TORSION PAIRS, T-STRUCTURES, AND CO-T-STRUCTURES FOR COMPLETIONS OF DISCRETE CLUSTER CATEGORIES

SOFIA FRANCHINI

ABSTRACT. We give a classification of torsion pairs, t-structures, and co-t-structures in the Paquette-Yıldırım completion of the Igusa-Todorov discrete cluster category. We prove that the aisles of t-structures and co-t-structures are in bijection with non-crossing partitions enriched with some additional data. We also observe that recollements exist in the completion and we classify them.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Background	3
3.	The categories \mathcal{C}_m and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$	6
4.	The AR quiver	8
5.	Precovering and preenveloping subcategories	13
6.	Extension-closed subcategories	20
7.	Torsion pairs	24
8.	t-structures	25
9.	Co-t-structures	35
References		44

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a positive integer m and a field K, Igusa and Todorov defined in [IT] a cluster category C_m which generalises the classical cluster category $C(A_n)$ of type A_n introduced by Buan, Marsh, Reineke, Reiten, and Todorov in [BMRRT] for finite-dimensional hereditary algebras. The category $C(A_n)$ has a nice geometric model in terms of an (n + 3)-gon, introduced by Caldero, Chapoton, and Schiffler in [CCS]. When m = 1 or m = 2, the category C_m can be regarded as the orbit category of the infinite quiver A_{∞} or A_{∞}^{∞} , respectively, studied by Liu and Paquette in [LP], in analogy with the finite-rank case. When m = 1, the category C_m is equivalent to the Holm– Jørgensen category defined in [HJ] as the finite derived category of K[T] viewed as a graded algebra, or can be obtained by stabilising a certain subcategory of a Grassmanian category of infinite rank, see [ACFGS]. When m = 1, C_m is also the unique algebraic triangulated category generated by a 2-spherical object, up to triangle equivalence. In particular, these categories come up in many different contexts.

The category C_m has many nice properties, for instance it is a Hom-finite, K-linear, Krull– Schmidt triangulated category, and has a geometric model which allows us to use combinatorial tools to classify some important classes of subcategories. The indecomposable objects of C_m can be regarded as the arcs of an ∞ -gon, Z_m , having m two-sided accumulation points. The Hom-spaces are at most one-dimensional and can be understood in terms of crossings of arcs. Moreover, \mathcal{C}_m is 2-Calabi–Yau, i.e. $\operatorname{Ext}^1(a,b) \cong D \operatorname{Ext}^1(b,a)$ for each pair of objects a and b. The cluster-tilting subcategories of \mathcal{C}_m were classified in [GHJ], and in [HJ] and [LP] for m = 1and m = 2, as certain triangulations of the infinity-gon \mathcal{Z}_m .

We can also be interested in studying a completion $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ of \mathcal{C}_m . Paquette and Yıldırım defined the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ in [PY] by taking the Verdier quotient of \mathcal{C}_{2m} with respect to a specific thick subcategory. The completion was first defined by Fisher in [F] for the case m = 1, by closing the category \mathcal{C}_1 under homotopy colimits. August, Cheung, Faber, Gratz, and Schroll proved in [ACFGS] that the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_1$ is also equivalent to a stable Grassmanian category of infinite rank. The completion inherits many properties from \mathcal{C}_m , for instance, $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ is still an Hom-finite, \mathbb{K} -linear, Krull–Schmidt triangulated category and has also a geometric model similar to the one for \mathcal{C}_m . The indecomposable objects of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ are in bijection with arcs, or limits of arcs, of \mathcal{Z}_m , and the Hom-spaces are still at most one-dimensional. Moreover, $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ also has clustertilting subcategories which are in correspondence with some triangulations of the ∞ -gon \mathcal{Z}_m . Çanakçi, Kalck, and Pressland endowed $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ with an extriangulated structure \mathbb{E} and classified the cluster-tilting subcategories with respect to \mathbb{E} in terms of a larger class of triangulations of the ∞ -gon \mathcal{Z}_m , see [ÇKP].

Despite C_m and \overline{C}_m having many similarities, these two categories also have relevant differences. One remarkable difference is that \overline{C}_m is not 2-Calabi–Yau, although it is weakly 2-Calabi–Yau with respect to the extriangulated structure of [ÇKP]. Therefore, classifying subcategories in \overline{C}_m is a way to develop intuition for a more general setting, which is not necessarely 2-Calabi–Yau.

The geometric models of C_m and \overline{C}_m allow one to classify some important classes of subcategories using arc combinatorics. Torsion pairs of a triangulated category play an important role in representation theory, as they provide a decomposition of the category into smaller subcategories. By a result of Iyama and Yoshino in [IY], in \overline{C}_m the torsion pairs of a "small" triangulated category are completely determined by their torsion classes, which are characterised as extesionclosed precovering subcategories. In order to classify torsion pairs, we classify the precovering subcategories and the extension-closed subcategories of \overline{C}_m . We keep those properties separate and independent from each other.

Theorem A (Theorem 5.4). Let \mathcal{X} be an additive full subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Then \mathcal{X} is a precovering subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ if and only if the set of arcs corresponding to the indecomposable objects of \mathcal{X} satisfies the completed precovering condition, i.e. it is closed under certain configurations of converging sequences of arcs of \mathcal{X} .

Theorem B (Proposition 6.6). Let \mathcal{X} be an additive full subcategory of \overline{C}_m . Then \mathcal{X} is an extension-closed subcategory of \overline{C}_m if and only if the set of arcs corresponding to the indecomposable objects of \mathcal{X} satisfies the completed Ptolomey condition, i.e. it is closed under taking Ptolomey arcs for each pair of crossing arcs of \mathcal{X} .

The torsion pairs in C_m were classified by Gratz, Holm and Jørgensen in [GHJ] generalising the classifications of Ng in [N] and of Chang, Zhou, and Zhu in [CZZ] for the cases m = 1 and m = 2 respectively. By combining the two results above, we classify the torsion pairs in \overline{C}_m .

Theorem C (Theorem 7.1). Let \mathcal{X} be an additive full subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Then \mathcal{X} is a torsion class in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ if and only if the set of arcs corresponding to the indecomposable objects of \mathcal{X} satisfies the completed precovering condition and the completed Ptolomey condition.

Particular kinds of torsion pairs are t-structures and co-t-structures, which are similar concepts but have important differences. For instance, t-structures are related to a notion of homology, and the heart of a t-structure is abelian, while the co-heart of a co-t-structure is presilting. The t-structures of C_m were first classified for m = 1 or m = 2 in [N] and [CZZ]. Gratz and Zvonareva classified the t-structures for $m \ge 1$ using decorated non-crossing partitions. These consist of a non-crossing partition of the finite set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ together with some additional data consisting of elements of the closure of the ∞ -gon \mathcal{Z}_m . In this paper we classify the t-structures of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ using similar combinatorial objects.

Theorem D (Theorem 8.6). There is a bijection between the aisles of t-structures in \overline{C}_m and the half-decorated non-crossing partitions of $\{1, \ldots, 2m\}$.

We also classify the co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ using a related combinatorial object. In \mathcal{C}_m the only co-t-structures are the trivial ones $(0, \mathcal{C}_m)$ and $(\mathcal{C}_m, 0)$. This marks a further difference with the completion, where non-trivial co-t-structures exist.

Theorem E (Theorem 9.2). There is a bijection between the aisles of the co-t-structures in \overline{C}_m and the half-decorated half-non-crossing partitions of $\{1, \ldots, 2m\}$.

Another interesting aspect of triangulated categories are their recollements. These can be thought as exact sequences of triangulated categories. Recollements are in bijection with torsiontorsion free triples, i.e. triples $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ where $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ are t-structures, see for instance [NS]. In our context, where the triangulated categories are Hom-finite, K-linear, and Krull–Schmidt, recollements are also in bijection with the functorially finite thick subcategories. Gratz and Zvonareva classified the thick subcategories of \mathcal{C}_m in [GZ], and Murphy classified the thick subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ in [M]. The category \mathcal{C}_m can be thought as "triangulated simple", as its only functorially finite thick subcategories are 0 and \mathcal{C}_m . The completion has different behaviour, indeed in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ more functorially finite thick subcategories exist. We have the following classification of the functorially finite thick subcategories.

Theorem F (Corollary 9.26). There is a bijection between the functorially finite thick subcategories of \overline{C}_m and certain half-decorated half-non-crossing partitions of $\{1, \ldots, 2m\}$.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks her supervisor David Pauksztello for useful conversation and suggestions, and for carefully reading previous versions of this paper. The author thanks Raquel Coelho Simões for useful technical support and advice. The author acknowledges financial support through an EPSRC mathematical sciences studentship.

2. Background

Throughout this section \mathcal{T} will be a Hom-finite, K-linear, Krull–Schmidt triangulated category with shift functor $\Sigma: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$, unless otherwise stated. We denote by ind \mathcal{T} the class of indecomposable objects of \mathcal{T} .

Any subcategory \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{T} is assumed to be full, and we sometimes write $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. We say that \mathcal{X} is an *additive subcategory* if \mathcal{X} is closed under direct sums, isomorphisms, direct summands, and contains the zero object. Given \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} subcategories of \mathcal{T} , we write

 $\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y} = \{t \in \mathcal{T} | \text{ there exists } x \longrightarrow t \longrightarrow y \longrightarrow \Sigma x \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } y \in \mathcal{Y} \}.$

A subcategory \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{T} is called

- extension-closed if $\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$;
- suspended if it is extension-closed, additive, and $\Sigma \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$;
- co-suspended if it is extension-closed, additive, and $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$;
- *thick* if it is suspended and co-suspended.

Given \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} additive subcategories of \mathcal{T} , we write $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = 0$ if $\operatorname{Hom}(x, y) = 0$ for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. We denote

$$\mathcal{X}^{\perp} = \{ t \in \mathcal{T} \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X}, t) = 0 \} \text{ and } ^{\perp}\mathcal{X} = \{ t \in \mathcal{T} \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(t, \mathcal{X}) = 0 \}.$$

Let \mathcal{X} be an additive subcategory of \mathcal{T} , and let $t \in \mathcal{T}$. We say that a morphism $f: x \to t$ is an \mathcal{X} -precover of t if $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and any $g: x' \to t$ with $x' \in \mathcal{X}$ factors through f. We say that an \mathcal{X} -precover $f: x \to t$ is an \mathcal{X} -cover if additionally it is right minimal, i.e. for any $g: x \to x$ if fg = f then g is an isomorphism. Covers are unique up to isomorphism, while precovers are not. We say \mathcal{X} is precovering if any $t \in \mathcal{T}$ admits an \mathcal{X} -precover. The notions of preenvelope, envelope, and preenveloping subcategory are dual. If \mathcal{X} is precovering and preenveloping, we say that \mathcal{X} is functorially finite.

Remark 2.1. In our context being precovering can be checked at the level of the indecomposable objects. More precisely, \mathcal{X} is a precovering subcategory of \mathcal{T} if and only if for any $t \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{T}$ there exist $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f: x \to t$ such that any $g: x' \to t$ with $x' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{X}$ factors through f, cf. [AS, p. 81].

2.1. Torsion pairs. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be additive subcategories of \mathcal{T} . The pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is called

- torsion pair if $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}$, see [IY];
- *t-structure* if it is a torsion pair and $\Sigma \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, see [BBDG];
- co-t-structure if it is a torsion pair and $\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, see [P] and [B] where they are called weight structures.

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a torsion pair, then \mathcal{X} is called *torsion class* and \mathcal{Y} is called *torsion-free class*. If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a t-structure or a co-t-structure, \mathcal{X} is called *aisle* and \mathcal{Y} is called *co-aisle*. The *heart* of a t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is $\mathcal{X} \cap \Sigma \mathcal{Y}$. The *co-heart* of a co-t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is $\mathcal{X} \cap \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{Y}$. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a t-structure or a co-t-structure, we say that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is

- left bounded, or right bounded, if $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{X}$, or $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{Y}$ respectively;
- *bounded* if it is left bounded and right bounded;
- left non-degenerate, or right non-degenerate, if $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{X} = 0$, or $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{Y} = 0$ respectively;
- non-degenerate if it is left non-degenerate and right non-degenerate.

It is straightforward to check that if $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is left bounded then it is right non-degenerate, and if it is right bounded then it is left non-degenerate.

Proposition 2.2 ([IY, Proposition 2.3]). Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be additive subcategories of \mathcal{T} . Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a torsion pair if and only if \mathcal{X} is extension-closed and precovering, and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$.

We recall the following notion from [B]. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure.

- If \mathcal{X} is functorially finite, then $({}^{\perp}\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is called its *left-adjacent t-structure*.
- If \mathcal{Y} is functorially finite, then $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^{\perp})$ is called its *right-adjacent t-structure*.

2.2. Verdier quotients. Let \mathcal{D} be a thick subcategory of \mathcal{T} , we recall how to obtain the Verdier quotient \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} . We refer to [Kra, Section 4] for a detailed description.

We consider S the class of morphisms $f: t_1 \to t_2$ of \mathcal{T} which extend to triangles $t_1 \xrightarrow{f} t_2 \longrightarrow d \longrightarrow \Sigma t_1$ with $d \in \mathcal{D}$. The category \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} has

- as objects exactly the same objects of \mathcal{T} ;
- as morphisms the equivalence classes of left fractions, see [Kra, Section 3.1];
- the quotient functor $Q: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}$ which acts as the identity on objects and makes the morphisms in S invertible, and is universal with this property.

The category \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} has a triangulated structure which consists of

- the shift functor $\Sigma: \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}$ induced by the shift functor $\Sigma: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$;
- triangles given by isomorphic copies of the images of the triangles of \mathcal{T} after Q.

With this triangulated structure, the quotient functor Q is a triangulated functor, i.e. it is an additive functor commuting with Σ and sending triangles of \mathcal{T} to triangles of \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} , see [Kra, Lemma 4.3.1]. From [Kra, Proposition 4.6.2] we recall that

- a morphism $f: t_1 \to t_2$ in \mathcal{T} is such that Q(f) = 0 in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} if and only if f = hg for some $g: t_1 \to d$ and $h: d \to t_2$ with $d \in \mathcal{D}$;
- an object $t \in \mathcal{T}$ is such that $Q(t) \cong 0$ in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{U} if and only if $t \in \mathcal{D}$.

Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}$. The essential image of \mathcal{U} after Q, and the preimage of \mathcal{X} after Q, are respectively

$$Q(\mathcal{U}) = \{ x \in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} \mid x \cong Q(u) \text{ in } \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} \text{ for some } u \in \mathcal{U} \} \text{ and}$$
$$Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X}) = \{ t \in \mathcal{T} \mid Q(t) \cong x \text{ in } \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{X} \}.$$

We have the following generalisation of [V, Proposition 2.3.1].

Proposition 2.3. Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category and \mathcal{D} be a thick subcategory. The following is a bijection.

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} Extension-closed \ additive \ subcategories \\ \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{T} \ such \ that \ \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{U} \longmapsto Q(\mathcal{U}) \\ Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X}) \longleftarrow \mathcal{X} \end{array}\right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{c} Extension-closed \ additive \\ subcategories \ of \ \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} \\ \mathcal{U} \longmapsto Q(\mathcal{U}) \\ \end{array}\right\}$$

The argument in [V] is in part not applicable with our assumptions when checking that the maps are well defined. Therefore, we provide an argument for this. Before doing so, we have the following lemma, which is included in the argument of [V, Proposition 2.3.1]. Our assumptions are more general than those of [V], but the argument still applies.

Lemma 2.4. Let \mathcal{D} be a thick subcategory of \mathcal{T} , and \mathcal{X} be an extension-closed additive subcategory of \mathcal{T} containing \mathcal{D} . If $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$ are such that $Q(t) \cong Q(x)$ in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} , then $t \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. We check that the maps are well defined. To show that the two maps are mutually inverse we can proceed as in the argument of [V, Proposition 2.3.1]. Let \mathcal{U} be an extension-closed additive subcategory of \mathcal{T} containing \mathcal{D} . It is straightforward to see that $Q(\mathcal{U})$ is closed under isomorphism, $0 \in Q(\mathcal{U})$, and that $Q(\mathcal{U})$ is closed under direct sums. We show that $Q(\mathcal{U})$ is closed under direct summands. Let $x \in Q(\mathcal{U})$ be such that $x \cong x_1 \oplus x_2$ in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} for some $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}$, we check that $x_1, x_2 \in Q(\mathcal{U})$. We know that there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \cong Q(u)$, and there exist $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $x_1 \cong Q(t_1)$ and $x_2 \cong Q(t_2)$. Then $Q(t_1 \oplus t_2) \cong Q(t_1) \oplus Q(t_2) \cong x_1 \oplus x_2 \cong x \cong Q(u) \in Q(\mathcal{U})$. Then by Lemma 2.4 we have that $t_1 \oplus t_2 \in \mathcal{U}$. As a consequence, $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x_1 \cong Q(t_1) \in Q(\mathcal{U})$ and $x_2 \cong Q(t_2) \in Q(\mathcal{U})$.

Now we show that $Q(\mathcal{U})$ is extension-closed. Consider a triangle in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}

(T)
$$x_1 \longrightarrow y \longrightarrow x_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma x_1$$

with $x_1, x_2 \in Q(\mathcal{U})$. Then there is a triangle $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ in \mathcal{T} whose image under Q is isomorphic to the triangle (T) in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} , see the proof of [Kra, Lemma 4.3.1]. Thus, in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} we have the isomorphisms $Q(a) \cong x_1$, $Q(b) \cong x_2$ and $Q(e) \cong y$. Since $x_1, x_2 \in Q(\mathcal{U})$, we have that there exist $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x_1 \cong Q(u_1)$ and $x_2 \cong Q(u_2)$. Then, by Lemma 2.4, we have that $a, b \in \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{U} is extension-closed, we obtain that $e \in \mathcal{U}$ and as a consequence $y \cong Q(e) \in Q(\mathcal{U})$. Thus, the map $\mathcal{U} \mapsto Q(\mathcal{U})$ is well defined.

Let \mathcal{X} be an extension-closed additive subcategory of \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} . We check that $Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$ is an additive subcategory of \mathcal{T} . It is straightforward to see that $0 \in Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X}), Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$ is closed under isomorphisms, direct sums, and direct summands. Moreover, if $d \in \mathcal{D}$ then $Q(d) \cong 0$ in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} and then $d \in Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$, so $\mathcal{D} \subseteq Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$. Now we show that $Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$ is extension-closed. Consider a triangle $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ in \mathcal{T} with $a, b \in Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$. Then its image under Q is a triangle $Q(a) \longrightarrow Q(e) \longrightarrow Q(b) \longrightarrow \Sigma Q(a)$ in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} with $Q(a), Q(b) \in \mathcal{X}$. Since \mathcal{X} is extension-closed, then $Q(e) \in \mathcal{X}$. As a consequence $e \in Q^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$. Hence, the map $\mathcal{Y} \mapsto Q^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ is well defined. \Box

2.3. Non-crossing partitions. Let k be a positive integer. Consider the unit circle S^1 with anticlockwise orientation, and a finite set of elements of S^1 , which we label as $\{1, \ldots, k\} = [k]$, with the cyclic order $1 < 2 < \cdots < k < 1$.

A non-crossing partition of [k] is a partition \mathcal{P} of [k] such that for any $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in [k]$ which are in cyclic order $i_1 < j_1 < i_2 < j_2 < i_1$, if $i_1, i_2 \in B$ and $j_1, j_2 \in C$ for some $B, C \in \mathcal{P}$, then B = C. If \mathcal{P} is a non-crossing partition, its elements are called *blocks*.

The Kreweras complement, \mathcal{P}^c , of a non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} of [k] is obtained as follows, see Figure 1 for an illustration.

- (1) Double the elements of [k] to get the set $[k^e] \cup [k^o] = \{1^e, 1^o, \dots, k^e, k^o\}$ with cyclic order $1^e < 1^o < \dots < k^e < k^o < 1^e$.
- (2) Define \mathcal{P}^e as the non-crossing partition of $[k^e]$ which consists on \mathcal{P} .
- (3) Complete \mathcal{P}^e to a *serrée* (*dense*) non-crossing partition $\mathcal{P}^e \cup \mathcal{P}^o$ of $[k^e] \cup [k^o]$, see [Kre, p. 338].
- (4) Define \mathcal{P}^c as \mathcal{P}^o and relabel the elements of $[k^o]$ as $1, \ldots, k$.



FIGURE 1. On the left $\mathcal{P} = \{\{1, 3, 4\}, \{2\}, \{5, 7, 8\}, \{6\}\}$ is a non-crossing partition of [8], and $\mathcal{P}^c = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4, 8\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7\}\}$ on the right is its Kreweras complement.

3. The categories \mathcal{C}_m and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$

In this section we recall the Igusa–Todorov discrete cluster category C_m , introduced in [IT], the Paquette–Yıldırım completion \overline{C}_m , introduced in [PY], and their geometric models.

3.1. The ∞ -gons \mathcal{Z}_m , \mathcal{Z}_{2m} , and $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$. We consider the unit circle S^1 with anticlockwise orientation endowed with the usual topology. Given a positive integer m, the ∞ -gon \mathcal{Z}_m is an infinite discrete subset of S^1 consisting of m copies of \mathbb{Z} embedded in S^1 with m two-sided accumulation points, see Figure 2. We denote the accumulation points of \mathcal{Z}_m by $\{1, \ldots, m\} =$ [m]. Given $p \in [m]$ we denote by $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ all the elements of \mathcal{Z}_m which belong to the p-th copy of \mathbb{Z} . The accumulation points are in cyclic order $1 < \cdots < m < 1$. If $p \in [m]$ is an accumulation point, we denote the successor and the predecessor of p with respect to the cyclic order by p^+ and p^- . We also regard [m] as a totally ordered set $1 < \cdots < m$. This total order induces a total order \leq on $\mathcal{Z}_m \cup [m]$.

We can define intervals in \mathcal{Z}_m . Given $x, y \in \mathcal{Z}_m \cup [m]$ we denote

$$[x,y) = \begin{cases} \{z \in \mathcal{Z}_m \mid x \le z < y\} & \text{if } x \le y, \text{ and} \\ \{z \in \mathcal{Z}_m \mid z \le x \text{ or } z > y\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we can define the intervals (x, y], (x, y), and [x, y]. Since the set \mathcal{Z}_m is discrete, for each $z \in \mathcal{Z}_m$ there exists a predecessor z - 1 and a successor z + 1.

Definition 3.1. A pair $x = (x_1, x_2)$ of elements of \mathcal{Z}_m is called *arc* if $x_2 \ge x_1 + 2$, and in that case x_1 and x_2 are called *endpoints* or *coordinates* of x. Given two arcs $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ of \mathcal{Z}_m , we say that x and y cross if $x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2$ or $y_1 < x_1 < y_2 < x_2$. Given $p, q \in [m]$ with $p \le q$, we define

$$\mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)} = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \text{ is an arc of } \mathcal{Z}_m \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)} \text{ and } x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q)} \right\}.$$

From \mathcal{Z}_m we define another ∞ -gon $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$. To this end, we take an intermediate step by considering the ∞ -gon \mathcal{Z}_{2m} . We re-label the accumulation points of \mathcal{Z}_{2m} as $1', 1, \ldots, m', m$, see Figure 2. The set of accumulation points $[m'] \cup [m]$ has cyclic order $1' < 1 < \cdots < m' < m < 1'$ and a total order $1' < 1 < \cdots < m' < m$, which induces a total order on \mathcal{Z}_{2m} . The notions of interval, successor, predecessor, arc, are the same as for the set \mathcal{Z}_m .

On \mathcal{Z}_{2m} we define an equivalence relation \sim as follows. For each $x, y \in \mathcal{Z}_{2m}$ we have that

$$x \sim y$$
 if and only if $x = y$ or $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ for some $p \in [m']$.

Consider $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$, we sometimes denote the equivalence class of x by \overline{x} . If $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ for some $p \in [m']$, we identify $\overline{x} = p$ with an abuse of notation. We define the set $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_m = \mathbb{Z}_{2m} / \sim$ and we observe that $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_m$ can be regarded as the set $\mathbb{Z}_m \cup [m']$. The total order on \mathbb{Z}_{2m} induces a total order on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_m$.

Given a point $z \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m = \mathcal{Z}_m \cup [m']$, we define the successor z + 1 of z as

$$z+1 = \begin{cases} \text{the successor of } z \text{ in } \mathcal{Z}_m & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{Z}_m, \\ z & \text{if } z \in [m']. \end{cases}$$

We can define z - 1 analogously. The notions of arc of \overline{Z}_m and of crossing arcs are the same of those for Z_m . Given $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ we define the following sets

$$C^{(p)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{(p)} & \text{if } p \in [m], \\ \{p\} & \text{if } p \in [m'] \end{cases} \text{ and } C^{(p,q)} = \{(x_1, x_2) \text{ is an arc of } \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m \mid x_1 \in C^{(p)} \text{ and } x_2 \in C^{(q)} \}.$$

We introduce some notation which will be useful later. Given x and y both elements of \mathbb{Z}_m , or both elements of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_m$, with $x_2 \ge x_1 + 2$ or $x_1 \ge x_2 + 2$, we define

$$|x_1, x_2| = \begin{cases} (x_1, x_2) & \text{if } x_1 < x_2, \\ (x_2, x_1) & \text{if } x_2 < x_1. \end{cases}$$



FIGURE 2. On the left the ∞ -gon \mathbb{Z}_2 , in the centre \mathbb{Z}_4 , and on the right $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2$. The white circles denote the accumulation points of \mathbb{Z}_2 and \mathbb{Z}_4 , the black circles denote the accumulation points of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_2$.

3.2. Geometric models. Given the ∞ -gon \mathcal{Z}_m and a field \mathbb{K} , the category \mathcal{C}_m was defined in [IT]. This is a \mathbb{K} -linear, Hom-finite, Krull–Schmidt triangulated category. We denote its shift functor by $\Sigma: \mathcal{C}_m \to \mathcal{C}_m$. Moreover, \mathcal{C}_m is 2-Calabi–Yau, i.e. Σ^2 is a Serre functor. We recall some properties about \mathcal{C}_m .

- There is a bijection between the isoclasses of indecomposable objects of C_m and the arcs of Z_m . We regard the indecomposable objects of C_m as arcs of Z_m , see [IT, Section 2.4.1].
- Given $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$ we have that $\Sigma x = (x_1 1, x_2 1)$ by [IT, Lemma 2.4.3].
- Given $x, y \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$, by [IT, Lemma 2.4.4] we have that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_m}(x,y) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{K} & \text{if } x \text{ and } \Sigma^{-1}y \text{ cross,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The completion $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ of \mathcal{C}_m was defined in [PY], we recall its construction. Consider the set \mathcal{Z}_{2m} defined in Section 3.1 and the associated category \mathcal{C}_{2m} . Define \mathcal{D} as

$$\mathcal{D} = \operatorname{add} \left\{ \bigcup_{p \in [m']} \mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)} \right\}.$$

The category \mathcal{D} is a thick subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ is defined as the Verdier quotient $\mathcal{C}_{2m}/\mathcal{D}$. This is a K-linear, Hom-finite, Krull–Schmidt triangulated category. We denote the quotient functor as $\pi: \mathcal{C}_{2m} \to \mathcal{C}_{2m}/\mathcal{D} = \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ and its shift functor by $\Sigma: \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m \to \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ as for \mathcal{C}_m . We recall the following properties.

- The isoclasses of indecomposable objects of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ are in bijection with the arcs of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$, see [PY, Corollary 3.11].
- For any $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \setminus \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}$ the object $\pi x \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ is indecomposable by [PY, Proposition 3.10] and can be regarded as the arc $(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$.
- Let $x \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, then there exists $x' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi x' \cong x$. Indeed, if $x = (x_1, x_2)$ with $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$, we can take $x'_1, x'_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_{2m}$ such that $\overline{x}'_1 = x_1$ and $\overline{x}'_2 = x_2$, we define $x' = (x'_1, x'_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$.
- Given $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ we have that $\Sigma x = (x_1 1, x_2 1)$.
- The Hom-spaces of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ between indecomposable objects are at most one-dimensional. More precisely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2 ([PY, Proposition 3.14]). Let $x, y \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(x, \Sigma y) \cong \mathbb{K}$ if and only if one of the following statements holds.

- The arcs x and y cross.
- The arcs x and y share exactly one endpoint $z \in [m']$, and we can reach y by rotating x in the anticlockwise direction about z.
- The arcs x and y share both endpoints $z_1, z_2 \in [m']$.

Otherwise $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(x, \Sigma y) = 0.$

Remark 3.3. For both categories C_m and \overline{C}_m , we identify the indecomposable objects with arcs of \mathcal{Z}_m or $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$, and the full additive subcategories with sets of arcs.

From now on any subcategory of \mathcal{C}_m or $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ is assumed to be additive and full.

4. The AR quiver

In this section we describe the AR quiver of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

4.1. The coordinate system. Recall from [IT, Theorem 2.4.13] that the AR quiver of C_m consists of

- *m* components of type $\mathbb{Z}A_{\infty}$, corresponding to the arcs of $\mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)}$ for $p \in [m]$,
- $\binom{m}{2}$ components of type $\mathbb{Z}A_{\infty}^{\infty}$, corresponding to the arcs of $\mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for $p,q \in [m]$ with $p \neq q$.

For the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, we can arrange the isoclasses of the indecomposable objects of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ into a coordinate system having

- *m* components of type $\mathbb{Z}A_{\infty}$, corresponding to the arcs of $C^{(p,p)}$ for $p \in [m]$,
- $\binom{m}{2}$ components of type $\mathbb{Z}A_{\infty}^{\infty}$, corresponding to the arcs of $C^{(p,q)}$ for $p,q \in [m]$ with $p \neq q$,
- $\binom{m}{2}$ components of type A_1 , corresponding to the arcs of $C^{(p,q)}$ for $p, q \in [m']$ with $p \neq q$,
- m^2 components of type A_{∞}^{∞} , corresponding to the arcs of $C^{(p,q)}$ for $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ such that either $p \in [m']$ and $q \in [m]$, or $p \in [m]$ and $q \in [m']$.

Figure 3 illustrates these coordinate systems. In Proposition 4.11 we describe the irreducible morphisms of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ and thus show that the above describes the AR quiver of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.



FIGURE 3. The AR quiver of C_2 on the left and of \overline{C}_2 on the right.

4.2. Hom-hammocks. Before describing the Hom-hammocks of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, we extend the definition of Hom-hammocks of \mathcal{C}_m , see [HJ, Definition 2.1], from m = 1 to the general case $m \ge 1$.

Definition 4.1. Let $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$. We define

$$H^{+}(a) = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \text{ind} \, \mathcal{C}_m \mid a_1 \le x_1 \le a_2 - 2 \text{ and } x_2 \ge a_2\} \text{ and} \\ H^{-}(a) = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \text{ind} \, \mathcal{C}_m \mid x_1 \le a_1 \text{ and } a_1 + 2 \le x_2 \le a_2\}.$$

Remark 4.2. For $a, b \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}_m$, by [IT, Lemma 2.4.2] it follows that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_m}(a, b) \cong \mathbb{K}$ if and only if $b \in H^+(a) \cup H^-(\Sigma^2 a)$, or equivalently, $a \in H^+(\Sigma^{-2}b) \cup H^-(b)$.

We define the Hom-hammocks for the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ analogously to \mathcal{C}_m ; Figure 5 provides an illustration.

Definition 4.3. Let $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, and let $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ such that $a \in C^{(p,q)}$. We define the Hom-hammocks $\overline{H}^+(a)$ and $\overline{H}^-(a)$ as follows.

$$\overline{H}^{+}(a) = \begin{cases} \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid a_{1} \leq x_{1} \leq a_{2} - 2 \text{ and } x_{2} \geq a_{2} \} & \text{if } q \in [m], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid a_{1} \leq x_{1} < a_{2} \text{ and } x_{2} \geq a_{2} \} & \text{if } q \in [m']. \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{H}^{-}(a) = \begin{cases} \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid 1' \leq x_{1} \leq a_{1} \text{ and } a_{1} + 2 \leq x_{2} \leq a_{2} \} & \text{if } p, q \in [m], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid 1' \leq x_{1} \leq a_{1} \text{ and } a_{1} + 2 \leq x_{2} < a_{2} \} & \text{if } p \in [m] \text{ and } q \in [m'], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid 1' \leq x_{1} < a_{1} \text{ and } a_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq a_{2} \} & \text{if } p \in [m] \text{ and } q \in [m'], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid 1' \leq x_{1} < a_{1} \text{ and } a_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq a_{2} \} & \text{if } p \in [m'] \text{ and } q \in [m], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid 1' \leq x_{1} < a_{1} \text{ and } a_{1} \leq x_{2} < a_{2} \} & \text{if } p, q \in [m']. \end{cases}$$

The following fact follows from Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 4.4. Let $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(a, b) \cong \mathbb{K}$ if and only if $b \in \overline{H}^+(a) \cup \overline{H}^-(\Sigma^2 a)$.

Since $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ is not 2-Calabi-Yau, for $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ in general $b \in \overline{H}^+(a) \cup \overline{H}^-(\Sigma^2 a)$ is not equivalent to $a \in \overline{H}^+(\Sigma^{-2}b) \cup \overline{H}^-(b)$. Therefore, we also define the *reverse* Hom-hammocks \overline{I}^+ and \overline{I}^- , for which $b \in \overline{H}^+(a) \cup \overline{H}^-(\Sigma^2 a)$ if and only if $a \in \overline{I}^+(\Sigma^{-2}b) \cup \overline{I}^-(b)$.

Definition 4.5. Let $a \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, and let $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ such that $a \in C^{(p,q)}$. We define the *reverse* Hom-hammocks $\overline{I}^+(a)$ and $\overline{I}^-(a)$ as follows.

$$\overline{I}^{+}(a) = \begin{cases} \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{m} \mid a_{1} \leq x_{1} \leq a_{2} - 2 \text{ and } x_{2} \geq a_{2} \} & \text{if } p, q \in [m], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid a_{1} \leq x_{1} \leq a_{2} \text{ and } x_{2} > a_{2} \} & \text{if } p \in [m] \text{ and } q \in [m'], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid a_{1} < x_{1} \leq a_{2} - 2 \text{ and } x_{2} \geq a_{2} \} & \text{if } p \in [m'] \text{ and } q \in [m], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid a_{1} < x_{1} \leq a_{2} \text{ and } x_{2} > a_{2} \} & \text{if } p \in [m'] \text{ and } q \in [m], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid a_{1} < x_{1} \leq a_{2} \text{ and } x_{2} > a_{2} \} & \text{if } p, q \in [m']. \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{I}^{-}(a) = \begin{cases} \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid 1' \leq x_{1} \leq a_{1} \text{ and } a_{1} + 2 \leq x_{2} \leq a_{2} \} & \text{if } p \in [m], \\ \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \mid 1' \leq x_{1} \leq a_{1} \text{ and } a_{1} < x_{2} \leq a_{2} \} & \text{if } p \in [m']. \end{cases}$$

Note that in general $\overline{H}^+(a) \neq \overline{I}^+(a)$ and $\overline{H}^-(a) \neq \overline{I}^-(a)$. The following fact follows from Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 4.6. Let $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(a, b) \cong \mathbb{K}$ if and only if $a \in \overline{I}^+(\Sigma^{-2}b) \cup \overline{I}^-(b)$.

4.3. Factorization properties. Here we study the factorization properties of the morphisms of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. First we recall the factorization properties of \mathcal{C}_m which will be useful later. We say that a morphism $f: a \to b$ in \mathcal{C}_m factors through an object $c \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$ if there exist $g: a \to c$ and $h: d \to c$ such that f = hg. We say that a morphism $f: a \to b$ in \mathcal{C}_m factors through \mathcal{D} if it factors through some $d \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 4.7 ([IT, Lemma 2.4.2]). Let $a, b, c \in \text{ind } C_m$. Assume that one of the following statements holds.

- (1) $b \in H^+(a)$ and $c \in H^+(a) \cap H^+(b)$.
- (2) $b \in H^+(a)$ and $c \in H^-(\Sigma^2 a) \cap H^-(\Sigma^2 b)$.
- (3) $b \in H^{-}(\Sigma^{2}a)$ and $c \in H^{-}(\Sigma^{2}a) \cap H^{+}(b)$.

Then any morphism $a \to c$ in \mathcal{C}_m factors through b.

Lemma 4.8. Let $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ be such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(a, b) \cong \mathbb{K}$, and let $a' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$ be such that $\pi a' \cong a$. The following statements hold.

- (1) If $b \in \overline{H}^+(a)$, then there exists $b' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi b' \cong b$, $b' \in H^+(a')$, and any non-zero morphism $a' \to b'$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} does not factor through \mathcal{D} .
- (2) If $b \in \overline{H}^{-}(\Sigma^{2}a)$, then there exists $b' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi b' \cong b, b' \in H^{-}(\Sigma^{2}a')$, and any non-zero morphism $f' \colon a' \to b'$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} does not factor through \mathcal{D} .

Proof. We show statement (1), statement (2) is analogous. Assume that $a = (a_1, a_2) \in C^{(p,q)}$ with $p \in [m]$ and $q \in [m']$, the other cases are similar. We write $a' = (a'_1, a'_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$. Since $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \overline{H}^+(a)$, we have that $a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2$ and $b_2 \geq a_2$, see Figure 4.

It is straightforward to check that there exists b'_1 such that $\overline{b}'_1 = b_1$ and $a'_1 \leq b'_1 < q$, and there exists b'_2 such that $\overline{b}'_2 = b_2$ and $b'_2 \geq a'_2$. Therefore, $b' \in H^+(a')$ and, since $b'_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$, any non-zero morphism $a' \to b'$ does not factor through \mathcal{D} , see Figure 5.

The following lemma is dual to the lemma above, and will be useful for proving Lemma 5.5.



FIGURE 4. The element b_1 belongs to the blue interval of \overline{Z}_m , and the element b_2 belongs to the red interval of \overline{Z}_m . We can find b'_1 such that $\overline{b}'_1 = b_1$ belonging to the blue interval of Z_{2m} . The same holds for b'_2 in the red interval of Z_{2m} .

Lemma 4.9. Let $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ be such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(b, a) \cong \mathbb{K}$, and let $a' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$ be such that $\pi a' \cong a$. The following statements hold.

- (1) If $b \in \overline{I}^{-}(a)$, then there exists $b' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi b' \cong b$, $b' \in H^{-}(a')$, and any non-zero morphism $b' \to a'$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} does not factor through \mathcal{D} .
- (2) If $b \in \overline{I}^+(\Sigma^{-2}a)$, then there exists $b' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi b' \cong b, b' \in H^+(\Sigma^{-2}a')$, and any non-zero morphism $f' \colon b' \to a'$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} does not factor through \mathcal{D} .

Now we have the factorization properties of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

Proposition 4.10. Let $a, b, c \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Assume that one of the following statements holds.

- (1) $b \in \overline{H}^+(a)$ and $c \in \overline{H}^+(a) \cap \overline{H}^+(b)$.
- (2) $b \in \overline{H}^+(a)$ and $c \in \overline{H}^-(\Sigma^2 a) \cap \overline{H}^-(\Sigma^2 b)$.
- (3) $b \in \overline{H}^{-}(\Sigma^{2}a)$ and $c \in \overline{H}^{-}(\Sigma^{2}a) \cap \overline{H}^{+}(b)$.

Then any morphism $a \to c$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ factors through b.

Proof. We prove statement (1), statements (2) and (3) are analogous. Fix $a' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi a' \cong a$. Since $b \in \overline{H}^+(a)$, by Lemma 4.8 there exists $b' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi b' \cong b$, $b' \in H^+(a')$, and any non-zero morphism $a' \to b'$ does not factor through \mathcal{D} . Fix such b', since $c \in \overline{H}^+(b)$, then there exists $c' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi c' \cong c$, $c' \in H^+(b')$, and any non-zero morphism $b' \to c'$ does not factor through \mathcal{D} . We show that $c' \in H^+(a') \cap H^+(b')$.

We denote $a = (a_1, a_2)$, $a' = (a'_1, a'_2)$, $c = (c_1, c_2)$, and $c' = (c'_1, c'_2)$. Assume that $c' \notin H^+(a')$, then $c'_1 \ge a'_2 - 1$. It is straightforward to check that as a consequence $c_1 \ge a_2 - 1$. Then $c \notin \overline{H}^+(a)$ and we have a contradiction. Therefore $c' \in H^+(a') \cap H^+(b')$. Now, if there exists a non-zero morphism $f': a' \to c'$ which factors through \mathcal{D} , then $a'_2, c'_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$, see Figure 5. This implies that $c_1 = q = a_2$, and then $c \notin \overline{H}^+(a)$ giving a contradiction. Then any non-zero morphism $f': a' \to c'$ does not factor through \mathcal{D} .

Since $b' \in H^+(a')$ and $c' \in H^+(a') \cap H^+(b')$, by Lemma 4.7 there exist $h': a' \to b'$ and $g': b' \to c'$ such that f' = g'h', and then $\pi f' = \pi(g')\pi(h')$. Since f' does not factor through \mathcal{D} , we have that $\pi f' \neq 0$. Now consider a non-zero morphism $f: a \to c$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(a, c) \cong \mathbb{K}$, we have that $f = \lambda \pi f$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$, and then $f = \lambda \pi f' = \lambda \pi(g')\pi(h')$. This concludes the argument.



FIGURE 5. Illustration of Lemma 4.8. The darker area in $H^+(a')$ or in $H^+(\Sigma^2 a')$, if present, denotes the objects $b' \in H^+(a') \cup H^-(\Sigma^2 a')$ such that any non-zero morphism $a' \to b'$ factors through \mathcal{D} . Whenever the darker area is not present there are no such objects in $H^+(a') \cup H^-(\Sigma^2 a')$.

4.4. Irreducible morphisms. In this section we describe the irreducible morphisms of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. From Section 4.1 we already know that the isoclasses of indecomposable objects of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ are in bijection with the arcs of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ and that they can be arranged in a coordinate system.

We recall that, from [IT, Lemma 2.4.11], for an object $(a_1, a_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$, the irreducible morphisms of \mathcal{C}_m are exactly the non-zero morphisms of the form $(a_1, a_2) \to (a_1, a_2 + 1)$ or $(a_1, a_2) \to (a_1 + 1, a_2)$, provided that $(a_1 + 1, a_2)$ is still an arc, i.e. $a_2 \ge a_1 + 3$.

Proposition 4.11. Let $a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2) \in \text{ind } \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Assume that $a, b \in C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ and that one of the following conditions holds.

- (1) $p \in [m'], q \in [m]$ and $(b_1, b_2) = (a_1, a_2 + 1)$.
- (2) $p \in [m], q \in [m']$ and $(b_1, b_2) = (a_1 + 1, a_2)$.
- (3) $p, q \in [m]$ and $(b_1, b_2) = (a_1, a_2 + 1)$ or $(b_1, b_2) = (a_1 + 1, a_2)$.

Then any non-zero morphism $a \to b$ is irreducible. Moreover, there are no other irreducible morphisms in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ between indecomposable objects.

Proof. First we show that if any of the conditions (1), (2) and (3) holds, then any non-zero morphism $f: a \to b$ is irreducible. Assume that condition (1) holds, for the other cases we can proceed analogously. Consider a non-zero morphism $f: a \to b$ and note that, since $(a_1, a_2+1) \not\cong (a_1, a_2)$, f is not a split mono nor a split epi. Assume that f = hg with $g: a \to c$ and $h: c \to b$ for some object $c \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Since the Hom-spaces are one dimensional, we can assume that $c \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. We show that g is a split mono or h is a split epi. Note that

$$c \in \left(\overline{H}^+(a) \cup \overline{H}^-(\Sigma^2 a)\right) \cap \left(\overline{I}^-(b) \cup \overline{I}^+(\Sigma^{-2} b)\right)$$
12

Assume that $c \not\cong a$ and $c \not\cong b$, then $g: a \to c$ factors as g = lf with $l: b \to c$, see Figure 6. From the fact that $0 \neq f = hg = hlf$, it follows that $hl: b \to b$ is non-zero and $hl = \lambda 1_b$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$. This implies that $b \cong c$, which gives a contradiction with our assumption. We conclude that $c \cong a$ or $c \cong b$, i.e. $f: a \to b$ is irreducible.



FIGURE 6. The object c is isomorphic to a or b, or belongs to the grey area.

Now, consider $a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ and a non-zero morphism $f : a \to b$. We show that if f is irreducible then it has to be of the form listed in the statement. Let $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ be such that $a \in C^{(p,q)}$. Assume that $p \in [m']$ and $q \in [m]$, the other cases are analogous. Note that if $b_2 \neq a_2$ then, from Proposition 4.10, f factors through the irreducible morphism $a \to (a_1, a_2 + 1)$, and then f is not irreducible unless $(b_1, b_2) = (a_1, a_2 + 1)$. If $b_2 = a_2$, then consider the object $c = (b_2 - 1, a_2)$ and the non-zero morphisms $g : a \to c$ and $h : c \to b$. From Proposition 4.10 we have that f = hg, and then f is not irreducible. We can conclude that if f is irreducible then $(b_1, b_2) = (a_1, a_2 + 1)$. \Box

5. Precovering and preenveloping subcategories

In this section we classify the precovering and preenveloping subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ using arc combinatorics. We also relate the precovering or preenveloping subcategories in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ to their preimages in \mathcal{C}_{2m} under the localisation functor $\pi: \mathcal{C}_{2m} \to \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. In [PY] the authors classified the functorially finite weak cluster-tilting subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, i.e. the cluster-tilting subcategories, generalising [F] for the case m = 1. These subcategories were also classified in [$\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{KP}$], where $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ was endowed with an extriangulated structure. Here we classify subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ which are just precovering or preenveloping.

5.1. Precovering subcategories of C_m . We start by recalling the classification of the precovering subcategories of C_m from [GHJ].

The following definition corresponds to [GHJ, Definition 0.7], but we use a different formulation which is more convenient for our purposes. For the notation $|x_1, x_2|$ we refer to Section 3.1.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{U} be a subcategory of \mathcal{C}_m . We say that \mathcal{U} satisfies the *precovering conditions* (PC for short) if it satisfies the following combinatorial conditions.

- (PC 1) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q$ and the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are strictly increasing, then there exist strictly decreasing sequences $\{y_1^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(p^+)}$ and $\{y_2^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(q^+)}$ such that $\{|y_1^n, y_2^n|\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. (PC 2) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q^+$
- (PC 2) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q^+$ and the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are respectively strictly decreasing and strictly increasing, then there exist strictly decreasing sequences $\{y_1^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and $\{y_2^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(q^+)}$ such that $\{|y_1^n, y_2^n|\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.
- (PC 2') If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $q \neq p^+$, $p \neq q$, and the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are respectively strictly increasing and

strictly decreasing, then there exist strictly decreasing sequences $\{y_1^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(p^+)}$ and $\{y_2^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$ such that $\{(y_1^n, y_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

- (PC3) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that the sequence $\{x_2^n\}_n$ is strictly increasing, then there exists a strictly decreasing sequence $\{y_2^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(q^+)}$ such that $\{|x_1, y_2^n|\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.
- (PC 3') If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q$ and the sequence $\{x_1^n\}_n$ is strictly increasing, then there exists a strictly decreasing sequence $\{y_1^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(p^+)}$ such that $\{(y_1^n, x_2)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

The conditions (PC 1), (PC 3), and (PC 3') correspond to condition (PC 1) in [GHJ, Definition 0.7], and conditions (PC 2), (PC 2'), (PC 3), (PC 3') correspond to (PC 2) in [GHJ, Definition 0.7]. A subcategory of C_m is precovering if and only if it satisfies PC conditions, see [GHJ, Theorem 3.1]. This characterization generalises [N] for the case m = 1.

5.2. Precovering subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Now we classify the precovering subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Our approach is to relate the precovering subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ to some subcategories of \mathcal{C}_{2m} which are "almost precovering". To do so, we need to introduce an auxiliary subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} . Fix $z^0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $p \in [m']$ we denote by $z_p^0 \in \mathcal{Z}_{2m}$ the copy of z^0 belonging to $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$.

Definition 5.2. We define the subcategory \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{C}_{2m} as

$$\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{add} \left\{ (a_1, a_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \middle| a_1, a_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in [m]} (p, z_{p^+}^0] \right\}.$$

Figure 7 illustrates the subcategory \mathcal{A} .



FIGURE 7. The category \mathcal{A} .

Now we define the completed versions of the PC conditions.

Definition 5.3. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. We say that \mathcal{X} satisfies the *completed precovering conditions* ($\overline{\text{PC}}$ for short) if it satisfies the following combinatorial conditions.

- (PC1) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q$ and the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are strictly increasing, then $|p^+, q^+| \in \mathcal{X}$.
- (PC2) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ and the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are respectively strictly decreasing and strictly increasing, then there exists a strictly decreasing sequence $\{y_1^n\}_n \subseteq C^{(p)}$ such that $\{|y_1^n, q^+|\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.
- (PC2') If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q$, and the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are respectively strictly increasing and strictly decreasing, then there exists a strictly decreasing sequence $\{y_2^n\}_n \subseteq C^{(q)}$ such that $\{(p^+, y_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.
- (PC3) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p \in [m'] \cup [m]$ and $q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q^+$ and the sequence $\{x_2^n\}_n$ is strictly increasing, then $|x_1, q^+| \in \mathcal{X}$.

($\overline{\text{PC3}}'$) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p \in [m]$ and $q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ such that $p \neq q, q \neq p^+$, and the sequence $\{x_1^n\}_n$ is strictly increasing, then $(p^+, x_2) \in \mathcal{X}$.

Figure 8 illustrates some \overline{PC} conditions.



FIGURE 8. On the left ($\overline{PC1}$), in the middle ($\overline{PC2}$), and on the right ($\overline{PC3}$).

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 5.4. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) \mathcal{X} is precovering in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.
- (2) $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering in \mathcal{C}_{2m} .
- (3) \mathcal{X} satisfies $\overline{\text{PC}}$ conditions.

The following lemmas will be useful to prove the theorem above.

Lemma 5.5. The following statements hold.

- (1) The category \mathcal{A} is the aisle of a t-structure in \mathcal{C}_{2m} .
- (2) For each $x \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ there exists an \mathcal{A} -cover $a \to x$ of x such that $\pi a \cong \pi x$ and a is indecomposable.

Proof. We prove statement (1). From Proposition 2.2 it is enough to check that \mathcal{A} is suspended and precovering. It is straightforward to check that \mathcal{A} satisfies the PC conditions, therefore by [GHJ, Theorem 3.1] it is precovering. For showing that $\Sigma \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, consider $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{A}$, then by Definition 5.2 $a_1 - 1, a_2 - 1 \in \bigcup_{p \in [m]} (p, z_{p^+}^0 - 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{p \in [m]} (p, z_{p^+}^0]$. As a consequence, $\Sigma a = (a_1 - 1, a_2 - 1) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{A}$. Now we prove that \mathcal{A} is extension-closed. Let $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ be a triangle of \mathcal{C}_{2m} with $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then all the endpoints of the indecomposable summands of a and b belong to the set $\bigcup_{p \in [m]} (p, z_{p^+}^0]$. Therefore, by [GZ, Lemma 3.4], all the endpoints of the indecomposable summands of e belong to the same set, and it follows that $e \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now we prove statement (2). Let $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$, by [J, Lemma 4.1] x has an \mathcal{A} -cover $a \to x$. We show that $a \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{A}$ and that $\pi a \cong \pi x$. Let $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ such that $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$, and assume that $p \in [m']$ and $q \in [m]$, the other cases are analogous. If $x_1 \in (p, z_p^0]$ then $x \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{A}$ and $1_x \colon x \to x$ is an \mathcal{A} -cover of x. If $x_1 \notin (p, z_p^0]$ then let $a' = (z_p^0, x_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$. We have that $a' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{A}$. There exists a non-zero morphism $a' \to x$ and it is straightforward to check that it is an \mathcal{A} -precover. Moreover $a' \to x$ is right-minimal and therefore an \mathcal{A} -cover. Since covers are unique up to isomorphism, we have that $a \cong a'$. We also have that $\pi a \cong \pi a' = (\overline{z_p^0}, \overline{x_2}) = (\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}) = \pi x$. This concludes the argument.

Consider $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(b, a) \cong \mathbb{K}$. We recall, from Lemma 4.9, that we can fix $a' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi(a') \cong a$, and then there exists $b' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ (which depends on the choice of a') such that $\pi(b') \cong b$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2m}}(b', a') \cong \mathbb{K}$, and any non-zero morphism $b' \to a'$ does not factor through \mathcal{D} . **Lemma 5.6.** Let $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ be such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(b, a) \cong \mathbb{K}$, and let $a' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ be such that $\pi(a') \cong a$. Let $b' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ be such that $\pi(b') \cong b$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(b', a') \cong \mathbb{K}$, and any non-zero morphism $b' \to a'$ does not factor through \mathcal{D} . Let $b'' \to b'$ be the \mathcal{A} -cover of b'. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2m}}(b'', a') \cong \mathbb{K}$ and any non-zero morphism $b'' \to a'$ does not factor through \mathcal{D} .

Proof. By Lemma 4.9 such b' exists. If $b' \in \mathcal{A}$ then $b'' \cong b'$ and we have the statement. Assume that $b' \notin \mathcal{A}$ and let $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ be such that $b' = (b'_1, b'_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$. Consider the case $p \in [m']$ and $q \in [m]$, the other cases are analogous. Since $b' \notin \mathcal{A}$ we have that $b'_1 \notin (p, z_p^0]$, i.e. $b'_1 \in [z_p^0 + 1, p^+)$. From the argument of Lemma 5.5, $b'' = (z_p^0, b'_2)$. We have that $a' \in H^+(b') \cup H^-(\Sigma^2 b')$, we show that $a' \in H^+(b'') \cup H^-(\Sigma^2 b'')$.

If $a' \in H^+(b')$ then $b'_1 \leq a'_1 \leq b'_2 - 2$ and $a'_2 \geq b'_2$. Since $b'_1 > z_p^0$, then $a' \in H^+(b'')$. Now, if $a' \in H^-(\Sigma^2 b')$ then $a'_1 \leq b'_1 - 2$ and $b'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq b'_2 - 2$. Assume that $a'_1 \not\leq z_p^0 - 2$, then $b'_1 - 2 \leq a'_1 \leq z_p^0 - 1$. In particular, $a'_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and any non-zero morphism $b' \to a'$ factors through \mathcal{D} giving a contradiction, see Figure 5. Therefore $a'_1 \leq z_p^0 - 2$. Moreover, since $b'_1 > z_p^0$, from $b'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq b'_2 - 2$ we also have that $z_p^0 \leq a'_2 \leq b'_2 - 2$ and obtain that $a' \in H^-(\Sigma^2 b'')$. We can conclude that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2m}}(b'', a') \cong \mathbb{K}$.

We show that any non-zero morphism $b'' \to a'$ does not factor through \mathcal{D} . If this is not the case, then $a' \in H^-(\Sigma^2 b'')$ and $a'_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$. As a consequence, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(b,a) = 0$ giving a contradiction. We obtain that any non-zero morphism $b'' \to a'$ does not factor through \mathcal{D} , and this concludes the argument.

Lemma 5.7. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. The subcategory \mathcal{X} satisfies the $\overline{\text{PC}}$ conditions if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the PC conditions.

Proof. We show that \mathcal{X} satisfies $(\overline{\text{PC}1})$ if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (PC1), we refer to Figure 9 for an illustration. Assume that \mathcal{X} satisfies $(\overline{\text{PC}1})$ and that there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)} \cap (\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A})$ for some $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ such that $p \neq q$ with $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ strictly increasing sequences. Note that $p, q \notin [m']$, otherwise for n big enough we have $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \not\subseteq \mathcal{A}$. For each n we define $\pi x^n = y^n = (y_1^n, y_2^n)$, note that $\{y_1^n\}_n$ and $\{y_2^n\}_n$ are still strictly increasing sequences, and consider the sequence $\{y^n\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$. Since \mathcal{X} satisfies $(\overline{\text{PC}1})$, then $|p^+, q^+| \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$ contains any arc of \mathcal{C}_{2m} having one endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p^+)}$ and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q^+)}$. In particular, there exist strictly decreasing sequences $\{z_1^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(p^+)}$ and $\{z_2^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(q^+)}$ such that $\{|z_1^n, z_2^n|\}_n \subseteq \pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$. This proves that $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (PC 1). Now assume that $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (PC 1) and that there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq$

Where exists a sequence $\{(x_1, x_2)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q$, and $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are strictly increasing sequences. For each n there exists $y^n = (y_1^n, y_2^n) \in \operatorname{ind} \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi y^n \cong x^n$. Thus, there exists a sequence $\{|y_1^n, y_2^n|\}_n \subseteq (\pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}) \cap C^{(p,q)}$ such that $\{y_1^n\}_n$ and $\{y_2^n\}_n$ are strictly increasing sequences. Since $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (PC1), then there exist strictly decreasing sequences $\{z_1^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(p^+)}$ and $\{z_2^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(q^+)}$ such that $\{|z_1^n, z_2^n|\}_n \subseteq \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$. As a consequence we have that $|p^+, q^+| \in \mathcal{X}$. This proves that \mathcal{X} satisfies (PC1).

It is straightforward to check that \mathcal{X} satisfies ($\overline{\text{PC3}}$) and ($\overline{\text{PC3'}}$) if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (PC3) and (PC3'). Moreover, if \mathcal{X} satisfies ($\overline{\text{PC2}}$), ($\overline{\text{PC3'}}$), ($\overline{\text{PC3'}}$), and ($\overline{\text{PC3'}}$) then $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (PC2) and (PC2') and (PC2'). Finally, if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (PC2) and (PC2') then \mathcal{X} satisfies ($\overline{\text{PC2}}$) and ($\overline{\text{PC2'}}$). We can conclude that \mathcal{X} satisfies the $\overline{\text{PC}}$ conditions if and only if $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the PC conditions.

Proposition 5.8. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. If $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is a precovering subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} then \mathcal{X} is a precovering subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

Proof. From Remark 2.1 it is enough to check that \mathcal{X} is precovering at the level of the indecomposable objects. Consider $a \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, then there exists $a' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi a' \cong a$,



FIGURE 9. Illustration of the argument of Lemma 5.7.

and there exists $f: x \to a'$ a $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ -precover of a'. Consider $\pi f: \pi x \to a$, we show that πf satisfies the condition of Remark 2.1. First assume that f does not factor through \mathcal{D} . Consider $b \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{X}$ and $g: b \to a$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $g \neq 0$. From Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6, there exists $b' \in \operatorname{ind} \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi b' \cong b$ and there exists a non-zero morphism $g': b' \to a'$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} which does not factor through \mathcal{D} . Since the Hom-spaces in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ are at most one dimensional, we have that $g = \lambda \pi g'$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Moreover, since $f: x \to a'$ is a $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ -precover of a', there exists $h: b' \to x$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that fh = g'. We obtain that $\lambda \pi(f)\pi(h) = \pi(fh) = g$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. This proves that $\pi f \colon \pi x \to a$ is an \mathcal{X} -precover of a. Now we consider the case when f factors through \mathcal{D} . We show that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(b,a) = 0$ for all $b \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{X}$. Assume that there exists a non-zero morphism $g: b \to a$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ for some $b \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{X}$, then as above there exists $b' \in \operatorname{ind} \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi b' \cong b$ and there exists a non-zero morphism $g': b' \to a'$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} which does not factor through \mathcal{D} . Since $f: x \to a'$ is a $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{A}$ precover of a', there exists $h: b' \to x$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that fh = g'. Since f factors through \mathcal{D} , we have that g' factors through \mathcal{D} , giving a contradiction. We can conclude that if f factors through \mathcal{D} then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(b,a) = 0$ for all $b \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{X}$. As a consequence, $\pi f = 0$ is an \mathcal{X} -precover of a. \square

The following proposition is the analogue of [GHJ, Proposition 3.7] in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ and its proof is similar.

Proposition 5.9. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. If \mathcal{X} is a precovering subcategory then it satisfies $\overline{\text{PC}}$ conditions.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{X} is a precovering subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, we show that is satisfies ($\overline{\text{PC1}}$). Assume that there is a sequence $\{x^n = (x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \text{ind } \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ with $p \neq q$ such that $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are strictly increasing sequences. We show that $a = |p^+, q^+| \in \text{ind } \mathcal{X}$. Consider $(f_1, \ldots, f_k): y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_k \to a$ an \mathcal{X} -precover of a with $y_1, \ldots, y_k \in \text{ind } \mathcal{X}$. Note that $\text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(x^n, a) \cong \mathbb{K}$ for each n. Fix n, and consider a non-zero morphism $g^n: x^n \to a$. Then there exists $h^n = (h_1^n, \ldots, h_k^n)^T: x^n \to y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_k$ such that $fh^n = g^n$. Then, for each n there exists $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that g^n factors through f_l .

There exists $l \in \{1, ..., k\}$ such that g^n factors through f_l for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, if for each l only finitely many of the g^n factor through f_l , then there are only finitely many g^n 's and this contradicts the fact that the sequence $\{x^n\}_n$ is infinite. Now fix an l such that g^n

factors through f_l for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the morphism g^n factors through f_l . Indeed, if this is not the case, we can extract an infinite subsequence of $\{x^n\}_n$ such that all $g^n \colon x^n \to a$ satisfy that property. From now on we denote the object y_l as y, the morphism $f_l \colon y_l \to a$ as $f \colon y \to a$, and we denote by $h^n \colon x^n \to y$ the morphism such that $fh^n = g^n$.

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(y,a) \cong \mathbb{K}$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(x^n,y) \cong \mathbb{K}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that

$$y \in \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{H}^+(x^n) \cup \overline{H}^-(\Sigma^2 x^n)\right) \cap \left(\overline{I}^-(a) \cup \overline{I}^+(\Sigma^{-2} a)\right).$$

We refer to Figure 10 for an illustration.



FIGURE 10. On the left the argument for $(\overline{PC1})$, on the right for $(\overline{PC2})$. The grey areas represent where the object y belongs.

We show that $y \cong a$. Assume that $y \ncong a$, from Proposition 4.10 there exists a non-zero morphism $f': a \to y$ such that $h^n = f'g^n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $fh^n = g^n \neq 0$, then $ff'g^n \neq 0$ and $ff': a \to a$ is non-zero. Thus, $ff' = \lambda 1_a$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $a \cong y$, which contradicts our assumption. We obtain that $a \cong y \in \mathcal{X}$, and we conclude that \mathcal{X} satisfies (PC1).

Now we show that \mathcal{X} satisfies ($\overline{PC2}$). Assume that there is a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $\{x_1^n\}_n$ is strictly decreasing and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ is strictly increasing. We show that there is a strictly decreasing sequence $\{y_1^n\}_n \subseteq C^{(p)}$ such that $\{|y_1^n, q^+|\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.

Consider an object $a = |a_1, q^+|$ with $a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ such that $x_1^1 < a_1 \leq x_2^1 - 2$. Then for each *n* there exists a non-zero morphism $g^n : x^n \to a$. Consider an \mathcal{X} -precover $(f_1, \ldots, f_k) : y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_k \to a$ of *a*. With the same argument as above there exists $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $g^n : x^n \to a$ factors through $f_l : y_l \to a$ for all *n* (up to taking subsequences). Let $y = y_l$, proceeding similarly as above we obtain that $y \in \{|z, q^+| | x_1^1 \leq z \leq a_1^1\}$, see Figure 10. We define $z^1 = y$, which is the first element of our desired sequence. Now we consider $a' = |a'_1, q^+|$ with $x_1^1 < a'_1 \leq x_1^2$. By repeating the same argument there exists $z^2 \in \{|z, q^+| | a'_1 \leq z \leq a_1\}$ which is an object of \mathcal{X} . With this procedure we obtain our desired sequence $\{z^n\}_n$. This proves that \mathcal{X} satisfies (PC2).

The argument of $(\overline{\text{PC2}}')$ is similar to the argument of $(\overline{\text{PC2}})$, the arguments of $(\overline{\text{PC3}})$ and $(\overline{\text{PC3}}')$ are similar to the argument of $(\overline{\text{PC1}})$. We can conclude that \mathcal{X} satisfies the $\overline{\text{PC}}$ conditions. \Box

We now have our classification of the precovering subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. The claim follows directly from Lemma 5.7, Proposition 5.8, Proposition 5.9, and [GHJ][Theorem 3.1]. \Box

5.3. Preenveloping subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Here we discuss a characterization of the preenveloping subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ dual to Theorem 5.4. First we define an auxiliary category \mathcal{B} , which is the dual version of \mathcal{A} . We recall that in Section 5.2 we fixed an integer $z^0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, now we define $w^0 = z^0 - 1$. For each $p \in [m']$ we denote by $w_p^0 \in \mathcal{Z}_{2m}$ the copy of w^0 belonging to $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$.

Definition 5.10. We define the subcategory \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{C}_{2m} as

$$\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{add} \left\{ (b_1, b_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \middle| b_1, b_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in [m']} [w_p^0, p^{++}) \right\}.$$

Figure 11 illustrates the subcategory \mathcal{B} .



FIGURE 11. The category \mathcal{B} .

For convenience of the reader, we record the duals of Definition 5.3 and Theorem 5.4.

Definition 5.11. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. We say that \mathcal{X} satisfies the *completed preenveloping conditions* (PE for short) if it satisfies the following combinatorial conditions.

- (PE1) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q$ and the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are strictly decreasing, then $(p^-, q^-) \in \mathcal{X}$.
- (PE2) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q$ and the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are respectively strictly increasing and strictly decreasing, then there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{y_1^n\}_n \subseteq C^{(p)}$ such that $\{(y_1^n, q^-)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.
- (PE2') If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m]$ such that the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are respectively strictly decreasing and strictly increasing, then there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{y_2^n\}_n \subseteq C^{(q)}$ such that $\{(p^-, y_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. (PE3) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p \in [m'] \cup [m]$ and $q \in [m]$
- (PE3) If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p \in [m'] \cup [m]$ and $q \in [m]$ such that $p \neq q, p \neq q^-$ and the sequence $\{x_2^n\}_n$ is strictly decreasing, then $(x_1, q^-) \in \mathcal{X}$.
- (PE3') If there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap C^{(p,q)}$ for some $p \in [m]$ and $q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ such that the sequence $\{x_1^n\}_n$ is strictly decreasing, then $(p^-, x_2) \in \mathcal{X}$.

Theorem 5.12. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) \mathcal{X} is preenveloping in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.
- (2) $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is preenveloping in \mathcal{C}_{2m} .
- (3) \mathcal{X} satisfies $\overline{\text{PE}}$ conditions.

The following lemma will be useful in Section 8.2 for computing the heart of a t-structure.

Lemma 5.13. The following statements hold.

- (1) The category \mathcal{B} is the co-aisle of a t-structure in \mathcal{C}_{2m} .
- (2) For each $x \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ there exists $x' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{B} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma \mathcal{B}$ such that $\pi x' \cong x$.

Proof. Statement (1) is the dual of statement (1) of Lemma 5.5. For statement (2), consider the \mathcal{A} -cover $x' \to x$ of x as in statement (2) of Lemma 5.5. We have that $\pi(x') \cong x$, and it is straightforward to check that $x' \in \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B}$. Moreover, since $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \Sigma\mathcal{B}$, we have that $x' \in \Sigma\mathcal{B}$. This concludes the proof.

6. Extension-closed subcategories

In this section we classify the extension-closed subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. To do so, we first show that the extension-closed subcategories of \mathcal{C}_m are precisely those closed under extensions having indecomposable outer terms, we then use this result to characterise the extension-closed subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

6.1. Extension-closed subcategories of C_m . Extension-closed subcategories of C_m were classical subcat sified in [CP, Theorem 7.2] for the case m = 1. The precovering extension-closed subcategories of \mathcal{C}_m , i.e. the torsion classes, were classified in [GHJ, Theorem 4.7]. Here we classify the subcategories of \mathcal{C}_m which are just extension-closed for all $m \geq 1$.

We recall that we identify the indecomposable objects of \mathcal{C}_m with the arcs of \mathcal{Z}_m .

Definition 6.1. Let $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$ be crossing arcs. The arcs of $\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m \setminus \{a, b\}$ which connect the endpoints of a and b are called *Ptolomey arcs*. We say that a subcategory \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{C}_m satisfies the Ptolomey condition, PT condition for short, if it is closed under taking Ptolomey arcs.

Figure 12 provides an illustration of Ptolomey arcs.



FIGURE 12. The dotted arcs are the Ptolomey arcs of a and b.

Consider a non-split triangle of the form $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ with $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$ and $b \not\cong \Sigma a$. The middle term e is determined by the Ptolomey arcs of a and b. More precisely

- if $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2$ then $e \cong e_1 \oplus e_2$ with $e_1 = (a_1, b_2)$ and $e_2 = (b_1, a_2)$, and if $b_1 < a_1 < b_2 < a_2$ then $e \cong e'_1 \oplus e'_2$ with $e'_1 = (b_1, a_1)$ and $e'_2 = (b_2, a_2)$.

In the first case, if $a_2 = b_2 + 1$ we interpret (b_1, a_2) as the zero object. In the second case, if $a_1 = b_1 + 1$ we interpret (b_1, a_1) as the zero object, and if $a_2 = b_2 + 1$ we interpret (b_2, a_2) as the zero object.

Now consider a triangle of the form $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma a$ with $a, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_n$, such that the objects b_1, \ldots, b_n are pairwise Hom-orthogonal, i.e. $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_m}(b_i, b_j) = 0$ for each $i \neq j$, and $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ has all non-zero entries, cf. [GZ, Lemma 3.2]. The middle term e of such a triangle was computed in [GZ, Lemma 4.16]. Their result generalises [CP, Proposition 4.12] for the case m = 1. Now we show that computing the middle term of a triangle of that form is enough to obtain the middle term of a triangle of the form $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ with $a \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$.

Lemma 6.2. Let $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma a$ be a triangle in \mathcal{C}_m with $a, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$ and $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$. Then there exists $b' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k b'_i$ a direct summand of b such that the objects $b'_1, \ldots, b'_k \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$ are pairwise Hom-orthogonal, $b'_i \not\cong \Sigma a$ for each i, all the entries of h' = $(h'_1,\ldots,h'_k): b' \to \Sigma a$ are non-zero, and there is the following isomorphism of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} a & \longrightarrow e & \longrightarrow b & \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} \Sigma a \\ 1_a & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a & \longrightarrow e' \oplus b'' & \longrightarrow b' \oplus b'' & \stackrel{(h',0)}{\longrightarrow} \Sigma a \end{array}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $h_1, \ldots, h_n \neq 0$, see [CP, Lemma 3.1]. Since the Hom-spaces are at most one dimensional, it is straightforward to check that $h: b \to \Sigma a$ is an add $\{b\}$ -precover of Σa . Thus, by [J, Lemma 4.1] there exists $b', b'' \in \text{add}\{b\}$ and an isomorphism $\alpha: b' \oplus b'' \longrightarrow b$ such that the composition

$$b' \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} b' \oplus b'' \xrightarrow{\alpha} b \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma a$$

is an add{b}-cover of Σa , which we denote by $h': b' \to \Sigma a$. We denote $h\alpha = (h', h''): b' \oplus b'' \to \Sigma a$. Since $h': b' \to \Sigma a$ is an add{b}-cover of Σa , there exists $\beta: b'' \to b'$ such that $h'\beta = h''$, and then

$$\begin{pmatrix} h' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h' & h'' \end{pmatrix} = h\alpha.$$

As a consequence, we obtain the isomorphism of triangles in the claim. Now we show that the object b' and the morphism h' satisfy the conditions of the claim.

We denote $b' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} b'_{i}$ with $b'_{1}, \ldots, b'_{k} \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{m}$ and $h' = (h'_{1}, \ldots, h'_{k})$. Since $h' \colon b' \to \Sigma a$ is a cover and then right-minimal, we have that $h'_{1}, \ldots, h'_{k} \neq 0$. Moreover, for each $i \neq j$ we have that h'_{i} is not of the form $h'_{j}\beta$ for some $\beta \colon b'_{j} \to b'_{i}$. Indeed, assume that there exists $\beta \colon b'_{j} \to b'_{i}$ such that $h'_{i} = h'_{j}\beta$. Then we define the morphism $\gamma = (\gamma)_{s,t} \colon \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} b'_{i} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} b'_{i}$ as

$$(\gamma)_{s,t} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = t \neq i, \\ 0 & \text{if } s = t = i, \\ \beta & \text{if } s = j \text{ and } t = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have that $h'\gamma = h'$. Since γ is not an isomorphism, we obtain a contradiction with the fact that h' is right minimal. Thus, in particular $b'_i \not\cong \Sigma a$ for each i. Now we can apply the same argument of [CP, Lemma 4.6] and we obtain that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_m}(b'_i, b'_j) = 0$ for each $i \neq j$. This concludes the argument.

From [CP, Theorem 4.1] we know that the middle terms of arbitrary triangles of \mathcal{C}_m can be computed iteratively when m = 1. It is straightforward to check that the same holds for $m \ge 2$. Let \mathcal{U} be a subcategory of \mathcal{C}_m , and consider a triangle $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ in \mathcal{C}_m with $a, b \in \mathcal{U}$. From [GZ, Lemma 3.4] the coordinates of the indecomposable summands of e belong to the set of coordinates of the indecomposable summands of a and b. Note that in general this does not imply that $e \in \mathcal{U}$. Now we discuss a necessary and sufficient condition for \mathcal{U} to be extension-closed.

Lemma 6.3. Let \mathcal{U} be a subcategory of \mathcal{C}_m . If \mathcal{U} is closed under extensions of the form $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ with $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$, then \mathcal{U} is extension-closed.

Proof. We divide the proof into claims.

Claim 1. The subcategory \mathcal{U} is closed under extensions of the form $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ with $a \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ and $b \in \mathcal{U}$.

Consider an extension $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma a$ where $b = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} b_i, a, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$, and $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$. We show by induction on n that $e \in \mathcal{U}$. If n = 1 the claim follows by

assumption. If $n \ge 2$, by Lemma 6.2 we can further assume that b_1, \ldots, b_n are pairwise Homorthogonal, and that $b_i \not\cong \Sigma a$ and $h_i \neq 0$ for each *i*.

For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have that b_i and a cross, i.e. $b_i \in H^+(\Sigma^{-1}a) \cup H^-(\Sigma a)$. We have the following possibilities: $b_i \in H^-(\Sigma a)$ for each *i*, or there exists *i* such that $b_i \in H^+(\Sigma^{-1}a)$. In the first case, we rename b_1, \ldots, b_n in such a way that the first coordinate of b_n is the minimum of the first coordinates of b_1, \ldots, b_n . In the second case, we rename b_1, \ldots, b_n in such a way that the first coordinate of b_n is the maximum of the first coordinates of b_1, \ldots, b_n . We refer to Figure 13 for an illustration.



FIGURE 13. On the left when $b_i \in H^-(\Sigma a)$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, on the right when there exists $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $b_i \in H^+(\Sigma^{-1}a)$.

Consider the following Octahedral Axiom diagram.

Note that $x \in \mathcal{U}$ since it is the middle term of the triangle $a \longrightarrow x \longrightarrow b_n \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ and $a, b_n \in \Sigma a$ ind \mathcal{X} . The object x is either indecomposable or has two indecomposable direct summands $x = x_1 \oplus x_2$. If x is indecomposable, then $e \in \mathcal{U}$ follows by hypothesis. Now we assume that x has two indecomposable direct summands $x = x_1 \oplus x_2$. We have that x_2 does not cross any arc b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} , see Figure 13. We define the object b' as $b' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i$ and we write $g: b' \to \Sigma x_1 \oplus \Sigma x_2$ as $g = (g_1, g_2)^T = (g_1, 0)^T$. Thus, we have the following isomorphism of triangles.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_1 \oplus x_2 & \longrightarrow & e & \longrightarrow & b' & \xrightarrow{g} & \Sigma x_1 \oplus \Sigma x_2 \\ 1 & & \downarrow & & 1 & & 1 \\ x_1 \oplus x_2 & \longrightarrow & e' \oplus x_2 & \longrightarrow & b' & \xrightarrow{(g_1)} & \Sigma x_1 \oplus \Sigma x_2 \end{array}$$

Consider the triangle $x_1 \longrightarrow e' \longrightarrow b' \xrightarrow{g_1} \Sigma x_1$. Since $x_1 \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ and $b' \in \mathcal{U}$, by induction hypothesis we obtain that $e' \in \mathcal{U}$. Moreover, since $x_2 \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$, we have that $e \cong e' \oplus x_2 \in \mathcal{U}$. This concludes the argument of Claim 1.

Claim 2. The subcategory \mathcal{U} is closed under extensions.

Consider a non-split extension $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ in \mathcal{C}_m with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k a_i, b = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n b_j$, and $a_i, b_j \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We proceed by induction on k. If k = 1 then we have the statement by Claim 1. Assume that $k \ge 2$, and consider the following Octahedral Axiom diagram.



Consider the triangle $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i \longrightarrow x \longrightarrow b \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} \Sigma a_i$. By induction hypothesis we obtain that $x \in \mathcal{U}$, and then $e \in \mathcal{X}$ from Claim 1. This concludes the argument.

Proposition 6.4. Let \mathcal{U} be a subcategory of \mathcal{C}_m . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The subcategory \mathcal{U} satisfies PT condition.
- (2) The subcategory \mathcal{U} is closed under extensions of the form $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ where $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_m$.
- (3) The subcategory \mathcal{U} is closed under extensions.

Proof. The equivalence of statements (1) and (2) follows from the computation of the middle term of an extension having indecomposable outer terms, and the equivalence between (2) and (3) is given by Lemma 6.3.

6.2. Extension-closed subcategories of \overline{C}_m . Here we characterise the extension-closed subcategories of \overline{C}_m . First we introduce the completed version of the PT condition. We refer to Proposition 3.2 for the computation of the Hom-spaces of \overline{C}_m .

Recall that we identify the indecomposable objects of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ with the arcs of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$.

Definition 6.5. Let $x, y \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ be such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(x, \Sigma y) \cong \mathbb{K}$. The arcs of $\operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m \setminus \{x, y\}$ which connect the endpoints of x and y are called *Ptolomey arcs* of x and y. We say that a subcategory \mathcal{X} of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ satisfies the *completed Ptolomey condition*, $\overline{\operatorname{PT}}$ condition for short, if it is closed under taking Ptolomey arcs.

Figure 14 provides an illustration of the Ptolomey arcs in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.



FIGURE 14. The dotted arcs are the Ptolomey arcs of x and y. On the left x and y cross, on the right they share one endpoint which is an accumulation point.

Now we prove the characterization of the extension-closed subcategories of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. The middle term of a non-split extension in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ having indecomposable outer terms was computed in [PY, Section 3].

Proposition 6.6. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The subcategory \mathcal{X} satisfies $\overline{\text{PT}}$ condition.
- (2) The subcategory \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions of the form $x_1 \longrightarrow c \longrightarrow x_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma x_1$ with $x_1, x_2 \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.
- (3) The subcategory \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions.

Proof. The proof of the equivalence of (1) and (2) is straightforward and follows from [PY, Section 3]. The fact that (3) implies (2) is trivial. We prove that (2) implies (3). To this end, first we show that $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$ is closed under extensions, and then that \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions.

Assume that \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions with indecomposable outer terms. Consider the preimage $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} . It is straightforward to check that $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$ is an additive subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} . We show that $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$ is closed under extensions in \mathcal{C}_{2m} . Since $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$ is an additive subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} , by Lemma 6.3 it is enough to show that it is closed under extensions having indecomposable outer terms. Consider a triangle $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} with $a, b \in \operatorname{ind} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$. Then $\pi a \longrightarrow \pi e \longrightarrow \pi b \longrightarrow \pi \Sigma a$ is a triangle in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, see [Kra, Lemma 4.3.1]. Moreover, from [PY, Proposition 3.10] it follows that πa and πb are either indecomposable objects or zero, and then $\pi a, \pi b \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{X}$. From (2) we obtain that $\pi e \in \mathcal{X}$, i.e. $e \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$. This proves that $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$ is closed under extensions.

Now we show that \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions. Consider a triangle $x_1 \longrightarrow c \longrightarrow x_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma x_1$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ with $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a triangle $a \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow b \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} whose image after π is isomorphic in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ to $x_1 \longrightarrow c \longrightarrow x_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma x_1$. Thus $\pi a, \pi b \in \mathcal{X}$, i.e. $a, b \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$. Since $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$ is closed under extensions, we have $e \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$ and then $c \cong \pi e \in \mathcal{X}$. This completes the proof.

7. TORSION PAIRS

Torsion pairs in C_m were classified in [GHJ, Section 4], we classify the torsion pairs in \overline{C}_m . We recall from Proposition 2.2 that a torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is uniquely determined by its torsion class \mathcal{X} , and therefore it is enough to classify the torsion classes.

Theorem 7.1. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Then \mathcal{X} is a torsion class in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ if and only if \mathcal{X} satisfies $\overline{\text{PC}}$ conditions and $\overline{\text{PT}}$ condition. Moreover, there is a bijection.

$$\{ \text{ Torsion-classes in } \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m \} \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Extension-closed subcategories } \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{2m} \text{ such} \\ \text{that } \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} \text{ is precovering} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{X} \longmapsto \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \\ \pi \mathcal{U} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first statement follows directly from Proposition 2.2, Theorem 5.4, and Proposition 6.6. The bijection follows from Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 5.4. \Box

We have the following corollaries which follow directly from Theorem 7.1 and will be useful in Section 8 and Section 9 to classify t-structures and co-t-structures.

Corollary 7.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Then \mathcal{X} is the aisle of a t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ if and only if \mathcal{X} satisfies $\overline{\mathrm{PC}}$ conditions, $\overline{\mathrm{PT}}$ condition, and \mathcal{X} is closed under clockwise rotations. Moreover, there is a bijection.

$$\{ \text{ Aisles of t-structures in } \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m \} \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{ Suspended subcategories } \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{2m} \text{ such that} \\ \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} \text{ is precovering} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{X} \longmapsto \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \\ \pi \mathcal{U} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.3. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Then \mathcal{X} is the aisle of a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ if and only if \mathcal{X} satisfies $\overline{\text{PC}}$ conditions, $\overline{\text{PT}}$ condition, and \mathcal{X} is closed under anticlockwise rotations. Moreover, there is a bijection.

$$\{ \text{ Aisles of co-t-structures in } \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m \} \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{ Co-suspended subcategories } \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{2m} \text{ such } \\ \text{ that } \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} \text{ is precovering } \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{X} \longmapsto \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} \\ \pi \mathcal{U} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U} \end{cases}$$

8. T-STRUCTURES

The t-structures in C_m were classified in [GZ] for $m \ge 1$, and in [N] and [CZZ] for the cases m = 1 and m = 2. Here we classify the t-structures in \overline{C}_m . We start by classifying the aisles of the t-structures, then we compute the co-aisles and the hearts. Finally, we classify the bounded and non-degenerate t-structures.

8.1. Aisles of t-structures. We recall the classification of the aisles of the t-structures in C_m from [GZ, Section 4] in terms of decorated non-crossing partitions.

Definition 8.1 ([GZ, Definition 4.5]). A decorated non-crossing partition of [m] is a pair (\mathcal{P}, X) given by a non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} of [m] and an m-tuple $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$ where for each $p \in [m]$

$$x_p \in \begin{cases} [p, p^+) & \text{if } \{p\} \in \mathcal{P}, \\ (p, p^+] & \text{if } p, p^+ \in B \text{ for some block } B \in \mathcal{P}, \\ (p, p^+) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following theorem gives a classification of the aisles of the t-structures in C_m . We refer to [GZ, Section 4.1] for the definition of the maps in the statement.

Theorem 8.2 ([GZ, Theorem 4.6]). The following is a bijection.

{ Decorated non-crossing partitions of
$$[m]$$
 } \longleftrightarrow { Aisles of t-structures in \mathcal{C}_m }
 $(\mathcal{P}, X) \longmapsto \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}, X)}$
 $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U}$

In order to classify the aisles of the *t*-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ we need to adapt Definition 8.1 in our setting.

Definition 8.3. A half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ is a pair (\mathcal{P}, X) given by a non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} of $[m'] \cup [m]$ and a 2*m*-tuple $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$ such that for each $p \in [m']$ we have that $x_p = p^+$, and for each $p \in [m]$

$$x_p \in \begin{cases} [p, p^+) & \text{if } \{p\} \in \mathcal{P}, \\ (p, p^+] & \text{if } p, p^+ \in B \text{ for some block } B \in \mathcal{P}, \\ (p, p^+) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 8.4. Figure 15 gives an example of non-crossing partition and half-decorated non-crossing partition.

Remark 8.5. Half-decorated non-crossing partitions and non-crossing partitions are closely related but distinct concepts. A decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ may not be a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$, and vice versa. For example, (\mathcal{P}, X) of Figure 15 is not a decorated non-crossing partition, and (\mathcal{P}, Y) is not a half-decorated non-crossing partition.



FIGURE 15. On the left (\mathcal{P}, X) is a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[4'] \cup [4]$, and on the right (\mathcal{P}, Y) is a decorated non-crossing partition of $[4'] \cup [4]$, with $\mathcal{P} = \{\{1', 1, 2', 3'\}, \{2\}, \{3, 4', 4\}\}.$

The main result of this section is the following analogue of Theorem 8.2. The notation employed in the statement will be defined in Definition 8.8 and Definition 8.11.

Theorem 8.6. The following is a bijection.

$$\begin{cases} Half-decorated non-crossing \\ partitions of [m'] \cup [m] \end{cases} \longleftrightarrow \{ Aisles of t-structures in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m \} \\ (\mathcal{P}, X) \longmapsto \pi \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}, X)} \\ (\mathcal{P}_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}}, X_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{X} \end{cases}$$

To prove this result, we take an intermediate step through \mathcal{C}_{2m} . From Corollary 7.2 the aisles of t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ are in bijection with the suspended subcategories \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. These can be regarded as "almost aisles" of t-structures in \mathcal{C}_{2m} and are classified in terms of half-decorated non-crossing partitions of $[m'] \cup [m]$, see in Proposition 8.7. The aisles of the t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ are then obtained by localising the "almost aisles" in \mathcal{C}_{2m} . Figure 16 illustrates this process.



FIGURE 16. Illustration of how to obtain the aisle of a t-structure of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ from a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$.

The following proposition classifies the "almost aisles" of t-structures in \mathcal{C}_{2m} .

Proposition 8.7. The following is a bijection.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Half\text{-}decorated \text{ non-crossing} \\ partitions \text{ of } [m'] \cup [m] \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Suspended \text{ subcategories } \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{2m} \text{ such that} \\ \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} \text{ is precovering} \end{array} \right\} \\ \alpha \colon (\mathcal{P}, X) \longmapsto \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}, X)} \\ (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U} \colon \beta \\ 26 \end{array}$$

The rest of this section is devoted to prove Proposition 8.7. We start by defining the assignments of the maps α and β .

Definition 8.8. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. We define

$$\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} = \operatorname{add} \bigsqcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}} \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \middle| u_1, u_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in B} (p, x_p] \right\},\$$

where we use the following convention: if $x_p = p$ then $(p, x_p] = \emptyset$, and if $x_p = p^+$ then $(p, x_p] = \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$.

We check that the map α is well defined.

Proposition 8.9. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ is a suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering.

Proof. We show that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Consider $d = (d_1, d_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}$, then $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ for some $p \in [m']$. Since $p \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$, and then $d \in \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$. Moreover, it is straightforward to check that $\Sigma \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$. For showing that $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ is extension-closed, we can proceed as in the argument of [GZ, Proposition 4.8].

Now we show that $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. Let \widetilde{X} be the vector $\widetilde{X} = (\widetilde{x}_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$ where for each $p \in [m'] \cup [m]$

$$\widetilde{x}_p = \begin{cases} z_p^0 & \text{if } p \in [m'], \\ x_p & \text{if } p \in [m]. \end{cases}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \widetilde{X})$ is a decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ and we can associate to it the aisle of a t-structure $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},\widetilde{X})}$, see Theorem 8.2. We recall that

$$\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},\widetilde{X})} = \operatorname{add} \bigsqcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}} \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \middle| u, u_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in B} (p, \widetilde{x}_p] \right\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},\tilde{X})} = \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is the aisle of a t-structure in \mathcal{C}_{2m} , and in particular it is precovering. This concludes the argument. \Box

Now we define the assignment of the map β . To this end, given an "almost aisle" in \mathcal{C}_{2m} , we define an equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$ on the set $[m'] \cup [m]$ in the same way as in [GZ, Section 4.1]. The same argument of [GZ, Lemma 4.10] shows that $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an equivalence relation.

Definition 8.10. Let \mathcal{U} be a suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. The relation $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$ on the set $[m'] \cup [m]$ is defined as follows: for any $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ we have that $p \sim_{\mathcal{U}} q$ if and only if p = q or there exists an arc of \mathcal{U} with an endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$.

Definition 8.11. Keeping the assumptions and notation of Definition 8.10, we define $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ to be the partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ given by the equivalence classes of $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$. For each $p \in [m'] \cup [m]$ we define

 $x_p = \sup\{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)} \mid \text{there exists an arc of } \mathcal{U} \text{ with an endpoint equal to } z\}.$

We denote by $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ the 2*m*-tuple $X_{\mathcal{U}} = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$.

With Proposition 8.15 we will show that $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. The following remark and lemmas are useful for that purpose.

Remark 8.12. Consider a suspended subategory \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. We observe that $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is the aisle of a t-structure in \mathcal{C}_{2m} . We denote by $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}})$ the decorated non-crossing partition associated to $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$. We recall that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}}$ is defined as the set of equivalence classes of $[m'] \cup [m]$ under the equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}}$, see [GZ, Definition 4.11]. The following lemmas relate $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}})$.

Lemma 8.13. Let \mathcal{U} be a suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. Let $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}})$ be the decorated non-crossing partition associated to $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. We show that for any $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ we have that $p \sim_{\mathcal{U}} q$ if and only if $p \sim_{\mathcal{U}\cap\mathcal{A}} q$. It is straightforward to check that if $p \sim_{\mathcal{U}\cap\mathcal{A}} q$ then $p \sim_{\mathcal{U}} q$. Assume that $p \sim_{\mathcal{U}} q$. If p = q then the claim is straightforward. If $p \neq q$ then there exists $u \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ having one endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and the other endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$. Note that there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\sum^n u \in \mathcal{A}$ and then, since $\sum^n \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, we obtain that $u \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$. Then we have that $p \sim_{\mathcal{U}\cap\mathcal{A}} q$. This concludes the argument.

Lemma 8.14. Let \mathcal{U} be a subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} as in Lemma 8.13, $X_{\mathcal{U}} = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$, and $X_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}} = (\widetilde{x}_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$. Then for each $p \in [m'] \cup [m]$

$$\widetilde{x}_p = \begin{cases} z_p^0 & \text{if } p \in [m'], \\ x_p & \text{if } p \in [m]. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $p \in [m'] \cup [m]$. We recall that by construction, see [GZ, Section 4.1], we have that

 $\widetilde{x}_p = \sup\{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)} \mid \text{there exists an arc of } \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} \text{ with an endpoint equal to } z\}.$

If $p \in [m']$, there exists an arc of $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ with an endpoint equal to z_p^0 . Moreover, for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ such that $z > z_p^0$ there is no arc of \mathcal{A} , and then no arc of $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$, with an endpoint in z. Thus, $\widetilde{x}_p = z_p^0$. Now consider $p \in [m]$, we show that $\widetilde{x}_p = x_p$. We divide the argument into claims.

Claim 1. Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$. If there exists an arc of \mathcal{U} with an endpoint equal to z, then there exists an arc of $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ with an endpoint equal to z.

Assume that there exists $u \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ having an endpoint equal to z. If $u \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$, then we have the claim. Now assume that $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $u \notin \mathcal{A}$. We denote $u = (u_1, u_2)$. We assume that $u_1 = z$, the other case is analogous. Since $u \notin \mathcal{A}$, we have that $u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$ for some $q \in [m']$ and $u_2 > z_p^0$. Then we are in the situation of Figure 17.



FIGURE 17. Illustration of the argument of Claim 1.

Consider $d = (d_1, d_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q,q)}$ with $d_1 \leq z_p^0 < u_2 < d_2$. Since $d \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, u and d are crossing, and \mathcal{U} is extension-closed, we obtain that $(z, d_1) = (u_1, d_1) \in \mathcal{U}$. Moreover, $(z, d_1) \in \mathcal{A}$. This concludes the argument of Claim 1.

Claim 2. If $\tilde{x}_p = p$ then $x_p = p$.

Assume that $\widetilde{x}_p = p$, i.e. there is no $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ such that there is an arc of $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ with an endpoint equal to z. By Claim 1 we have that there is no $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ such that there is an arc of \mathcal{U} with an endpoint equal to z, i.e. $x_p = p$. This concludes the argument of Claim 2.

Claim 3. If $\tilde{x}_p = p^+$ then $x_p = p^+$.

The proof is straightforward.

Claim 4. $\tilde{x}_p = x_p$.

If $\tilde{x}_p = p$ or $\tilde{x}_p = p^+$ then the claim follows from Claim 2 and Claim 3. Assume that there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ such that $\tilde{x}_p = z$. As a consequence, there is an arc of $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ with an endpoint equal to z, and then there is an arc of \mathcal{U} with an endpoint equal to z. Moreover, for any $z' \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ such that z' > z there is no arc of $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ with an endpoint equal to z'. Then, by Claim 1, for any $z' \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ such that z' > z there is no arc of $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ with an endpoint equal to z'. Thus, $x_p = z$. This concludes the argument of Claim 4.

We can conclude that $\tilde{x}_p = z_p^0$ for each $p \in [m]'$, and $\tilde{x}_p = x_p$ for each $p \in [m]$.

Now we can prove that the map β of Proposition 8.7 is well defined.

Proposition 8.15. Let \mathcal{U} be a suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. Then $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a half-decorated non-crossing partition.

Proof. We check that $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$ satisfies the conditions of Definition 8.3. Consider $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$, which is the ailse of a t-structure, and its associated decorated non-crossing partition $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}\cap\mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{U}\cap\mathcal{A}})$. We recall that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ and that, from Lemma 8.13, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}\cap\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. Now, for the decorations we denote $X_{\mathcal{U}} = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$ and $X_{\mathcal{U}\cap\mathcal{A}} = (\tilde{x}_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$. From Lemma 8.14 we have that $x_p = \tilde{x}_p$ for each $p \in [m]$. Moreover, for each $p \in [m']$, since $\mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$, we have that $x_p = p^+$. We can conclude that $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$ is an half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$.

Given \mathcal{U} a suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering, the following lemma shows that any shift of \mathcal{U} has the same properties of \mathcal{U} . This fact will be useful in the proof of Proposition 8.7.

Lemma 8.16. Let \mathcal{U} be a suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. Consider the associated half-decorated non-crossing partition $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$ with $X_{\mathcal{U}} = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$. The following statements hold.

- (1) For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the subcategory $\Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$ of \mathcal{C}_{2m} is suspended, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$, and $\Sigma^n \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering.
- (2) Consider $(\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma^n \mathcal{U}}, X_{\Sigma^n \mathcal{U}})$. Then $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma^n \mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $X_{\Sigma^n \mathcal{U}} = (x_p n)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$.

Proof. First we prove statement (1), statement (2) follows by construction, see Definition 8.8. It is straightforward to check that $\Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$ is extension-closed and contains \mathcal{D} , we show that $\Sigma^n \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. By Proposition 2.3 we have that $\pi^{-1}\pi\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}$, and, since $\pi^{-1}\pi\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering, by Theorem 5.4 we have that $\pi\mathcal{U}$ is precovering in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Now fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\pi\mathcal{U}$ is precovering, then $\Sigma^n \pi \mathcal{U}$ is precovering in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. As a consequence, from Theorem 5.4 we have that $\Sigma^n \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} = \pi^{-1} \pi \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} = \pi^{-1} \Sigma^n \pi \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. This concludes the proof. \Box

Finally, we can prove Proposition 8.7.

Proof of Proposition 8.7. From Proposition 8.9 and Proposition 8.15 the maps α and β are well defined. We divide the proof into steps.

Step 1. The map β is injective.

Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' be suspended subcategories of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}'$, and such that $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ are precovering. Assume that $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}}) = (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}'}, X_{\mathcal{U}'})$, we show that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}'$.

First we show that $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 8.13 and Lemma 8.14 we have that $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{A}})$. By Theorem 8.2 we obtain that $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, from Lemma 8.16, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $\Sigma^n \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} = \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{A}$.

Now we show that $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}'$, the other inclusion can be obtained in the same way. Consider $u \in$ ind \mathcal{U} , we have that $\Sigma^n u \in \mathcal{A}$ for some $n \geq 0$. Then $\Sigma^n u \in \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$. Since $\Sigma^n \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} = \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{A}$, we have that $\Sigma^n u \in \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ and then $u \in \mathcal{U}'$. This concludes the argument of Step 1.

Step 2. We show that $\beta \alpha = id$.

Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ be the associated subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} , which we denoted by \mathcal{U} . Let $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the half-decorated non-crossing partition associated to \mathcal{U} . We show that $(\mathcal{P}, X) = (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$.

Showing the equality $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is equivalent to show that for any $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ we have that $p \sim_{\mathcal{U}} q$ if and only if $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$. This follows directly from Definition 8.8 and Definition 8.10. Now we show that $X = X_{\mathcal{U}}$. We denote $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$ and $X_{\mathcal{U}} = (y_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$. By construction, see Definition 8.8 and Definition 8.11, we have the following equalities.

 $y_p = \sup\{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)} \mid \text{there exists an arc of } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \text{ with an endpoint equal to } z\} = x_p$

Therefore we have that $(\mathcal{P}, X) = (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$. This concludes the argument of Step 2. We can conclude that α and β are mutually inverse.

8.2. Co-aisles of t-structures. From Theorem 8.6 we have a classification of the aisles of the t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, now we compute the corresponding co-aisles in terms of non-crossing partitions. As before, we take an intermediate step through \mathcal{C}_{2m} . Given a half-decorated non-crossing partition (\mathcal{P}, X) of $[m'] \cup [m]$, we consider its complement $(\mathcal{P}, X)^c = (\mathcal{Q}, Y)$, where $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}^c$ is the Kreweras complement of \mathcal{P} , see Section 2.3. With a computation similar to [GZ, Section 4.2], (\mathcal{Q}, Y) corresponds to a subcategory \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{C}_{2m} . This is a co-suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is preenveloping, therefore \mathcal{V} can be thought as an "almost co-aisle" in \mathcal{C}_{2m} . From such \mathcal{V} we obtain the corresponding co-aisle in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ after localising. Figure 18 illustrates this process.



FIGURE 18. Illustration of how to obtain the co-aisle of the aisle of Figure 16.

Definition 8.17. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$. We define the *complement*, $(\mathcal{P}, X)^c$, of (\mathcal{P}, X) to be the pair (\mathcal{Q}, Y) where

 $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}^c$ is the Kreweras complement of \mathcal{P} , and Y is the 2*m*-tuple $Y = (y_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$ with

$$y_p = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p \in [m'] \\ x_p - 1 & \text{if } p \in [m]. \end{cases}$$

We describe how to obtain an "almost co-aisle" of t-structure in C_{2m} from the complement of a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$.

Definition 8.18. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ and let $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$. We define

$$\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} = \operatorname{add} \bigsqcup_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ (v_1, v_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \middle| v_1, v_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in B} [y_p, p^+) \right\}.$$

Consider the complement (\mathcal{Q}, Y) of a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. The following lemmas and remark establish some properties of the subcategory $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$ of \mathcal{C}_{2m} . The first is analogous to Proposition 8.9.

Lemma 8.19. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ and let $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$. Then $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q}, Y)}$ is co-suspended and contains \mathcal{D} .

Proof. The proof is analogous to the argument of Proposition 8.9.

Lemma 8.20. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ and let $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$. Then $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q}, Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}, X)} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp}$.

Proof. For each $p \in [m'] \cup [m]$ we define

$$\widetilde{y}_p = \begin{cases} y_p & \text{if } p \in [m], \\ w_p^0 & \text{if } p \in [m'] \end{cases}$$

where we recall from Section 5.3 that $w_p^0 = z_p^0 - 1$. It is straightforward to check that

$$\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} = \operatorname{add} \bigsqcup_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ (v_1, v_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \middle| v_1, v_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in B} [\widetilde{y_p}, p^+) \right\}.$$

Moreover, from [GZ, Corollary 4.14] the left hand side is equal to $(\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp}$.

Remark 8.21. Let $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$ be as in Lemma 8.20. Since $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering and suspended, by Proposition 2.2 $(\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B})$ is a t-structure.

The following lemma and proposition show that an "almost co-aisle" in C_{2m} is, after localising, the co-aisle of a t-structure in \overline{C}_m .

Lemma 8.22. Let \mathcal{X} be the aisle of a t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, let (\mathcal{P}, X) be the half decorated noncrossing partition associated to \mathcal{X} , and let $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$. Then $\pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q}, Y)} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$.

Proof. Assume that there exist $x \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(x,y) \cong \mathbb{K}$. Note that there exists $y' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{B}$ such that $\pi(y') \cong y$. Then $y' \in \pi^{-1} \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$ and by Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 8.19 we have that $y' \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B}$. We define $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X}$. Now, by Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 we have that there exists $x' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi(x') \cong x$, and then $x' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2m}}(x',y') \cong \mathbb{K}$. Since $x \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $y' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B}$, this gives a contradiction with Lemma 8.20. Then we can conclude that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m}(\mathcal{X}, \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}) = 0$.

Proposition 8.23. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$, (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated non-crossing partition, and $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$. Then the following equalities hold:

$$\mathcal{Y} = \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} = \pi \left(\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} \right) = \pi \left(\left(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} \right)^{\perp} \right).$$

Proof. First we show that $\pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} = \pi \left(\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} \right)$. The inclusion $\pi \left(\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} \right) \subseteq \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$ is straightforward. We show the other inclusion. Consider $y \in \operatorname{ind} \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$, then there exists $y' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{B}$ such that $\pi(y') \cong y$. Since $y \in \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$, we have that $y' \in \pi^{-1}\pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$. By Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 8.19 we have that $\pi^{-1}\pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} = \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$. Thus, $y' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and then $y \cong \pi(y') \in \pi \left(\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} \right)$. From Lemma 8.20 we also have the equality $\pi \left(\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} \right) = \pi \left(\left(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} \right)^{\perp} \right)$. It remains to show the equality $\mathcal{Y} = \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$, to do so we check that $\left(\mathcal{X}, \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \right)$ is a torsion pair.

Note that by Lemma 8.22 we have that $\pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$, we show that $\mathcal{X} * \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} = \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Since $\mathcal{X} = \pi(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})$ and $\pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} = \pi((\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp})$, it is equivalent to show that $\pi(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}) * \pi((\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp}) = \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

Let $a \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, there exists $a' \in \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi(a') \cong a$. Since $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}) * (\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}_{2m}$, there exists a triangle $u \longrightarrow a' \longrightarrow v \longrightarrow \Sigma a$ in \mathcal{C}_{2m} with $u \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $v \in (\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp}$. After localising we obtain the triangle $\pi(u) \longrightarrow a \longrightarrow \pi(v) \longrightarrow \Sigma \pi(u)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Note that $\pi(u) \in \pi(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})$ and $\pi(v) \in \pi((\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp})$, thus we have that $a \in \pi(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}) * \pi((\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp})$. We can conclude that $(\mathcal{X}, \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)})$ is a torsion pair, and as a consequence $\mathcal{Y} = \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$.

8.3. Hearts. With Theorem 8.6 we classified the aisles of t-sturctures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, and with Proposition 8.23 we computed the corresponding co-aisle. Now we can compute the heart of a t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. We first consider the preimage of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ under π , which we denote by $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$. Note that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is not a t-structure of \mathcal{C}_{2m} , but $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B})$ is. We can compute the heart of $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ by localising. Figure 19 illustrates this process.



FIGURE 19. The heart of the t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ of Figure 16 and Figure 18.

Corollary 8.24. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Consider its associated decorated noncrossing partition (\mathcal{P}, X) of $[m'] \cup [m]$, with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$. Then the heart $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{X} \cap \Sigma \mathcal{Y}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{add}\{(x_p - 2, x_p) \mid p \in [m] \text{ and } x_p \in \mathcal{Z}_m\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{V} = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{Y}$, $\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B}$. By Lemma 8.20, the pair $(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{V}')$ is a t-structure in \mathcal{C}_{2m} . Consider the heart $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{U}' \cap \Sigma \mathcal{V}'$, we show that $\pi \mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}$. Then the claim follows directly from [GZ, Corollary 4.15].

First we show the inclusion $\pi \mathcal{H}' \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Consider $h' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{H}'$. Since $h' \in \mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, we have that $\pi h' \in \pi \mathcal{U}$ and from Proposition 2.3 we have that $\pi \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X}$. Similarly, since $h' \in \Sigma \mathcal{V}' \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{V}$, we obtain that $\pi h' \in \pi \Sigma \mathcal{V} = \Sigma \pi \mathcal{V} = \Sigma \mathcal{Y}$. Thus, $\pi h' \in \mathcal{X} \cap \Sigma \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{H}$.

Now we show the inclusion $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \pi \mathcal{H}'$. Let $h \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{H}$, from Lemma 5.13 there exists $h' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{A} \cap \Sigma \mathcal{B}$ such that $\pi h' \cong h$. Since $h \in \mathcal{X}$, then $h' \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{U}$. Moreover, since $h \in \Sigma \mathcal{Y}$, then $h' \in \pi^{-1}\Sigma \mathcal{Y} = \Sigma \mathcal{V}$. Thus, $h' \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $h' \in \Sigma \mathcal{V} \cap \Sigma \mathcal{B}$. We obtain that $h' \in \mathcal{U}' \cap \Sigma \mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{H}'$, and then $h \cong \pi h' \in \pi \mathcal{H}'$. \Box

8.4. **Boundedness.** The bounded t-structures in C_m were classified in [GZ, Section 4.4]. In C_m there exist bounded t-structures only if m = 1, see [GZ, Remark 4.20, Corollary 4.22]. Here we classify the bounded t-structures in \overline{C}_m , and we obtain that for each $m \geq 1$ there are no bounded t-structures in \overline{C}_m .

Proposition 8.25. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, let (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$, $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{Y}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is left bounded in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.
- (2) The t-structure $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B})$ is left bounded in \mathcal{C}_{2m} .
- (3) The non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} has as unique block $\{1', 1, \ldots, m', m\}$.

Proof. We prove the equivalence of statements (1) and (2), for the equivalence between (2) and (3) we refer to [GZ, Proposition 4.21]. Assume that (1) holds, we check the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{2m} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})$, the other inclusion is trivial. Consider $a \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$. Note that there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \in \Sigma^k \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, since $\pi(a) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\pi(a) \in \Sigma^l \mathcal{X}$, and then $a \in \Sigma^l \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X} = \Sigma^l \mathcal{U}$. Thus, we have that $a \in \Sigma^l \mathcal{U} \cap \Sigma^k \mathcal{A}$. Let $n = \min\{k, l\}$, then $a \in \Sigma^n(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})$.

Now we assume that (2) holds, we check the inclusion $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{X}$, the other inclusion is trivial. Consider $a \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, then there exists $a' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi(a') \cong a$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a' \in \Sigma^n(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \Sigma^n\mathcal{U}$, and then $a \cong \pi(a') \in \pi\Sigma^n\mathcal{U} = \Sigma^n\mathcal{X}$. This concludes the proof.

Dually, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 8.26. Keeping the assumptions and notation of Proposition 8.25, the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is right bounded in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.
- (2) The t-structure $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B})$ is right bounded in \mathcal{C}_{2m} .
- (3) The non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} has as blocks $\{1'\}, \{1\}, \ldots, \{m'\}, \{m\}$.

We have the following corollary of Proposition 8.25 and Proposition 8.26.

Corollary 8.27. For each $m \geq 1$ there are no bounded t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

8.5. Non-degeneracy. We classify the non-degenerate t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. We refer to [GZ, Corollary 4.19] for the classification of the non-degenerate t-structures in \mathcal{C}_m .

Proposition 8.28. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, let (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated non-crossing partition with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$, and $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is left non-degenerate in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.
- (2) We have that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D}$.
- (3) For each $p \in [m]$ we have that $x_p \neq p^+$, and for each $p, q \in [m']$ if $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$, then p = q.

Proof. First we show the equivalence between the statements (1) and (2). Assume that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is left non-degenerate, i.e. $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{X} = 0$. The inclusion $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$ is straightforward, we show the other inclusion. Consider $u \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $u \in \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\pi(u) \in \pi \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} = \Sigma^n \mathcal{X}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a consequence, $\pi(u) \cong 0$ and then $u \in \mathcal{D}$. Now assume that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D}$, we show that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{X} = 0$. Assume that there exists $x \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ such that $x \in \Sigma^n \mathcal{X}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists $x' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $\pi(x') \cong x$, and $x' \in \pi^{-1}(\Sigma^n \mathcal{X}) = \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $x' \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x \cong \pi(x') \cong 0$, contradicting the fact that $x \in \operatorname{ind} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. This concludes the equivalence between (1) and (2).

Now we prove the equivalence between statements (2) and (3). Assume that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D}$ and that there exists $p \in [m]$ such that $x_p = p^+$, then $\mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$. Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)}$, then $x \in \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a consequence $x \in \mathcal{D}$, and this contradicts the fact that $p \in [m]$. This proves that $x_p \neq p^+$. Now consider $p, q \in [m']$ such that $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$, then \mathcal{U} contains all arcs having one endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$. Consider such u, then $u \in \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a consequence $u \in \mathcal{D}$, and then p = q. This proves that (2) implies (3).

Now assume that statement (3) holds, we show that $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D}$. The inclusion $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$ is straightforward, we show the other inclusion. Let $u \in \operatorname{ind} \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$, we show that $u \in \mathcal{D}$. Assume that u has an endpoint $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ for some $p \in [m]$. Since $u \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$, then $z \in (p, x_p]$. Moreover, since $x_p \neq p^+$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\Sigma^n u \notin \mathcal{U}$, and this contradicts the fact that $u \in \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$. Thus, $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m']$. Then $p, q \in B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{P}$ and as a consequence p = q, i.e. $u \in \mathcal{D}$. This concludes the argument.

Dually, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 8.29. Keeping the assumptions and notation of Proposition 8.28, let $(Q, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$, and let $\mathcal{V} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{Y}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is right non-degenerate.
- (2) We have that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{D}$.
- (3) For each $p \in [m]$ we have that $x_p \neq p$, and for each $p, q \in [m']$ if $p, q \in C$ for some block $C \in \mathcal{Q}$, then p = q.

Combining Proposition 8.28 and Proposition 8.29 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 8.30. Keeping the assumptions and notation of Proposition 8.28 and Proposition 8.29, the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is non-degenerate.
- (2) We have that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{V}.$
- (3) For each $p \in [m]$ we have that $x_p \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$, and for each $p, q \in [m']$ if $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$, or $p, q \in C$ for some block $C \in \mathcal{Q}$, then p = q.

With the following example we show that there exist half-decorated non-crossing partitions of $[m'] \cup [m]$ satisfying condition (3) of Corollary 8.30.

Example 8.31. Consider \mathcal{P} the non-crossing partition $\mathcal{P} = \{\{1', 1\}, \{2', 2\}, \ldots, \{m', m\}\}$ of $[m'] \cup [m]$, and $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$ with $x_p \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ for each $p \in [m]$. Then (\mathcal{P}, X) is a half-decorated non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$, and $\mathcal{P}^c = \{\{1'\}, \{2'\}, \ldots, \{m'\}, \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}\}$, see Section 2.3. Note that (\mathcal{P}, X) satisfies condition (3) of Corollary 8.30.

As a consequence, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 8.32. Non-degenerate t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ exist for each $m \geq 1$.

9. Co-t-structures

From [ZZ, Proposition 4.6] we know that in the category C_m the only co-t-structures are $(C_m, 0)$ and $(0, C_m)$. In \overline{C}_m this is not the case, Figure 20 gives an example of a non-trivial co-tstructure in \overline{C}_m . In this section we classify the aisles of the co-t-structures, we compute the co-aisles and co-hearts. We also classify the bounded and non-degenerate co-t-structures, and the co-t-structures having a left or right adjacent t-structure. Moreover, from the classification of the co-t-structures, we can easily obtain the classification of the recollements of \overline{C}_m .



FIGURE 20. The subcategory add $\{x\}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_4$ is the aisle of a co-t-structure.

9.1. Aisles of co-t-structures. Here we classify the aisles of the co-t-structures in \overline{C}_m similarly to the classification of the aisles of the t-structures in Section 8.1. The definition below is similar to Definition 8.3.

Definition 9.1. A half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ is a pair (\mathcal{P}, X) given by a non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} of [m'] and a 2*m*-tuple $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$ such that $x_p \in [p, p^+]$ for each $p \in [m]$.

The main result of this section is the following analogue of Theorem 8.6. The notation employed in the statement will be defined in Definition 9.4 and Definition 9.6.

Theorem 9.2. The following is a bijection.

$$\begin{cases} Half-decorated half-non-crossing\\ partitions of [m'] \cup [m] \end{cases} \longleftrightarrow \{ Aisles of t-structures of \overline{\mathcal{C}}_m \} \\ (\mathcal{P}, X) \longmapsto \pi \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}, X)} \\ (\mathcal{P}_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}}, X_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{X} \end{cases}$$

To prove this result, we proceed as in Section 8.1 by taking an intermediate step through C_{2m} . From Corollary 7.3 the aisles of co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ are in bijection with certain subcategories of \mathcal{C}_{2m} , which can be regared as "almost aisles" of co-t-structures. These are co-suspended subcategories \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering, and are classified with half-decorated half-non-crossing partitions of $[m'] \cup [m]$ in Proposition 9.3. The aisles of co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ are then obtained after localising the "almost aisles" of co-t-structures in \mathcal{C}_{2m} . Figure 21 illustrates this process.

The rest of this section is devoted to prove the following proposition. The assignments of the maps α and β will be defined in Definition 9.4 and Definition 9.6.

Proposition 9.3. The following is a bijection.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Half-decorated half-non-crossing} \\ \text{partitions of } [m'] \cup [m] \\ \alpha \colon (\mathcal{P}, X) \longmapsto \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}, X)} \\ (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U} \colon \beta \\ 35 \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Co-suspended subcategories } \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \text{ such that} \\ \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} \text{ is precovering} \end{array} \right\}$$



FIGURE 21. Illustration of how to obtain the aisle of a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ from a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$.

The following definition and lemma define the map α and show that it is well defined.

Definition 9.4. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. We define

$$\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} = \operatorname{add} \bigsqcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}} \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \middle| u_1, u_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in B} [x_{p^-}, p^+) \right\},\$$

where we use the following convention: if $x_{p^-} = p^-$ then $[x_{p^-}, p^+) = \mathbb{Z}^{(p^-)} \sqcup \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$, and if $x_{p^-} = p$ then $[x_{p^-}, p^+) = \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$.

Proposition 9.5. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ is co-suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering.

Proof. In order to show that $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ is extension-closed, contains \mathcal{D} , and is closed under Σ^{-1} , we can proceed similarly to the argument of Proposition 8.9. We show that $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. From [GHJ, Theorem 3.1] we know that this is equivalent to show that $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ satisfies PC conditions, see Definition 5.1.

We check that $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ satisfies (PC1), the other conditions are analogous. Assume that there exists a sequence $\{(x_1^n, x_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ such that $p \neq q$ and the sequences $\{x_1^n\}_n$ and $\{x_2^n\}_n$ are strictly increasing. Then $p, q \in [m]$. By Definition 9.4 we have that $p^+, q^+ \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$. As a consequence there exist strictly decreasing sequences $\{y_1^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(p^+)}$ and $\{y_2^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(q^+)}$ such that $\{|y_1^n, y_2^n|\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A}$. This proves that (PC1) holds, and concludes the argument.

Now, with the following definition and proposition we define the map β of Proposition 9.3 and we check that it is well defined. Given a co-suspended subcategory \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering, we define the equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$ on the set [m'] as in Definition 8.10.

Definition 9.6. Let \mathcal{U} be a co-suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. We define $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ to be the partition of [m'] given by the equivalence classes of $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$. For each $p \in [m]$ we define

 $x_p = \inf\{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)} \mid \text{there exists } u \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with an endpoint equal to } z\}.$

We denote by $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ the *m*-tuple $X_{\mathcal{U}} = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$.

Proposition 9.7. Keeping the notation of Definition 9.6, the pair $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$.

Proof. We already know that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a partition of [m'], we only need to check that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ non-crossing. To this end we can apply the same argument of [GZ, Lemma 4.12].

The following lemma is useful for the argument of Proposition 9.3.

Lemma 9.8. Let \mathcal{U} be a co-suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. Consider the half-decorated half-non-crossing partition $(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})$ with $X_{\mathcal{U}} = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$. Let $p, q \in [m']$ be such that $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then any arc of \mathcal{C}_{2m} having one endpoint in $[x_{p^-}, p^+)$ and the other in $[x_{q^-}, q^+)$ is an arc of \mathcal{U} .

Proof. For simplifying the notation we assume that $q \neq 1'$, if q = 1' we can proceed analogously. We denote by $[x_{p^-}, p^+) \times [x_{q^-}, q^+)$ the set of arcs $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ such that $a_1 \in [x_{p^-}, p^+)$ and $a_2 \in [x_{q^-}, q^+)$. We show that $[x_{p^-}, p^+) \times [x_{q^-}, q^+) \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$. We have the equality

$$[x_{p^{-}}, p^{+}) \times [x_{q^{-}}, q^{+}) = \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)} \sqcup \left([x_{p^{-}}, p) \times \mathbb{Z}^{(q)} \right) \sqcup \left(\mathbb{Z}^{(p)} \times [x_{q^{-}}, q) \right) \sqcup \left([x_{p^{-}}, p) \times [x_{q^{-}}, q) \right)$$

We assume that $x_{p^-} \neq p$ and $x_{q^-} \neq q$, the other cases are analogous. We divide the proof into steps.

Step 1. We show that $\mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$.

If p = q we have the claim from the fact that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Now assume that $p \neq q$. Since $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$, there exists $u \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ such that $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$. We show that $\Sigma^n u \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then, using the fact that \mathcal{U} is extension-closed, it is straightforward to check that \mathcal{U} contains any arc of $\mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$. Since $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, we already know that $\Sigma^n u \in \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \leq 0$, it remains to check that $\Sigma^n u \in \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Consider the arcs $a = (u_1 - 1, u_1 + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)}$ and $b = (u_2 - 1, u_2 + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q,q)}$. The arcs u and a cross, and then, since \mathcal{U} satisfies PT condition, $u' = (u_1 - 1, u_2) \in \mathcal{U}$. Moreover, u' and b cross and $u'' = (u_1 - 1, u_2 - 1) = \Sigma u \in \mathcal{U}$. Using this argument we obtain that $\Sigma^n u \in \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \geq 1$. This concludes the argument of Step 1.

Step 2. We show that $[x_{p^{-}}, p) \times \mathbb{Z}^{(q)} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$.

Let $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \text{ind } \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ with $a_1 \in [x_{p^-}, p)$ and $a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$, we show that $a \in \mathcal{U}$. First we show that there exists an arc of \mathcal{U} with an endpoint equal to a_1 . If there is not such arc, then there is no arc $u \in \text{ind } \mathcal{U}$ with an endpoint in $[x_{p^-}, a_1]$, otherwise $\Sigma^n u \in \mathcal{U}$ has an endpoint equal to a_1 for some $n \leq 0$. Since

 $x_{p^-} = \inf\{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p^-)} \mid \text{there exists an arc of } \mathcal{U} \text{ with an endpoint equal to } z\}$

this gives a contradiction, and therefore there exists an arc of \mathcal{U} with an endpoint equal to a_1 . Let u' be such arc, then $\Sigma^n u' \in \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \leq 0$. Moreover, since \mathcal{U} satisfies PT condition, we obtain that $(a_1, a_1 + 2), (a_1, a_1 + 3), \dots \in \mathcal{U}$. Note that these arcs are also in \mathcal{A} because they belong to $\mathbb{Z}^{(p^-)}$. Therefore we have a sequence $\{(a_1, a_1 + 2 + n)\}_{n\geq 0} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ such that $\{a_2 + 2 + n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is strictly increasing. Since $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering and satisfies condition (PC 3), it follows that there exists an arc $v = (a_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p^-, p)} \cap \mathcal{U}$ such that $v_2 \leq a_2$. Consider an arc of the form $z = (z_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ with $p < z_1 < v_2$. Since the arcs v and z cross and $\mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{U}$. This concludes the argument of Step 2.

Step 3. Analogously as in Step 2 we have that $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)} \times [x_{q^{-}}, q) \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$.

Step 4. We show that $[x_{p^-}, p) \times [x_{q^-}, q) \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$.

Let $a = (a_1, a_2) \in [x_{p^-}, p) \times [x_{q^-}, q)$, we show that $a \in \mathcal{U}$. If p = q, consider the sequence $\{(a_1, a_1 + 2 + n)\}_{n \ge 0} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ of Step 2. Since $(a_1, a_2) = (a_1, a_1 + 2 + n) \in \mathcal{U}$ for some $n \ge 0$, we have that $a \in \mathcal{U}$. Now assume that $p \ne q$. We consider the arc $v = (a_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p^-, p)}$ of Step 2, and an arc $z = (z_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q^-)}$ with $p < z_1 < v_2$. Since the arcs v and z cross and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)} \times [x_{q^-}, q) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, by Step 3 $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{U}$. This concludes the argument of Step 4.

We can conclude that $[x_{p^-}, p^+) \times [x_{q^-}, q^+) \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$.

Finally, we can prove Proposition 9.3.

Proof of Proposition 9.3. From Definition 9.4 and Proposition 9.5 we have that the maps are well defined. We divide the proof into steps.

Step 1. The map α is injective.

Let (\mathcal{P}, X) and (\mathcal{Q}, Y) be two half-decorated half-non-crossing partitions of $[m'] \cup [m]$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} = \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$, we show that $(\mathcal{P}, X) = (\mathcal{Q}, Y)$. Assume that $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{Q}$. Then there exist $p, q \in [m']$ with $p \neq q$ such that p and q belong to the same block of \mathcal{P} and to distinct blocks of \mathcal{Q} , or vice versa p and q belong to the same block of \mathcal{Q} and to distinct blocks of \mathcal{P} . In the first case, there exists an arc of $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ with an endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$, while there is no such arc in $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$. As a consequence $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \neq \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$, giving a contradiction. In the second case the role of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} exchange and we obtain the same contradiction. Thus we have that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}$.

Now we show that X = Y. We denote $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$ and $Y = (y_p)_{p \in [m]}$, and we assume that $X \neq Y$. Let $p \in [m]$ be such that $x_p \neq y_p$, then either $x_p < y_p$ or $x_q < y_p$. Assume that $x_p < y_p$, the other case is analogous. Since $p \leq x_p < y_p$, there is an arc of $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$ with an endpoint greater that x_p , while there is no such arc in $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$. We obtain that $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \neq \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$, giving a contradiction. This concludes the argument of Step 1.

Step 2. We show that $\alpha\beta = id$.

Consider \mathcal{U} a co-suspended subcategory of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. We show that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})}$. First we show the inclusion $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Consider $u = (u_1, u_2) \in$ ind $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})}$, then there exist a block $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $p, q \in B$ such that $u_1 \in [x_{p^-}, p^+)$ and $u_2 \in [x_{q^-}, q^+)$, where $X_{\mathcal{U}} = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$. Then $u \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ by Lemma 9.8.

Now we show the inclusion $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})}$. Consider $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$, then there exist $p, q \in [m']$ such that $u_1 \in [x_{p^-}, p^+)$ and $u_2 \in [x_{q^-}, q^+)$ where

 $x_{p^-} = \inf\{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p^-)} \mid \text{there exists an arc of } \mathcal{U} \text{ with an endpoint equal to } z\}$

and x_{q^-} is defined similarly. We show that $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$, i.e. that there exists an arc of \mathcal{U} with an endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$. Then we can conclude that $u_1, u_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in B} [x_{p^-}, p^+)$, and then $u \in \mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}, X_{\mathcal{U}})}$. If p = q the claim is straightforward, we assume that $p \neq q$. We can write $[x_{p^-}, p^+) = [x_{p^-}, p) \sqcup \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and $[x_{q^-}, q^+) = [x_{q^-}, q) \sqcup \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$. If $u_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and $u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$ then the claim follows directly. We assume that $u_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ or $u_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$.

Assume that $u_1 \in [x_{p^-}, p)$ and $u_2 \in [x_{q^-}, q)$. We consider the sequence $\{\Sigma^{-n}u = (u_1 + n, u_2 + n)\}_{n \ge 0} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$. This sequence is also in \mathcal{A} because it is contained in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p^-, q^-)}$. Since $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering and satisfies condition (PC 1), there exists an arc of \mathcal{U} with an endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$. This gives the claim.

Now assume that $u_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and $u_2 \in [x_{q^-}, q)$. We consider the sequence $\{\Sigma^{-n}u = (u_1 + n, u_2 + n)\}_{n\geq 0} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$. The sequence $\{(u_2, u_2 + 2 + n)\}_{n\geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(q^-, q^-)}$ is obtained from the crossings of the sequence $\{\Sigma^{-n}u\}_{n\geq 0}$. We have that $\{(u_2, u_2 + 2 + n)\}_{n\geq 0} \subseteq \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ because this sequence is contained in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q^-, q^-)}$ and \mathcal{U} satisfies PT condition. Since $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering and satisfies condition (PC 3), there exists an arc $x \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ with an endpoint equal to u_2 and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$. The arcs $\Sigma^{-1}u$ and x cross, and from this crossing we obtain an arc $u' \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}$ with an endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and the other endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$. The case where $u_1 \in [x_{p^-}, p)$ and $u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$ is analogous, therefore we have the claim. This concludes the argument of Step 2.

We can conclude that the two maps of the claim are mutually inverse.

9.2. Co-aisles of co-t-structures. We compute the co-aisles of co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ using a method similar to Section 8.2. From a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition (\mathcal{P}, X) of

 $[m'] \cup [m]$, we consider its complement $(\mathcal{P}, X)^c$, obtained from the Kreweras complement \mathcal{P}^c of \mathcal{P} , see Section 2.3. This corresponds to a subcategory \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{C}_{2m} , which can be thought as an "almost co-aisle" of a co-t-structure in \mathcal{C}_{2m} . This is a suspended subcategory \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{C}_{2m} such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is preenveloping. The subcategory \mathcal{V} gives a co-aisle of a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ after localising. Figure 22 illustrates this process.



FIGURE 22. Illustration of how to obtain the co-aisle of the aisle of Figure 21

Definition 9.9. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$. We define the *complement*, $(\mathcal{P}, X)^c$, of (\mathcal{P}, X) to be the pair (\mathcal{Q}, Y) where $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}^c$ is the Kreweras complement of \mathcal{P} , and Y is the *m*-tuple $Y = (y_p)_{p \in [m]}$ where $y_p = x_p - 1$ for each $p \in [m]$.

From the complement of a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ we obtain an "almost co-aisle" of co-t-structure in \mathcal{C}_{2m} . The following definition is similar to Definition 8.18.

Definition 9.10. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ and let $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$. We define

$$\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} = \operatorname{add} \bigsqcup_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ (v_1, v_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \middle| v_1, v_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in B} (p, y_{p^+}] \right\}.$$

Lemma 9.11. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ and let $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$. Then $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q}, Y)}$ contains \mathcal{D} and is extension-closed.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the argument of Proposition 9.5.

Consider the complement (\mathcal{Q}, Y) of a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. The following lemmas and remark describe some properties of the subcategory $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$.

Lemma 9.12. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ and let $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$. Then $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q}, Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P}, X)} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp}$.

Proof. It is straightforward to check that

$$\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} = \operatorname{add} \bigsqcup_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ (v_1, v_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m} \middle| v_1, v_2 \in \bigcup_{p \in B} [w_p^0, y_{p^+}] \right\}$$

where we recall from Section 5.2 that $w_p^0 = z_p^0 - 1$ for each $p \in [m']$. We denote the right hand side of the equality by \mathcal{W} . Proceeding analogously as in the argument of [GZ, Corollary 4.14], it is straightforward to check that $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{W}$ consists precisely of all the arcs of \mathcal{C}_{2m} which do not cross $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$. As a consequence $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp}$.

Remark 9.13. Let $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$ be as in Lemma 9.12. Since $\mathcal{U}_{(\mathcal{P},X)} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering and extension-closed, by Proposition 2.2, $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)} \cap \mathcal{B})$ is a torsion pair. It is not a t-structure nor a co-t-structure because in general $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is not closed under Σ or Σ^{-1} , cf. Remark 8.21.

Let (\mathcal{Q}, Y) be the complement of a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. With the following proposition we prove that by localising $\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q},Y)}$ we obtain the co-aisle of a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. The argument is the same of Proposition 8.23.

Proposition 9.14. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}$, (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated half-non-crossing partition, and $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$. Then the following equalities hold.

$$\mathcal{Y} = \pi \mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q}, Y)} = \pi \left(\mathcal{V}_{(\mathcal{Q}, Y)} \cap \mathcal{B} \right) = \pi \left((\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A})^{\perp} \right)$$

9.3. Co-hearts. We classified the aisles of co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ in Theorem 9.2, and we computed the co-aisle of a co-t-structure in Proposition 9.14. Here we compute the co-heart of a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

First we introduce some notation. Let (\mathcal{P}, X) be a half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$. Consider $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$, we write $q = p^{+_B}$ if

- $p, q \in [m']$, and
- $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$, and
- q is the next element of $[m'] \cap B$ we meet while moving from p along S^1 in the anticlockwise direction.

If $B = \{p\}$, then by convention $p^{+B} = p$.

Now let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. We consider the preimage $(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{X}, \pi^{-1}\mathcal{Y})$ of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, which we denote by $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$. The pair $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is not a torsion pair, but $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B})$ is. Moreover, $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B})$ is not a co-t-structure, but we can still compute $\mathcal{S}' = (\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}) \cap \Sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B})$ similarly. The co-heart of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is obtained by localising \mathcal{S}' . Figure 23 illustrates this process.



FIGURE 23. Illustration of how to obtain the co-heart of the co-t-structure of Figure 21 and Figure 22.

In the proposition below we recall that $|z_p^0, x_{q^-}|$ is equal to (z_p^0, x_{q^-}) if $p < q^-$ and is equal to $(x_{q^{-}}, z_{p}^{0})$ if $q^{-} < p$.

Proposition 9.15. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$, $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X}$, and $\mathcal{V} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{Y}$. Then

$$(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}) \cap \Sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B}) = \operatorname{add} \left\{ |z_p^0, x_{q^-}| \left| p, q \in [m'], q = p^{+_B} \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{P}, \text{ and } x_{q^-} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q^-)} \right\}.$$
⁴⁰

Proof. First we show that arcs of the form $a = |z_p^0, x_{q^-}|$, where $q = p^{+_B}$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$ and $x_{q^-} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q^-)}$, belong to $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}) \cap \Sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B})$. From Definition 5.2 and Definition 9.4 we have that $a \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$, we check that $a \in \operatorname{ind} \Sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B})$. From Lemma 9.12 this is equivalent to check that a does not cross any arc $u \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$. Note that $z_p^0 \in [z_p^0, x_{p^+}]$ and $x_{q^-} \in [z_{q^{--}}^0, x_{q^-}]$. Moreover, since $q = p^{+_B}$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$, we have that $p, q^{--} \in C$ for some block $C \in \mathcal{P}^c$, see Section 2.3. From Definition 5.10 and Definition 9.10 this implies that $a = |z_p^0, x_{q^-}| \in \operatorname{ind} \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B}$.

Now we show that any arc $a \in \operatorname{ind}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}) \cap \Sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B})$, provided that it exists, is of the form $a = |z_p^0, x_{q^-}|$ with $p, q \in [m']$ such that $q = p^{+_B}$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$, and $x_{q^-} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q^-)}$. We divide the argument into steps.

Step 1. Let z be an endpoint of a. We show that $z = z_0^p$ for some $p \in [m']$, or $z = x_{p^-}$ for some $p \in [m']$ such that $x_{p^-} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p^-)}$.

Since $a \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$, then $z \in [x_{p^-}, z_p^0]$ for some $p \in [m']$, and since $a \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{B}$, then $z \in [z_q^0, x_{q^+}]$ for some $q \in [m']$. If $z \in (p, z_p^0]$ then q = p and $z = z_p^0$. Therefore we have the claim.

Step 2. Let $p, q \in [m']$ be such that one endpoint of a is of the form x_{p^-} or z_p^0 , and the other endpoint is of the form x_{q^-} or z_q^0 . We show that $a \not\cong |z_p^0, z_q^0|$ and $a \not\cong |x_{p^-}, x_{q^-}|$.

If $a \cong |z_p^0, z_q^0|$ then a is crossed by Σa and, since $\Sigma a = |z_p^0 - 1, z_q^0 - 1| \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$, this gives a contradiction. Similarly, if $a \cong |x_{p^-}, x_{q^-}|$ then a is crossed by $\Sigma^{-1}a = |x_{p^-} + 1, x_{q^-} + 1| \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ and we obtain again a contradiction.

Step 3. We know that $a \cong |z_p^0, x_{q^-}|$ for some $p, q \in [m']$ such that $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$ and $x_{q^-} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q^-)}$. We show that $q = p^{+_B}$.

Assume that $q \neq p^{+_B}$. Then $B \neq \{p\}$, otherwise p = q and $q = p^{+_B}$. If p = q consider $r \in B \setminus \{p\}$. Then there exists an arc in $\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ with an endpoint in $(p, z_p^0]$ and the other endpoint in $(r, z_0^r]$ which crosses a, and this gives a contradiction. Now assume that $p \neq q$, then there exists $r \in B \setminus \{p, q\}$ such that p, r, q are in cyclic order. The arc $|z_0^r, z_0^q| \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A}$ crosses a, and this gives a contradiction. This concludes the argument.

The following corollary can be proved with the same argument of Corollary 8.24.

Corollary 9.16. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Consider (\mathcal{P}, X) its half-decorated halfnon-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$. Then the co-heart $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X} \cap \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{Y}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \operatorname{add}\left\{ |p, x_{q^-}| \middle| p, q \in [m'], \ q = p^{+_B} \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{P}, \ and \ x_{q^-} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q^-)} \right\}.$$

9.4. Boundedness. We study the bounded co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. We find that for $m \geq 2$ there are no bounded co-t-structures.

Proposition 9.17. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated half-non-crossing partition with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$, and $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The co-t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is left bounded in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.
- (2) We have that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{C}_{2m}$.
- (3) The half-non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} has as unique block $\{1', \ldots, m'\}$ and for each $p \in [m]$ we have that $x_p \neq p^+$.

Proof. The equivalence between the statements (1) and (2) is straightforward, we show the equivalence between (2) and (3). Assume that $\mathcal{P} = \{\{1', \ldots, m'\}\}$ and $x_p \neq p^+$ for each

 $p \in [m]$. Let $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$, we check that $a \in \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. There exists $n \ge 0$ such that $a_1 + n \in [x_{p^-}, p^+)$ and $a_2 + n \in [x_{q^-}, q^+)$ for some $p, q \in [m']$. Since p and q belong to the same block of \mathcal{P} , we have that $\Sigma^{-n}a = (a_1 + n, a_2 + n) \in \mathcal{U}$, and then $a \in \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$.

Now assume that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{C}_{2m}$, we check that (3) holds. Let $p, q \in [m']$, and consider $a \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_{2m}$ with an endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$. By assumption there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \in \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$, and then $\Sigma^{-n} a \in \mathcal{U}$. Since the endpoints of $\Sigma^{-n} a$ still belong to $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$, we have that $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$. This means that any two elements of [m'] belong to the same block of \mathcal{P} , i.e. $\mathcal{P} = \{\{1', \ldots, m'\}\}$. Now, assume that $x_p = p^+$ for some $p \in [m]$. Consider an arc $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)}$, we observe that $\Sigma^n a \notin \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and this gives a contradiction. This concludes the argument.

Dually, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 9.18. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, let (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated halfdecorated half-non-crossing partition with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$, and $\mathcal{V} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The co-t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is right bounded in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.
- (2) We have that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{C}_{2m}$.
- (3) The half-non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} has as blocks $\{1'\}, \ldots, \{m'\}, and x_p \neq p$ for each $p \in [m]$.

Corollary 9.19. For each $m \geq 2$ there are no bounded co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

Proof. Assume that $m \geq 2$. If there exists a bounded co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, then, by Proposition 9.17 and Proposition 9.18, its associated half-decorated half-non-crossing partition (\mathcal{P}, X) of $[m'] \cup [m]$ is such that $\mathcal{P} = \{1', \ldots, m'\} = \{\{1'\}, \ldots, \{m'\}\}$, giving a contradiction. Therefore, there are no bounded co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ if $m \geq 2$.

9.5. Non-degeneracy. We classify the non-degenerate co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. We find that for $m \geq 2$ there are no non-degenerate co-t-structures. In general it is straightforward to check that left or right bounded co-t-structures are also right or left non-degenerate respectively. We will see that also the converse holds in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

Proposition 9.20. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated half-non-crossing partition with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$, and $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The co-t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is left non-degenerate.
- (2) We have that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D}$.
- (3) The half-non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} has blocks $\{1'\}, \ldots, \{m'\}, and x_p \neq p$ for each $p \in [m]$.

Proof. For the equivalence between the statements (1) and (2) we can use the same argument of Proposition 8.28. We prove the equivalence between (2) and (3). Assume that $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Sigma^n\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{D}$ and that there exist $p, q \in [m']$ such that $p, q \in B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{P}$. Then \mathcal{U} contains any arc having one endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$ and the other endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(q)}$. Consider such arc u, then $\Sigma^n u \in \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $u \in \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Sigma^n\mathcal{U}$. Then $u \in \mathcal{D}$ and p = q. Now assume that there exists $p \in [m]$ such that $x_p = p$, then \mathcal{U} contains any arc $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)}$. Thus, $u \in \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Sigma^n\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{D}$, and then $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{(q,q)}$ for some $q \in [m']$ and this contradicts the fact that $p \in [m]$. This proves that (3) holds.

Now we assume that statement (3) holds, we check that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, the other inclusion is straightforward. Let $u \in \operatorname{ind} \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$, then $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and there exist $p, q \in [m']$ such that u has one endpoint in $[x_{p^-}, p^+)$ and the other endpoint in $[x_{q^-}, q^+)$. Then $p, q \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$, and as a consequence p = q and u has both endpoints in $[x_{p^-}, p^+)$. Assume that u has an endpoint in $[x_{p^-}, p)$, then, since $x_p \neq p$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\Sigma^n u \notin \mathcal{U}$, i.e. $u \notin \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{U}$. Then $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{(p,p)}$, and as a consequence $u \in \mathcal{D}$. This concludes the argument. \Box

Dually, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 9.21. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$, (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated half-non-crossing partition with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m'] \cup [m]}$, and $\mathcal{V} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{X}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The co-t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is right non-degenerate.
- (2) We have that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^n \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{D}$.
- (3) The half-non-crossing partition \mathcal{P} has as unique block $\{1', \ldots, m'\}$ and for each $p \in [m]$ we have that $x_p \neq p^+$.

Corollary 9.22. For each $m \geq 2$ there are no non-degenerate co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$.

We also have the following corollary, which combines these results with those in Section 9.4.

Corollary 9.23. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is left bounded if and only if it is right non-degenerate, and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is right-bounded if and only if it is left non-degenerate.

9.6. Adjacent triples. We classify the co-t-structures in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ having a left adjacent or right adjacent t-structure.

Theorem 9.24. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ and (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated half-non-crossing partition with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$. The following statements hold.

- (1) $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ has a right adjacent t-structure if and only if for each $p \in [m]$ if $x_p = p^+$ then $\{p^+\} \in \mathcal{P}$.
- (2) $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ has a left adjacent t-structure if and only if for each $p \in [m]$ if $x_p = p$ then $p^-, p^+ \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. We prove statement (1), statement (2) is dual. Let $\mathcal{V} = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{Y}$ and $(\mathcal{Q}, Y) = (\mathcal{P}, X)^c$ with $Y = (y_p)_{p \in [m]}$. If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ has a right adjacent t-structure, then \mathcal{Y} is precovering and $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies PC conditions, see Theorem 5.4. Let $p \in [m]$ be such that $x_p = p^+$, then $y_p = p^+$. We show that $p^-, p^+ \in C$ for some block $C \in \mathcal{Q}$. Since $y_p = p^+, \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{A}$ contains all the arcs having one endpoints in $(p^-, z_{p^-}^0]$ and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}$, see Definition 5.2 and Definition 9.10. By (PC 3) or (PC 3') there exists an arc of \mathcal{V} with an endpoint in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p^-)}$ and the other in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p^+)}$. Thus, $p^-, p^+ \in C$ for some block $C \in \mathcal{Q}$. Since $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}^c$, this is equivalent to $\{p^+\} \in \mathcal{P}$.

Now assume that (2) holds, i.e. if $y_p = p^+$ then $p^-, p^+ \in C$ for some block $C \in \mathcal{Q}$. We show that \mathcal{Y} is precovering, i.e. that $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is precovering. We check that $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (PC1) the other conditions are analogous. Assume that there exists a sequence $\{(v_1^n, v_2^n)\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$ for some $p, q \in [m'] \cup [m]$ such that $p \neq q$ with $\{v_1^n\}_n$ and $\{v_2^n\}_n$ strictly increasing. Then $p, q \in [m]$ and, since there exist arcs of \mathcal{V} in $\mathbb{Z}^{(p,q)}$, $p^-, q^- \in C$ for some $C \in \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, $y_p = p^+$ and $y_q = q^+$, and then by assumption $p^-, p^+, q^-, q^+ \in C$. Then, $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{A}$ contains any arc having one endpoint in $(p^+, z_{p^+}^0]$ and the other endpoint in $(q^+, z_{q^+}^0]$. In particular, there exist strictly decreasing sequences $\{w_1^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(p^+)}$ and $\{w_2^n\}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{(q^+)}$ such that $\{|w_1^n, w_2^n|\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{A}$. This concludes the argument.

9.7. **Recollements.** We recall that in a triangulated category recollements are in bijection with TTF triples, which are triples $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ such that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ are t-structures, we refer to [NS, Section 2.2] for more details. Since $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ is Hom-finite and Krull–Schmidt, by Proposition 2.2, TTF triples are in bijection with functorially finite thick subcategories, which we classify here. Thick subcategories of \mathcal{C}_m and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ were classified in [GZ] and [M] respectively. By [ZZ,

Proposition 4.6], C_m and 0 are the only precovering or preenveloping thick subcategories of C_m , but this is no longer the case in \overline{C}_m , see Figure 20 for an example.

The following theorem follows directly from Theorem 9.2.

Theorem 9.25. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a co-t-structure of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$ and (\mathcal{P}, X) be its associated half-decorated half-non-crossing partition. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) \mathcal{X} is a precovering thick subcategory.
- (2) \mathcal{Y} is a preenveloping thick subcategory.
- (3) For each $p \in [m]$ either $x_p = p$ or $x_p = p^+$.

The following corollary combines Theorem 9.24 and Theorem 9.25.

Corollary 9.26. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_m$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) \mathcal{X} is a functorially finite thick subcategory.
- (2) The half-decorated half-non-crossing partition of $[m'] \cup [m]$ associated to the co-t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\perp})$, which we denote by (\mathcal{P}, X) with $X = (x_p)_{p \in [m]}$, satisfies the following condition: for each $p \in [m]$ either $x_p = p$ or $x_p = p^+$, and if $x_p = p$ then $p^-, p^+ \in B$ for some block $B \in \mathcal{P}$.

References

- [ACFGS] J. August, M. W. Cheung, E. Faber, S. Gratz, S. Schroll, Cluster structures for the A_{∞} singularity J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **107** (2023), no. 6, 2121–2149, also arXiv:2205.15344.
- [AS] M. Auslander, S. O. Smalø, Preprojective modules over Artin algebras, J. Algebra 66 (1980), no. 1, 61–122.
- [B] M. V. Bondarko, Weight structures vs. t-structures; weight filtrations, spectral sequences, and complexes (for motives and in general), J. K-Theory 6 (2010), no. 3, 387–504, also arXiv:0704.4003.
- [BMRRT] A. B. Buan, B. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, Adv. Math. 204 (2006), no. 2, ,572-618, also arXiv:math/0402054.
- [BBDG] A. A. Beïlinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, O. Gabber, *Faisceaux pervers*, Société mathematique de France, 2018.
- [ÇKP] I. Çanakçi, M. Kalck, M. Pressland, Cluster categories for completed infinity-gons I: Categorifying triangulations, arXiv:2401.08378.
- [CCS] P. Caldero, F. Chapoton, R. Schiffler, Quivers with relations arising from clusters (A_n case), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 3, 1347-1364, also arXiv:math/0401316.
- [CP] R. Coelho Simões, D. Pauksztello, Torsion pairs in a triangulated category generated by a spherical object, J. Algebra 448 (2016), 1-47, also arXiv:1404.4623.
- [CZZ] H. Chang, Y. Zhou, B. Zhu, Cotorsion pairs in cluster categories of type A_∞[∞], J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 156 (2018), 119-141 also arXiv:1704.04019.
- [F] T. Fisher, On the enlargement bu Prüfer objects of the cluster category of type A_{∞} , arXiv:1411.4856.
- [GHJ] S. Gratz, T. Holm, P. Jørgensen, Cluster tilting subcategories and torsion pairs in Igusa-Todorov cluster categories of Dynkin type A_{∞} , Math. Z. **292** (2019), no. 1-2, 33-56, also arXiv:1711.07528.
- [GZ] S. Gratz, A. Zvonareva, Lattices of t-structures and thick subcategories for discrete cluster categories,
 J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 107 (2023), no. 3, 973-1001, also arXiv:2110.08606.
- [HJ] T. Holm, P. Jørgensen, On a cluster category of infinite Dynkin type, and the relation to triangulations of the infinity-gon, Math. Z. **270** (2012), no. 1-2, 277-295, also arXiv:0902.4125.
- [IT] K. Igusa, G. Todorov, Cluster categories coming from cyclic posets, Comm. Algebra 43 (2015), no. 10, 4367-4402, also arXiv:1303.6697.
- [IY] O. Iyama, Y. Yoshino, Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules, Invent. Math. 172 (2008), no. 1, 117-168, also arXiv:math/0607736.
- P. Jørgensen, Auslander-Reiten triangles in subcategories, J. K-Theory 3 (2009), no. 3, 583-601, also arXiv:math/0606647.
- [Kra] H. Krause, Localization theory for triangulated categories, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 375 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, also arXiv:0806.1324.
- [Kre] G. Kreweras, Sur les partitions non-croisées d'un cycle, Discrete Math. 1 (1972), no.4, 333–350.
- [LP] S. Liu, C. Paquette, Cluster categories of type $\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{\infty}$ and triangulations of the infinite strip, Math. Z. **286** (2017), no. 1-2, 197-222, also arXiv:1505.06062.
- [M] D. Murphy, Bounding the Orlov spectrum for a completion of discrete cluster categories, arXiv:2308.01767.

- [N] P. Ng, A characterization of torsion theories in the cluster category of Dynkin type A_{∞} , arXiv:1005.4364.
- [NS] P. Nicolás, M. Saorín, Lifting and restricting recollement data, Appl. Categ. Structures 19 (2011), no. 3, 557–596, also arXiv:0804.1054.
- [P] D. Pauksztello, Compact corigid objects in triangulated categories and co-t-structures, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 6 (2008), no. 1, 25–42, also arXiv:0705.0102.
- [PY] C. Paquette, E. Yıldırım, Completions of discrete cluster categories of type A, Trans. London Math. Soc. 8 (2021), no. 1, 35-64, also arXiv:2006.07285.
- [V] J. L. Verdier, Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes, Astérisque, tome 239 (1996).
- [ZZ] Y. Zhou, B. Zhu, T-structures and torsion pairs in a 2-Calabi-Yau triangulated category, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 89 (2014), no. 1, 213-234, arXiv:1210.6424.

SOFIA FRANCHINI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANCASTER UNIVERSITY, UK.

 $Email \ address: \tt s.franchini1@lancaster.ac.uk$