

Reweight-annealing method for calculating the value of partition function via quantum Monte Carlo

Yi-Ming Ding,^{1,2,3} Nvsen Ma,⁴ Gaopei Pan,^{5,6} Chen Cheng,⁷ and Zheng Yan^{2,3,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics and Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China

²Department of Physics, School of Science, Westlake University, Hangzhou 310030, China

³Institute of Natural Sciences, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, Hangzhou 310024, China

⁴School of Physics, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

⁵Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

⁶School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

⁷Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics & Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics of Gansu Province, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, China

(Dated: March 14, 2024)

Efficient and accurate algorithm for partition function, free energy and thermal entropy calculations is of great significance in statistical physics and quantum many-body physics. Here we present an unbiased but low-technical-barrier algorithm within the quantum Monte Carlo framework, which has exceptionally high accuracy and no systemic error. Compared with the conventional specific heat integral method and Wang-Landau sampling algorithm, our method can obtain a much more accurate result of the sub-leading coefficient of the entropy. This method can be widely used in both classical and quantum Monte Carlo simulations and is easy to be parallelized on computer.

Introduction.- Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) is one of the powerful tools in calculating large-scale and high-dimensional quantum many-body systems [1–11]. Although many observables such as energy can be sampled from QMC, the value of the partition function (PF) or its logarithm remains to be difficult to be obtained directly. To tackle this problem, the specific heat integral (C -int) method [12] and Wang-Landau (WL) algorithm [13, 14] have been widely to calculate PF, free energy and entropy. The former method crudely calculates the numerical integration of $S(T) = S(\infty) - \int_T^\infty C(T')/T' dT'$ to obtain the entropy $S(T)$, after which we can calculate PF indirectly. However, this method usually requires a plethora of computational resources to lower the systemic error especially when the specific heat has sharp variation and huge fluctuation. The key idea of the latter method, on the other hand, is to obtain the (effective) density of states of the PF by initially allocating them some default values, then optimizing them to the real ones by flattening some histograms. This method suffers the problem of error saturation and the convergence of it is not generally controllable.

Accuracy is significantly important in numerical calculations since it means more effective information. For phase transitions within/beyond the Ginzburg-Landau paradigm, an efficient and accurate way to straightforwardly obtaining FP would be crucial, because its (high order) derivative reveals the potential phase transitions. Besides, the precision of PF also relates closely to entropy. In conformal field theory (CFT), the prefactor in front of the sub-leading term of entropy often carries universal information [15–25]. Entropy also relates to the distinctiveness of many novel condensed matters, such as spin ice [26–29], topological order [30–35], frac-

tion phases [36–39], etc. Even a small numerical error may make the quantities we concerned deviate a lot.

In this paper, we present a more accurate and efficient method for calculating PF, dubbed reweight-annealing algorithm, within the framework of Monte Carlo simulation. It is inspired by thermal and quantum annealing algorithm [40–47] and recent developments in the entanglement calculations of QMC [48–53]. Our method not only has high accuracy for calculating PF, but is unbiased, easy to implemented and can be parallelized on computer.

Basic idea.- Given a partition function $Z(\beta)$, where β is a general parameter which is not limited to temperature, but can be some other parameter in the Hamiltonian. For two parameter points β' and β'' ($\beta'' < \beta'$), we define the ratio

$$\gamma(Z; \beta', \beta'') \equiv \frac{Z(\beta'')}{Z(\beta')} = \left\langle \frac{W(\beta'')}{W(\beta')} \right\rangle_{MC} \quad (1)$$

where $W(\beta')$ and $W(\beta'')$ denote the weight of the same configuration sampled by MC simulation but with different parameters β' and β'' . In this way, the ratio of partition functions with different parameters can be simulated in via Monte Carlo.

For the first consideration, we take β as the inverse temperature. Notice that $Z(0) = d^N$ is pre-knowledge because all the configurations are equally important at infinite high temperature, where N and d are the number of particles and the freedom of a single particle respectively. Then we can obtain any $Z(\beta')$ from Eq. (1) by setting $\beta'' = 0$.

As we will discuss later, the practical calculation of Eq. (1) should be divided into many steps for better accuracy, and the inverse temperature β will slowly decrease from

our concerned point β' to zero, which has some analogousness with the simulated annealing algorithm.

When we are considering the vicinity of the zero temperature, the inverse temperature span would be quite wide hence require a long runtime on computer. An alternative approach in this case is to fix the temperature as a constant. Instead, we introduce an extra term, e.g., transverse field $H_1 = \sum_i \sigma_i^x$ to the target system H_0 , and consider the partition function $Z'(s)$ for $H(s) = sH_0 + (1-s)H_1$, which is exactly the archetypal Hamiltonian of quantum annealing. Similarly, we define

$$\frac{Z'(s'')}{Z'(s')} = \left\langle \frac{W(s'')}{W(s')} \right\rangle_{MC} \quad (2)$$

as our observable. Different from the temperature case, the expression of Eq. (2) can be designed according to the concrete model and algorithm. The introduction of an external field is conducive because $H(0)$ only have one ground state, thus the entropy S is minimized to be zero and $\ln Z'(0) = -\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \beta E$, where $E = -N$ is the ground state energy of H_1 .

It is worthy of emphasizing that Eq. (2) can also be generalized to the high temperature case as long as we compute the free energy of H_1 in advance at a bulk of temperature points, which can be correspondingly summarized into some data table for reference.

Algorithm details.- In this paper, we focus on the temperature case $\beta = 1/T$, the counterpart of the thermal annealing, for demonstrations. We use the stochastic series expansion (SSE) in the followings, in which the weight ratio of temperature is $W(\beta'')/W(\beta') = (\beta''/\beta')^n$, where n is the the order of series in the expansion. Since the value of the exact zero in the ratio is meaningless, practically, we set β'' in Eq. (1) a sufficiently small number β_0 . The adequacy can be checked by comparing the results with a smaller β_0 so as to see whether the results ($\ln Z$) converge. Since the estimator in the right hand side of Eq. (1) is unbiased, the algorithm itself is unbiased. Attention that too small ratio of $(\beta_0/\beta')^n$ not only requires more Monte Carlo time N_{MC} since the error is proportional to $1/\sqrt{N_{MC}}$, but will exceed the data range of computer (e.g. $1.7 \times 10^{-308} \sim 1.7 \times 10^{308}$ for double type data). Obviously, if the two β are close enough, the ratio of PF is close to 1 and is easy to be calculated. It can be easily understood in the reweighting frame, if we want to use a well-known distribution to calculate another distribution via resetting the weight of samplings, the weight before/after resetting of the same configuration should be close to each other. It is still a importance sampling in this sense.

To fix this problem, we divide $[\beta_0, \beta']$ into m segments, i.e.

$$\frac{Z(\beta_0)}{Z(\beta')} = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{Z(\beta_{k-1})}{Z(\beta_k)} = \prod_{k=1}^m \gamma(Z; \beta_k, \beta_{k-1}) \quad (3)$$

where $\beta_m \equiv \beta'$. We evaluate each $\gamma(Z; \beta_k, \beta_{k-1})$, and further group them into some batches. The value of each batch is the multiplication of all $\gamma(Z; \beta_k, \beta_{k-1})$ in it, which we require to be in the same order of magnitude (e.g. 10^{-10} in our paper). This can avoid the loss of precision because finally we have to add all the logarithmic values of these batches.

For the multiplication in each batch, to ensure the preciseness, we define the schedule parameter $\alpha_k \equiv \beta_k/\beta_{k-1} > 1$, and require

$$\gamma(Z; \beta_k, \beta_{k-1}) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_k}\right)^n \sim \epsilon \quad (4)$$

Since $n \sim |\beta_k E|$, a straightforward choice according to the computation complexity of SSE is to set $n \sim \mathcal{O}(\beta_k L)$ for typical 1D systems and $\mathcal{O}(\beta_k L^2)$ for 2D systems. We can select an empirical and appropriate prefactor ξ , setting $n = \xi \beta_k L$ for 1D systems, then we do not need to determine n from the thermalization part of Monte Carlo simulation, and the calculations for different $\gamma(Z; \beta_k, \beta_{k-1})$ can be parallelized. However, if we have no preknowledge of n , then α_k and β_k should be obtained one by one, starting from β_m to β_0 gradually. The β_k here plays similar role as the schedule parameter (temperature or the strength of magnetic field) in annealing algorithms which should vary slow enough to ensure adiabaticity. If β_k varies too dramatic, the accuracy of result will be influenced.

Attention that $n \geq 1$ in Eq. (4), therefore $\alpha_{k,\max} = 1/\epsilon$. For low temperature points and large systems, α_k can be very close to 1. To keep more significant digits, a lower limit for α_k is needed. Our simulations show that $\alpha_{k,\min} = 1.00001$ is a good choice.




FIG. 1. For $\beta = 30$, the values of $\ln Z$ as a function of the chain length L . Our reweight-annealing (Re-An) method has perfect match with ED. For example, the ED and our results are 251.62283 and 251.624(2) respectively for $L = 12$.

1D spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain.- As the first example, we consider a 1D spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic (AFM) Heisenberg chain with periodic boundary condition at inverse temperature $\beta = 30$. We set the $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$ in Eq. 4. As it shown in Fig. 1, results from our method can

match that of the exact diagonalization (ED) method exceptionally well for small lengths in a very high-precision. The error bar is about 0.002 while the value is 251.624, the relative error is smaller than 10^{-7} . The result is also consistent with the expectation that $\ln Z \propto L + \mathcal{O}$, where \mathcal{O} means higher order terms. One may feel this example too trivial and easy to be calculated. Therefore we introduce the second example which needs a high-precision to extract the correction of the sub-leading term in entropy.

2D lattice Bisognano-Wichmann Heisenberg model.- To further exploit the advantage of our method, we calculate the thermodynamic entropy of a 2D lattice Bisognano-Wichmann Heisenberg model (LBW-HM) [54, 55]. The thermodynamic entropy S of the LBW-HM at $\beta = 1$ provides a good approximation for the Von Neumann entanglement entropy of a 2D Heisenberg model under smooth bipartition [56, 57]. Therefore, coarse result of entropy is disfavored in this scenario because the sub-leading term in entropy is sensitive to errors. For this kind of problems, our method has great advantage over the method of specific heat integral (C -int) and Wang-Landau (WL) algorithm [13, 14] due to its high accuracy and efficiency.

We consider the same model as Ref. [54, 57] and the original Heisenberg model is on a torus. Theoretical investigations predict the entropy obeys $S(L) = aL + b \ln L + c$, and $b = n_G/2$, where $n_G = 2$ is the number of Goldstone modes for the 2D AFM Heisenberg model [58, 59]. Therefore, we can extract b from the slope of equation $2S(L) - S(2L)$, in which the leading term of L can be cancelled each other.

In Fig. 2, we compare the performance of different algorithms. Generally, the accuracy of the C -int method depends on how many intervals we divide. To compare with our method, we require the division of β in the C -int method to be exactly the same with our method. As it shown in Fig. 2, this introduces great systemic error because the division number in our method is not adequate for C -int. Therefore, to achieve a better result via C -int, more computation resources are needed compared to our method.

The WL algorithm, on another hand, suffer from the problem of error saturation, which though can be alleviated by some modification like the t^{-1} method [60], it needs more tricks and is not well-controlled. Besides, in Wang-Landau algorithm, the change of the refinement factor f typically obeys some monotone decreasing function like $f_{\text{new}} = \sqrt{f_{\text{old}}}$, and the computation subroutines with different f cannot be parallelized. In this paper, we only consider the vanilla WL algorithm, following Ref. [14]. Fig. 2(a) shows that the WL algorithm gives roughly correct result for small sizes while the extraction of entropy in Fig. 2(b) completely reveals its unpreciseness, and the b fitted from it is far from the theoretical prediction.

Summary.- In this paper, we propose an unbiased al-




FIG. 2. (a) Thermodynamic entropy $S(L)$ as a function of L from different algorithms; (b) The $\ln L$ term extracted from calculating $2S(L) - S(2L)$, and the fitting slope (dashed green line) is 1.00(2).

gorithm within Monte Carlo framework, which has no systemic error and low-technical barrier. The method can be easily implemented for parallel computation and can obtain the value of partition function, free energy and thermal entropy with high precision. We show the advantage of our method via calculating the thermal entropy of 2D lattice Bisognano-Wichmann Heisenberg model and successfully extract precise coefficient of the sub-leading term ($\ln L$), which is consistent with the CFT prediction and catch the correct number of Goldstone modes. In comparison, the specific heat integral which has the same Monte Carlo time with our method and the Wang-Landau sampling both have worse performance. Our method make the efficient and high-precision calculation of entropy and other related physical quantities accessible, which can reveal some universal information, such as CFT, criticality and symmetry breaking.

Acknowledgement.- We acknowledge the start-up funding of Westlake University. The authors also acknowledge Beijing PARATERA Tech Co.,Ltd.(<https://www.paratera.com/>) for providing HPC resources that have contributed to the research results reported within this paper.

Note: After we finished this work, we found a classical Monte Carlo work using similar spirit of our method and

had been posted on arXiv only several days ago [61].

-
- * zhengyan@westlake.edu.cn
- [1] Anders W. Sandvik, “Stochastic series expansion method with operator-loop update,” *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, R14157–R14160 (1999).
 - [2] Anders W. Sandvik, “Computational Studies of Quantum Spin Systems,” *AIP Conference Proceedings* **1297**, 135–338 (2010).
 - [3] Anders W. Sandvik, “Stochastic series expansion methods,” (2019), arXiv:1909.10591 [cond-mat.str-el].
 - [4] Zheng Yan, Yongzheng Wu, Chenrong Liu, Olav F Syljuåsen, Jie Lou, and Yan Chen, “Sweeping cluster algorithm for quantum spin systems with strong geometric restrictions,” *Physical Review B* **99**, 165135 (2019).
 - [5] Zheng Yan, “Improved sweeping cluster algorithm for quantum dimer model,” arXiv:2011.08457.
 - [6] Masuo Suzuki, Seiji Miyashita, and Akira Kuroda, “Monte carlo simulation of quantum spin systems. i,” *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **58**, 1377–1387 (1977).
 - [7] J. E. Hirsch, R. L. Sugar, D. J. Scalapino, and R. Blankenbecler, “Monte carlo simulations of one-dimensional fermion systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **26**, 5033–5055 (1982).
 - [8] Masuo Suzuki, “Relationship between d-dimensional quantal spin systems and (d+ 1)-dimensional ising systems: Equivalence, critical exponents and systematic approximants of the partition function and spin correlations,” *Progress of theoretical physics* **56**, 1454–1469 (1976).
 - [9] Henk W. J. Blöte and Youjin Deng, “Cluster monte carlo simulation of the transverse ising model,” *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 066110 (2002).
 - [10] Chun-Jiong Huang, Longxiang Liu, Yi Jiang, and Youjin Deng, “Worm-algorithm-type simulation of the quantum transverse-field ising model,” *Physical Review B* **102**, 094101 (2020).
 - [11] Zhijie Fan, Chao Zhang, and Youjin Deng, “Clock factorized quantum monte carlo method for long-range interacting systems,” (2023), arXiv:2305.14082 [physics.comp-ph].
 - [12] Patrick Emonts and Stefan Wessel, “Monte carlo study of the discontinuous quantum phase transition in the transverse-field ising model on the pyrochlore lattice,” *Physical Review B* **98**, 174433 (2018).
 - [13] Fugao Wang and D. P. Landau, “Efficient, multiple-range random walk algorithm to calculate the density of states,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2050–2053 (2001).
 - [14] Matthias Troyer, Stefan Wessel, and Fabien Alet, “Flat histogram methods for quantum systems: Algorithms to overcome tunneling problems and calculate the free energy,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 120201 (2003).
 - [15] Pasquale Calabrese and Alexandre Lefevre, “Entanglement spectrum in one-dimensional systems,” *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 032329 (2008).
 - [16] Eduardo Fradkin and Joel E. Moore, “Entanglement entropy of 2d conformal quantum critical points: Hearing the shape of a quantum drum,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 050404 (2006).
 - [17] Zohar Nussinov and Gerardo Ortiz, “Sufficient symmetry conditions for Topological Quantum Order,” *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 16944–16949 (2009).
 - [18] Zohar Nussinov and Gerardo Ortiz, “A symmetry principle for topological quantum order,” *Annals Phys.* **324**, 977–1057 (2009).
 - [19] H. Casini and M. Huerta, “Universal terms for the entanglement entropy in 2+1 dimensions,” *Nuclear Physics B* **764**, 183–201 (2007).
 - [20] Wenjie Ji and Xiao-Gang Wen, “Noninvertible anomalies and mapping-class-group transformation of anomalous partition functions,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **1**, 033054 (2019).
 - [21] Wenjie Ji and Xiao-Gang Wen, “Categorical symmetry and noninvertible anomaly in symmetry-breaking and topological phase transitions,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 033417 (2020).
 - [22] Liang Kong, Tian Lan, Xiao-Gang Wen, Zhi-Hao Zhang, and Hao Zheng, “Algebraic higher symmetry and categorical symmetry: A holographic and entanglement view of symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 043086 (2020).
 - [23] Xiao-Chuan Wu, Wenjie Ji, and Cenke Xu, “Categorical symmetries at criticality,” *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2021**, 073101 (2021).
 - [24] Jiarui Zhao, Zheng Yan, Meng Cheng, and Zi Yang Meng, “Higher-form symmetry breaking at ising transitions,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 033024 (2021).
 - [25] Xiao-Chuan Wu, Chao-Ming Jian, and Cenke Xu, “Universal Features of Higher-Form Symmetries at Phase Transitions,” *SciPost Phys.* **11**, 33 (2021).
 - [26] J Snyder, JS Slusky, RJ Cava, and P Schiffer, “How ‘spin ice’ freezes,” *Nature* **413**, 48–51 (2001).
 - [27] Sandra H Skjærvø, Christopher H Marrows, Robert L Stamps, and Laura J Heyderman, “Advances in artificial spin ice,” *Nature Reviews Physics* **2**, 13–28 (2020).
 - [28] Masafumi Udagawa, Ludovic Jaubert, *et al.*, *Spin Ice* (Springer, 2021).
 - [29] Steven T Bramwell and Mark J Harris, “The history of spin ice,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **32**, 374010 (2020).
 - [30] Hong-Chen Jiang, Zhenghan Wang, and Leon Balents, “Identifying topological order by entanglement entropy,” *Nature Physics* **8**, 902–905 (2012).
 - [31] C Castelnovo, R Moessner, and Shivaji Lal Sondhi, “Spin ice, fractionalization, and topological order,” *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **3**, 35–55 (2012).
 - [32] Subir Sachdev, “Topological order, emergent gauge fields, and fermi surface reconstruction,” *Reports on Progress in Physics* **82**, 014001 (2018).
 - [33] Michael Levin and Xiao-Gang Wen, “Detecting topological order in a ground state wave function,” *Physical review letters* **96**, 110405 (2006).
 - [34] Zheng Yan, Yan-Cheng Wang, Nvsen Ma, Yang Qi, and Zi Yang Meng, “Topological phase transition and single/multi anyon dynamics of z 2 spin liquid,” *npj Quantum Materials* **6**, 39 (2021).
 - [35] Zheng Yan, Rhine Samajdar, Yan-Cheng Wang, Subir Sachdev, and Zi Yang Meng, “Triangular lattice quantum dimer model with variable dimer density,” *Nature Communications* **13**, 5799 (2022).
 - [36] Michael Pretko, Xie Chen, and Yizhi You, “Fracton phases of matter,” *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **35**, 2030003 (2020).
 - [37] Rahul M Nandkishore and Michael Hermele, “Fractons,” *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **10**, 295–

- 313 (2019).
- [38] Andrey Gromov and Leo Radzihovsky, “Colloquium: Fracton matter,” *Reviews of Modern Physics* **96**, 011001 (2024).
- [39] Chengkang Zhou, Meng-Yuan Li, Zheng Yan, Peng Ye, and Zi Yang Meng, “Evolution of dynamical signature in the x-cube fracton topological order,” *Physical Review Research* **4**, 033111 (2022).
- [40] Y Fu and P W Anderson, “Application of statistical mechanics to NP-complete problems in combinatorial optimisation,” *J. Phys. A* **19**, 1605–1620 (1986).
- [41] M Mezard, G Parisi, and M Virasoro, *Spin Glass Theory and Beyond* (World Scientific, 1986).
- [42] David A. Huse and Daniel S. Fisher, “Residual energies after slow cooling of disordered systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 2203–2206 (1986).
- [43] Giuseppe E. Santoro, Roman Martoňák, Erio Tosatti, and Roberto Car, “Theory of quantum annealing of an Ising spin glass,” *Science* **295**, 2427–2430 (2002).
- [44] Andrew Lucas, “Ising formulations of many NP problems,” *Front. Phys.* **2**, 5 (2014).
- [45] Tadashi Kadowaki and Hidetoshi Nishimori, “Quantum annealing in the transverse ising model,” *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 5355 (1998).
- [46] Marc Mezard and Andrea Montanari, *Information, physics, and computation* (Oxford University Press, 2009).
- [47] Bettina Heim, Troels F. Rønnow, Sergei V. Isakov, and Matthias Troyer, “Quantum versus classical annealing of Ising spin glasses,” *Science* **348**, 215–217 (2015).
- [48] Vincenzo Alba, “Out-of-equilibrium protocol for rényi entropies via the jarzynski equality,” *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 062132 (2017).
- [49] Jonathan D’Emidio, “Entanglement entropy from nonequilibrium work,” *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 110602 (2020).
- [50] Jiarui Zhao, Bin-Bin Chen, Yan-Cheng Wang, Zheng Yan, Meng Cheng, and Zi Yang Meng, “Measuring rényi entanglement entropy with high efficiency and precision in quantum monte carlo simulations,” *npj Quantum Materials* **7**, 69 (2022).
- [51] Jiarui Zhao, Yan-Cheng Wang, Zheng Yan, Meng Cheng, and Zi Yang Meng, “Scaling of entanglement entropy at deconfined quantum criticality,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 010601 (2022).
- [52] Gaopei Pan, Yuan Da Liao, Weilun Jiang, Jonathan D’Emidio, Yang Qi, and Zi Yang Meng, “Stable computation of entanglement entropy for two-dimensional interacting fermion systems,” *Physical Review B* **108**, L081123 (2023).
- [53] Xuan Zhou, Zi Yang Meng, Yang Qi, and Yuan Da Liao, “Incremental swap operator for entanglement entropy: Application for exponential observables in quantum monte carlo simulation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.07244* (2024).
- [54] G. Giudici, T. Mendes-Santos, P. Calabrese, and M. Dalmonte, “Entanglement hamiltonians of lattice models via the bisognano-wichmann theorem,” *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 134403 (2018).
- [55] M. Dalmonte, B. Vermersch, and P. Zoller, “Quantum simulation and spectroscopy of entanglement hamiltonians,” *Nature Physics* **14**, 827–831 (2018).
- [56] T. Mendes-Santos, G. Giudici, M. Dalmonte, and M. A. Rajabpour, “Entanglement hamiltonian of quantum critical chains and conformal field theories,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 155122 (2019).
- [57] T Mendes-Santos, G Giudici, R Fazio, and M Dalmonte, “Measuring von neumann entanglement entropies without wave functions,” *New Journal of Physics* **22**, 013044 (2020).
- [58] Max A. Metlitski and Tarun Grover, “Entanglement entropy of systems with spontaneously broken continuous symmetry,” (2011), *arXiv:1112.5166*.
- [59] Nicolas Laflorencie, “Quantum entanglement in condensed matter systems,” *Physics Reports* **646**, 1–59 (2016), quantum entanglement in condensed matter systems.
- [60] R. E. Belardinelli, S. Manzi, and V. D. Pereyra, “Analysis of the convergence of the $1t$ and wang-landau algorithms in the calculation of multidimensional integrals,” *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 067701 (2008).
- [61] Zenan Dai and Xiao Yan Xu, “Residual entropy from temperature incremental monte carlo method,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17827* (2024).