

# GTP before ATP: The energy currency at the origin of genes

Natalia Mrnjavac\*, William F. Martin  
Institute of Molecular Evolution  
Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf  
40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

\*Author for correspondence: N.Mrnjavac@hhu.de

## **Abstract**

Life is an exergonic chemical reaction. Many individual reactions in metabolism entail slightly endergonic processes that are coupled to free energy release, typically as ATP hydrolysis, in order to go forward. ATP is almost always supplied by the rotor-stator ATP synthetase (the ATPase), which harnesses chemiosmotic ion gradients. Because the ATPase is a protein, it arose after the ribosome did. Here we address two questions using comparative physiology: What was the energy currency of metabolism before the origin of the ATPase? How (and why) did ATP come to be the universal energy currency? About 27% of a cell's energy budget is consumed as GTP during translation. The universality of GTP-dependence in ribosome function indicates that GTP was the ancestral energy currency of protein synthesis. The use of GTP in translation and ATP in small molecule synthesis are conserved across all lineages, representing energetic compartments that arose in the last universal common ancestor, LUCA.

## **Introduction**

Thoughts on biochemical evolution invariably lead to questions concerning the nature of energy conservation in early evolution as well as the chemical carriers of metabolic energy (energy currencies) that helped metabolism (and life) evolve. All prokaryotic groups are united by chemiosmotic [1] ATP synthesis in bioenergetic membranes. Bioenergetic membranes in

prokaryotes are diverse in composition [2,3] yet uniform in function. They present myriad pathways by which cells couple exergonic reactions to the pumping of protons or  $\text{Na}^+$  ions across a membrane from the inside of the cell to the outside, generating an ion gradient that sets the biological dynamo, the rotor stator ATP synthase [4] (ATPase for short), in motion [5]. That principle is conserved in all cells (except for a few highly derived parasites). The ATPases of bacteria and archaea are, like the ribosome, clearly homologous [6], hence the harnessing of ion gradients goes back to the common ancestor of bacteria and archaea, which by current accounts was the last universal common ancestor LUCA [7]. Bioenergetic membranes in eukaryotes are localized in mitochondria and chloroplasts, which arose over a billion years ago [8] via endosymbiosis from their  $\alpha$ -proteobacterial and cyanobacterial ancestors. In this paper, our focus is the very early evolution of bioenergetics, going back to the time before, during, and after the ATPase arose, homing in on the nature of energetics in LUCA and two main questions: 1) What energy currency (or currencies) preceded ATP in bioenergetic evolution? 2) How (and why) did ATP come to be the universal energy currency?

Though energetic properties can help to reconstruct intermediate stages in the transition from inanimate chemical reactions to the earliest living systems, early evolution carries the general caveat that the further back in time we go, the more hazy the contours of early bioenergetic processes become. But there are some robust constraints on these questions, as we will show. In general, the cell bears witness to its own early evolution. With a new approach to the problem come new insights into early bioenergetic evolution.

### **Energy currencies**

When we hear the term “energy currency” we rightly think about ATP [9], synthesized either by substrate level phosphorylation using organophosphorus compounds [10] or by the ATP synthase using ion gradients [11], which are both energy currencies in their own right. Some might also think of acyl phosphates [12], thioesters [13], or GTP [14], which is used in many reactions of central metabolism. Some will think of pyrophosphate [15], although the role of pyrophosphate in metabolism is not that of an energy currency, it is instead a mediator of irreversibility [16], as Kornberg [17] explained. Some might think of carbamoyl phosphate or acyl anilides like formyltetrahydrofolate [12]. Others might think of phosphagens like creatine [18], although phosphagens are just storage forms for ATP. Still others might think of the formation of aromatic compounds from aliphatic starting materials, for example as in the biosynthesis of pyridoxine phosphate from 4-phosphohydroxy-threonine and 1-deoxy-

xylulose-5-phosphate [19], which is far more exergonic than ATP hydrolysis because of water eliminations that generate aromaticity (hence stability) in the pyridoxine phosphate product [20]. Yet aromatic formation is not used by cells for substrate level phosphorylation. Nor can it be readily coupled to slightly endergonic reactions in order to improve their thermodynamic favorability, whereas ATP hydrolysis can

When it comes to sources and ‘currencies’ of biochemical energy, few people might think of exergonic reactions of CO<sub>2</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>. But according to one theory for the origin of metabolism (and life), that is the energy source that got the chemistry of life off the ground [22,23]. For example, the synthesis of pyruvate—the arguably most central compound in metabolism[23]—from H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> is a close to equilibrium (hence reversible) reaction under physiological conditions [24], but is exergonic in the direction of pyruvate synthesis by roughly –57 kJ per mol under laboratory conditions that simulate H<sub>2</sub> producing hydrothermal vents [25]. A series of recent papers has reproducibly shown that the synthesis of formate, acetate, pyruvate and other biologically relevant organics from H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> using transition metal catalysts—with or without various inorganic support materials—is facile under the conditions of serpentinizing hydrothermal vents [25-29]. The energy to drive those reactions forward does not reside in ATP hydrolysis or any other coupled reaction, but resides instead in the redox reactions of H<sub>2</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> themselves, reactions in which the equilibrium lies on the side of reduced carbon compounds [30] (provided the absence of strong oxidants).

The key to making such reactions go forward in water are catalysts: solid state, zero valent transition metal catalysts, mainly Fe, Ni, and Co. Those are the same catalysts that acetogens and methanogens use, but as divalent ions sequestered by S, C, O, and N residues in enzymes and cofactors that synthesize pyruvate from H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> [31,32]. Under some conditions, native metals in water can convert H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> to pyruvate at concentrations up to 200 μM [26], equaling the physiological concentration of pyruvate in growing acetogenic cells [33]. In some experiments, magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and greigite (Fe<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>) also provide effective catalysis for H<sub>2</sub> dependent CO<sub>2</sub> reduction [26], but it cannot currently be excluded that a portion of the Fe<sup>2+</sup> in those catalysts was reduced to Fe<sup>0</sup> (as the active catalyst) by H<sub>2</sub> under alkaline conditions during the experiment.


In terms of energy, a satisfying aspect of the transition metal-catalyzed reaction of H<sub>2</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> to metabolically relevant organics is that neither phosphate-containing compounds, nor ion

gradients, nor cofactors, thioesters, RNA, peptides or anything else are required. The reactions run all by themselves in water, on the metal surface, driven by the exergonic nature of H<sub>2</sub> dependent CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. The reactions are highly specific in terms of products, which are mainly formate acetate, and pyruvate, the products of the acetyl-CoA pathway [34, 22] of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. But they require no enzymes, cofactors or other soluble additives, going forward in water with nothing more than a piece of solid state metal as catalyst [25-29] whereby Fe<sup>0</sup> can, in some conditions, serve a both catalyst and reductant [35]. Such findings suggest that the bedrock-primordial reactions of metabolism started from gasses reacting on metals, which themselves are deposited in H<sub>2</sub> producing hydrothermal environments [36]. The reactions are fueled by pure redox energy: H<sub>2</sub> dependent CO<sub>2</sub> reduction on metals, reactions that differ only in mechanism, not in product spectrum, from the energy-releasing redox reactions of the acetyl-CoA pathway that acetogens (bacteria) and methanogens (archaea) still use today to fix CO<sub>2</sub> and simultaneously generate ion gradients for ATP synthesis [37, 38].

Even if one accepts the premise that metabolism really did start by such reactions (which we do), that still leaves a massive energetic gap between H<sub>2</sub> dependent CO<sub>2</sub> reduction catalyzed by solid state metals in water and enzymatic ATP synthesis via an exquisitely complex rotor stator ATPase [5,6] that harnesses an ion gradient across membranes. Because that gap is large (stated mildly), the intermediate steps are difficult to reconstruct. The involvement of solid state transition metals as catalysts is a clear possibility in an environmental origin of metabolism, but incorporation of heterogeneous, solid state, zero valent metal catalysts into enzymes (hence free-living cells) during early evolution is not an option, although zero valent metals are thought to arise as intermediates in some enzymatic reaction mechanisms involving nickel [32]. This limits the use of H<sub>2</sub>-dependent CO<sub>2</sub> reduction as a direct energy currency. Today the exergonic reaction in acetogens and methanogens is coupled to ion pumping [36, 37]. At some point in early evolution there had to be conversions of the redox energy in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction into soluble chemical currencies of bioenergetic utility. Acyl phosphates are widely discussed as a likely intermediate state in the evolution of energy conservation [7, 14, 39] because they are the most common organophosphate used for ATP syntheses via substrate level phosphorylation [12], and because they are formed during the process of H<sub>2</sub> dependent CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in acetogens and under some conditions in methanogens [40].

Are acyl phosphates a ‘missing link’ intermediates between H<sub>2</sub> and ATP in bioenergetic evolution? Probably, but they are not the only one. Finding intermediates in early bioenergetic

evolution is not just a matter of offering suggestions for possible alternative energy currencies that could substitute for ATP based on group transfer potential and free energy of hydrolysis (for example  $\Delta G_0' = -43 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for acetyl phosphate,  $\Delta G_0' = -32 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for ATP). One needs to look at the reactions that cells actually perform, as well, to see what properties are conserved. ATP synthesis is the main chemical reaction that cells perform and the ATPase is the main source of chemical energy in almost all cells, certainly in all autotrophs. The ATPase is a protein that is produced by the ribosome (**Figure 1**) meaning that, beyond all doubt, the ribosome preceded the ATP synthase in evolution.



**Figure 1.** The ribosome (left) and the ATPase (right) drawn to scale. The ribosome is from *E.coli* (PDB ID 4YBB) as is the ATPase (PDB ID 5T4O). During translation, the mRNA is threaded through the ‘donut hole’ in the ribosome. Structure data for the ribosome from [42], for the ATPase from [43]. Images prepared using Visual Molecular Dynamics version 1.9.3. Lipids surrounding the  $F_0$  subunit drawn for illustration.

More generally, the ribosome preceded the origin of *all* protein coding genes we know today, because they are all synthesized on ribosomes using aminoacyl tRNAs and the universal genetic code [41]. That the ribosome is ancient is not news to anyone, but the bioenergetic environment surrounding its origin is not a well-charted space [41]. Protein synthesis at the ribosome consumes roughly 70% of the ATP produced by any modern prokaryotic cell, but protein

synthesis arose at a time before there was an ATPase. The foregoing context, long but necessary, brings us to our first question: What was that energy currency at the origin of translation?

### **Energy currencies before the ATPase arose**

A world without the ATPase is hard to imagine but it had to exist. The ATP synthase is a protein. At the time of its origin, it entered in a world in which protein synthesis, hence the genetic code and the ribosome, were already present and producing proteins with the help of energy that was not supplied by the ATPase. From that it follows that at the time that the ATPase arose, there was already something that we can call the biosynthetic core [20] in place, because the specific amino acids of which the ATP synthase consists had to be in place, as did the code (aminoacyl tRNA synthetases [44-46]) and tRNA-rRNA interactions (and bases of which RNA consists) as well as the cofactors required to fix CO<sub>2</sub> and make the amino acids, bases, and cofactors involved in protein synthesis. Such a universal biosynthetic core was postulated by Kluver 100 years ago on the basis of very sparse data suggesting the central role of redox reactions in carbon metabolism [47]. Morowitz pursued the idea of universality in metabolism based on the notion that the reverse citric acid cycle is the most ancient biochemical pathway [48] although it turned out not to occur in archaea [49]; the acetyl CoA pathway is the only CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation route that occurs in both bacteria and archaea [24]. Today we know that, as it concerns core biosynthesis, Kluver was right. There is a conserved metabolic core that starts from H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> and spans bacteria and archaea [20-25]. All cells use the same 20 amino acids in their proteins, plus selenocysteine [50] and pyrrolysine [51] in some lineages. All cells use the same nucleoside phosphates in their nucleic acids, with many chemical modifications in rRNA [52] and hundreds of chemical modifications in tRNA [53]. And all cells use a common set of about 18 cofactors [54] with some lineage specific cofactors, for example the Ni-containing tetrapyrrole F<sub>430</sub> plus specialized thiol-containing cofactors coenzyme M and coenzyme B in methanogens [55].

The conserved core of microbial metabolism that supplies the building blocks of life is surprisingly small. It consists of only about 400 reactions [23]. Most, but not all of the enzymes that catalyze those 400 reactions are homologous across all lineages, although the chemical reactions that are catalyzed and the chemical intermediates are conserved. This suggests that parts of the chemical network might be older than the enzymes that catalyze the reactions [22], which makes biochemical sense because enzymes do not perform feats of magic, they just

accelerate reactions that tend to occur anyway. The universality of the biosynthetic core is, like the universality of the genetic code, the strongest evidence for a single origin of life.

**Table 1.** Energy currencies in the biosynthetic core<sup>a</sup>.

Reaction	Number	$\Delta G$ [kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	Reference
Aromatic formation	31	-60 to -150	[65]
Pyruvate formation from H <sub>2</sub> + CO <sub>2</sub>	1	-57	[25]
Acyl phosphate hydrolyses	4	-45	[12]
ATP hydrolysis	77	-32	[12]
Acyl thioester hydrolyses	14	-32	[66]
Pterin dependent alkyl transfers	4	-30	[37]
Reductions	44	-28 <sup>b</sup>	[23]
Folate dependent acyl transfers	2	-26	[12]
SAM dependent alkyl transfers	10	-24	[67]
Decarboxylations	30	-20	[68]
Ring forming reactions	35	-10 to -25	[69]

<sup>a</sup>) The 400 reactions for synthesis of amino acids, bases and cofactors from H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S and P<sub>i</sub> (plus salts) are compiled and listed in [23]. Values of  $\Delta G$  for conditions given in the corresponding references, usually  $\Delta G^{\circ'}$  (1M reactants, 25°C). <sup>b</sup>) Average for 44 NAD(P)H-, ferredoxin- and formate-dependent reductions of organic compounds in the biosynthetic core [23].

We can thus be sure that the ribosome was working when the ATPase arose and that there was a functioning biosynthetic network, probably an autocatalytic network [56], supplying the metabolic products required for translation. Note that our question is not the origin of the ribosome or translation, which we take in this paper as a given, because the ATPase is a protein. Our question is the energy currency at the time that the ATPase arose, which required translation in order to occur, not the origin of translation. Translation required the ribosome and the code but not the ATPase.

That is not to say that the biosynthetic core arose entirely in the absence of high energy phosphorus compounds. At the computer, it is possible to construct networks of organic compounds that can arise without the participation of phosphorus [57]. But metabolism in real cells, also in LUCA, requires thermodynamic impetus in order to go forward [58-60]. Of the 400 reactions in the biosynthetic core, 77 of them (19%) are enzymatically coupled to the

hydrolysis of ATP [23] so that these otherwise slightly endergonic reactions can go forward in the biosynthetic direction. Acyl phosphates, which have a higher free energy of hydrolysis than ATP and which generate ATP in most substrate level phosphorylations [12], could have energetically substituted for ATP in primordial versions those reactions, as could other primitive energy currencies, in principle, as long as the free energy release was sufficient to drive the coupled reaction forward.

If we look for energy currencies in the biosynthetic core (**Table 1**), we find ATP hydrolysis, but also many reaction types that are exergonic by more than  $-20$  kJ/mol without ATP hydrolysis. In addition, the energy demand for biochemical reactions also depends on environmental conditions. For example, the reduction of ferredoxin with  $H_2$  and metallic iron, the long-sought evolutionary precursor to flavin-based electron bifurcation [61] (a bioenergetic and evolutionary problem in its own right [62] but not the topic of this paper) proceeds readily at alkaline pH as in serpentinizing hydrothermal systems, but not at acidic pH, because of the pH-dependence of  $H_2$  oxidation. The midpoint potential of the reaction  $H_2 \rightarrow 2e^- + 2H^+$  ( $E_0' = -414$  mV) becomes more negative with increasing alkalinity, because of the pulling effect that  $OH^-$  exerts by removing protons from the right hand of the reaction (given suitable catalysts), leading to highly reducing conditions in serpentinizing hydrothermal vents [63, 64] and making  $H_2$  dependent reductions of organic compounds more exergonic under alkaline conditions [64].

### **The environment of metabolic and ribosomal origin**

Where do the components come from that are required to synthesize an ATPase on a ribosome? In metabolism, they come from the biosynthetic core (and ATP synthesis). Roughly 97% of the reactions in the biosynthetic core are exergonic under the highly reducing, non-equilibrium and hot ( $\sim 80^\circ C$ ) environmental conditions of serpentinizing hydrothermal vents [23]. The  $H_2$  dependent reduction of  $CO_2$  to pyruvate was discussed above. Recent work by the groups of Joseph Moran and Harun Tüysüz show that  $Ni^0$  and  $H_2$  plus ammonia will convert seven different biological 2-oxo acids into the corresponding amino acids (glycine, alanine, aspartate, glutamate, valine, leucine and isoleucine) in water at room temperature at yields in the range of 6-51% [70]. The same conditions without ammonium promote the synthesis of biological 2-oxo acids [70]. Those findings converge seamlessly with  $H_2$  dependent  $CO_2$  reduction to pyruvate (a 2-oxo acid) under similar hydrothermal conditions [25-29]. The general similarity between the chemical conditions of hydrothermal vents with the enzymatic reactions of anaerobic microbial cells, first proposed by John Baross [71, 72] have only been investigated



for a few years, but the closer one looks the more robust the connections become [73-75]. By contrast, the cyanide-based chemistry that classical schools have maintained to be ancestral to metabolism [76] since Oro's 1960 synthesis of adenine from cyanide [77], though presenting virtuous organic syntheses, generally works without catalysts altogether (in utter contrast to biochemical reactions) and has therefore never been compatible with, nor intersected, the chemistry (and catalysts) used by cells [62, 70]. The chemistry of serpentinizing hydrothermal vents is relevant in an early evolution context because it is energetically conducive to the formation of the biosynthetic core, a reaction network forming the ABC compounds required for translation, a prerequisite for the origins of an ATP synthase.

How might a primordial ATPase have operated? It requires an ion gradient and a hydrophobic layer into which the  $F_0$  subunit can insert. Serpentinization generates pH gradients with ocean water at vents [78, 79]. Both the bottom-up (chemical synthetic) [25-29, 35, 62, 70] and the top-down (inference from modern cells) [7, 72, 78] approaches to the ecological context of primordial ATPase function suggest that LUCA and the biosynthetic core arose in a serpentinizing ( $H_2$ -producing) hydrothermal vent [7] and, that the natural pH gradient between the hydrothermal effluent (pH 9-11) [78, 79] and the Hadean ocean (pH 6.5) [80] could power an ATP synthase [14, 81]. This requires the existence of lipids or other hydrophobic compounds that can form a proton-permeability barrier. The synthesis of such compounds is efficiently performed by native cobalt (recalling that  $Co^0$ , like  $Fe^0$  and  $Ni^0$ , is naturally deposited in serpentinizing systems [36]) in the presence of  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  under hydrothermal vent conditions [82]. That would provide contours, context, and an energy source for ATP synthase function, but does not answer our question: What energy currency supported translation before the ATP synthase arose and became functional to supply ATP? Having justified our premises about the origin of metabolism at a  $H_2$ -producing hydrothermal vent, we have a suggestion.

### **GTP before ATP**

Although ATP is the main energy currency for biosynthesis today, GTP is the main energy currency of ribosome biogenesis and function [50, 83]. How much and what kind of energy does protein synthesis consume? By dry weight, cells are about 50-60% protein and about 20% RNA, with variation depending on growth conditions [84]. Using *E. coli* as a proxy for a typical prokaryotic cell [85], protein synthesis consumes about 75% of the total ATP budget (**Table 2**), with ~55% of ATP consumption attributable to the formation of peptide bonds by the

ribosome, 4% attributable to amino acid synthesis and 16% attributable to the synthesis of tRNA, mRNA and rRNA, whereby almost all of the RNA in a cell is rRNA.

**Table 2:** ATP costs per cell in *E. coli* grown on glucose and NH<sub>4</sub>.

Polymer	Gram per gram of cells <sup>a</sup>	ATP required per gram of cells [mol · 10 <sup>4</sup> ]	Proportion of ATP cost per cell [%]
Protein	0.52		59.1
Amino acid synthesis		14	
Polymerization		191	
RNA	0.16		16.4
NMP synthesis		34	
Polymerization		9	
mRNA turnover		14	
Import of salts			14.9
DNA	0.03		3.2
Lipid	0.09	1	0.3
Polysaccharide <sup>b</sup>	0.17	21	6.1
Solutes <sup>c</sup>	0.04	-	-
	101	347	100

Notes: Values originally from [85] and tabulated in [86]. The high cost of protein synthesis comes from 4 ATP expended per peptide bond synthesized at the ribosome: the PP<sub>i</sub> producing step at aminoacyl tRNA synthesis (two ATP) and the two GTP consuming steps at translation.


The main energetic cost in cells is peptide bond formation at the ribosome. It consumes 4 ATP per peptide bond formed. Half of the energetic costs for translation are incurred in the cytosol in reactions catalyzed by 20 aminoacyl tRNA synthetases (AARS) [44-46]. AARSs activate the amino acids to aminoacyl adenylates by transferring an AMP residue from ATP to the corresponding amino acid, then transferring the aminoacyl moiety to the corresponding tRNA molecule to form the aminoacyl tRNA for translation. The two-step AARS reaction generates AMP and PP<sub>i</sub>, whereby PP<sub>i</sub> is immediately hydrolyzed to two P<sub>i</sub> by ubiquitous pyrophosphatases, making the process of aminoacyl tRNA synthesis, hence translation, irreversible for kinetic reasons (the PP<sub>i</sub> substrate for the back reaction is removed).

Protein synthesis at the ribosome starts with the formation of the mRNA-30S subunit (SSU) complex with the help of the initiation factors IF1, IF2 and IF3. The initiation factor IF2 is a large GTPase that hydrolyzes GTP upon arrival of the 50S subunit (LSU) to form the 70S initiation complex [41]. That GTP-dependent step primes the ribosome for protein synthesis, but it occurs only once followed by many subsequent peptide bond formations per translated mRNA molecule. The energetic cost of translation is incurred at the formation of peptide bonds during chain elongation, which requires two further GTPases, the elongation factors EF-Tu and Ef-2. Ef-Tu is a GTPase that binds aminoacyl tRNAs and strengthens their interaction with the ribosome via codon-anticodon interactions. If these are properly matched, GTP is hydrolyzed by Ef-Tu followed by release of Ef-Tu-GDP [87,88]. Peptide bond formation at the peptidyl transferase center proceeds without GTP hydrolysis [89], but the mRNA must translocate by one codon relative to the ribosome for the next peptide bond. This is achieved by conformational changes induced by EF-G, another large GTPase. It binds the ribosome and hydrolyzes GTP to induce translocation of the ribosome relative to the mRNA, releasing GDP and P<sub>i</sub> in the process [90]. The cost and energy currency of elongation at the ribosome is two GTP per peptide bond formed.

Thus, AARS in the cytosol consumes one ATP per aminoacyl tRNA synthesized, but produces PP<sub>i</sub> which is hydrolyzed such that the cost is two ATP per amino acid, while translation at the ribosome consumes two GTP per peptide bond. GTP is generated from GDP and ATP by ubiquitous nucleoside diphosphate kinases [91]. Broken down across the total ATP pool, roughly 34% of the *E. coli* energy budget is expended for irreversible reactions (mostly to make translation irreversible), roughly 38% is expended for biosynthesis, while 27% of the *E. coli* energy budget is converted to GTP for translation (**Figure 2**).


Because the GTPases EfTu and EfG are universally conserved in all cells, the process of translation is GTP dependent in all cells. If the *E. coli* budget in **Figure 2** is a roughly valid proxy, all lineages of prokaryotes have maintained a GTP dependent translation process that is resupplied with energy via the ATPase since the origin of the latter. Traits that are present in all members of a group trace to the common ancestor. That means that translation was GTP dependent from the origin of translation, hence at the time that the ATPase arose.

GTP is not only the energy currency for the translation step itself, it is the energy currency for initiation and for protein secretion via the universally conserved sec pathway, involving the signal recognition particle and large GTPases [92]. That insertional mechanism is required for ATPase function. The ancient role of GTP in ribosome biology becomes even more evident if we look at ribosomal biogenesis and function.



**Figure 2.** Biosynthetic energy budget of *E. coli* with a focus on protein synthesis. About 28% of the biosynthetic ATP in *E. coli* is converted into GTP for the elongation step of translation. About 35% of the ATP is dedicated to irreversible processes. GTP use is highlighted in green, irreversible processes are highlighted in yellow.

Ribosome biogenesis reveals a predominance of small GTPases (**Figure 3**). Small GTPases contain a structurally conserved GTP binding domain and are widely distributed among bacteria and archaea [93] where they typically function by inducing conformational changes in target proteins upon GTP hydrolysis. This allows small GTPases to modulate diverse functions such as ribosome binding, tRNA binding, Fe<sup>2+</sup> transport and Ni<sup>2+</sup> transport, but most importantly here, ribosome biogenesis and tRNA modification. tRNA modifications are essential for the proper operation of the genetic code, as nucleic acid interactions modulated by post transcriptional base modifications are important for accurate translation, and require the presence of various modified bases in tRNA [53]. Based on universal conservation, we can infer that LUCA had ribosomes that translated in a GTP dependent manner and both large and the small GTPase families essential to ribosome biogenesis trace to LUCA. Functions associated with prokaryotic ribosome function and biogenesis are conspicuously GTP dependent.



**Figure 3:** GTP is the energy currency of the ribosome. The list of GTPases is from references [94-98].


In addition to being the energy currency for initiation and elongation, GTP is also the energy currency for cotranslational insertion of proteins into membranes via the signal recognition particle [99] and the sec pathway [92, 100]. Though acyl phosphates were probably crucial at the onset of metabolic reactions [14, 39], a number of ancient core biochemical functions are also GTP dependent: the succinyl CoA synthetase step in the TCA cycle, the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase reaction, synthesis of the iron-guanylylpyridinol (FeGP) cofactor of methanogen Fe-hydrogenase [101] and pterin synthesis [14]. Folate and methanopterin are pterins essential to the acetyl CoA pathway of acetogens and methanogens, perhaps the oldest biochemical pathway known [21-25]. Folate and methanopterin are biosynthetically derived from carbon backbone of GTP, as are FAD, F<sub>420</sub> (an archaeal homologue of FAD) and the molybdopterin cofactor (MoCo). Pterin synthesis starts with the GTP cyclohydrolase reaction that uses part of the guanosine ring and part of the ribose ring to form the pteridine backbone under formate elimination [102]. ATP is not used in the same way for cofactor biosyntheses, it is a more specialized energy currency, though often covalently bound to cofactors as a kind of biochemical handle. The foregoing suggests that GTP was integrated into CO<sub>2</sub> fixation chemistry as a carbon backbone for folate and pterin synthesis to

enable the acetyl CoA pathway (which requires no ATP hydrolysis in the pathway to pyruvate in methanogens [34].

The universality of GTP as the source of energy in translation thus suggests that GTP was the energy currency of translation in the environment where the ribosome arose. The ribosome gave rise to the ATPase, therefore the main energy currency in LUCA before the origin of the ATP synthase appears, in the most direct inference, to have been GTP.

### A relict of GTP predominance in rRNA

The aminoacylation of tRNA performed by AARS enzymes is ATP-dependent, though there is one report of an archaeal AARS, for aspartyl-tRNA synthesis from a hyperthermophile, that accepts GTP and UTP in addition to ATP for the synthesis of the aminoacyl-tRNA [103]. A critic might conjure a list of reasons why GTP is a replacement for an ancestrally ATP-dependent translation process. Yet were that so, then ATP would have been the universal energy currency at the origin of the ribosome, only to have been replaced (for some unknown reason) by GTP in LUCA, which is possible, though not the inference by Occam's razor. If the ribosome and ancestral rRNAs arose at a time when ATP was the main energy currency, then one would expect A to be the most common base in rRNA, simply because it was, by ATP-first reasoning, the most commonly available triphosphate in the system (as a substrate for rRNA synthesis).



**Figure 4.** Frequency of the nucleotides (as read from gene sequences, not including modifications) in small subunit (SSU) and large subunit (LSU) of rRNA in bacteria and archaea. Number of rRNA sequences, taken from the SILVA database [104], in each of the 4 samples is indicated. The distribution of base frequencies is highly nonrandom ( $p < 10^{-300}$ , Smirnov-Komolgorov test).

It is therefore all the more noteworthy that G, not A, predominates in rRNA, both in 16S and in 23S rRNA sequences, both in bacteria and in archaea (**Figure 4**). This is it a G+C effect (thermostability of GC base pairing in folding) because G predominates over C. Nor is it a

purine effect, because G also predominates over A. The excess of G is highly significant. Seen in light of GTP dependent ribosome biogenesis and function, the excess of G in rRNA appears to be a conserved trait that traces to the ancestral ribosomal RNA of the ancestral ribosome. It could reflect a frozen accident, a predominance of GTP over other NTPs as substrates for primordial rRNA synthesis in the environment where the ribosome and translation arose.

### **The rise to prominence of ATP**

The ribosome had to exist and function before the ATPase came into existence. The energy to power the origin of the ribosome and the origin of the first protein coding genes either came from some chemiosmotic coupling mechanisms for which there is no trace in modern cells, or more likely (from the present perspective) it came from acyl phosphates (or other organophosphates) and was used for substrate level phosphorylation of GDP. But caveats are always in order, also when it comes to acyl phosphates. We point to recent findings by Bernhard Schink and colleagues who isolated an enzyme from the bacterium *Phosphitispora fastidiosa* that uses the acetyl-CoA pathway for CO<sub>2</sub> fixation, but derives all of its electrons from phosphite [105] (HPO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>) rather than from H<sub>2</sub>. The protein they isolated, AMP-dependent phosphite dehydrogenase, catalyzes a very unusual (an understatement) enzymatic reaction:



which is a completely unique, redox-dependent substrate level phosphorylation involving, one might say, exclusively four cofactors and an inorganic compound. The reaction is powered by the extremely negative midpoint potential of electron release in the phosphite to phosphate oxidation reaction with  $E_o' = -690 \text{ mV}$  [105]. Two ADP are then converted by the cell to ATP and AMP via a ubiquitous and well-known enzyme, adenylate kinase. Given the presence of phosphite in serpentinized minerals [106], this is a possible (and maximally compact) ancient route of energy conservation: phosphorylation, NADH synthesis and ATP synthesis in one. It may very well be a primordial energy source, as phosphite has been reported in the kinds of rocks that generate H<sub>2</sub> [106]. Such environments might have been more widespread early in evolution [105], but today almost all cells use the normal route of membrane associated redox reactions, proton pumping, and ATP synthesis via the ATPase.

If GTP was the energy currency at the origin of translation, as the ribosome itself would indicate, why change a running system? Why did ATP become the universal energy currency? A recent report proposed that ATP rose to prominence because acetyl phosphate phosphorylates ADP better than other nucleoside diphosphates in substrate level phosphorylation [107]. However, subsequent and more detailed work by the Moran group showed that ADP was, in fact, not the better substrate at all, rather that ADP serves as a catalyst that promotes the phosphorylation of all NDPs [108], including ADP itself. Given the universally conserved (hence ancestral) GTP-dependence of translation, the question arises: What force or process could have driven the rise of ATP to the status of the universal energy currency, supplanting GTP in apparently all biosynthetic and other functions except ribosome biogenesis, translation, and GTP dependent signaling?

The simplest suggestion, in our view, is that the first rotor stator ATP synthase had, or soon developed, a pronounced substrate specificity for ADP over other competing substrates, such that its main product was ATP rather than some other possible energy currency. This property appears to be conserved in the enzyme of all cells today. In that sense, the identity of ATP as the universal energy currency of biosynthesis (but not translation, where it apparently could not outcompete GTP) would be a true frozen accident, of no burgeoning functional significance in comparison to other possible energy currencies (including GTP), a chance consequence of active site conformation in the F<sub>1</sub> subunit, but of enormous utility to a world of evolving proteins.

If the ancestral ion gradient that powered the first ATPase was a geochemical pH gradient [14], then the ATPase would be turning and churning out ATP day and night, 365 days a year, 1000 years per millennium, putting a very constant and very high energy charge (ATP/ADP ratio) on the contents of compartments within which it was synthesized and functional. The massive difference to all modern energy metabolism would be that no energy was needed from metabolic reactions to generate the ion gradient. That would have led to direct and lasting impact on early protein evolution, freeing H<sub>2</sub> dependent CO<sub>2</sub> reduction from the burden of generating acyl phosphates [14] while *“accelerating biochemical innovations, energetically financing gene inventions, and the selective pressure on evolving proteins to adapt to a new energy currency [..]. ATP-binding domains are so prevalent in genomes, not because ATP is a constituent of RNA, but because it became the most popular energy currency. The ATPase transduced a geochemically generated ion gradient into usable chemical energy, and since the*




*energy was free, the means to harness it as ATP 'just' required a suitable protein for the job, a complicated protein, but a protein*" [109]. In other words, with an ATPase powered by a geochemical ion gradient, ATP was the energy currency in free supply (independent of exergonic CO<sub>2</sub> fixation reactions), hence any newly evolved protein that was involved in reactions that benefited from added thermodynamic drive by coupling to the hydrolysis of phosphoanhydride bonds would readily accommodate ATP as the energy currency in a Darwinian sense. This would explain the prevalence of ATP usage in enzymes of metabolism [110]. The paucity of ATP hydrolysis in the synthesis and function of the ribosome itself suggests that GTP was its ancestral energy currency and was never displaced in that function.

### **An ancient energy currency dichotomy**

The foregoing suggests a path of early bioenergetic evolution along the lines of the summary in **Figure 5**. The advent of ATP as a second major energy currency after GTP could mark the onset of an energy dichotomy in early evolution: GTP at the origin of translation and ATP following the origin of the ATPase and at the origin of enzymes that arose subsequently. It is possible that the 77 enzymes of the biosynthetic core that use ATP today could have used currencies other than ATP at the time of LUCA and that they adapted to the new and abundant energy currency supply via incorporation of ATP binding domains. However, neither the ribosome (GTP) nor the ATP synthase (ATP) have altered their substrate specificity during evolution. Such complete conservation is best explained as preservation of the ancestral state and the operation of very strict functional constraints over billions of years across all lineages.

The commitment of roughly a quarter of the cell's energy supply to GTP for translation reflects a kind of metabolic compartmentation within the cell that has persisted in all lineages throughout all of evolution. GTP for protein synthesis at the ribosome, ATP for the biosynthesis of ribosomal components. The energy released by hydrolysis of ATP and GTP is the same, there is no energetic reason to prefer GTP over ATP at the ribosome (or the converse in biosynthesis). Its conservation is probably an unerasable relict of the substrate specificity of the GTPases that run the ribosome and power its biogenesis. In that sense, bioenergetic evolution was marked by two frozen accidents: GTP for translation and ATP for all else.



**Figure 5.** GTP before ATP. The tendency of the ribosome to arise on GTP during ontogeny and to function during translation with GTP suggests that GTP was the energy currency of translation before ATP came to be the universal energy currency. The origin of ATP as a second major energy currency (the energy currency dichotomy) is likely the result of the substrate specificity of the ancestral ATP synthase. The small arrows pointing from ATP to GTP indicate conversion of ATP to GTP for translation in modern metabolism.

That would supply the answer to the question of how and why ATP rose to prominence as the universal energy currency. It was the substrate specificity of the ancestral ATPase and the unlimited supply of geochemical ion gradients that resulted in an unstoppably high ATP/ADP ratio, providing obvious advantage to reactions that required coupling to phosphorylitic reactions to go forward. If ATP was the currency in supply, enzymes would evolve, where possible, to adapt their demand accordingly.

In closing, we offer that it is not fully accurate to refer to ATP as the “universal” energy currency. It is the universal energy currency of small molecule synthesis (and movement in all cells that move), but in the overall energy budget of cells, the biochemical power of growth is shared: ATP enjoys a 73% majority in biosynthesis (and irreversibility) but in 4 billion years has been unable to displace a 27% minority of GTP use at translation. Our inference suggests that both fractions are ultimately the result of frozen accidents in LUCA.

**Funding.** This work was funded by a grant from the European Research Council Advanced Grant 101018894 to WFM.

**Competing interests.** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Katharina Trost and Michael Knopp for calculating the nucleotide frequencies in rRNA from data base entries underlying the preparation of Figure 4 and Andrea Alexa for help in preparing the manuscript.

## References

- [1] P. Mitchell, Coupling of phosphorylation to electron and hydrogen transfer by a chemi-osmotic type of mechanism. *Nature*. 191 (1961) 144–148.
- [2] F. Calisto, M.M. Pereira, Modularity of membrane-bound charge-translocating protein complexes. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 49 (2021) 2669–2685.
- [3] G. Schäfer, M. Engelhard, V. Müller, Bioenergetics of the archaea. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 63 (1999) 570–620.
- [4] J.P. Abrahams, A.G.W. Leslie, R. Lutter, J.E. Walker, Structure at 2.8 Å resolution of F<sub>1</sub>-ATPase from bovine heart mitochondria. *Nature*. 370 (1999) 621–628.
- [5] W. Junge, N. Nelson, ATP synthase. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 84 (2015) 631–657.
- [6] L. Zhou, L.A. Sazanov, 2019. Structure and conformational plasticity of the intact *Thermus thermophilus* V/A-type ATPase. *Science*. 365, eaaw9144.
- [7] M.C. Weiss, F.L. Sousa, N. Mrnjavac, S. Neukirchen, M. Roettger, S. Nelson-Sathi, W.F. Martin, The physiology and habitat of the last universal common ancestor. *Nat. Microbiol.* 1 (2016) 1–8.
- [8] H.C. Betts, M.N. Puttick, J.W. Clark, T.A Williams, P.C.J. Donoghue, D. Pisani, Integrated genomic and fossil evidence illuminates life's early evolution and eukaryote origin. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2 (2018) 1556–1562.
- [9] K. Lohmann, "Über die Pyrophosphatfraktion im Muskel," *Naturwiss.* 7 (1929) 624–625.
- [10] F. Lipmann, Metabolic generation and utilization of phosphate bond energy. *Adv. Enzymol.* 1 (1941) 99–162.

- [11] Q.H. Tran, G. Udden, Changes in the proton potential and the cellular energetics of *Escherichia coli* during growth by aerobic and anaerobic respiration or by fermentation. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 251 (1998) 538–543.
- [12] K. Decker, K. Jungermann, R.K. Thauer, Energy production in anaerobic organisms. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 9 (1970) 138–158.
- [13] F. Lipmann, Attempts to map a process evolution of peptide biosynthesis. *Science.* 173 (1971) 875–884.
- [14] W. Martin, M.J. Russell, On the origin of biochemistry at an alkaline hydrothermal vent. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B. Biol. Sci.* 362 (2007) 1887–1926.
- [15] M. Baltscheffsky, A. Schultz, H. Baltscheffsky, H<sup>+</sup>-PPases: a tightly membrane-bound family. *FEBS Lett.* 457 (1999) 527–533.
- [16] J.L.E. Wimmer, K. Kleinermanns, W.F. Martin, 2021. Pyrophosphate and irreversibility in evolution, or why PPi is not an energy currency and why nature chose triphosphates. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 759359.
- [17] A. Kornberg, On the metabolic significance of phosphorolytic and pyrophosphorolytic reactions, in H. Kasha and B. Pullman (Eds.), *Horizons in Biochemistry*, New York, USA, Academic Press, 1962, pp. 251–264.
- [18] I. Beis, E.A. Newsholme, The contents of adenine nucleotides, phosphagens and some glycolytic intermediates in resting muscles from vertebrates and invertebrates. *Biochem. J.* 152 (1975) 23–32.
- [19] B. Laber, W. Maurer, S. Scharf, K. Stepusin, F.S. Schmidt, Vitamin B6 biosynthesis: formation of pyridoxine 5'-phosphate from 4-(phosphohydroxy)-L-threonine and 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate by PdxA and PdxJ protein. *FEBS Lett.* 449 (1999) 45–48.
- [20] J.L.E. Wimmer, J.C. Xavier, A.d.N. Vieira, D.P.H. Pereira, J. Leidner, F.L. Sousa, K. Kleinermanns, M. Preiner, W.F. Martin, 2021. Energy at origins: Favourable thermodynamics of biosynthetic reactions in the last universal common ancestor (LUCA). *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 793664.
- [21] G. Fuchs, E. Stupperich, Evolution of autotrophic CO<sub>2</sub> fixation, in: K. Schleifer, E. Stackebrandt (Eds.), *Evolution of Prokaryotes*, FEMS Symposium No. 29., Academic Press, London, 1985, pp. 235–251.
- [22] W.F. Martin, 2020. Older than genes: The acetyl CoA pathway and origins. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 817.

- [23] J.L.E. Wimmer, A.d.N. Vieira, J.C. Xavier, K. Kleinermanns, W.F. Martin, M. Preiner, 2021. The autotrophic core: An ancient network of 404 reactions converts H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and NH<sub>3</sub> into amino acids, bases, and cofactors. *Microorganisms*. 9, 458.
- [24] G. Fuchs, Variations of the acetyl-CoA pathway in diversely related microorganisms that are not acetogens, in *Acetogenesis*, Springer US, Boston, MA, 1994, pp. 507–520.
- [25] M. Preiner, K. Igarashi, K.B. Muchowska, M. Yu, S.J. Varma, K. Kleinermanns, M.K. Nobu, Y. Kamagata, H. Tüysüz, J. Moran, W.F. Martin, A hydrogen-dependent geochemical analogue of primordial carbon and energy metabolism. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 4 (2020) 534–542.
- [26] T. Beyazay, C. Ochoa-Hernández, Y. Song, K.S. Belthle, W.F. Martin, H. Tüysüz, 2023. Influence of composition of nickel-iron nanoparticles for abiotic CO<sub>2</sub> conversion to early prebiotic organics. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 62, e202218189.
- [27] T. Beyazay, K.S. Belthle, C. Farès, M. Preiner, J. Moran, W.F. Martin, H. Tüysüz, 2023. Ambient temperature CO<sub>2</sub> fixation to pyruvate and subsequently to citramalate over iron and nickel nanoparticles. *Nature Comms.* 14, 570.
- [28] K. Belthle, T. Beyazay, C. Ochoa-Hernández, R. Miyazaki, L. Foppa, W.F. Martin, H. Tüysüz, Effects of silica modification (Mg, Al, Ca, Ti, and Zr) on supported cobalt catalysts for H<sub>2</sub> dependent CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to metabolic intermediates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 144 (2022) 21232–21243.
- [29] K.S. Belthle, W. F. Martin, H. Tüysüz, 2024. Synergistic effects of silica-supported iron–cobalt catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to prebiotic organics. *ChemCatChem*, e202301218.
- [30] R.K. Thauer, K. Jungermann, K. Decker, Energy conservation in chemotrophic anaerobic bacteria. *Bacteriol. Rev.* 41 (1977) 100–180.
- [31] G. Caserta, L. Zuccarello, C. Barbosa, C.M. Silveira, E. Moeb, S. Katz, P. Hildebrandt, I. Zebger, S. Todorovic, 2022. Unusual structures and unknown roles of FeS clusters in metalloenzymes seen from a resonance Raman spectroscopic perspective. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 452, 214287.
- [32] S.W. Ragsdale, Nickel-based enzyme systems. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284 (2009) 18571–18575.
- [33] C. Furdui, S.W. Ragsdale, The role of pyruvate ferredoxin oxidoreductase in pyruvate synthesis during autotrophic growth by the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275 (2000) 28494–28499.
- [34] G. Fuchs, Alternative pathways of carbon dioxide fixation: Insights into the early evolution of life? *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 65 (2011) 631–658.

- [35] S.J. Varma, K.B. Muchowska, P. Chatelain, J. Moran, Native iron reduces CO<sub>2</sub> to intermediates and end-products of the acetyl-CoA pathway, *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2 (2018) 1019–1024.
- [36] J.A. Chamberlain, C.R. McLeod, R.J. Traill, G.R. Lachance, Native metals in the Muskox intrusion, *Can. J. Earth. Sci.* 2 (1965) 188–215.
- [37] R.K. Thauer, A.-K. Kaster, H. Seedorf, W. Buckel, R. Hedderich, Methanogenic archaea: ecologically relevant differences in energy conservation, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6 (2008) 579–591.
- [38] K. Schuchmann, V. Müller, Autotrophy at the thermodynamic limit of life: a model for energy conservation in acetogenic bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 12 (2014) 809–821.
- [39] A. Whicher, E. Camprubi, S. Pinna, B. Herschy, N. Lane, Acetyl phosphate as a primordial energy currency at the origin of Life. *Orig. Life Evol. Biosph.* 48 (2018) 159–179.
- [40] C. Schöne, A. Poehlein, N. Jehmlich, N. Adlung, R. Daniel, M. von Bergen, S. Scheller, M. Rother, 2022. Deconstructing *Methanosarcina acetivorans* into an acetogenic archaeon, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 119, e2113853119.
- [41] J.C. Bowman, A.S. Petrov, M. Frenkel-Pinter, P.I. Penev, L.D. Williams, Root of the tree: The significance, evolution, and origins of the ribosome. *Chem. Rev.* 120 (2020) 4848–4878.
- [42] J. Noeske, M.R. Wasserman, D.S. Terry, R.B. Altman, S.C. Blanchard, J.H.D. Cate, High-resolution structure of the *Escherichia coli* ribosome. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 22 (2015) 336–341.
- [43] M. Sobti, C. Smits, A.S.W. Wong, R. Ishmukhametov, D. Stock, S. Sandin, A.G. Stewart, 2016. Cryo-EM structures of the autoinhibited *E. coli* ATP synthase in three rotational states. *Elife.* 5, e21598.
- [44] P. Schimmel, R. Giegé, D. Moras, S. Yokoyama, An operational RNA code for amino acids and possible relationship to genetic code, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 90 (1993) 8763–8768.
- [45] K. Tamura, P. Schimmel, Chiral-selective aminoacylation of an RNA minihelix. *Science.* 305 (2004) 1253–1253.
- [46] C.W. Carter Jr., R. Wolfenden, tRNA acceptor stem and anticodon bases form independent codes related to protein folding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 112 (2015) 7489–7494.

- [47] A.J. Kluyver, H.J.L. Donker, The catalytic transference of hydrogen as the basis of the chemistry of dissimilation processes. *Proc. Royal Acad. Amsterdam*. 28 (1925) 605–618.
- [48] V. Srinivasan, H.J. Moriwitz, The canonical network of autotrophic intermediary metabolism: minimal metabolome of a reductive chemoautotroph. *Biol. Bull.* 216 (2009) 126–130.
- [49] I.A. Berg, D. Kockelkorn, W.H. Ramos-Vera, R.F. Say, J. Zarzycki, M. Hügler, B.E. Alber, G. Fuchs, Autotrophic carbon fixation in archaea. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 8 (2010) 447–460.
- [50] T.C. Stadtman, Selenocysteine. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 65 (1996) 83–100.
- [51] J.A. Krzycki, The direct genetic encoding of pyrrolysine. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 8 (2005) 706–712.
- [52] S.A. Woodson, RNA folding and ribosome assembly. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 12 (2008) 667–673.
- [53] H. Grosjean, V. de Crécy-Lagard, C. Marck, Deciphering synonymous codons in the three domains of life: Co-evolution with specific tRNA modification enzymes. *FEBS Lett.* 584 (2010) 252–264.
- [54] A. Kirschning, Coenzymes and their role in the evolution of life. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 60 (2021) 6242–6269.
- [55] R.H. White, Biosynthesis of the methanogenic cofactors. *Vitam. Horm.* 61 (2001) 299–337.
- [56] J.C. Xavier, W. Hordijk, S. Kauffman, M. Steel, W.F. Martin, 2020. Autocatalytic chemical networks at the origin of metabolism. *Proc. R. Soc. B. Biol. Sci.* 287, 20192377.
- [57] J.E. Goldford, H. Hartman, T.F. Smith, D. Segré, Remnants of an ancient metabolism without phosphate. *Cell.* 168 (2017) 1126–1134.
- [58] W.F. Martin, R.K. Thauer, Energy in ancient metabolism. *Cell.* 168 (2017) 953–955.
- [59] W.F. Martin, F.L. Sousa, N. Lane, Energy at life's origin. *Science.* 344 (2014) 1092–1093.
- [60] J.A. Baross, W.F. Martin, The ribofilm as a concept for life's origins. *Cell.* 162 (2015) 13–15.
- [61] W. Buckel, R.K. Thauer, Energy conservation via electron bifurcating ferredoxin reduction and proton/Na<sup>+</sup> translocating ferredoxin oxidation. *BBA-Bioenergetics.* 1827 (2013) 94–113.

- [62] M. Brabender, D.P. Henriques Pereira, N. Mrnjavac, M.L. Schlikker, Z.-I. Kimura, J. Sucharitakul, K. Kleinermanns, H. Tüysüz, W. Buckel, M. Preiner, W.F. Martin, 2024. Ferredoxin reduction by hydrogen with iron functions as an evolutionary precursor of flavin-based electron bifurcation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 121, e2318969121, in press.
- [63] E.S. Boyd, M.J. Amenabar, S. Poudel, A.S. Templeton, 2020. Bioenergetic constraints on the origin of autotrophic metabolism. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 378, 20190151.
- [64] D.R. Colman, E.A. Kraus, P.H. Thieringer, K. Rempfert, A.S. Templeton, J.R. Spear, E.S. Boyd, 2022. Deep-branching acetogens in serpentinized subsurface fluids of Oman. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 119, e2206845119.
- [65] R.T. Morrison, R.N. Boyd, *Organic Chemistry*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, USA, 1977.
- [66] W. Buckel, H. Eggerer, On the optical determination of citrate synthase and acetyl-coenzyme A. *Biochem. Z.* 343 (1965) 29–43.
- [67] C.A. Lewis Jr, R. Wolfenden, Sulfonium ion condensation: The burden borne by SAM synthetase. *Biochemistry.* 57 (2018) 3549–3551.
- [68] P. Dimroth, B. Schink, Energy conservation in the decarboxylation of dicarboxylic acids by fermenting bacteria. *Arch. Microbiol.* 170 (1998) 69–77.
- [69] R.N. Goldberg, Y.B. Tewari, Thermodynamic and transport properties of carbohydrates and their monophosphates: The pentoses and hexoses. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data.* 18 (1989) 809–880.
- [70] H. Kaur, S.A. Rauscher, E. Werner, Y. Song, J. Yi, W. Kazöne, W.F. Martin, H. Tüysüz, J. Moran, A prebiotic Krebs cycle analogue generates amino acids with H<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> over nickel. *CHEM* 10, (2024) in press 1–13.
- [71] J.B. Corliss, J.A. Baross, S.E. Hoffmann, An hypothesis concerning the relationship between submarine hot springs and the origin of life on Earth. *Oceanol. Acta.* 4 (1981) suppl 59–69.
- [72] J.A. Baross, S.E. Hoffmann, Submarine hydrothermal vents and associated gradient environments as sites for the origin and evolution of life. *Orig. Life Evol. Biosph.* 15 (1985) 327–345.
- [73] W. Martin, J. Baross, D. Kelley, M.J. Russell, Hydrothermal vents and the origin of life. *Nature Rev. Microbiol.* 6 (2008) 805–814.
- [74] L. Schwander, M. Brabender, N. Mrnjavac, J.L.E. Wimmer, M. Preiner, W.F. Martin, 2023. Serpentinization as the source of energy, electrons, organics, catalysts, nutrients and pH gradients for the origin of LUCA and life. *Front. Microbiol.* 14, 1257597.



- [75] N. Mrnjavac, J.L.E. Wimmer, M. Brabender, L. Schwander, W.F. Martin, 2023. The Moon-forming impact and the autotrophic origin of life. *ChemPlusChem* 88, e202300270.
- [76] B.H. Patel, C. Percivalle, D.J. Ritson, C.D. Duffy, J.D. Sutherland, Common origins of RNA, protein and lipid precursors in a cyanosulfidic protometabolism. *Nat. Chem.* 7 (2015) 301–307.
- [77] J. Oro, A.P. Kimball, Synthesis of purines under possible primitive Earth conditions 1. Adenine from hydrogen cyanide. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 94 (1961) 217–227.
- [78] M.J. Russel, A.J. Hall, The emergence of life from iron monosulphide bubbles at a submarine hydrothermal redox and pH front. *J. Geol. Soc. Lond.* 154 (1997) 377–402.
- [79] S.Q. Lang, W.J. Brazelton, 2020. Habitability of the marine serpentinite subsurface: A case study of the Lost City hydrothermal field. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A* 378, 20180429.
- [80] J. Krissansen-Totton, G.N. Arney, D.C. Catling, Constraining the climate and ocean pH of the early Earth with a geological carbon cycle model. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 115 (2018) 4105–4110.
- [81] N. Lane, J.F. Allen, W. Martin, How did LUCA make a living? Chemiosmosis in the origin of life. *Bioessays.* 32 (2010) 271–280.
- [82] D. He, X. Wang, Y. Yang, R. He, H. Zhong, Y. Wang, B. Han, F. Jin, 2021. Hydrothermal synthesis of long-chain hydrocarbons up to C<sub>24</sub> with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-assisted stabilizing cobalt. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 118, e2115059118.
- [83] G.E. Fox, 2010. Origin and evolution of the ribosome. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 2, a003483.
- [84] P. Schönheit, W. Buckel, W.F. Martin, On the origin of heterotrophy. *Trends Microbiol.* 24 (2016) 12–25.
- [85] A.H. Stouthamer, A theoretical study on the amount of ATP required for synthesis of microbial cell material. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek.* 39 (1973) 545–565.
- [86] F.M. Harold, *The vital force: a study of bioenergetics*, WH Freeman, New York, 1986.
- [87] A.B. Loveland, G. Demo, A.A. Korostelev, Cryo-EM of elongating ribosome with EF-Tu\*GTP elucidates tRNA proofreading. *Nature.* 584 (2020) 640–645.
- [88] D. Girodat, S.C. Blanchard, H.J. Wieden, K.Y. Sanbonmatsu, Elongation Factor Tu switch I element is a gate for aminoacyl-tRNA selection. *J. Mol. Biol.* 432 (2020) 3064–3077.
- [89] E.K.Y. Leung, N. Suslov, N. Tuttle, R. Sengupta, J.A. Piccirilli, The mechanism of peptidyl transfer catalysis by the ribosome. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 80 (2011) 527–555.

- [90] V. Petrychenko, B.-Z. Peng, A.C. de A.P. Schwarzer, F. Peske, M.V. Rodnina, N. Fischer, 2021. Structural mechanism of GTPase-powered ribosome-tRNA movement. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 5933.
- [91] F. Georgescauld, Y. Song, A. Dautant, 2020. Structure, folding and stability of nucleoside diphosphate kinases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 6779.
- [92] A. Wittinghofer, I.R. Vetter, Structure-function relationships of the G domain, a canonical switch motif. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 80 (2011) 943–971.
- [93] D.D. Leipe, Y.I. Wolf, E.V. Koonin, L. Aravind, Classification and evolution of P-loop GTPases and related ATPases. *J. Mol. Biol.* 317 (2002) 41–72.
- [94] M.R. Gibbs, K. Fredrick, Roles of elusive translational GTPases come to light and inform on the process of ribosome biogenesis in bacteria. *Mol. Microbiol.* 107 (2018) 445–454.
- [95] N. Clementi, N. Polacek, Ribosome-associated GTPases: The role of RNA for GTPase activation. *RNA Biol.* 7 (2010) 521–527.
- [96] K. Connolly, G. Culver, Deconstructing ribosome construction. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 34 (2009) 256–263.
- [97] K. Karbstein, Role of GTPases in ribosome assembly. *Biopolymers.* 87 (2007) 1–11.
- [98] R.A. Britton, Role of GTPases in bacterial ribosome assembly. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 63 (2009) 155–176.
- [99] G. Schatz, B. Dobberstein, Common principles of protein translocation across membranes. *Science.* 271 (1996) 1519–1526.
- [100] P. Natale, T. Brüser, A.J.M. Driessen, Sec- and Tat-mediated protein secretion across the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane—Distinct translocases and mechanisms. *BBA-Biomembranes.* 1778 (2008) 1735–1756.
- [101] S. Schaupp, F.J. Arriaza-Gallardo, H.-j. Pan, J. Kahnt, G. Angelidou, N. Paczia, K. Costa, X. Hu, S. Shima, 2022. In vitro biosynthesis of the [Fe]-hydrogenase cofactor verifies the proposed biosynthetic precursors. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 134, e202200994.
- [102] J. Rebelo, G. Auerbach, G. Bader, A. Bracher, H. Nar, C. Hosl, N. Schramek, J. Kaiser, A. Bacher, R. Huber, M. Fischer, Biosynthesis of pteridines. Reaction mechanism of GTP cyclohydrolase I. *J. Mol. Biol.* 326 (2003) 503–516.
- [103] S. Fujiwara, S.G. Lee, M. Haruki, S. Kanaya, M. Takagi, M. T. Imanaka, Unusual enzyme characteristics of aspartyl-tRNA synthetase from hyperthermophilic archaeon *Pyrococcus sp.* KOD1. *FEBS Lett.* 394 (1996) 66–70.

- [104] C. Quast, E. Pruesse, P. Yilmaz, J. Gerken, T. Schweer, P. Yarza, J. Peplies, F.O. Glöckner, The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41 (2013) D590–D596.
- [105] Z. Mao, J.R. Fleming, O. Mayans, J. Frey, D. Schleheck, B. Schink, N. Müller, 2023. AMP-dependent phosphite dehydrogenase, a phosphorylating enzyme in dissimilatory phosphite oxidation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 120, e230974312.
- [106] M.A. Pasek, A. Omran, T. Feng, M. Gull, C. Lang, J. Abbatiello, L. Garong, R. Johnston, J. Ryan, H. Abbott-Lyon Pasek, Serpentinization as a route to liberating phosphorus on habitable worlds. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 336 (2022) 332–340.
- [107] S. Pinna, C. Kunz, A. Halpern, S.A. Harrison, S.F. Jordan, J. Ward, F. Werner, N. Lane, 2022. A prebiotic basis for ATP as the universal energy currency. *PLoS Biol.* 20, e3001437.
- [108] E. Werner, S. Pinna, R.J. Mayer, J. Moran, Metal/ADP Complexes promote phosphorylation of ribonucleotides: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 145 (2023) 21630–21637.
- [109] F.L. Sousa, T. Thiergart, G. Landan, S. Nelson-Sathi, I.A.C. Pereira, J.F. Allen, N. Lane, W.F. Martin, 2013. Early bioenergetic evolution. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 368, 20130088.
- [110] J.E. Walker, M. Saraste, M.J. Runswick, N.J. Gay, Distantly related sequences in the alpha-subunits and beta-subunits of ATP synthase, myosin, kinases and other ATP-requiring enzymes and a common nucleotide binding fold. *EMBO J.* 1 (1982) 945–951.