilon.$$

By combining everything together, we finally get

$$d_{\text{eu}}(\gamma(1), \mathcal{P} \times \wedge^2(\mathcal{P})) < \varepsilon + C_1 M \varepsilon + C_1 M \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon (1 + 2C_1 M).$$

The proposition is then proved by setting $K := 1 + 2C_1 M$. \square

Corollary 4.6. *For every sub-Finsler free-Carnot group of step 2, as in (2), there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ with the following property:*

For every $\delta > 0$ and every geodesic $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, with $\gamma(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\gamma(1) \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$, such that $d_{\text{eu}}(\gamma(1), \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) \geq \delta$, then $\{\pi(\gamma(t)) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$ is not contained in the $C_4 \delta$ -neighbourhood of any $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Gr}(n, n-2)$, i.e., for every $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Gr}(n, n-2)$ there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $d_{\text{eu}}(\pi(\gamma(t)), \mathcal{P}) \geq C_4 \delta$.

Proof. Let K be the constant from Lemma 4.5, we prove that the statement holds for $C_4 := 1/K$. Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists some $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Gr}(\mathbb{R}^n, n-2)$ for which $d_{\text{eu}}(\pi(\gamma(t)), \mathcal{P}) < C_4 \delta$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Recall, from (17), that $\mathcal{P} \times \wedge^2(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$. Then, by Lemma 4.5 with $\varepsilon := C_4 \delta$, we get that

$$d_{\text{eu}}(\gamma(1), \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) \stackrel{(24)}{\leq} d_{\text{eu}}(\gamma(1), \mathcal{P} \times \wedge^2(\mathcal{P})) < K C_4 \delta = \delta,$$

hence a contradiction. \square

5. MINIMAL HEIGHT AND RECTIFIABILITY OF SPHERES

The consequence of Corollary 4.6 can be informally rephrased by saying that the projection of each geodesic is not close to any vector subspace of co-dimension 2. This property is closely related to the notion of minimal height of a configuration of points, which we next recall from [8].

5.1. Some remarks on minimal height. Hereafter, if (a_1, \dots, a_m) is a m -tuple of points in \mathbb{R}^n , we will denote by $\text{span}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ the subspace generated by those vectors. We will denote by $(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_m)$ the $(m-1)$ -tuple obtained from (a_1, \dots, a_m) by removing the j -th element.

Definition 5.1. The m -dimensional volume of an m -tuple (a_1, \dots, a_m) of vectors in the Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^n, d) is the m -dimensional Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^m of the parallelotope generated by those vectors, i.e.,

$$\text{vol}_m(a_1, \dots, a_m) := \mathcal{L}^m(\{\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_m a_m : \lambda_i \in [0, 1]\})$$

The *minimal height* of (a_1, \dots, a_m) is the smallest height of the parallelotope generated by those vectors, i.e.,

$$\text{MinHeight}(a_1, \dots, a_m) := \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} d(a_j, \text{span}(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_m)).$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MinHeight}(a_1, \dots, a_m) &= \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \frac{\text{vol}_m(a_1, \dots, a_m)}{\text{vol}_{m-1}(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_m)} \\ &= \frac{\text{vol}_m(a_1, \dots, a_m)}{\max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \text{vol}_{m-1}(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the next result, one should think that Γ is the projection, via the map π , of a geodesic.

Proposition 5.2. *Let Γ be a compact subset of the Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^n, d) , $\delta > 0$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that Γ is not contained in the δ -neighbourhood of any $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Gr}(n, m)$, i.e., for every $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Gr}(n, m)$ there exists $x \in \Gamma$ such that $d(x, \mathcal{P}) \geq \delta$. Then there are $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in \Gamma$ such that $\text{MinHeight}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) \geq \delta$.*

Proof. We firstly claim that there exists an m -tuple (y_1, \dots, y_m) of points in Γ such that $\text{vol}_m(y_1, \dots, y_m) > 0$. Indeed, by assumption $\text{span}(\Gamma)$ must have dimension greater than m . Hence, any linearly independent points y_1, \dots, y_m in Γ span positive m -volume.

Consider $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \Gamma^m$ that maximizes vol_m among all m -tuples in Γ^m , which exists by compactness and in particular $\text{vol}_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) > 0$. By hypothesis there exists $x_{m+1} \in \Gamma$ such that $d(x_{m+1}, \text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_m)) \geq \delta$, i.e.,

$$\frac{\text{vol}_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1})}{\text{vol}_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)} \geq \delta.$$

For every $j \in \{1, \dots, m+1\}$, since $\text{vol}_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is maximal, we have

$$d(x_j, \text{span}(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{m+1})) = \frac{\text{vol}_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1})}{\text{vol}_m(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{m+1})} \geq \frac{\text{vol}_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1})}{\text{vol}_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)} \geq \delta.$$

This implies that $\text{MinHeight}(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) \geq \delta$. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Let a_1, \dots, a_m be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , equipped with the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$. Suppose that $\text{MinHeight}(a_1, \dots, a_m) > 0$, then every $w \in \text{span}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ can be written as $w = \lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_m a_m$ with*

$$|\lambda_j| \leq \frac{\|w\|}{\text{MinHeight}(a_1, \dots, a_m)}, \quad \text{for every } j \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Proof. Since $\text{MinHeight}(a_1, \dots, a_m) > 0$, then a_1, \dots, a_m are linearly independent and therefore every $w \in \text{span}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ can be uniquely written as $w = \lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_m a_m$. Let us now fix $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\| &\geq d(w, \text{span}(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_m)) = d(\lambda_j a_j, \text{span}(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_m)) \\ &= |\lambda_j| \cdot d(a_j, \text{span}(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_m)) \geq |\lambda_j| \cdot \text{MinHeight}(a_1, \dots, a_m). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $|\lambda_j| \leq \|w\| \cdot \text{MinHeight}(a_1, \dots, a_m)^{-1}$. \square

The following is the key result:

Proposition 5.4. *Let $(\mathbb{G}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}})$ be a sub-Finsler free-Carnot group of step 2 and rank n , denote by d_{cc} its Carnot-Carathéodory distance. Fix on \mathbb{G} an adequate scalar product and let d_{eu} denote the induced distance. Consider the sphere (7) and its subsets (18). Then there exist a constant $C_5 \geq 1$ and a number $\delta_0 > 0$ such that, for every $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, $g \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \setminus A_\delta$, and $h \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$, it holds*

$$d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, h) \leq d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g) + \frac{C_5}{\delta} \cdot d_{\text{eu}}(g, h).$$

In particular, the function $d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \cdot)$ is $(C_5 \delta^{-1})$ -Lipschitz with respect to d_{eu} when restricted to $\mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \setminus A_\delta$.

Before the proof of Proposition 5.4, which is in Section 5.2, we need two preparatory lemmas:

Lemma 5.5. *Consider on \mathbb{G} , defined as in (2), an adequate scalar product and let $\|\cdot\|_{\text{eu}}$ be the induced norm. Then there exists a constant K_1 such that, for every $(n-1)$ -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) of points in \mathbb{R}^n , $Y \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying*

$$\text{MinHeight}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \geq \varepsilon,$$

there exist $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \wedge v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_j\|_{\text{eu}} \leq K_1 \cdot \frac{\|Y\|_{\text{eu}}}{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for every } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and an $(n-1)$ -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) as in the assumptions and define

$$E := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \wedge v_j : v_j \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \|v_j\|_{\text{eu}} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \text{ for every } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \right\} \subseteq \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We claim that it is sufficient to prove that E contains an Euclidean ball in $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ centered at zero with radius independent of (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) and ε . Indeed, if $B_{\text{eu}}(0, r) \subseteq E$ and $0 \neq Y \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\lambda Y \in E$ for $\lambda := r\|Y\|_{\text{eu}}^{-1} > 0$, hence

$$\lambda Y = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \wedge v_j \quad \text{for some } v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \text{ such that } \|v_j\|_{\text{eu}} \leq \varepsilon^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \wedge \frac{v_j}{\lambda} \quad \text{for some } v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \text{ such that } \left\| \frac{v_j}{\lambda} \right\|_{\text{eu}} \leq \frac{\|Y\|_{\text{eu}}}{r \cdot \varepsilon},$$

and the proposition is proved by setting $K_1 := r^{-1}$.

Fix an orthonormal basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) of \mathbb{R}^n such that (e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}) is an orthonormal basis of $\text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. We claim that $\{e_i \wedge e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$, which is an orthonormal basis of $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is contained in E . Indeed, fix $Y := e_i \wedge e_j$ with $i < j$, then $i \leq n-1$ and therefore $e_i \in \text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. We recall that $\text{MinHeight}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \geq \varepsilon$, therefore, by Proposition 5.3, we have that $e_i = a_i^1 x_1 + \dots + a_i^{n-1} x_{n-1}$ and

$$|a_i^k| \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \|e_i\|_{\text{eu}} = \varepsilon^{-1} \quad \text{for every } k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

We can then write

$$Y = e_i \wedge e_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_i^k x_k) \wedge e_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k \wedge (a_i^k e_j),$$

so that $Y = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k \wedge v_k$ with $v_k := a_i^k e_j$ and

$$\|v_k\|_{\text{eu}} \leq |a_i^k| \cdot \|e_j\|_{\text{eu}} \leq \varepsilon^{-1}.$$

We stress that E is the image, through a linear map, of a symmetric and convex set. We infer that E symmetric, i.e., $E = -E$, and convex as well. It is a general fact in Euclidean geometry that the convex hull of a symmetrised orthonormal basis contains an Euclidean ball of radius $1/\sqrt{d}$ where d is the dimension of the space. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let $(\mathbb{G}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}})$ be a sub-Finsler free-Carnot group of step 2 and rank n as in (2), denote by d_{cc} its Carnot-Carathéodory distance. Fix on \mathbb{G} an adequate scalar product and let $\|\cdot\|_{\text{eu}}$ denote the induced norm. Then there exists a constant $K_2 > 0$ with the following property:*

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, every $Z \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and every n -tuple $(g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{G}^n$ such that $g_0 = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\text{MinHeight}(\pi(g_1), \dots, \pi(g_{n-1})) \geq \varepsilon$, the following inequality holds:

$$d_{\text{cc}}(g_0, Z * g_{n-1}) \leq K_2 \cdot \frac{\|Z\|_{\text{eu}}}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_{\text{cc}}(g_{j-1}, g_j).$$

Proof. Let C_1 and K_1 be the constants given in (8) and Lemma 5.5, respectively. We prove the result for $K_2 := 2C_1K_1(n-1)^2$. Fix ε , Z , and an n -tuple (g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) as in the assumptions. For every $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, we write $g_j = (x_j, Y_j)$ with $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Y_j \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $g_0 = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$, we stress that $x_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By hypothesis we have that $\text{MinHeight}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \geq \varepsilon$. By Lemma 5.5, there exist $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $K_1 > 0$, the latter being independent of ε , Z , and (g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) , such that

$$Z = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \wedge v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_j\|_{\text{eu}} \leq K_1 \cdot \frac{\|Z\|_{\text{eu}}}{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for every } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}. \quad (28)$$

For every $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we define $w_j := -\sum_{k=j}^{n-1} v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \wedge v_j = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} -v_j \wedge x_j \right] - (v_{n-1} \wedge x_{n-1}) \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (w_j - w_{j+1}) \wedge x_j \right] + w_{n-1} \wedge x_{n-1} - w_1 \wedge x_0 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \wedge x_j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} w_{j+1} \wedge x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \wedge x_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \wedge x_{j-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \wedge (x_j - x_{j-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Moreover, by (28), we have

$$\|w_j\|_{\text{eu}} \leq (n-1)K_1 \cdot \frac{\|Z\|_{\text{eu}}}{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{for every } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}. \quad (30)$$

Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we observe that

$$g_{j-1}^{-1} * g_j \stackrel{(3)}{=} \left(x_j - x_{j-1}, Y_j - Y_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}(x_j \wedge x_{j-1}) \right).$$

Using again the product law (3), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} w_j * g_{j-1}^{-1} * g_j * (-w_j) &= w_j * \left(x_j - x_{j-1} + Y_j - Y_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}(x_j \wedge x_{j-1}) \right) * (-w_j) \\ &= \left(x_j - x_{j-1} + w_j + Y_j - Y_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}(x_j \wedge x_{j-1}) + \frac{1}{2}(w_j \wedge (x_j - x_{j-1})) \right) * (-w_j) \\ &= x_j - x_{j-1} + Y_j - Y_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}(x_j \wedge x_{j-1}) + \frac{1}{2}(w_j \wedge (x_j - x_{j-1})) - \frac{1}{2}((x_j - x_{j-1}) \wedge w_j) \\ &= x_j - x_{j-1} + Y_j - Y_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}(x_j \wedge x_{j-1}) + w_j \wedge (x_j - x_{j-1}) \\ &= g_{j-1}^{-1} * g_j * (w_j \wedge (x_j - x_{j-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We recall that $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is contained in the center of \mathbb{G} and that $g_0 = 0$, so that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Z * g_{n-1} &\stackrel{(29)}{=} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \wedge (x_j - x_{j-1}) \right) * (g_0^{-1} * g_1) * \dots * (g_{n-2}^{-1} * g_{n-1}) \\ &= g_0^{-1} * g_1 * (w_1 \wedge (x_1 - x_0)) * \dots * g_{n-2}^{-1} * g_{n-1} * (w_{n-1} \wedge (x_{n-1} - x_{n-2})) \\ &\stackrel{(31)}{=} w_1 * g_0^{-1} * g_1 * (-w_1) * \dots * w_{n-1} * g_{n-2}^{-1} * g_{n-1} * (-w_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since the Carnot-Carathéodory distance d_{cc} is left invariant:

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, Z * g_{n-1}) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, w_j)) + d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g_{j-1}^{-1} * g_j) + d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, -w_j) \\
 &\stackrel{(9)}{\leq} 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} C_1 \|w_j\|_{\text{eu}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_{cc}(g_{j-1}, g_j) \\
 &\stackrel{(30)}{=} 2C_1(n-1)^2 K_1 \cdot \frac{\|Z\|_{\text{eu}}}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_{cc}(g_{j-1}, g_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

The statement is then proved by setting $K_2 := 2C_1 K_1(n-1)^2$. \square

5.2. Final proofs. We are ready for the proof of Proposition 5.4 and finally of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Proposition 5.4. Let C_1 , C_4 , and K_2 be the constants given in (8), Corollary 4.6, and Lemma 5.6, respectively. We prove the result for $\delta_0 := 2K_2/(C_1 C_4)$ and $C_5 := \max\{1, 4K_2/C_4\}$. Fix $g \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \setminus A_\delta$ and consider a geodesic $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ such that $\gamma(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\gamma(1) = g$. Since $g \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \setminus A_\delta$, then $d_{\text{eu}}(\gamma(1), \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) \geq \delta$ and, by Corollary 4.6, there exists $C_4 > 0$ such that the set $\Gamma := \{\pi(\gamma(t)) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$ is not contained in the $C_4\delta$ -neighbourhood of any $P \in \text{Gr}(\mathbb{R}^n, n-2)$. Consequently, by Proposition 5.2, there are $x_i \in \Gamma$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, such that

$$\text{MinHeight}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \geq C_4\delta. \quad (32)$$

Since the minimal height does not depend on the order, we can further assume that $x_j = \pi(\gamma(t_j))$ for $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}$.

We set $g_i := \gamma(t_i)$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, and $g_0 := \gamma(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$. We also now fix $h \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$. We write g and h in coordinates:

$$g = (\xi_1, W_1), \quad h = (\xi_2, W_2).$$

We then define

$$q := \xi_2 - \xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad Z := W_2 - W_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_2 \wedge \xi_1) \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We have

$$d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, q) \stackrel{(9)}{\leq} C_1 \|\xi_2 - \xi_1\|_{\text{eu}} \leq C_1 d_{\text{eu}}(g, h). \quad (33)$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Z\|_{\text{eu}} &\leq \|W_2 - W_1\|_{\text{eu}} + \frac{1}{2}\|(\xi_2 - \xi_1) \wedge \xi_1\|_{\text{eu}} \\
 &\leq d_{\text{eu}}(g, h) + \frac{1}{2}\|\xi_2 - \xi_1\|_{\text{eu}} \cdot \|\xi_1\|_{\text{eu}} \\
 &\leq d_{\text{eu}}(g, h) + \frac{\|\xi_1\|_{\text{eu}}}{2} d_{\text{eu}}(g, h) \\
 &\leq 2 \cdot d_{\text{eu}}(g, h),
 \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where we used that the scalar product is adequate and that $g \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$.

By the product rule (3), we observe that $h = Z * g * q$. We recall that d_{cc} is left-invariant, so that we infer

$$d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, h) \leq d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, Z * g) + d_{cc}(Z * g, Z * g * q) \leq d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, Z * g_{n-1}) + d_{cc}(g_{n-1}, g) + d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, q). \quad (35)$$

Thanks to (32), the n -tuple (g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.6 with ε replaced by $C_4\delta$, so we estimate the first term of (35):

$$d_{cc}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, Z * g_{n-1}) \leq K_2 \cdot \frac{\|Z\|_{\text{eu}}}{C_4\delta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_{cc}(g_{j-1}, g_j).$$

We shall use the previous inequality in (35) and use that g_0, \dots, g_{r-1}, g are consecutive points along the geodesic γ . If $\delta \leq \delta_0 := 2K_2/(C_1C_4)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, h) &\leq K_2 \cdot \frac{\|Z\|_{\text{eu}}}{C_4\delta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_{\text{cc}}(g_{j-1}, g_j) + d_{\text{cc}}(g_{n-1}, g) + d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, q) \\ &= d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g) + \frac{K_2}{C_4\delta} \cdot \|Z\|_{\text{eu}} + d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, q) \\ &\stackrel{(33)(34)}{\leq} d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g) + \frac{2K_2}{C_4\delta} d_{\text{eu}}(g, h) + C_1 d_{\text{eu}}(g, h) \\ &\leq d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g) + \frac{4K_2}{C_4\delta} d_{\text{eu}}(g, h). \end{aligned}$$

The statement is then proved by setting $C_5 := \max\{1, 4K_2/C_4\}$. \square

Here is the proof of the main result:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We see \mathbb{G} as in (2) with an Euclidean distance as in Section 2, denoted by d_{eu} , so to have the sphere \mathbb{S}_{eu} as in (7). To prove the Euclidean rectifiability of \mathbb{S}_{cc} , since \mathbb{S}_{eu} is locally bi-Lipschitz equivalent to \mathbb{R}^{d-1} , we consider the sets E_n for (1) to be subsets of \mathbb{S}_{eu} . With the notation (18), we then define

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &:= A_{2^{-n}} \setminus A_{2^{-n-1}} = \{x \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \mid 2^{-n-1} < d_{\text{eu}}(x, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) \leq 2^{-n}\}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \\ E_0 &:= \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \setminus A_{1/2} = \{x \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \mid d_{\text{eu}}(x, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) > \frac{1}{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{Riem}}^{d-1}$ the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure with respect to the distance d_{eu} . We obviously have that $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(E_0) \leq \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}})$. By Proposition 4.3 we also get

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(E_n) \leq \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(A_{2^{-n}}) \leq C_3 \cdot 2^{-3n}. \quad (36)$$

Let $d_0(\cdot) := d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \cdot)$ and ϕ be the dilating map from Remark 3.2. We consider a sequence of functions $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where f_n is the image by ϕ of the graphing map of d_0 restricted to E_n . Namely,

$$f_n: E_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \quad x \mapsto f_n(x) := \phi(x, d_0(x)) = \delta_{d_0(x)}^{-1}(x).$$

Our aim is to show that the maps $\{f_n\}_n$ give the Euclidean rectifiability of \mathbb{S}_{cc} .

We first explain why the maps f_n are Lipschitz. Notice that each of these maps is the composition between the graph map $x \mapsto (x, d_0(x))$, which has Lipschitz constant $(1 + \text{Lip}(d_0|_{E_n}))$, and the diffeomorphism ϕ . Moreover, the graph of d_0 is contained in a compact set, on which therefore ϕ is Lipschitz with constant L . We use Proposition 5.4, recalling that $C_5 \geq 1$, to get

$$\text{Lip}(f_n|_{E_n}) \leq L(1 + \text{Lip}(d_0|_{E_n})) \leq L(1 + C_5 \cdot 2^{n+1}) \leq C_5 L \cdot 2^{n+2}. \quad (37)$$

Thus, the maps f_n are Lipschitz.

We next prove that the sets $f_n(E_n)$ cover almost all of \mathbb{S}_{cc} . Since $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n = \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \setminus \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$ and each function f_n is a dilation map, by recalling that $\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$ is invariant under dilations, we get

$$\mathbb{S}_{\text{cc}} \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(E_n) = \mathbb{S}_{\text{cc}} \cap \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G}). \quad (38)$$

Moreover, from Proposition 4.1 we have that the Hausdorff dimension of $\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})$ is at most $d-3$ and therefore $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) = 0$. Thus

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \left(\mathbb{S}_{\text{cc}} \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(E_n) \right) \stackrel{(38)}{\leq} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) = 0. \quad (39)$$

It is left to prove that $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\mathbb{S}_{\text{cc}})$ is finite. Because of (39), it is then sufficient to prove

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(E_n)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(f_n(E_n)) < \infty. \quad (40)$$

We then check that we have a summable sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(f_n(E_n)) &= \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\phi(\text{gr}(d_0|_{E_n}))) \\ &\leq C_2 \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{d-1}(E_n) \cdot (\text{Lip}(d_0|_{E_n}) + 1) \\ &\stackrel{(36)}{\leq} C_2 C_3 \cdot 2^{-3n} \cdot (\text{Lip}(d_0|_{E_n}) + 1) \\ &\stackrel{(37)}{\leq} C_2 C_3 C_5 L \cdot 2^{-3n} \cdot 2^{n+2} = C_2 C_3 C_5 L \cdot 2^{-2n+2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we used Proposition 3.4. Hence, (40) is obtained and the proof of the rectifiability of \mathbb{S}_{cc} is concluded. \square

Remark 5.7. We observe that we could have obtained the convergence of the series in (40) even with weaker versions of Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 5.4 which we used in (36) and (37), respectively. As an example, we could either work in a setting where, for $\varepsilon > 0$, the abnormal set has finite Minkowski content of co-dimension $1 + \varepsilon$, or a setting where the Lipschitz constant of d_{cc} behaves like the $\varepsilon - 3$ power of the distance from the abnormal set, or a combination of the two.

5.3. A sharp example. We conclude providing an example that shows the optimality of Proposition 5.4 in the following sense:

Proposition 5.8. *There exist a sub-Finsler free-Carnot group \mathbb{G} of step 2, equipped with an adequate scalar product, and numbers $C, \delta_0 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ there exists $g_\delta \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}} \setminus A_\delta$ with the property that, for every $\varepsilon < 0$, there exists $h_{\varepsilon, \delta} \in \mathbb{S}_{\text{eu}}$ with $0 < d_{\text{eu}}(g_\delta, h_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \leq \varepsilon$ such that*

$$d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, h_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \geq d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g_\delta) + \frac{C}{\delta} \cdot d_{\text{eu}}(g_\delta, h_{\varepsilon, \delta}).$$

We firstly claim that, to prove Proposition 5.8, it is not restrictive to consider g_δ and $h_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ to be in the $\frac{1}{2}$ -tubular neighbourhood (with respect to the metric d_{eu}) of \mathbb{S}_{eu} instead of being in \mathbb{S}_{eu} . Indeed, their projections into \mathbb{S}_{eu} , through the map σ defined as in (19), will satisfy the same inequality with a modified constant C , not depending on g_δ and $h_{\varepsilon, \delta}$. The proof of Proposition 5.8 is then given by the following example.

Example 5.9. Consider the free-Carnot group $\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{R}^4 \times \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ of step 2 and rank 4, and $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ to be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^4 . Consider on \mathbb{G} the adequate scalar product such that e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 are orthonormal. Consider $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sf}}$ to be the ℓ^1 norm with respect to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. For every $\varepsilon, \delta \geq 0$, we define

$$g_\delta := e_1 \wedge e_2 + \delta e_3, \quad h_{\varepsilon, \delta} := g_\delta + \varepsilon e_3 \wedge e_4.$$

It is easy to show that, if $\delta \leq 1/2$, then $d_{\text{eu}}(g_\delta, \text{Abn}(\mathbb{G})) = \delta$ and $1 \leq d_{\text{eu}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g_\delta) \leq 3/2$. Let us consider $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ to be a geodesic with $\gamma(0) = 0_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\gamma(1) = h_{\varepsilon, \delta}$, denote by $u \in L^1([0, 1], \mathfrak{g}_1)$ the control associated to γ . Define the map $\pi_{12}: \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ to be the projection parallel to $\text{span}\{e_3, e_4\}$ and $\pi_{34} := \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_1} - \pi_{12}$. Let us define $u_{12} := \pi_{12}(u)$ and $u_{34} := \pi_{34}(u)$. It easily follows that

$$\gamma_{u_{12}}(1) = e_1 \wedge e_2, \quad \gamma_{u_{34}}(1) = \delta e_3 + \varepsilon e_3 \wedge e_4.$$

Let us define the control $\tilde{u}: [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ as

$$\tilde{u}(t) := \begin{cases} u_{12}(t) & \text{if } t \in [0, 1], \\ u_{34}(t-1) & \text{if } t \in [1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

We then observe that

$$\ell(\gamma_{\tilde{u}}) = \int_0^1 |u_{12}(t)| dt + \int_0^1 |u_{34}(t)| dt = \int_0^1 |u_{12}(t) + u_{34}(t)| dt = \int_0^1 |u(t)| dt = \ell(\gamma_u),$$

so that $\gamma_{\tilde{u}}$ is again a geodesic from $0_{\mathbb{G}}$ to $h_{\varepsilon,\delta}$. Moreover $\gamma_{\tilde{u}}(1) = e_1 \wedge e_2$ and $\gamma_{\tilde{u}}(2) = (e_1 \wedge e_2) * (\delta e_3 + \varepsilon e_3 \wedge e_4)$. Since $e_1 \wedge e_2$ is in the center of \mathbb{G} we also have that $d_{\text{cc}}(\gamma_{\tilde{u}}(1), \gamma_{\tilde{u}}(2)) = d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \delta e_3 + \varepsilon e_3 \wedge e_4)$. The latter proves that $d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, h_{\varepsilon,\delta}) = d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, e_1 \wedge e_2) + d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \delta e_3 + \varepsilon e_3 \wedge e_4)$, so that

$$d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, h_{\varepsilon,\delta}) - d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g_{\delta}) = d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \delta e_3 + \varepsilon e_3 \wedge e_4) - d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \delta e_3), \quad (41)$$

and to compute the terms in the right hand side it is not restrictive to consider geodesics contained in $\text{span}\{e_3, e_4, e_3 \wedge e_4\}$, that is isometric to the Heisenberg group equipped with the ℓ^1 norm on the horizontal distribution. Finally, we remark that $d_{\text{eu}}(h_{\varepsilon,\delta}, g_{\delta}) = \varepsilon$ and that the complete classification of geodesics for the Heisenberg group equipped with the ℓ^1 norm (see [5]) allows us to compute explicitly

$$d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \delta e_3) = \delta, \quad d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, \delta e_3 + \varepsilon e_3 \wedge e_4) = \delta + 2\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon \leq \delta^2.$$

By combining the latter with (41), we conclude that

$$d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, h_{\varepsilon,\delta}) = d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g_{\delta}) + \frac{2}{\delta}\varepsilon = d_{\text{cc}}(0_{\mathbb{G}}, g_{\delta}) + \frac{2}{\delta}d_{\text{eu}}(h_{\varepsilon,\delta}, g_{\delta}).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Ardentov, E. Le Donne, and Y. L. Sachkov. A Sub-Finsler Problem on the Cartan Group. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 304:42 – 59, 2017.
- [2] D. Barilari, U. Boscaïn, E. Le Donne, and M. Sigalotti. Sub-Finsler Structures from the Time-Optimal Control Viewpoint for some Nilpotent Distributions. *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, 23:547 – 575, 2019.
- [3] R. Benedetti and J. Risler. *Real algebraic and semi-algebraic sets*. Actualites mathematiques. Hermann, 1990.
- [4] F. Boarotto, L. Nalon, and D. Vittone. The Sard problem in step 2 and in filiform Carnot groups. *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*, 28, 2022.
- [5] E. Breuillard and E. Le Donne. On the rate of convergence to the asymptotic cone for nilpotent groups and subFinsler geometry. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(48):19220 – 19226, 2013.
- [6] H. Federer. *Geometric measure theory*. Classics in mathematics. Springer, 1996.
- [7] A. Figalli and L. Rifford. Mass Transportation on Sub-Riemannian Manifolds. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 20:124 – 159, 2010.
- [8] E. Hakavuori and E. Le Donne. Blowups and blowdowns of geodesics in Carnot groups. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 123(2):267 – 310, 2023.
- [9] E. Le Donne and S. Nicolussi Golo. Regularity properties of spheres in homogeneous groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 370:2057 – 2084, 2016.
- [10] R. Montgomery. *A Tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications*, volume 91 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [11] A. Ottazzi, P. Pansu, D. Vittone, E. Le Donne, and R. Montgomery. Sard property for the endpoint map on some Carnot groups. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* 33(6):1639 – 1666, 2016.
- [12] A. Ottazzi and D. Vittone. On the codimension of the abnormal set in step two Carnot groups. *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*, 25, 2019.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, CH. DU MUSÉE 23, 1700 FRIBOURG (CH)
 Email address: luca.nalon@unifr.ch
 Email address: enrico.ledonne@unifr.ch