#### Doppler-free three-photon spectroscopy on narrow-line optical transitions

Guglielmo Panelli,<sup>\*</sup> Shaun C. Burd,<sup>\*</sup> Erik J. Porter, and Mark Kasevich<sup>†</sup>

Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA, 94305

(Dated: March 18, 2024)

We demonstrate coherent Doppler-free three-photon excitation of the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  optical clock transition and the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  intercombination transition in free-space thermal clouds of  ${}^{88}$ Sr atoms. By appropriate orientation of the wavevectors of three lasers incident on the atoms, the first-order Doppler shift can be eliminated for all velocity classes. Three-photon excitation of the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$ transition enables high-contrast Ramsey spectroscopy with interrogation times comparable to the  $21 \,\mu$ s natural lifetime using a single near-resonant laser source. Three-photon spectroscopy on the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  clock transition, using only laser frequencies nearly resonant with the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  and  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  transitions, enables a reduction in Doppler broadening by two orders of magnitude and a corresponding ~ 470 Hz linewidth without a confining potential.

Laser spectroscopy is an essential tool for understanding the nature of matter and for performing the most precise physical measurements. However, spectroscopic resolution can be limited by Doppler effects stemming from the motion of atoms and molecules. Furthermore, effects of momentum recoil from photon absorption pose limitations for many quantum sensing applications. Here we demonstrate a Doppler-free and recoil-free spectroscopic technique on narrow-line atomic transitions with wide applicability in spectroscopy and precision metrology.

Various techniques have been developed to mitigate Doppler effects and achieve high-resolution spectroscopy. One approach is to confine atomic motion within a spatial region much smaller than the wavelength of the probing radiation. This confinement virtually eliminates Doppler effects and is used in the most accurate optical atomic clocks: laser-cooled neutral atoms in optical lattices [1, 2] and ions in electrodynamic traps [3]. However, this confinement typically limits the number of atoms that can contribute to the spectroscopic signal, a factor in the stability of an atomic clock. On the other hand, atom-beam-based approaches using optical Ramsev spectroscopy [4, 5] can employ a large atomic flux but are limited by residual Doppler and photon recoil effects [6]. Another technique that does not require particle confinement is saturated-absorption spectroscopy where a narrow velocity class within a thermal distribution is selectively targeted [7, 8]. However this limits the number of atoms that can contribute to the signal. Two-photon spectroscopy enables participation of all velocity classes, but poses stringent requirements on the electronic structure of the atom. Moreover, this technique cannot be used for many important optical transitions because only states of the same parity can be coupled [9, 10].

Three-photon excitation addresses these limitations, enabling Doppler-free excitation of odd-parity transitions with participation of all atoms in a thermal ensemble [11, 12]. This was first demonstrated by Grynberg et al. who performed Doppler-free three-photon spectroscopy on a dipole-allowed transition in a room temperature sodium vapor [13]. Furthermore, Doppler-free three-photon excitation has been shown to be a promising method for Rydberg excitation [14–17], and for lasing without inversion [18]. Multispectral three-photon schemes have also been studied theoretically for narrowline optical transitions [19, 20], particularly in the context of optical atomic clocks [21]. However, these proposals pose stringent atomic-species-dependent requirements along with challenging phase and frequency stabilization requirements for the three optical excitation lasers.

In this Letter, we report Doppler- and recoil-free threephoton excitation of the narrow-line  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  transition ( $\Gamma/2\pi = 7.5$  kHz natural linewidth) as well as the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  forbidden clock transition in atomic strontium. In the first case, three near-resonant lasers derived from the same source are strategically oriented to cancel the Doppler effect. This enables temperature-insensitive Ramsey spectroscopy with coherence decay dominated by the lifetime of the transition. For Doppler-free spectroscopy on the clock transition, the third laser addresses the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  clock state enabling free-space Ramsey spectroscopy and a demonstrated ~100-fold reduction in the spectroscopic linewidth for atoms probed in free space. Both schemes are widely generalizable to different atomic species and optical transitions.

The details of the experiment are as follows. As illustrated in Fig. 1, three coplanar laser beams with wavevectors  $\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2$ , and  $\vec{k}_3$  interact with an atomic cloud with Rabi frequencies  $\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2$ , and  $\Omega_3$ , respectively. In the Doppler-free configuration, the angles between the laser beams  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are selected so that  $\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 = \vec{0}$ . This ensures that the first-order Doppler shift  $(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3) \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{0}$ , regardless of the velocity  $\vec{v}$  of an atom. The angular frequencies of lasers 1 and 2 are given by  $\omega_1 = \omega_0 + \Delta$  and  $\omega_2 = \omega_0 + \Delta - \delta$  respectively, where  $\omega_0$  is the resonance frequency of the  ${}^1S_0 \leftrightarrow {}^3P_1$  transition. For driving  ${}^1S_0 \leftrightarrow {}^3P_1$ , the frequency of laser 3 is set to  $\omega_3 = \omega_0 - \delta + \delta_{AC}$  where  $\delta_{AC}$  accounts for the AC Stark shift of the transition due to the three-photon excitation lasers. For the  ${}^1S_0 \leftrightarrow {}^3P_0$  scheme, the frequency of laser

<sup>\*</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> kasevich@stanford.edu



FIG. 1. Three-photon Doppler-free excitation of the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  transition in  ${}^{88}$ Sr. (a) Energy level diagram (not to scale) for three-photon excitation of  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$ . Red arrows indicate lasers coupling atomic states with the Rabi frequencies  $\Omega_{i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\Delta$  are frequency detunings of the lasers described in the main text. (b) Laser geometry for Doppler-free operation. The three lasers have wavevectors  $\vec{k}_{i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and propagate in a plane, with lasers 1 and 2 separated by angle  $\theta_{1}$  and lasers 2 and 3 separated by  $\theta_{2}$ . The laser polarization vectors (indicated by black dots) are perpendicular to the plane containing the laser propagation vectors and parallel to the applied magnetic field  $\vec{B}$ . (c) Three-photon (red squares) and single-photon (blue circles) resonance features, plotted as the population in  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  versus the frequency of laser 3 relative to the single-photon  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  resonance (0 MHz). Solid curves are Lorentzian (red) and Gaussian (blue) fits to the data. (d) Three-photon Rabi oscillations in the population of  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  as the three-photon pulse duration is varied. The inset shows an example fluorescence image used for state readout.

3 is  $\omega_3 = \omega_{\text{clock}} - \delta + \delta_{AC}$ , where  $\omega_{\text{clock}}$  is the resonance frequency of the  ${}^1S_0 \leftrightarrow {}^3P_0$  transition. When tuned to the three-photon resonance frequency, with the conditions that  $\Delta \gg \Omega_1$ ,  $\Delta - \delta \gg \Omega_2$ , and  $\delta \gg \Omega_3$ , the threephoton transition occurs with Rabi frequency  $\Omega_{3\nu}$  given by  $\Omega_{3\nu} = \Omega_1 \Omega_2 \Omega_3 / 2\Delta \delta$  for the  ${}^1S_0 \leftrightarrow {}^3P_1$  transition and  $\Omega_{3\nu} = \Omega_1 \Omega_2 \Omega_3 / 4\Delta \delta$  for the  ${}^1S_0 \leftrightarrow {}^3P_0$  transition [15]. The factor of two between these expressions arises from the two excitation paths available in the  ${}^1S_0 \leftrightarrow {}^3P_1$  excitation scheme, see Supplemental Material for details [22].

We prepare clouds of  $\sim 10^6$  <sup>88</sup>Sr atoms using a dualstage magneto-optical trap (MOT) sequence, first with cooling on the 461 nm  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{1}P_{1}$  transition [23] then on the narrow-line 689 nm  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  transition [24], resulting in  $\sim 3 \,\mu \text{K}$  atomic ensembles. The 689 nm light for the three-photon drive is generated from an injection-locked laser diode (Ushio HL69001DG). Seed light for the lock is sourced from a 689 nm external-cavity diode laser locked to a high-finesse optical cavity (Stable Laser Systems). The light is split into three paths each with an independent acousto-optical modulator (AOM) for varying the laser frequency and intensity. The AOMs are controlled using a field-programmable gate array (FPGA) based timing system [25]. After the AOMs, each laser beam is coupled into a separate polarization-maintaining optical fiber. The outputs of the optical fibers are collimated to give a 0.8 mm waist at the location of the atoms, which is  $\sim 7$  times the typical root-mean-square (rms) radius of the atom cloud of  $120 \,\mu\text{m}$ . For  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  threephoton excitation, the polarization vector of each laser is parallel to a 4 G quantization magnetic field (Fig. 1b)

that is pulsed on during the interaction time in order to resonantly address only the  $m_J = 0$  Zeeman sublevel within the  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  manifold. At the end of a given experiment, a 1-2  $\mu$ s pulse resonant with the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{1}P_{1}$ (461 nm) transition followed by a 4 ms delay is used to spatially separate atoms in states  ${}^{1}S_{0}$  and  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  after the three-photon interrogation (Fig. 1c, inset). All atoms are in the ground state after the separation time delay and fluorescence imaging on the 461 nm transition provides population readout. For readout of  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  populations, an additional repumping pulse is applied during the cloud separation delay to bring all atoms in  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  to  ${}^{1}S_{0}$  before fluorescence detection.

A typical  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  three-photon spectrum is shown in Fig. 1c. Single-photon Rabi frequencies of  $\Omega_1$  = 2.12(2) MHz,  $\Omega_2 = 3.12(3)$  MHz, and  $\Omega_3 = 0.54(4)$  MHz, and detunings of  $\Delta = 10.1 \,\mathrm{MHz}$  and  $\delta = 2.0 \,\mathrm{MHz}$  were used, giving a calculated three-photon Rabi frequency of  $\sim 90 \,\mathrm{kHz}$ , in agreement with the measured value. The single-photon Rabi frequencies are measured via onresonance excitation of the transition. The values of  $\Delta$ and  $\delta$  are large compared to the Doppler width of the atomic ensemble ( $\sim 60 \text{ kHz}$ ) to mitigate the effect of nonuniform three-photon Rabi frequency and AC Stark shift across different velocity classes [13]. Applying a threephoton excitation pulse on resonance for various durations results in Rabi oscillations (Fig. 1d). The offset in the  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  population from three-photon excitation in Figs. 1c and 1d results from off-resonance single-photon scattering which could be further suppressed by increasing the detunings  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$ .



FIG. 2. Comparison of  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  single and three-photon Ramsey coherence. a) Experimental sequence. After preparation of the atoms, three-photon Ramsey  $\pi/2$ -pulses each with duration 2.5  $\mu$ s and relative phase  $\phi$  are applied to the atoms. The Ramsey pulses are separated by variable delay  $\tau$ . For single-photon excitation, only a single resonant laser with Rabi frequency  $\Omega_{3}$  is use, with a  $\pi/2$ -pulse duration of 0.5  $\mu$ s. b) Plots of the measured population of  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  against  $\phi$  with  $\tau = 4.5 \,\mu$ s (upper panel) and  $\tau = 15.5 \,\mu$ s (lower panel). Data points are for three-photon excitation with optimized laser beam geometry (red squares) and for single-photon excitation (blue circles). Data points and error bars indicate the average and the standard error of 3 repeated measurements, respectively. The solid curves are sinusoidal fits to the data used to determine the Ramsey contrast. c) Ramsey contrast as  $\tau$  is varied for single- and three-photon Ramsey experiments for atom ensemble temperatures of 3, 13, and 40  $\mu$ K. Three-photon Ramsey contrast data is given for experimentally optimized laser alignment (red squares),  $\Delta \theta_{2} = 4^{\circ}$  (yellow triangles), and  $\Delta \theta_{1} = 2.5^{\circ}$ ,  $\Delta \theta_{2} = 4^{\circ}$  (green diamonds). Blue circles indicate contrast measured using single-photon excitation. Error bars indicate the standard error of the contrast measurement. Lines are fits to the data, see Supplemental Material for details [22].

To characterize the Doppler sensitivity, we perform Ramsey spectroscopy using the pulse sequence in Fig. 2a. For various settings of the Ramsey delay duration  $\tau$  we scan the relative phase  $\phi$  between the Ramsey  $\pi/2$  pulses (Fig. 2b). From a fit of the function  $A + \frac{C}{2}\sin(\phi + \phi_0)$  to the data, we can extract the Ramsey contrast C, with Aand  $\phi_0$  as free parameters. For single-photon excitation in a  $3\,\mu\mathrm{K}$  cloud, the Ramsey contrast rapidly decays due to Doppler dephasing and is indistinguishable from zero beyond  $\tau \sim 15 \,\mu s$ , while the three-photon Ramsey coherence 1/e decay time is  $30(4) \mu s$  (Fig. 2c). The temperature of the atomic ensembles can be increased up to  $40 \,\mu \text{K}$ by applying a  $20-50\,\mu s$ , near-resonant  $461\,nm$  pulses to heat the atoms with negligible change to the atom cloud size [26]. Increasing the atomic cloud temperature results in an increased rate of single-photon Ramsey contrast decay. When the dominant decaying mechanism is Doppler dephasing rather than spontaneous emission from the excited state, the contrast scales as  $\ln C \propto -T\tau^2$ , where T is the temperature of the atomic ensemble and  $\tau$  is the Ramsey delay duration, as shown in Ref. [27]. For experimentally optimized three-photon laser alignment, an increase in the cloud temperature from 3 to 40  $\mu$ K has only a slight effect on the decoherence. In this case, the contrast decay scales mostly exponentially with respect to  $\tau$ , consistent with coherence loss resulting primarily from spontaneous emission and other homogenous decay mechanisms. Deliberate misalignment of the three-photon lasers results in increased Doppler sensitivity (Fig. 2c). This misalignment introduces residual kvector parallel to the atomic velocity that results in a residual Doppler shift associated with the three-photon process of  $\Delta \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v} = (\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3) \cdot \vec{v}$ . This makes Doppler dephasing an increasingly significant decay mechanism, with a Doppler width that is 0.09 and 0.13 times that of the single-photon process for the cases of  $\Delta \theta_2 = 4^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta \theta_1 = 2.5^{\circ}$ ,  $\Delta \theta_2 = 4^{\circ}$ , respectively. Complete analysis of Ramsey experiment contrast decay is provided in the Supplemental Material [22].

The AC Stark shift is an important consideration for three-photon spectroscopy. Characterization of the AC Stark shift as a function of the Rabi frequency of laser 3  $\Omega_3$  for a configuration that nulls the AC Stark shift is provided in the Supplemental Material [22].

Three-photon excitation of the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  transition is accomplished using the scheme shown in Figs. 3a and 3b. Lasers 1 and 2, near resonance with the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  transition, are derived from a single 689 nm source that is split into two paths. This configuration enables suppression of relative frequency fluctuations between the lasers. Laser 3, near resonance with the 698 nm  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  transition, is frequency-offset locked to the 689 nm source via



FIG. 3. Three-photon spectroscopy on the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  clock transition. a) Energy level diagram (not to scale) for three-photon excitation of  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$ . b) Laser geometry for Doppler-free operation. The three lasers have wavevectors  $\vec{k}_{i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and propagate in a plane, with lasers 1 and 2 separated by angle  $\theta_{1}$  and lasers 2 and 3 separated by  $\theta_{2}$ . The laser polarization vectors (indicated by black arrows) and the magnetic field  $\vec{B}$  all lie in the plane containing the laser propagation vectors. c) Measured  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  population after 4 ms of free-space interrogation as the frequency of laser 3 is varied relative to the atomic resonance (0 kHz). Single-photon excitation at Rabi frequency ~700 Hz (blue circles) results in a Doppler-broadened profile with full-width-half-maximum of 45 kHz, while single-photon excitation with Rabi frequency ~700 Hz (black triangles) results in no significant population transfer. Three-photon Doppler-free excitation with Rabi frequency ~70 Hz (red squares) reduces the spectroscopic linewidth 100-fold. Data points and error bars are averages and standard errors of 3 repeated measurements. Solid curves are Gaussian fits to the data. d) Experimental sequence for free-space Ramsey spectroscopy. Three-photon Ramsey pulses of duration 2 ms are separated by a variable delay duration  $\tau$ . See text for further details. e) Ramsey oscillations for three-photon excitation of the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  transition as the delay duration  $\tau$  is varied. Data points and error bars are averages and standard errors of 9 repeated measurements. The solid curve is a sinusoidal fit to the data.

an optical transfer cavity. A 375 G static magnetic field applied parallel to  $\vec{k}_2$  enables optical coupling between the  ${}^1S_0$  and  ${}^3P_0$  states [28]. The polarization vectors of all lasers lie in the plane containing the laser propagation vectors. As before, AOMs in each laser path allow for precise control of the amplitude, frequency and relative phase between each of the lasers. At the location of the atoms, lasers 1, 2, and 3 are collimated with waists of 0.8 mm, 0.8 mm, and 0.5 mm respectively.

We initially prepare a  $\sim 3\,\mu\text{K}$  cloud of atoms as described above, then transfer the atoms into a  $\sim 15 \,\mu\text{K}$ deep one-dimensional 813 nm optical lattice. The lattice is employed to prevent the expansion of the atoms for the 30 ms required to switch on the coupling magnetic field, and reduces the transverse spatial extent of the cloud by a factor of  $\sim 2$  with negligible change in the atomic temperature. The lattice laser is perpendicular to the plane of the three-photon lasers (Fig 3b). The lattice is then switched off during the three-photon excitation and the atoms undergo free-space expansion. All three-photon lasers are applied simultaneously to excite the atoms. Figure 3c shows the three-photon resonance spectrum obtained with  $\Omega_1 = 1.67(5)$  MHz,  $\Omega_2 = 2.80(5)$  MHz, and an inferred  $\Omega_3 = 700 \,\text{Hz}$  given the size of laser 3 and coupling magnetic field [28]. We select  $\Delta = 185 \,\mathrm{MHz}$  to minimize single-photon scattering and  $\delta = 70 \text{ kHz}$  to shift the three-photon resonance beyond the single-photon  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  Doppler width. Note that if  $\delta$  were within the

Doppler width of the atomic sample, atoms of different velocity classes would experience a non-negligible variation in three-photon Rabi frequency resulting in significant inhomogeneous broadening, as discussed in Ref. [13]. Moreover, we choose  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  such that  $\Omega_1^2/2\Delta$ ,  $\Omega_2^2/2\Delta$ , and  $\Omega_1\Omega_2/2\Delta$  are all smaller than  $\delta$ . The parameters selected here result in a three-photon Rabi frequency of  $\Omega_{3v} \sim 70$  Hz, a factor of 10 lower than the single-photon Rabi frequency of the  ${}^1S_0 \leftrightarrow {}^3P_0$  transition at the same laser intensity and magnetic field.

After free-space interrogation, the atoms are recaptured in the lattice while the mixing magnetic field is ramped down before state readout. Approximately 50% of the atoms are recaptured, resulting in a single-photon Doppler width of 45 kHz for the detected ensemble, as shown in Fig. 3b. Experimental optimization of the laser angles results in a two orders of magnitude reduction in the spectroscoptic linewidth of the atom cloud (Fig. 3), down to 470 Hz. For a direct comparison of the Doppler sensitivity between single-photon and three-photon excitation, we perform single-photon interrogation with the single-photon Rabi frequency reduced to that of the three-photon process by decreasing the mixing magnetic field by a factor of 10. In this case, we see negligible population of the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  state, while the three-photon process at the same Rabi frequency populates a substantial fraction of the atom cloud, as shown in Fig. 3c. We perform free-space Ramsey spectroscopy with three-photon excitation on this transition and observe Ramsey oscillations (Fig. 3e).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated Doppler-free and recoil-free three-photon excitation of narrow-line transitions in atomic strontium. This method enables spectroscopy of odd-parity transitions and participation of all atoms in a thermal ensemble, potentially allowing more atomic or molecular species to be used for highprecision spectroscopy in situations where confinement or cooling is challenging or undesirable. In particular, our techniques could be applied directly to other narrowline transitions found in alkaline-earth-like atoms used in many of the most precise atomic clocks. Three-photon excitation schemes have the potential to facilitate the development of robust and compact thermal-beam atomic frequency standards providing an alternative to Ramsey-Bórde based systems that use narrow-line transitions in

- T. Bothwell, D. Kedar, E. Oelker, J. M. Robinson, S. L. Bromley, W. L. Tew, J. Ye, and C. J. Kennedy, JILA SrI optical lattice clock with uncertainty of 2.0 ×10<sup>-18</sup>, Metrologia 56, 065004 (2019).
- [2] W. McGrew, X. Zhang, R. Fasano, S. Schäffer, K. Beloy, D. Nicolodi, R. Brown, N. Hinkley, G. Milani, M. Schioppo, *et al.*, Atomic clock performance enabling geodesy below the centimetre level, Nature **564**, 87 (2018).
- [3] S. M. Brewer, J.-S. Chen, A. M. Hankin, E. R. Clements, C. W. Chou, D. J. Wineland, D. B. Hume, and D. R. Leibrandt, <sup>27</sup>Al<sup>+</sup> quantum-logic clock with a systematic uncertainty below 10<sup>-18</sup>, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 033201 (2019).
- [4] N. F. Ramsey, A molecular beam resonance method with separated oscillating fields, Physical Review 78, 695 (1950).
- [5] F. Riehle, T. Kisters, A. Witte, J. Helmcke, and C. J. Bordé, Optical Ramsey spectroscopy in a rotating frame: Sagnac effect in a matter-wave interferometer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 177 (1991).
- [6] J. Olson, R. W. Fox, T. M. Fortier, T. F. Sheerin, R. C. Brown, H. Leopardi, R. E. Stoner, C. W. Oates, and A. D. Ludlow, Ramsey-Bordé matter-wave interferometry for laser frequency stabilization at 10<sup>-16</sup> frequency instability and below, Physical Review Letters **123**, 073202 (2019).
- [7] W. E. Lamb, Theory of an optical maser, Phys. Rev. 134, A1429 (1964).
- [8] R. A. McFarlane, W. R. Bennett, and W. E. Lamb, Single mode tuning dip in the power output of an He-Ne optical maser, Applied Physics Letters 2, 189 (1963).
- [9] M. Göppert-Mayer, Über elementarakte mit zwei quantensprüngen, Annalen der Physik 401, 273 (1931).
- [10] I. D. Abella, Optical double-photon absorption in cesium vapor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 9, 453 (1962).
- [11] B. Cagnac, G. Grynberg, and F. Biraben, Spectroscopie d'absorption multiphotonique sans effet Doppler, Journal de physique 34, 845 (1973).
- [12] G. Grynberg, B. Cagnac, and F. Biraben, Multiphoton

5

calcium [6]. In comparison to two-photon <sup>87</sup>Rb optical clocks using the  ${}^{2}S_{1/2} \leftrightarrow {}^{2}D_{5/2}$  transition, which are limited by a ~ 100 kHz linewidth, a three-photon Dopplerfree approach using the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  transition in atomic strontium or calcium would benefit from the 7.5 kHz and 470 Hz natural linewidths, respectively. These methods could provide an alternative to current narrow-line and clock transition excitation schemes while maintaining wide scientific and technological reach.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Jason Hogan, Jan Rudolph, and Leo Hollberg for helpful conversations. G.P. acknowledges support from the U.S. National Science Foundation GRFP.

resonant processes in atoms, in *Coherent Nonlinear Optics: Recent Advances* (Springer, 1980) Chap. 4, pp. 111–164.

- [13] G. Grynberg, F. Biraben, M. Bassini, and B. Cagnac, Three-photon doppler-free spectroscopy: Experimental evidence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 283 (1976).
- [14] P. Thoumany, T. Germann, T. Hänsch, G. Stania, L. Urbonas, and T. Becker, Spectroscopy of Rubidium Rydberg states with three diode lasers, Journal of Modern Optics 56, 2055 (2009).
- [15] I. I. Ryabtsev, I. I. Beterov, D. B. Tretyakov, V. M. Entin, and E. A. Yakshina, Doppler- and recoil-free laser excitation of Rydberg states via three-photon transitions, Phys. Rev. A 84, 053409 (2011).
- [16] D. Tretyakov, V. Entin, E. Yakshina, I. Beterov, and I. Ryabtsev, Dynamics of three-photon laser excitation of mesoscopic ensembles of cold rubidium atoms to Rydberg states, Quantum Electronics 52, 513 (2022).
- [17] I. Beterov, E. Yakshina, D. Tret'yakov, N. Al'yanova, D. Skvortsova, G. Suliman, T. Zagirov, V. Entin, and I. Ryabtsev, Three-photon laser excitation of single Rydberg rubidium atoms in an optical dipole trap, J. Exp. Theor. Phys **137**, 246 (2023).
- [18] B. Rein, J. Schmitt, M. R. Sturm, R. Walser, and T. Walther, Toward lasing without inversion in the ultraviolet regime: Doppler-free three-photon coherence effects in mercury vapor, Phys. Rev. A 105, 023722 (2022).
- [19] T. Hong, C. Cramer, W. Nagourney, and E. N. Fortson, Optical clocks based on ultranarrow three-photon resonances in alkaline earth atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 050801 (2005).
- [20] D. S. Barker, N. C. Pisenti, B. J. Reschovsky, and G. K. Campbell, Three-photon process for producing a degenerate gas of metastable alkaline-earth-metal atoms, Phys. Rev. A 93, 053417 (2016).
- [21] A. D. Ludlow, M. M. Boyd, J. Ye, E. Peik, and P. O. Schmidt, Optical atomic clocks, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87, 637 (2015).
- [22] See Supplemental Material at LINK for additional analysis details.
- [23] A. D. Ludlow, The strontium optical lattice clock: op-

*tical spectroscopy with sub-hertz accuracy*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder (2008).

- [24] H. Katori, T. Ido, Y. Isoya, and M. Kuwata-Gonokami, Magneto-optical trapping and cooling of strontium atoms down to the photon recoil temperature, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1116 (1999).
- [25] S. Bourdeauducq, R. Jördens, P. Zotov, J. Britton, D. Slichter, and D. Leibrandt, Artiq 1.0., Zenodo, 10.5281/zenodo.51303. (2016).
- [26] L. Anderegg, B. L. Augenbraun, Y. Bao, S. Burchesky, L. W. Cheuk, W. Ketterle, and J. M. Doyle, Laser cool-

ing of optically trapped molecules, Nature Physics  ${\bf 14},$  890–893 (2018).

- [27] L. P. Parazzoli, A. M. Hankin, and G. W. Biedermann, Observation of free-space single-atom matter wave interference, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 230401 (2012).
- [28] A. V. Taichenachev, V. I. Yudin, C. W. Oates, C. W. Hoyt, Z. W. Barber, and L. Hollberg, Magnetic fieldinduced spectroscopy of forbidden optical transitions with application to lattice-based optical atomic clocks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 083001 (2006).

# Doppler-free three-photon spectroscopy on narrow-line optical transitions: supplemental material

Guglielmo Panelli,\* Shaun C. Burd,\* Erik J. Porter, and Mark Kasevich Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA, 94305

## I. THREE-PHOTON RABI FREQUENCY

The three-photon Rabi frequency for the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  excitation scheme is a factor of 2 larger than the expression for the three-photon Rabi frequency in a ladder system [1]. This is because there are two resonant three-photon excitation paths for the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  system, shown in Fig. 1 below. The Rabi couplings from these two paths add. The resulting analytic expression for the Rabi frequency agrees with numerical simulations of the system.



FIG. 1. Three-photon excitation paths for the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  transition. The wavevectors and Rabi frequencies for laser  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  are given by  $\vec{k_{i}}$  and  $\Omega_{i}$ , respectively. Here  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  indicate detunings from the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  resonance frequency.

# II. AC STARK SHIFT CONSIDERATIONS FOR THREE-PHOTON EXCITATION

In this section, we provide details of AC Stark shift characterization for the three-photon  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  and  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  excitation schemes described in the main text.

For a given set of detunings  $\Delta, \delta$  and single-photon Rabi frequencies  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ , and  $\Omega_3$ , as defined in Fig. 1a in the main text, the total AC Stark shift  $\delta_{AC}$  for the three-photon excitation can be approximated by the sum of the individual AC Stark shifts from each laser [2]

$$\delta_{AC} \approx \frac{\Omega_1^2}{2\Delta} + \frac{\Omega_2^2}{2(\Delta - \delta)} - \frac{\Omega_3^2}{2\delta} \,. \tag{1}$$

Therefore, it is always possible to find a set of single-photon Rabi frequencies that null the the total AC Stark shift without use of additional non-essential fields since lasers 1 and 2 have the same sign of their contribution to the total AC Stark shift while laser 3 has the opposite sign. One additional factor to consider is the relation between detunings and Rabi frequencies, desiring that  $\Delta \gg \Omega_1$ ,  $\Delta - \delta \gg \Omega_2$  and  $\delta \gg \Omega_3$  to minimize spontaneous emission from  ${}^3P_1$ . Note that Eq. 1 is only strictly correct in the large denuning limit.

Operating with parameters of  $\Omega_1 = 0.57(1)$  MHz,  $\Omega_2 = 2.04(1)$  MHz, and detunings of  $\Delta = 12$  MHz and  $\delta = 4$  MHz (different than those used for the results in Figs. 1 and 2 in the main text), we are able to tune  $\delta_{AC}$  to zero at  $\Omega_3 \sim 1.85$  MHz, as shown in Fig. 2 below. The AC Stark shift measurement is obtained by first measuring the single-photon resonance frequency with single-photon spectroscopy using laser 1 and all other lasers off. Then, the three-photon resonance frequency is measured by scanning the frequency of laser 1 with all three-photon lasers on using the parameters listed above. A Lorentzian function is fit to both resonance features and their centers are estimated. The relative frequency difference between the single- and three-photon resonances minus  $\Delta$  gives the AC Stark shift. Here we have disregarded recoil shift, which is on the order of  $\sim 10$  kHz and is within the standard error of our single-photon resonance estimate. Note that the far-detuned conditions required for the applicability of Eq. 1 are only weakly satisfied for our experimental parameters.

For three-photon excitation of the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  transition (Fig. 3 in the main text), it is also possible to null  $\delta_{AC}$  to first order, provided that the single-photon Rabi frequency  $\Omega_{3}$ on the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  transition can be made large enough. For the parameters used in the main text, we were unable to operate in a regime with  $\delta_{AC} \approx 0$ . However, with a colder atom ensemble one could operate at smaller  $\delta$  and  $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$  to null the AC Stark shift. Additionally, with the use of the  ${}^{87}$ Sr, where the  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  transition is weakly allowed without the use of a mixing magnetic field, one could achieve a factor of  $\sim 10$  increase in  $\Omega_{3}$  with the same laser intensity and size used in this work to make nulling  $\delta_{AC}$  possible.



FIG. 2. The AC Stark shift of the three-photon  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  excitation scheme described in the main text versus laser 3 Rabi frequency with  $\Omega_{1} = 0.57(1)$  MHz,  $\Omega_{2} = 2.04(1)$  MHz, and detunings of  $\Delta = 12$  MHz and  $\delta = 4$  MHz. Data points are differences between single- and three-photon resonances offset by  $\Delta$ . Error bars are the width of 95% confidence intervals for the center of the three-photon resonance. The shaded area represents the standard error of the estimated single-photon resonance frequency. The solid line is a linear fit to the data.

### III. CHARACTERIZATION OF DOPPLER SENSITIVITY

In this section, we describe the fitting procedure used to quantify Ramsey contrast decay in the three-photon  ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$  excitation shown in Figure 3c in the main text.

We parameterize the Ramsey contrast C as a function of the Ramsey delay time  $\tau$  using the expression:

$$\ln C(\tau) \propto -\left(\frac{\tau}{t_D}\right)^2 - \frac{\tau}{t_1} + y_0,\tag{2}$$

where the first term on the right is a Gaussian decay process with characteristic decay time  $t_D$ due to Doppler dephasing. The second term is an exponential decay process with characteristic decay time  $t_1$ . The constant offset  $y_0$  relates to the  $\pi$ -pulse fidelity. For a single-photon process, the dominant decay mechanism is Doppler dephasing due to the temperature of the atom ensemble. For an optimized three-photon process, the Gaussian decay contribution becomes negligible and the dominant decay mechanisms are spontaneous emission from the excited state and other homogeneous decay processes resulting in predominantly exponential contrast decay.

We fit this decay function to the contrast decay data to extract the characteristic decay times. The characteristic dephasing time  $t_D$  is inversely proportional to the Doppler width  $\Gamma_D$  of the ensemble [3], thereby scaling with temperature and laser k-vector as

$$t_D \propto \frac{1}{\Gamma_D} \propto \frac{1}{|\Delta \vec{k}| \sqrt{T}}$$
 (3)

where T is the temperature of the atom cloud and  $|\Delta \vec{k}|$  is the magnitude of the residual k-vector for the process. For three-photon excitation,  $\Delta \vec{k} = \vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3$  while for the single-photon process  $\Delta \vec{k} = \vec{k}$ , where  $\vec{k}$  is the k-vector resonant with the  ${}^1S_0 \leftrightarrow {}^3P_1$  transition, of nearly equal magnitude to each  $\vec{k}_i$  in the three-photon excitation. It follows that for known temperature T, the time constant  $t_D$  relates directly to  $\Delta \vec{k}$ . Comparing  $t_D$  between single-photon and three-photon excitation configurations allows us to quantify the level of Doppler sensitivity, which we define as  $|\Delta \vec{k}|/|\vec{k}|$ .

For each excitation configuration shown in Figure 2c – single-photon, three-photon optimized, three-photon  $\Delta \theta_1 = 4^\circ$ , and three-photon  $\Delta \theta_1 = 4^\circ, \Delta \theta_2 = 2.5^\circ$  – we perform a combined least-squares fit to the log-contrast data across all temperatures  $T_i \in \{3 \,\mu\text{K}, 13 \,\mu\text{K}, 40 \,\mu\text{K}\}$  using the fit function

$$f(\tau, \alpha, \beta, \{y_0\}_i) = \alpha T_i \tau^2 + \beta \tau + y_{0i}, \tag{4}$$

where free parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are optimized using all data from a particular excitation configuration and the free parameters  $y_{0i}$  are optimized using data specific to an atom cloud temperature  $T_i$  within the excitation configuration. We denote the optimal fit parameters by  $\mathbf{v}^* = \{\alpha^*, \beta^*, y_{0,3\mu K}^*, y_{0,13\mu K}^*, y_{0,40\mu K}^*\}$  and use their values to estimate the underlying Doppler dephasing and exponential decay times across all temperatures of a particular configuration. The 95% confidence intervals for all optimal parameters of our fits in all excitation configurations are provided in Table I. Note that for all three-photons excitation configurations, the values of  $\beta^*$  are not significantly distinguishable from each other, suggesting the only major disparity between their decay processes is the variation in  $|\Delta \vec{k}|$  from deliberate misalignment of the three-photon lasers.

By comparing the value of  $\alpha^*$  obtained by the fitting procedure for each excitation scenario to that of the single-photon case  $(\alpha_{1\nu}^*)$ , we compute  $|\Delta \vec{k}|/|\vec{k}|$  to quantify the Doppler

Excitation configuration	$\alpha^* \times 10^5$	$\beta^* \times 10^2$	$y^*_{0,3\mu K}$	$y^*_{0,13\mu K}$	$y^*_{0,40\mu K}$
TP optimized	(-1.98, -0.21)	(-3.75, -2.88)	(-1.09, -0.98)	(-1.11, -1.01)	(-1.17, -1.03)
TP $\Delta \theta_2 = 4^{\circ}$	(-4.74, -3.36)	(-3.86, -3.08)	(-1.09, -1.00)	(-1.09, -1.02)	(-1.18, -1.11)
TP $\Delta \theta_2 = 4^{\circ}, \ \Delta \theta_1 = 2.5^{\circ}$	(-9.35, -6.41)	(-4.61, -3.32)	(-1.14, -0.97)	(-1.10, -1.03)	(-1.19, -1.09)
Single-photon	(-514, -438)	(-4.84, 0.92)	(-0.75, -0.54)	(-0.77, -0.64)	(-0.85, -0.72)

TABLE I. Table of 95% confidence intervals for optimal fit parameters  $\mathbf{v}^*$  for Ramsey experiment contrast decay from single- and three-photon (TP) excitation configurations

TABLE II. Estimates for residual Doppler sensitivity  $|\Delta \vec{k}|/|\vec{k}|$ . Estimates are obtained from optimized fit parameter  $\alpha^*$  (middle column) and from angle deviations introduced (right column) for each three-photon excitation configuration explored in the main text.

Three-photon configuration	Estimated $ \Delta \vec{k} / \vec{k} $ via $\sqrt{\alpha^*/\alpha^*_{1\nu}}$	Estimated $ \Delta \vec{k} / \vec{k} $ via $\{\Delta \theta_i\}$
Optimized	$0.05\pm0.03$	$0^{\mathbf{a}}$
$\Delta \theta_2 = 4^{\circ}$	$0.09\pm0.01$	0.07
$\Delta \theta_2 = 4^\circ, \Delta \theta_1 = 2.5^\circ$	$0.13\pm0.01$	0.10

<sup>a</sup> Experimentally-optimized configuration and reference point for angle misalignment.

sensitivity of each alignment configuration, shown in Table II. These estimates are comparable to the values of the residual k-vector calculated from the angle deviations  $\{\Delta \theta_i\}$ deliberately introduced assuming perfect Doppler-free alignment in the experimentally optimized three-photon configuration. This analysis also provides an estimate of  $|\Delta \vec{k}|/|\vec{k}|$ for the experimentally-optimized three-photon configuration, where residual k-vector is still present at low levels, with an estimated  $|\Delta \vec{k}|/|\vec{k}|$  of 0.05(3). This small Doppler dephasing only becomes comparable to decay from homogeneous mechanisms at atom cloud temperatures > 40  $\mu$ K.

From the fit function evaluated using the optimized fit parameters  $\mathbf{v}^*$ , we calculate 95% confidence intervals for the 1/e contrast decay time, shown in Table III. Note that for the optimally aligned three-photon process, these confidence intervals overlap, suggesting insignificant change in contrast decay time for a temperature range that varies by over an order of magnitude. This is not true for any of the other excitation configurations explored.

TABLE III. Table of 95% confidence intervals for the Ramsey experiment 1/e decay time in  $\mu$ s of each single- and three-photon (TP) excitation configuration and atom cloud temperature explored in the main text.

Configuration / Temperature	$3\mu { m K}$	$13\mu K$	$40\mu\mathrm{K}$
TP optimized	(22.32, 41.32)	(25.78, 29.54)	(20.45, 25.83)
TP $\Delta \theta_2 = 4^{\circ}$	(24.24,  30.90)	(18.21, 23.10)	(15.79,  16.93)
TP $\Delta \theta_2 = 4^{\circ}, \ \Delta \theta_1 = 2.5^{\circ}$	(21.73, 27.68)	(15.93,  18.07)	(12.40, 13.05)
Single-photon	(6.45,  6.86)	(3.49,  3.65)	(2.07, 2.17)

- I. I. Ryabtsev, I. I. Beterov, D. B. Tretyakov, V. M. Entin, and E. A. Yakshina, Doppler- and recoil-free laser excitation of Rydberg states via three-photon transitions, Phys. Rev. A 84, 053409 (2011).
- [2] C. J. Foot, Atomic physics, Oxford master series in atomic, optical, and laser physics (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007).
- [3] L. P. Parazzoli, A. M. Hankin, and G. W. Biedermann, Observation of free-space single-atom matter wave interference, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 230401 (2012).