

Quantum analog of Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert dynamics

Yuefei Liu,^{1,*} Ivan P. Miranda,² Lee Johnson,² Anders Bergman,² Anna Delin,^{1,3,4} Danny Thonig,^{5,2} Manuel Pereira,² Olle Eriksson,^{2,6} Vahid Azimi Mousolou,^{7,2} and Erik Sjöqvist^{2,†}

¹Department of Applied Physics, School of Engineering Sciences,

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, AlbaNova University Center, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden

³Swedish e-Science Research Center (SeRC), KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-10044 Stockholm, Sweden

⁴Wallenberg Initiative Materials Science for Sustainability (WISE),

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-10044 Stockholm, Sweden

⁵School of Science and Technology, Örebro University, SE-701 82, Örebro, Sweden

⁶WISE-Wallenberg Initiative Materials Science, Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden

⁷Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science,

Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran

(Dated: March 15, 2024)

The Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) and Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equations play an essential role for describing the dynamics of magnetization in solids. While a quantum analog of the LL dynamics has been proposed in [Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 147201 (2013)], the corresponding quantum version of LLG remains unknown. Here, we propose such a quantum LLG equation that inherently conserves purity of the quantum state. We examine the quantum LLG dynamics of a dimer consisting of two interacting spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles. Our analysis reveals that, in the case of ferromagnetic coupling, the evolution of initially uncorrelated spins mirrors the classical LLG dynamics. However, in the antiferromagnetic scenario, we observe pronounced deviations from classical behavior, underscoring the unique dynamics of becoming a spinless state, which is non-locally correlated. Moreover, when considering spins that are initially correlated, our study uncovers an unusual form of transient quantum correlation dynamics, which differ significantly from what is typically seen in open quantum systems.

Introduction.— The Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) [1] and Landau-Lifshitz (LL) [2] equations describe the dynamics of magnetization in solids on an atomistic, classical level [3]. Several publications that make use of this approach have been able to describe magnetisation dynamics of topological objects [4], the demagnetisation of fcc Ni in pump probe experiments [5, 6], and the magnetization reversal of ferrimagnetic FeGd alloys [7]. While the LL and LLG equations treat the magnetization as a classical vector, the underlying degrees of freedom are quantum spins. This raises the question whether quantum versions of these equations exist. In the LL case, this problem has been addressed by Wieser [8–10], while the quantum analog of LLG remains unknown.

Here, we extend Wieser’s work and propose a quantum analog of the LLG equation. This quantum LLG (q-LLG) equation describes the dynamics of the density operator of the quantum spins. We examine similarities and differences between the resulting quantum and classical dynamics for a dimer consisting of two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles. We shall argue that the proposed q-LLG differs from Wieser’s quantum LL (q-LL) equation for multispin systems, while the two equations are equivalent up to a rescaling of time in the single spin case, similar to the relation between their classical counterparts.

As a preliminary, we first describe the classical LLG and LL dynamics. Consider a system of interacting magnetic moments \mathbf{m}_k , being exposed to an external magnetic field \mathbf{B} . The LLG and LL equations both describe damped precession of the \mathbf{m}_k :s around their local effective magnetic fields $\mathbf{B}_k = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{m}_k}$. These effective fields contain contributions from \mathbf{B} as well as from all $\mathbf{m}_{l \neq k}$ via the magnetic many-body Hamiltonian H , which in the simplest form would be of Heisenberg

type $H = -\sum_k \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{m}_k + \frac{1}{\mu_B^2} \sum_{l < k} J_{lk} \mathbf{m}_l \cdot \mathbf{m}_k$ with μ_B the Bohr magneton.

The LLG equations read

$$\dot{\mathbf{m}}_k = \gamma_g \mathbf{m}_k \times \mathbf{B}_k - \frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{m}_k|} \mathbf{m}_k \times \dot{\mathbf{m}}_k, \quad (1)$$

with γ_g the gyromagnetic ratio and α the dimensionless Gilbert damping. Note that most derivations of Eq. (1) assume that $|\mathbf{m}_k|$ is a constant of the motion. This latter fact can be used in Eq. (1) to find the LL equations (see, e.g., Ref.[3])

$$\dot{\mathbf{m}}_k = \tilde{\gamma}_g \mathbf{m}_k \times \mathbf{B}_k - \frac{\lambda}{|\mathbf{m}_k|} \mathbf{m}_k \times (\mathbf{m}_k \times \mathbf{B}_k) \quad (2)$$

with the rescaled gyromagnetic ratio $\tilde{\gamma}_g = \gamma_g/(1+\alpha^2)$ and LL damping rate $\lambda = \alpha\gamma_g/(1+\alpha^2)$. The fact that the LL equation can be derived from the LLG equation demonstrates that they are equivalent up to a rescaling of time $t \mapsto (1+\alpha^2)t$ [11].

Master equation and properties.— Inspired by the above classical formulation, we propose the q-LLG analog as

$$\dot{\varrho} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\varrho, H] + i\kappa [\varrho, \varrho] \quad (3)$$

with ϱ the density operator. The term $i\kappa [\varrho, \varrho]$ that modifies the secular Liouville-von Neumann equation will be argued to have a *dissipative* (damping-like) character, where κ is the respective dimensionless damping rate.

The first property that can be immediately verified from Eq. (3) is the purity conservation, $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr} \varrho^2 = 0$. This implies a fundamental difference from the well-known Lindbladian superoperator [12], which also acts as a dissipator, but imposes

to the system a much less strict condition, for which the purity is not generally conserved [13]. Thus, in the case of q-LLG, the purity of the density matrix becomes the quantum analog of the classical magnetization.

The conservation of purity seems to suggest similar results for different entropy measures. Indeed, one can observe that the Rényi entropy $S_\delta(\varrho) = (1-\delta)^{-1} \ln \text{Tr}\varrho^\delta$, $\delta \neq 1$, which tends asymptotically to the von Neumann entropy $S = -\text{Tr}(\varrho \ln \varrho)$ when $\delta \rightarrow 1$, is conserved. At least two interpretations can be derived from this fact. The first and more direct consequence is that when the system is in the dissipative phase ($\frac{d}{dt}\langle H \rangle < 0$), it is inevitably in a nonequilibrium steady state, with equal entropy production and flux rates. The second — and even more interesting observation — is that, although Eq. (3) includes a damping-like term, the conserved purity ensures that there will be no intrinsic loss of information during the damped dynamics.

Connection to classical LLG and q-LL.— To illustrate the connection of q-LLG with the classical LLG equation, let us consider the simplest case of a single spin- s particle. Suppose the evolving state of the particle takes the form

$$\varrho = \frac{1}{2s+1} (\mathbb{1} + \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \quad (4)$$

with the spin operator \mathbf{S} and identity $\mathbb{1}$ acting on the $2s+1$ dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} associated with the spin operator. We wish to interpret $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ as the quantum analog of the classical magnetization discussed above. This implies that $|\boldsymbol{\eta}|$ should be a constant of the motion and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ should satisfy a classical LLG-type equation [14].

To see that $|\boldsymbol{\eta}|$ is constant in time, we note that

$$|\boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 = \frac{3(2s+1)}{s(s+1)} \left(\text{Tr}\varrho^2 - \frac{1}{2s+1} \right), \quad (5)$$

from which immediately follows $\frac{d}{dt}|\boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 \propto \frac{d}{dt}\text{Tr}\varrho^2 = 0$. To demonstrate that $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ satisfies a classical LLG-type equation, we consider the case when the spin is exposed to a magnetic field \mathbf{B} as described by a Zeeman Hamiltonian $H = -\gamma_s \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S}$. By inserting Eq. (4) and H into Eq. (3), one finds

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = \gamma_s \boldsymbol{\eta} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{\kappa \hbar}{2s+1} \boldsymbol{\eta} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}, \quad (6)$$

where the spin commutation relation $[\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{S}] = i\hbar(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{S}$ has been used. Clearly, Eq. (6) is identical to Eq. (1) and in this single spin case we find that $\kappa \hbar |\boldsymbol{\eta}| / (2s+1)$ is the dimensionless quantum analog of the Gilbert damping.

Next, we argue that the proposed q-LLG equation is generally different from the q-LL form $\dot{\varrho} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\varrho, H] - \frac{\kappa}{\hbar}[\varrho, [\varrho, H]]$ found by Wieser [8]. To see this, we rewrite Eq. (3) as

$$\dot{\varrho} + \kappa^2[\varrho, [\varrho, \dot{\varrho}]] = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\varrho, H] - \frac{\kappa}{\hbar}[\varrho, [\varrho, H]], \quad (7)$$

which is the desired q-LL form if and only if the left-hand side is proportional to $\dot{\varrho}$. This is the case only for a single spin in a

state of the form Eq. (4), for which one finds

$$\dot{\varrho} + \kappa^2[\varrho, [\varrho, \dot{\varrho}]] = \left(1 + \frac{\kappa^2 \hbar^2 |\boldsymbol{\eta}|^2}{(2s+1)^2} \right) \dot{\varrho}, \quad (8)$$

which leads to the q-LL equation with the rescaled Hamiltonian $\tilde{H} = H / \left(1 + \kappa^2 \hbar^2 |\boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 / (2s+1)^2 \right)$. On the other hand, in the case of several quantum spins, one can show [15] that no such simplification of the left-hand side of Eq. (7) is possible, and the q-LLG and q-LL dynamics are therefore generally different.

While one can convince oneself that the q-LLG proposed in Eq. (3) is the only possible form of master equation that exactly reproduces the classical description for uncoupled magnetic systems, this equivalence is no longer the case when coupling is included. To see this, it is sufficient to compare the number of dynamical variables for N particles in LLG and q-LLG. For spin- $\frac{1}{2}$, this number is $4^N - 1$ [16], while it is $3N$ in the classical treatment. Thus, the classical-like variables associated with the reduced density operators for the individual quantum spins is only a small portion of the total number of quantum mechanical degrees of freedom. To illustrate this extra richness, we shall in the following examine the q-LLG dynamics of a dimer consisting of two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles.

q-LLG dynamics of a spin dimer.— The spin dimer is described by the tensor state space $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}$ and locally by the dimensionless Bloch vectors $\mathbf{r}_k = \frac{\hbar}{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_k$ associated with the single spin reduced density operators with $k = 1, 2$ labelling the two spins. A general state of the dimer can be written as

$$\varrho = \frac{1}{4} T_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_\alpha \otimes \sigma_\beta, \quad (9)$$

where $\sigma_\alpha \otimes \sigma_\beta$ is a member of the Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{\sigma_0 \equiv \mathbb{1}, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\}^{\otimes 2} \times \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ and the Einstein summation convention is used. We use Greek and Latin indices to run over $\{0, x, y, z\}$ and $\{x, y, z\}$, respectively. $T_{\alpha\beta}$ are elements of a 4×4 matrix T , for which $T_{00} = 1$, $T_{k0} = r_{1;k}$, and $T_{0l} = r_{2;l}$, where the first constraint ensures normalization and the latter two expressions give the components of the Bloch vectors \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 of the two spins. The remaining T_{kl} form the 3×3 correlation matrix.

Now, a general dimer Hamiltonian $H = d_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_\alpha \otimes \sigma_\beta$ is considered. Plugging the Hamiltonian into Eq. (3), we find the following compact form of the q-LLG equation:

$$\dot{T}_{\nu\chi} \sigma_\nu \otimes \sigma_\chi = i \left(d_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\kappa}{4} \dot{T}_{\alpha\beta} \right) T_{\gamma\zeta} \left[\sigma_\gamma \otimes \sigma_\zeta, \sigma_\alpha \otimes \sigma_\beta \right]. \quad (10)$$

By using the algebra of \mathcal{P}_2 , one ends up with coupled differential equations $\dot{T}_{\nu\chi} = \mathcal{F}_{\nu\chi}(T, \dot{T})$. A set of non-linear equations can similarly be derived from the q-LL equation by using the same technique.

The violation of Bell inequalities for certain quantum states give a precise notion of non-classical correlations, as such ϱ do not admit a local classical description [17]. To emphasize the non-classicality of the dynamics in the simulations below,

we therefore use Bell non-locality $B(\varrho)$ [18] as our preferred correlation measure. $B(\varrho)$ is defined in terms of the measurement setting that maximizes the violation of the CHSH inequality [19] for a given ϱ . As shown in Ref. [20], this maximum is given by the two largest singular values u_1 and u_2 of T_{kl} in the sense that there exists a measurement setting that violates CHSH if and only if $1 < u_1^2 + u_2^2 \leq 2$, where the upper equality corresponds to the Cirel'son bound of maximal violation [21]. This suggests the measure [18]

$$B(\varrho) = \sqrt{\max\{u_1^2 + u_2^2 - 1, 0\}}, \quad (11)$$

of Bell non-locality. A given state ϱ contains non-classical correlations if and only if $B(\varrho) \neq 0$.

We now consider the dimer Hamiltonian

$$H = -\gamma_g \mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2) + \frac{J}{\hbar^2} \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 + \frac{\mathbf{D}}{\hbar^2} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_1 \times \mathbf{S}_2), \quad (12)$$

with \mathbf{B} an external magnetic field, J the Heisenberg exchange coupling strength, and the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction (DMI) term with $\mathbf{D} = D(0, 0, 1)$ (D is the DMI strength). We

take $\kappa = \lambda = 0.5$ in order to magnify the effects of the non-linear terms in the q-LLG and LLG equations; this choice is also physically consistent with the fact that Gilbert damping increases in low dimensions [22]. Furthermore, we use the standard spin basis $\sigma_z|\uparrow\rangle = +|\uparrow\rangle$ and $\sigma_z|\downarrow\rangle = -|\downarrow\rangle$.

First, we compare the q-LLG and LLG dynamics by examining the Bloch vectors \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 of the reduced states $\varrho_1 = \text{Tr}_2 \varrho$ and $\varrho_2 = \text{Tr}_1 \varrho$, respectively, and the classical magnetizations \mathbf{m}_1 and \mathbf{m}_2 . We consider an antiferromagnetic (AFM) initial state of q-LLG dynamics defined as $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ and corresponding initial magnetization $\mathbf{m}_1 = -\mathbf{m}_2 = m(0, 0, 1)$. We examine the resulting dynamics for ferromagnetic (FM, $J < 0$) and AFM ($J > 0$) exchange coupling. We use $\mathbf{B} = B_0(1, 0, 0)$, i.e. an external field in the x direction, and assume that the splitting due to the Zeeman and Heisenberg exchange is of the same order of magnitude by taking $m = J/B_0 = 1\mu_B = 6.58 \times 10^{-2}$ meV/T and $B_0 = 1.00$ T, which corresponds to the time scale $(\gamma_g B_0)^{-1} \sim 10$ ps. Spins in this weak Heisenberg coupling regime can be realized in, e.g., quantum dots (see Ref. [23]).

Figure 1 displays the q-LLG and LLG dynamics of the dimer. We show only the evolution of one of the subsystems, since the other behaves in a similar fashion. A striking general feature of the q-LLG dynamics can be noticed: while the purity is conserved, the length of the Bloch vector is not generally preserved, even with this simple input state. This is maybe easiest seen by comparing Figs. 1 (a) and 1 (b). For an FM exchange, the quantum description is very similar to that of the classical simulation, and both approaches a fully saturated moment pointing along the x direction. However, as can be seen in Fig. 1 (b), an AFM-type exchange interaction can lead to that the length of the Bloch vector is completely quenched by the quantum dynamics, effectively becoming a *spinless* state; a behavior that is impossible in the classical description. One may further notice smaller deviations of the two approaches, e.g., that in Figs. 1 (a) the q-LLG is qualitatively similar to LLG results, with the discernible difference that the dynamics of the q-LLG equation is faster than that of the LLG equation. The effect of a non-zero DMI is shown in Figs. 1 (c) and (d). While the qualitative similarity between q-LLG and LLG remains for FM exchange coupling, the asymptotic quantum state is no longer fully quenched, i.e., the Bloch vector has a small but finite magnitude in the AFM case. The latter can be seen as an effect of the singlet-triplet coupling induced by the DMI.

To better understand the behavior depicted in Fig. 1, we next turn to the correlation matrix T_{kl} . Here, we again choose an initial AFM-type product state $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ and focus on AFM exchange coupling $J > 0$. Figure 2 shows the T_{kl} 's as a function of time. In Fig. 2 (a), we see that T_{kl} tends asymptotically to $\text{diag}\{-1, -1, -1\}$, which corresponds to the singlet Bell state $|\Psi_{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$. This explains the steady state seen in Fig. 1 (b), as the reduced states of a Bell state have vanishing Bloch vector. In other words, while the Bloch vectors become completely quenched, information has been transferred to the non-local correlation of the spins in the steady state limit, so as




FIG. 1. (Color online) q-LLG and LLG dynamics of a dimer initially prepared in the AFM-type product state $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$. The quantum dynamics is illustrated by the Bloch vector (solid lines) and the classical counterpart by the magnetization (dashed lines). (a) and (b) show the dynamics for FM ($J < 0$) and AFM ($J > 0$) exchange coupling, respectively, with in absence of DMI ($D = 0$); (c) and (d) are the corresponding plots for $D/|J| = 0.6$. Due to symmetry, only \mathbf{r}_1 (see text for definition) and \mathbf{m}_1/m are shown. Physical parameters $B_0 = 1.00$ T, $|J|/B_0 = 1\mu_B$, and $\kappa = \lambda = 0.5$ are used.




FIG. 2. (Color online) The correlation matrix elements T_{kl} with (a) $D/|J| = 0$ and (b) $D/|J| = 0.6$, driven by q-LLG dynamics for an AFM ($J = 1$) coupled dimer with initial product AFM-type state $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$. Physical parameters $B_0 = 1.00$ T, $|J|/B_0 = 1\mu_B$, and $\kappa = 0.5$ are used.

to conserve the entropy during the process. Furthermore, the numerical results shown in Fig. 2 (b) demonstrate the effect of a non-zero DMI on the q-LLG dynamics of the correlation matrix elements T_{kl} . The effect shows up as a symmetric splitting of the off-diagonal pair T_{xy} and T_{yx} . This splitting effect is the explanation why \mathbf{r}_1 shown in Fig. 1 (d) no longer tends to a state with vanishing Bloch vector.




FIG. 3. (Color online) Quantum non-locality driven by the q-LLG dynamics with zero and non-zero DMI strength, in an AFM ($J > 0$) exchange coupling dimer. We use $\mathbf{D} \perp \mathbf{B}$ and the initial state taken to be the Bell state $|\Psi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$. Physical parameters $B_0 = 1.00$ T, $|J|/B_0 = 1\mu_B$, and $\kappa = 0.5$ are used.

We next consider the effect of the DMI term for initially correlated quantum spins. An AFM coupled dimer with an initial Bell state $|\Psi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$ is considered. In Fig. 3, non-locality is shown for different DMI strength D . After an intermediate oscillatory phase, the system evolves asymptotically to a maximally non-local steady state for $D \neq 0$, while it decays monotonically to zero when $D = 0$. These results can be understood as follows. In absence of DMI, the singlet Bell state $|\Psi_-\rangle$ is decoupled from the triplet states, which means that the system, initially in the triplet Bell state $|\Psi_+\rangle$, will remain in the triplet subspace. The dissipative dynamics thereby forces the spins to approach the FM-type product state $\frac{1}{2}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)^{\otimes 2}$, which is the lowest energy eigenstate in the triplet subspace. In contrast, a non-zero D couples the singlet and triplet states, thereby opening up a route towards the energetically favorable $|\Psi_-\rangle$, which is maximally non-local.

The initial states used so far in the simulations have all been pure. We now relax this requirement and consider mixed (non-pure) input states. As we shall see, this opens up for the possibility of having transient quantum non-locality effects. Suitable states to examine the dynamics with mixed input states are of Werner type [17]: $\varrho_W^\psi = \frac{1-p}{4}\mathbb{1} + p|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ with $|\psi\rangle$ any maximally entangled spin state. We take $\varrho(0) = \varrho_W$ with $p = 0.9$, which is sufficiently large for the input state to be nonlocal [24], and consider each of the four maximally entangled standard Bell states $|\Psi_\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$ and $|\Phi_\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)$. The pairs $|\Psi_\pm\rangle$ and $|\Phi_\pm\rangle$ are of AFM- and FM-type, respectively. We compare q-LLG dynamics of FM ($J < 0$) and of AFM ($J > 0$) coupled dimers, with non-zero DMI and external magnetic field $\mathbf{D} = D(0, 0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{B} = \frac{B_0}{\sqrt{3}}(1, 1, 1)$.




FIG. 4. (Color online) q-LLG dynamics of non-locality for initial Werner states $\varrho_W = \frac{1-p}{4}\mathbb{1} + p|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ with $|\psi\rangle$ being the Bell states $|\Phi_\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)$ and $|\Psi_\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$. We set the mixing parameter to $p = 0.9$ so as to ensure a non-local input. \mathbf{D} is taken along z axis and $\mathbf{B} = B_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1, 1, 1)$. We consider FM and AFM Heisenberg exchange for each of the four input Werner states. Physical parameters $B_0 = 1.00$ T, $|J|/B_0 = 1\mu_B$, $D/|J| = 0.4$, and $\kappa = 0.5$ are used.

Figure 4 shows the resulting non-locality $B(\varrho)$ for the Werner input states. The simulations confirm a transient behavior of non-local correlation in the q-LLG dynamics. This is very different from what one expects in Lindbladian type dynamics, where the non-locality typically is irreversibly lost after a finite duration [25]. The origin of the effect seen in Fig. 4 is the perfect balance between entropy production and loss associated with the q-LLG dynamics. We further see that the non-local correlation is generally more profound for AFM coupled ($J > 0$) dimer. This is due to the fact that the lowest energy state in the AFM case is expected to have higher entanglement than for FM coupled spins.

Conclusions and outlook.— We propose a master equation that is purity-conserving and can be regarded as the quantum analog of the classical LLG. Although the connection to both LLG and q-LL [8] can be demonstrated for a single spin- s particle, no equivalent comparison is possible for the case of sev-

eral quantum spins. The proposed master equation is applied to a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ dimer. In contrast to the classical formulation, the Bloch vector magnitude is in general not conserved, and depends strongly on the type of spin-spin coupling. The most striking example is an AFM-type product state with AFM exchange coupling, that evolves in time due to an external magnetic field, in which the Bloch vector becomes completely quenched, meanwhile the information is stored in the correlation matrix so as to create a highly robust resource for entanglement-based quantum information applications. Our results also demonstrate that a quantum description produces a dynamics that is faster than that of the classical one, which may be of relevance to understand pump-probe experiments and experiments on ultrafast magnetisation dynamics. The evolution of mixed state input states reveals an unusual finite time behavior of quantum correlations that profoundly differs from what is typically seen in open system dynamics. In addition to its natural applications in the realm of spin dynamics (such as non-equilibrium ultrafast phenomena, quantum spin liquids and glasses), we foresee the q-LLG framework as an alternative optimization method for probing the phase space in a problem of quantum spins.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge financial support from the Knut and Alice (KAW) foundation through Grants No. 2018.0060, 2021.0246, and 2022.0079. Y.L. acknowledges financial support from the KTH-CSC scholarship agreement Grant No. 201907090094. This work was partially supported by the Wallenberg Initiative Materials Science for Sustainability (WISE) funded by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation. A.D. acknowledges financial support from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg (KAW) foundation through Grant No. 2022.0108 and from the Swedish Research Council (VR), Grant No. 2016-05980 and Grant No. 2019-05304. D.T. acknowledges financial support from the Swedish Research Council (VR), Grant No. 2023-04239. O.E. acknowledges support from the Swedish Research Council (VR), Grant No. 641-2013-8316 and Grant No. 2023-04899, STandUPP and European Research Council via Synergy Grant No. 854843. A.B. and O.E. acknowledges support from eSENCE.

* yuefei@kth.se

† erik.sjoqvist@physics.uu.se

- [1] T. L. Gilbert, A phenomenological theory of damping in ferromagnetic materials, *IEEE Trans. Mag.* **40**, 3443 (2004).
- [2] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, On the theory of the dispersion of magnetic permeability in ferromagnetic bodies, *Phys. Z. Sowjetunion* **8**, 153 (1935).
- [3] O. Eriksson, A. Bergman, L. Bergqvist, and J. Hellsvik, *Atomistic Spin Dynamics: Foundations and Applications* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2017).
- [4] M. Pereiro, D. Yudin, J. Chico, C. Etz, O. Eriksson, and A. Bergman, *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4815 (2014).

- [5] R. F. L. Evans, U. Atxitia, and R. W. Chantrell, Quantitative simulation of temperature-dependent magnetization dynamics and equilibrium properties of elemental ferromagnets, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 144425 (2015).
- [6] M. Pankratova, I. P. Miranda, D. Thonig, M. Pereiro, E. Sjöqvist, A. Delin, O. Eriksson, and A. Bergman, Heat-conserving three-temperature model for ultrafast demagnetization in nickel, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 174407 (2022).
- [7] R. Chimata, L. Isaeva, K. Kádas, A. Bergman, B. Sanyal, J. H. Mentink, M. I. Katsnelson, T. Rasing, A. Kirilyuk, A. Kimel, O. Eriksson, and M. Pereiro, All-thermal switching of amorphous Gd-Fe alloys: Analysis of structural properties and magnetization dynamics, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 094411 (2015).
- [8] R. Wieser, Comparison of Quantum and Classical Relaxation in Spin Dynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 147201 (2013).
- [9] R. Wieser, Description of a dissipative quantum spin dynamics with a Landau-Lifshitz/Gilbert like damping and complete derivation of the classical Landau-Lifshitz equation, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **88**, 77 (2015).
- [10] R. Wieser, Derivation of a time dependent Schrödinger equation as the quantum mechanical Landau-Lifshitz-Bloch equation, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **28**, 396003 (2016).
- [11] M. Lakshmanan and K. Nakamura, Landau-Lifshitz Equation of Ferromagnetism: Exact Treatment of the Gilbert Damping, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 2497 (1984).
- [12] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2007).
- [13] D. Manzano, A short introduction to the Lindblad master equation, *AIP Adv.* **10**, 2 (2020).
- [14] One can further note that $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is proportional to the expectation value of spin, as Eq. (4) implies

$$\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = \frac{1}{2s+1} \text{Tr}[(\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{S})\mathbf{S}] = \frac{s(s+1)}{3} \hbar^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}, \quad (13)$$

where we have used

$$\text{Tr}(S_k S_l) = \frac{s(s+1)(2s+1)}{3} \hbar^2 \delta_{kl}. \quad (14)$$

- [15] It is sufficient to consider the case of two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles and use the general form $\varrho = \frac{1}{4} T_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_\alpha \otimes \sigma_\beta$, see Eq. (9). To show q-LLG is different from q-LL in this case, we show that $[\varrho, [\varrho, \hat{T}_{xx} \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x]]$ contains a non-zero term proportional to $\hat{T}_{xx} \sigma_\alpha \otimes \sigma_x$, say, for some $\alpha \neq x$, as it would then follow that the left-hand side of Eq. (7) cannot be proportional to ϱ . A straightforward calculation gives that $[\varrho, [\varrho, \hat{T}_{xx} \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x]] \propto \{ \dots (T_{xy} T_{0y} + T_{xz} T_{0z}) \hat{T}_{xx} \} \sigma_0 \otimes \sigma_x + \dots$, where we focus on the term with $\alpha = 0$. The factor $T_{xy} T_{0y} + T_{xz} T_{0z}$ is generally non-vanishing and cannot be removed by using the conservation of purity $T_{\alpha\beta} \dot{T}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$.
- [16] The operator space of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle is spanned by four operators, identity σ_0 and the three Pauli operators $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$. This implies $4^N - 1$ operators for N such spins with the normalization condition removed.
- [17] R. F. Werner, Quantum states with Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen correlations admitting a hidden-variable model, *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 4277 (1989).
- [18] B. Horst, K. Bartkiewicz, and A. Miranowicz, Two-qubit mixed states more entangled than pure states: Comparison of the relative entropy of entanglement for a given nonlocality, *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 042108 (2013).
- [19] J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony, and R. A. Holt, Proposed Experiment to Test Local Hidden-Variable Theories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **23**, 880 (1969).

- [20] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and M. Horodecki, Violating Bell inequality by mixed spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ states: necessary and sufficient condition, *Phys. Lett. A* **200**, 340 (1995).
- [21] B. S. Cirel'son, Quantum generalizations of Bell's inequality, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **4**, 83 (1980).
- [22] D. Steiauf and M. Fähnle, Damping of spin dynamics in nanostructures: An *ab initio* study, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 064450 (2005).
- [23] B. Trauzettel, D. V. Bulaev, D. Loss, and G. Burkard, Spin qubits in graphene quantum dots, *Nat. Phys.* **3**, 192 (2007).
- [24] A Werner state is known to be non-local if $p > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.
- [25] C. Bengtson, M. Stenrup, and E. Sjöqvist, Quantum nonlocality in the excitation energy transfer in the Fenna–Matthews–Olson complex, *Int. J. quantum Chem.* **116**, 1763 (2016).