Hexadecapole at the heart of nonlinear electromagnetic fields

Ana Bokulić^a, Tajron Jurić^b and Ivica Smolić^a

 a Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 32, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

^b Rudjer Bošković Institute, Bijenička cesta 54, HR-10002 Zagreb, Croatia

E-mail: abokulic@phy.hr, tjuric@irb.hr, ismolic@phy.hr

Abstract. In classical Maxwell's electromagnetism, monopole term of the electric field is proportional to r^{-2} , while higher order multipole terms, sourced by anisotropic sources, fall-off faster. However, in nonlinear electromagnetism even a spherically symmetric field has multipole-like contributions. We prove that the leading subdominant term of the electric field, defined by nonlinear electromagnetic Lagrangian obeying Maxwellian weak field limit, in a static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat spacetime, is of the order $O(r^{-6})$ as $r \to \infty$. Moreover, using Lagrange inversion theorem and Faà di Bruno's formula, we derive the series expansion of the electric field from the Taylor series of an analytic electromagnetic Lagrangian.

Keywords: nonlinear electromagnetic fields, spacetime symmetries, asymptotic conditions

1. Introduction

Harmonic functions, solutions of the Laplace equation $\Delta u = 0$ on some open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, are ubiquitous in physics. The most familiar examples are electric scalar potential and stationary distribution of the temperature in the source-free region. Even from a mathematical perspective, harmonic functions stand out with numerous exceptional properties [1]: they attain extrema only on the boundary of the domain, their value at each point $s \in \Omega$ is equal to the average value over any ball $B(s,r) \Subset \Omega$, and even if we assume that a harmonic function belongs "just" to class C^2 of differentiability, it turns out that it is necessarily analytic in the interior of its domain. In case when $m \geq 3$, asymptotic behaviour of harmonic functions becomes particularly restricted: the mere assumption that $u(x) \to 0$ as $||x|| \to \infty$ in the complement of a compact set, implies that u is in fact of order $O(||x||^{2-m})$ as $||x|| \to \infty$. Physical intuition about the latter result comes from the multipole expansion, in which the monopole term has the slowest fall-off rate at infinity. Moreover, in the case of spherically symmetric solution, the only harmonic function vanishing at infinity is proportional to $||x||^{2-m}$.

All this becomes far less trivial once we move from the linear Laplace equation to its nonlinear generalizations, most notably in the context of electromagnetism. Namely, we already know, both from high-energy experiments and quantum field theoretic predictions, that Maxwell's electromagnetism is not the complete description of the electromagnetic fields in the nature and one needs to look at its extensions, socalled nonlinear electromagnetic (NLE) theories. A prominent family of NLE theories, defined by a Lagrangian density which is a function of two electromagnetic invariants[‡], $\mathcal{F} := F_{ab}F^{ab}$ and $\mathcal{G} := F_{ab} \star F^{ab}$, has its roots in the early days of quantum field theory, with Born–Infeld [2,3] and Euler–Heisenberg Lagrangians [4,5]. Over the past several decades investigation of gravitating NLE fields has intensified [6,7], motivated to some extent by a prospect of regularization of spacetime singularities [8–11].

In this paper we shall investigate properties of the electric field and the corresponding electric scalar potential at spatial infinity of asymptotically flat, spherically symmetric spacetime, assuming that NLE theory reduces to Maxwell's electromagnetism for weak fields. Here it is convenient to introduce abbreviations for partial derivatives, e.g. $\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{F}} := \partial_{\mathcal{F}}\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{G}} := \partial_{\mathcal{G}}\mathscr{L}$, and $\mathcal{H} := \sqrt{\mathcal{F}^2 + \mathcal{G}^2}$ for the "radial coordinate" in the \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{G} plane. Generalized source-free Maxwell's equations, corresponding to the NLE Lagrangian $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, may be written as

$$\mathbf{dF} = 0 , \quad \mathbf{d} \star \mathbf{Z} = 0 , \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{Z} := -4(\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{F} + \mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{G}}\star\mathbf{F})$ is an auxiliary 2-form. Finally, in order to make the notion of weak field limit more precise, we rely on the following definition.

Definition 1.1. We say that an NLE Lagrangian density $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ satisfies the Maxwellian weak field (MWF) limit if it is of C^1 class on some neighbourhood of the origin (0,0) and

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{G}) = -\frac{1}{4} + O(\mathfrak{H}) \quad and \quad \mathscr{L}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{G}) = O(\mathfrak{H})$$
⁽²⁾

as $\mathcal{H} \to 0$.

Essential parts of our analysis do not depend on gravitational field equations, so the conclusions may be equally applied to gravitating electromagnetic fields, as well as test fields on a fixed background.

2. First order correction to Maxwell

Let (M, g_{ab}) be a smooth 4-dimensional static, spherically symmetric Lorentzian manifold, with the corresponding Killing vector fields, k^a (time translation) and $\{X_1^a, X_2^a, X_3^a\}$ (spherical symmetry), such that $\pounds_k X_i^a = 0$ for all *i*. In canonical choice of

 $\ddagger \text{ The Hodge dual } \star \boldsymbol{\omega} \text{ of a } p \text{-form } \boldsymbol{\omega} \text{ is defined as } (4-p) \text{-form } \star \omega_{a_{p+1}...a_4} = \frac{1}{p!} \omega_{b_1...b_p} \epsilon^{b_1...b_p} a_{p+1...a_4}.$

coordinates (t, r, θ, φ) , under the assumption that $\nabla_a r \neq 0$, the metric may be written in a form

$$ds^{2} = -\alpha(r) dt^{2} + \beta(r) dr^{2} + r^{2} (d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}).$$
(3)

Furthermore, let **F** be the electromagnetic field, a solution of (1), inheriting all the spacetime symmetries, $\mathcal{L}_k \mathbf{F} = \mathcal{L}_{X_i} \mathbf{F} = 0$ for all *i*. If we introduce the electric 1-form $\mathbf{E} = -i_k \mathbf{F}$ and the magnetic 1-form $\mathbf{H} = i_k \star \mathbf{Z}$, generalized Maxwell's equations (1) imply that they are closed forms,

$$d\mathbf{E} = -di_k \mathbf{F} = (-\pounds_k + i_k d) \mathbf{F} = 0, \qquad (4)$$

$$d\mathbf{H} = di_k \star \mathbf{Z} = (\pounds_k - i_k d) \star \mathbf{Z} = 0.$$
(5)

Thus, by Poincaré lemma, we know that at least locally we may introduce the electric scalar potential Φ and the magnetic scalar potential Ψ via

$$\mathbf{E} = -\mathrm{d}\Phi \,, \quad \mathbf{H} = -\mathrm{d}\Psi \,. \tag{6}$$

With another magnetic 1-form $\mathbf{B} := i_k \star \mathbf{F}$, which is not necessarily closed, we have a decomposition

$$\alpha(r) \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{k} \wedge \mathbf{E} + \star (\mathbf{k} \wedge \mathbf{B}) \tag{7}$$

at our disposal. In fact, we may infer even more about the electromagnetic 2-form \mathbf{F} , by adapting well-known tools [12] to NLE fields (cf. remarks in [13]).

Lemma 2.1. Under the assumptions given above, $\mathbf{E} = E_r \, \mathrm{d}r$ and, at each point where $\mathscr{L}_{\mathfrak{F}} \neq 0$, $\mathbf{B} = B_r \, \mathrm{d}r$. Furthermore, at each point where $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ we have

$$\mathbf{F} = -E_r \,\mathrm{d}t \wedge \mathrm{d}r + \frac{B_r \,r^2 \sin\theta}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \,\mathrm{d}\theta \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi \,. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Let K^a and L^a be two commuting Killing vector fields and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ a closed 2-form, such that $\pounds_K \boldsymbol{\omega} = 0 = \pounds_L \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Then, identity $di_X i_Y = i_X i_Y d + i_{[X,Y]} - i_X \pounds_Y + i_Y \pounds_X$ applied with $X^a = K^a$ and $Y^a = L^a$ to $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, immediately implies that $d(i_K i_L \boldsymbol{\omega}) = 0$, that is $i_K i_L \boldsymbol{\omega}$ is a constant. More concretely, using $K^a = k^a$, $L^a = X_i^a$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{F}$, we know that $i_k i_{X_i} \mathbf{F}$ is constant for all i and zero on each connected component of spacetime M whose boundary intersects axis on which the corresponding Killing vector field X_i^a vanishes. As $\pounds_Y \Phi = i_Y d\Phi = -i_Y \mathbf{E} = i_Y i_k \mathbf{F} = 0$ for any $Y^a \in \{k^a, X_1^a, X_2^a, X_3^a\}$, it follows that $\Phi = \Phi(r)$ and $\mathbf{E} = -\Phi'(r) dr$. By completely analogous procedure, applied to $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \star \mathbf{Z}$, we deduce that $\Psi = \Psi(r)$ and $\mathbf{H} = -\Psi'(r) dr$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{H} = -4(\mathscr{L}_F \mathbf{B} + \mathscr{L}_G \mathbf{E})$. Thus, on each point where $\mathscr{L}_F \neq 0$ it follows that $\mathbf{B} = B_r dr$. Finally, taking into account $\mathbf{k} = -\alpha(r) dt$ and the Hodge dual

$$\star (\mathrm{d}t \wedge \mathrm{d}r) = -\frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{\sqrt{\alpha \beta}} \,\mathrm{d}\theta \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi\,,\tag{9}$$

the decomposition (8) immediately follows from (7).

As was already noted by Jacobson [14], product $\alpha\beta$ may be sometimes additionally simplified. For example, NLE energy-momentum tensor [15],

$$T_{ab} = -4\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{F}}\widetilde{T}_{ab} + \frac{1}{4}T^{c}_{\ c}\ g_{ab}\,, \text{ with } \widetilde{T}_{ab} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(F_{ac}F_{b}^{\ c} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}g_{ab}\right),\tag{10}$$

in the spacetime defined above satisfies $\beta T_{tt} + \alpha T_{rr} = 0$ and, as the corresponding combination of Ricci tensor components is reduced to $\beta R_{tt} + \alpha R_{rr} = (\alpha \beta)'/(r\beta)$, in the special case of Einstein's gravitational field equations it follows that the product $\alpha\beta$ is constant (moreover, by rescaling of the time coordinate we may choose $\alpha\beta = 1$). However, as this simplification is not necessary for our analysis, we shall keep the product $\alpha\beta$ unconstrained (up to the assumptions about asymptotic flatness).

Following the idea from [16], we introduce auxiliary, "normalized" 1-forms

$$\widehat{\mathbf{E}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \mathbf{E} , \quad \widehat{\mathbf{B}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \mathbf{B} ,$$
 (11)

at each point where $\alpha\beta \neq 0$. With this notation electromagnetic invariants may be written as

$$\mathcal{F} = 2(\widehat{B}_r^2 - \widehat{E}_r^2) , \quad \mathcal{G} = 4\widehat{E}_r\widehat{B}_r .$$
(12)

A crucial detail here is that, by an elementary inequality,

$$\mathcal{H} = 2(\widehat{E}_r^2 + \widehat{B}_r^2) \le 2(|\widehat{E}_r| + |\widehat{B}_r|)^2.$$
(13)

Finally, generalized Maxwell's equations are reduced to

$$\widehat{B}_r = \frac{P}{r^2} , \quad \mathscr{L}_{\mathfrak{F}} \widehat{E}_r - \mathscr{L}_{\mathfrak{F}} \widehat{B}_r = -\frac{Q}{4r^2} , \qquad (14)$$

where Q and P are, respectively, electric and magnetic charges, defined via Komar integrals [15],

$$Q := \oint_{\infty} \star \mathbf{Z} , \quad P := \oint_{\infty} \mathbf{F} .$$
 (15)

Now we may state and prove our first result.

Theorem 2.2. Let (M, g_{ab}) be a static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat spacetime with electromagnetic field \mathbf{F} which decreases in a sense that $\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathcal{F} = 0$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathcal{G} = 0$. Then, given that there is a radius $r_e > 0$ such that at least in the region $r > r_e$ the electromagnetic field (a) inherits all symmetries and (b) satisfies source-free generalized Maxwell field equations (1) with NLE Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ satisfying MWF limit, the electric field has a form $\widehat{E}_r = Qr^{-2} + O(r^{-6})$ as $r \to \infty$.

Proof. Asymptotic flatness imposes $\lim_{r\to\infty} \alpha(r) = 1$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} \beta(r) = 1$. In other words, for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there is a radius $r_0 \ge r_e$, such that $|\alpha(r) - 1| \le \epsilon$ and $|\beta(r) - 1| \le \epsilon$ for all $r \ge r_0$ and here we have well-defined 1-forms $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$. Furthermore,

MWF limit implies that there are positive constants $\delta, C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $|\mathcal{H}| \leq \delta$ implies

$$\left|\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{1}{4}\right| \le C_1 \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{G}}\right| \le C_2 \mathcal{H}.$$
 (16)

On the other hand, asymptotic decrease of electromagnetic invariants implies that for any $\delta > 0$ there is radius $r_1 \ge r_0$ such that $|\mathcal{H}| \le \delta$ for all $r \ge r_1$. In particular, conditions (16) and consequently $\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \ne 0$ hold for $r \ge r_1$ (assuring, among other things, that conditions of lemma 2.1 hold). Let us introduce

$$\eta(r) := \widehat{E}_r(r) - \frac{Q}{r^2}.$$
(17)

Using all the assumptions we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta| &= |\widehat{E}_r + 4(\mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{F}}\widehat{E}_r - \mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{G}}\widehat{B}_r)| \\ &\leq |\widehat{E}_r| \cdot |1 + 4\mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{F}}| + 4|\mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{G}}| \cdot |\widehat{B}_r| \\ &\leq 4(C_1|\widehat{E}_r| + C_2|\widehat{B}_r|)\mathcal{H} \\ &\leq 8C(|\widehat{E}_r| + |\widehat{B}_r|)(\widehat{E}_r^2 + \widehat{B}_r^2) \\ &\leq 8C(|\widehat{E}_r| + |\widehat{B}_r|)^3 \\ &\leq 8C\left(\frac{|Q| + |P|}{r^2} + |\eta|\right)^3 \end{aligned}$$
(18)

with $C := \max\{C_1, C_2\}$. As $x \mapsto \sqrt[3]{x}$ is a monotonic function, inequality from above may be written in the following form

$$|\eta|^{1/3} \le K\left(\frac{|Q| + |P|}{r^2} + |\eta|\right),\tag{19}$$

with $K := 2\sqrt[3]{C}$. Assumed decrease of electromagnetic field implies $\lim_{r\to\infty} \widehat{E}_r(r) = 0$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} \eta(r) \to 0$, thus there is $r_2 \ge r_1$, such that $|\eta| \le 1/(8K^{3/2})$ for all $r \ge r_2$. This inequality may be written, after squaring and multiplication by $|\eta|$, as $|\eta|^3 \le |\eta|/(64K^3)$ or $|\eta| \le |\eta|^{1/3}/(4K)$. Hence,

$$|\eta|^{1/3} \le K\left(\frac{|Q| + |P|}{r^2} + \frac{|\eta|^{1/3}}{4K}\right),\tag{20}$$

which leads to

$$\frac{3}{4} |\eta|^{1/3} \le K \, \frac{|Q| + |P|}{r^2} \tag{21}$$

and the claim immediately follows.

As a first corollary, we may say something about the corresponding electric scalar potential Φ in a gauge where $\lim_{r\to\infty} \Phi(r) = 0$. If we introduce $\phi(r) := \Phi(r) - Qr^{-1}$, then $\phi'(r) = -\eta(r)$ and for all $r \ge r_2$ there is a constant $\phi_0 > 0$, such that

$$|\phi(r)| = \left| \int_{\infty}^{r} \phi'(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \right| = \left| \int_{r}^{\infty} \eta(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \right| \le \phi_0 \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s^6} = \frac{\phi_0}{5r^5} \,. \tag{22}$$

Hence, $\Phi(r) = Qr^{-1} + O(r^{-5})$ as $r \to \infty$. An important lesson here is that the NLE corrections to the electric field and the scalar potential fall-off as, respectively, $O(r^{-6})$ and $O(r^{-5})$, given that NLE theory respects MWF limit. Such fall-off is met in the hexadecapole term of the multipole expansion in classical Maxwell's theory, thus we refer to it picturesquely as a "hexadecapole heart" of NLE. The analogy, however, is very limited as Maxwell's hexadecapole field is highly anisotropic, whereas the NLE field considered here is spherically symmetric. We note that this result was hinted several decades ago in [17] and [18] for test NLE fields on flat background, albeit without a rigorous proof (the authors have sketched an iterative procedure for the analytic Lagrangian).

Asymptotic properties of the electric field, proven above, can be explicitly demonstrated with solutions in theories with the Born–Infeld [2, 3, 19, 20] and Euler– Heisenberg [21, 22] electromagnetic Lagrangians. On the other hand, NLE Lagrangians which do not obey MWF limit may lead to the electric field with different properties. The simplest example is the power-Maxwell Lagrangian of the form $\mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{F}) = -\mathfrak{F}^p/4$; given that one chooses parameter $p = (2+\varepsilon)/(2+2\varepsilon)$ with $|\varepsilon| < 1$, spherically symmetric electric field in such a theory will have the form $\widehat{E}_r = Qr^{-2(1+\varepsilon)}$. Less trivial examples can be found among recently introduced ModMax [23,24] and RegMax [25] NLE theories.

3. Complete reconstruction of the electric field

Theorem 2.2 relies on relatively low level of differentiability of the Lagrangian density \mathscr{L} . Let us now turn to completely opposite setting, in which we assume that \mathscr{L} is analytic on some neighbourhood of the origin of \mathcal{F} -g plane, with the Taylor series

$$\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty} c_{k\ell} \,\mathcal{F}^k \mathcal{G}^\ell \,.$$
(23)

Note that c_{00} is just a constant term in Lagrangian, while the c_{01} term is dynamically irrelevant, thus we may choose $c_{00} = 0$ and $c_{01} = 0$. For simplicity we look at purely electric solution ($Q \neq 0, P = 0$), in which $\hat{B}_r = 0, \mathcal{F} = -2\hat{E}_r^2, \mathcal{G} = 0$ and

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F},0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k0} k \mathcal{F}^{k-1} \,.$$
(24)

Generalized Maxwell's equations (1) are in this case reduced to

$$\frac{1}{r^2} = -\frac{4}{Q} \mathscr{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \widehat{E}_r \tag{25}$$

and the challenge is to extract the electric field \hat{E}_r from this nonlinear equation. To this aim we turn to two mathematical tools.

First, Lagrange inversion theorem (see e.g. 3.6.6 in [26]) allows us to solve an equation of the form z = f(w), with function f analytic on some neighbourhood of a,

such that $f'(a) \neq 0$, in a form of the series

$$w = g(z) = a + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k}{k!} (z - f(a))^k, \qquad (26)$$

with the coefficients

$$g_k = \lim_{w \to a} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{k-1}}{\mathrm{d}w^{k-1}} \left(\frac{w-a}{f(w) - f(a)} \right)^k \,. \tag{27}$$

Here we have $z = r^{-2}$, a = 0, $w = \hat{E}_r$, $\mathcal{F} = -2w^2$ and f(w) = (-4/Q)wh(w), with the auxiliary function

$$h(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k0} k (-2w^2)^{k-1} \,.$$
(28)

Hence, Lagrange inversion theorem implies

$$\widehat{E}_r(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-Q/4)^k}{k! r^{2k}} \lim_{w \to 0} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{k-1}}{\mathrm{d}w^{k-1}} \frac{1}{h(w)^k}.$$
(29)

Final obstacle is the evaluation of higher derivatives under the limit, which is a straightforward, but highly tedious task. In order to gain some insight into the form of the series above, we turn to Faà di Bruno's formula [27, 28],

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\ell}}{\mathrm{d}w^{\ell}} F(h(w)) = \sum \frac{\ell!}{m_1! \cdots m_{\ell}!} F^{(m_1 + \cdots + m_{\ell})}(h(w)) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{h^{(j)}(w)}{j!}\right)^{m_j}, \qquad (30)$$

where the sum is over all ℓ -tuples of nonnegative integers (m_1, \ldots, m_ℓ) , such that $1 \cdot m_1 + 2 \cdot m_2 + \cdots + \ell \cdot m_\ell = \ell$. In our particular problem we choose $\ell = k - 1$ and $F(x) = x^{-k}$. As $h(0) = c_{10}$ and $(k-1)!F^{(m)} = (-1)^m(k+m-1)!x^{-(k+m)}$, we have

$$\lim_{w \to 0} (k-1)! F^{(m)}(h(w)) = (-1)^m (k+m-1)! c_{10}^{-(k+m)},$$
(31)

with $m := m_1 + \cdots + m_{k-1}$. Furthermore, note that $h^{(2p-2)}(0) = (2p-2)!p(-2)^{p-1}c_{p0}$ and $h^{(2p+1)}(0) = 0$. Hence, the product at the end of Faà di Bruno's formula is zero whenever there is nonzero m_j with odd index j. In other words, the only nontrivial contributions to Faà di Bruno's sum are those in which all m_j with odd index j are zero. In such cases k-1 is even, i.e. k is odd. If we introduce new index via k = 2n-1, the electric field series may be written in a compact form

$$\widehat{E}_r(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_n Q^{2n-1}}{r^{2(2n-1)}},$$
(32)

with all the "clutter" packed in coefficients e_n . It is easy to see that $e_1 = 1$, but form of the higher coefficients quickly grows in complexity (see table 1). One can immediately notice that the first correction to the Maxwell's n = 1 term is of the order $O(r^{-6})$, in agreement with the theorem 2.2.

n	e_n
1	1
2	$-16 c_{20}$
3	$48(16c_{20}^2+c_{30})$
4	$-128 \left(384 c_{20}^3 + 48 c_{20} c_{30} + c_{40}\right)$
5	$320 \left(11264c_{20}^4 + 2112c_{20}^2c_{30} + 64c_{20}c_{40} + 36c_{30}^2 + c_{50}\right)$

Table 1. First five coefficients e_n in the expansion of the electric field \hat{E}_r .

4. Final remarks

We have shown how exactly behaviour of the NLE Lagrangian near the origin of the \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{G} plane controls the asymptotic form of the electric field. Heuristically, it is not a surprise that Lagrangian terms with higher powers of electromagnetic invariants reflect in higher power terms in the expansion of the electromagnetic field, but it takes a bit of rigorous treatment to translate this intuition into a precise, quantitative statement. An obvious next step would be to generalize theorem 2.2 to the stationary, not necessarily spherically symmetric spacetimes, and one can expect that the result will be essentially similar to the static case. This problem is closely related to the question of gyromagnetic fields [20].

Reconstruction of the electric field from the section 3 is, in general, only formal, as the electric field 1-form **E** and consequently the electromagnetic 2-form **F** depend on the product $\alpha(r)\beta(r)$, which is restricted by the gravitational field equation, which in turn contains the electromagnetic field. However, as was already emphasized above, Einstein's gravitational field equation implies that $\alpha(r)\beta(r)$ is constant and in that case the series (32) directly leads to the electric field 1-form **E**.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the Croatian Science Foundation Project No. IP-2020-02-9614.

References

- [1] Axler S, Bourdon P and Ramey W 2001 Harmonic Function Theory (New York, NY: Springer) ISBN 978-0387952185
- [2] Born M 1934 Proc. R. Soc. A 143 410–437
- [3] Born M and Infeld L 1934 Proc. R. Soc. A 144 425–451
- [4] Heisenberg W and Euler H 1936 Z. Phys. 98 714–732 (Preprint physics/0605038)
- [5] Dunne G V 2004 Heisenberg-Euler effective Lagrangians: Basics and extensions (World Scientific) pp 445-522 (Preprint hep-th/0406216)
- [6] Sorokin D P 2022 Fortsch. Phys. 70 2200092 (Preprint 2112.12118)
- [7] Bronnikov K A 2022 Regular black holes sourced by nonlinear electrodynamics Preprint 2211.00743

- [8] Colléaux A, Chinaglia S and Zerbini S 2018 Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 27 1830002 (Preprint 1712.03730)
- [9] Sebastiani L and Zerbini S 2022 Astronomy 1 99–125 (Preprint 2206.03814)
- [10] Maeda H 2022 JHEP 11 108 (Preprint 2107.04791)
- [11] Lan C, Yang H, Guo Y and Miao Y G 2023 Int. J. Theor. Phys. 62 202 (Preprint 2303.11696)
- [12] Heusler M 1996 Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems (Cambridge New York: Cambridge University Press) ISBN 9780521567350
- [13] Bokulić A and Smolić I 2023 Class. Quantum Grav. 40 165010 (Preprint 2303.00764)
- [14] Jacobson T 2007 Class. Quantum Grav. 24 5717–5719 (Preprint 0707.3222)
- [15] Bokulić A, Smolić I and Jurić T 2021 Phys. Rev. D 103 124059 (Preprint 2102.06213)
- [16] Bokulić A, Smolić I and Jurić T 2022 Phys. Rev. D 106 064020 (Preprint 2206.07064)
- [17] Chellone D S 1971 J. Phys. A 4 184–196
- [18] Bičák J and Slavík J 1975 Acta Phys. Polon. B 6 489-508 URL https://www.actaphys.uj.edu.pl/R/6/7/489/pdf
- [19] García D A, Salazar I H and Plebański J F 1984 Nuovo Cimento B Serie 84 65-90
- [20] Chruściński D and Kijowski J 1998 J. Phys. A 31 269–276 (Preprint hep-th/9712106)
- [21] Yajima H and Tamaki T 2001 Phys. Rev. D 63 064007 (Preprint gr-qc/0005016)
- [22] Ruffini R, Wu Y B and Xue S S 2013 Phys. Rev. D 88 085004 (Preprint 1307.4951)
- [23] Kosyakov B P 2020 Phys. Lett. B 810 135840 (Preprint 2007.13878)
- [24] Bandos I, Lechner K, Sorokin D and Townsend P K 2020 Phys. Rev. D 102 121703 (Preprint 2007.09092)
- [25] Hale T, Kubizňák D, Svítek O and Tahamtan T 2023 Phys. Rev. D 107 124031 (Preprint 2303.16928)
- [26] Abramowitz M and Stegun I A 1970 Handbook of Mathematical Functions (New York: Dover Publications) ISBN 978-0486612720
- [27] Johnson W P 2002 Amer. Math. Monthly 109 119–130
- [28] Craik A D D 2005 Amer. Math. Monthly 112 119–130
- [29] Wald R M 1974 Phys. Rev. D 10 1680–1685
- [30] Pfister H and King M 2002 Phys. Rev. D 65 084033