Inter-chain Interactions, Multi-magnon condensation and Strain effect in chain compound $NaVOPO₄$

Manoj Gupta $a^{1, *}$ Manodip Routh a^{1} Manoranjan Kumar, $^{1, +}$ and Tanusri Saha Dasgupta $^{1, +}$

 1 Department of Condensed Matter and Materials Physics,

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Kolkata 700106, India

(Dated: August 30, 2024)

Employing first-principles modelling and many-body methods, the magnetic properties of spin- $1/2$ chain compound NaVOPO₄ are explored. The extensive first-principles calculations establish an intricate three-dimensionally coupled model that consists of weakly alternating $J-J'$ antiferromagnetic chains running along cris-cross directions between two consecutive ab planes, connected via two subleading couplings, a ferromagnetic exchange along the c direction (J_c) and a weaker antiferromagnetic exchange (J_a) along the body diagonal direction. The exact diagonalization and density matrix renormalized group study has been carried out on a two-dimensional spin model with $J-J'-J_c$ and effective J_d couplings, constructed based on the full model, for numerical ease. The J_c-J_d phase diagram is found to host a *disorder* phase with a finite spin gap for comparable values of J_c and J_d , arising out of the competing nature of these two interactions, other than two ordered phases. The calculated thermodynamic properties of this model provide a fair description of experimentally measured data. The predominant manifestation of J_c and J_d in the disorder phase happens in the stabilisation of a multi-magnon condensed phase, upon gap closing by application of an external magnetic field. We further explore the effect of tensile uniaxial strain, which is found to drive the system from gapful to gapless ground state.

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the years, one-dimensional antiferromagnetic $S=1/2$ chain compounds have attracted the interest of researchers. They offer a fascinating playground to study properties like spin-gap states, spin-charge separation, and quantum criticality, dictated by the quantum fluctuation effect arising from the low dimensionality and smallness of spin $[1-4]$. Many of these one-dimensional spin compounds, host a spin gap in the spin excitation spectra between the singlet ground state and the triplet excited state, which may arise from different competing exchanges. As expected, these spin chains with spin gaps are sensitive to perturbations like subleading inter-chain interactions, strain and/or external magnetic field. In particular, the spin gap can be reduced or even closed by applying an external field above a threshold value, which leads to the emergence of a multitude of field-induced phases $[5-10]$.

In this context, the family of vanadate compounds with V^{4+} ions separated by PO₄ or AsO₄ tetrahedral units have drawn attention in recent time [11–14]. Among them, in the present study, we focus on $NaVOPO₄$ (NVOPO). Although this compound was synthesized in the early 90's [15, 16], there is a renewed interest due to the possible realization of a spin- $1/2$ chain system [14] as well as for its potential use as cathode material [17]. Low-temperature X-ray diffraction measurements rule out possible Peierls transition [14]. The magnetic susceptibility data [14] confirms the nominal 4^+ valence of

V, with $S=1/2$ spin, and dominance of antiferromagnetic coupling. The magnetic susceptibility data could be fitted with an alternating-chain spin model with an extremely weak alternation parameter close to 1, suggesting the compound to be at the boundary of the uniform chain and the alternating-chain model. Magnetization isotherm measurement [14] established the existence of a spin gap with the critical field of gap closing ≤ 2T leading to a possible Bose-Einstein condensed (BEC) phase [18–21] at low temperature. The absence of longrange order in the absence of an external magnetic field was further confirmed in NMR measurements [14]. While the above observations support the spin-gapped ground state of NVOPO and an external field-driven possible condensed phase, the detailed analysis of the underlying spin model and its implication have not been explored. The theoretical modeling reported in the same work [14] finds evidence of subleading inter-chain interactions. However, the experimental results are interpreted as a bond-alternating spin chain model with an extremely weak alternation parameter of 0.98 and negligible interchain couplings. The issue of inter-chain interactions thus remains unresolved.

It is to be noted here, the simple fitting treatment to magnetic susceptibility data, as often adapted, makes it hard to predict details of the microscopic model. Such a model would typically involve the prediction of exchange paths and the relative magnitudes of various magnetic interactions. This in turn calls for the need for microscopic investigation in terms of ab initio calculations to derive the underlying spin model coupled with many-body methods for calculation of magnetic properties. Very often, the true nature of the exchange networks in these compounds differs from the mere expectation from the crystal structure. A famous example in this context is

[∗] a The authors contributed equally to this work.

[†] manoranjan.kumar@bose.res.in

[‡] t.sahadasgupta@gmail.com

FIG. 1: Crystal structure of NaVOPO₄.(a) Structural units of distorted VO₆ octahedra surrounded by six inequivalent oxygen atoms (O1-O6) and PO⁴ square pyramid formed by O1-O4 inequivalent oxygen atoms. (b) Corned shared $VO₆ octahedra forming structural chains along the crystallographic c axis. The rectangular box represents the unit$ cell. (c) \rm{VO}_6 octahedra connected by pair of \rm{PO}_4 square forming \rm{VO}_6 - \rm{PO}_4 - \rm{PO}_4 - \rm{VO}_6 chains running along [110] and [¯110] in two consecutive ab layers. (d) Three dimensional network formed by cris-cross running chains and Na atoms.

that of $(\text{VO})_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ [22], which turns out to be an alternating spin chain compound while originally it was thought of as a two-leg spin ladder system based on structural considerations.

In this study, we thus revisit the modelling of the compound through rigorous and extensive Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations. Our calculations are based on the construction of three-orbital, low-energy Wannier Hamiltonian and solution of total energy calculations of a large number of equations, to rule out the dependency of the derived model on the chosen spin configurations. This rigorous study established a robust nature of intrachain couplings with an alternation ratio of 0.92 as opposed to 0.98 as predicted from susceptibility fit [14]. Most importantly, this investigation resulted in two additional subleading inter-chain interactions, the values of which are found to depend strongly on the chosen spin configurations. Employing the exact diagonalization and density matrix renormalization group study of a DFTinspired model spins Hamiltonian, we establish the important contribution of these sub-leading interactions in introducing frustration in the system, in terms of the competing nature of these interactions. We map out a intriguing phase diagram in the phase space of subleading inter-chain interactions, rationalized by large variations in their values in first-principles estimates. Due to the competing nature, interestingly at the point when the two subleading terms become equal, thereby compensating each other, the spin gap value can be described by a single alternating chain model. The gap value

reduces in moving away from the compensation point, finally leading to ordered states when one subleading term becomes substantial and completely dominates the other. These competing subleading interactions, however, manifest themselves in the excited state properties upon gap closing by the application of an external magnetic field, and a multi-magnon condensed phase[23–31] is observed. Importantly, at the compensation point, although the gap value can be mimicked by a single alternating chain model, the same model will fail to describe the multi-magnon condensed phase. We compute different thermodynamic properties and compare them with experimental measurements. We demonstrate the goodness of the model with sub-leading interactions in capturing the experimental data. We further explore the effect of strain. The introduction of a moderate amount of uniaxial strain is found to tune the subleading interactions, driving a strain-assisted quantum transition from gapful to a gapless phase. Our prediction may be verified in future experiments.

II. METHOD

The first-principles DFT calculations were carried out in two different basis sets, (a) pseudo-potential, planewave basis and (b) muffin-tin orbital basis. The consistency of the two different basis set calculations has been cross-checked in terms of band structure and density of state plots. The construction of low-energy Hamiltonian

FIG. 2: The non-spin-polarized GGA density of states and band-structure of NVOPO. (a) Density of states, projected to different V-d characters, d_{xy} (red), d_{yz} (green), d_{xz} (orange), d_{x2-y2} (brown), and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ (blue). Zero of the energy is set at E_F . Inset shows the crystal field splitting (in eV) of the V- d levels. (b) Orbital projected band structure, plotted in an energy range close to E_F . Fatness of the bands denote the associated orbital character $(d_{xy}$ - red, d_{yz} - green, and d_{xz} -orange).

was achieved in muffin-tin orbital basis while for accurate total energy calculations, and structural relaxation, plane wave basis was used.

The plane wave calculations were carried out employing projector augmented-wave potential [32], as implemented in Vienna Ab-initio Simulation package [33–35]. The convergence of energies and forces were ensured by using a plane-wave energy cutoff of 600 eV and BZ sampling with $6 \times 6 \times 6$ Monkhorst-Pack grids. During the structural relaxation, the ions were allowed to move until the atomic forces became lower than 0.0001 eV/A .

The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [36] was used to approximate the exchange-correlation functional. To check the influence of correlation effect at transition metal site, beyond $GGA, GGA+U$ with supplemented Hubbard U correction was carried out [37].

The muffin-tin orbital (MTO) basis was used in deriving low energy, few band Hamiltonian in the effective t_{2q} Wannier basis of the transition metal ions. For this purpose NMTO-downfolding technique [38] of integrating out degrees of freedom that are not of interest, starting from the all-orbital DFT band-structure, was employed. The self-consistent potentials required for these calculations were generated through Stuttgart implementation of Linear-MTO (LMTO) package [39]. For muffin-tin orbital calculations, the MT radii were chosen as 1.89 \AA , 1.25 Å, 1.161 Å, and 0.86 Å for Na, V, P, and O respectively.

State of art numerical techniques of exact diagonalization [40–42] and density matrix renormalization group (DMRG)[43–45] were used to solve the DFT-derived spin model. While for small system sizes, exact diagonalization (ED) was used, for larger system sizes the DMRG method of systematic truncation of irrelevant degrees of freedom and renormalization of coupling parameters for growing the system sizes was used. The accuracy in DMRG is known to decrease for systems with long bonds or multiple times renormalized operators used in the construction of Hamiltonian. To reduce the number of multiple times renormalized operators used in Hamiltonian, four new site algorithm is used. It demonstrates better convergence in the $J J'$ model with the same computational cost [46]. In particular, in the present work, we used this modified DMRG method [46] on a rectangular lattice with cylindrical geometry. Cylinders are labelled XC or YC, corresponding to open boundary conditions (OBC) along the larger lattice direction (X-direction) and periodic boundary conditions (PBC) along the smaller direction (Y-direction). We retain up to $m = 700$ density matrix eigenstates during the renormalization process. We perform $\sim 10-12$ sweeps until the ground-state energy converges within an error of ∼ 10^{-5} *J*.

III. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE

NaVOPO⁴ compound crystallizes in the monoclinic structure with $P21/c$ (No. 14) space group and $Z =$ 4. Starting from the experimentally determined crystal structure [14], for accurate determination of the positions of light atoms like O, we optimize the structure keeping the lattice parameters as well as high symmetry atomic positions fixed, under the selective dynamics scheme. In the optimized geometry, the magnetic ion in the structure, \bar{V}^{4+} is surrounded by six inequivalent oxygen atoms (O1-O6) with four equatorial V-O bonds in the range $1.98-2.01$ Å, one long and one short apical bonds of lengths 2.13 Å and 1.62 Å , respectively. This results in a distorted VO_6 octahedron of C_{2h} symmetry, instead of O_h symmetry of an ideal octahedra. The P^{5+} ions, on the other hand, form a nearly regular $PO₄$ tetrahedron with P–O bond lengths of \sim 1.54 Å(cf Fig. 1(a)). The corner-shared VO_6 octahedra form structural chains, running along the crystallographic c-axis, as shown in Fig. 1(b). A pair of VO_6 octahedra bridged by a pair of PO_4 tetrahedra also form chains running along [110] and [-110] axes in two consecutive ab plane, as shown in Fig. $1(c)$. A three-dimensional cris-cross connected network is formed by corner sharing of VO_6 octahedra and $PO₄ tetrahedra, in which Na⁺ ions sit in the hollows to$ bring cohesion in the structure, as shown in Fig. 1(d).

IV. DFT ESSENTIALS

A. Basic Electronic Structure

The non-spin-polarized GGA-PBE density of states (DOS) of NVOPO, projected onto V- d_{xy} , d_{yz} , d_{xz} , $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital characters, is shown in Fig. $2(a)$. The local coordinate system is chosen with local z-axis and x-axis pointing along V-O6 and approximately along V-O3 bond, respectively. Following the nominal d^1 valence of V^{4+} ion the V-d states are found to be mostly unfilled with 1/6 of the states being filled. The crystal field splitting of the V-d states computed from the real-space representation of $V-d$ only Hamiltonian obtained from NMTO-downfolding calculations by integrating all other degrees of freedom is shown in the inset of Fig. $2(a)$. As is seen, the octahedral environment pushes the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ levels, belonging to e_g manifold 2-3 eV away from d_{xy} , d_{yz} , d_{xz} levels, forming t_{2g} manifold. The octahedral distortion brings in further splitting of about 1 eV between $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and 0.7-0.8 eV between d_{xy} and d_{yz}/d_{xz} along with a tiny splitting between d_{yz} and d_{xz} . The crystal field splitting between d_{xy} and d_{yz}/d_{xz} being smaller than the dispersional width associated with d_{xy} , d_{yz} and d_{xz} , the t_{2g} manifold consisting of 12 bands arising out of 4 V ions in the unit cell (cf Fig. 2(b)) cross the Fermi level (E_F) , separated from the e_q manifold by about 0.5 eV. The metallic nature of the GGA-PBE non-spin-polarized electronic structure, contrary to the insulating character of the compounds suggests the inadequacies of GGA to capture the strong correlation effect at the V site. Inclusion of Hubbard correction (U) , within the GGA calculation supplemented with U (GGA+U), pushes the d_{xy} bands below E_F , well separated from other t_{2g} bands, opening a gap at E_F . See Supplementary Materials (SM)[47]. The non-spin-polarized GGA electronic structure though serves as a good starting point for identifying the predominant V-V hopping pathways in a low-energy representation of the problem that is responsible for the magnetic exchanges.

Although the basic GGA electronic structure shows

an overall similarity with the LDA electronic structure of one of the related compounds, AgVOAsO4, studied in past literature [11] in terms of the presence of partially filled low-lying d_{xy} bands strongly hybridizing with higher-lying d_{yz}/d_{xz} bands, there are subtle differences in terms of crystal field splittings and bandwidths, which is expected to bring in crucial differences in the spin model of the two compounds.

B. Low-Energy Hamiltonian and effective V-V exchange pathways

In an attempt to derive V-d only low-energy Hamiltonian out of DFT calculations, we resort to the energyselective Nth order muffin-tin orbital-based (NMTObased) downfolding technique [38]. Starting from a selfconsistent DFT calculation in the linear muffin-tin orbital basis [39], an NMTO-downfolding calculation arrives at a low-energy V-d Hamiltonian by integrating out degrees that are not of interest. This defines effective V Wannier functions with the head of the function shaped as $V-d$ orbital characters, and tails shaped as integrated out orbitals having appreciable hybridization with V-d.

To construct the low-energy model of NVOPO, we consider a three orbital model in which V- d_{xy} , d_{yz} , and d_{xz} are kept active and rest all, Na-s, $O-p$, P- p as well as $V-e_q$ degrees of freedom are integrated out. Construction of three-orbital t_{2g} only model is justifiable by the fact that DFT estimated t_{2g} - e_g crystal field of 2-3 eV, gets renormalized to 4-5 eV upon application of missing correlation effect (see SM[47]), which would weaken the t_{2q} -e_g hybridization. A very similar approach was also adapted in Ref. [11] for modelling AgVOAsO4.

We would like to stress, in contrast to DFT results of Ref. [14] in which a d_{xy} -only model was proposed, we find such one orbital description does not work satisfactorily due to strong hybridization between three t_{2q} 's and the entangled nature of these bands.

The three-orbital downfolded band structure provides a faithful representation of the DFT bands, as shown in Fig. $3(a)$. The real-space representation of the threeorbital model leads to a 3×3 onsite and hopping matrices joining the two V sites with a connecting vector. The detailed results are presented in Appendix A. The two leading hopping pathways appear to be V-V interactions through the PO_4 bridges, t and t' forming alternating chains running along [110] and [-110] directions in ab planes, as shown in Figs. $3(b)$ and (c). The next subleading interactions appear to be between corner-shared VO_6 running along [001], t_c and the V-V pairs in the body diagonal positions connecting two ab planes, t_a , as shown in Figs. $3(d)$ and (e).

To understand the dominating effect of t and t' connecting V pairs with no shared O, over t_c between V's with corner shared connectivity, we plot the overlap of V effective Wannier functions, placed at 1NN and 3NN V sites (cf Figs. 3(f) and (g)). As is seen, for t_c , due to

FIG. 3: Low energy three-orbital model. (a) Downfolded band structure (dotted red lines) in comparison to full DFT band structure (solid black lines) plotted along the high symmetry directions of monoclinic BZ. Zero of the energy is set at E_F . The energy points [E0 and E1] used for energy selective NMTO-downfolding calculation have been marked. (b) and (c) The alternating chains of V ions, connected by hopping interactions t and t'. The blue and magenta shaded planes denote two successive ab planes. (d) and (e) V ions connected by t_c and t_a hoppings. Different ab and ac planes are shaded to highlight the complex connectivity. (f) Overlap of effective Wannier functions $d_{xy}-d_{xy}$ placed at neighboring V sites connected by hopping t. Shown are the constant value isosurfaces with lobes of opposite signs colored as magenta and yellow for one site and blue and purple for neighbouring site. (g) Same as (f) but between d_{xy} and d_{xz} placed at V sites connected through hopping t_c .

the titled geometries of the octahedra and their cornershared nature, the overlap of the two functions is minimal at the connecting O site. On the other hand, due to finite hybridization between $O-p$ and $P-p$, the $O-p$ like tails of Wannier functions bend and make a connection at intervening P site for V pairs, separated by $PO₄$ bridges, resulting in a well-defined hopping path for t. This general aspect is found to be similar to that found for $AgVOAsO₄$ [11], although the details are different.

Starting from the information of the hopping integrals, a second-order perturbation theory can be employed to

provide a rough estimate of the exchange-integrals (J) , in the limit Coulomb interaction U much larger than the hopping integrals. Considering the d_{xy} orbitals to be majorly half-filled and d_{xz}/d_{yz} orbitals to be majorly empty, leads to a) an antiferromagnetic interaction due to the hopping of an up electron from occupied d_{xy} state to neighbouring d_{xy} state, occupied by a down electron, costing U amount of energy and b) a ferromagnetic interaction arising from electronic hopping from occupied d_{xy} to unoccupied d_{yz}, d_{xz} states, separated by charge transfer energy of Δ , as shown in schematic figure in SM [47]. The latter process involves the energy gain between electrons in parallel spin configuration by Hund's coupling (J_H) . Thus the effective exchange interaction can be written following the formulation of Kugel-Khomskii [48], which has been applied successfully to several cases [11, 49, 50] as,

$$
J = J_{AFM} + J_{FM} = \frac{4t_{xy,xy}^2}{U}
$$

$$
- \sum_{\alpha = xy, \beta = yz, xz} \frac{4t_{\alpha,\beta}^2 J_H}{(U + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta})(U + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} - J_H)}
$$
(1)

In the above we have assumed, intra-atomic Coulomb interaction and Hund's coupling do not depend on the particular orbital, i.e., the repulsion between different orbitals $(U_{mm'})$ is same as between the same orbital (U_{mm}) $= U$, and $J_H^{mm'} = J_H$, where m and m' are orbital indices that run over the three t2g orbitals.

The exchange interactions estimated employing the above formula, and using DFT inputs for Δ and $t_{\alpha,\beta}$'s with choice of $U = 4$ eV and $J_H = 1$ eV are listed in Table II of Appendix A. This gives rise to the antiferromagnetic nature of the two dominating exchanges, J and J' , related to hopping integrals t and t', two sub-leading exchanges, one of ferromagnetic nature, J_c related to t_c , and another of antiferromagetic nature, J_a related to t_a .

C. Exchange Interactions from total energy calculations

The second-order perturbative formula employing DFT inputs of effective hopping integrals and onsite matrix elements, as discussed above, provides a rough estimate of the relative importance of different exchanges. This step therefore serves as an important step for the identification of the underlying spin model in the complex geometry of NVOPO. However, once this identification is done, in the next step accurate determination of exchanges is required. For this purpose, we employ the total energy method, in which various $J's$ are evaluated by mapping the $GGA+U$ total energies of different possible spin configurations of V ions, to an effective $J-J'-J_c$ J_a spin Hamiltonian. As opposed to earlier calculations in this context[14] our total energy calculations are carried out by solving a large number of equations (\sim ¹¹ C_4) obtained from $GGA+U$ total calculations of 12 different spin configurations, to avoid any bias on the chosen spin configurations. The details of the results are presented in Appendix B. Our rigorous analysis established a robust alternation ratio of 0.92 in comparison to 0.98 extracted from susceptibility fit [14]. The obtained alternation ratio however is in good agreement with the DFT value of 0.93, as reported in Ref.[14]. Our analysis, importantly uncovered a strong dependency of the values of J_a and J_c on the chosen spin configurations. The AFM and FM nature of J_a and J_c , though, is maintained in each choice.

FIG. 4: (a) The 3D spin model of NVOPO, where solid green, dashed green, red and dotted blue lines represent V-V magnetic exchanges, J, J', J_c and J_a , respectively. (b) Effective 2D spin model, with solid green, dashed green, black, and dashed orange representing, J , $J',\,\tilde{J}=\frac{(J+J')}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and J_d interactions, respectively. While the exchanges J and J' are same as in 3D model, \tilde{J} and J_d are effective interactions arising from 3D to 2D mapping. See text and Appendix C for details.

Thus the subleading terms J_c and especially J_a show a large variation (cf. Table III in Appendix B).

V. SOLUTION OF THE SPIN-HAMILTONIAN

We employed the many-body techniques of exact diagonalization and density matrix renormalization group to solve the ab initio derived spin Hamiltonian. However, the three-dimensionally coupled network of alternating chains poses a numerical challenge in solving the Hamiltonian. To make the problem numerically tractable, we consider a two-dimensional (2D) model that captures the essential features of the full 3D problem. Figs. 4(a) and (b) show the full 3D model and the 2D model derived from the 3D model, respectively. See Appendix C for details. In the full model, a parallel array of $J-J'$ chains run along two perpendicular directions, in two consecutive ab planes, stacked along c direction, connected by J_c . In its two-dimensional projection, this is mapped onto J-J ′ chain, alternating with uniform chain of average interaction $(J+J')/2$, connected via J_c . Furthermore, in order to capture the effect of J_a present on the body diagonal of the 3D Hamiltonian, an effective, renormalized interaction J_d , which is a combination of J_a and J_c , is considered on the phase diagonal of the 2D plane. While the projection establishes a 2D model of J-J ′ chains that alternate with a uniform chain of average interaction $(J + J')/2$, connected via J_c (see Fig. 14 in Appendix C), the exact estimate of J_d is non-trivial, given the complex intricacy of the 3D model, as is evident from the 3D and 2D structures, presented in Fig. 15 of Appendix C. We thus approximate it as $J_a + \gamma J_c$, where γ is a variational parameter, chosen to 1.5 to reproduce the correct ground state of NVOPO. It is to be noted that both 3D and the constructed 2D models are frustrated, as demonstrated in Appendix C. J_a , J_c in the 3D model and J_d in the 2D model are primary factors for introducing the frustration in the system. In 3D model this involves one FM and three AFM couplings of four bond units and in 2D model this involves two FM and one AFM couplings of three bond unit. Thus, two main aspects are ensured in this construction, (i) the coordination number of each interaction is the same as in the full model. (ii) The frustrated nature arising due to competing antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic interactions is kept intact.

The Hamiltonian for the 2D model of spin-1/2 isotropic Heisenberg system in an axial magnetic field B , is given by,

$$
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1,3,\cdots}^{L_Y} \sum_{i=1}^{L_X} (J\vec{S}_{i,j} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1,j} + J'\vec{S}_{i+1,j} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+2,j} \n+ \frac{J+J'}{2} \vec{S}_{i,j+1} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1,j+1}) \n+ \sum_{j=1}^{L_Y} \sum_{i=1}^{L_X} (J_c \vec{S}_{i,j} \cdot \vec{S}_{i,j+1} + J_d \vec{S}_{i,j} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1,j+1} - BS_{i,j}^z)
$$
\n(2)

 $\vec{S}_{i,j}$ is the spin-1/2 operator at site having coordinates (i, j) in a 2D geometry $(L_X \times L_Y)$, as shown in Fig. 4(b). The first and second terms of the Hamiltonian represent the odd-numbered and even-numbered spin chains in the 2D plane, with alternating $J-J'$ antiferromagnetic exchanges and uniform antiferromagnetic exchanges, respectively. The third term represents the subleading competing ferromagnetic exchanges J_c along the Y-direction and J_d along the diagonal between spins on nearest neighbour chains as shown in Fig. 4(b). The model exhibits a high degree of frustration due to ferromagnetic sub-leading exchanges J_c and J_d . Based on the inputs of ab initio calculations, in all subsequent calculations, we fix the dominant exchange ratio J'/J to 0.92. Keeping in mind the large variation in J_c and J_a values

FIG. 5: (a) Second-order derivative of the ground state energy per site, $-E_0''/N$, calculated from ED on a 24-site torus as a function of J_d/J for different J_c/J values. The two prominent peaks in $-E_0''/N$ serve as indicators of the phase boundaries separating Phase I and Phase II, and Phase II and Phase III, as shown in (b).

with $|J_c|$ 2-4 times larger than $|J_a|$, the sub-leading exchange ratios J_c/J and J_d/J are varied within the ranges $-0.5 \le J_c/J \le 0$ and $-1 \le J_d/J \le 0$, respectively.

VI. QUANTUM PHASES AT $B = 0$

In this section, we study the ground state (GS) properties of the Hamiltonian given in Eq. (2) in the J_c/J and J_d/J parameter space. In order to characterize the quantum phases and possible boundaries between them, we employ two numerical techniques: exact diagonalization and density matrix renormalization group. The second derivative of energy $-E''/N$ was calculated from ED for 24-site system, and spin correlations $C(r) = \langle S_i \cdot S_{i+r} \rangle$ in the GS were calculated from DMRG for relatively larger system sizes of 80-120 sites.

A. Second derivative of energy

The second derivative of the GS energy is expected to show maxima or discontinuity at the transition points between different phases and serves as an indicator of the existence of different phases. Fig. $5(a)$ shows $-E''/N$ as a function of J_d/J for two representative values of $J_c/J = -0.4, -0.5$ with fixed value of $J'/J = 0.92$. A similar nature was found for $J_c/J = -0.1, -0.2, -0.3$ (not shown in the figure for clarity). The two maxima

in $-E''/N$, as seen in the figure, suggest there are three distinct phases. Fig. $5(b)$ shows the transition points between three phases for $J_c/J = -0.4, -0.5$. We characterize these phases, phase I, phase II, and phase III in the following section through spin correlations obtained from DMRG for larger system sizes.

B. Spin correlations $C(r)$

To further characterize the three phases found in ED, we have used DMRG to analyze the nature of the ground state of H on cylindrical geometries, which is discussed in details in Appendix D. We computed spin correlations in the three different phases identified in ED, (i) weak J_c , strong J_d (Phase I: $J_c/J = -0.3$, $J_d/J = -0.8$), (ii) comparable J_d , J_c (Phase II: $J_c/J = J_d/J = -0.3$), and (iii) strong J_c , weak J_d (Phase III: $J_c/J = -0.5$ and $J_d/J = -0.1$). Since the Hamiltonian is isotropic, the correlations S^x , S^y and S^z are identical, we computed the total correlation, defined as,

$$
C(r) = \langle \vec{S}_0 \cdot \vec{S}_r \rangle
$$

= $\langle S_0^x \cdot S_r^x \rangle + \langle S_0^y \cdot S_r^y \rangle + \langle S_0^z \cdot S_r^z \rangle$ (3)

Figs. 6(a) and (b) present the spin correlations $C(r)$ on the 80-site XC-cluster along the path P-1 and P-2 (cf Appendix D for reference). Since the path P-1 is considered along the alternating $AFM J-J'$ chain, the spin correlations in all three phases mentioned above, exhibit short-range AFM correlation with exponential decay. On the other hand, the spin correlations along path P-2 show different behaviour in different phases. We note this path includes the sub-leading FM exchange J_d . In Phase I, correlations indicate FM long-range order $(m_{FM} \approx 0.08)$ while in Phase III short-range AFM correlation with exponential decay is observed. The AFM correlations in phase III though decay faster than those of path P-1. However, in phase II, correlations vanish even at the next-nearest neighbour, which suggests spins are highly disordered in this phase.

The spin correlations on the 80-site YC-cluster along the path P-1 (cf Appendix D), which includes the subleading FM exchange J_c is shown in Fig. 6(c). In Phase I and Phase III, correlations exhibit short-range AFM order with exponential decay and FM long-range order $(m_{FM} \approx 0.05)$, respectively. This is opposite to that found for the path P-2 in XC geometry. However in Phase II, similar to path P-2 in XC-cluster, the spin correlations vanish at the next-nearest neighbour.

The findings of spin correlation calculations are summarized in Table I. As is evident, Phase I characterized by a strong J_d , exhibits short-range AFM correlation both in $J - J'$ (X-direction) as well as J_c (Y-direction) direction, which makes correlation along J_d FM with long range order. In Phase III, characterized by a strong J_c , on the other hand, correlation is of AFM nature in $J - J'$ (X-

FIG. 6: Correlations $C(r)$, computed for different paths and geometries in the DMRG calculation (see Appendix D) in three different phases. (a) along path P-1 in XC geometry, (b) along path P-2 in XC geometry, and (c) along path P-1 in YC geometry.

direction) and J_d (diagonal) direction, making the correlation along J_c to be FM. On the other hand, in Phase II, with comparable values of J_c and J_d the spins are disordered along both J_c and J_d with short-range AFM correlation along the leading $J-J'$ chain direction. This suggests a staggered and stripy spin orientation in Phase I and Phase III, respectively. In Phase II, where both sub-leading terms are comparable $(J_c \approx J_d)$, the system

Path	Phase I	Phase II	Phase III
	(Staggered)	(Disorder)	(Stripy)
XC P-1	AFM order	AFM order	AFM order
(along X-direction)	(short-range)	(short-range)	(short-range)
$(J-J' \text{ chain})$			
$\overline{XC P-2}$	FM order	Nearest-neighbour correlation	AFM order
(along diagonal direction)	$long-range)$	$(C(r) = 0$ for $r > 1)$	(short-range)
$(J_d \text{ chain})$			
$YCP-1$	AFM order	Nearest-neighbour correlation	FM order
(along Y-direction)	(short-range)	$(C(r) = 0$ for $r > 1$)	$long-range)$
$(J_c \text{ chain})$			

TABLE I: Characterization of three different phases, based on the analysis of spin correlation, presented in Section VI.B.

FIG. 7: Phase diagram of the 2D effective model Hamiltonian H in Eq. (2) in parameter space of J_d/J and J_c/J , constructed out of ED total energy and DMRG computed spin correlations. The inset shows then local spin orientations in the *staggered* and *stripy* phases.

experiences significant frustration in terms of fierce competition between J_c and J_d . This results in vanishing correlations along the Y and diagonal directions, leading to what we term as '*disorder*' phase. It is worth noting that in this '*disorder*' phase though there exists weak short-range order along the X-direction due to the presence of weakly alternating AFM chains.

Armed with information on spin correlations, which characterizes three different phases, we construct the zero-field phase diagram of this model as shown in Fig. 7 in the parameter space of J_c/J and J_d/J for fixed $J'/J =$ 0.92 based on the energy derivative. The local spin orientations in the *staggered* (phase I) and *stripy* (phase III) are also shown in the inset of the phase diagram (Fig. 7).

VII. EFFECT OF AXIAL MAGNETIC FIELD

Upon clarification on the nature of the GS in the absence of any external perturbation, the next interest-

FIG. 8: DMRG computed magnetization in XC geometry with system size 20×4 in (a) staggered and stripy phase, (b) disorder phase plotted as a function axial magnetic field. In all the calculations, J'/J and J_c/J are fixed at 0.92, and -0.3 , respectively. J_d/J values are chosen to be -0.8 , and -0.1 in (a) and -0.25 , -0.3 and -0.5 in (b). The inset in (b) shows the system size dependence of magnetization in disorder phase, shown for the representative case of $J_d/J = -0.3$.

ing question is to ask the effect of an external magnetic field on the GS of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2). In the '*disorder*' phase, the lowest singlet-triplet (ST) gap $(E_m = E(S^z = 1) - E(S^z = 0))$ is found to be finite, though the gap value is found to vary within the phase space of Phase II. The gap value is found to be largest along the $J_c = J_d$ line and decreases upon moving away from this line. For fixed choice of $J'/J = 0.92$, the gap value for $J_c/J = -0.3$ and $J_d/J = -0.3$ is found to be \approx 0.2J while that reduces to ≈ 0.05 J for $J_c/J = -0.3$ and $J_d/J = -0.5$.

We note that at the $J_c=J_d$ point due to the competing nature of J_c and J_d , they compensate. This results in a system that can be mimicked by decoupled alternating chains with finite ST gap. This can be appreciated by considering the fact that the spin gap for weakly dimer-

ized chain is given by $\Delta(\delta) = A\delta^{\beta}$, where δ is dimerization, connected to alternation ratio by the relationship, $J'/J = \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}$ and $A = 2.0375$, $\beta = 0.7475$ [51]. Putting $J'/J = 0.92$, this gives a values 0.189J, very close to our estimate of $\sim 0.2J$ for the case of $J_c=J_d$. Moving away from the $J_c = J_d$ line, the compensation point, the resultant uncompensated subleading interactions suppress the gap value from the single chain limit. The estimated value of 0.05 J for $J_c/J = -0.3$ and $J_d/J = -0.5$ is very close to the experimental estimate of 2K for the compound and is also supported by the DFT estimates of exchanges. Thus the spin-gap can be reproduced either by considering a single alternating chain with an alternation parameter of 0.98, as employed in susceptibility fitting, [14] or by considering a stronger alternation parameter of ∼ 0.92 as found in the DFT study, but including uncompensated subleading interactions. The latter scenario turns out to be the realistic representation. The non-uniqueness of the fitting process of the susceptibility fit is demonstrated in section IX. In comparison to the DFT estimate of J'/J is found to be robust, consistently obtained in a large number of solutions. It is to be stressed that the effect of subleading interactions, either compensated or uncompensated, will be manifested in the excited state properties. This would enable the difference between a single alternating chain model, as invoked in Ref. [14] and the inter-chain coupled chain model of the discussed system. This will be taken up in the following section.

The system size dependence of the spin gap is shown in SM[47]. This shows a gaped solution in the disorder phase survives even in asymptotic limit (see Fig 3(a) in SM). The gap can be closed at a critical field $B = B_{c1}$. For the parameters $J_c = -0.3$ and $J_d = -0.5$ the critical field is found to be ≈ 1.8 T, close to experimentally found gap of $\leq 2T$ [14]. However, in the staggered and stripy phases, the dominant ferromagnetic J_d and J_c lead to ordering along the diagonal and Y-direction, respectively, which result in the closing of the spin gap, upon extrapolation to infinite size (see SM [47]).

To understand the magnetization processes, we further computed the magnetization as a function of B. The results for the staggered and stripy phases are shown in Fig. 8(a), and that for the disordered phase is shown in Fig. 8(b). The results for 20×4 lattice in XC geometry reveals while in the staggered and stripy phases, the magnetization $M = S_{total}^z = \sum_i S_i^z$ increases with B in steps of $\Delta S^z_{total} = 1$, in the disordered phase, the magnetization (M) changes in steps of $\Delta S_{total}^z = 4$. Interestingly throughout Phase II, M changes in the step of $\Delta S_{total}^z = 4$, as in see in Fig. 8(b) for a range of J_d/J values of -0.25, -0.3, -0.5, although the critical field for closing the gap varies. To probe this further, we carried out calculations on 20×6 sites system in XC geometry, thereby increasing the system along the J_c bond direction, and focusing on the disordered phase with $J_d/J = -0.3$. We notice while the critical field B_{c1} for the 20×4 and 20×6 XC clusters remains nearly

the same $(\approx 0.2J)$, the magnetization changes in the step of $\Delta S_{total}^z = 6$ in comparison to 4, as found in 20×4 sites system. Thus, the magnetization step scales with the system size along the J_c bond direction, signaling multi-magnon condensation in Phase II. We call this phenomenon magnon condensation, as the quasi-particles generated in the weakly dimerized chains are identified as magnons [52]. The magnons on each leg develop attractive interactions with the magnons on the neighboring chains. Consequently, a multi-magnon condensate is formed in a finite field that regulates the magnon density within the system.

VIII. MULTI-MAGNON CONDENSATION

In the multi-magnon condensation phase, a large number of magnons form a bound state, and there are two quantities to characterize this phase. The first is the order parameter, which is discussed in Appendix E, and the second is the binding energy of the bound state. We computed the binding energy of n -magnon condensed state [23, 24]. The per magnon binding energy of an nn-magnon bound state can be defined as,

$$
E_b(n) = \frac{1}{n} [(E(S^z - n) - E(S^z)) - n(E(S^z - 1) - E(S^z))]
$$
\n(4)

where $E(S^z = S)$ is the GS energy in $S^z = S$ sector. For stabilization of n-magnon condensed state, the corresponding binding energy $E_b(n)$ should be negative. We computed the binding energy of 2-magnon, 4-magnon, and 6-magnon states in the disordered phase in 10×2 , 10 \times 4, and 10 \times 6 XC geometry for the representative cases of $J_d/J = -0.35$ and -0.5 , with fixed $J'/J = 0.92$ and $J_c/J = -0.3$ values. Fig. 9 shows the plot of $|E_b(n)|$ as a function of the external magnetic field B/J . We find that the binding energy increases with the magnetic field, suggesting increased stabilization of a multi-magnon bound state with increasing field strength. The binding energy is found to be maximum at $J_c = J_d$ when the system is highly frustrated and decreases away from the $J_c = J_d$ line.

The finite binding energy indicates a multimagnon condensed state, which can be rationalised as follows. The ground state of the disordered phase exhibits a singlet state characterised by short-range AFM correlations along the $J - J'$ chain, while only a weak nearest neighbour ferromagnetic correlation persists along the Y and diagonal directions. To understand the attractive nature of the magnon, we use the mean-field approximation for weak sub-leading exchange interactions J_c and J_d . In this limit, these sub-leading terms act as an effective magnetic field generated by the magnetic moments on neighbouring chains, and the field strength is proportional to J_c and J_d . The mean field model Hamiltonian is the sum over all $J - J'$ spin-1/2 chains under the effective field of the magnetic moments of other neighbouring chains and the external magnetic B and the Hamiltonian can be expressed as,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text{MF}} \equiv \sum_{i} \sum_{j} J \vec{S}_{i,j} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1,j} + J' \vec{S}_{i+1,j} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+2,j}
$$

$$
- \vec{B}_{\text{eff}}(J_c, J_d, B) \cdot \vec{S}_{i,j}
$$
(5)

where $\vec{S}_{i,j}$ represents the spin at the *i*-th site of the j-th effective single $J-J'$ chain model, and J and J' are effective exchange interactions of dimerized chains. The total effective field, B_{eff} , is given by $(B - J_c(\langle \vec{S_i}, j + 1 \rangle +$ $\langle \vec{S}i, j-1 \rangle$) – $J_d(\langle \vec{S}i+1, j+1 \rangle + \langle \vec{S}i-1, j-1 \rangle)$, where B is the external field and $\langle \vec{S}_{i,j} \rangle$ is the average magnetic moment generated in the 2D model at the site with coordinates (i, j) at field B. We notice that both the ferromagnetic interactions J_c and J_d induce an effective field which aligns the ij -th spin along the moment of the neighboring spin, i.e., induces attractive interactions between the magnons on the neighboring chains.

FIG. 9: DMRG computed absolute value of the binding energy per magnon $(|E_b(n)|)$ as a function of external magnetic field (B/J) for 10×2 , 10×4 and 10×6 system sizes in XC geometry. The parameters of the spin model are chosen to belong to disorder phase with choice of $J_d/J = -0.35, -0.5$ for fixed $J'/J = 0.92, J_c/J = -0.3$.

Our analysis thus highlights the importance of the subleading ferromagnetic exchanges J_c/J and J_d/J in the stabilization of the multi-magnon condensed phase. The presence of these two subleading interactions helps the process in two ways. Firstly presence of competing J_c and J_d causes frustration which significantly reduces the correlation length along the Y and diagonal directions. Secondly, ferromagnetic subleading exchanges between the chains are responsible for giving rise to finite binding energy between the magnons on different chains, acting as a glue for the multi-magnon condensation.

FIG. 10: Magnetic susceptibility of NaVOPO₄ in applied field of 1T, subtracting the impurity contribution. The experimental data is reproduced from Ref.[14]. The theoretically computed suscepbility for various models are compared.

IX. CALCULATED THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES: COMPARISON TO EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

We next compute the measurable thermodynamic properties, taking into account the sub-leading interchain interactions, dealt in a mean-field manner, and compare with the published literature [14].

For this purpose, we first compute the magnetic susceptibility. The comparison of different models with experimentally measured susceptibility [14] in the presence of the magnetic field of 1T after subtracting the impurity contribution is shown in Fig. 10. As is seen from the plot, an equally good fit of the measured susceptibility can be obtained either by a 1D alternating chain model with an alternation parameter of 0.98 or by a model including subleading inter-chain interactions with a slightly stronger alternation parameter of 0.92, upon slight variation in value of J (36.5 K vs 35.5 K).

We also compute the specific heat and compare it with the experimental data from Ref [14] at zero magnetic field. It is to be noted that the measured specific heat always has a dominating phonon contribution, and subtraction of phonon contribution is not straightforward. We consider the magnetic contribution of specific heat as published in Ref [14]. The 1D alternating chain model as well as that in our proposed model produce comparable results.

X. THE MATERIALS PERSPECTIVE - EFFECT OF STRAIN

Following the construction of a $J_c/J-J_d/J$ phase diagram, through a solution of the model spin Hamiltonian, it is a worthwhile exercise to put the material's perspective in it. Using the DFT derived average value of parameters, as given in Table III, the positioning of NVOPO

FIG. 11: Magnetic contribution of the specific heat. The theoretically computed results for various models are compared with the experimetal data from Ref.[14].

in the the phase diagram is marked with the red symbol while the box depicts the probable position taking into the standard deviation in estimates of exchanges (cf Table III). As is seen, the box falls in Region II, characterized by multi-magnon condensation, separated from the one-magnon state.

Considering the important effect of competing ferromagnetic couplings J_c and J_d on driving the multimagnon condensed phase, we next investigate the effect of uniaxial tensile strain applied along the c-axis in tuning these exchanges. The system was subject to $1 - 3\%$ tensile strain. The system was fully relaxed for the in-plane a and b lattice parameters, keeping the volume intact, and for the free atomic positions. As a first approximation, for a 3D solid, without significant anisotropy, the assumption of fixed volume is expected to hold well. We have explicitly checked this by carrying out c-axis relaxation without the constraint of constant volume. The fixed volume constraint is found to have minimal effect with c-axis parameter and the free atomic positions differing by less than 1% .

The application of strain is found to affect mostly the structural parameters related to V-V chains running along the c-axis. In particular, for 1% and 3% tensile strain, 1NN V-V bond length is found to change from $3.56\AA$ to $3.60\AA$ to $3.70\AA$, while the V-O-V bond-angle is found decrease slightly from 144.9° to 144.8° to 144.2° .

The structural changes upon application of 1% strain resulted in an increase in average value of J_c by 67.5%, a decrease in J_a by 30.24%, and thus increment in J_d of 116.11%, compared to corresponding J values in unstrained condition. Interestingly, the alternation parameter α was found to remain more or less unchanged. Thus, application of 1% strain, as seen in Fig.12, pushes the system further deeper into the disordered phase. Further increase of strain value to 3% increases the J_c with a substantial increase in J_d , thus driving a quantum phase transition from gapful to gapless state.

FIG. 12: Phase-Diagram: $J_c/J-J_d/J$ phase diagram divided into multi-magnon condensed phase (marked in green) and the one-magnon, dipolar phase (marked in light blue). The data-point marked as V1 represents the positioning of NVOPO in the phase diagram, based on DFT estimates of the average value of exchanges. The box represents the positioning taking into account the standard devitations in DFT estimated exchanges. The data points V2 and V3 show the positioning upon application of 1% and 3% tensile uniaxial strain along c-axis, respectively.

XI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The physics of quantum spin chain compounds has been discussed at length in the literature. NaVOPO₄ compound, in this context, has been recently studied experimentally by x-ray diffraction, magnetic susceptibility, high-field magnetization, specific heat, electron spin resonance, as well as nuclear magnetic resonance measurements $[14]$. The fit of susceptibility resulted in a $J-J'$ model with an extremely weak alternation parameter α of 0.98 [14], in agreement with an estimated spin gap value of 2 K. The DFT study [14] indicated the possible presence of other subleading interactions. The effect of the subleading interactions in the description of the fieldinduced excited state properties remains to be explored.

In our study, we first revisit the first-principles modeling of NVOPO. In this context, we extend beyond Ref. [14] through two complementary techniques, a) application of downfolding technique to define low-energy three orbital model Hamiltonian in effective Wannier function basis, and b) mapping of $GGA+U$ total energy to spin model. The tight-binding representation of lowenergy Hamiltonian suggests that 1st, 3rd, 4th and 8th neighbouring hopping pathways are enough to capture the low-energy physics. Following this finding, we construct a minimal spin-Hamiltonian with four exchange integrals. We estimate the average values and the standard deviations of these four exchanges, by solving a large number of equations (\sim ¹¹C₄) obtained from GGA+U total calculations of 12 different spin configurations. This

led to a spin model with the hierarchy of exchange coupling, two major, similar AFM interactions, J and J' , as found previously, [14] and two other sub-leading interactions, FM J_c and AFM J_a with $J_c > J_a$. This led to an intricate 3D spin model of a parallel array of J-J ′ chains running along two perpendicular directions, in two consecutive ab planes, stacked along c direction, connected by J_c and a body-diagonal interaction of J_a . Our rigorous first-principles modelling establishes a robust J-J ′ alternation parameter of \sim 0.92, and a large variation in the values of the two sub-leading interactions, dependent rather strongly on the chosen spin configuration.

The constructed spin model is solved using ED and DMRG. To make the problem numerically tractable, a 2D approximation of the full 3D model is used, with J-J ′ AFM chains alternating with uniform AFM chains of $(J + J')/2$ exchanges, connected via an FM J_c and a renormalized FM exchange, J_d . The large variation in the DFT estimated values of sub-leading inter-chain interactions prompted us to construct a phase diagram in the parameter space of J_c and J_d . The constructed J_c/J - J_d/J phase diagram with J'/J fixed at DFT derived value, results in three distinct phases, two long-range ordered phases with staggered and stripy local spin orientations, and a spin-gapped disorder phase, characterized by short-range correlation along $J-J'$ chain, and vanishing correlation along J_c and J_d . Our analysis brings out the competing nature of the two subleading interactions, J_c and J_d . This competing nature leads to a cancellation of interchain interactions at $J_c=J_d$ line in the GS. The system thus can be represented as a collection of decoupled weakly dimerized chains having finite spin gap. Away from the $J_c=J_d$ line, the gap is suppressed due to partial cancellation between J_c and J_d , and finally ordered state prevails driven by the dominance of one competing interaction over the other. The computed spin gap value for choices of J_c and J_d in the disordered phase agrees well with the experimentally measured value. The calculated thermodynamic properties like magnetic susceptibility and specific heat, also provide a faithful representation of the experimental data.[14]

The disorder phase, stabilized by comparable values of competing interactions J_c and J_d , as opposed to that of a single alternating dimerized chain, is found to host a multi-magnon condensed phase upon gap closing by a critical magnetic field. The competing sub-leading exchanges J_c and J_d are found to play a key role in driving the observed field-induced multi-magnon condensation state, by providing the glue to the magnetic field generated magnons on different chains [25–27]. In contrast to the dipolar XY order in the BEC [20], the multi-magnon condensed phase exhibits finite multi-magnon binding energy and hosts multipolar order [26, 29–31]. We computed the multipolar order parameter and found it to be finite in the multi-magnon condensed phase. Note, very recently, the Tomonaga-Luttinger-liquid physics of NVOPO has been studied experimentally [53]. This is a finite temperature phase, with a temperature scale above

the scale of inter-chain interactions. Our focus is the low T behaviour, below the scale of sub-leading interactions J_a (J_d), and J_c , that leads to the condensed phase rather than the liquid phase.

A transition from gapful to gapless state is envisaged upon the application of moderate strength of the uniaxial strain, driving a quantum phase transition. We further note that among the class vanadate compounds with chain-like structures, NVOPO is unique due to the weak nature of the alternation. The underlying physics upon application of magnetic field is expected to be different for $AgVOAsO_4[11]$ or $NaVOAsO_4[13]$ with significantly stronger alternation ratio of \sim 0.6, and thus stronger dimerization. In particular, the scenario of multi-magnon condensation in the disorder phase proposed in the present study relies on the phenomena of weak dimerization where magnons from each J - J^* chain generate n-magnon bound state. Note, the observed disorder phase in the present system arises due to frustration in the system not because of the dimerization. This scenario of course is thus expected change in the presence of strong dimerization. The detailed study on this will be taken up in future.

Finally, while the 2D model was devised to overcome the numerical restrictions, the 2D model studied in this work motivated by the electronic aspects of NVOPO, will in general be important in understanding the physics of the 2D frustrated model with possible implications to a variety of gaped quantum magnets. In particular, the stablization of multi-magnon condensed phase, driven by the frustration in weakly dimerized systems will be of potential interest to the community.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

MG acknowledges CSIR, India, for the senior research fellowship [grant no. 09/575 (0131) 2020-EMR-I. MR acknowledges DST-India for financial help. MK acknowledges support from SERB through Grant (Grant sanction No. CRG/2020/000754). T.S.D. acknowledges JC Bose National Fellowship (Grant No. JCB/2020/000004) for funding.

Appendix A: Three-orbital Model

Table II lists the non-negligible hopping, connecting first neighbour (1NN) (t_c) , 3NN (t) , 4NN (t') and 8NN (t_a) V pairs. The onsite matrix elements show the presence of small off-diagonal elements due to angular distortion of O-V-O bond angles in the $VO₆$ octahedra.

Appendix B: Details of Total energy calculations and exchanges

We consider a $3 \times 3 \times 1$ supercell, containing 36 V ions

\boldsymbol{t}_i	Bond-length (\AA)	Connecting vector		d_{xy}	d_{yz}	d_{xz}	$J_i(K)$
Onsite		[0.000, 0.000, 0.000]	$\begin{array}{c} d_{xy}\\ d_{yz}\\ d_{xz} \end{array}$	-1.057 0.048 -0.001	0.048 -0.432 -0.018	-0.001 -0.018 -0.373	
$t_1=t_c$	3.565	$[0.000, -0.024, -0.421]$	$\begin{array}{c} d_{xy}\\ d_{yz}\\ d_{xz} \end{array}$	0.000 -0.073 -0.031	0.043 -0.261 -0.152	-0.007 0.128 -0.075	-5.336
$t_3=t$	5.337	$[-0.354, 0.476, 0.216]$	$\begin{array}{c} d_{xy}\\ d_{yz}\\ d_{xz} \end{array}$	0.089 -0.014 0.009	0.014 0.005 0.002	0.009 -0.002 0.003	91.212
$t_4 = t'$	5.385	$[0.344, -0.524, -0.114]$	$\begin{array}{c} d_{xy}\\ d_{yz}\\ d_{xz} \end{array}$	0.120 0.035 0.028	-0.035 -0.003 -0.003	0.028 0.003 0.002	161.665
$t_8=t_a$	5.952	$[-0.698, -0.024, -0.091]$	$\begin{array}{c} d_{xy}\\ d_{yz}\\ d_{xz} \end{array}$	0.048 0.024 -0.002	-0.002 0.010 0.004	0.003 -0.004 -0.000	25.120

TABLE II: 3×3 onsite matrices and hopping integrals of the V t_{2g} only three-orbital model. The matrix elements are given in eV. Listed are also the J values in K, obtained employing the perturbative approach.

FIG. 13: $3 \times 3 \times 1$ supercell containing 36 V ions, employed for total energy calculations. The numbering of V atoms are used to represent the magnetic arrangements given in Table III. The V ions connected via J_c , J_a and $J-J'$ are shown as magenta, blue and green bonds, respectively.

(cf Fig. 13). There should thus be 2^{36} configurations of V-spins possible, out of which 12 were found to be independent (distinct), including the FM arrangement. This leads to 11 different energy differences, measured from FM energy, and thus 11 different equations with four unknown J 's. Choosing four of the 11 available equations leads to 330 possible sets of values of J. Table III

shows the spin arrangements, energy differences and exchange values in four representative sets, obtained from $GGA+U$ calculations with $U = 4$ eV. We note the U values estimated by linear response for vanadium oxides lie in range of 4 to 5 eV $[54]$. In all the cases, J and J' turn out to be the dominant exchanges of antiferromagnetic nature of comparable magnitude, along with sub-leading exchanges, J_c and J_a of antiferro- and ferro-magnetic nature, in agreement with the perturbative estimates. The average along with the percentage standard deviation of J values, considering all the sets, are also shown in Table III. In addition to the estimates obtained from total energies calculated with $U = 4$ eV, those obtained with the choice of $U = 5$ eV are presented for comparison. The qualitative trend remains the same. This leads to a spin model of three-dimensionally coupled, weakly alternating spin chain.

Appendix C: Construction of an effective 2D model from the 3D model

Construction of an effective 2D model from the 3D model involves rotation of planes. As shown in Fig 1(c), the alternating chains belong to two perpendicular planes, rotated by 90^0 with respect to each other, giving rise to a 3D character, even in the absence of the diagonal interaction, J_a and out-of-plane interaction, J_c . The 2D mapping of the 3D model containing two perpendicular planes of alternating chains is illustrated in Fig. 14. As is seen from the figure, the site V5 (marked in blue) is common between the two planes, the plane of

AFMi	$1-8$	9	10	$\overline{11}$	12	13	14	15	$16-19$	$\overline{20}$	$\overline{21}$	$\overline{22}$	23	24	$\overline{25}$	$\overline{26}$	$\overline{27}$	$28 - 36$	$\overline{\Delta E(\text{meV})}$
AFM1	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$\overline{}$	$+$	$+$	$\overline{}$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	-2.218
$AFM2$	$+$				$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	-10.989
AFM3	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$\overline{}$			$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	-5.598
AFM4	$+$	$+$		$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$				$+$	-9.243
Set I: Exchange integral (K) J =45.77, J' =42.30, J_c =-10.14, and J_a =1.86																			
AFM1	$+$				$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	-10.989
AFM2	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$\overline{}$			$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	-5.598
AFM3	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$				$+$	-9.243
AFM4	$+$	$+$		$+$		$+$	$+$	$\overline{}$	$+$		$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	-1.730
Set II: Exchange integral (K) $J = 44.89$, $J' = 42.30$, $J_c = -10.14$, and $J_a = 2.30$																			
AFM1	$+$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$				$^{+}$	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	-8.582
AFM2	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$+$	$\boldsymbol{+}$				$+$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	-7.482
AFM3	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$				$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	-5.067
AFM4	$+$	$+$		$^{+}$		$+$	$+$		$+$		$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$+$	-1.730
Set III: Exchange integral (K) $J = 43.20$, $J' = 40.78$, $J_c = -11.77$, and $J_a = 4.47$																			
AFM1	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$				$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	-5.598
AFM2	$+$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$				$+$	$+$	$+$	-5.067
AFM3	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$				$+$	-9.243
AFM4	$+$	$+$		$^{+}$		$+$	$+$		$+$		$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	-1.730
									Set IV: Exchange integral (K) $J = 44.89$, $J' = 42.30$, $J_c = -13.99$, and $J_a = 6.16$										
\overline{U} (eV)		J_H (eV)				$J_c(K)$				J(K)			J'(K)				$J_a(K)$		
$\overline{4}$						$-12.17 \pm 12.56\%$				$44.51 \pm 2.07\%$			$40.59 \pm 2.41\%$				$4.71 \pm 31.34\%$		
$\bf 5$		1					$-11.13 \pm 11.02 \%$ $36.33 \pm 2.03\%$						$32.84 \pm 2.38\%$				$3.89 \pm 30.30\%$		

TABLE III: The spin arrangements (cf Fig. 13 for numbering of the V ions) with "+" and "-" denoting up and down spins, total energy difference ΔE , exchange integral estimates for four representative sets. The bottom table lists the average values and standard deviation of J 's obtained from $GGA+U$ calculations with choice of $U = 4eV$ and $5eV$, and Hund's coupling of $J_H = 1$ eV, as appropriate for 3d transition metal.

2D projection and the plane to be projected. The plane can be projected through clockwise (cf panel (a)) and anti-clockwise (cf panel (b)) rotation which maps sites V14 and V11 from the plane to be projected to sites V6 and V4 in the plane of 2D projection. The clockwise (anti-clockwise) rotations connect V6 to V14 (V11) and V4 to V11(V14). While in the 3D model, the site V5 is not connected to V4 and V6, in the effective 2D model, a J or J ′ bond is established following either clockwise or anti-clockwise rotation. The resultant one, combining the two paths of rotation is shown in panel (c) of the figure, where the site V5, gets connected to effective sites V6 and V4, via an average interaction $\frac{(J+J')}{2}$ $rac{+J}{2}$.

Finally, the frustration in a 3D model involving three AFM exchanges and an FM exchange, and that in an effective 2D model involving one AFM and two FM exchanges is illustrated in Fig. 15. As in seen, frustration originates from J_a and J_c in the 3D model, while it originates from J_d in 2D model. J_d is thus approximated as J_a $+ \gamma J_c$, with a variational parameter γ . With $|J_c|$ (FM) 2-4 times larger than $|J_a|$ (AFM), J_d is of FM nature, driving the frustration in effective 2D model.

Appendix D: DMRG details

For DMRG calculations we considered cylinders of two geometries XC and YC. In XC geometry, shown in Fig. 16(a), periodic boundary condition (PBC) is applied along J_c bonds, while open boundary condition (OBC) is applied along the $J - J'$ chain. This geometry enables the calculation of spin correlation along X $(J - J'$ chain direction) and diagonal $(J_d \text{ chain direction})$ paths, P-1 and P-2, highlighted by green and orange lines in the figure. The YC geometry is a 90◦ rotation of the XC geometry, with periodic boundary condition along $J-J'$ chain and open boundary condition along J_c , as shown in Fig. 16(b). In this geometry, we consider only one path P-1 along the X-direction $(J_c \text{ chain direction})$ highlighted by the magenta line.

Appendix E: Multipolar Order

In the presence of competing exchange interactions, magnons can bind together and form a bound state. In some cases, only two magnons pair up and form a quadrupolar state in the presence of a axial field

FIG. 14: Mapping of 3D model of J-J′ chains to an effective 2D model. (a) Following clockwise rotation and (b) following anti-clockwise rotation. The resultant 2D model is shown in (c).

FIG. 15: Frustration effect in 3D model (left) and in the effective 2D model (right).

[26, 30] and have the symmetric order parameter defined as, $Q_{ij}^{x^2-y^2} = \frac{1}{2}(S_i^+S_j^+ + S_i^-S_j^-)$, where S_i^+/S_j^- is raising/lowering spin operator at site i/j. For three magnon bound pair the order parameter [31] is defined as, $\hat{O}_{123} = (S_1^- S_2^- S_3^- + h.c).$ This order parameter can be generalized to n -magnon bound state as,

$$
\hat{O}_{123...n} = (S_{i,1}^{-} S_{i,2}^{-} S_{i,3}^{-} S_{i,4}^{-} ... S_{i,n}^{-} + h.c)
$$
 (E1)

We notice that in Fig. $8(b)$ the step jump in magnetization curve is proportional to the width of the 2D

FIG. 16: XC/YC geometry used in DMRG calculations, as shown in $(a)/(b)$ with PBC along Y/X and OBC along X/Y .

FIG. 17: Multipolar order parameter (\hat{O}_{1234}) as a function of J_d/J in the multi-magnon condensed phase with system size 8 \times 4. In all the calculations, J'/J and J_c/J are fixed at 0.92 and -0.3, respectively. The inset shows the system size dependence of multipolar order for the representative case of $J_d/J = -0.3$.

geometry. At the point of jump the GS state is two-fold degenerate and GS energy of S^z and $S^z = n$ sectors are same, i,e., $E(s^z) = E(S^z + n)$. Therefore the expectation value of $\hat{O}_{1234...n}$ can be calculated as eigenvalue of 2×2 matrix with elements $\langle \psi_\alpha | \hat{O}_{123...n} | \psi_\beta \rangle$, where $| \psi_{\alpha/\beta} \rangle$ are GS wave-function with spin multiplicity α/β , where α/β can take the value of S^z or $S^z + n$. Thus one can write the 2×2 matrix as,

$$
\hat{O}_{123...n} = \begin{bmatrix}\n\langle \psi_{S^z} | \hat{O}_{123...n} | \psi_{S^z} \rangle & \langle \psi_{S^z} | \hat{O}_{123...n} | \psi_{S^z+n} \rangle \\
\langle \psi_{S^z+n} | \hat{O}_{123...n} | \psi_{S^z} \rangle & \langle \psi_{S^z+n} | \hat{O}_{123...n} | \psi_{S^z+n} \rangle\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(E2)

where, $\langle \psi_{S^z/S^z+n} | \hat{O}_{123...n} | \psi_{S^z/S^z+n} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \psi_{S^z/S^z+n} | \hat{O}_{123...n} | \psi_{S^z+n/Sz'} \rangle \neq 0.$

In this case, the order parameter for a system of width four sites along the J_c bond is $\hat{O}_{1234} = (\hat{S}_{i,1} - \hat{S}_{i,2} - \hat{S}_{i,3} - \hat{S}_{i,4} + \hat{S}_{i,4} - \hat{S}_{$ h.c), where S_i^- acts at site i along the J_c bond. We computed the order parameter (\hat{O}_{1234}) in the multi-magnon condensed phase in 4×4 , 6×4 , and 8×4 toroidal geometries for fixed values of $J'/J = 0.92$ and $J_c/J = -0.3$. Fig. 17 shows the plot of the order parameter (\hat{O}_{1234}) as

- [1] M. M. M. A. N. Vasil'ev and E. A. Popova, Spin gap in low-dimensional magnets, Low Temp. Phys. 31, 203 $((2005).$
- [2] S. Sachdev, Quantum criticality: Competing ground states in low dimensions, Science 288, 475 (2000).
- [3] S. Sachdev, Quantum magnetism and criticality, Nature Physics 4, 173 (2008).
- [4] S. Mukhopadhyay, M. Klanjšek, M. S. Grbić, R. Blinder, H. Mayaffre, C. Berthier, M. Horvatić, M. A. Continentino, A. Paduan-Filho, B. Chiari, and O. Piovesana, Quantum-critical spin dynamics in quasi-onedimensional antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 177206 (2012).
- [5] R. Chitra and T. Giamarchi, Critical properties of gapped spin-chains and ladders in a magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B 55, 5816 (1997).
- [6] M. Klanjšek, H. Mayaffre, C. Berthier, M. Horvatić, B. Chiari, O. Piovesana, P. Bouillot, C. Kollath, E. Orignac, R. Citro, and T. Giamarchi, Controlling luttinger liquid physics in spin ladders under a magnetic field, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 137207 (2008).
- [7] B. Thielemann, C. Rüegg, K. Kiefer, H. M. Rønnow, B. Normand, P. Bouillot, C. Kollath, E. Orignac, R. Citro, T. Giamarchi, A. M. Läuchli, D. Biner, K. W. Krämer, F. Wolff-Fabris, V. S. Zapf, M. Jaime, J. Stahn, N. B. Christensen, B. Grenier, D. F. McMorrow, and J. Mesot, Field-controlled magnetic order in the quantum spin-ladder system $(Hpip)_2CuBr_4$, Phys. Rev. B 79, 020408 (2009).
- [8] A. A. Aczel, Y. Kohama, C. Marcenat, F. Weickert, M. Jaime, O. E. Ayala-Valenzuela, R. D. McDonald, S. D. Selesnic, H. A. Dabkowska, and G. M. Luke, Fieldinduced bose-einstein condensation of triplons up to 8 k in Sr3Cr2O8, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 207203 (2009).
- [9] T. Hong, Y. H. Kim, C. Hotta, Y. Takano, G. Tremelling, M. M. Turnbull, C. P. Landee, H.-J. Kang, N. B. Christensen, K. Lefmann, K. P. Schmidt, G. S. Uhrig, and C. Broholm, Field-induced tomonaga-luttinger liquid phase of a two-leg spin-1/2 ladder with strong leg interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 137207 (2010).
- [10] B. Willenberg, H. Ryll, K. Kiefer, D. A. Tennant, F. Groitl, K. Rolfs, P. Manuel, D. Khalyavin, K. C. Rule, A. U. B. Wolter, and S. Süllow, Luttinger liquid behavior in the alternating spin-chain system copper nitrate, Phys. Rev. B 91, 060407 (2015).
- [11] A. A. Tsirlin, R. Nath, J. Sichelschmidt, Y. Skourski, C. Geibel, and H. Rosner, Frustrated couplings between alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains in AgVOAsO₄, Phys. Rev. B

a function of J_d/J for the 8×4 toroidal geometry. The J_d/J values were chosen over a range ensuring the system remains in the disordered phase. We find that the order parameter is maximum at $J_c/J = J_d/J$, where the four-magnon binding energy is also maximum. The inset of Fig. 17 shows the system size dependence of the order parameter (\hat{O}_{1234}) . The system size dependence confirms that the order remains finite (\sim 0.01) in the thermodynamic limit.

83, 144412 (2011).

- [12] F. Weickert, A. A. Aczel, M. B. Stone, V. O. Garlea, C. Dong, Y. Kohama, R. Movshovich, A. Demuer, N. Harrison, M. B. Gamża, A. Steppke, M. Brando, H. Rosner, and A. A. Tsirlin, Field-induced double dome and bose-einstein condensation in the crossing quantum spin chain system AgVOAsO_4 , Phys. Rev. B $\textbf{100}$, 104422 (2019).
- [13] U. Arjun, K. M. Ranjith, B. Koo, J. Sichelschmidt, Y. Skourski, M. Baenitz, A. A. Tsirlin, and R. Nath, Singlet ground state in the alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chain compound NaVOAsO4, Phys. Rev. B 99, 014421 (2019).
- [14] P. K. Mukharjee, K. M. Ranjith, B. Koo, J. Sichelschmidt, M. Baenitz, Y. Skourski, Y. Inagaki, Y. Furukawa, A. A. Tsirlin, and R. Nath, Bose-einstein condensation of triplons close to the quantum critical point in the quasi-one-dimensional spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnet NaVOPO₄, Phys. Rev. B 100, 2 144433 (2019).
- [15] K. Lii, C. Li, T.-M. Chen, and S. Wang, Synthesis and structural characterization of sodium vanadyl (iv) orthophosphate NaVOPO₄, Zeitschrift für Kristallographie 197, 67 (1991).
- [16] L. Benhamada, A. Grandin, M.-M. Borel, A. Leclaire, and B. Raveau, Synthèse et structure cristalline d'un nouveau phosphate de vanadium (iv) , NaVPO $_5$, Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences. Série 2, Mécanique, Physique, Chimie, Sciences de l'univers, Sciences de la Terre 314, 585 (1992).
- [17] E. a. Iffer, M. Belaiche, C. A. Ferdi, M. Elansary, A. K. Sunar, Y. Wang, and Y. Cao, Monoclinic α -NaVOPO₄ as cathode materials for sodium-ions batteries: Experimental and DFT investigation, International Journal of Energy Research 45, 1703 (2021), https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/er.5835.
- [18] V. Zapf, M. Jaime, and C. D. Batista, Bose-einstein condensation in quantum magnets, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 563 (2014).
- [19] T. Nikuni, M. Oshikawa, A. Oosawa, and H. Tanaka, Bose-einstein condensation of dilute magnons in tlcucl₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5868 (2000).
- [20] T. Giamarchi, C. Rüegg, and O. Tchernyshyov, Bose–einstein condensation in magnetic insulators, Nature Physics 4, 198 (2008).
- [21] T. Rice, To condense or not to condense, Science 298, 760 (2002).
- [22] A. W. Garrett, S. E. Nagler, D. A. Tennant, B. C. Sales, and T. Barnes, Magnetic excitations in the $S = 1/2$ al-

ternating chain compound $(\text{VO})_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 745 (1997).

- [23] S. Nishimoto, S.-L. Drechsler, R. O. Kuzian, J. Richter, and J. van den Brink, The effect of antiferromagnetic interchain coupling on multipolar phases in quasi-1d quantum helimagnets, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 400, 032069 (2012).
- [24] S. Nishimoto, S.-L. Drechsler, R. Kuzian, J. Richter, and J. van den Brink, Interplay of interchain interactions and exchange anisotropy: Stability and fragility of multipo- \arctan states in spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ quasi-one-dimensional frustrated helimagnets, Phys. Rev. B 92, 214415 (2015).
- [25] J. Sudan, A. Lüscher, and A. M. Läuchli, Emergent multipolar spin correlations in a fluctuating spiral: The frustrated ferromagnetic spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ heisenberg chain in a magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B 80, 140402 (2009).
- [26] T. Hikihara, L. Kecke, T. Momoi, and A. Furusaki, Vector chiral and multipolar orders in the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ frustrated ferromagnetic chain in magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B 78, 144404 (2008).
- [27] A. Parvej and M. Kumar, Multipolar phase in frustrated spin- $1/2$ and spin-1 chains, Phys. Rev. B 96 , 054413 (2017).
- [28] L. Kecke, T. Momoi, and A. Furusaki, Multimagnon bound states in the frustrated ferromagnetic onedimensional chain, Phys. Rev. B 76, 060407 (2007).
- [29] Aslam Parvej and Manoranjan Kumar, Degeneracies and exotic phases in an isotropic frustrated spin-1/2 chain, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 401, 96 (2016) .
- [30] Shannon, Nic and Momoi, Tsutomu and Sindzingre, Philippe, Nematic Order in Square Lattice Frustrated Ferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 027213 (2006).
- [31] Momoi, Tsutomu and Sindzingre, Philippe and Shannon, Nic, Octupolar Order in the Multiple Spin Exchange Model on a Triangular Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 257204 (2006).
- [32] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Physical review letters 77, 3865 (1996).
- [33] P. E. Blöchl, Projector augmented-wave method, Phys. Rev. B 50, 17953 (1994).
- [34] A. Tackett, N. Holzwarth, and G. Matthews, A projector augmented wave (PAW) code for electronic structure calculations, part II: pwpaw for periodic solids in a plane wave basis, Computer Physics Communications 135, 348 (2001).
- [35] J. Paier, R. Hirschl, M. Marsman, and G. Kresse, The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof exchange-correlation functional applied to the G2-1 test set using a plane-wave basis set, The Journal of Chemical Physics 122, 234102 (2005).
- [36] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [37] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+ U study, Physical Review B 57, 1505 (1998).
- [38] O. Andersen and T. Saha-Dasgupta, Muffin-tin orbitals of arbitrary order, Physical Review B 62, R16219 (2000).
- [39] O. K. Andersen and O. Jepsen, Explicit, first-principles

tight-binding theory, Physical Review Letters 53, 2571 (1984).

- [40] E. R. Davidson, The iterative calculation of a few of the lowest eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of large real-symmetric matrices, Journal of Computational Physics 17, 87 (1975).
- [41] E. R. Davidson and W. J. Thompson, Monster matrices: their eigenvalues and eigenvectors, Computers in Physics 7, 519 (1993).
- [42] C. W. Murray, S. C. Racine, and E. R. Davidson, Improved algorithms for the lowest few eigenvalues and associated eigenvectors of large matrices, Journal of Computational Physics 103, 382 (1992).
- [43] S. R. White, Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2863 (1992).
- [44] S. R. White, Density-matrix algorithms for quantum renormalization groups, Phys. Rev. B 48, 10345 (1993).
- [45] U. Schollwöck, The density-matrix renormalization group, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 259 (2005).
- [46] M. Kumar, Z. G. Soos, D. Sen, and S. Ramasesha, Modified density matrix renormalization group algorithm for the zigzag spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chain with frustrated antiferromagnetic exchange: Comparison with field theory at large J_2/J_1 , Phys. Rev. B 81, 104406 (2010).
- [47] The supplementary material contains additional information about DFT and DMRG calculations.
- [48] K. I. Kugel' and D. I. Khomskiı̆, The jahn-teller effect and magnetism: transition metal compounds, Soviet Physics Uspekhi 25, 231 (1982).
- [49] V. V. Mazurenko, F. Mila, and V. I. Anisimov, Electronic structure and exchange interactions of $n a_2 v_3 o_7$, Phys. Rev. B 73, 014418 (2006).
- [50] H. Das, U. V. Waghmare, T. Saha-Dasgupta, and D. D. Sarma, Electronic structure, phonons, and dielectric anomaly in ferromagnetic insulating double pervoskite la2nimno6, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 186402 (2008).
- [51] M. Kumar, S. Ramasesha, D. Sen, and Z. G. Soos, Scaling exponents in spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ heisenberg chains with dimerization and frustration studied with the density-matrix renormalization group, Phys. Rev. B 75, 052404 (2007).
- [52] We prefer to describe the quasi-particles in our system as magnons rather than triplons. In the present case, as alternation is very weak, each of the weakly dimerized chain has very small singlet-triplet gap and higher excitations forming continuum. The resultant quasi-particles thus have very well defined dispersion curve, even in absence of inter-chain interactions. Therefore, the quasiparticle in the discussed case, following the spirit of the earlier papers (Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3649 (1996); Phys. Rev. B 55, 15048, (1997), Physical Review B 67, 054414 (2003)), should be called magnon., .
- [53] S. S. Islam, P. K. Mukharjee, P. K. Biswas, M. Telling, Y. Skourski, K. M. Ranjith, M. Baenitz, Y. Inagaki, Y. Furukawa, A. A. Tsirlin, and R. Nath, Repulsive tomonaga-luttinger liquid in the quasi-one-dimensional alternating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnet navopo₄, Phys. Rev. B 109, L060406 (2024).
- [54] I. K. M. D. L. S. S. Q. C. Joseph W. Bennett, Blake G. Hudson and S. E. Mason, A systematic determination of hubbard u using the gbrv ultrasoft pseudopotential set, Computational Materials Science 170, 109137 (2019).

arXiv:2403.10025v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 29 Aug 2024 arXiv:2403.10025v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 29 Aug 2024

Supplemental Material: Microscopic Modeling, Multi-magnon condensation and Strain effect in chain compound $NaVOPO₄$

Manoj Gupta $a^{1, *}$ Manodip Routh a^{1} Manoranjan Kumar, $^{1, +}$ and Tanusri Saha Dasgupta $^{1, +}$

 1 Department of Condensed Matter and Materials Physics,

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Kolkata 700106, India

(Dated: August 30, 2024)

I. GGA+U ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE

Figs 1(a) and (b) show the band-structure and density of states calculated with choice of exchange-correlation functional GGA supplemented by Hubbard U. Upon application of U, in comparison of GGA bands, the d_{xy} bands get pushed below the Fermi-energy and becomes fully occupied, while the d_{xz}/d_{yz} bands get the moved above the Fermi-level, becoming fully unoccupied. This leads to a insulating solution with a gap of ~ 1 eV for $U=4$ eV.

II. t_{2g} HOPPING PROCESSES

Our minimal model suggest that t_{2g} bands are sufficient to capture the low energy physics. The fitting of these bands give us the tight-binding Hamiltonian and hopping matrices, thus a quantitative estimates of electronic hopping from one V^{+4} site to neighbouring V^{+4} site. Employing the second order perturbation theory, we calculated the exchangeintegrals which are just equal to the energy difference arising due to possible electronic hopping processes. Electrons in d_{xy} level can hop in two possible ways (1) Occupied level to occupied level (2) Occupied to unoccupied level as presented schematically in Fig 2. The first case will only be allowed only if two sites are aligned in antiferromagnetically

FIG. 1: GGA+U band-structure (a) and density of states (b) for $U = 4eV$ and $J_H = 1eV$

[∗] a The authors contributed equally to this work.

[†] manoranjan.kumar@bose.res.in

[‡] t.sahadasgupta@gmail.com

(a) Occupied to Occupied: Possible hopping pathways

Further, factor of two will arise due to possible down spin at site 1

$$
J = J_{FM} = -\sum_{\alpha = xy, \beta = yz, xz} \frac{4t_{\alpha,\beta}^2 J_H}{(U + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta})(U + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} - J_H)}
$$

FIG. 2: Hopping processes. Red, green and orange represent d_{xy} , d_{yz} , and d_{xz} energy levels, respectively.

(AFM) due to Pauli-exclusion principle leading to AFM type of interaction. This will cost Coulomb energy U and gain in energy by t_{xy-xy}^2 . Whereas for the latter case, electron can hop with parallel and anti-parallel spin alignment from d_{xy} level to d_{yz} and d_{yz} orbital. The anti-parallel and parallel alignment will cost U coulomb energy and chargetransfer energy Δ . The parallel spin-alignment will be favoured by Hund's coupling with energy J_H leading to a overall FM type of exchange-interaction.

III. SPIN GAP FROM DMRG

The spin gap is defined as $\Delta = E(S^Z = 1) - E(S^Z = 0)$, where $E(S^Z = 0)$ is the ground state energy and $E(S^Z = 1)$ is the first excited state energy.

Fig. 3(a) shows the system size dependence of spin gap in the staggered phase at $J_c/J = -0.3$, $J_d/J = -0.8$ and in the stripy phase at $J_c/J = -0.3$, $J_d/J = -0.1$ for fixed $J'/J = 0.92$. The extrapolated gap values in these phases are found to be $\approx 0.001J$. These extrapolations imply that these two phases are gapless in nature at the thermodynamic limit. The spin gap extrapolation is performed on the YC geometry with a fixed $L_y = 4$ while varying L_x , as the long-range FM order forms along the diagonal or Y direction in staggered or stripy phases, respectively. Fig. 3(b) shows the system size dependence of spin gap in the disorder phase. As opposed to staggered and stripy phases, the extrapolated spin gap is found to be finite, $\approx 0.2J$ for $J_c/J = -0.3$, $J_d/J = -0.3$, and $\approx 0.05J$ for $J_c/J = -0.3$, $J_d/J = -0.5$ with a fixed $J'/J = 0.92$. Thus the disorder phase exhibits gapped nature at the thermodynamic limit. The spin gap extrapolation is performed on the XC geometry with a fixed $L_y = 4$ while varying L_x as this phase is highly disordered along Y and diagonal direction and has a short range AFM order along $J - J'$ chain. It is also noteworthy that in this phase, the spin gap reaches its maximum at the $J_c = J_d$ line, and decreases as we move away from $J_c = J_d$.

FIG. 3: Extrapolation of spin gap (Δ) for fixed $J'/J = 0.92$. (a) In staggered phase at $J_c/J = -0.3$, $J_d/J = -0.8$ and in stripy phase at $J_c/J = -0.3$, $J_d/J = -0.1$. (b) In the disorder phase. The extrapolated spin gap is $\approx 0.2J$ for $J_c/J = -0.3$, $J_d/J = -0.3$, and $\approx 0.05J$ for $J_c/J = -0.3$, $J_d/J = -0.5$.