

Infrared structure beyond locality in electrodynamics

Andrzej Herdegen*

*Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University,
ul. S. Łojasiewicza 11, 30-348 Kraków, Poland*

Abstract

The infrared problems of quantum electrodynamics, in contrast to ultraviolet difficulties which are of technical nature, are related to fundamental, conceptual physical questions, such as: what is a charged particle, is the particle interpretation of the electromagnetic field complete, does a vacuum state exist, or what is the quantum status of long range degrees of freedom. On the calculational level, the standard local formulations of quantum field theory have achieved procedures to deal with infinities related to long range correlations. However, the answers to the conceptual questions formulated above, based on the locality paradigm, do not seem to be fully convincing, which is confirmed by the fact that no canonical picture did emerge. This contribution briefly characterizes perspectives which open with an admission of nonlocal variables residing in infinity, or at the boundary of spacetime after compactification. Recently, this line of investigation gains popularity.

1 Introduction

The standard formulations of the quantum field theory include, as one of their fundamental paradigms, the axiom of locality. This axiom may be characterized by the following two statements.

- (1) Basic observables of QFT models are labelled by bounded spacetime regions in which they may be measured.
- (2) If observables A_1 and A_2 are labelled in this way by \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 , respectively, and these regions are in spacelike position to each other, then $[A_1, A_2] = 0$.

Both in the Wightman axiomatic formulation, as well as in the perturbative calculations, the dependence indicated in (1) takes the form of an operator valued distribution $A(\chi)$, where $\chi(x)$ is a test function with a compact support in the corresponding region \mathcal{O} . In the algebraic formulation this dependence takes a more abstract form of a net of local algebras.

From the fundamental, algebraic point of view, the physical content of a theory is fully contained in the algebra of observables, and a particular physical setting described by the theory corresponds to a choice of a representation of this algebra. A class of unitarily equivalent irreducible representations forms what is called a superselection sector of the theory. However, for the actual construction of quantum field theory models, it proved extremely helpful, not to say indispensable, to consider not only observables, but also fields—operator valued distributions—which are not directly measurable, such as the Dirac field of electrons/positrons.¹ For reasons which seem mainly of technical nature—manageability of the perturbative expansion and treatment of the ultra violet problems—the locality axiom has been extended to include such fields as well, with the modification that in case of two fermion fields the commutator in (2) is replaced by the anticommutator.

The locality paradigm, fruitful as it is, faces problems in its actual constructive implementation in theories with constraints and long-range interaction, such as the emblematic example of quantum electrodynamics, which concerns us here. At the heart of these problems is the existence of long range correlations. The most immediate consequence of the Gauss law is that in a physically realistic representation of quantum electrodynamics charged fields cannot be local [12]. This is easy to understand: if Maxwell's equations are satisfied, and the charge operator may be constructed by some regularized integration of the electric field on a large sphere, then with the radius of that

*e-mail: herdegen@th.if.uj.edu.pl

¹This has been recognized, in recent decades, by the algebraic approach to QFT as well, see a recent summary [28].

sphere tending to infinity it commutes with all local fields; conclusion: such fields cannot create charge. More than that, it turns out that for the implementation of locality one has to admit indefinite metric spaces (Krein spaces) [12]. Thus if one insists on locality, one has to agree that the construction may only be a first technical step on the road to a realistic theory. In some approaches the problem is solved by ignoring the question of spacelike infinity; the algebraic adiabatic limit technique for the construction of local algebras, or the approach restricting observable possibilities to future light cones, are in this class (see discussion in [E]). However, here we are interested in treating long range correlations realistically, so we go back once more to a general analysis.

Consider a scattering situation, in which the incoming current in far past is that of free massive particles, and decompose the total electromagnetic field $F = F^{\text{ret}} + F^{\text{in}}$, where F^{ret} is the retarded field of the current, and F^{in} is a free incoming field. Both in far past, as well as in spacelike infinity, F^{ret} approaches the Coulomb field of the incoming particles, which has a spacelike tail of decay order r^{-2} . The same decay rate have free radiation fields produced by scattered charges (ignore, for the moment, possible quantum complications). Assuming that the behavior of F^{in} is not worse (slower decay) than that, we find that the total field decays at the same rate r^{-2} . More precisely, for each spacetime position vector x and each spacelike vector y , one should have²

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 F_{ab}(x + ry) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 F_{ab}^{\text{ret}}(x + ry) + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 F_{ab}^{\text{in}}(x + ry) = F_{ab}^0(y), \quad y^2 < 0,$$

where the field $F_{ab}^0(y)$ is homogeneous of degree -2 , independent of x (more on this last property below). However, as $x + ry$ becomes, for large r , spacelike to any compact region in spacetime, in the local quantum electrodynamics $F^0(y)$ commutes with all basic observables, so it must be a numerical function, characterizing a given superselection sector. But then, it is hard to avoid the conclusion that the tail of the incoming field F^{in} plays only a slave role with respect to the Coulomb fields of the particles, compensating their tails to the value prescribed by $F^0(y)$. Various ways to deal with this question have been proposed, most notably with the use of coherent representations of the free electromagnetic fields, and the dressing in the style proposed by Faddeev and Kulish, which involves introduction of a classical external current.³ However, in all of them, the free fields have to be correlated in this way, fundamentally hard to explain, to the particle degrees of freedom.

There are two mathematical consequences of the above superselection structure: the obvious Lorentz symmetry breaking (there are no Lorentz invariant classical fields $F_{ab}^0(y)$), and the lack of a discrete value in the mass spectrum, corresponding to a free massive charged particle [7]. The second consequence, known as the infraparticle problem, may be understood in terms of the ‘dressing’ mentioned above: the accompanying photons blur the mass hyperboloid. There are also further suggestions which seem to follow from the above picture:

- the form of the long range tail of the electromagnetic field $F^0(y)$ is to a large extent a question of convention, and
- the infrared degrees of freedom of a free field differ fundamentally from their local characteristics.

However, one can give arguments to the contrary.

- Scattering events in quantum electrodynamics have the spacetime scale of a laboratory, and distances of order of meters are sufficient to be regarded as infinity. Moreover, the memory effect, discovered in electrodynamics by Staruszkiewicz, reveals observable consequences of the long range tails.
- Classically, free fields taking part in scattering are necessarily, in nontrivial contexts, infrared singular,⁴ and all their degrees of freedom are fully autonomous. In quantum theory, the algebra of free local fields has a natural extension including infrared singular fields.

The local, and more orthodox, views on the infrared problem and its possible solutions are discussed in another article in this Encyclopedia [E]. In this contribution we review the efforts to

²Spacetime indices are denoted by a, b, c , etc., and the spacetime metric signature is $(+, -, -, -)$. Also, we use the units with $\hbar = 1$, $c = 1$.

³The most recent and complete implementation of the Faddeev-Kulish idea has been achieved in [10] with the use of truncation of the interaction lagrangian and subsequent adiabatic limit, see also [E]. This resulted in the construction of the infrared-finite low orders of the scattering operator, with conjectured extension to all orders. However, the charged fields do not exist as operators in the adiabatic limit, so the representation space of the truncated interaction is probably not that of the limit theory.

⁴Here, and in the rest of the article, by infrared singular fields we mean free fields with nonzero r^{-2} -spacelike tail, while those decaying faster will be called infrared regular.

give the long range variables in electrodynamics fully autonomous and quantum character. It seems that the first, and at the same time the most radical proposition of this type was formulated by Staruszkiewicz in his theory of the quantum Coulomb field. Later followed a thorough analysis of long range properties of classical electrodynamics, and quantum constructions taking into account the results of this structure. Recently, elements of this program have been rediscovered by other authors, and some new structures and relations have been proposed.

In the following, we devote relatively large space to the classical structure, Section 2. This gives the necessary background for a brief summary of quantum ideas in Sections 3 and 4. The classical structure stands on firm ground, while the quantum ideas inspired by it are of more speculative nature. Our discussion is rather sketchy, precise assumptions and details of proofs may be found in the bibliography.

2 Classical electromagnetic fields

2.1 Null and spacelike asymptotes

We review here the asymptotic properties of electrodynamics, as discussed in [14, 18]. For the rest of the article we denote by t the timelike, unit future-pointing vector of a chosen time axis. We consider the (inhomogeneous) Maxwell equations in Gauss' units:

$$\partial_a F^{ab}(x) = 4\pi j^b(x),$$

and denote by $A_a(x)$ a Lorenz potential of the field $F_{ab}(x)$. Consider the function

$$V_a^j(s, l) = \int \delta(s - x \cdot l) j_a(x) dx, \quad (1)$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $l \in C_+$, the future light cone, and δ is the Dirac delta. This function is homogeneous in its variables (jointly) of degree -1 , and satisfies $l \cdot V^j(s, l) = q$, the charge of the current. In typical scattering contexts this is a regular function, with well defined asymptotic limits $V_a^j(\pm\infty, l)$, satisfying in addition

$$L_{[ab} V_{c]}^j(\pm\infty, l) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad L_{ab} = l_a \frac{\partial}{\partial l^b} - l_b \frac{\partial}{\partial l^a}$$

is the intrinsic derivative operator in C_+ . Assume, moreover, that the function $\dot{V}_a^j(s, l) \equiv \partial_s V_a^j(s, l)$ decays at least as $|s|^{-1-\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, for $|s| \rightarrow \infty$. Then the null asymptotic behavior of the retarded/advanced potentials of this current, obtained in standard way with the use of the retarded/advanced Green functions, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} RA_a^{\text{ret}}(x + Rl) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} RA_a^{\text{adv}}(x - Rl) = V_a^j(x \cdot l, l), & (2) \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} RA_a^{\text{ret}}(x - Rl) &= V_a^j(-\infty, l), \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} RA_a^{\text{adv}}(x + Rl) = V_a^j(+\infty, l). & (3) \end{aligned}$$

We decompose the total field in two ways, $F = F^{\text{ret}} + F^{\text{in}} = F^{\text{adv}} + F^{\text{out}}$, suitable for the discussion of the 'in' and 'out' setting, respectively. If we assume that A^{in} has similar asymptotic behavior as the radiation potential $A^{\text{rad}} = A^{\text{ret}} - A^{\text{adv}}$ of the current j (which then implies that the same is true for A^{out}), then there exist vector functions $V_a(s, l)$, $V_a'(s, l)$, homogeneous of degree -1 , with well defined limit values $V_a(\pm\infty, l)$, $V_a'(\pm\infty, l)$, satisfying relations

$$\begin{aligned} V_a(s, l) + V_a'(s, l) - V_a^j(s, l) &= V_a(-\infty, l) = V_a'(+\infty, l), & (4) \\ V_a(+\infty, l) &= V_a^j(+\infty, l), \quad V_a'(-\infty, l) = V_a^j(-\infty, l) \\ L_{[ab} V_{c]}(\pm\infty, l) &= L_{[ab} V_{c]}'(\pm\infty, l) = 0, \quad l \cdot V(s, l) = l \cdot V'(s, l) = q, \end{aligned}$$

such that for $l \in C_+$ one has

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} RA_a(x + Rl) = V_a(x \cdot l, l), \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} RF_{ab}(x + Rl) = l_a \dot{V}_b(x \cdot l, l) - l_b \dot{V}_a(x \cdot l, l), \quad (5)$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} RA_a(x - Rl) = V_a'(x \cdot l, l), \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} RF_{ab}(x - Rl) = l_a \dot{V}_b'(x \cdot l, l) - l_b \dot{V}_a'(x \cdot l, l). \quad (6)$$

The second equality in (4) is the 'matching property', which was obtained in [14] (eqn. (2.26)), and recently rediscovered, see [34]. The (equal) variables on the rhs of (4) govern the asymptotic

spacelike behavior of the fields [14, 18]: for each spacetime position vector x , and each spacelike vector y , one has⁵

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} RA_a(x + Ry) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int V_a(-\infty, l) \delta(y \cdot l) d^2l, \quad (7)$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^2 F_{ab}(x + Ry) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int [l_a V_b(-\infty, l) - l_b V_a(-\infty, l)] \delta'(y \cdot l) d^2l, \quad (8)$$

where δ and δ' are the Dirac delta and its derivative, respectively, and d^2l is the Lorentz invariant measure on the set of null directions, applicable to functions of l homogeneous of degree -2 ; if one scales null vectors l to $t \cdot l = 1$, then $d^2l = d\Omega(\mathbf{1})$, the solid angle measure. The rhs of these relations do not depend on the spacetime point x , so they may be interpreted as conserved quantities. Again, this has been observed anew recently. One can smear $V(-\infty, l)$ with a test vector function $V^+(l)$, homogeneous of degree -1 and orthogonal to l , to obtain a numerical quantity

$$Q(V^+) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int V^+(l) \cdot V(-\infty, l) d^2l, \quad (9)$$

which does not depend on the gauge of $V(-\infty, l)$ (see Section 2.2 for the discussion of the gauge freedom). These quantities have been shown in [18], Appendix D, to coincide with the ‘charges’ of Ref [34].

Let us now consider the decomposition of the potential (and the field) appropriate for the discussion of the future behavior, $A = A^{\text{adv}} + A^{\text{out}}$. Similar considerations, with the future interchanged with the past, are true for the decomposition $A = A^{\text{ret}} + A^{\text{in}}$. If we denote

$$V_a^{\text{out}}(s, l) = V_a(s, l) - V_a(+\infty, l), \quad V_a^{\text{out}'}(s, l) = V_a^{\text{out}}(-\infty, l) - V_a^{\text{out}}(s, l), \quad (10)$$

then the null asymptotes of the free outgoing field are again given by (5) and (6), but with V and V' replaced by V^{out} and $V^{\text{out}'}$, respectively. The null asymptotes for F^{adv} are obtained from (2) and (3) by differentiation with respect to x .

Moreover, if (A, F) are replaced in (7, 8) by $(A^{\text{adv}}, F^{\text{adv}})$, or $(A^{\text{out}}, F^{\text{out}})$, then on the rhs $V(-\infty, l)$ is replaced by $V(+\infty, l)$, or $V^{\text{out}}(-\infty, l)$, respectively. This shows that if $V^{\text{out}}(-\infty, l) = V(-\infty, l) - V(+\infty, l) \neq 0$, then the ‘out’ field is infrared singular.

Let us now set $x = ut$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Then u is the retarded time for points $ut + Rl$, and on the rhs of (5) one has $x \cdot l = ut \cdot l$, which simplifies to u , if l is scaled to $t \cdot l = 1$. If one compactifies the spacetime à la Penrose, then u is an affine parameter along the generators of the future null infinity, and the rhs in (5) are initial values of A and F on this surface. Then by the Kirchhoff formula, one recovers the free field from these asymptotic values:⁶

$$A_a^{\text{out}}(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \dot{V}_a^{\text{out}}(x \cdot l, l) d^2l, \quad (11)$$

$$F_{ab}^{\text{out}}(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int [l_a \ddot{V}_b^{\text{out}}(x \cdot l, l) - l_b \ddot{V}_a^{\text{out}}(x \cdot l, l)] d^2l, \quad (12)$$

where $\ddot{V}_a^{\text{out}}(s, l) = \partial_s^2 V_a^{\text{out}}(s, l)$.

The integral representation (11) is related to the more standard Fourier representation

$$A_a^{\text{out}}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int e^{-ix \cdot k} a_a^{\text{out}}(k) \text{sgn}(k^0) \delta(k^2) d^4k \quad (13)$$

by

$$\omega a_a^{\text{out}}(\omega l) = -\widetilde{\dot{V}_a^{\text{out}}}(\omega, l) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\omega s} \dot{V}_a^{\text{out}}(s, l) ds,$$

so one has

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \omega a_a^{\text{out}}(\omega l) = -\widetilde{\dot{V}_a^{\text{out}}}(0, l) = \frac{1}{2\pi} V_a^{\text{out}}(-\infty, l) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \dot{V}_a(s, l) ds. \quad (14)$$

This relation, which was indicated in [14], and which is a simple consequence of the two integral representations (11) and (13), relates the traditional infrared characteristic of a free field on the

⁵In Ref. [18], factors $1/(2\pi)$ are lacking.

⁶In fact, this representation has been used in [14] to obtain relations between past and future null asymptotic behavior.

lhs, to the quantities on the edges of future null infinity (and to the integral of the electromagnetic field asymptote). It is obvious that if the rhs does not vanish, then the field is infrared singular,⁷ which leads to problems in standard formulations of QED. This, again, has been rediscovered recently and made by Strominger to one of the sides of an ‘infrared triangle’ [34] (one would obtain relations in the form present in recent works by smearing the above equation with a test function as in (9)). The integral on the rhs is related to what in recent works is referred to as ‘memory’. However, this interpretation may be put under doubt, see below.

We end this section with an illustration of the ‘matching property’ (4), as described in [18]. Consider a scattering event in which there are n' incoming particles and n outgoing particles, with charges and four-velocities given by q'_i, v'_i and q_i, v_i , respectively. It is easy to show that for a single free particle with charge q and velocity v one has $V^j(s, l) = qv/v \cdot l$. Therefore, in our scattering event we have

$$V^j(-\infty, l) = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} q'_i \frac{v'_i}{v' \cdot l}, \quad V^j(+\infty, l) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \frac{v_i}{v \cdot l}.$$

Then relations (4) and (14) (and a similar one relating $a^{\text{in}}(\omega l)$ to $V'(s, l)$) give

$$2\pi \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \omega a^{\text{out}}(\omega l) + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \frac{v_i}{v \cdot l} = V(-\infty, l) = V'(+\infty, l) = 2\pi \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \omega a^{\text{in}}(\omega l) + \sum_{i=1}^{n'} q'_i \frac{v'_i}{v' \cdot l}. \quad (15)$$

This is the classical version of a relation which in some (alleged) quantum form gained popularity in recent literature. We shall come back to this in the quantum section.

2.2 Symplectic structure

It is well known that the space of free fields may be equipped with the symplectic form:

$$\sigma(A_1, A_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} (F_1^{ab} A_{2b} - F_2^{ab} A_{1b})(x) d\sigma_a(x), \quad (16)$$

where Σ is a Cauchy surface with the dual integration form $d\sigma_a$. If $A_{1,2}$ are Lorenz potentials, then by the use of Stokes’ theorem one can replace the integrand by $A_{2b} \partial^a A_1^b - A_{1b} \partial^a A_2^b$, and then one finds that $\sigma(A_1, A_2) = \{V_1, V_2\}$, where

$$\{V_1, V_2\} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int (\dot{V}_1 \cdot V_2 - \dot{V}_2 \cdot V_1)(s, l) ds d^2 l; \quad (17)$$

this may be obtained either by the explicit use of relations (11) (and integration as in Appendix C of [14]), or by shifting the Cauchy surface to the future null infinity. The latter interpretation transfers the discussion of fields to the future null infinity, and this has been done by various authors before, notably Bramson [4] and Ashtekar [1, 2]. The potentials (and their time derivatives) may be, initially, assumed to have compact supports on Σ , and then their asymptotes V are also of compact support (by the lightlike propagation of solutions of the wave equation). However, the form $\{.,.\}$ has the obvious, straightforward extension to all free fields from the class of Section 2.1 (the integrand in (17) is still absolutely integrable).

A Lorenz potential A of the total field F is determined up to a gauge transformation respecting the Lorenz condition, that is $A_a \rightarrow A_a + \partial_a \Lambda$, where $\square \Lambda = 0$, and the new potential is still in the class of free potentials of Section 2.1. It follows that the corresponding transformation of the limit function V has the form $V_a(s, l) \rightarrow V_a(s, l) + l_a \alpha(s, l)$, where the limits $\alpha(\pm\infty, l)$ exist, and $\alpha(+\infty, l) = 0$.

More recently, another type of transformation, named ‘large gauge transformation’ (LGT), has been proposed (see [24], [34] and references in these articles). The question these authors consider may be formulated as follows: are there other gauges, obtained from the above Lorenz type by a transformation $A_a(x) \rightarrow A_a^\Lambda(x) = A_a(x) + \partial_a \Lambda(x)$, such that $A_a^\Lambda(x + Rl)$ has again a null asymptote of order R^{-1} ? One shows that if $\Lambda(st + Rl) = \varepsilon^+(l) + R^{-1} \beta_t(s, l) + o(R^{-1})$, where we have scaled $t \cdot l = 1$ for simplicity, and β_t is appropriately correlated with ε^+ , then $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R A_a^\Lambda(st + Rl) = V_a^\Lambda(s, l) = V_a(s, l) + V_a^+(l)$, where $V_a^+(l) = \partial_a \varepsilon^+(l)$. However, as $V_a^\Lambda(+\infty, l) = V_a^+(l) \neq 0$, the potential $A_a^\Lambda(x)$ cannot be extended to the whole spacetime as a solution of the wave equation,

⁷Which, of course, is already obvious on the basis of equation (7).

which is evident from the discussion in Section 2.1: the function $V_a^\Lambda(s, l)$ would have to vanish for $s \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, it is also evident that the symplectic form (17) is not invariant under LGT transformation. This is easy to understand: discussion in Section 2.1 shows that the addition of $V_a^+(l)$ changes the physics of the system—it adds an outgoing current with the advanced field as in (3). Summing up, to interpret LGT as a gauge transformation, one would need a wider framework than this supplied above. We are not aware of a refutation of this criticism, which was raised in [18]. The only attempt, known to us, to address this issue has been undertaken in the context of BV-BFV formalism, see [29]. However, the view expressed above is strengthened by the quantum case, see Section 3.

There is still another way to represent the symplectic structure (16). Suppose that potentials A_i , $i = 1, 2$, are represented as radiation potentials of some conserved test currents J_i , with asymptotic behavior as for j in Section 2.1

$$A_{ia}(x) = 4\pi \int D(x-y) J_{ia}(y) dy = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \dot{V}_a^{J_i}(x \cdot l, l) d^2l,$$

where $\dot{V}_a^{J_i}(s, l)$ are formed as in (1). Then $\sigma(A_1, A_2) = \{J_1, J_2\}_c$ (subscript c for ‘current’) where

$$\{J_1, J_2\}_c = \frac{1}{2} \int [J_1 \cdot A_2 - J_2 \cdot A_1](x) dx. \quad (18)$$

If J_i are local currents, then this reduces to the standard form

$$\{J_1, J_2\}_c = 4\pi \int J_1^a(x) D(x-y) J_{2a}(y) dx dy, \quad (19)$$

but for more general currents the latter representation is not absolutely integrable. More on choices of currents J_i in Section 3.

2.3 Electromagnetic memory effects

An effect of the type of ‘memory’, first discovered for gravitation [36, 5], has been first noted in electrodynamics by Staruszkiewicz [30], who posed the following question: does a (free) electromagnetic field in zero frequency limit produce observable effects? What is meant by zero frequency is the following. Let $A_a(x)$ be a Lorenz potential of a field from the class identified in the last section, and define its rescaled version $A_a^{(\lambda)}(x) = \lambda^{-1} A_a(\lambda^{-1}x)$. Consider the scaled field in the large λ limit. The energy carried by this field vanishes in that limit, so it is unable to change the velocity of any massive charged particle. In terms of the Fourier transform, $\widetilde{V}_a^{(\lambda)}(\omega, l) = \widetilde{V}_a(\lambda\omega, l)$, so the frequency content shrinks to values around $\omega = 0$, but the spacelike tail due to $V_a(-\infty, l) = -2\pi \widetilde{V}_a(0, l)$ (see (7) and (14)) does not change in the limit.⁸ Using the semiclassical approximation for the phase of the wave function of a test particle placed in such field, Staruszkiewicz found that the incoming plane wave $\exp(-ip \cdot x)$ of a charged particle, with e and p its charge and four-momentum, respectively, acquires in far future a phase shift of the magnitude

$$\delta(p) = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \int \frac{p \cdot V(-\infty, l)}{p \cdot l} d^2l. \quad (20)$$

If a wave packet is formed, this shift produces observable effects. It is easy to see their nature. If $f(p)$ is the momentum profile of the initial packet, then the final packet has the profile $e^{i\delta(p)} f(p)$. The addition to the phase has no effect on the distribution of momentum, but under the action of the position operator $-i\partial/\partial p^a$ causes a shift $\partial\delta(p)/\partial p^a$.

The same shift is obtained for the trajectory of a classical particle in weak free field, say F_{ab}^{out} , [18]. For a particle passing through a spacetime point x_0 , with the four-velocity v , which is not affected in the low energy of the field limit, the shift is given by

$$\Delta_a = -\frac{e}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_{ab}^{\text{out}}(x_0 + v\tau) \tau d\tau v^b = \frac{e}{2\pi m} \int \frac{l_a V_b^{\text{out}}(-\infty, l) - l_b V_a^{\text{out}}(-\infty, l)}{(v \cdot l)^2} d^2l v^b,$$

where the first equality follows from an analysis of the equation of motion, and for the second equality we used (12). The result agrees with the derivative of $\delta(p)$. The memory effect for the

⁸In the original Staruszkiewicz’s article this shrinking of the frequency support is achieved not by scaling, but the introduction of a cutoff function.

Dirac field, both classical as quantum, placed in low-energy electromagnetic field was analyzed in [17]. For the quantum Dirac field one finds the scattering operator in the form

$$S = \exp \left[i \int \delta(p) \rho(p) d\mu(p) \right],$$

where $\delta(p)$ is the phase (20), $\rho(p)$ is the momentum-density of the charge operator and $d\mu(p)$ is the invariant measure on the mass hyperboloid.

More recently, another memory effect has been proposed in electrodynamics [3], which gained much wider popularity. The authors propose to go to ‘radiation zone’ and integrate the electric field over time from infinite past to infinite future. This integral is then supposed to determine a ‘velocity kick’ which a test particle will experience. More precisely, this amounts to the following. Let the spacetime position vectors x be parametrized by the retarded coordinates, that is $x = ut + Rk$, where t is the unit time axis vector, k is a future null vector such that $t \cdot k = 1$, $R \geq 0$, and then u is the retarded time. For $R \rightarrow \infty$ (radiation zone) the field behaves as in (5), and the authors keep only this leading term. Thus, in our language, the integral postulated by them, apart from the factor R^{-1} , is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R F_{ab}(ut + Rk) du &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [k_a \dot{V}_b(u, k) - k_b \dot{V}_a(u, k)] du \\ &= k_b V_a^{\text{out}}(-\infty, k) - k_a V_b^{\text{out}}(-\infty, k), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where we used (5), and then (10). The rhs depends on the long-range variable of the outgoing field, that is the difference $V_a(-\infty, k) - V_a(+\infty, k)$, which appears in recent discussions of memory. However, one can raise doubts about actual experimental setting for this calculation [23]. However large R is, it is finite and fixed. Consider, for simplicity, only the case of a free field F^{out} . For any R (whether large or not), it follows from (12) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_{ab}^{\text{out}}(ut + Rk) du = 0, \quad (22)$$

as $\dot{V}_a(\pm\infty, k) = 0$. The discrepancy between (21) and (22) results from unjustified interchange of limits [23]. For any finite R , to approximate (21), the time integration in (22) cannot extend over the whole axis. This poses a question of a clear definition of the integration interval, which in general case may be problematic, especially in the zero frequency limit. However, this does not prohibit independent determination of $V_a(\pm\infty, l)$. As we have seen, $V_a(-\infty, l)$ is encoded in the spacelike asymptotic behavior of fields (7, 8), while $V_a(+\infty, l)$ in the asymptotic trajectories of the outgoing charges. The important aspect of the adiabatic memory shift discovered earlier is, that for a weak free field it allows to observe its spacelike tail in scattering experiments, without going to spatial infinity (if the amplitude is measured, and not merely the cross section). Moreover, no infinite (in the limit) scaling by the decay parameter R is needed.

3 Quantum theory beyond locality

The standard local quantization of the free electromagnetic field may be formulated as a mapping from the space of smooth, conserved, compactly supported currents J to operators $A(J)$ in a Hilbert space, satisfying commutation relations

$$[A(J_1), A(J_2)] = i\{J_1, J_2\}_c, \quad (23)$$

with $\{J_1, J_2\}_c$ as in (19). As J_a are conserved, the elements $A(J)$ are gauge invariant, so they represent the smeared electromagnetic field. We denote

$$V_{ia}(s, l) = V_a^{J_i}(s, l) = \int \delta(s - x \cdot l) J_{ia}(x) dx, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (24)$$

For smearing currents of compact support these functions are also of compact support, so the profile test fields are infrared regular, and the usual quantization schemes do not admit infrared singular fields. However, this falls short of the needs of scattering theory, as should be clear from the discussion in Section 2.1. This, of course, is a very old problem, which has been addressed early and by many authors. The common strategy of solutions proposed within the limits of local

theory, in various guises, is the addition of classical currents, depending on particle momenta, with the aim of correcting the deficit of the long range tails. Here is, among others, the place of the Faddeev-Kulish approach, also in its newest reformulation [10], briefly described in [E].

In all treatments mentioned above, the algebra of free electromagnetic fields remains the same, that given by local elements (23). One can ask whether indeed the long range variables of electromagnetic fields have only the classical auxiliary character, as described above. Again, it seems that Staruszkiewicz was the first author to pose this question, after observability of these variables has been indicated in his memory effect. However, his proposition is based on the extracted long range variables per se, and it does not fit into the present setting, so we postpone its description to Section 4.

Here we briefly describe an extended algebra based on the symplectic forms (17) or (18). We note that these forms have natural extensions to infrared singular fields. These extensions have been used in [15] to construct a wider algebra of fields, including those infrared singular, and a class of its representations (see also [18, 22] and references therein). The extension of the form (17) has been earlier considered by Ashtekar [2], but only for purposes of a Faddeev-Kulish type construction, and not for extending the algebra.

We describe the extension for the case of the free ‘out’ field, the ‘in’ case is similar. For the outgoing field it is natural to use the future asymptotic test functions $V_a(s, l)$. The main point in the extension of the symplectic form (17) is that one admits test functions $V_a(s, l)$ with $V_a(-\infty, l) \neq 0$, while it remains true that $V_a(+\infty, l) = 0$. The functions are assumed smooth, and $\dot{V}_a(s, l)$, together with all their derivatives by L_{bc} , decay at least as $|s|^{-1-\varepsilon}$ in infinity. Moreover, $L_{[ab}V_{c]}(-\infty, l) = 0$ and $l \cdot V(s, l) = 0$, which together imply that there exists a scalar function $\Phi(l)$, determined up to the addition of a constant, such that $V_a(-\infty, l) = \partial_a \Phi(l)$. The space of such functions with the form (17) is a nondegenerate symplectic space. According to general results [6] there exists a unique C^* -Weyl algebra of elements satisfying

$$W(V_1)W(V_2) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\{V_1, V_2\}}W(V_1 + V_2). \quad (25)$$

As the symplectic form (17) is gauge invariant, as described in Section 2.2, the elements $W(V)$ are gauge invariant and represent electromagnetic fields. A class of translationally covariant, positive energy representations of this algebra has been constructed in [15]. The idea of the construction is the following. First, restrict the algebra to the standard infrared regular fields, and consider its coherent representations with all possible long range spacelike tails of the decay order r^{-2} . Then choose a Gaussian measure on the space of these tails, and form the direct integral of these representations. On the integrated Hilbert space the full algebra (25) has a natural, irreducible representation, extending the infrared regular subalgebra. The energy-momentum spectrum covers the whole (solid) closed future light cone, but there is no vacuum state. Instead, there is a distinguished class of states with arbitrarily low residual energy content. Representations thus formed may be chosen as covariant with respect to 3-space rotations, but it does not seem possible to have full Lorentz covariance. However, the long range degrees of freedom have fully quantum character. For further reference we denote this representation π_B , where B is the covariance operator of the Gaussian measure mentioned above.

The local algebra of fields is contained in (25) via relation (24): for V thus related to a local J we have the identification $W(V) = \exp[-iA(J)]$, somewhat symbolic on the algebraic level. To obtain a similar identification for general V 's as above, one needs to extend the scope of currents. For the outgoing field the most natural is the extension to charge-free currents J which have future asymptotic tails of the form $J_a(x) \rightarrow \rho(x)x_a$, with $\rho(x)$ homogeneous of degree -4 , and vanishing fast outside the support of ρ , which is contained inside the future light cone. The definition of the corresponding function V must be then modified to

$$V_a(s, l) = V_a^J(s, l) - V_a^J(+\infty, l),$$

where V^J is given by the rhs of (24).

In the construction of [15], the above algebra together with the positron/electron field $\psi(\chi)$, with χ a smearing bispinor function, has been proposed as a model for the asymptotic algebra of the Maxwell-Dirac system. Dirac field anticommutes in the usual way, while with elements $W(V)$ it satisfies

$$W(V)\psi(\chi) = \psi(S_\Phi \chi)W(V), \quad \widehat{S_\Phi \chi}(p) = \exp \left[-\frac{im^2}{4\pi} \int \frac{e\Phi(l)}{(p \cdot l)^2} d^2l \right] \hat{\chi}(p), \quad p^2 = m^2, \quad (26)$$

where $\Phi(l)$ is as defined before (25). This commutation rule takes care of the fact that creation/annihilation of the charged particle adds/subtracts its Coulomb field to the total field. In this model the additive constant in $e\Phi(l)$ has the interpretation of a phase variable, which is taken into account for the extension of the algebra (25) and its representation to the structure incorporating (26) as well, see [15, 18].

The ideas on the infrared structure described in the Introduction, and their concrete realisation in the form of the algebra (25), have been recently formulated anew in Ref. [27]. The article puts as one of its main objectives to find a Poincaré covariant representation of the algebra (25), and in this respect its conclusion is negative—no such representation has been constructed.⁹ There is only one footnote mention of the construction of Ref. [15], dismissing its representations π_B as not Lorentz covariant. However, to the present author it has been rather obvious a Poincaré covariant representation was probably impossible to obtain. The (homogeneous) Lorentz covariance may be achieved for a theory of the long range electromagnetic tails per se, as in the Staruszkiewicz model (see the next section), but then the problem reappears in attempts to extend such model to the whole spacetime. Thus, the obstacle to a Poincaré covariant representation of all fields, including the infrared singular ones, seems to rest in the demand to reconcile the (homogeneous) Lorentz covariance with the positive energy representation of translations. However, the lack of the full Lorentz covariance may need further understanding, but does not seem disqualifying, and in fact may reflect the physics of the problem.

Construction of the extended algebra of free fields and its representations is only a first step for the construction of an interacting theory. First steps in this direction have been proposed recently. First, on the classical level, it was shown that the Dirac field, scattered in external electromagnetic field, approaches its free versions in far past and future, without any Dollard corrections, if an appropriate gauge is chosen [19]. The constitutive property of such gauge is that $x \cdot A(x)$ vanishes sufficiently fast in past and future. Next, motivated by this result, a special gauge with this property—the almost radial gauge—has been constructed both classically, and in the representation π_B of the algebra (25), [21]. Finally, this algebra and the almost radial gauge have been coupled with the Dirac field, for the construction of the lowest order perturbation calculus in QED, [22]. This is a modest beginning, but a few results, not available in the standard local theory, could be mentioned. The scheme avoids indefinite metric, and allows the construction of the Dirac field as an operator in the Hilbert space up to the first order. This field tends strongly on a dense subspace to the free field. This is not in contradiction with the absence of a discrete mass hyperboloid in the spectrum, as here there is no vacuum with discrete vertex of the energy-momentum light cone in the spectrum. Spacelike asymptotic electromagnetic field may be obtained as a quantum field, and the fluctuations remain bounded in the limit. Thus, the discrete mass in the energy-momentum transfer of the Dirac field is not achieved by masking the changes of the field in spacelike infinity by fluctuations, as is the case in infravacuum representations. There exist reasons to expect that higher perturbation orders will not destroy the general picture, but their construction demands a solution of the UV problem, which remains a subject for further research.

In the remaining part of this section we make some further comments on the ‘infrared triangle’ of the review article [34], mentioned at the end of Section 2.1. Another aspect of this ‘triangle’ is the generation of the LGT transformation by charges (9). In this review article, and works based on these ideas, this generation is obtained by rather heuristic considerations, as no mathematically well defined representation of the algebraic relations is proposed, in which quantities like (9) would have unobjectionable existence. However, such quantities may be precisely defined within the algebraic model defined by (25, 26). This had been done in [18], where it was also shown that

$$U(V^+)W(V)U(V^+)^* = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{4\pi} \int V^+(l) \cdot V(-\infty, l) d^2l\right\} W(V), \quad (27)$$

$$U(V^+)\psi(g_1)U(V^+)^* = \psi(S_{\varepsilon^+}g_1), \quad (28)$$

where $U(V^+)$ is the well defined quantum version of $\exp[-iQ(V^+)]$, $Q(V^+)$ as in (9), with $V^+(l) = \partial\varepsilon^+(l)$, S_{ε^+} as defined in (26), and where to simplify notation we identify $W(V)$ with their representing operators in the representation characterized above. This transformation changes the phase of the charged field by a momentum dependent addition (28), but it also acts nontrivially on the electromagnetic field (27): its effect is consistent with our earlier interpretation as the addition

⁹One could note that the title of the article promises much more than its content. Also, while the authors cite Staruszkiewicz’s Ref. [30] in the context of memory, they relate it to the alleged ‘velocity kick’ effect, in gross disagreement with facts; see Section 2.3.

of an outgoing classical current. Moreover, one should mention that the transformation (28) may also be obtained as a zero frequency limit of the transformation in which $U(V^+)$ is replaced by a free field element, which at the same time leaves the electromagnetic field unchanged in the limit [18].

Finally, one should mention the third side of Strominger's 'infrared triangle', the relation of asymptotic degrees of freedom to the Weinberg soft photon theorems [35]. This, in essence, is an attempt to 'quantize' relations of the type of (14) and (15). In the language used in these notes, the argument used in those attempts could be paraphrased as follows. In quantum case, the matching property (4) is rewritten as

$$\langle \text{out} | V(-\infty, l) S | \text{in} \rangle = \langle \text{out} | S V'(+\infty, l) | \text{in} \rangle,$$

where S is the scattering operator, and the incoming and outgoing states involve charged particles as assumed before relation (15) (see [26, 34]). If for $V(-\infty, l)$ and $V'(+\infty, l)$ one substitutes the left and right hand side of (15), respectively, and also takes into account relation (14), then one claims that

$$\langle \text{out} | \int \dot{V}(s, l) ds S | \text{in} \rangle = -2\pi \lim_{\omega \searrow 0} \omega \langle \text{out} | a^{\text{out}}(\omega l) S | \text{in} \rangle = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \frac{v_i}{v \cdot l} - \sum_{i=1}^{n'} q'_i \frac{v'_i}{v' \cdot l} \right] \langle \text{out} | S | \text{in} \rangle,$$

for the case that $\lim_{\omega \searrow 0} \omega a^{\text{in}}(\omega l) | \text{in} \rangle = 0$ (no 'soft photons' in the initial state). The integral on the lhs is related to what in that literature is called 'memory'. Similarly, taking the limit $\omega \nearrow 0$ one would obtain an analogous relation with the creation operator acting on $|\text{in}\rangle$, and outgoing 'memory' replaced by that of V' (for the case of no soft photons in the outgoing state). The above relations should be smeared with a function $V^+(l)$, as in (9).

Again, relations of this type have only a heuristic value, as no consistent theory of scattering, with well defined scattering operator S , or creation/annihilation operators, has been offered for an extended framework encompassing nonlocal degrees of freedom. However, these ideas recently raise interest also in the mathematical community, see e.g. [11].

4 Staruszkiewicz's theory of quantum electromagnetic fields in spacelike infinity

Staruszkiewicz formulated his theory in Ref. [31] in a set of axioms on the vacuum and quantum variables of the theory. Later it was shown that the mathematics of the theory is best formulated as a C^* -Weyl-algebra model, and its particular representation [16, 20]. We present the theory in terms used in Ref. [20].

The starting point for the theory is a classical scalar field $S(x)$, satisfying the wave equation $\square S(x) = 0$, and homogeneous of degree 0, which Staruszkiewicz interprets as a phase function. General solution satisfying these assumptions has the form

$$S(x) = -\frac{e}{4\pi} \int \left\{ c(l) \text{sgn}(x \cdot l) + \partial^2 D(l) \log \left(\frac{|x \cdot l|}{v \cdot l} \right) \right\} d^2 l + S_v, \quad S_v = \frac{e}{4\pi} \int \frac{D(l)}{(v \cdot l)^2} d^2 l,$$

where $c(l)$ and $D(l)$ are functions homogeneous of degree -2 and 0 , respectively, e is a constant which will be interpreted as the elementary charge, and the solution is independent of the choice of an arbitrary four-velocity v . If for $x^2 < 0$ we define the potential $A_a(x) = -x_a S(x)/(ex^2)$, then the corresponding field $F_{ab}(x)$ gives the general solution of free Maxwell's equations in $x^2 < 0$, homogeneous of degree -2 , of electrical type. Explicitly,

$$F_{ab}(x) = \frac{1}{8\pi x^2} \int \frac{l_a x_b - l_b x_a}{x \cdot l - i0} \left[\partial^2 D(l) - i \frac{2}{\pi} c(l) \right] d^2 l + \text{c.c.} \quad (29)$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \frac{L_{ab} \left[D(l) - i \frac{2}{\pi} F(l) \right]}{(x \cdot l - i0)^2} d^2 l + \text{c.c.}, \quad (30)$$

where the second representation holds in case that $c(l) = \partial^2 F(l)$ for a homogeneous function $F(l)$. We see that in this latter case the field extends to a free distributional field on Minkowski space, homogeneous of degree -2 . Moreover, the contribution to (30) due to the term $\delta'(x \cdot l)$ reproduces the free case of (8), but the contribution due to $(x \cdot l)^{-2}$ is new. These second type fields do not

arise as spacelike limits of fields in the scattering category of Section 2.1. Note that these two groups have distinctly different behavior in spacetime: those from the first group are odd, and those from the second are even, with respect to the reflection $x \rightarrow -x$. For each four-velocity v the general $c(l)$ has the representation

$$c(l) = \frac{Q(c)}{(v \cdot l)^2} + \partial^2 F_v(l), \quad Q(c) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int c(l) d^2 l, \quad (31)$$

where $Q(c)$ is the charge of the field (29), as calculated by Gauss' law, and $F_v(l)$ is a v -dependent homogeneous function of l .

The function $S(x)$ may be restricted to the de Sitter space $x^2 = -1$, and then the wave equation in $x^2 < 0$ and homogeneity imply the wave equation inside the de Sitter space. Local, in the de Sitter space, quantization of this field is achieved by putting

$$\left[\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \hat{c}(l) D(l) d^2 l, \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \hat{D}(l') c(l') d^2 l' \right] = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int D(l) c(l) d^2 l \equiv i \langle D, c \rangle,$$

where the 'hatted' functions are quantum variables, and those not hatted are test functions, all with homogeneity indicated by c and D as before. It follows from this relation, in particular, that $[\hat{Q}, \hat{S}_v] = ie$. This confirms the interpretation of \hat{S}_v as a phase operator, but it also implies that it should be used only in the exponentiated form, and then the commutation relation takes the form $\hat{Q} \exp(-i\hat{S}_v) = \exp(-i\hat{S}_v)(\hat{Q} + e \text{id})$. These heuristic considerations lead to the following algebraic formulation of the quantum theory.

The algebra consists of elements $W(D, c)$, where D and c are test fields as defined above, but with the restriction that $Q(c) = ne$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, see (31). These elements are assumed to satisfy

$$W(D_1, c_1) W(D_2, c_2) = \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \sigma(D_1, c_1; D_2, c_2) \right] W(D_1 + D_2, c_1 + c_2), \\ W(D, c)^* = W(-D, -c), \quad W(0, 0) = \mathbf{1},$$

where $\sigma(D_1, c_1; D_2, c_2) = \langle D_1, c_2 \rangle - \langle D_2, c_1 \rangle$. These relations define the algebra as a Weyl algebra over a symplectic Abelian group, and theorems [25] guarantee its unique existence as a C^* -algebra. The element $\exp(-i\hat{S}_v)$ of our earlier discussion corresponds, in this precise formulation, to the element $W(0, c_v)$, with $c_v(l) = e/(v \cdot l)^2$. Thus, $W(0, c_v)$ creates a charged field, with charge e , rotationally symmetric in the frame with the time axis along v (a Coulomb field).

It is known that a scalar field on the de Sitter space (not a phase field) has a one-parameter family of disjoint irreducible representations covariant with respect to the homogeneous Lorentz group in the ambient space, each with an invariant vacuum state [9]. As it turns out, the same is true for the above algebra [8, 16]. Staruszkiewicz picks out a particular representation π as follows. Within the theory one can form the quantum analog of the free field (30), and then the term explicitly written out is its positive frequency part. Staruszkiewicz demands that this part, as in the Minkowski space theory, annihilates the vacuum. This fixes the representation uniquely.

As mentioned before, the theory treats the long range degrees of freedom per se, and it does not seem possible to extend it to a consistent theory of quantum fields in the whole spacetime, with properly represented translations and the corresponding energy-momentum. However, what makes the theory intriguing is the fact that its structure shows critical dependence on the value of the fine structure constant $\alpha = e^2/(\hbar c)$ (we write here all constants explicitly to make it clear that the dimensionless constant is concerned). Namely, let Ω be the vacuum state vector, and consider the closed linear span \mathcal{H}_e of all vectors of the form $\pi(W(0, c_v))\Omega$, for all four-velocities v . This subspace of the whole representation space is invariant under the Lorentz group, thus it carries its representation. Using the methods of Gelfand et al. [13], Staruszkiewicz succeeded in decomposing this representation into irreducibles, with the following remarkable result [32]: for $z \equiv \alpha/\pi > 1$ it decomposes into a continuous direct integral of main series irreducible representations $\mathfrak{S}_{0,\rho}$ (all of them with zero value of the second Casimir operator), while for $z < 1$ there is one discrete addition of a representation \mathfrak{D}_ν from the supplementary series, with $\nu = 1 - \sqrt{z}$, which corresponds to the eigenvalue $z(2 - z)$ of the first Casimir operator (see also [33]). The critical value $\alpha = \pi$ seems far from physical significance, but maybe its actual physical value is hidden in the theory in a more subtle way? This, as it seems, is the hope of the author of the theory.

5 Conclusion

There are various levels, at which infrared problem of quantum electrodynamics may be understood, and addressed. Within the limits of local theory, both the practical problem of dealing with the so called infrared infinities in Feynman diagrams, as well as that of constructing scattering operator, are discussed in another contribution to this Encyclopedia [E]. Here, we described approaches which, at the cost of sacrificing unrestricted validity of locality, open new perspectives on the fundamental questions formulated at the beginning of the article in Abstract. Mathematically precise investigations in this direction have been pursued for at least three decades, while recently more heuristic ideas, some of them based on rediscovered properties known before, are becoming popular. Here we have stressed the necessity of precise mathematical language for reliable conclusions. We have reported preliminary results of perturbation calculation in the quantum framework extended to nonlocal, asymptotic variables in spacelike infinity. The main problem for further developments will be the question of ultraviolet structure. In Staruszkiewicz's theory, the main problem is the question of further spectral structure of the Lorentz representation of the theory.

References

- [E] Duch, P. and Dybalski, W.: Infrared problem in quantum electrodynamics (contribution to this Encyclopedia), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.06114>
- [1] Ashtekar, A. and Streubel, M.: Symplectic geometry of radiative modes and conserved quantities at null infinity, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **376**, 585-607 (1981)
- [2] Ashtekar, A.: *Asymptotic Quantization*, Bibliopolis, Napoli, 1986
- [3] Bieri, L. and Garfinkle, D.: An electromagnetic analogue of gravitational wave memory, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30**, 195009 (2013)
- [4] Bramson, B.D.: *Physics in cone space*, in *Asymptotic Structure of Space-Time*, ed. Esposito, F.P. and Witten, L., pp. 273-359, Plenum, New York, 1977
- [5] Braginsky, V.B. and Grishchuk, L.P.: Kinematic resonance and memory effect in free mass gravitational antennas, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **89**, 744-750 (1985) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **62**, 427-430 (1985)]
- [6] Bratteli, O. and Robinson, D.W.: *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 2*, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin 1996
- [7] Buchholz, D.: Gauss' law and the infraparticle problem, *Phys. Lett. B* **174**, 331-334 (1986)
- [8] Casher, A., Mazur, P.O. and Staruszkiewicz, A.: De Sitter invariant vacuum states, vertex operators, and conformal field theory operators, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **42**, 1207-1218 (2011); based on a 2003 preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0301023>
- [9] Chernikov, N.A. and Tagirov, E.A.: Quantum theory of scalar field in de Sitter space-time, *Annal. del'I. H. Poincaré*, section A **9**, 109-141 (1968)
- [10] Duch, P.: Infrared problem in perturbative quantum field theory, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **33**, 2150032 (2021). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.00940>
- [11] Dybalski, W. and Hoang, D.V.: A soft-photon theorem for the Maxwell-Lorentz system, *J. Math. Phys.* **60**, 102903 (2019)
- [12] Ferrari, R, Picasso, L.E. and Strocchi, F.: Some remarks on local operators in quantum electrodynamics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **35**, 25-38 (1974)
- [13] Gelfand, I.M., Graev, M.I. and Vilenkin, N.Ya.: *Generalized Functions*, vol.5., Academic Press, New York, 1966
- [14] Herdegen, A.: Long-range effects in asymptotic fields and angular momentum of classical field electrodynamics, *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 4044-4086 (1995)

- [15] Herdegen, A.: Semidirect product of CCR and CAR algebras and asymptotic states in quantum electrodynamics, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, 1788-1817 (1998). <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9711066>
- [16] Herdegen, A.: Asymptotic algebra of quantum electrodynamics, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **36**, 35-57 (2005)
- [17] Herdegen, A.: Infrared limit in external field scattering, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 052306 (2012)
- [18] Herdegen, A.: Asymptotic structure of electrodynamics revisited, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **107**, 1439-1470 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11005-017-0948-9>
- [19] Herdegen, A.: Infrared problem vs gauge choice: scattering of classical Dirac field, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **22**, 2227-2282 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-020-01015-y>
- [20] Herdegen, A.: Remarks on mathematical structure of the Staruszkiewicz theory, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **53**, 9-A1.1-20 (2022)
- [21] Herdegen, A.: Almost radial gauge, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **23**, 3021-3052 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-022-01157-1>
- [22] Herdegen, A.: Undressing the electron, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.06325> (2023)
- [23] Herdegen, A.: There is no ‘velocity kick’ memory in electrodynamics, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **55** (2024) (accepted). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.06325>
- [24] Kapec, D., Pate, M. and Strominger, A.: New symmetries of QED, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **21**, 1769-1785 (2017)
- [25] Manuceau, J., Sirugue, M., Testard, D. and Verbeure, A.: The smallest C^* -algebra for canonical commutation relations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **32**, 231-243 (1973)
- [26] Pasterski, S.: Asymptotic symmetries and electromagnetic memory, *JHEP09* 154 (2017)
- [27] Prabhu, K., Satishchandran, G. and Wald, R.M.: Infrared finite scattering theory in quantum field theory and quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 066005 (2022)
- [28] Rejzner, K.: *Perturbative Algebraic Quantum Field Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2016
- [29] Rejzner, K. and Schiavina, M.: Asymptotic symmetries in BV-BFV formalism, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **385**, 1083 (2021) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.14272>
- [30] Staruszkiewicz, A.: Gauge invariant surface contribution to the number of photons integral, *Acta. Phys. Pol. B* **12**, 327-337 (1981)
- [31] Staruszkiewicz, A.: Quantum mechanics of phase and charge and quantization of the Coulomb field, *Ann. Physics* **190**, 354-372 (1989)
- [32] Staruszkiewicz, A.: The quantized Coulomb field and irreducible unitary representations of the proper, orthochronous Lorentz group, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **23**, 591-597 (1992)
- [33] Staruszkiewicz, A.: A new proof of existence of a bound state in a quantum Coulomb field, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **35**, 2249-2259 (2004)
- [34] Strominger, A.: *Lectures on the Infrared Structure of Gravity and Gauge Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2018
- [35] Weinberg, S.: Infrared photons and gravitons, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, B516-B524 (1965)
- [36] Zel’dovitch, Ya.B and Polnarev, A.G.: Radiation of gravitational waves by a cluster of superdense stars. *Astron. Zh.* **51**, 30-40 (1974) [*So. Astron.* **18**, 17-23 (1974)]