

# DECAY OF CORRELATIONS VIA INDUCED WEAK GIBBS MARKOV MAPS FOR NON-HÖLDER OBSERVABLES

ASAD ULLAH AND HELDER VILARINHO

**ABSTRACT.** We extend the results of [19] by considering larger classes of observables. More precisely, we obtain estimates on the decay of correlations, Central Limit Theorem and Large Deviations for dynamical systems having an induced weak Gibbs Markov map, for larger classes of observables with weaker regularity than Hölder.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Statistical properties for Hölder observables are well understood for a large variety of dynamical systems. This includes the decay of correlations, central limit theorem (CLT), large deviations, almost sure invariance principle, etc. For instance, in [20, 21] the decay of correlation and CLT are obtained for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems. The large deviations and almost sure invariance principles for nonuniformly expanding systems are discussed in [14, 15]. We also refer to [3, 5, 6, 8, 10] where these statistical properties for distinct classes of dynamical systems are analysed. Note that in all of the above references, observables are assumed to be Hölder.

There are several works dealing with classes of observables strictly larger than Hölder. For example, the mixing rate of the equilibrium state of one-sided shift is discussed in [4] for non-Hölder potential of summable variations, while the results for two-sided subshift of finite type are discussed in [16]. In addition, the polynomial decay of correlation and CLT are obtained in [9] for the equilibrium state of a one-sided shift map on two symbols with non-Hölder potential. We also would like to mention some work beyond shift spaces. In [17] a class of observables which contains all piecewise Lipschitz functions is considered, in order to get the decay of correlation for certain non-uniformly expanding systems. Estimates for the rates of mixing for observables with weaker regularity than Hölder are given in [7] for

---

*Date:* March 15, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A05, 37A25, 37A50.

*Key words and phrases.* Weak Gibbs Markov map; Young Tower; Decay of Correlations; Central Limit Theorem; Large Deviations.

A. Ullah and H. vilarinho were partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) through Centro de Matemática e Aplicações (CMA-UBI), Universidade da Beira Interior, under the project UIDB/00212/2020. A. Ullah was also supported by FCT under the grant number UI/BD/150796/2020.

one-dimensional expanding Lorenz-like map. We refer to [18, 22] for invertible maps with non-Hölder observables. More generally, in [12], decay of correlations and CLT are obtained for those dynamical systems that admit an induced full branch map, referred to as Gibbs Markov map, for much larger classes of observables. More precisely, the results obtained in [12] are an extension of [21] in the sense of considering bigger classes of observables.

In [19], the authors generalised the results of [21] under weaker assumptions on the dynamical system, where the induced map was not necessarily full branch, that we refer to as a weak Gibbs Markov (WGM) map; see Definition 2.1. Our goal in the present work is to extend the results of [19] by taking the bigger classes of observables considered in [12]. Our approach is to consider a mixing tower built over an induced WGM map, and then transfer the information obtained to the original dynamical system. We make an extension of the coupling arguments used in [19] in order to obtain the decay of correlations for the tower system for larger classes of observables. In addition, we obtain CLT and large deviation results as an immediate corollary.

This article is organised as follows: In Section 2, we give the necessary definitions and state the main results. In Section 3, we obtain the decay of correlations for the tower map and transfer them to the original dynamical system.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

Consider a finite measure space  $(\Delta_0, \mathcal{A}, m)$ , with  $m(\Delta_0) > 0$ , a measurable map  $F : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$  and an  $(m \bmod 0)$  finite or countable partition  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of  $\Delta_0$  into invertibility domains of  $F$ , that is,  $F$  is a bijection from each  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0$  to  $F(\omega)$ , with measurable inverse. For each  $n \geq 0$  set  $F^{-n}(\mathcal{P}_0) = \{F^{-n}(\omega) : \omega \in \mathcal{P}_0\}$ . We define

$$\mathcal{P}_0^n = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} F^{-i}(\mathcal{P}_0), \text{ for } n \geq 1, \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_0^\infty = \bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} F^{-i}(\mathcal{P}_0).$$

We call the sequence  $(\mathcal{P}_0^n)_n$  a *basis* of  $\Delta_0$  if it generates  $\mathcal{A}$   $(m \bmod 0)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_0^\infty$  is the partition into single points.

**Definition 2.1.** *We say that  $F : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$  is a weak Gibbs Markov (WGM) map, with respect to the partition  $\mathcal{P}_0$ , if the following hold:*

- W1) *Markov:  $F$  maps each  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0$  bijectively to an  $(m \bmod 0)$  union of elements of  $\mathcal{P}_0$ .*
- W2) *Separability: the sequence  $(\mathcal{P}_0^n)_n$  is a basis of  $\Delta_0$ .*
- W3) *Nonsingular: there exists a strictly positive measurable function  $J_F$  defined on  $\Delta_0$  such that for each  $A \subset \omega \in \mathcal{P}_0$ ,*

$$m(F(A)) = \int_A J_F dm.$$

W4) *Gibbs*: there exist  $C_F > 0$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$  such that for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0$  and  $x, y \in \omega$ ,

$$\log \frac{J_F(x)}{J_F(y)} \leq C_F \beta^{s(F(x), F(y))},$$

where

$$s(x, y) = \min\{n \geq 0 : F^n(x) \text{ and } F^n(y) \text{ lie in distinct elements of } \mathcal{P}_0\}.$$

W5) *Long branches*: there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $m(F(\omega)) \geq \delta_0$ , for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0$ .

The term *weak* in the above definition refers that in W1) we do not require *full branch* (that is,  $F(\omega) = \Delta_0$  for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0$ ). In the case of full branch,  $F$  is called a *Gibbs Markov* map. This terminology follows [1].

Consider a measure space  $(M, \mathcal{B}, m)$ , a measurable map  $f : M \rightarrow M$  and  $\Delta_0 \subset M$  with  $m(\Delta_0) > 0$ . For simplicity we denote the restriction of  $m$  to  $\Delta_0$  also by  $m$ . We say that  $F : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$  is an *induced map* for  $f$  if there exists a countable ( $m \bmod 0$ ) partition  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of  $\Delta_0$  and a measurable function  $R : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , constant on each element of  $\mathcal{P}_0$ , such that

$$F|_\omega = f^{R(\omega)}|_\omega.$$

We formally denote the induced map  $F$  by  $f^R$ . We say that an induced map  $f^R : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$  is *aperiodic* if for all  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{P}_0$  there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq k_0$ ,

$$m(\omega_1 \cap (f^R)^{-n}(\omega_2)) > 0.$$

We say that an induced map  $f^R$  has a *coprime block* if there exist  $N \geq 2$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N \in \mathcal{P}_0$  such that  $\gcd\{R(\omega_i)\}_i = 1$  and for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$f^R(\omega_i) \supseteq \omega_1 \cup \omega_2 \cup \dots \cup \omega_N \quad (m \bmod 0).$$

Assume moreover that  $M$  is a metric space with metric  $d$ . We say that an induced map  $f^R$  is *expanding* if there are  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0$  and  $x, y \in \omega$

- i)  $d(f^R(x), f^R(y)) \leq C\beta^{s(x,y)}$ ,
- ii)  $d(f^j(x), f^j(y)) \leq Cd(f^R(x), f^R(y))$ , for all  $0 \leq j \leq R$ .

For a given function  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  we set

$$\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\varphi) := \sup\{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| : d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\}.$$

We consider the following classes of observables which were defined in [12]:

- $(R1, \tau) = \{\varphi : \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^\tau)\}, \tau \in (0, 1)$ .
- $(R2, \tau) = \{\varphi : \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}(\exp\{-|\log \epsilon|^\tau\})\}, \tau \in (0, 1)$ .
- $(R3, \tau) = \{\varphi : \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}(\exp\{-(\log |\log \epsilon|)^\tau\})\}, \tau > 1$ .
- $(R4, \tau) = \{\varphi : \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}(|\log \epsilon|^{-\tau})\}, \tau > 1$ .

The correlation sequence of two observable functions  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \subseteq L^\infty(M, m)$  and  $\psi \in L^\infty(M, m)$ , with respect to an  $f$ -invariant probability  $\mu$ , is defined by

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) = \left| \int \varphi(\psi \circ f^n) d\mu - \int \varphi d\mu \int \psi d\mu \right|.$$

**Theorem A.** *Consider a measure space  $(M, \mathcal{B}, m)$ , endowed with some metric, and a measurable map  $f : M \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $f_* m \ll m$ . Let  $f^R : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$  be an aperiodic induced WGM expanding map with a coprime block,  $R \in L^1(m)$  and let  $\mu$  be the unique ergodic  $f$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \ll m$  and  $\mu(\Delta_0) > 0$ . Then,*

- (1) *If  $m\{R > n\} \leq Cn^{-a}$  for some  $C > 0$  and  $a > 1$ , given  $\kappa > 0$ , there is  $0 < \zeta < 1$  such that for all  $\varphi \in (R4, \tau)$ , with  $\tau > \frac{2}{\zeta}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\infty(\varphi) < \kappa$ , and for all  $\psi \in L^\infty(M, m)$  we have*

- i) *if  $\tau = \frac{a+1}{\zeta}$ , there is some  $C' > 0$  such that*

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) \leq C' (n^{1-a} \log n);$$

- ii) *otherwise, there is some  $C' > 0$  such that*

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) \leq C' \max \{n^{1-a}, n^{2-\zeta\tau}\}.$$

- (2) *If  $m\{R > n\} \leq Ce^{-cn}$  for some  $C, c > 0$ , then*

- i) *for all  $\varphi \in (R1, \tau)$  and  $\psi \in L^\infty(M, m)$  there are  $C', c' > 0$  such that*

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) \leq C' e^{-c'n};$$

- ii) *for all  $\varphi \in (R2, \tau)$  and  $\psi \in L^\infty(M, m)$  there is  $C' > 0$  such that, for every  $\tau' < \tau$ ,*

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) \leq C' e^{-n\tau'};$$

- iii) *for all  $\varphi \in (R3, \tau)$  and  $\psi \in L^\infty(M, m)$  there is  $C' > 0$  such that, for every  $\tau' < \tau$ ,*

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) \leq C' e^{-(\log n)\tau'};$$

- iv) *for given  $\kappa > 0$  there is  $0 < \zeta < 1$  such that for all  $\varphi \in (R4, \tau)$ , with  $\tau > \frac{1}{\zeta}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\infty(\varphi) < \kappa$ , and for all  $\psi \in L^\infty(M, m)$ , there is  $C' > 0$  such that*

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) \leq C' n^{1-\zeta\tau}.$$

We remark that the existence of such measure  $\mu$  is proved in [19]. The proof of remaining parts of Theorem A can be deduced from Proposition 3.4 (see Remark 3.5).

Let  $\mu$  be an ergodic  $f$ -invariant probability measure. We say that an observable  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\int \varphi d\mu = 0$  satisfies the *CLT* if  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ f^i$  converges in law (or in distribution) to a normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$ , for some  $\sigma > 0$ . We may also

consider observables of non-zero expectation by replacing  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi - \int \varphi d\mu$ . In this situation an observable  $\varphi$  satisfies the CLT if there exists  $\sigma > 0$  such that for every interval  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mu \left\{ x : \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \varphi(f^i(x)) - \int \varphi d\mu \right) \in J \right\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_J e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} dt, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

A function  $\varphi$  is *coboundary* if there exists a measurable function  $g$  such that  $\varphi \circ f = g \circ f - g$ .

**Corollary B** (Central Limit Theorem). *Consider a measure space  $(M, \mathcal{B}, m)$ , endowed with some metric, and a measurable map  $f : M \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $f_*m \ll m$ . Let  $f^R : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$  be an aperiodic induced WGM expanding map with a coprime block such that  $R \in L^1(m)$ , and let  $\mu$  be the unique ergodic  $f$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \ll m$  and  $\mu(\Delta_0) > 0$ . If  $m\{R > n\} \leq Cn^{-a}$  for some  $C > 0$  and  $a > 2$ , then the CLT is satisfied for all  $\varphi \in (R4, \tau)$ , some  $\tau > 0$  sufficiently large if and only if  $\varphi$  is not coboundary.*

The proof of Corollary B is essentially the same as that given in [19, Corollary B], and we omit it here.

Given  $\epsilon > 0$  we define the *large deviation at time  $n$*  of the time average of an observable  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  from the spatial average as

$$LD_\mu(\varphi, \epsilon, n) := \mu \left( \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ f^i - \int \varphi d\mu \right| > \epsilon \right).$$

By combining Theorem A and [2, 13], we have an immediate corollary.

**Corollary C** (Large Deviations). *Consider a measure space  $(M, \mathcal{B}, m)$ , endowed with some metric, and a measurable map  $f : M \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $f_*m \ll m$ . Let  $f^R : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$  be an aperiodic induced WGM expanding map with a coprime block such that  $R \in L^1(m)$ , and let  $\mu$  be the unique ergodic  $f$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \ll m$  and  $\mu(\Delta_0) > 0$ . Then,*

- (1) *If  $m\{R > n\} \leq Cn^{-a}$  for some  $C > 0$  and  $a > 1$ , given  $\kappa > 0$  there is  $0 < \zeta < 1$ , such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\varphi \in (R4, \tau)$ , with  $\frac{2}{\zeta} < \tau \neq \frac{a+1}{\zeta}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\infty(\varphi) < \kappa$ , there is some  $C' = C'(\epsilon, \varphi) > 0$  such that*

$$LD_\mu(\varphi, \epsilon, n) \leq C' \max\{n^{-a+1}, n^{2-\zeta\tau}\}.$$

- (2) *If  $m\{R > n\} \leq Ce^{-cn}$  for some  $C, c > 0$ , then*

- i) *for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\varphi \in (R1, \tau)$ , there is some  $C' = C'(\epsilon, \varphi) > 0$  and  $c' = c'(c, \varphi, \epsilon, \tau)$  such that*

$$LD_\mu(\varphi, \epsilon, n) \leq C' e^{-c'n^{\frac{1}{3}}};$$

- ii) for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\varphi \in (R2, \tau)$ , there is some  $C' = C'(\epsilon, \varphi) > 0$  and  $c' = c'(\varphi, \epsilon)$  such that, for every  $\tau' < \tau$ ,

$$LD_\mu(\varphi, \epsilon, n) \leq C' e^{-c'n^{\frac{\tau'}{\tau'+2}}};$$

- iii) for given  $\kappa > 0$  there is  $0 < \zeta < 1$  such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\varphi \in (R4, \tau)$  with  $\tau > \frac{1}{\zeta}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\infty(\varphi) < \kappa$ , there is some  $C' = C'(\epsilon, \varphi) > 0$ , such that

$$LD_\mu(\varphi, \epsilon, n) \leq C' n^{1-\zeta\tau}.$$

**Example 2.2.** Let  $M = S^1 \times [0, 1]$  and let  $m$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $M$ . We consider the map  $f : M \rightarrow M$  introduced in [11] defined by

$$f(\theta, x) = (F(\theta), f_\alpha(\theta)(x)),$$

where  $F(\theta) = 4\theta$ ,

$$f_\alpha(\theta)(x) = \begin{cases} x(1 + 2^{\alpha(\theta)}x^{\alpha(\theta)}) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2x - 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and  $\alpha : S^1 \rightarrow (0, 1)$  is a  $C^1$  map that has minimum  $\alpha_{\min}$  and maximum  $\alpha_{\max}$ , with  $\alpha_{\min} < \alpha_{\max}$ . In [11] a partition  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of  $\Delta_0 = S^1 \times (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  with a certain return time  $R$  was given in such a way that  $f^R$  is an aperiodic induced WGM map (no full branch property) with a coprime block. Moreover, we have  $m\{R > n\} \leq Cn^{-a}$  for some  $C > 0$ , with  $a = 1/\alpha_{\max}$ . Since  $\alpha_{\max} < 1$  we have  $R \in L^1(m)$ . By Theorem A there exists a unique ergodic  $f$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \ll m$ . Moreover, for given  $\kappa > 0$ , there is  $0 < \zeta < 1$  such that for all  $\varphi \in (R4, \tau)$  with  $\tau > \frac{2}{\zeta}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\infty(\varphi) < \kappa$ , and for all  $\psi \in L^\infty(M, m)$  we have

- if  $\tau = \frac{a+1}{\zeta}$ , there is some  $C' > 0$  such that

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) \leq C' (n^{-a+1} \log n);$$

- otherwise, there is some  $C' > 0$  such that

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) \leq C' \max \{n^{-a+1}, n^{2-\zeta\tau}\}.$$

By Corollary B, if  $\alpha_{\max} < 1/2$  (thus  $a > 2$ ) then CLT is satisfied for all  $\varphi \in (R4, \tau)$ , that is not coboundary with some  $\tau > 0$  sufficiently large, and by Corollary C we also have

$$LD_\mu(\varphi, \epsilon, n) \leq C'' \max \{n^{-a+1}, n^{2-\zeta\tau}\}$$

for all  $\varphi \in (R4, \tau)$ , with  $\tau \neq \frac{a+1}{\zeta}$  and some  $C'' = C''(\epsilon, \varphi) > 0$ .

### 3. DECAY OF CORRELATIONS FOR TOWER MAPS FOR LARGER CLASSES OF OBSERVABLES

In this section, we first recall the tower map, and then we state the result of a mixing invariant probability measure for the tower map, coming from [19]. Furthermore, we design the problem of decay of correlations for this new dynamical system, and devote the rest of this section to address this problem.

**3.1. Tower Maps.** Assume that  $f^R : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$  is an induced WGM map for  $f : M \rightarrow M$ . We define a *tower*

$$\Delta = \{(x, \ell) : x \in \Delta_0 \text{ and } R(x) > \ell \geq 0\}$$

and the tower map  $T : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  given by

$$T(x, \ell) = \begin{cases} (x, \ell + 1), & \text{if } R(x) > \ell + 1 \\ (f^R(x), 0), & \text{if } R(x) = \ell + 1 \end{cases}$$

Note that we can naturally identify the set  $\{(x, 0) : x \in \Delta_0\} \subset \Delta$  with  $\Delta_0$ , and the induced map  $T^R : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$  with  $f^R$ . For each  $\ell \geq 0$ , we define the  $\ell$ th level of the tower

$$\Delta_\ell = \{(x, \ell) : x \in \Delta_0\},$$

which is naturally identified with  $\{R > \ell\} \subset \Delta_0$ . In view of this, we may extend the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and the reference measure  $m$  on  $\Delta_0$  to a  $\sigma$ -algebra and a measure on  $\Delta$ , that we still denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $m$ , respectively. Moreover, the countable partition  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of  $\Delta_0$  naturally induces an  $(m \bmod 0)$  partition of each level, that is, if  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\Delta_{0,i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the partition of  $\Delta_0$ , then  $\{\Delta_{\ell,i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\Delta_{\ell,i} = \{(x, \ell) \in \Delta_\ell : (x, 0) \in \Delta_{0,i}\}$  forms a partition of  $\Delta_\ell$ . So, the set  $\eta = \{\Delta_{\ell,i}\}_{\ell,i}$  is an  $(m \bmod 0)$  partition of  $\Delta$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , we introduce

$$\eta_n = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\eta.$$

We can extend the separation time to  $\Delta \times \Delta$  in the following way: if  $x, y \in \Delta_\ell$ , then there are unique  $x_0, y_0 \in \Delta_0$  such that  $x = T^\ell(x_0)$  and  $y = T^\ell(y_0)$ , and in this case we set  $s(x, y) = s(x_0, y_0)$ , otherwise set  $s(x, y) = 0$ . It is straightforward to check that  $J_T$  is

$$J_T(x, \ell) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } R(x) > \ell + 1 \\ J_{f^R(x)}, & \text{if } R(x) = \ell + 1. \end{cases}$$

Given  $0 < \beta < 1$  we define the following spaces of densities for the tower:

$$\mathcal{F}_\beta(\Delta) = \{\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \exists C_\varphi > 0 : |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C_\varphi \beta^{s(x,y)}, \forall x, y \in \Delta\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_\beta^+(\Delta) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\beta(\Delta) : \exists \hat{C}_\varphi > 0 \text{ s.t.} \\ \varphi(x) > 0 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} - 1 \right| \leq \hat{C}_\varphi \beta^{s(x,y)}, \forall x, y \in \omega \in \eta\}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** [19] *Let  $T : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  be the tower map of an aperiodic induced WGM map  $f^R$  with a coprime block and  $R \in L^1(m)$ . Then  $T$  has a unique exact invariant probability measure  $\nu \ll m$  with  $\frac{d\nu}{dm} \in \mathcal{F}_\beta^+(\Delta)$ , and there is  $C_0 > 0$  such that  $0 < \frac{d\nu}{dm} \leq C_0$ . Moreover, there exists  $C > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \omega \in \eta$*

$$\left| \log \frac{\frac{d\nu}{dm}(x)}{\frac{d\nu}{dm}(y)} \right| \leq C \beta^{s(x,y)}.$$

Let us define some classes of observables on the tower. Given a bounded function  $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the variation for each  $n \geq 0$  as :

$$v_n(\varphi) = \sup\{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| : s(x, y) \geq n\}.$$

Consider the following regularity classes:

- $(V1, \tau) = \{\varphi : v_n(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}(\tau^n)\}$ ,  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ .
- $(V2, \tau) = \{\varphi : v_n(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}(\exp\{-n^\tau\})\}$ ,  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ .
- $(V3, \tau) = \{\varphi : v_n(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}(\exp\{-(\log n)^\tau\})\}$ ,  $\tau > 1$ .
- $(V4, \tau) = \{\varphi : v_n(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\tau})\}$ ,  $\tau > 1$ .

Now we define a measurable semi-conjugacy  $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow M$  between the tower map  $T$  and the map  $f$ , by  $\pi(x, \ell) = f^\ell(x)$ . We have  $\pi \circ T = f \circ \pi$  and  $\pi_* \nu$  coincides with the  $f$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  given by Theorem A. It is an immediate consequence that for all  $\varphi, \psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\text{Cor}_\mu(\varphi, \psi \circ f^n) = \text{Cor}_\nu(\varphi \circ \pi, \psi \circ \pi \circ T^n). \quad (3.1)$$

Given a regularity for  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in terms of  $R_\epsilon(\varphi)$ , we need the regularity of  $\varphi \circ \pi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Particularly, we want that the observable classes  $(R1 - R4)$  on  $M$  correspond to the classes  $(V1 - V4)$  on  $\Delta$ . For that we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** [12] *Let  $T : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  be the tower map of an induced expanding WGM map  $f^R : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0$ , then*

- $\varphi \in (Ri, \tau) \Rightarrow \varphi \circ \pi \in (Vi, \tau')$ , with  $\tau' < \tau$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ;
- $\varphi \in (R4, \tau) \Rightarrow \varphi \circ \pi \in (V4, \tau)$ .

Consider the following spaces which are important for the coupling argument:

$$\mathcal{I}(\Delta) = \{\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : v_n(\varphi) \rightarrow 0\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}^+(\Delta) = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{I}(\Delta) : \exists C'_\varphi > 0 \text{ s.t. } \varphi(x) > 0 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} - 1 \right| \leq C'_\varphi v_{s(x,y)}(\varphi) + C'' \beta^{s(x,y)}, \forall x, y \in \omega \in \eta \right\},$$

where  $C'' > 0$  is a fixed constant to be specified in Lemma 3.6. Given  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\Delta)$  we define

$$\varphi^* = \frac{1}{\int (\varphi + 2\|\varphi\|_\infty + 1) d\nu} (\varphi + 2\|\varphi\|_\infty + 1).$$

**Lemma 3.3.** [1] *For all  $\varphi \in L^\infty(m)$  with  $\varphi \neq 0$  we have*

- i)  $\frac{1}{3} \leq \varphi^* \leq 3$ ;
- ii)  $\text{Cor}_\nu(\varphi, \psi \circ T^n) \leq 3(\|\varphi\|_\infty + 1)\|\psi\|_\infty |T_*^n \lambda - \nu|$ , for all  $\psi \in L^\infty(m)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the probability measure on  $\Delta$  such that  $\frac{d\lambda}{dm} = \varphi^* \frac{d\nu}{dm}$ .

From ii) of Lemma 3.3 to obtain decay of correlation for the tower map it is enough to estimate  $|T_*^n \lambda - \nu|$ . For that we have the following.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $T : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  be the tower map of an aperiodic induced WGM map  $f^R$  with a coprime block and  $R \in L^1(m)$ . If  $\nu$  is the unique mixing  $T$ -invariant probability measure such that  $\frac{d\nu}{dm} \in \mathcal{I}^+(\Delta)$ , then*

- (1) *if  $m\{R > n\} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$  for some  $a > 1$ , given  $\kappa > 0$ , there is  $0 < \zeta < 1$  such that, for any probability measure  $\lambda$  with  $\frac{d\lambda}{dm} \in \mathcal{I}^+(\Delta)$ ,  $v_n(\frac{d\lambda}{dm}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\tau})$ , for some  $\tau > \frac{2}{\zeta}$ , and  $v_0(\frac{d\lambda}{dm}) < \kappa$  we have*
  - i) *if  $\tau = \frac{a+1}{\zeta}$ , then  $|T_*^n \lambda - \nu| = \mathcal{O}(n^{1-a} \log n)$ ;*
  - ii) *otherwise,  $|T_*^n \lambda - \nu| = \mathcal{O}(\max(n^{1-a}, n^{2-\zeta\tau}))$ .*
- (2) *if  $m\{R > n\} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-cn})$  for some  $c > 0$ , then*
  - i) *for any probability measure  $\lambda$  with  $\frac{d\lambda}{dm} \in \mathcal{I}^+(\Delta)$ , and  $v_n(\frac{d\lambda}{dm}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\tau})$  for some  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ , we have*

$$|T_*^n \lambda - \nu| = \mathcal{O}(e^{-c'n}) \text{ for some } c' > 0;$$

- ii) *for any probability measure  $\lambda$  with  $\frac{d\lambda}{dm} \in \mathcal{I}^+(\Delta)$ , and  $v_n(\frac{d\lambda}{dm}) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-n^\tau})$  for some  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ , we have*

$$|T_*^n \lambda - \nu| = \mathcal{O}(e^{-n^{\tau'}}) \text{ for every } \tau' < \tau;$$

- iii) *for any probability measure  $\lambda$  with  $\frac{d\lambda}{dm} \in \mathcal{I}^+(\Delta)$ , and  $v_n(\frac{d\lambda}{dm}) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-(\log(n))^\tau})$  for some  $\tau > 1$ , we have*

$$|T_*^n \lambda - \nu| = \mathcal{O}(e^{-(\log n)^{\tau'}}) \text{ for every } \tau' < \tau;$$

- iv) *given  $\kappa > 0$ , there is  $\zeta < 1$  such that, for any probability measure  $\lambda$  with  $\frac{d\lambda}{dm} \in \mathcal{I}^+(\Delta)$ ,  $v_n(\frac{d\lambda}{dm}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\tau})$ , for some  $\tau > \frac{1}{\zeta}$ , and  $v_0(\frac{d\lambda}{dm}) < \kappa$  we have*

$$|T_*^n \lambda - \nu| = \mathcal{O}(n^{1-\zeta\tau}).$$

**Remark 3.5.** We observe that from (3.1), to obtain decay of correlations for  $(f, \mu)$  it therefore suffices to obtain a decay of correlations for  $(T, \nu)$ . This means that by using Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, we can get the proof of Theorem A. So it remains to prove Proposition 3.4.

As we want to use Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 in order to get proof of Theorem A, we need to check the necessary regularity of  $\frac{d\lambda}{dm} = \varphi^* \frac{d\nu}{dm}$ , for a given  $\varphi$  in classes (V1 – V4).

**Lemma 3.6.** *If  $\varphi \in (Vj, \tau)$ , and  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  for  $j = 1, 2$  or  $\tau > 1$  for  $j = 3, 4$  then  $\varphi^* \frac{d\nu}{dm} \in \mathcal{I}^+(\Delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $\rho = \frac{d\nu}{dm}$ . Since  $0 < \rho \leq C_0$  and from Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi^*(x)\rho(x) - \varphi^*(y)\rho(y)| &\leq |\varphi^*(x)(\rho(x) - \rho(y))| + |\rho(y)(\varphi^*(x) - \varphi^*(y))| \\ &\leq 3|\rho(x) - \rho(y)| + C_0|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $v_n(\varphi^*\rho) \leq 3C_0\beta^n + C_0v_n(\varphi)$ . This implies that  $\varphi^*\rho \in \mathcal{I}(\Delta)$ . From Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\varphi^*(x)}{\varphi^*(y)} - 1 \right| &= \frac{1}{\varphi^*(y)} |\varphi^*(x) - \varphi^*(y)| \\ &\leq 3|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \\ &\leq 3v_{s(x,y)}(\varphi). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{9} \leq \frac{\varphi^*(x)}{\varphi^*(y)} \leq 9$ , for all  $x, y \in \Delta$ , then there exists  $K'_1 > 0$  such that

$$\left| \log \frac{\varphi^*(x)}{\varphi^*(y)} \right| \leq K'_1 \left| \frac{\varphi^*(x)}{\varphi^*(y)} - 1 \right|. \tag{3.3}$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that for all  $x, y \in \omega \in \eta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log \frac{\varphi^*(x)\rho(x)}{\varphi^*(y)\rho(y)} \right| &\leq \left| \log \frac{\varphi^*(x)}{\varphi^*(y)} \right| + \left| \log \frac{\rho(x)}{\rho(y)} \right| \\ &\leq 3K'_1 v_{s(x,y)}(\varphi) + C\beta^{s(x,y)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since  $0 < \frac{\varphi^*(x)\rho(x)}{\varphi^*(y)\rho(y)} \leq 9e^C$ , for all  $x, y \in \omega \in \eta$ , then we also have some uniform constant  $K'_2 > 0$  that for all  $x, y \in \omega \in \eta$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\varphi^*(x)\rho(x)}{\varphi^*(y)\rho(y)} - 1 \right| \leq K'_2 \left| \log \frac{\varphi^*(x)\rho(x)}{\varphi^*(y)\rho(y)} \right| \leq K'_2(3K'_1 v_{s(x,y)}(\varphi) + C\beta^{s(x,y)}).$$

Hence  $\varphi^*\rho \in \mathcal{I}^+(\Delta)$  with  $C''' = K'_2 C$ .  $\square$

We would like to point out a remark about  $\varphi^* \frac{d\nu}{dm}$ , which is important because we will assume this kind of regularity in the coupling argument.

**Remark 3.7.** From the previous proof we can see that there exists  $C''_{\varphi^*\rho} > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \omega \in \eta$ ,

$$\left| \log \frac{\varphi^*(x)\rho(x)}{\varphi^*(y)\rho(y)} \right| \leq C''_{\varphi^*\rho} v_{s(x,y)}(\varphi) + C\beta^{s(x,y)}.$$

**3.2. Coupling.** We give the proof of Proposition 3.4 in these remaining sections.

Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be probability measures on  $\Delta$  with  $\varphi_1 = \frac{d\lambda_1}{dm}, \varphi_2 = \frac{d\lambda_2}{dm} \in \mathcal{I}^+(\Delta)$ . Let  $P = \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$  be the product measure on  $\Delta \times \Delta$ . We consider the product transformation  $T \times T : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta \times \Delta$ , and let  $\pi_1, \pi_2 : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  be the projections onto the first and second coordinates, respectively. We use  $\eta \times \eta$  to denote the product partition of  $\Delta \times \Delta$  and  $(\eta \times \eta)_n = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} (T \times T)^{-i}(\eta \times \eta)$ . Notice that

$$T^n \circ \pi_1 = \pi_1 \circ (T \times T)^n \text{ and } T^n \circ \pi_2 = \pi_2 \circ (T \times T)^n. \quad (3.5)$$

Let  $\hat{R} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the return time to  $\Delta_0$ , defined for  $x \in \Delta$  by

$$\hat{R}(x) = \min\{n \geq 0 : T^n(x) \in \Delta_0\}.$$

We fix some integer  $n_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0$ ,

$$m(T^{-n}(\Delta_0) \cap f^R(\omega)) \geq \text{some } \gamma_0 > 0 \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

The above choice of  $n_0$  is important for Lemma 3.8.

Let us now introduce a sequence of *stopping times*  $0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 \dots$  on  $\Delta \times \Delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= n_0 + \hat{R} \circ T^{n_0} \circ \pi_1 \\ \tau_2 &= n_0 + \tau_1 + \hat{R} \circ T^{n_0 + \tau_1} \circ \pi_2 \\ \tau_3 &= n_0 + \tau_2 + \hat{R} \circ T^{n_0 + \tau_2} \circ \pi_1 \\ \tau_4 &= n_0 + \tau_3 + \hat{R} \circ T^{n_0 + \tau_3} \circ \pi_2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

We define the simultaneous return to  $\Delta_0$   $S : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by

$$S(x, y) = \min_{i \geq 2} \{\tau_i(x, y) : (T^{\tau_i(x,y)}(x), T^{\tau_i(x,y)}(y)) \in \Delta_0 \times \Delta_0\}, \quad (3.6)$$

which is well defined  $m \times m$  almost everywhere. Let  $\xi_0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \xi_3 \dots$  be an increasing sequence of partitions on  $\Delta \times \Delta$  defined as follows. As usual, given a partition  $\xi$ , we denote  $\xi(x)$  the element of  $\xi$  containing  $x$ . First we take  $\xi_0 = \eta \times \eta$ . Now we describe the general inductive step in the construction of partitions  $\xi_k$ . Assume that  $\xi_j$  has been constructed for all  $j < k$ . The definition of  $\xi_k$  depends on whether  $k$  is odd or even. For definiteness we assume that  $k$  is odd. The construction for  $k$  even is the same apart from the change in the role of the first and second components. We let  $\xi_k = \{\xi_k(\bar{x}) : \bar{x} \in \Delta \times \Delta\}$ , where

$$\xi_k(\bar{x}) = \bigvee_{i=0}^{\tau_k(\bar{x})-1} (T^{-i}(\eta))(x) \times \pi_2(\xi_{k-1}(\bar{x})).$$

The following lemmas, which are similar to [19, Lemmas 5.10, 5.11], are crucial to estimate  $P(S > n)$ . The only difference here is the dependence of the constants  $\epsilon_0$  and  $C_2$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *There exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ , depending on  $C'_{\varphi_1}, C'_{\varphi_2}, v_0(\varphi_1), v_0(\varphi_2)$  such that for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $\tau \in \xi_k$  with  $S|_{\tau} > \tau_{k-1}$ , we have*

$$P(S = \tau_k | \tau) \geq \epsilon_0.$$

Moreover, the dependence of  $\epsilon_0$  on  $C'_{\varphi_1}, C'_{\varphi_2}, v_0(\varphi_1), v_0(\varphi_2)$  can be removed if we take  $k$  sufficiently large.

**Lemma 3.9.** *There exists  $D_1$ , depending on  $C'_{\varphi_1}, C'_{\varphi_2}, v_0(\varphi_1), v_0(\varphi_2)$  such that for all  $n, k \geq 0$  and for all  $\tau \in \xi_k$ , we have*

$$P(\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k > n + n_0 | \tau) \leq D_1 m\{\hat{R} > n\}.$$

Moreover, the dependence of  $D_1$  on  $C'_{\varphi_1}, C'_{\varphi_2}, v_0(\varphi_1), v_0(\varphi_2)$  can be removed if we take  $k$  sufficiently large.

Finally we can obtain the estimate for  $P\{S > n\}$ . Note that

$$m\{\hat{R} > n\} = \sum_{\ell > n} m(\Delta_\ell) = \sum_{\ell > n} m\{R > n\},$$

which together Lemma 3.8, Lemma 3.9, and [1, Proposition 3.46, Proposition 3.48], provide the following result.

**Lemma 3.10.**

- (1) *If  $m\{R > n\} \leq Cn^{-a}$ , for some  $C > 0$  and  $a > 1$ , then  $P\{S > n\} \leq C'n^{-a+1}$  for some  $C' > 0$*
- (2) *If  $m\{R > n\} \leq Ce^{-cn}$ , for some  $C, c > 0$ , then  $P\{S > n\} \leq C'e^{-c_0n}$  for some  $C', c_0 > 0$ .*

We are now going to estimate  $|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2|$ . Consider the induced map  $\tilde{T} = (T \times T)^S : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta \times \Delta$ , with  $S$  as in (3.6), and the functions  $0 = S_0 < S_1 < S_2 < \dots$ , defined for each  $n \geq 1$  as  $S_n = S_{n-1} + S \circ (T \times T)^{S_{n-1}}$ . Note that  $\tilde{T}^n = (T \times T)^{S_n}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\xi}$  be the partition of  $\Delta \times \Delta$  into the rectangles  $\Omega$  on which  $S$  is constant and  $\tilde{T}$  maps  $\Omega$  bijectively onto a union of elements of  $\eta \times \eta|_{\Delta_0 \times \Delta_0}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that for any  $\Omega \in \tilde{\xi}|_{\Delta_0 \times \Delta_0}$ , there exists  $\omega_j \times \omega_{j'} \in \eta \times \eta|_{\Delta_0 \times \Delta_0}$  such that  $\Omega \subset \omega_j \times \omega_{j'}$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$\tilde{\xi}_n = \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{T}^{-j}(\tilde{\xi}).$$

Each  $\tilde{\xi}_n$  is a partition into sets  $\Omega \subset \Delta \times \Delta$  on which  $S_n$  is constant and  $\tilde{T}^n$  maps  $\Omega$  bijectively onto an  $m \times m \bmod 0$  union of elements of  $\eta \times \eta|_{\Delta_0 \times \Delta_0}$ . Let us introduce

a separation time in  $\Delta \times \Delta$ , defining for each  $u, v \in \Delta \times \Delta$

$$\tilde{s}(u, v) = \min \left\{ n \geq 0 : \tilde{T}^n(u) \text{ and } \tilde{T}^n(v) \text{ lie in distinct elements of } \tilde{\xi} \right\}.$$

Let  $\Phi(x, y) = \varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(y)$  and let  $C''_{\varphi_1}$  and  $C''_{\varphi_2}$  be constants such that, for  $i = 1, 2$

$$\left| \log \frac{\varphi_i(x)}{\varphi_i(y)} \right| \leq C''_{\varphi_i} v_{s(x,y)}(\varphi_i) \text{ for all } x, y \in \omega \in \eta.$$

Set,  $C_\Phi = C''_{\varphi_1} + C''_{\varphi_2}$  and  $v_n(\Phi) = \max\{v_n(\varphi_1), v_n(\varphi_2)\}$ . If we consider,  $\left| \log \frac{\varphi_1(x)}{\varphi_1(y)} \right| \leq C''_{\varphi_1} v_{s(x,y)}(\varphi_1) + C''_{\varphi_2} v_{s(x,y)}(\varphi_2)$ , then we set,  $C_\Phi = C''_{\varphi_1} + 2C''_{\varphi_2}$ . Similar, to [19, Proposition 5.13] we get the following.

**Proposition 3.11.** *There exists  $D_2 > 0$  depending on  $C_\Phi, v_0(\Phi)$  such that for all  $n, k \geq 0$ , we have*

$$P \{S_{k+1} - S_k > n\} \leq D_2(m \times m)\{S > n\}.$$

The following lemma play an important role in this setting. We remark that (3.7) and (3.8) in Lemma 3.12 are important for Lemma 3.13 (see [12]), and (3.9) is useful for Sections 3.3 and 3.4.

**Lemma 3.12.** [12] *Given a sequence  $v_i(\Phi)$ , there exists a sequence  $\epsilon'_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$  such that*

$$v_i(\Phi) \prod_{j=1}^i (1 + \epsilon'_j) \leq D_3, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^i \left( \prod_{k=j}^i (1 + \epsilon'_k) \right) \beta^{i-j+1} \leq D_3 \quad (3.8)$$

for some sufficiently large constant  $D_3$  depending on  $v_0(\Phi)$ . Moreover, for any  $\bar{D} > 1$  and  $\bar{\delta} > 0$  with  $\epsilon_i = \bar{\delta}\epsilon'_i$ ,

$$\prod_{j=1}^i \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{\bar{D}} \right) \leq \tilde{C} \max \left( v_i(\Phi)^{\frac{\bar{\delta}}{\bar{D}}}, \theta^i \right) \quad (3.9)$$

for some  $\theta < 1$  depending only on  $T$ , and some  $\tilde{C} > 0$ .

We are going to define a sequence of densities  $\tilde{\Phi}_0 \geq \tilde{\Phi}_1 \geq \tilde{\Phi}_2 \geq \dots$  in  $\Delta \times \Delta$ , for the total measure remaining in the system after  $n$  iteration bt  $\tilde{T}$ . Consider constant  $\bar{\delta} > 0$ , depending on  $C_\Phi$  and  $v_0(\Phi)$  to be chosen properly such that defining

$$\tilde{\Phi}_i(u) = \begin{cases} \Phi(u), & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{i-1}(u) - \epsilon_i J_{\tilde{T}^i}(u) \min_{v \in \Omega_i(u)} \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_{i-1}(v)}{J_{\tilde{T}^i}(v)}, & \text{if } i > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $i \geq 1$  with  $\epsilon_i = \bar{\delta}\epsilon'_i > 0$ , the following holds (for details see [12, Lemma 2]):

**Lemma 3.13.** *There exists  $D_4 > 1$ , depending on  $C_\Phi$  and  $v_0(\Phi)$ , such that for all  $i \geq 1$  we have*

$$\tilde{\Phi}_i \leq \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_i}{D_4}\right) \tilde{\Phi}_{i-1} \text{ on } \Delta \times \Delta.$$

Now we are going to define the corresponding densities in real time iterations under  $T \times T$ . Let us introduce functions  $\Phi_0 \geq \Phi_1 \geq \Phi_2 \geq \dots$  on  $\Delta \times \Delta$  such that for  $v \in \Delta \times \Delta$ , we define

$$\Phi_n(v) = \tilde{\Phi}_i(v), \quad \text{if } S_i(v) \leq n < S_{i+1}(v).$$

For all  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\Phi = \Phi_n + \sum_{k=1}^n (\Phi_{k-1} - \Phi_k). \quad (3.11)$$

For each  $k \geq 1$ , let  $A_k = \cup_i A_{k,i}$ , where  $A_{k,i} = \{u \in \Delta \times \Delta : k = S_i(u)\}$ . Note that  $A_{k,i} \cap A_{k,j} = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  (because  $S_i(u) \neq S_j(u)$  for  $i \neq j$ ), and each  $A_{k,i}$  is a union of elements of  $\tilde{\xi}_i$ .

**Remark 3.14.** *By definition, for any  $\Omega \in \tilde{\xi}_i|_{A_{k,i}}$ , we have  $S_{i-1}|_\Omega < S_i|_\Omega = k$ , and  $S_{i-1}|_\Omega \leq k - 1$ . This implies that  $\Phi_{k-1} - \Phi_k = \tilde{\Phi}_{i-1} - \tilde{\Phi}_i$  on  $\Omega \in \tilde{\xi}_i|_{A_{k,i}}$ , and  $\Phi_k = \Phi_{k-1}$  on  $\Delta \times \Delta \setminus A_k$ .*

We have the following main result in this subsection which is important step to prove Proposition 3.4.

**Proposition 3.15.** *There exists  $D_5 > 0$ , depending on  $C_\Phi, v_0(\Phi)$ , such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| \leq 2P\{S > n\} + D_5 \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^i \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4}\right) (i+1)(m \times m) \left\{S > \frac{n}{i+1}\right\},$$

*Proof.* From (3.5) and (3.11), for each  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| \leq I_1 + I_2, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$I_1 = |(\pi_{1*} - \pi_{2*})(T \times T)_*^n(\Phi_n(m \times m))|$$

and

$$I_2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |(\pi_{1*} - \pi_{2*})[(T \times T)_*^n((\Phi_{k-1} - \Phi_k)(m \times m))]|.$$

In one hand, from [12, Lemma 3] we get

$$I_1 \leq 2P\{S > n\} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^i \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4}\right) P\{S_i \leq n < S_{i+1}\}. \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Delta \times \Delta} (\Phi_{k-1} - \Phi_k) d(m \times m) \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Delta \times \Delta \setminus A_k} (\Phi_{k-1} - \Phi_k) d(m \times m) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} ((\Phi_{k-1} - \Phi_k) d(m \times m)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

From Remark 3.14, we have

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Delta \times \Delta \setminus A_k} (\Phi_{k-1} - \Phi_k) d(m \times m) = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

and writing  $A_k = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{k,i}$ , we have

$$\int_{A_k} (\tilde{\Phi}_{k-1} - \tilde{\Phi}_k) d(m \times m) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{k,i}} (\tilde{\Phi}_{i-1} - \tilde{\Phi}_i) d(m \times m). \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.10) and Lemma 3.13 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{k,i}} (\tilde{\Phi}_{i-1} - \tilde{\Phi}_i) d(m \times m) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{k,i}} \epsilon_i J_{\tilde{T}^i}(u) \min_{v \in A_{k,i}} \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_{i-1}(v)}{J_{\tilde{T}^i}(v)} d(m \times m) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{k,i}} \epsilon_i \tilde{\Phi}_{i-1}(u) d(m \times m) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{k,i}} \epsilon_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4}\right) \Phi(u) d(m \times m) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4}\right) P\{S_i = k\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

It follows from (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) that

$$I_2 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4}\right) P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n \{S_i = k\}\right). \tag{3.18}$$

For each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have  $\{S_i = k\} \subset \{S_i \leq n < S_{i+1}\}$ , and this implies that  $\cup_{k=1}^n \{S_i = k\} \subset \{S_i \leq n < S_{i+1}\}$ , from (3.18) we have

$$I_2 \leq \frac{2\bar{\delta}D_4}{2D_4 - \bar{\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^i \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4}\right) P\{S_i \leq n < S_{i+1}\}. \tag{3.19}$$

It follows from (3.12), (3.13) and (3.19),

$$|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| \leq 2P\{S > n\} + 2 \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\delta} D_4}{2D_4 - \bar{\delta}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^i \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4}\right) P\{S_i \leq n < S_{i+1}\}. \quad (3.20)$$

For each  $i \geq 1$  we have

$$P\{S_i \leq n < S_{i+1}\} \leq \sum_{j=0}^i P\left\{S_{j+1} - S_j > \frac{n}{i+1}\right\}, \quad (3.21)$$

and Proposition 3.11,

$$P\left\{S_{j+1} - S_j > \frac{n}{i+1}\right\} \leq D_2(m \times m) \left\{S > \frac{n}{i+1}\right\}. \quad (3.22)$$

Combining (3.21) and (3.22), we get

$$P\{S_i \leq n < S_{i+1}\} \leq D_2(i+1)(m \times m) \left\{S > \frac{n}{i+1}\right\},$$

which, together with (3.20), implies

$$|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| \leq 2P\{S > n\} + D_5 \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^i \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4}\right) (i+1)(m \times m) \left\{S > \frac{n}{i+1}\right\},$$

with  $D_5 = 2D_2 \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\delta} D_4}{2D_4 - \bar{\delta}}\right)$ . We recall that  $\bar{\delta}$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_4$  (hence  $D_5$ ) depend on  $C_\Phi, v_0(\Phi)$ . □

Set  $\zeta = \frac{\bar{\delta}}{D_4}$ , which can be seen to depend only on  $C_\Phi$  and  $v_0(\Phi)$ . In Subsections 3.3 and 3.4, we let  $D$  generic constant, allowed to depend only on  $T$  and  $\Phi$ .

**3.3. Polynomial Return time.** If  $m\{R > n\} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$ , for some  $a > 1$ , then by Lemma 3.10,

$$P\{S > n\} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-a+1}). \quad (3.23)$$

By similar arguments used to estimate  $P\{S > n\}$ , we have

$$(m \times m) \left\{S > \frac{n}{i+1}\right\} = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{n}{i+1}\right)^{-a+1}\right). \quad (3.24)$$

Class  $(V4, \tau)$ : Assume that  $v_n(\Phi) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\tau})$ , for some  $\tau > \frac{2}{\zeta}$ . By Lemma 3.12 we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^i \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4}\right) = \mathcal{O}(i^{-\zeta\tau}). \quad (3.25)$$

By using (3.23), (3.24) and (3.25) in Proposition 3.15, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| &\leq 2Dn^{-a+1} + DD_5 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-\zeta\tau} (i+1) \left( \frac{n}{i+1} \right)^{-a+1} \\ &\leq 2Dn^{-a+1} + K'_3 DD_5 n^{-a+1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-\zeta\tau} i^a, \text{ for some } K'_3 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

First we want to estimate the second term. For that we consider the following cases:

- $\tau = \frac{a+1}{\zeta}$ . The sum is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^{-\zeta\tau+a} = \sum_{i=1}^n i^{-1} \leq 1 + \int_1^n x^{-1} dx = 1 + \log(n) = \mathcal{O}(\log n).$$

So the whole term is  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1-a} \log n)$ .

- $\tau > \frac{a+1}{\zeta}$ . Here,  $a - \zeta\tau < -1$ , so the sum is bounded from above independently of  $n$ , and the whole term is  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1-a})$ .
- $\frac{2}{\zeta} < \tau < \frac{a+1}{\zeta}$ . The sum is of order  $n^{a+1-\zeta\tau}$ , and so the whole term is  $\mathcal{O}(n^{2-\zeta\tau})$ .

Consequently,

- if  $\tau = \frac{a+1}{\zeta}$ , then  $|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| = \mathcal{O}(n^{1-a} \log n)$ ;
- otherwise,  $|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| = \mathcal{O}(\max(n^{1-a}, n^{2-\zeta\tau}))$ .

**3.4. Exponential Return time.** If  $m\{R > n\} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-cn})$ , for some  $c > 0$ , then by Lemma 3.10 we have

$$P\{S > n\} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-c_0 n}). \quad (3.26)$$

By similar arguments used to estimate  $P\{S > n\}$ , we have

$$(m \times m) \left\{ S > \frac{n}{i+1} \right\} = \mathcal{O}(e^{\frac{-c_0 n}{i+1}}). \quad (3.27)$$

Class  $(V1, \tau)$ : Assume that  $v_i(\Phi) = \mathcal{O}(\theta_1^i)$  for some  $\theta_1 < 1$ . By Lemma 3.12, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^i \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{D_4} \right) = \mathcal{O}(\theta_2^i) \quad (3.28)$$

for some  $0 < \theta_2 < 1$ .

By using (3.26), (3.27) and (3.28) in the Proposition 3.15, we get

$$|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| \leq 2De^{-c_0 n} + DD_5 \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_2^i (i+1) \left( e^{-c_0 \frac{n}{i+1}} \right) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-c' n}) \text{ for some } c' > 0.$$

By similar arguments as used in the above class, we have the following estimates for other classes.

Class (V2,  $\tau$ ): Assume that  $v_i(\Phi) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-i^\tau})$ , for some  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ . We have

$$|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| \leq 2De^{-c_0 n} + DD_5 \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-\zeta i^\tau} (i+1) \left( e^{-c_0 \left( \frac{n}{i+1} \right)} \right) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-n^{\tau'}}),$$

for  $\tau' < \tau$ .

Class (V3,  $\tau$ ): Assume that  $v_i(\Phi) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-(\log i)^\tau})$ , for some  $\tau > 1$ , then

$$|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| \leq 2De^{-c_0 n} + DD_5 \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-\zeta (\log i)^\tau} (i+1) \left( e^{-c_0 \left( \frac{n}{i+1} \right)} \right) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-(\log(n))^{\tau'}}),$$

for  $\tau' < \tau$ .

Class (V4,  $\tau$ ): Assume that  $v_i(\Phi) = \mathcal{O}(i^{-\tau})$ , for some  $\tau > \frac{1}{\zeta}$ . We have

$$|T_*^n \lambda_1 - T_*^n \lambda_2| \leq 2De^{-c_0 n} + DD_5 \sum_{i=1}^n i^{-\zeta \tau} (i+1) \left( e^{-c_0 \left( \frac{n}{i+1} \right)} \right) = \mathcal{O}(n^{1-\tau \zeta}).$$

Let us conclude the proof of Proposition 3.4. We notice in the proof of Lemma 3.6, that  $v_n(\varphi^* \zeta_0) \leq 3C_{\zeta_0} \beta^n + C_0 v_n(\varphi)$ , this implies that  $v_n(\Phi) \leq 3C_{\zeta_0} \beta^n + C_0 v_n(\varphi)$ . Now Taking  $\lambda_1 = \lambda$  and  $\lambda_2 = \nu$  in Sections 3.3 and 3.4, we get the required estimates for  $|T_*^n \lambda - \nu|$ . We want to check the dependence of the constants. From (3.4)  $C_\Phi = 3K'_1 + 2C$ . This means that the constant  $C_\Phi$  does not depend on  $\varphi$ . Therefore the constant  $\zeta = \frac{\delta}{K}$  will only depend on  $v_0(\Phi)$ , which can be bounded with  $v_0(\varphi)$ , as  $v_0(\Phi) \leq 3C_{\zeta_0} + C_0 v_0(\varphi)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alves, J. F.: Nonuniformly hyperbolic attractors – geometric and probabilistic aspects. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- [2] Alves, J. F., Freitas, J. M., Luzzatto, S., and Vaienti, S.: From rates of mixing to recurrence times via large deviations. *Adv. Math.* 228, 2 (2011), 1203–1236.
- [3] Benedicks, M., and Young, L.-S.: Markov extensions and decay of correlations for certain Hénon maps. No. 261. 2000, pp. xi, 13–56. *Géométrie complexe et systèmes dynamiques* (Orsay, 1995).
- [4] Bressaud, X., Fernández, R., and Galves, A.: Decay of correlations for non-Hölderian dynamics. A coupling approach. *Electron. J. Probab.* 4 (1999), no. 3, 19.
- [5] Bruin, H., Luzzatto, S., and Van Strien, S.: Decay of correlations in one-dimensional dynamics. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 36, 4 (2003), 621–646.
- [6] Chernov, N., and Zhang, H.-K.: Billiards with polynomial mixing rates. *Nonlinearity* 18, 4 (2005), 1527–1553.
- [7] Díaz-Ordaz, K.: Decay of correlations for non-Hölder observables for one dimensional expanding Lorenz-like maps. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 15, 1 (2006), 159–176.
- [8] Díaz-Ordaz, K., Holland, M. P., and Luzzatto, S.: Statistical properties of one-dimensional maps with critical points and singularities. *Stoch. Dyn.* 6, 4 (2006), 423–458.
- [9] Fisher, A. M., and Lopes, A.: Exact bounds for the polynomial decay of correlation,  $1/f$  noise and the CLT for the equilibrium state of a non-Hölder potential. *Nonlinearity* 14, 5 (2001), 1071–1104.

- [10] Goüezel, S.: Decay of correlations for nonuniformly expanding systems. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 134, 1 (2006), 1–31.
- [11] Goüezel, S.: Statistical properties of a skew product with a curve of neutral points. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 27, 1 (2007), 123–151.
- [12] Lynch, V.: Decay of correlations for non-Hölder observables. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 16, 1 (2006), 19–46.
- [13] Melbourne, I.: Large and moderate deviations for slowly mixing dynamical systems. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137, 5 (2009), 1735–1741.
- [14] Melbourne, I., and Nicol, M.: Almost sure invariance principle for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 260, 1 (2005), 131–146.
- [15] Melbourne, I., and Nicol, M.: Large deviations for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360, 12 (2008), 6661–6676.
- [16] Pollicott, M.: Rates of mixing for potentials of summable variation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352, 2 (2000), 843–853.
- [17] Pollicott, M., and Yuri, M.: Statistical properties of maps with indifferent periodic points. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 217, 3 (2001), 503–520.
- [18] Ruziboev, M.: Decay of correlations for invertible maps with non-Hölder observables. *Dyn. Syst.* 30, 3 (2015), 341–352.
- [19] Ullah, A., Vilarinho, H.: Statistical properties of dynamical systems via induced weak Gibbs Markov maps. *arXiv:2311.17531* (2023).
- [20] Young, L.-S.: Statistical properties of dynamical systems with some hyperbolicity. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 147, 3 (1998), 585–650.
- [21] Young, L.-S.: Recurrence times and rates of mixing. *Israel J. Math.* 110 (1999), 153–188.
- [22] Zhang, H.-K.: Decay of correlations on non-Hölder observables. *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci.* 10, 3 (2010), 359–369.

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES (CMA-UBI), UNIVERSIDADE DA BEIRA INTERIOR, RUA MARQUÊS D'ÁVILA E BOLAMA, 6201-001, COVILHÃ, PORTUGAL.

*Email address:* `asad.ullah@ubi.pt`

*Email address:* `helder@ubi.pt`