

BOUNDEDNESS OF COMPOSITION OPERATOR IN ORLICZ-MORREY SPACES

MASAHIRO IKEDA, ISAO ISHIKAWA, AND RYOTA KAWASUMI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate necessary and sufficient conditions on the boundedness of composition operators on the Orlicz-Morrey spaces. The results of boundedness include Lebesgue and generalized Morrey spaces as special cases. Further, we characterize the boundedness of composition operators on the weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces. The weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces contain the Orlicz-Morrey spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of all measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n and $|E|$ be the volume of a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable map. We impose that ψ is nonsingular, that is $|\psi^{-1}(A)| = 0$ for all $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|A| = 0$. The composition operator C_ψ on a function space in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$C_\psi f \equiv f \circ \psi \quad \text{for all } f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The composition operator is often called the Koopman operator and recently has been applied to data science and dynamical systems. (Starting with [16, 17], [2, 5, 10, 18, 29] for example.)

The study of the characterization of boundedness of composition operators is a basic problem. There has been numerous studies on various function spaces, Lebesgue [28], Orlicz [4], Morrey [11], Sobolev [3] and Besov spaces [14] for instance.

In this paper, we investigate the boundedness of composition operators on the Orlicz-Morrey spaces. We extend previous study [11], to the Orlicz-Morrey spaces in the sense of Sawano, Sugano, and Tanaka [25]. The Orlicz-Morrey spaces contain Lebesgue and generalized Morrey spaces ([7, 19, 20, 24], for example) as special cases.

We denote by $B(a, r)$ the open ball centered at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and of radius r , that is

$$B(a, r) \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - a| < r\}.$$

First, we state the definition of an almost decreasing (resp. almost increasing) function and the Young function.

Date: April 16, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46E30, 42B35, 42B20, 42B25.

Key words and phrases. Boundedness, Koopman operator, Necessary and sufficient conditions, Orlicz-Morrey space.

Definition 1.1 (Almost decreasing and almost increasing function). *A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is called an almost decreasing (resp. almost increasing) function, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $r, s \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$C\varphi(r) \geq \varphi(s), \quad (\text{resp. } \varphi(r) \leq C\varphi(s)), \quad \text{if } r < s.$$

Definition 1.2 (Young function). *A function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a Young function, if Φ is convex, $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \infty$. Let Φ_Y be the set of all Young functions.*

For $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, we define the generalized inverse of Φ in the sense of O'Neil [22, Definition 1.2].

Definition 1.3 (Generalized inverse of Young function). *For $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$ and $u \in [0, \infty)$, let*

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi^{-1}(u) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \Phi(t) > u\}.$$

Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$. If Φ is bijective from $(0, \infty)$ to itself, then Φ^{-1} is the usual inverse function of Φ . Moreover, we see

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(u)) \leq u \leq \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(u)) \quad \text{for all } u \in [0, \infty),$$

which is a generalization of Property 1.3 in [22], see [26, Proposition 2.2].

In this paper, we consider the following class of $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$.

Definition 1.4. (i) *Let \mathcal{G}_0 be the set of all functions φ satisfying*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r) = 0.$$

(ii) *A function φ is said to be an almost decreasing function if there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for all $r, s \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$(1.3) \quad C_1\varphi(r) \geq \varphi(s), \quad \text{if } r < s.$$

Let \mathcal{G}^{dec} be the set of all almost decreasing functions.

(iii) *A function φ is said to be a submultiplicative function if there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that for all $r, s \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi(rs) \leq C_2\varphi(r)\varphi(s).$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$ be the set of all almost decreasing and submultiplicative functions.

(iv) *Let $\mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$ be the set of all functions $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$ and there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that*

$$\varphi\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \leq C_3 \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \quad \text{for } r > 0.$$

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$ then φ satisfies doubling condition, that is, for all $r \in (0, \infty)$,

$$C_1^{-1}\varphi(2r) \leq \varphi(r) \leq C_2\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\varphi(2r),$$

where we use the constant $C_1 > 0$ in (1.3) and $C_2 > 0$ in (1.4). We define the Orlicz-Morrey spaces on \mathbb{R}^n below.

Definition 1.5 (Orlicz-Morrey spaces, see [25, Definition 2.3]). Put $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. For $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$, and a ball $B(a, r)$, let

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, B(a, r)} \equiv \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{B(a, r)} \Phi \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all functions f such that the following functional is finite:

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \equiv \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \|f\|_{\Phi, B(a, r)}.$$

The Orlicz-Morrey spaces are quasi-normed spaces. Here, quasi-normed spaces satisfy positivity, homogeneity, and quasi-triangle inequality.

Putting specific functions as (φ, Φ) , Orlicz-Morrey spaces is various functions as follows:

Remark 1.6. Put $v_n \equiv |B(0, 1)|$ and $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$.

- (i) If $\varphi(r) = v_n^{-1/p} r^{-n/p} = |B(a, r)|^{-1/p}$, then we denote $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all functions $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the following functional is finite:

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^p} \equiv \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} |B(a, r)|^{1/p} \|f\|_{\Phi, B(a, r)}.$$

- (ii) If $\Phi(t) = t^q$, then we denote $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\mathcal{M}_q^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which are called generalized Morrey spaces. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_q^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all functions $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the following functional is finite:

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^{\varphi}} \equiv \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \left(\frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{B(a, r)} |f(y)|^q dy \right)^{1/q}.$$

- (iii) If $\varphi(r) = v_n^{-1/p} r^{-n/p}$ and $\Phi(t) = t^q$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are called Morrey spaces which are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} < \infty\}$$

endowed with the norm.

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} \equiv \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} |B(a, r)|^{1/p-1/q} \left(\int_{B(a, r)} |f(y)|^q dy \right)^{1/q}$$

We now state the main results of the present paper. The following theorem provides a sufficient condition on the boundedness of the composition operator on Orlicz-Morrey spaces. Before that, we define a Lipschitz map.

Definition 1.7 (Lipschitz map). $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a Lipschitz map if there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$|\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \leq L|x - y| \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Theorem 1.8. *Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$. Assume that $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable nonsingular transformation. If ψ is a Lipschitz map that satisfies the volume estimate*

$$(1.5) \quad |\psi^{-1}(A)| \leq K|A|$$

for all measurable sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and some a constant $K > 0$. Then C_ψ is bounded in $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is, there exist $C_1, C_2, K, L > 0$ such that

$$\|C_\psi f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq K(C_1 + C_2\varphi(L)L^n) \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Conversely, the following theorem states that ψ and ψ^{-1} are Lipschitz under the assumption of $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness of C_ψ and $C_{\psi^{-1}}$.

Theorem 1.9. *Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a diffeomorphism in the sense that ψ and its inverse ψ^{-1} are differentiable. Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$ and $p \geq 1$ satisfy that there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $\Phi(t) \leq C_1 t^p$ for $t \geq 1$ and $r^{-n/p} \leq C_2 \varphi(r)$ for $r > 0$. Suppose that Φ and φ satisfy either one of the following conditions.*

- (i) $n = 1$,
- (ii) Let $n \geq 2$, $k \in [1, n]$, and either one as follows.
 - (a) There exists $k \in [1, n-1] \cap \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \mapsto 1/(\varphi(r)\Phi^{-1}(Cr^{k-1}))$ is an almost increasing function and $r \mapsto 1/(\varphi(r)\Phi^{-1}(Cr^k))$ is an almost decreasing function for any $C > 0$,
 - (b) $r \mapsto 1/(\varphi(r)\Phi^{-1}(Cr^{n-1}))$ is an increasing function for any $C > 0$,

If C_ψ and $C_{\psi^{-1}}$ induced by ψ and ψ^{-1} , respectively, are bounded on $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then ψ and ψ^{-1} are Lipschitz.

As notes to Theorem 1.9, we mention as follows.

Remark 1.10. (i) *The important point in Theorem 1.9 cover that the theorem extends Theorem 1.6 in [11] and we see the validity of Theorem 1.9. Because, if $\varphi(r) = r^{-n/p}$ and $\Phi(t) = t^q$ with $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$, then $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and Theorem 1.9 (ii)-(a) implies that*

$$\frac{n}{k}q < p < \frac{n}{k-1}q,$$

if $k \geq 2$ and $nq < p$ if $k = 1$. Therefore, the inequalities as above is used in [11].

- (ii) *An example except for Morrey spaces of (φ, Φ) satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.9 is the following: Set $\varphi(r) = r^{-1/4}$ and*

$$\Phi(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t = 0, \\ 2^{-2n} \exp(-1/t), & 0 < t \leq 1/2, \\ e^{-2t^{2n}}, & t \leq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{M}_2^4(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all measurable essentially bounded functions f with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \equiv \inf \{t > 0 : |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > t\}| = 0\}.$$

If $\varphi \notin \mathcal{G}_0$, that is $\varphi \notin \mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$, then the following assertion holds.

Remark 1.11. [23, Example 44] For all f such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\epsilon f(x)) dx < \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Assume that $0 < \inf_{r>0} \varphi(r) \leq \sup_{r>0} \varphi(r) < \infty$. Then C_ψ is bounded in $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ because we have

$$(1.7) \quad \Phi^{-1}(1) \inf_{r>0} \varphi(r) \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \Phi^{-1}(1) \sup_{r>0} \varphi(r) \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

For a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $t > 0$, we define χ_A by a characteristic function and

$$m(A, f, t) \equiv |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)|\chi_A(x) > t\}| = |\{x \in A : |f(x)| > t\}|.$$

In the case $A = \mathbb{R}^n$, we briefly denote it by $m(f, t)$.

The following theorem states the boundedness of the composition operator on the weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces (see [9, 15], for example). Before that, we define the weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces using $m(A, f, t)$.

Definition 1.12 (Weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces). Put $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. For $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$, and a ball $B(a, r)$, let

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, B(a, r), \text{weak}} \equiv \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \Phi(t) m(B(a, r), f, t) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\text{w}\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all functions $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the following functional is finite:

$$\|f\|_{\text{w}\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \equiv \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \|f\|_{\Phi, B(a, r), \text{weak}}.$$

The weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces contain the Orlicz-Morrey spaces. This claim means $\|f\|_{\text{w}\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$. Hence, for many problems, it is expected that the weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces will be more useful than the Orlicz-Morrey spaces in dynamical systems.

Theorem 1.13. $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$, and let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable function. Then, ψ generates C_ψ which is bounded on $\text{w}\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for all measurable sets A in \mathbb{R}^n , the following estimate holds:

$$(1.8) \quad \|\chi_{\psi^{-1}(A)}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq K \|\chi_A\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we give the proof of Theorem 1.8. In Section 3, we prepare the proof of Theorem 1.9. In Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.9. In Section 5 we give the proof of Theorem 1.13.

At the end of this section, we make some conventions. Throughout this paper, we always use C to denote a positive constant. If $f \leq Cg$, we then write $f \lesssim g$ or $g \gtrsim f$, and if $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$, we then write $f \sim g$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.8

Before we prove Theorem 1.8, we state the following assertion:

Proposition 2.1. [4, Theorem 2.1] Assume that $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable nonsingular transformation. The inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(\psi(x))) dx \leq K \int_{\Omega} \Phi(f(x)) dx$$

holds for all $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(x)) dx < \infty$ with some $K > 0$ independent of f if and only if

$$|\psi^{-1}(A)| \leq K|A|$$

for all $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Set a ball $B_0 = B(a, r)$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. $x, y \in B_0$ is fixed. We note that, according to the Lipschitz continuity of ψ , the estimates hold as follows.

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{diam}(\psi(B_0)) &\equiv \sup_{x, y \in B_0} |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \leq L \sup_{x, y \in B_0} |x - y| \\ &\leq L \sup_{x, y \in B_0} (|x - a| + |y - a|) \leq 2Lr. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists $B_1 = B(\psi(a), Lr)$ such that

$$B_1 \supset \psi(B_0) \quad \text{and} \quad |B_1| = L^n |B_0|.$$

We set $M = \max(1, L^n)$ and $K \geq 1$ satisfied (2.1). By using convexity of Φ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B_0|} \int_{B_0} \Phi\left(\frac{|C_\psi f(x)|}{KM \|f\|_{\Phi, B_1}}\right) dx &\leq \frac{1}{|B_0|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(\psi(x))|}{KM \|f\|_{\Phi, B_1}}\right) \chi_{\psi(B_0)}(\psi(x)) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B_0|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(\psi(x))| \chi_{\psi(B_0)}(\psi(x))}{KM \|f\|_{\Phi, B_1}}\right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{L^n}{|B_1|} \int_{B_1} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{M \|f\|_{\Phi, B_1}}\right) dx \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\|C_\psi f\|_{\Phi, B_0} \leq KM \|f\|_{\Phi, B_1}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \|C_\psi f\|_{\Phi, B_0} &\leq \frac{\varphi(Lr)}{\varphi(r)} \frac{1}{\varphi(Lr)} KM \|f\|_{\Phi, B_1} \\ &\leq K(C_1 + C_2 \varphi(L) L^n) \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^{\varphi}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$ for the second inequality. □

3. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we provide some lemmas to prepare the proof of Theorem 1.9. First, we define the notion $L^\infty_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $C^\infty_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $D\psi$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Fro}}$.

- Let $M_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all $n \times n$ regular matrices.
- The space $L^\infty_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ stands for the set of all $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions with compact support.
- The space $C^\infty_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all smooth functions with compact support.
- For a differentiable vector-valued function $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)^T$ on \mathbb{R}^n we denote by $D\psi$ the Jacobi matrix of ψ , that is,

$$D\psi \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \equiv (\psi_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

- Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Denote $\|A\|_{\text{Fro}}$ by Frobenius norm of A , that is,

$$\|A\|_{\text{Fro}} := \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where a_{ij} is ij -element in A .

Lemma 3.1. [23, Remark 4] *Let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \Phi_Y$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$. If there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $\varphi_2(r) \leq c_1 \varphi_1(r)$ for $r > 0$ and $\Phi_1(t) \leq \Phi_2(c_2 t)$ for $t \geq 1$, then*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1}^{\varphi_1}} \leq c_1 c_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_2}^{\varphi_2}}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_2}^{\varphi_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $p \geq 1$. If $\Phi_2(t) = t^p$ and $\varphi_2(r) = r^{-n/p}$ in Lemma 3.1, then we have the following assertion.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$, and $p \geq 1$. If there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $\Phi(t) \leq c_1^p t^p$ for $t \geq 1$ and $r^{-n/p} \leq c_2 \varphi(r)$ for $r > 0$, then*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \leq c_1 c_2 \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let E be a norm space. For a linear operator T from E to itself, we define an operator norm by

$$\|T\|_{E \rightarrow E} \equiv \sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{\|Tf\|_E}{\|f\|_E} \equiv \sup_{\|f\|_E=1} \|Tf\|_E.$$

By using an operator norm, we can obtain the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Set $\psi(x) = cx$. For $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \inf_{r>0} \frac{\varphi(cr)}{\varphi(r)} \leq \|C_\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \leq \sup_{r>0} \frac{\varphi(cr)}{\varphi(r)}.$$

Proof. We show only the left inequality because the right inequality is similarly proved. Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and $r > 0$. Put $B_0 \equiv B(a, r)$ and $B_c \equiv B(ca, cr)$. Then, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B_c|} \int_{B_c} \Phi \left(\frac{f(x)}{\|C_\psi f\|_{\Phi, B_0}} \right) dx &= \frac{1}{|B_c|} \int_{B_0} \Phi \left(\frac{f(cy)}{\|C_\psi f\|_{\Phi, B_0}} \right) c^n dy \\ &= \frac{1}{|B_0|} \int_{B_0} \Phi \left(\frac{f(cy)}{\|C_\psi f\|_{\Phi, B_0}} \right) dy \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(cr)} \|f\|_{\Phi, B_c} \leq \frac{\varphi(r)}{\varphi(cr)} \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \|C_\psi f\|_{\Phi, B} \leq \sup_{r>0} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\varphi(cr)} \|C_\psi f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$$

and obtain

$$\inf_{r>0} \frac{\varphi(cr)}{\varphi(r)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \|C_\psi f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

Therefore, we have the desired conclusion. \square

Corollary 3.4. *We use the same notation as in Lemma 3.3. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$, then*

$$\|C_\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \sim \varphi(c).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$. If $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an orthogonal matrix, then*

$$\|C_W\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} = 1.$$

Proof. Let W be an orthogonal matrix. First, we show only $\|C_W f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$, because $\|C_W f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \geq \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$ is similarly proved. Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. Put $B_0 = B(a, r)$ and $B_W = B(Wa, r)$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B_0|} \int_{B_0} \Phi \left(\frac{C_W f}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_W}} \right) dx &= \frac{1}{|B_0|} \int_{B_0} \Phi \left(\frac{f(Wx)}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_W}} \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|B_0|} \int_{B_W} \Phi \left(\frac{f(y)}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_W}} \right) |\det W^{-1}| dy \\ &= \frac{1}{|B_W|} \int_{B_W} \Phi \left(\frac{f(y)}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_W}} \right) dy \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $x \in B_0$ then $Wx \in B_W$. As a result, we have $\|f\|_{\Phi, B_W} \leq \|C_W f\|_{\Phi, B}$ and obtain $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \|C_W f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$. Therefore, we have the desired conclusion. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$, and $p \geq 1$. Suppose that a diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ induces a bounded C_ψ from $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself. If $\Phi(t) \lesssim t^p$ for $t \geq 1$ and $r^{-n/p} \lesssim \varphi(r)$ for $r > 0$, then the following inequality holds :*

$$\|f(D\psi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Proof. Case (i) ($f \in C_C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r, t > 0$. Denote

$$B_0 = B(a, r), \quad B_1 = B(x_0 + at, tr) \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = B\left(a + \frac{x_0 - \psi(x_0)}{t}, r\right).$$

Notice that

$$t^n |B_0| = |B_1| = t^n |B_2| = v_n t^n r^n,$$

where $v_n = |B(0, 1)|$. Put $K > 1$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(\psi(x))) dx \leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(x)) dx.$$

Putting

$$g(\cdot) = f\left(\frac{\psi(\cdot) - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right),$$

we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|B_0|} \int_{B_0} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\|g\|_{\Phi, B_1}} f\left(\frac{\psi(x_0 + tx) - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right)\right) dx \\ &= \frac{t^n}{|B_1|} \int_{B_1} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\|g\|_{\Phi, B_1}} f\left(\frac{\psi(y) - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right)\right) t^{-n} dy \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $y = x_0 + tx$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \left\| f\left(\frac{\psi(x_0 + t\cdot) - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right) \right\|_{\Phi, B_0} &\lesssim \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(tr)} \left\| f\left(\frac{\psi(\cdot) - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right) \right\|_{\Phi, B_1} \\ &\leq \varphi(t) \left\| f\left(\frac{\psi(\cdot) - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \\ (3.2) \quad &\leq K\varphi(t) \left\| f\left(\frac{\cdot - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the submultiplicative functions of φ for the first inequality and use the boundedness of $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 1.8) for the third inequality. (3.2) implies that

$$(3.3) \quad \left\| f\left(\frac{\psi(x_0 + t\cdot) - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \leq K\varphi(t) \left\| f\left(\frac{\cdot - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}}.$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|B_1|} \int_{B_1} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_2}} f\left(\frac{x - \psi(x_0)}{t}\right)\right) dx \\ &= \frac{t^{-n}}{|B_2|} \int_{B_2} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_2}} f(z)\right) t^n dz \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $z = (x - \psi(x_0))/t$. Namely, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(tr)} \left\| f \left(\frac{\cdot - \psi(x_0)}{t} \right) \right\|_{\Phi, B_1} \lesssim \frac{\varphi(1/t)}{\varphi(r)} \|f\|_{\Phi, B_2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi},$$

where we use also submultiplicative functions of φ for the first inequality and use $\varphi(1/r) \lesssim 1/\varphi(r)$ for the second inequality. Hence,

$$(3.4) \quad \left\| f \left(\frac{\cdot - \psi(x_0)}{t} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\left\| f \left(\frac{\psi(x_0 + t\cdot) - \psi(x_0)}{t} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \lesssim K\varphi(t) \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} = K \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

Here, fix $(t_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $t_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $x \in B_0$,

$$\left| f \left(\frac{\psi(x_0 + t_n x) - \psi(x_0)}{t_n} \right) \right| \rightarrow |f(D\psi(x_0)x)|$$

and, for $t > 0$,

$$m \left(f \left(\frac{\psi(x_0 + t_n x) - \psi(x_0)}{t_n} \right), t \right) \rightarrow m(f(D\psi(x_0)x), t)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_0} \Phi \left(f \left(\frac{\psi(x_0 + t_n x) - \psi(x_0)}{t_n} \right) \right) dx \\ & \geq \int_{B_0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi \left(f \left(\frac{\psi(x_0 + t_n x) - \psi(x_0)}{t_n} \right) \right) dx \\ & \geq \int_{B_0} \Phi(f(D\psi(x_0)x)) dx \end{aligned}$$

By letting $t_n \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the desired result, that is

$$\|f(D\psi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$$

for $f \in C_C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Case (ii) ($f \in L_C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$) For any $p \in [1, \infty)$, we can choose a sequence $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset C_C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that f_j converges to f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that f_j converges to f , almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by the Fatou lemma, the inequality

$$\|f(D\psi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j(D\psi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$$

holds since

$$\|f(D\psi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\Phi, B_0} \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j(D\psi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\Phi, B_0}.$$

As we have proved the assertion for f_j , we have

$$\|f_j(D\psi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \lesssim 2KC \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

Because $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is embedded into $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see Lemma 3.2, f_j converges to f in $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently,

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

By combining these observations, the following estimate holds:

$$\|f(D\varphi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \lesssim K \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

Case (iii) ($f \in \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$) For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define an element $f_k \in L_C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$f_k(x) = f(x)\chi_{[-k,k]^n}(x)\chi_{[0,k]}(|f(x)|) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, we have

$$\|f_k(D\varphi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \lesssim K \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq K \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi},$$

according to the previous paragraph. By using the Fatou lemma again, we obtain

$$\|f(D\varphi(x_0)\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \lesssim K \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$$

as required. \square

Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a diffeomorphism and $D\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{R})$ be its Jacobi matrix. For $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the Jacobi matrix $D\psi(x_0)$ can be decomposed by the singular value decomposition (see Lemma 3.7 below) as

$$D\psi(x_0) = U\Sigma V,$$

where $\Sigma = \Sigma(x_0) = \text{diag}(\alpha_1(x_0), \dots, \alpha_n(x_0))$ is a diagonal matrix with having positive components satisfying $\alpha_1(x_0) \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n(x_0)$, and $U = U(x_0)$ and $V = V(x_0)$ are orthogonal matrices. The following result is well known.

Lemma 3.7. *Let A be an $n \times n$ real regular matrix, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n > 0$ be the singular values of A . Then, there exist orthogonal matrices U and V such that*

$$UAV = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Using Lemma 3.7, we prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a positive sequence that is*

$$a_i > 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

And set $D \equiv \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. If $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$, then the following estimate holds:

$$\varphi \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^{1/n} \lesssim \|C_D\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

Proof. We introduce the matrix $W \in M_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ corresponding to the transformation

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_1).$$

Then, for any $k \in [1, \dots, n]$, we observe that

$$W^{-k}DW^k = \text{diag}(a_{n-k+1}, a_{n-k+2}, \dots, a_n, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-k})$$

holds, since W^k maps the l th elementary vector e_l to e_{l-k} if $l > k$ and e_{l-k+n} otherwise. Then

$$\|C_{W^{-k}DW^k}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \|C_D\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

On the other hand

$$\|C_D\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} = \|C_{W^k W^{-k} DW^k W^{-k}}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \|C_{W^{-k} DW^k}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$$

holds. Noting that the identity

$$\prod_{k=1}^n W^{-k} DW^k = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \right) E$$

holds. By Corollary 3.4, we have

$$\|C_{\prod_{k=1}^n W^{-k} DW^k}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \sim \varphi \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \right).$$

By combining this and identity $C_{\prod_{k=1}^n W^{-k} DW^k} = \prod_{k=1}^n C_{W^{-k} DW^k}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\prod_{k=1}^n W^{-k} DW^k}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} &= \left\| \prod_{k=1}^n C_{W^{-k} DW^k} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \\ &\leq \prod_{k=1}^n \|C_{W^{-k} DW^k}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \\ &\lesssim \prod_{k=1}^n \|C_D\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \|C_D\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 3.5 for the second inequality. Therefore,

$$\varphi \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^{1/n} \lesssim \|C_D\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

The conclusion of this lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$. Let $\alpha_1(x_0), \dots, \alpha_n(x_0)$ be the singular values of $D\psi(x_0)$, and let us denote $\Sigma(x_0) \equiv \text{diag}(\alpha_1(x_0), \dots, \alpha_n(x_0))$. Then, the operator norms of $C_{D\psi(x_0)}$ and $C_{\Sigma(x_0)}$ are equivalent norms on $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. We estimate

$$\|C_{D\psi(x_0)}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} = \|C_{U\Sigma(x_0)V}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \lesssim \|C_{\Sigma(x_0)}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\Sigma(x_0)}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} &\leq \|C_{U^{-1}U\Sigma(x_0)V^{-1}}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \\ &\lesssim \|C_{U\Sigma(x_0)V}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} = \|C_{D\psi(x_0)}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the conclusion. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a diffeomorphism. Assume that $\Phi(t) \lesssim t^p$ for $t \geq 1$ and $r^{-n/p} \lesssim \varphi(r)$ for $r > 0$. If C_ψ and $C_{\psi^{-1}}$ induced by ψ and ψ^{-1} , respectively, are bounded on the Orlicz-Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k(x_0) \right) \sim 1,$$

where $\alpha_1(x_0), \dots, \alpha_n(x_0)$ are the singular values of $D\psi(x_0)$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and U, U', V, V' be orthogonal matrices. Put $D\psi(x_0) = U\Sigma(x_0)V$. By Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\varphi \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k(x_0) \right)^{1/n} \leq \|C_{\Sigma(x_0)}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \sim \|C_{D\psi(x_0)}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq KC.$$

Meanwhile, put $D\psi^{-1}(x_0) = U'\Sigma^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(x_0))V'$. By Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.8 as ψ^{-1} , we have

$$\varphi \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k(\psi^{-1}(x_0))^{-1} \right)^{1/n} \leq \|C_{\Sigma^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(x_0))}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \sim \|C_{D\psi^{-1}(x_0)}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq KC.$$

Note that singular values of $D\psi^{-1}(x_0)$ are

$$\alpha_1(\psi^{-1}(x_0))^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_n(\psi^{-1}(x_0))^{-1}$$

since $D\psi^{-1}(x_0) = [D\psi(\psi^{-1}(x_0))]^{-1}$. As a result,

$$\frac{1}{KC} \lesssim \varphi \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k(\psi^{-1}(x_0)) \right)^{1/n}.$$

Consequently, we have (3.5). \square

Further, by the assumption of $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$, a condition of φ to show (3.5) become clearly as follows:

Remark 3.11. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$. If $\varphi(\alpha_1(x_0)) \lesssim 1$, then (3.5) holds. Because we have*

$$\varphi \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k(x_0) \right) \lesssim \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi(\alpha_k(x_0)) \lesssim \varphi(\alpha_1(x_0))^n \lesssim 1,$$

where we use multiplicatively of φ for the first inequality and decreasingness of φ for the second inequality.

Remark 3.12. [11, Proposition 2.6] *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$ and $p \geq 1$. Assume that $r^{-n/p} \lesssim \varphi(r)$ for $r > 0$. If $\varphi(\alpha_1(x_0)) \lesssim 1$, then the inverse function ψ^{-1} is Lipschitz.*

Actually, we have the following claim. Put $x, \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x_0 \in (x, \tilde{x})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi^{-1}(x) - \psi^{-1}(\tilde{x})| &= \|D\psi^{-1}\|_{\text{Fro}} |x - \tilde{x}| \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_i(x_0)} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} |x - \tilde{x}| \\ &\lesssim (n\varphi(r)^{2p/n})^{1/2} |x - \tilde{x}| \lesssim |x - \tilde{x}|, \end{aligned}$$

where we use mean value theorem for first equality and singular value decomposition for second equality.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.9

4.1. In the case $n = 1$. In this section, we show the proof of Theorem 1.9 when $n = 1$. Thus, we prove the case (i) in Theorem 1.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.9 (i). Let $\alpha_1(x_0) > 0$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. By Proposition 3.10 in $n = 1$ and Remark 3.11, we have $\varphi(\alpha_1(x_0)) \lesssim 1$. Further, by $\varphi(\alpha_1(x_0)) \lesssim 1$, we have (3.5) and the inverse function ψ^{-1} is Lipschitz. Therefore, we have the conclusion. \square

4.2. In general cases $n \geq 2$. In this section, we show the proof of Theorem 1.9 when $n \geq 2$.

4.2.1. An auxiliary function. Hereafter, we consider a cube to take a supremum in Orlicz-Morrey spaces to compute the Orlicz-Morrey norms of $\chi_{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} [0, a_j] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}$ for $k \in [1, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we define a cube. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. Then

$$Q(a, r) \equiv \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |x_i - a_i| \leq r \right\}.$$

We denote by $|Q(a, r)|$ the volume of $Q(a, r)$ and $\ell(Q(a, r))$ the side-length of $Q(a, r)$. Namely, $|Q(a, r)| = (2r)^n$ and $\ell(Q(a, r)) = 2r$.

Definition 4.1. Put $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. For $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$, and a cube $Q(a, r)$, let

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, Q(a, r)} \equiv \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q(a, r)|} \int_{Q(a, r)} \Phi \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all functions $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the following functional is finite:

$$\|f\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \equiv \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{\varphi(\ell(Q))} \|f\|_{\Phi, Q(a, r)} = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{\varphi(2r)} \|f\|_{\Phi, Q(a, r)}.$$

Remark 4.2. We can replace cubes with balls to take a supremum in Orlicz-Morrey spaces. In fact, set $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. Let $B(a, r)$ be a ball and $Q(a, r)$ be a

cube. Denote $Q(a, r)^\circ$ by the interior of a cube $Q(a, r)$. It is clear that $B(a, r) \subset Q(a, r)^\circ \subset B(a, \sqrt{n}r)$ and $|B(a, r)| \sim |Q(a, r)| = (2r)^n$. Put $|B(0, 1)| = v_n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{B(a, r)} |f(x)| dx &\leq \frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{Q(a, r)} |f(x)| dx \\ &= \frac{2^n}{v_n |Q(a, r)|} \int_{Q(a, 2r)} |f(x)| dx \\ &\leq \frac{(2\sqrt{n})^n}{|B(a, \sqrt{n}r)|} \int_{B(a, \sqrt{n}r)} |f(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{B(a, r)} |f(x)| dx \sim \frac{1}{|Q(a, r)|} \int_{Q(a, r)} |f(x)| dx.$$

and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \sim \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Lemma 4.3. Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$, and $k \in [2, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$(4.1) \quad \|\chi_{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} [0, a_j]} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi} = \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R^k}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \min(a_j, R)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $Q(b, R/2)$ with $b = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R > 0$. We put

$$I_{Q(b, R/2)}(\lambda) \equiv \frac{1}{|Q(b, R/2)|} \int_{x \in Q(b, R/2)} \Phi \left(\frac{\chi_{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} [0, a_j]} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}(x)}{\lambda} \right) dx$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &I_{Q(b, R/2)}(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q(b, R/2)|} \int_{x_0=b_0-R/2}^{b_0+R/2} \cdots \int_{x_{n-1}=b_{n-1}-R/2}^{b_{n-1}+R/2} \Phi \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \chi_{[0, a_0]}(x_0) \cdots \chi_{[0, a_{k-1}]}(x_{k-1}) \\ &\quad \times \chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}}(x_k, \dots, x_{n-1}) dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{R^k} \Phi \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{x_i=b_i-R/2}^{b_i+R/2} \chi_{[0, a_i]}(x_i) dx_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and we use $|Q(b, R/2)| = R^n$ and

$$\int_{x_k=b_k-R/2}^{b_k+R/2} \cdots \int_{x_{n-1}=b_{n-1}-R/2}^{b_{n-1}+R/2} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}}(x_k, \dots, x_{n-1}) = R^{n-k}$$

for the last equality. Here we see that

$$\sup_{b_i \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{x_i=b_i-R/2}^{b_i+R/2} \chi_{[0, a_i]}(x_i) dx_i = \max(a_i, R)$$

for $i = 0, \dots, k-1$. Therefore, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\chi_{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} [0, a_j] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \\
&= \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}^n, R > 0} \frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : I_{Q(b, R/2)}(\lambda) \leq 1 \} \\
(4.2) \quad &= \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}^n, R > 0} \frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R^k}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{x_i=b_i-R/2}^{b_i+R/2} \chi_{[0, a_i]}(x_i) dx_i} \right)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By decreasingness of $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot)^{-1}$ and taking the supremum on $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in (4.2), we have (4.1). \square

Hereafter, we put

$$\Psi_{k,C}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{\varphi(r) \Phi^{-1}(Cr^k)}$$

for any $k \in [1, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$ and any $C > 0$.

Definition 4.4. (i) $\Psi_{k,C}$ is an almost decreasing function if there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that for all $r, s \in (0, \infty)$,

$$C_4 \Psi_{k,C}(r) \geq \Psi_{k,C}(s), \quad \text{if } r < s.$$

(ii) $\Psi_{k,C}$ is an almost increasing function if there exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ such that for all $r, s \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\Psi_{k,C}(r) \leq C_5 \Psi_{k,C}(s), \quad \text{if } r < s.$$

Then the following properties hold.

Lemma 4.5. Let C, C', C'' be arbitrary positive constants. Then

- (i) Put $k \in [1, n-1] \cap \mathbb{N}$. If $\Psi_{k,C'}$ is an almost decreasing function, then $\Psi_{k+1,C}$ is an almost decreasing function.
- (ii) Put $k \in [2, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$. If $\Psi_{k,C''}$ is an almost increasing function, then $\Psi_{k-1,C}$ is an almost increasing function.

Proof. We only show Lemma 4.5 (i) because Lemma 4.5 (ii) inequality is similarly proved. Let $k \in [1, n-1] \cap \mathbb{N}$, $C > 0$ and $r, s > 0$. Put $C' = Cr$. We assume that $\Psi_{k,C'}(\cdot)$ is an almost increasing function. If $r > s$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{k+1,C}(r) &= \frac{1}{\varphi(r) \Phi^{-1}(Cr^{k+1})} = \frac{1}{\varphi(r) \Phi^{-1}(Cr r^k)} \\
&\leq C_4 \frac{1}{\varphi(s) \Phi^{-1}(Cr s^k)} \leq C_4 \frac{1}{\varphi(s) \Phi^{-1}(C s^{k+1})} = \Psi_{k+1,C}(s),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use decreasingness of $t \mapsto \Phi^{-1}(t)^{-1}$. Therefore, we have the conclusion. \square

4.2.2. *The case $k = 1$ in Theorem 1.9.* In this section, we show the case $k = 1$ in Theorem 1.9.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $n \geq 2$, $a_0 > 0$, $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$. Assume that $\Psi_{1,C}$ is an almost decreasing function for any $C > 0$, then $\chi_{[0,a_0] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\|\chi_{[0,a_0] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi} \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_0)}.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\Psi_{1,C}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{\varphi(r)\Phi^{-1}(Cr)}.$$

for any $C > 0$. By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 we have only to show that if $\Psi_{k,C}$ is an almost decreasing function for all $C > 0$, then

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R}{\min(a_0, R)} \right)^{-1} \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_0)}.$$

By considering the case of $R \leq a_0$ and $R \geq a_0$, we have two cases as follows:

Case(i) ($R \leq a_0$) The left-hand side of (4.3) estimate

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R}{\min(a_0, R)} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\varphi(R)\Phi^{-1}(1)} \lesssim C_1 \frac{1}{\varphi(a_0)}.$$

where we use (1.3) in the second inequality.

Case(ii) ($R \geq a_0$) The left-hand side of (4.3) estimate

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R}{\min(a_0, R)} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R}{a_0} \right)^{-1} \lesssim C_4 \frac{1}{\varphi(a_0)}.$$

where we use decreasingness of $\Psi_{1,1/a_0}(r)$ for $a_0 > 0$ in the second inequality. Therefore, we obtain (4.3) and the desired result. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. $\alpha_i \equiv \alpha_i(x_0) > 0$ be positive numbers such that $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq i < j$. Then, if $\Psi_{1,C}$ is an almost decreasing function for any $C > 0$ then*

$$\liminf_{\alpha_1 \rightarrow 0} \|C_{\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi} = \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_i \equiv \alpha_i(x_0) > 0$ be positive numbers such that $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq i < j$. Put $D = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $M = \|C_D\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi}$. By Lemma 4.6, we estimate

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varphi(\alpha_1^{-1})} &\sim \left\| \chi_{[0,\alpha_1^{-1}] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi} = \left\| \chi_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \circ D \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi} \\ &\leq M \left\| \chi_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi^\varphi} \sim M \frac{1}{\varphi(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4), we have

$$(4.5) \quad \liminf_{\alpha_1 \rightarrow 0} M \gtrsim \liminf_{\alpha_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(1)}{\varphi(\alpha_1^{-1})} = \infty.$$

Therefore, we have the conclusion. \square

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$, then (4.4) have

$$M \gtrsim \frac{\varphi(1)}{\varphi(\alpha_1^{-1})} \gtrsim \varphi(\alpha_1).$$

By previous inequalities, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.8. *We use the same notation as in Lemma 4.7. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$, then $\varphi(\alpha_1) \lesssim \|C_{\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}}$.*

4.2.3. *The case $k \in [2, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$ in Theorem 1.9.* In this section, we show the case $k \in [2, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$ in Theorem 1.9.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $n \geq 2$, $k \in [2, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$. Assume in addition that the sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$ satisfies $0 < a_0 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1}$. Assume either one of the following:*

- (i) *There exists $k \in [2, n-1] \cap \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Psi_{k-1, C}$ is an almost increasing function and $\Psi_{k, C}$ is an almost decreasing function for any $C > 0$,*
- (ii) *$\Psi_{n-1, C}(r)$ is an almost increasing function for any $C > 0$.*

Then $\chi_{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [0, a_j]} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$(4.6) \quad \left\| \chi_{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [0, a_j]} \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{k-1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} a_j} \right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Since we have only to consider cubes of form $[0, R]^n$ for $R > 0$ as the candidates for supremum in the Orlicz-Morrey norm $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}}$, we have the identity

$$(4.7) \quad \left\| \chi_{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [0, a_j]} \right\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} = \sup_{R > 0} \frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R^n}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \min(a_j, R)} \right)^{-1}.$$

By considering the case of $R = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$, we have four cases as follows:

Case(i) ($R = a_0$) Putting $R = a_0$ in the right-hand side of (4.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R^n}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \min(a_j, R)} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\varphi(a_0) \Phi^{-1}(1)}.$$

Case(ii) ($R = a_{k-1}$ with $k \in [2, n-1] \cap \mathbb{N}$) For any $C > 0$. Putting $R = a_{k-1}$ in the right-hand side of (4.7), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R^n}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \min(a_j, R)} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{k-1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} a_j} \right)^{-1} \\ &\gtrsim \frac{1}{C_5 \varphi(a_{k-2})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{k-2}^{k-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} a_j} \right)^{-1} \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{k-2})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{k-2}^{k-2}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-3} a_j} \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use increasingness of $\Psi_{k-1,1/\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} a_j}$ for the second inequality. By repeating the same way as the above, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(a_{k-2})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{k-2}^{k-2}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-3} a_j} \right)^{-1} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{k-3})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{k-3}^{k-3}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-4} a_j} \right)^{-1} \gtrsim \cdots \gtrsim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_0) \Phi^{-1}(1)}.$$

Case(iii) ($R = a_{k-1}$ with $k \in [2, n-1] \cap \mathbb{N}$) Putting $R = a_{k-1}$ in the right-hand side of (4.7), we also estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R^n}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \min(a_j, R)} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{k-1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{k-1}^k}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j} \right)^{-1} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C_5 \varphi(a_k)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_k^k}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j} \right)^{-1} \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_k)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_k^{k-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^k a_j} \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use decreasingness of $\Psi_{k,1/\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j}$ for any $C > 0$ in the second inequality. By repeating the same way as the above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varphi(a_k)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_k^{k-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^k a_j} \right)^{-1} &\gtrsim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{k+1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{k+1}^k}{\prod_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j} \right)^{-1} \\ &\gtrsim \cdots \gtrsim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{n-1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{n-1}^{n-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case(iv) ($R = a_{n-1}$) Putting $R = a_{n-1}$ in the right-hand side of (4.7), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{\varphi(R)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R^n}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \min(a_j, R)} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{n-1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{n-1}^{n-1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} a_j} \right)^{-1} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{n-2})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{a_{n-2}^{n-2}}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-3} a_j} \right)^{-1} \gtrsim \cdots \gtrsim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_0) \Phi^{-1}(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use increasingness of $\Psi_{n-1,C}(r)$ for any $C > 0$ the inequalities. Therefore, we obtain (4.6). \square

Lemma 4.10. *Let $n \geq 2$, $k \in [2, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$. Assume in addition that the sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$ satisfies $0 < a_0 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n-1}$. Assume either one of the following:*

- (i) *There exists $k \in [2, n-1] \cap \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Psi_{k-1,C}(r)$ is an almost increasing function, and $\Psi_{k,C}(r)$ is an almost decreasing function for any $C > 0$,*
- (ii) *$\Psi_{n-1,C}(r)$ is an almost increasing function for any $C > 0$.*

Then

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|C_{\text{diag}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \\ & \gtrsim \frac{\varphi(R_{k-1})}{\varphi(a_{k-1}^{-1}R_{k-1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} R_j} \right) \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(a_{k-1}^{-1}R_{k-1})^{k-1}}{a_0^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} a_j^{-1} R_j} \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq R_1 \leq \dots \leq R_{n-1}$ with $0 < a_0^{-1} \leq a_1^{-1}R_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1}^{-1}R_{n-1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.9, we have

$$\|\chi_{[0,1] \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [0, R_j]}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(R_{k-1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R_{k-1}^{k-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} R_j} \right)^{-1},$$

and

$$\|\chi_{[0, a_0^{-1}] \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [0, a_j^{-1} R_j]}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(a_{k-1}^{-1}R_{k-1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(a_{k-1}^{-1}R_{k-1})^{k-1}}{a_0^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} a_j^{-1} R_j} \right)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, we obtain (4.8). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.9 (ii). Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha_j \equiv \alpha_j(x_0)$ for $j \in [1, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$. First, we show that $\varphi(\alpha_1(x_0)) \lesssim 1$. We consider the cases $k = 1$ and $k \in [2, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$.

Case(i) ($k = 1$) By corollary 4.8, we have $\varphi(\alpha_1(x_0)) \lesssim 1$.

Case(ii) ($k \in [2, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$) Setting $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j$ if $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, and $\Sigma(x_0) \equiv \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ then, by Lemma 4.10, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \|C_{\Sigma(x_0)}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \gtrsim \frac{\varphi(R_{j-1})}{\varphi(\alpha_j^{-1}R_{j-1})} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R_{j-1}^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{j-2} R_i} \right) \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\alpha_j^{-1}R_{j-1})^{j-1}}{\alpha_1^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{j-2} \alpha_{i+1}^{-1} R_i} \right)^{-1}$$

for $1 = R_0 \leq R_1 \leq \dots \leq R_{n-1}$ with $0 < \alpha_1^{-1} \leq \alpha_2^{-1}R_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n^{-1}R_{n-1}$. By $\Psi_{j-1, C}$ is an almost increasing function and $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot)^{-1}$ is a decreasing function, the right-hand side of (4.9) is estimated as follow:

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi(R_{j-1}) \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R_{j-1}^{j-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{j-2} R_i} \right) \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\alpha_j^{-1}R_{j-1})^{j-1}}{\alpha_1^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{j-2} \alpha_{i+1}^{-1} R_i} \right)^{-1} \\ & \gtrsim \dots \gtrsim \varphi(R_1) \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R_1}{R_0} \right) \Phi^{-1} (1)^{-1} \gtrsim \varphi(1). \end{aligned}$$

By using $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\text{dec}}$ and (4.10), we have

$$1 \gtrsim \|C_{\Sigma(x_0)}\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} \gtrsim \frac{\varphi(1)}{\varphi(\alpha_j^{-1}R_{k-1})} \gtrsim \frac{\varphi(1)}{\varphi(\alpha_1^{-1})} \gtrsim \varphi(\alpha_1).$$

By the results in Case (i) and Case (ii), we obtain $\varphi(\alpha_1(x_0)) \lesssim 1$. Therefore, by $\varphi(\alpha_1(x_0)) \lesssim 1$ independent of $k \in [1, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$, we have (3.5) and the inverse function ψ^{-1} is Lipschitz. Therefore, using Proposition 3.10, we have the conclusion. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.13

We now define weak-type spaces and introduce composition operators in weak-type spaces.

Definition 5.1 (Weak type spaces, see [11, Definition 1.12]). *Let $(B(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_B)$ be a linear subspace of $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|\|f\|\|_B = \|f\|_B$ for all $f \in B(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The weak-type space $(\text{w}B(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\text{w}B})$ of B is defined by*

$$\text{w}B(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{\text{w}B} < \infty\},$$

endowed with the quasi norm

$$\|f\|_{\text{w}B} \equiv \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \|\chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}}\|_B.$$

Proposition 5.2. [11, Theorem 1.13] *Let $(B(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_B)$ be a quasi normed space. Then, C_ψ induced by ψ is bounded on the weak-type space $(\text{w}B(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\text{w}B})$ if and only if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for all measurable sets A in \mathbb{R}^n , the estimate holds as follows.*

$$\|\chi_{\psi^{-1}(A)}\|_B \leq K \|\chi_A\|_B.$$

In particular, we obtain

$$\|C_\psi\|_{\text{w}B \rightarrow \text{w}B} = \sup_A \frac{\|\chi_{\psi^{-1}(A)}\|_B}{\|\chi_A\|_B}$$

where the supremum is taken over all measurable sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\chi_A\|_B \in (0, \infty)$.

We will apply Proposition 5.2 with $B(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to show Theorem 1.13 since the Orlicz-Morrey spaces are quasi normed spaces. Hence, we have only to show that the weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces are weak-type spaces. In other words, we have the following assertion:

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\Phi \in \Phi_Y$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{dec}}$. Then*

$$\|f\|_{\text{w}\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \|\chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}}\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

Remark 5.4. *We can prove Lemma 5.3 in the same way as weak Orlicz spaces, see [12, Lemma 2.4]. However, the weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces constructed Definition 1.12 and weak Orlicz spaces do not coincide in general. From the previous claim, we show the proof of Lemma 5.3 as below.*

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Let $B_0 = B(a, r)$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. We show only that

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{weak}} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \|\chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}}\|_{\Phi, B_0}.$$

We assume $f \neq 0$. First, we can estimate

$$\|\chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}}\|_{\Phi, B_0} = \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_0|}{m(B_0, f, \lambda)} \right)^{-1},$$

since we note that

$$B_0 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\} = \{x \in B_0 : |f(x)| > \lambda\}.$$

We prove that

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_0|}{m(B_0, f, \lambda)} \right)^{-1} \leq \|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{weak}}.$$

Then

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi(\lambda) \left| \left\{ x \in B_0 : f > \|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{weak}} \lambda \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi(\lambda) \left| \left\{ x \in B_0 : \frac{f}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{weak}}} > \lambda \right\} \right| \leq |B_0|. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing t in (5.2) by $t/(\|f\|_{\Phi, B(a,r), \text{weak}})$, for all $t > 0$,

$$\frac{\lambda}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B(a,r), \text{weak}}} \leq \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_0|}{m(B_0, f, \lambda)} \right),$$

or equivalently,

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_0|}{m(B_0, f, \lambda)} \right)^{-1} \leq \|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{weak}}.$$

Conversely, we verify that

$$(5.3) \quad \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_0|}{m(B_0, f, \lambda)} \right)^{-1} \geq \|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{weak}}.$$

Hereafter, we put

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{W}} \equiv \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_0|}{m(B_0, f, \lambda)} \right)^{-1},$$

and note that for all $t > 0$,

$$\lambda \left\{ \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_0|}{m(B_0, f, \lambda)} \right) \right\}^{-1} \leq \|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{W}}.$$

We estimate

$$\Phi \left(\frac{\lambda}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{W}}} \right) \leq \Phi \left(\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_0|}{m(B_0, f, \lambda)} \right) \right) \leq \frac{|B_0|}{m(B_0, f, \lambda)},$$

and then

$$\Phi \left(\frac{\lambda}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{W}}} \right) m(B_0, f, \lambda) \leq |B_0|.$$

Hence

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi \left(\frac{\lambda}{\|f\|_{\Phi, B_0, \text{W}}} \right) m(B_0, f, \lambda) \leq |B_0|,$$

which implies (5.3). Thus we conclude (5.3). The proof is complete. \square

APPENDIX

Here, we show (1.6) and (1.7).

Proof of (1.6). Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_2^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By assumption of (φ, Φ) , then we compute

$$\Phi(t) \leq \begin{cases} 2^{2n}t^2 & 0 < t \leq 1, \\ 2^{2n}t^{2n} & 1 \leq t. \end{cases} = 2^{2n} \max(t^2, t^{2n}).$$

Putting $\Psi(t) = 2^{2n} \max(t^2, t^{2n})$, then

$$\Psi(\varepsilon t) \leq 2^{2n} \max(\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^{2n}) \max(t^2, t^{2n}) = 2^{-2n} \Psi(\varepsilon) \Psi(t).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \\ & \leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{1/(4n)} \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \Psi\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \frac{2^{-2n}}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{B(a, r)} \Psi(|f(x)|) dx \leq 1 \right\} \\ & = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{1/(4n)} \Psi^{-1} \left(\frac{2^{2n}|B(a, r)|}{\int_{B(a, r)} \Psi(|f(x)|) dx} \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi^{-1}(t) = \begin{cases} 2^{-n}t^{1/2}, & 0 < t \leq 2^{2n}, \\ 2^{-1}t^{1/(2n)}, & 2^{2n} \leq t. \end{cases}$$

By $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $0 < |f(x)| < 2^{-n}$. Further, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < |f(x)| < 2^{-n} & \implies 0 < \Psi(|f(x)|) < \Psi(2^{-n}) = 1 \\ & \implies 0 < \frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{B(a, r)} \Psi(|f(x)|) dx < 1 \\ & \implies 2^{2n} < \frac{2^{2n}|B(a, r)|}{\int_{B(a, r)} \Psi(|f(x)|) dx}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} & \leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{1/4} 2 \left(\frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{B(a, r)} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/(2n)} \\ & \lesssim \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{1/4-1/2} \left(\int_{B(a, r)} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/(2n)} \\ & = \left(\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{n/4-n/2} \left(\int_{B(a, r)} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right)^{1/n} \sim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_2^4}^{1/n} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $f \in \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof of (1.7). First we show that the left inequality in (1.6). In fact, we have

$$\frac{1}{|B(a, r)|} \int_{B(a, r)} \Phi \left(\frac{f(x)}{\Phi^{-1}(1)^{-1} \|f\|_{L^\infty}} \right) dx \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$\inf_{r>0} \varphi(r) \Phi^{-1}(1) \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Regarding to the right inequality in (1.6), by Lebesgue differential theorem, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi^{-1}(1)^{-1} f(x) \\ & \leq \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \Phi \left(\frac{f(x)}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ & = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} \Phi \left(\frac{f(y)}{\lambda} \right) dy \leq 1 \right\} \\ & \leq \sup_{r>0} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\varphi(r)} \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} \Phi \left(\frac{f(y)}{\lambda} \right) dy \leq 1 \right\} \\ & \leq \sup_{r>0} \varphi(r) \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Phi^{-1}(1)^{-1} f(x) \leq \sup_{r>0} \varphi(r) \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \Phi^{-1}(1) \sup_{r>0} \varphi(r) \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

The first author and second author acknowledge support from the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) under CREST grant JPMJCR1913. The second author acknowledges support from the JST under ACT-X grant JPMJAX2004.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. C. Arora, G. Datt, and S. Verma, Composition operators on Lorentz spaces, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 76(2), (2007), 205–214.
- [2] P. Bevanda, S. Stefan, and H. Sandra, Koopman operator dynamical models: Learning, analysis and control, *Annual Reviews in Control*, 52 (2021), 197–212.
- [3] G. Bourdaud, and W. Sickel, Changes of variable in Besov spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 198 (1999), 19–39.
- [4] Y. Cui, H. Hudzik, R. Kumar, and L. Maligranda, Composition operators in Orlicz spaces. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 76(2), 2004, 189–206.
- [5] N. Črnjarić-Žić, S. Maćešić, and I. Mezić, Koopman operator spectrum for random dynamical systems, *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 30 (2020), 2007–2056.

- [6] T. K. Donaldson, and N. S. Trudinger, Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and imbedding theorems. *Journal of functional analysis*, 8, No.1, 1971, 52–75.
- [7] V. S. Guliyev, Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized Morrey spaces, *Journal of inequalities and applications* 2009 (2009), 1-20.
- [8] H. Gunawan, D. I. Hakim, and K. M. Limanta, A. A. Masta, Inclusion properties of generalized Morrey spaces, *Mathematische Nachrichten* 290.2-3 (2017): 332-340.
- [9] D. I. Hakim and Y. Sawano, Interpolation of generalized Morrey spaces, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 29, no.2, (2016), 295–340.
- [10] Y. Hashimoto, I. Ishikawa, M. Ikeda, Y. Matsuo, and Y. Kawahara, Krylov subspace method for nonlinear dynamical systems with random noise, *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(1), (2020), 6954-6982.
- [11] N. Hatano, M. Ikeda, I. Ishikawa, and Y. Sawano, Boundedness of composition operators on Morrey spaces and weak Morrey spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl*, No. 69, (2021), 1–15.
- [12] N. Hatano, R. Kawasumi, and T. Ono, Predual of Weak Orlicz Spaces and Its Applications to Fefferman-Stein Vector-valued Maximal Inequality, *Tokyo J. Math.* 46(2023) no.1, pp.125-160.
- [13] T. Iida, Orlicz-fractional maximal operators in Morrey and Orlicz–Morrey spaces. *Positivity*, 25, no.1, (2021), 243–272.
- [14] M. Ikeda, I. Ishikawa, and K. Taniguchi, Boundedness of composition operators on higher order Besov spaces in one dimension, *Math. Ann.* (2023), 1–24.
- [15] R. Kawasumi, E. Nakai, and M. Shi, Characterization of the boundedness of generalized fractional integral and maximal operators on Orlicz-Morrey and weak Orlicz-Morrey spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, 296(4), (2023), 1483–1503.
- [16] B. O. Koopman, Hamiltonian systems and transformation in Hilbert space. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 17(5), (1931), 315-318.
- [17] B. O. Koopman, and J. V. Neumann, Dynamical systems of continuous spectra. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 18(3), (1932), 255-263.
- [18] I. Mezić, Spectral properties of dynamical systems, model reduction and decompositions, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 41 (2005), 309-325.
- [19] T. Mizuhara, Boundedness of some classical operators on generalized Morrey spaces, *Harmonic Analysis, ICM-90 Satellite Conference Proceedings*, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, (1991), 183–189.
- [20] E. Nakai, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 166 (1994), 95–103.
- [21] E. Nakai, Generalized fractional integrals on Orlicz-Morrey spaces, *Banach and Function Spaces (Kitakyushu, 2003)*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2004, 323–333.
- [22] R. O’Neil, Fractional Integration in Orlicz Space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **115** (1965), 300–328.
- [23] Y. Sawano, *Morrey Spaces: Introduction and Applications to Integral Operators and PDE’s*, Volume I, II. Chapman and Hall/CRC (2021)
- [24] Y. Sawano, A thought on generalized Morrey spaces, *J. Indonesian Math. Soc.*, 25(3), (2019), 210-281.
- [25] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano, and H. Tanaka, Generalized fractional integral operators and fractional maximal operators in the framework of Morrey spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 363, No. 12, (2011), 6481–6503.
- [26] M. Shi, R. Arai, and E. Nakai, Generalized fractional integral operators and their commutators with functions in generalized Campanato spaces on Orlicz spaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 23 (2019), No. 6, 1339–1364.
- [27] M. Shi, R. Arai, and E. Nakai, Commutators of integral operators with functions in Campanato spaces on Orlicz-Morrey spaces, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 15, No. 22, (2021), 1–41.

- [28] R. K. Singh, Composition operators induced by rational functions, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 59(2), (1976), 329–333.
- [29] C. Zhang and E. Zuazua, A quantitative analysis of Koopman operator methods for system identification and predictions, Comptes Rendus. Mécanique, Online first (2023), pp. 1–31.

CENTER FOR ADVANCED INTELLIGENCE PROJECT, RIKEN, 1-4-1 NIHONBASHI, CHUO, 103-0027, TOKYO, JAPAN.

Email address: `masahiro.ikeda@riken.jp`

CENTER FOR DATA SCIENCE, EHIME UNIVERSITY, 2-5, BUNKYO-CHO, MATSUYAMA, 790-8577, EHIME, JAPAN.

Email address: `ishikawa.isao.zx@ehime-u.ac.jp`

FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, KOBE GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, 1-1-3 MINATOJIMA, CHUO-KU, KOBE, 650-8586, HYOGO, JAPAN.

Email address: `kawasumi@ba.kobegakuin.ac.jp`